



Search for resonant WZ production in the fully leptonic final state in proton–proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector

The ATLAS Collaboration

A search for a WZ resonance, in the fully leptonic final state (electrons or muons), is performed using 139 fb^{-1} of data collected at a centre-of-mass energy of 13 TeV by the ATLAS detector at the Large Hadron Collider. The results are interpreted in terms of a singly charged Higgs boson of the Georgi–Machacek model, produced by WZ fusion, and of a Heavy Vector Triplet, with the resonance produced by WZ fusion or the Drell–Yan process. No significant excess over the Standard Model prediction is observed and limits are set on the production cross-section times branching ratio as a function of the resonance mass for these processes.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	The ATLAS Detector	4
3	Data and Monte Carlo Samples	5
4	Object reconstruction and identification	7
5	Event selection	8
5.1	Baseline selection of WZ events	9
5.2	Drell–Yan process selection	10
5.3	Vector Boson Fusion process selection	10
6	Background estimation	14
7	Systematic uncertainties	14
7.1	Theoretical uncertainties	15
7.2	Experimental uncertainties	15
8	Results	16
8.1	Statistical analysis strategy	16
8.2	Data and background comparisons	17
8.3	Impact of systematic uncertainties	18
8.4	Limits on the production of heavy resonances	21
9	Conclusions	24
	Appendix	26

1 Introduction

Searches for diboson resonances provide an essential test of theories of electroweak symmetry breaking beyond the Standard Model (BSM): new charged scalar diboson resonances arise in various models with an extended Higgs sector [1–3] and vector resonances are predicted in various BSM scenarios [4–9]. In this article, a search for a WZ resonance produced via either the Drell–Yan process or vector-boson fusion (VBF) is conducted in the fully leptonic decay channel $\ell\nu\ell\ell$ ($\ell = e$ or μ) in proton–proton (pp) collisions. The pp collision data, with an integrated luminosity of 139 fb^{-1} , were collected by the ATLAS detector [10] at the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) at a centre-of-mass energy of $\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}$.

In the Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model the tree-level coupling of the charged Higgs boson to WZ is loop-induced [11], and therefore strongly suppressed compared to fermionic couplings. Tree-level coupling to massive vector bosons, is, however, present in extensions of the Standard Model (SM) with higher-isospin scalar fields [12–14]. In this article, the Georgi–Machacek (GM) model [15, 16] is used as a benchmark. Because it preserves custodial symmetry at tree level, it is not strongly constrained [17].

The GM model extends the Higgs sector of the Standard Model by including one real and one complex triplet. A parameter, $\sin \theta_H$, representing the mixing of the vacuum expectation values, determines the contribution of the triplets to the masses of the W and Z bosons. The physical scalar states are organized into different custodial multiplets: a fiveplet ($H_5^{++}, H_5^+, H_5^0, H_5^-, H_5^{--}$) that is fermiophobic but couples to W and Z bosons, a triplet, and two singlets, one of which is identified as the observed 125 GeV Higgs boson with SM properties. Single production of $H_5^\pm$ occurs by vector-boson fusion and, in this analysis, the assumption that the triplet states are heavier than the fiveplet scalars implies that it can only decay to $W^\pm Z$. The cross-section is proportional to $\sin^2 \theta_H$. The singly charged members of this fiveplet are the object of the present search in the VBF channel.

Parameterized Lagrangians [18–20] incorporating a Heavy Vector Triplet (HVT) allow the results of searches for vector resonances to be interpreted in a generic way. In this article, a simplified phenomenological Lagrangian [19] is used. The new heavy vector resonance, W' , couples to the Higgs field and longitudinally polarized SM gauge bosons by virtue of the equivalence theorem [21], and this coupling is parameterized by the product of two parameters $g_V c_H$. It couples to the fermions via the combination $(g^2/g_V) c_F$, where g is the SM SU(2) gauge coupling. The parameter g_V represents the typical strength of the vector-boson interaction, while the parameters c_H and c_F are expected to be of the order of unity in most models. The vector-boson scattering process, $pp \rightarrow W' jj \rightarrow WZ jj$, is only sensitive to the gauge boson coupling and, in this case, the benchmark model used to interpret the results assumes no coupling of the heavy vector resonance to fermions.

In nearly all of the parameter space explored in the present analysis and for both benchmark models, the intrinsic width of the resonance is below 4%, which is less than the experimental resolution. Results are provided for the VBF and Drell–Yan production modes separately for the HVT process, neglecting possible signal leakage between them since the VBF contribution is quite small relative to Drell–Yan, always below 1%, and since the VBF benchmark model considered here assumes no coupling to fermions. Representative Feynman diagrams for the production and decay of the heavy resonances searched for in the present analysis are shown in Figure 1.




Figure 1: Representative Feynman diagrams for heavy resonance production and decay to WZ bosons (a) HVT W' production via Drell–Yan, (b) HVT W' production via Vector Boson Fusion and (c) GM $H_5^\pm$ production via Vector Boson Fusion. The subsequent decays to the $\ell^+ \ell^- \ell^\pm \nu$ are also shown.

Searches for a W' in an extended gauge model, decaying to WZ in the fully leptonic mode, at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV with 20 fb^{-1} of data have been performed by the ATLAS [22] and CMS [23] Collaborations. The present analysis extends searches for resonant WZ production, performed by ATLAS in Run 2 of the LHC using pp collision data at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV [24], with 36 fb^{-1} of integrated luminosity.

Exclusion limits from searches of diboson resonances with different final states are summarized in Refs. [25–27]. The results from searches for heavy VV and VH vector resonances ($V = W$ or Z) and their combination, based on Run 1 data and on Run 2 data in the fully hadronic ($qqqq$), semileptonic ($\ell\nu qq$, $\ell\ell qq$, $\nu\nu qq$), and fully leptonic ($\ell\ell\ell\ell$, $\ell\nu\ell\ell$, $\ell\ell\nu\nu$) final states are given in Refs. [28–33]. The various decay channels generally differ in sensitivity in different mass regions. The fully leptonic channel is found to be more sensitive to resonances with mass below ~ 1 TeV because of the low background, in spite of the low branching ratio. For the VBF process, the present analysis aims to complement previous explorations of the HVT phase space since other channels are mostly insensitive when the coupling of the heavy vectors to fermions is close to zero.

Limits on the GM model have also been set, based on an analysis of opposite-charge WW production by ATLAS [34], using data at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV. Searches [35, 36] by the CMS Collaboration for a singly-charged and a doubly-charged Higgs boson, produced via VBF and decaying respectively into WZ and WW in the fully leptonic mode, using an integrated luminosity of 137 fb^{-1} , have yielded limits on the coupling parameter of the GM model, assuming degenerate masses of $H_5^\pm$ and $H_5^{\pm\pm}$. Upper bounds at 95% confidence level (CL) on $\sin\theta_H$ vary between ~ 0.2 and 0.55 in the mass range 200–2000 GeV. In the present analysis, in addition to the larger data set, several improvements relative to the previously published analysis [24] have been implemented, most notably the implementation of multivariate techniques for the VBF signal selection.

2 The ATLAS Detector

The ATLAS detector [10] has a cylindrical geometry with a nearly 4π coverage in solid angle¹. The inner detector (ID), consisting of silicon pixel, silicon microstrip and transition radiation detectors, is surrounded by a thin superconducting solenoid providing a 2 T axial magnetic field. It allows precise reconstruction of tracks from charged particles and measurement of their momenta up to a pseudorapidity of $|\eta| = 2.5$. High-granularity lead/liquid-argon (LAr) sampling electromagnetic and steel/scintillator-tile hadron calorimeters, at larger radius, provide energy measurements in the central pseudorapidity range $|\eta| < 1.7$. In the endcap and forward regions, LAr calorimeters for both the electromagnetic and hadronic energy measurements extend the region of angular acceptance up to $|\eta| = 4.9$. Outside the calorimeters, the muon spectrometer incorporates multiple layers of trigger and tracking chambers in a magnetic field produced by a system of superconducting toroid magnets, enabling an independent precise measurement of muon track momenta for $|\eta| < 2.7$. The ATLAS trigger system consists of a hardware-based level-1 trigger followed by a software-based high-level trigger [37]. An extensive software suite [38] is used in the reconstruction and analysis of real and simulated data, in detector operations, and in the trigger and data acquisition systems of the experiment.

¹ ATLAS uses a right-handed coordinate system with its origin at the nominal interaction point (IP) in the centre of the detector and the z -axis along the beam pipe. The x -axis points from the IP to the centre of the LHC ring, and the y -axis points upwards. Cylindrical coordinates (r, ϕ) are used in the transverse plane, ϕ being the azimuthal angle around the z -axis. The pseudorapidity is defined in terms of the polar angle θ as $\eta = -\ln \tan(\theta/2)$. Angular distance is measured in units of $\Delta R \equiv \sqrt{(\Delta\eta)^2 + (\Delta\phi)^2}$.

3 Data and Monte Carlo Samples

The data used were collected from 2015 to 2018 with the ATLAS detector from pp collisions at a centre-of-mass energy of 13 TeV at the LHC, and initially selected by requiring that a set of quality criteria for detector and data conditions be satisfied [39].

Events were required to pass combinations of single-electron or single-muon triggers [40, 41]. The transverse momentum (p_T) thresholds of the leptons in 2015 were 24 GeV for electrons and 20 GeV for muons, with both satisfying a loose isolation requirement based only on ID track information. Due to the higher instantaneous luminosity in 2016–2018 the trigger threshold was increased to 26 GeV for both the electrons and muons, and tighter isolation requirements were applied. An additional electron (muon) trigger with a p_T threshold of 60 (50) GeV and no isolation requirement, and a single-electron trigger requiring $p_T > 120$ GeV with less restrictive electron identification criteria, were used to increase the selection efficiency, which reached almost 100%, relative to the offline selections [40, 41]. With these conditions, the integrated luminosity used in this analysis is 139 fb^{-1} .

Simulated signal events and background processes with prompt leptons were used to model the benchmark physics processes and optimize the selection cuts. They were produced by Monte Carlo (MC) generators with the detector response modelled by the GEANT4 toolkit [42, 43] integrated into the ATLAS simulation infrastructure. For some samples, the calorimeter response is obtained from a fast parameterized detector simulation [44], instead of full simulation by GEANT4. The effect of multiple interactions in the same and neighbouring bunch crossings (pile-up) was modelled by overlaying the simulated hard-scattering event with inelastic pp events generated with PYTHIA 8.186 [45] using the NNPDF2.3LO set of parton distribution functions (PDF) [46] and the A3 set of tuned parameters (tune) [47]. The distribution of the number of pile-up events reproduces the bunch structure and the average number of interactions per bunch crossing in the various run periods. A pileup weight is defined, which is applied to Monte Carlo to correct for the difference between the distribution of average number of interactions used to produce the sample and that measured for the recorded data. For all samples, except those generated with SHERPA [48], the EVTGEN 1.2.0 program [49] was used to simulate the properties of the b - and c -hadron decays.

The GM VBF benchmark signal samples, $pp \rightarrow H_5^\pm jj \rightarrow W^\pm Z jj \rightarrow \ell^\pm \nu \ell^\pm \ell^- jj$, vetoing W or Z bosons in the s-channel, were produced with MADGRAPH 2.7.2 [50] at next-to-leading order (NLO) in QCD [1, 51]; the generator is referred to as MADGRAPH hereafter. The signal simulation is produced for the mass range 200 GeV to 1 TeV in the H_5 -plane defined in Refs. [1, 52], using the tool GMCALC [53]. The parameter $\sin \theta_H$ was set to 0.5 for masses up to 800 GeV and 0.25 for higher masses to be compatible with present constraints [52]. The matrix element calculation employed the NNPDF3.0NLO [54] set of PDFs. Events were interfaced to PYTHIA 8.186 for the modelling of the parton shower, hadronization, and underlying event, using the A14 tuning parameters [55] and with the dipole recoil shower scheme to prevent the generation of excess central jet radiation [56]. For these samples, a minimum p_T of 15 GeV (10 GeV) for the jets (leptons) was required during event generation. The signal samples were produced in 25 GeV mass steps up to 600 GeV and 100 GeV mass steps up to 1 TeV.

Two benchmark models of HVT production via the Drell–Yan process, $qq' \rightarrow W' \rightarrow WZ \rightarrow \ell \nu \ell \ell$, are used to interpret the results. Model A is typical of weakly coupled vector resonances arising from an extension of the SM gauge group [57] with an additional SU(2) symmetry, and the branching ratios to fermions and gauge bosons are comparable. Model B is representative of an HVT produced in a strongly-coupled scenario, as in a Composite Higgs model [58] with suppressed fermionic couplings. The parameter g_V was set to 1 for Model A and to 3 for Model B. For both models, c_F is set to 1 and is assumed to be the same

for all types of fermions. The simulated signal samples for Model A were generated at leading order (LO) in QCD with MADGRAPH 2.6.5 using the model file provided by the authors of Ref. [19]. The parton-level simulated events were hadronized with PYTHIA 8.186, using the NNPDF23_lo_as_0130_qed PDF set and A14 tune. The signal samples were produced for vector resonances with masses ranging from 250 GeV to 5 TeV, in steps of 25 GeV below 600 GeV, 100 GeV between 600 GeV and 2 TeV, 200 GeV from 2 TeV to 3 TeV and 500 GeV above. For interpretation in terms of Model B, the Model A simulation is used and the cross-sections were simply scaled. This is justified since the intrinsic resonance width remains well below the experimental resolution and the angular distributions are the same for both models.

For the VBF production mode of heavy vector resonances, which is expected to have a low cross-section, the benchmark model used is also based on the HVT parameterization. The coupling parameters g_V and c_H are set to 1 and all other couplings of the heavy triplet, including c_F , are set to 0 in order to maximize the VBF contribution. The simulated signal samples were generated at LO in QCD with MADGRAPH 2.6.5 using the model file provided by the authors of Ref. [19]. The parton-level simulated events were hadronized with PYTHIA 8.186, using the NNPDF23_lo_as_0130_qed PDF set and A14 tune. A dijet invariant mass of at least 150 GeV is required in this case at event generation. The simulation samples were generated for masses ranging from 300 GeV to 2 TeV, in steps of 25 GeV (100 GeV) up to (beyond) 600 GeV.

The background sources include processes with two or more electroweak gauge bosons, namely VV and VVV ($V = Z, W$) as well as processes with top quarks, such as $t\bar{t}$, $t\bar{t}V$, and single top-quark, and processes with gauge bosons produced in association with jets or photons.

The dominant background for this search is the SM QCD mediated WZ process, referred to as WZ -QCD here. It includes processes up to order four in the electroweak coupling constant, α_{EW} , and is modelled using SHERPA 2.2.2 [48]. The WZ sample includes up to one jet calculated at NLO in QCD, while second and third jets were calculated at LO in QCD and merged with the parton shower. In order to estimate an uncertainty due to generator and parton shower modelling, an alternative NLO WZ -QCD sample was produced using MADGRAPH 2.6.5 with FxFx merging [59] of up to two extra jets, using the PDF set NNPDF30_nlo_as_118. The hadronization was performed with PYTHIA 8.186 with the A14 tune. A sample of the purely electroweak process $WZjj \rightarrow \ell\nu \ell\ell jj$, including processes of order six in α_{EW} (WZ -EWK), was generated separately with MADGRAPH 2.7.3 together with PYTHIA 8.244 using the A14 tune [55] and the NNPDF3.0NLO[54] PDF set. To estimate an uncertainty due to the parton shower modelling, a sample using the same MADGRAPH 2.7.3 matrix element but HERWIG 7.2.1 for the parton shower was produced. According to the SM, a small amount of interference occurs between electroweak and QCD WZ production. This was modelled with MADGRAPH 2.7.3 + PYTHIA 8.244 using the A14 tune [55] and the NNPDF3.0NLO[54] PDF set and combined with the simulated WZ -EWK sample.

Samples of $q\bar{q} \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow 4\ell$, $q\bar{q} \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow \ell\ell \nu\nu$ and triboson events were generated with SHERPA 2.2.2 [60] using matrix elements at NLO accuracy in QCD for up to one additional parton and at LO accuracy for up to three additional parton emissions. The simulation included off-shell effects and Higgs boson contributions. The purely electroweak process $q\bar{q} \rightarrow ZZjj \rightarrow 4\ell jj$ and the $gg \rightarrow ZZ$ process were also generated with SHERPA 2.2.2 [60]. The LO-accurate matrix elements were matched to a parton shower based on Catani–Seymour dipole factorization [61, 62] using the MEPS@LO prescription [63–66]. Samples were generated using the NNPDF3.0NNLO PDF set [54], and SHERPA parton-shower parameter values.

The $t\bar{t}V$ processes were modelled using the MADGRAPH 2.3.3 [50] generator at NLO in QCD with the NNPDF3.0NLO[54] PDF set. The events were interfaced to PYTHIA 8.210 [67] using the A14 tune and the NNPDF2.3LO [54] PDF set.

Finally, samples of SM backgrounds with at least one misidentified or non-prompt lepton, including $Z\gamma$, $W\gamma$, Drell–Yan $Z \rightarrow \ell\ell$, $W \rightarrow \ell\nu$ as well as top-quark pairs and single top-quark have been generated to assist in estimating the fake/non-prompt lepton background. Events with $V\gamma$ in the final state were simulated with the SHERPA 2.2.4 [60] generator. Matrix elements at LO accuracy in QCD for up to three additional parton emissions were matched and merged with the SHERPA parton shower [61–66]. The samples were generated using the NNPDF3.0NNLO PDF set [54], along with the dedicated set of tuned parton-shower parameters developed by the SHERPA authors. Drell–Yan $Z \rightarrow \ell\ell$ and $W \rightarrow \ell\nu$ were produced with POWHEG BOX v1 generator [68–71] at NLO accuracy for the hard-scattering processes of W and Z boson production and decay in the electron, muon, and τ -lepton channels. The events were interfaced to PYTHIA 8.186 [45] for the modelling of the parton shower, hadronization, and underlying event, with parameters set according to the AZNLO tune [72]. The CT10NNLO PDF set [73] was used for the hard-scattering processes, whereas the CTEQ6L1 PDF set [74] was used for the parton shower. The effect of QED final-state radiation was simulated with PHOTOS++ 3.52 [75, 76]. For top-quark pairs and single top-quark productions the POWHEG BOX v2 [68–70, 77] generator was used at NLO with the NNPDF3.0NNLO [54] PDF set. The events were interfaced with PYTHIA 8.230 [67] using the A14 tune [55] and the NNPDF2.3LO PDF set.

SM backgrounds with Higgs bosons (H , $t\bar{t}H$, VH) contribute less than 0.1% of the total background because of the low cross-section and the requirement of a well-reconstructed leptonically decaying Z boson. These backgrounds were neglected.

4 Object reconstruction and identification

Electron candidates are reconstructed from energy deposits in the electromagnetic calorimeter which are matched to a well-reconstructed ID track [78]. Only electrons with transverse energy $E_T > 7$ GeV and within the pseudorapidity range of $|\eta| < 2.47$, excluding the barrel–endcap transition region $1.37 < |\eta| < 1.52$ are considered. Muons are identified either by matching muon spectrometer tracks with tracks in the ID or by using the calorimeter-based muon identification [79, 80]. They are required to have transverse momentum $p_T > 5$ GeV ($p_T > 15$ GeV if calorimeter tagged) and pseudorapidity $|\eta| < 2.7$.

Identification and isolation criteria, either ‘loose’, ‘medium’ or ‘tight’ as described in Refs. [78, 79], are applied to electron and muon candidates. Identification criteria are based on shower shapes and track parameters for electrons, and on track parameters for muons. The isolation criteria use information about ID tracks and calorimeter energy deposits in a fixed cone of size $\Delta R = 0.2$ around each lepton. Four lepton categories are designed using the identification and isolation criteria: *Baseline* electrons and muons are required to satisfy ‘loose’ identification and isolation criteria (for muons with $p_T > 300$ GeV the dedicated ‘High p_T identification’ is required [80]). The *Loose*, *Tight Z* and *Tight W* leptons are defined as subsets of the *Baseline* lepton selection with $p_T > 25$ GeV. For the *Tight Z* leptons the ‘medium’ identification and ‘tight’ isolation criteria are applied, while for *Tight W* leptons the ‘tight’ identification and ‘tight’ isolation criteria are applied. The tighter identification and isolation requirement applied to the lepton of the W candidate is motivated by lower background rates for leptons from the Z candidate, which are well constrained by the requirement on their invariant mass.

Electron and muon candidates are required to originate from the primary vertex. The primary vertex is defined, using tracks with $p_T > 500$ MeV, as the vertex candidate with the highest $\sum p_T^2$ of its associated tracks. The transverse impact parameter of the track (d_0) is calculated relative to the beam line. For all four lepton categories, the longitudinal impact parameter, z_0 (the difference between the value of z at the point

of the track where d_0 is defined and the longitudinal position of the primary vertex), is required to satisfy $|z_0 \cdot \sin\theta| < 0.5$ mm, where θ is the polar angle of the track momentum at the reference point. Furthermore, for the *Loose*, *Tight Z* and *Tight W* leptons the significance of the transverse impact parameter of the track, $|d_0/\sigma_{d_0}|$, where σ_{d_0} stands for the resolution of d_0 , must be smaller than 3.0 for muons and less than 5.0 for electrons.

Jets are based on particle-flow objects built from noise-suppressed positive-energy topological clusters of cells in the calorimeter and reconstructed tracks [81]. The anti- k_t algorithm [82, 83] with a radius parameter of $R = 0.4$ is used. For jets, the main backgrounds are either beam-induced, due to proton collisions upstream of the interaction point, from cosmic-ray showers or highly coherent calorimeter noise. These jets are considered ‘unclean’ and nearly all are rejected by applying a set of quality criteria. Furthermore, to mitigate contamination from the pile-up, a jet vertex tagger [84, 85], using information about tracks associated with the primary vertex and pile-up vertices, is applied to jets with $p_T < 60$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.4$. In the forward region, pile-up jet tagging that exploits jet shapes and topological jet correlations in pile-up interactions is applied to jets with $p_T < 120$ GeV and $2.5 < |\eta| < 4.5$ [85].

The flavour of jets is determined using a deep-learning neural network, DL1r [86, 87]. The DL1r b -tagging is based on distinctive features of b -hadron decays in terms of the impact parameters of the tracks and the displaced vertices reconstructed in the inner detector. The b -tagging algorithm has an efficiency of 85% in simulated $t\bar{t}$ events, a light-flavour jet misidentification probability of 3% and a c -jet misidentification probability of about 33%.

Two levels of jet selections are used: the *Baseline* jets have $p_T > 30$ GeV and $|\eta| < 4.5$, while for *VBF jets*, which are a subset of *Baseline* jets, the pile-up removal using the jet vertex tagger and a b -tagging veto are applied, as described above, since they are mostly forward jets and not initiated by b -quarks.

To avoid cases where the detector response to a single physical object is reconstructed as two different final-state objects, an overlap-removal procedure is applied to the *Baseline* selected leptons and jets. If two electrons share the same track then the lower- p_T electron is discarded. Electrons that share the same track as a selected muon with a muon spectrometer track are also discarded; but in the case of a calorimeter-tagged muon, it is the muon which is rejected. A jet is removed if its separation from an electron satisfies $\Delta R < 0.2$; the electron is removed if the separation satisfies $0.2 < \Delta R < 0.4$. For nearly collinear muons and jets, the jet is removed if it is separated from the muon by $\Delta R < 0.2$ and if it has less than three tracks, or if the energy and momentum differences between the muon and the jet are small; otherwise the muon is removed if the separation satisfies $\Delta R < 0.4$.

The missing transverse momentum, E_T^{miss} , in an event is calculated as the magnitude of the negative vectorial sum of the transverse momenta of all *Baseline* selected and calibrated physics objects that can be matched to the primary vertex. A component called the “soft term” is calculated from the residual tracks that originate from the primary vertex but are not associated with any other object and is added to the E_T^{miss} calculation [88].

5 Event selection

In this search all final states with three charged leptons (e or μ) and missing transverse momentum from WZ leptonic decays are considered. The search begins with a WZ baseline selection, and two selections are defined in order to build signal regions (SRs) targeting the Drell–Yan and VBF productions modes. A cut-based selection is used to build the Drell–Yan signal region, while for the VBF selection, an artificial

neural network (ANN) was trained. An alternative, cut-based selection for the VBF is also presented in the Appendix. The invariant mass of the WZ candidates, $m(WZ)$, built with the leptons and E_T^{miss} is used as the discriminating variable. A summary of all the selections used to define the analysis signal regions (SRs) and control regions (CRs) can be found in Table 1.

Table 1: Summary of the event selections for signal and control regions. Definitions of some variables used in this table can be found in Section 5.2 and 5.3.

Baseline WZ selection		
Event cleaning and primary vertex		
Single-electron or single-muon trigger		
Exactly 3 <i>Loose</i> leptons (e or μ) with $p_T > 25$ GeV ($p_T > 27$ GeV for the trigger-matched lepton)		
ZZ veto: veto events with additional <i>Baseline</i> leptons		
Z candidate: A <i>Tight</i> Z same-flavour-opposite-sign lepton pair with $ m_{\ell\ell} - m_Z < 20$ GeV		
W candidate: <i>Tight</i> W lepton requirements on 'non- Z leptons' and $E_T^{\text{miss}} > 25$ GeV		
Selection	Drell-Yan	VBF
Signal region	$p_T(V)/m(WZ) > 0.35$	At least 2 <i>VBF jets</i> $m_{jj} > 100$ GeV Veto events with b -tagged jets ANN Output > 0.82
WZ-QCD control region	$(p_T(W)/m(WZ) \leq 0.35$ or $p_T(Z)/m(WZ) \leq 0.35$) $p_T(V)/m(WZ) > 0.1$	At least 2 <i>VBF jets</i> $m_{jj} > 500$ GeV Veto events with b -tagged jets ANN Output < 0.82
ZZ control region	Additional <i>Baseline</i> lepton No E_T^{miss} requirement	Additional <i>Baseline</i> lepton No E_T^{miss} requirement At least 2 <i>VBF jets</i>

5.1 Baseline selection of WZ events

The baseline selection is a set of event criteria applied to data and all simulated samples before defining more specific analysis regions. First, there is a requirement of good quality for the recorded events, based on the working conditions of all subdetectors. Events are vetoed if they have one or more unclean jets. All events are required to contain a primary vertex with at least two associated tracks.

Events are required to contain exactly three leptons meeting the *Loose* selection criteria defined in Section 4. In order to reduce the ZZ background, events with four or more leptons meeting the *Baseline* criteria are vetoed. To ensure that the trigger efficiency is well determined, at least one of the three candidate leptons must be trigger-matched and is required to have $p_T > 27$ GeV. A Z candidate must be present. It is defined by two leptons of the same flavour and opposite charge with an invariant mass that is consistent with the Z boson pole mass (m_Z): $|m_{\ell\ell} - m_Z| < 20$ GeV. If there is more than one pair of leptons that can form a Z candidate, the one with invariant mass closest to the Z boson pole mass is chosen. The third lepton is then taken as the W boson lepton candidate. The leptons assigned to the W and Z candidates are then required to satisfy the *Tight* W or *Tight* Z selection criteria defined in Section 4. Finally, the missing transverse momentum in the event is required to be greater than 25 GeV.

To reconstruct the four-vector of the W boson, the E_T^{miss} of the event is assumed to be due to the neutrino. The longitudinal component $p_z(\nu)$ of the neutrino momentum is estimated by constraining the invariant mass of the $\ell\nu$ system to be the pole mass of the W boson, where the charged lepton is the one assigned to the W candidate. A quadratic equation leads to two solutions. If they are real, the one with the smaller magnitude of $|p_z(\nu)|$ is chosen, otherwise, the real part is chosen. The choice of the solution was optimized using truth information. The invariant mass of the WZ system is then calculated.

5.2 Drell–Yan process selection

For a heavy resonance produced essentially at rest in the s-channel, it is expected that the selected W and Z bosons have transverse momenta close to 50% of the resonance mass. A boson p_T to resonance mass ratio variable is therefore defined as the ratio $p_T(V)/m(WZ)$ of the boson transverse momentum to the WZ invariant mass. To reduce the contribution from non-resonant WZ production, events passing the WZ preselection are required to have a boson p_T to resonance mass ratio greater than 0.35 for both bosons. The combined detector acceptance and signal selection efficiency ($A \times \epsilon$) of the Drell-Yan HVT W' selection, relative to the generated signal events, is shown in Figure 2. There, decays of W and Z bosons into all flavours of leptons are included at event generation. The $A \times \epsilon$ values decrease for resonance masses above approximately 2 TeV due to the collinearity of electrons from highly boosted $Z \rightarrow ee$ decays, for which the lepton isolation is less efficient.




Figure 2: The acceptance (A) times efficiency (ϵ) of the HVT W' in the Drell–Yan signal region for different mass points and for the individual channels $\mu\nu\mu\mu$, $e\nu e\nu$, $\mu\nu e e$, $e\nu\mu\mu$, and the sum of all channels. The uncertainty includes both the statistical and experimental systematic components.

5.3 Vector Boson Fusion process selection

The VBF process ($pp \rightarrow W'jj \rightarrow WZjj$) is characterized by the presence of two jets with a large rapidity gap resulting from quarks from which a vector boson has been radiated. To select the signal events, an

artificial neural network with a binary classification task is used: events are categorized as belonging either to a VBF process or to the background. The ANN training is implemented using the Keras package [89] running on top of the TensorFlow package [90]. An ANN training region is defined by requiring events to have at least a pair of jets satisfying the *VBF jets* selection, and from those, the pair with the highest- p_T is required to have an invariant $m_{jj} > 100$ GeV. The ANN is trained in this region with simulated $H_5^\pm$ events as signal, against the SM *WZ*-EWK and *WZ*-QCD events as background. The $H_5^\pm$ simulation is used for the training because the kinematic variables show very similar distributions for the GM and HVT benchmark signals and the training yielded similar results.

In order to minimize the statistical uncertainty, a 4-fold cross-validation technique was applied. A rectified linear unit, or a ReLU, was used as an activation function at each node [91]. The space of hyperparameters was scanned and a final set was chosen to ensure optimal performance of the network. The training was performed with 100 epochs, Nesterov's momentum of 0.7 [92], and two hidden layers of 45 neurons each. To avoid overfitting, a regularization technique was employed. For each input sample, a hidden layer was randomly removed with a probability parameter (dropout) of 0.2, allowing for a noisy training process.

The distributions of the loss function and of accuracy vs epochs were monitored for the training and validations sets and no sign of overtraining was observed.

Table 2: Variables used for ANN training.

Training variable	Definition
m_{jj}	Invariant mass of the two leading- p_T jets
$\Delta\phi_{jj}$	Difference in ϕ of the two leading- p_T jets
η_W, η_Z	Pseudorapidities of the reconstructed gauge bosons
η_{j1}	Leading- p_T jet pseudorapidity
ζ_{Lep}	Event centrality
E_T^{miss}	Missing transverse momentum
H_T	Scalar p_T sum of the <i>VBF jets</i> and the leptons from the <i>WZ</i> decay

The input variables² used for the ANN optimization are listed in Table 2. These were chosen on the basis of their impact in the training and such that highly correlated variables are not used simultaneously. The loss in expected significance when adding or exchanging some of the variables was evaluated for each set of variables until the optimal set was found.

All the mass samples of simulated $H_5^\pm$ GM events are used simultaneously to define the "signal" for the training. After training, the threshold for the ANN output score is chosen in such a way that it maximizes the significance for the lowest mass point (200 GeV). The advantage of this approach is that it greatly reduces the training effort and a single signal region can be used. It was verified that the alternative of using one ANN training per mass point does not significantly improve the performance. The training is then applied to both GM and HVT Model samples. A minimum value of 0.82 on the ANN output maximizes the significance and is chosen to define the signal region. After all selection cuts are applied the VBF

² The "event centrality" is a measure of the smaller pseudorapidity difference between the most forward jet and the most forward lepton in either hemisphere. It is defined as:

$$\zeta_{\text{Lep}} = \min \left\{ \left[\min(\eta_{\ell_1}, \eta_{\ell_2}, \eta_{\ell_3}) - \min(\eta_{j_1}, \eta_{j_2}) \right], \left[\max(\eta_{j_1}, \eta_{j_2}) - \max(\eta_{\ell_1}, \eta_{\ell_2}, \eta_{\ell_3}) \right] \right\},$$

with ℓ_1, ℓ_2, ℓ_3 being the three leptons from the *WZ* decay and j_1, j_2 the leading- p_T and subleading- p_T VBF jets.

signal region effectively starts at $m_{jj} > 500$ GeV. This signal region was blinded until the background and its uncertainties in the control regions had been evaluated (Section 6).

The distribution shapes and correlations of all input variables to the ANN were found to be well modelled by MC simulation in the WZ-QCD control region (see Section 6 for definition). This is exemplified by the good description of the ANN output score distribution of data in the WZ-QCD control region and VBF signal region shown in Figure 3.




Figure 3: Distribution of the ANN score in the WZ-QCD VBF control region and the VBF signal region. The background predictions are obtained from a background-only simultaneous fit to the VBF signal region, the WZ-QCD VBF and ZZ VBF control regions as described in Section 8. The uncertainty in the total background prediction, shown as a hashed area, combines statistical and systematic contributions. The distributions for the HVT VBF model W' and GM $H_5^\pm$ simulations are shown normalized to the data integral. The vertical dotted line shows the threshold value for the ANN output score used to define the VBF signal region.

The acceptance times efficiency $\mathcal{A} \times \epsilon$ of the ANN-based VBF selection as a function of the mass of the VBF $H_5^\pm$ and of the HVT W' boson, relative to the generated signal events, is shown in Figure 4. There, decays of W and Z bosons into all flavours of charged leptons are included at event generation. For the $H_5^\pm$ and the HVT bosons the $\mathcal{A} \times \epsilon$ value is in the range 2–12% and 2–5% respectively for resonance masses ranging between 200 and 1000 GeV, the difference being due, with approximately equal importance, to the generator-level selection and the different angular distributions of the final products.




Figure 4: The acceptance (A) times efficiency (ϵ) of VBF $H_5^\pm$ and HVT W' selection after the ANN-based VBF selection at different mass points for the individual channels $\mu\nu\mu\mu$, $e\bar{e}e\bar{e}$, $\mu\nu e\bar{e}$, $e\nu\mu\mu$, and the sum of all channels. The uncertainty includes both the statistical and experimental systematic components.

6 Background estimation

The backgrounds are classified into two groups: the irreducible backgrounds where all reconstructed lepton candidates are prompt (arise from the primary process) and the reducible backgrounds where at least one of the lepton candidates is not prompt. Non-prompt leptons are also referred to as "fake/non-prompt" leptons.

The contributions from the irreducible backgrounds WZ -QCD, WZ -EWK, ZZ , VVV and $t\bar{t}V$ are estimated using MC simulation. The normalizations of WZ -QCD and ZZ are constrained by data in a simultaneous fit using the signal region and dedicated control regions. Each signal region has two associated CRs designed to match that particular SR's event topology and jet multiplicity, as summarized in Table 1.

The dominant source of irreducible background is QCD-mediated production of WZ dibosons. Two CRs are created to constrain it. One is referred to as the WZ -QCD Drell–Yan CR and is dedicated to the Drell–Yan analysis. It is defined by selecting the subsample of WZ events that fulfill all the Drell–Yan event selection except the boson p_T to resonance mass ratio. The lower bound on the boson p_T to resonance mass ratio is set to 0.1 to bring this CR close to the signal region. The second CR, referred to as the WZ -QCD VBF CR, is dedicated to the VBF analyses. It is defined by selecting from the $WZjj$ subsample the events that fail the ANN output score requirement, and have $m_{jj} > 500$ GeV. The high m_{jj} requirement is applied in order to match the signal region's event topology. In both CRs the WZ -QCD contribution is around 80%.

To extract the ZZ background normalization, two ZZ -enriched control regions are defined after applying the WZ event preselection described in Section 5.1. The presence of at least a fourth lepton candidate satisfying the *Baseline* identification criteria is required and no requirement on the missing transverse momentum is applied. This region is used for the Drell–Yan process analysis and is referred to as the ZZ Drell–Yan CR. For the VBF selection, the events in the ZZ VBF CR must have, in addition, at least two VBF tagged-jets.

The QCD-mediated production of ZZ events represents 91% (80%) of the ZZ Drell–Yan CR (ZZ VBF CR), while the contribution of ZZ events from electroweak-mediated production ZZ is small. In the following the sum of the two components is referred as the ZZ background.

To validate the modelling of the $t\bar{t}V$ background, a dedicated validation region is built by requiring the $WZjj$ events to have at least one b -tagged jet. Since no significant data mis-modelling was observed, the $m(WZ)$ shape and normalization of this background are taken from simulation.

The reducible backgrounds originate from Drell–Yan $Z \rightarrow \ell\ell$, $W \rightarrow \ell\nu$, $Z\gamma$, $t\bar{t}$, Wt and WW processes where jets or photons were misidentified as leptons. For both analysis regions the normalizations of the reducible backgrounds are estimated using a data-driven method. The method is based on a global matrix which exploits differences between the characteristics of real and fake/non-prompt leptons on a statistical basis. Details of the method can be found in Ref. [93]. The shape in the Drell–Yan analysis is obtained from the data-driven method. In the VBF analysis, due to the fewer data events, the shapes are taken from simulation.

7 Systematic uncertainties

Systematic uncertainties from the theoretical modelling and the object and event reconstruction have an impact in the signal and control regions used. The search sensitivity is then affected by their effects on background estimates, signal acceptance, and the shape of the distributions of the invariant mass

discriminant. Depending on the nature of the uncertainty these can be classified into two groups: (a) theoretical uncertainties associated with the MC modelling of both the background and signal processes and (b) experimental uncertainties related to the detector and reconstruction performance. The uncertainties and the methods used to evaluate them are discussed below. Unless explicitly stated, the uncertainties quoted are the uncertainties in the quantities themselves, not their impact on the search sensitivity.

7.1 Theoretical uncertainties

Systematic uncertainties in the theoretical modelling by the event generators used to evaluate the WZ-QCD, WZ-EWK and ZZ templates are considered. For the WZ-QCD and ZZ backgrounds that have data-driven normalization only the shape variations of the reconstructed $m(WZ)$ distribution are considered. Uncertainties due to higher-order QCD corrections are evaluated by varying the renormalization and factorization scales independently by factors of two and one-half. For the WZ-QCD background only a small shape effect is observed and used in the fit. For the WZ-EWK background the uncertainties in the $m(WZ)$ shape grow with the mass from 8% to 15%. The uncertainties due to the PDF and the α_s value used in the PDF determination are evaluated using the PDF4LHC prescription [94]. For the WZ-QCD background they are estimated to have a small shape component but are nevertheless included in the fit. For the WZ-EWK they are added in quadrature, and the total uncertainty stays between 5 to 6% in all mass bins for both the Drell-Yan and VBF selections. A modelling uncertainty in the WZ-QCD background template including effects of the parton shower model, is estimated by comparing predictions of the $m(WZ)$ distribution from the SHERPA and MADGRAPH MC generators. The difference between the two predicted $m(WZ)$ distribution shapes is used as an uncertainty band centred around the nominal SHERPA prediction. A parton shower modelling uncertainty in the WZ-EWK background template is estimated using two MC samples with different parton shower models, PYTHIA and HERWIG. This modelling uncertainty has no effect on the $m(WZ)$ distribution's normalization at low mass, but grows to 5% at high mass.

For the ZZ background the shape uncertainties originating from the renormalization and factorization scales, as well as from the PDF and the chosen value of α_s are evaluated in a similar way.

The theoretical uncertainties described above are evaluated in all the analysis signal and control regions and treated as uncorrelated across those regions in the statistical analysis.

An uncertainty of 20% is assigned to the $t\bar{t}V$ and VVV cross-sections [95–97]. It consists of contributions from PDF uncertainties and QCD scale uncertainties.

Uncertainties in the signal acceptances due to PDF and scale choices are also evaluated. These uncertainties are calculated following the procedure described above, for several resonance mass points, and for each model, production process and decay. The theoretical uncertainties of the HVT signals are evaluated to be less than 20% for all production modes and they are 30% for the GM model.

7.2 Experimental uncertainties

Experimental uncertainties arise from the determination of the luminosity, the lepton trigger efficiency, the reconstruction and identification efficiencies of leptons and jets, and the missing transverse momentum.

The uncertainty in the integrated luminosity is 1.7%. It is derived following a methodology similar to that detailed in Ref. [98], using the LUCID-2 detector for the baseline luminosity measurements [99], and calibrating the luminosity scale using x - y beam-separation scans. A variation in the pile-up reweighting

of MC events is included to cover the uncertainty in the ratio of the predicted and measured inelastic cross-sections [100].

Systematic uncertainties affecting the reconstruction and energy calibration of jets are propagated through the analysis. They are the dominant experimental uncertainties in the VBF selection. Those due to the jet energy scale and resolution are obtained from simulations and in situ techniques [101]. The uncertainties in the b -tagging efficiency and the mis-tag rate are also taken into account. The effect of jet uncertainties on the expected number of events ranges up to 15% in the VBF selection.

Uncertainties in the efficiencies of the lepton triggers are found to be negligible. The uncertainties due to the electron and muon reconstruction, identification and isolation requirements are estimated using tag-and-probe methods in $Z \rightarrow \ell\ell$ events in data and simulation [78, 79]. Uncertainties in the lepton energy scale and resolution are also assessed. The impact of lepton uncertainties on the expected number of events is typically below 1%.

The uncertainty in the measurement of missing transverse momentum is estimated by propagating the uncertainties in the transverse momenta of preselected leptons and jets, as well as those in the soft term [88].

An uncertainty in the prediction of the fake/non-prompt background is also taken into account because it affects the shape and normalization of the background distributions. The total uncertainty is about 60% (more than 100%) for the Drell–Yan (VBF) selections. It is larger for the VBF selection because of the higher statistical uncertainty.

8 Results

8.1 Statistical analysis strategy

The WZ invariant mass distribution is used as the discriminating variable. The bin widths were chosen to be comparable to the expected resolution for the resonance model under investigation, and at the same time to optimize the sensitivity of the search while reducing the impact of statistical fluctuations.

A profile-likelihood-ratio test statistic [102] is used to test the compatibility of the background-only hypothesis with the data and to test the signal-plus-background hypothesis. The binned likelihood function is constructed by considering, in each bin, the contributions of the backgrounds and of a hypothetical signal of given strength relative to a benchmark model’s production cross-section. In the absence of an observed signal, exclusion limits on the presence of a signal are then derived using the CLs method [103]. All lepton flavours and data taking periods are combined together for the profile-likelihood-ratio test since there was no significant gain in splitting the samples before the statistical analysis.

Simultaneous maximum-likelihood fits to the observed binned distributions of $m(WZ)$ in the signal regions and their dedicated WZ and ZZ control regions are performed. Separate fits are performed for the Drell–Yan and VBF selections. The normalizations of the WZ -QCD and ZZ contributions are freely floating parameters in these fits and are constrained by the data in both the SRs and dedicated CRs. The ratio of the fitted contributions in the CR and SR is allowed to vary within the theoretical uncertainties. The normalizations and shapes of all other backgrounds are allowed to vary within their uncertainties.

Systematic uncertainties, described in Section 7, and their correlations are incorporated in the likelihood as nuisance parameters with Gaussian constraints. Most of the systematic uncertainties are taken to be

correlated between the SR and CRs and fit simultaneously in these regions, with the theoretical uncertainties of the ZZ , WZ -QCD and WZ -EWK backgrounds being the only exceptions.

Two fit configurations are used, referred to as the Drell–Yan and VBF configurations. The Drell–Yan fits include the Drell–Yan SR, WZ -QCD CR and ZZ -CR. In the VBF configuration, fits include the VBF-SR, $WZjj$ -QCD CR and $ZZjj$ -CR. Separate fits are performed for the different models tested and for different resonance mass hypotheses. The Drell–Yan configuration is used to search for a W' boson predicted by the HVT benchmark. Two VBF fits are performed using the VBF configuration: one for the search for a VBF-produced W' predicted by the HVT model, and the other for the search for a charged Higgs boson, $H_5^\pm$, as predicted by the GM model.

8.2 Data and background comparisons

To test the compatibility of the data and the background expectations, the data are first fit to the background-only hypothesis, separately in the Drell–Yan and VBF configurations.

The post-fit background yields are summarized in Table 3 for the Drell–Yan and VBF signal regions. In both cases the fit is able to adjust the SM ZZ and WZ -QCD background normalizations using the data in signal and control regions. In the Drell–Yan fit, the ZZ background normalization is increased by around 10% while the WZ -QCD background is decreased by 10% relative to the pre-fit predictions. Some mild pulls in the modelling uncertainties by less than one standard deviation from their pre-fit values are visible in the Drell–Yan fit. In the VBF fits, the normalization of the ZZ background is consistent with the pre-fit value while the WZ -QCD background is reduced by around 30%. Apart from the mild pulls in the Drell–Yan signal region, none of the other nuisance parameters are significantly pulled or constrained relative to their pre-fit values in any of the background-only fits.

Table 3: Expected and observed yields in the Drell–Yan and VBF signal regions. The yields and uncertainties are presented after the background-only fit to the data in the Drell–Yan or VBF signal regions. The uncertainty in the total background estimate is smaller than the sum in quadrature of the individual background contributions due to anti-correlations between the estimated backgrounds from different sources.

	Drell-Yan signal region	VBF signal region
WZ -QCD	1734 ± 77	29 ± 4
WZ -EWK	89 ± 10	26 ± 3
$VVV + t\bar{t}V$	148 ± 27	0.9 ± 0.2
ZZ	95 ± 5	5 ± 1
Fakes/non-prompt leptons	88 ± 49	0.3 ± 0.8
Total background	2155 ± 71	61 ± 6
Observed	2155	66

The post-fit $m(WZ)$ distributions in the signal regions and their respective WZ -QCD and ZZ control regions are shown in Figure 5 for the Drell–Yan selection and in Figure 6 for the VBF selection. The bottom panels show that the observed mass distributions are in good agreement with the estimated post-fit background contributions in all signal and control regions.

The largest observed excess is in the VBF category at $m(WZ)$ around 375 GeV, as shown in Figure 6(c). The local significances for VBF produced signals of a charged Higgs boson $H_5^\pm$ or an HVT W' boson are 2.8 and 2.5 standard deviations, respectively. The respective global significances calculated using the

look-elsewhere effect as in Ref. [104], and evaluated up to a mass of 1.2 TeV, are 1.6 and 1.7 standard deviations. In the Drell–Yan signal region the largest difference between the data and the SM background prediction is located around a mass of 1.1 TeV with a local significance of 1.2 standard deviations.

8.3 Impact of systematic uncertainties

The effects of systematic uncertainties on the search are studied for hypothesized signals using a signal-strength parameter μ , which is the ratio of the extracted cross-section to the injected hypothesized signal cross-section. For this study, the signal production cross-section is set to be equal to the expected median upper limits (Section 8.4). The expected relative uncertainties in the best-fit μ value after the maximum-likelihood fit are shown in Table 4 for two reference models and mass points: Drell–Yan production of a W' boson in the HVT model with mass $m(W') = 1100$ GeV, and VBF production of an $H_5^\pm$ in the GM model with mass $m(H_5^\pm) = 375$ GeV. The individual sources of systematic uncertainty are combined into fewer background modelling and experimental categories. For signals with higher mass, the data statistical uncertainty is dominant. The uncertainties with the largest impact on the sensitivity of the searches are from the normalization of the irreducible backgrounds WZ -QCD and ZZ , from the theory modelling of the WZ background (in the table this includes QCD and EWK components), from the reducible background shape and normalization, and from the sizes of the MC samples. Uncertainties related to luminosity and pile-up also play a relevant role in both signal regions. The jet uncertainties, such as those in the jet energy scale and resolution, naturally have a large impact in the VBF search.

Table 4: Dominant relative uncertainties in the best-fit signal-strength parameter (μ) for a hypothetical HVT signal of mass $m(W') = 1100$ GeV in the Drell–Yan signal region and a GM signal of mass $m(H_5^\pm) = 375$ GeV in the VBF signal region. For this study, the production cross-sections of the signals are set to the expected median upper limits at these two mass values. Uncertainties with smaller contributions are not included.

Source of uncertainty	$\Delta\mu/\mu$ [%]	
	Drell–Yan signal region $m(W') = 1100$ GeV	VBF signal region $m(H_5^\pm) = 375$ GeV
WZ -QCD + ZZ normalization	2	11
WZ background: parton shower	6	1
WZ background: scale, PDF	5	8
Fake/non-prompt background	3	1
ZZ background: scale, PDF	0.2	<0.1
$VVV + t\bar{t}V$ modelling	3	1
Electron identification	6	3
Muon identification	1	4
Jet uncertainty	0.8	16
Flavour tagging	0	1
Missing transverse momentum	0.2	0.5
MC statistical uncertainty	10	5
Luminosity	2	8
Pile-up	0.1	8
Total systematic uncertainty	16	22
Data statistical uncertainty	54	55
Total	56	59




Figure 5: Comparisons of the data and the expected background distributions of the WZ invariant mass in the (a) WZ-QCD, (b) the ZZ control regions and (c) the Drell-Yan signal region. The background predictions are obtained from a background-only simultaneous fit to the Drell-Yan signal region and its control regions. For illustration, the expected distributions from HVT W' resonances (model A) with masses of 800 GeV and 1.4 TeV, with the latter normalized to four times its predicted cross-section, are shown in the signal region. The bottom panels show the ratios of the data to the post-fit background predictions. The uncertainty in the total background prediction, shown as a hashed area, combines statistical and systematic contributions.




Figure 6: Comparisons of the data and the expected background distributions of the WZ invariant mass in (a) the WZ -QCD VBF control region, (b) the ZZ VBF control region and (c) the VBF signal region. The background predictions are obtained from a background-only simultaneous fit to the VBF signal region and its two control regions. For illustration, the expected distributions from an $H_5^\pm$ GM model resonance ($\sin \theta_H = 0.5$) with a mass of 375 GeV and from an HVT W' (model A) of mass 600 GeV are shown in the signal region, with the predicted cross sections scaled by 0.5 and 4, respectively. The bottom panels show the ratios of the data to the post-fit background predictions. The uncertainty in the total background prediction, shown as a hashed area, combines statistical and systematic contributions.

8.4 Limits on the production of heavy resonances

Constraints on the production of heavy resonances are derived by repeating the test of the signal-plus-background hypothesis for different signal models. Upper limits on cross-sections times branching fraction to WZ are calculated using the asymptotic approximation [102].

For the HVT model search, Figure 7 presents the observed and expected limits on $\sigma \times B(W' \rightarrow WZ)$ at 95% CL as a function of the W' mass for the HVT model in the Drell–Yan signal region. Masses below 2.4 TeV can be excluded for Model A and 2.5 TeV for Model B. For resonance masses above 2 TeV the exclusion limits become weaker due to the poorer acceptance at high mass (see Figure 2). Regarding the VBF production mode, 95% CL limits on $\sigma \times B(W' \rightarrow WZ)$ are shown in Figure 8 for the benchmark model with $c_F = 0$ and different values of $g_V c_H$. Thus, they close a gap left by the limits obtained by searches in the Drell–Yan process as c_F approaches zero.

Because of large mass mixing, which depends on the coupling $g_V c_H$, between the SM gauge bosons and V' , the theory curves start at different values of V' mass, close to the limit of validity of the HVT model. Masses below 340 GeV, 700 GeV, 945 GeV and 1145 GeV can be excluded for the HVT VBF model with $c_F = 0$ and $g_V c_H = 1.0, 2.0, 3.0$ and 4.0, respectively.




Figure 7: Observed and expected 95% CL exclusion upper limits on $\sigma \times B(W' \rightarrow WZ)$ for the Drell–Yan production of a W' boson in the HVT model as a function of its mass. The LO theory predictions for HVT Model A with $g_V = 1$ and Model B with $g_V = 3$ are also shown.

For the $H_5^\pm$ GM search, observed and expected exclusion limits at 95% CL on $\sigma \times B(H_5^\pm \rightarrow WZ)$ and on the mixing parameter $\sin \theta_H$ are shown in Figure 9. The latter are about 35% stronger than in the previous publication [24]. They are comparable to the CMS results [36], based on the combination of searches for singly and doubly charged members of the H_5 fiveplet. The shaded regions show the parameter space for which the $H_5^\pm$ width exceeds 5% and 10% of $m_{H_5^\pm}$. The intrinsic width of the scalar resonance is narrower than the detector resolution in the mass region explored.






Figure 8: Observed and expected 95% CL upper limits on $\sigma \times B(W' \rightarrow WZ)$ for the VBF production of a W' boson in the HVT benchmark with parameter $c_F = 0$, as a function of its mass. The LO theory predictions for HVT VBF benchmark with different values of the coupling parameters g_V and c_H are also shown.

As a test of the asymptotic approximation used in the statistical analysis, limits are also computed with ensembles of pseudo-experiments in all signal and control regions. The cross-section upper limits obtained in that case agree in all cases for masses below 500 GeV. At higher masses, where event yields become smaller, the discrepancy between the two methods become larger, but they remain within 6%-10%.



(a)



(b)

Figure 9: Observed and expected 95% CL upper limits (a) on $\sigma \times B(H_5^\pm \rightarrow WZ)$ and (b) on the parameter $\sin\theta_H$ of the GM model as a function of $m_{H_5^\pm}$. The shaded region shows where the theoretical intrinsic width of the resonance would be larger than 5% or 10% of the mass.

9 Conclusions

A search was performed for resonant WZ production in fully leptonic final states (electrons and muons) using 139 fb^{-1} of $\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}$ pp collision data collected by the ATLAS experiment at the LHC. Two different production processes are considered, Drell-Yan and vector-boson fusion.

The data in the Drell-Yan selection are found to be consistent with Standard Model predictions. The results are used to derive upper limits at 95% confidence level on the cross-section times branching ratio for heavy vector triplet production in benchmark Model A (Model B) with coupling constant $g_V = 1$ ($g_V = 3$) as a function of the resonance mass, with no evidence of heavy vector resonance production for masses below 2.4 (2.5) TeV.

In the case of the VBF production process, limits on the production cross-section times branching ratio to WZ of a hypothetical resonance are obtained as a function of the mass for a heavy vector triplet or for a charged member of the fiveplet scalar in the Georgi–Machacek model. Values of the parameter $\sin \theta_H > 0.3$ are excluded for masses between 200 GeV and 1 TeV. The results show a local excess of events over the Standard Model expectations at a resonance mass of around 375 GeV. The local significances for signals of a heavy vector W' boson or a $H_5^\pm$ are 2.5 and 2.8 standard deviations respectively. The respective global significances calculated considering the look-elsewhere effect are 1.7 and 1.6 standard deviations respectively. With no evidence of heavy W' vector-resonance production, limits on the production times branching ratio for the heavy vector triplet VBF production process have been obtained as a function of mass.

Acknowledgments

We thank CERN for the very successful operation of the LHC, as well as the support staff from our institutions without whom ATLAS could not be operated efficiently.

We acknowledge the support of ANPCyT, Argentina; YerPhI, Armenia; ARC, Australia; BMWFW and FWF, Austria; ANAS, Azerbaijan; CNPq and FAPESP, Brazil; NSERC, NRC and CFI, Canada; CERN; ANID, Chile; CAS, MOST and NSFC, China; Minciencias, Colombia; MEYS CR, Czech Republic; DNRF and DNSRC, Denmark; IN2P3-CNRS and CEA-DRF/IRFU, France; SRNSFG, Georgia; BMBF, HGF and MPG, Germany; GSRI, Greece; RGC and Hong Kong SAR, China; ISF and Benoziyo Center, Israel; INFN, Italy; MEXT and JSPS, Japan; CNRST, Morocco; NWO, Netherlands; RCN, Norway; MEiN, Poland; FCT, Portugal; MNE/IFA, Romania; MESTD, Serbia; MSSR, Slovakia; ARRS and MIZŠ, Slovenia; DSI/NRF, South Africa; MICINN, Spain; SRC and Wallenberg Foundation, Sweden; SERI, SNSF and Cantons of Bern and Geneva, Switzerland; MOST, Taiwan; TENMAK, Türkiye; STFC, United Kingdom; DOE and NSF, United States of America. In addition, individual groups and members have received support from BCKDF, CANARIE, Compute Canada and CRC, Canada; PRIMUS 21/SCI/017 and UNCE SCI/013, Czech Republic; COST, ERC, ERDF, Horizon 2020 and Marie Skłodowska-Curie Actions, European Union; Investissements d’Avenir Labex, Investissements d’Avenir Idex and ANR, France; DFG and AvH Foundation, Germany; Herakleitos, Thales and Aristeia programmes co-financed by EU-ESF and the Greek NSRF, Greece; BSF-NSF and MINERVA, Israel; Norwegian Financial Mechanism 2014-2021, Norway; NCN and NAWA, Poland; La Caixa Banking Foundation, CERCA Programme Generalitat de Catalunya and PROMETEO and GenT Programmes Generalitat Valenciana, Spain; Göran Gustafssons Stiftelse, Sweden; The Royal Society and Leverhulme Trust, United Kingdom.

The crucial computing support from all WLCG partners is acknowledged gratefully, in particular from CERN, the ATLAS Tier-1 facilities at TRIUMF (Canada), NDGF (Denmark, Norway, Sweden), CC-IN2P3 (France), KIT/GridKA (Germany), INFN-CNAF (Italy), NL-T1 (Netherlands), PIC (Spain), ASGC (Taiwan), RAL (UK) and BNL (USA), the Tier-2 facilities worldwide and large non-WLCG resource providers. Major contributors of computing resources are listed in Ref. [[105](#)].

Appendix

For the vector-boson fusion analysis a cut-based selection was also developed, to facilitate reinterpretations of our results outside the ATLAS Collaboration and to serve in parallel to confirm the stability of the results obtained with the ANN.

The VBF cut-based signal region selection is built on top of the baseline WZ selection described in Section 5.1 by requiring events to have at least two VBF jets with $m_{jj} > 500$ GeV and rapidity separation $|\Delta y_{jj}| > 3.5$. The combined detector acceptance and signal selection efficiency $\mathcal{A} \times \epsilon$ of the cut-based VBF selection as a function of the mass of the VBF $H_5^\pm$ and of the HVT W' boson, relative to the generated signal events, is shown in Figure 10.




Figure 10: The acceptance times efficiency of VBF $H_5^\pm$ and HVT W' selection using a cut-based VBF selection is shown at different mass points for the individual channels $\mu^+\mu^-\mu^\pm$, $\mu^+\mu^-e^\pm$, $e^+e^-\mu^\pm$, $e^+e^-e^\pm$ and the sum of all channels. The error includes statistical and experimental systematic uncertainties.

Following the same strategy as the nominal analysis, two control regions are defined so as to constrain the WZ -QCD and ZZ background. The WZ -QCD VBF cut-based control region is defined by inverting the $|\Delta y_{jj}|$ requirement, and the nominal ZZ VBF control region is used. Figure 11 shows comparisons of the data and the expected background distributions. The background predictions are obtained from a background-only simultaneous fit to the VBF cut-based signal region and the WZ -QCD and ZZ VBF control regions.




Figure 11: Comparisons of the observed data and the expected background distributions of the WZ invariant mass using the cut based VBF selection are shown for (a) WZ -QCD VBF control region, (b) ZZ VBF control region and (c) the VBF signal region. The background predictions are obtained from a background-only simultaneous fit to the VBF cut-based signal region and the WZ -QCD and ZZ VBF control regions. For illustration, the expected distributions from an $H_5^\pm$ GM model resonance ($\sin \theta_H = 0.5$) with mass of 375 GeV and from an HVT W' (model A) of mass 600 GeV are shown in the signal region, with the latter predicted cross sections scaled by 10. The bottom panel show the ratio of the observed data to the background predictions. The uncertainty in the total background prediction, shown as a hashed area, combines statistical and systematic contributions.

Constraints on the production of heavy resonances are derived by repeating the fit to the signal-plus-background hypothesis for different signal models using the cut-based analysis. For the HVT model search, Figure 12 presents the observed and expected limits on $\sigma \times B(W' \rightarrow WZ)$ at 95% CL as a function of the W' mass. Masses below 370 GeV, 590 GeV, 895 GeV and 1100 GeV for HVT VBF production can be excluded for the HVT VBF model with $c_F = 0$ and $g_V c_H = 1.0, 2.0, 3.0$ and 4.0 , respectively. The sensitivity of the ANN-based analysis is better than that of the cut-based analysis thanks to the utilization of the neural network that better exploits the difference between signals and backgrounds. In the cut-based analysis the relative contribution of background is more important in the signal region, leading to overall higher expected and observed limits. In the region around 375 GeV in particular due to statistics fluctuations in the data both methods of analysis yield consistent observed limits.






Figure 12: Using the cut-based VBF selection, the observed and expected 95% CL upper limits on $\sigma \times B(W' \rightarrow WZ)$ for the VBF production of a W' boson in the HVT with parameter $c_F = 0$, as a function of its mass. The LO theory predictions for HVT VBF model with different values of the coupling parameters g_V and c_H are also shown.

For the $H_5^\pm$ GM search, observed and expected exclusion limits at 95% CL on $\sigma \times B(H_5^\pm \rightarrow WZ)$ and on the mixing parameter $\sin \theta_H$ are shown in Figure 13. The intrinsic width of the scalar resonance, for $\sin \theta_H = 0.5$, is narrower than the detector resolution in the mass region explored. The shaded regions show the parameter space where the $H_5^\pm$ width exceeds 5% and 10% of $m_{H_5^\pm}$. The expected limits extracted using the cut-based analysis are, for both models, between 30% and 50% less stringent than the ones extracted using the ANN signal region selection.



(a)



(b)

Figure 13: Using the cut-based VBF selection, the observed and expected 95% CL upper limits on (a) the $\sigma \times B(H_5^\pm \rightarrow WZ)$ and (b) the parameter $\sin \theta_H$ of the GM Model as a function of $m_{H_5^\pm}$. The shaded regions show where the theoretical intrinsic width of the resonance would be larger than 5% and 10% of the mass.

References

- [1] D. de Florian et al., *Handbook of LHC Higgs Cross Sections: 4. Deciphering the Nature of the Higgs Sector*, **vol. 2** (2016), arXiv: [1610.07922 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [2] I. P. Ivanov, *Building and testing models with extended Higgs sectors*, *Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys.* **95** (2017) 160, arXiv: [1702.03776 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [3] J. Stegmann, *Extended Scalar Sectors*, *Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.* **70** (2020) 197.
- [4] K. Agashe, R. Contino and A. Pomarol, *The minimal composite Higgs model*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **719** (2005) 165, arXiv: [hep-ph/0412089 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [5] G. Giudice, C. Grojean, A. Pomarol and R. Rattazzi, *The strongly-interacting light Higgs*, *JHEP* **06** (2007) 045, arXiv: [hep-ph/0703164 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [6] R. Foadi, M. T. Frandsen, T. A. Ryttov and F. Sannino, *Minimal Walking Technicolor: Set Up for Collider Physics*, *Phys. Rev. D* **76** (2007) 055005, arXiv: [0706.1696 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [7] L. Randall and R. Sundrum, *A Large Mass Hierarchy from a Small Extra Dimension*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **83** (1999) 3370, arXiv: [hep-ph/9905221](#).
- [8] C. Csaki, C. Grojean, H. Murayama, L. Pilo and J. Terning, *Gauge theories on an interval: Unitarity without a Higgs boson*, *Phys. Rev. D* **69** (2004) 055006, arXiv: [hep-ph/0305237 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [9] C. Csaki, C. Grojean and J. Terning, *Alternatives to an Elementary Higgs*, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **88** (2016) 045001, arXiv: [1512.00468 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [10] ATLAS Collaboration, *The ATLAS Experiment at the CERN Large Hadron Collider*, *JINST* **3** (2008) S08003.
- [11] A. Djouadi, *The anatomy of electroweak symmetry breaking Tome II: The Higgs bosons in the Minimal Supersymmetric Model*, *Phys. Rept.* **459** (2008) 1, arXiv: [hep-ph/0503173](#).
- [12] R. N. Mohapatra and J. C. Pati, *Left-right gauge symmetry and an "isoconjugate" model of CP violation*, *Phys. Rev. D* **11** (1975) 566.
- [13] N. Arkani-Hamed, A. G. Cohen, E. Katz and A. E. Nelson, *The Littlest Higgs*, *JHEP* **07** (2002) 034, arXiv: [hep-ph/0206021](#).
- [14] T. Han, H. E. Logan, B. McElrath and L.-T. Wang, *Phenomenology of the little Higgs model*, *Phys. Rev. D* **67** (2003) 095004, arXiv: [hep-ph/0301040](#).
- [15] H. Georgi and M. Machacek, *Doubly charged Higgs bosons*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **262** (1985) 463.
- [16] M. S. Chanowitz and M. Golden, *Higgs boson triplets with $M(W) = M(Z) \cos \theta_W$* , *Phys. Lett. B* **165** (1985) 105.
- [17] K. Hartling, K. Kumar and H. E. Logan, *Indirect constraints on the Georgi-Machacek model and implications for Higgs boson couplings*, *Phys. Rev. D* **91** (2015) 015013, arXiv: [1410.5538 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [18] J. de Blas, J. M. Lizana and M. Perez-Victoria, *Combining searches of Z' and W' bosons*, *JHEP* **01** (2013) 166, arXiv: [1211.2229 \[hep-ph\]](#).

- [19] D. Pappadopulo, A. Thamm, R. Torre and A. Wulzer, *Heavy vector triplets: bridging theory and data*, **JHEP** **09** (2014) 060, arXiv: [1402.4431 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [20] D. Greco and D. Liu, *Hunting composite vector resonances at the LHC: naturalness facing data*, **JHEP** **12** (2014) 126, arXiv: [1410.2883 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [21] M. S. Chanowitz and M. K. Gaillard, *The TeV physics of strongly interacting W's and Z's*, **Nucl. Phys. B** **261** (1985) 379.
- [22] ATLAS Collaboration, *Search for WZ resonances in the fully leptonic channel using pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV with the ATLAS detector*, **Phys. Lett. B** **737** (2014) 223, arXiv: [1406.4456 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [23] CMS Collaboration, *Search for new resonances decaying via WZ to leptons in proton–proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV*, **Phys. Lett. B** **740** (2015) 83, arXiv: [1407.3476 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [24] ATLAS Collaboration, *Search for resonant WZ production in the fully leptonic final state in proton–proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector*, **Phys. Lett. B** **787** (2018) 68, arXiv: [1806.01532 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [25] ATLAS Collaboration, *ATLAS Heavy Particle Searches - 95% CL Exclusion Limits*, 2021, URL: https://atlas.web.cern.ch/Atlas/GROUPS/PHYSICS/PUBNOTES/ATL-PHYS-PUB-2021-033/fig_01.png.
- [26] ATLAS Collaboration, *Summary of Diboson Resonance Searches from the ATLAS Experiment*, ATL-PHYS-PUB-2021-018, 2021, URL: <https://cds.cern.ch/record/2771783>.
- [27] CMS Collaboration, *Overview of CMS Diboson results*, 2022, URL: https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/CMSPublic/PhysicsResultsB2G#Summary_of_public_Diboson_result.
- [28] ATLAS Collaboration, *Combination of searches for WW, WZ, and ZZ resonances in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV with the ATLAS detector*, **Phys. Lett. B** **755** (2016) 285, arXiv: [1512.05099 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [29] ATLAS Collaboration, *Searches for heavy diboson resonances in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector*, **JHEP** **09** (2016) 173, arXiv: [1606.04833 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [30] ATLAS Collaboration, *Search for heavy diboson resonances in semileptonic final states in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector*, **Eur. Phys. J. C** **80** (2020) 1165, arXiv: [2004.14636 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [31] CMS Collaboration, *Combination of searches for heavy resonances decaying to WW, WZ, ZZ, WH, and ZH boson pairs in proton–proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV and 13 TeV*, **Phys. Lett. B** **774** (2017) 533, arXiv: [1705.09171 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [32] CMS Collaboration, *Combination of CMS searches for heavy resonances decaying to pairs of bosons or leptons*, **Phys. Lett. B** **798** (2019) 134952, arXiv: [1906.00057 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [33] CMS Collaboration, *Search for heavy resonances decaying to WW, WZ, or WH boson pairs in a final state of a lepton and a large jet in proton–proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV*, **Phys. Rev. D** **105** (2021) 032008, arXiv: [2109.06055 \[hep-ex\]](#).

- [34] ATLAS Collaboration, *Search for heavy resonances decaying into WW in the $e\nu\mu\nu$ final state in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **78** (2018) 24, arXiv: [1710.01123 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [35] CMS Collaboration, *Observation of Electroweak Production of Same-Sign W Boson Pairs in the Two Jet and Two Same-Sign Lepton Final State in Proton–Proton Collisions at 13 TeV*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120** (2018) 081801, arXiv: [1709.05822 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [36] CMS Collaboration, *Search for charged Higgs bosons produced in vector boson fusion processes and decaying into vector boson pairs in proton–proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **81** (2021) 723, arXiv: [2104.04762 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [37] ATLAS Collaboration, *Performance of the ATLAS trigger system in 2015*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **77** (2017) 317, arXiv: [1611.09661 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [38] ATLAS Collaboration, *The ATLAS Collaboration Software and Firmware*, ATL-SOFT-PUB-2021-001, 2021, URL: <https://cds.cern.ch/record/2767187>.
- [39] ATLAS Collaboration, *ATLAS data quality operations and performance for 2015–2018 data-taking*, *JINST* **15** (2020) P04003, arXiv: [1911.04632 \[physics.ins-det\]](#).
- [40] ATLAS Collaboration, *Performance of electron and photon triggers in ATLAS during LHC Run 2*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **80** (2020) 47, arXiv: [1909.00761 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [41] ATLAS Collaboration, *Performance of the ATLAS muon triggers in Run 2*, *JINST* **15** (2020) P09015, arXiv: [2004.13447 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [42] S. Agostinelli et al., *GEANT4: A simulation toolkit*, *Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A* **506** (2003) 250.
- [43] ATLAS Collaboration, *The ATLAS Simulation Infrastructure*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **70** (2010) 823, arXiv: [1005.4568 \[physics.ins-det\]](#).
- [44] ATLAS Collaboration, *The simulation principle and performance of the ATLAS fast calorimeter simulation FastCaloSim*, ATL-PHYS-PUB-2010-013, 2010, URL: <https://cds.cern.ch/record/1300517>.
- [45] T. Sjöstrand, S. Mrenna and P. Z. Skands, *A brief introduction to PYTHIA 8.1*, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **178** (2008) 852, arXiv: [0710.3820 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [46] R. D. Ball et al., *Parton distributions with LHC data*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **867** (2013) 244, arXiv: [1207.1303 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [47] ATLAS Collaboration, *The Pythia 8 A3 tune description of ATLAS minimum bias and inelastic measurements incorporating the Donnachie–Landshoff diffractive model*, ATL-PHYS-PUB-2016-017, 2016, URL: <https://cds.cern.ch/record/2206965>.
- [48] T. Gleisberg et al., *Event generation with SHERPA 1.1*, *JHEP* **02** (2009) 007, arXiv: [0811.4622 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [49] D. J. Lange, *The EvtGen particle decay simulation package*, *Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A* **462** (2001) 152.
- [50] J. Alwall et al., *The automated computation of tree-level and next-to-leading order differential cross sections, and their matching to parton shower simulations*, *JHEP* **07** (2014) 079, arXiv: [1405.0301 \[hep-ph\]](#).

- [51] C. Degrande, K. Hartling, H. E. Logan, A. D. Peterson and M. Zaro, *Automatic predictions in the Georgi-Machacek model at next-to-leading order accuracy*, [*Phys. Rev. D* **93** \(2016\) 035004](#), arXiv: [1512.01243 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [52] H. E. Logan and M. B. Reimer, *Characterizing a benchmark scenario for heavy Higgs boson searches in the Georgi-Machacek model*, [*Phys. Rev. D* **96** \(2017\) 095029](#), arXiv: [1709.01883 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [53] K. Hartling, K. Kumar and H. E. Logan, *GM CALC: a calculator for the Georgi-Machacek model*, (2014), arXiv: [1412.7387 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [54] R. D. Ball et al., *Parton distributions for the LHC run II*, [*JHEP* **04** \(2015\) 040](#), arXiv: [1410.8849 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [55] ATLAS Collaboration, *ATLAS Pythia 8 tunes to 7 TeV data*, ATL-PHYS-PUB-2014-021, 2014, URL: <https://cds.cern.ch/record/1966419>.
- [56] A. Ballestrero et al., *Precise predictions for same-sign W-boson scattering at the LHC*, [*Eur. Phys. J. C* **78** \(2018\) 671](#), arXiv: [1803.07943 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [57] V. Barger, W.-Y. Keung and E. Ma, *Gauge model with light W and Z bosons*, [*Phys. Rev. D* **22** \(1980\) 727](#).
- [58] R. Contino, D. Pappadopulo, D. Marzocca and R. Rattazzi, *On the effect of resonances in composite Higgs phenomenology*, [*JHEP* **10** \(2011\) 081](#), arXiv: [1109.1570 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [59] R. Frederix and S. Frixione, *Merging meets matching in MC@NLO*, [*JHEP* **12** \(2012\) 061](#), arXiv: [1209.6215 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [60] E. Bothmann et al., *Event generation with Sherpa 2.2*, [*SciPost Phys.* **7** \(2019\) 034](#), arXiv: [1905.09127 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [61] T. Gleisberg and S. Höche, *Comix, a new matrix element generator*, [*JHEP* **12** \(2008\) 039](#), arXiv: [0808.3674 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [62] S. Schumann and F. Krauss, *A parton shower algorithm based on Catani–Seymour dipole factorisation*, [*JHEP* **03** \(2008\) 038](#), arXiv: [0709.1027 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [63] S. Höche, F. Krauss, M. Schönherr and F. Siegert, *A critical appraisal of NLO+PS matching methods*, [*JHEP* **09** \(2012\) 049](#), arXiv: [1111.1220 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [64] S. Höche, F. Krauss, M. Schönherr and F. Siegert, *QCD matrix elements + parton showers. The NLO case*, [*JHEP* **04** \(2013\) 027](#), arXiv: [1207.5030 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [65] S. Catani, F. Krauss, B. R. Webber and R. Kuhn, *QCD Matrix Elements + Parton Showers*, [*JHEP* **11** \(2001\) 063](#), arXiv: [hep-ph/0109231](#).
- [66] S. Höche, F. Krauss, S. Schumann and F. Siegert, *QCD matrix elements and truncated showers*, [*JHEP* **05** \(2009\) 053](#), arXiv: [0903.1219 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [67] T. Sjöstrand et al., *An introduction to PYTHIA 8.2*, [*Comput. Phys. Commun.* **191** \(2015\) 159](#), arXiv: [1410.3012 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [68] P. Nason, *A new method for combining NLO QCD with shower Monte Carlo algorithms*, [*JHEP* **11** \(2004\) 040](#), arXiv: [hep-ph/0409146](#).

- [69] S. Frixione, P. Nason and C. Oleari, *Matching NLO QCD computations with parton shower simulations: the POWHEG method*, [*JHEP* **11** \(2007\) 070](#), arXiv: [0709.2092 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [70] S. Alioli, P. Nason, C. Oleari and E. Re, *A general framework for implementing NLO calculations in shower Monte Carlo programs: the POWHEG BOX*, [*JHEP* **06** \(2010\) 043](#), arXiv: [1002.2581 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [71] S. Alioli, P. Nason, C. Oleari and E. Re, *NLO vector-boson production matched with shower in POWHEG*, [*JHEP* **07** \(2008\) 060](#), arXiv: [0805.4802 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [72] ATLAS Collaboration, *Measurement of the Z/γ^* boson transverse momentum distribution in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV with the ATLAS detector*, [*JHEP* **09** \(2014\) 145](#), arXiv: [1406.3660 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [73] H.-L. Lai et al., *New parton distributions for collider physics*, [*Phys. Rev. D* **82** \(2010\) 074024](#), arXiv: [1007.2241 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [74] J. Pumplin et al., *New Generation of Parton Distributions with Uncertainties from Global QCD Analysis*, [*JHEP* **07** \(2002\) 012](#), arXiv: [hep-ph/0201195](#).
- [75] P. Golonka and Z. Was, *PHOTOS Monte Carlo: a precision tool for QED corrections in Z and W decays*, [*Eur. Phys. J. C* **45** \(2006\) 97](#), arXiv: [hep-ph/0506026](#).
- [76] N. Davidson, T. Przedzinski and Z. Was, *PHOTOS Interface in C++: Technical and physics documentation*, [*Comput. Phys. Commun.* **199** \(2016\) 86](#), arXiv: [1011.0937 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [77] S. Alioli, P. Nason, C. Oleari and E. Re, *NLO single-top production matched with shower in POWHEG: s- and t-channel contributions*, [*JHEP* **09** \(2009\) 111](#), arXiv: [0907.4076 \[hep-ph\]](#), Erratum: [*JHEP* **02** \(2010\) 011](#).
- [78] ATLAS Collaboration, *Electron and photon performance measurements with the ATLAS detector using the 2015–2017 LHC proton–proton collision data*, [*JINST* **14** \(2019\) P12006](#), arXiv: [1908.00005 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [79] ATLAS Collaboration, *Muon reconstruction performance of the ATLAS detector in proton–proton collision data at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV*, [*Eur. Phys. J. C* **76** \(2016\) 292](#), arXiv: [1603.05598 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [80] ATLAS Collaboration, *Muon reconstruction and identification efficiency in ATLAS using the full Run 2 pp collision data set at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV*, [*Eur. Phys. J. C* **81** \(2021\) 578](#), arXiv: [2012.00578 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [81] ATLAS Collaboration, *Jet reconstruction and performance using particle flow with the ATLAS Detector*, [*Eur. Phys. J. C* **77** \(2017\) 466](#), arXiv: [1703.10485 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [82] M. Cacciari, G. P. Salam and G. Soyez, *The anti- k_t jet clustering algorithm*, [*JHEP* **04** \(2008\) 063](#), arXiv: [0802.1189 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [83] M. Cacciari, G. P. Salam and G. Soyez, *FastJet user manual*, [*Eur. Phys. J. C* **72** \(2012\) 1896](#), arXiv: [1111.6097 \[hep-ph\]](#).

- [84] ATLAS Collaboration, *Performance of pile-up mitigation techniques for jets in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV using the ATLAS detector*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **76** (2016) 581, arXiv: 1510.03823 [hep-ex].
- [85] ATLAS Collaboration, *Tagging and suppression of pileup jets with the ATLAS detector*, ATLAS-CONF-2014-018, 2014, URL: <https://cds.cern.ch/record/1700870>.
- [86] ATLAS Collaboration, *ATLAS b-jet identification performance and efficiency measurement with $t\bar{t}$ events in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **79** (2019) 970, arXiv: 1907.05120 [hep-ex].
- [87] ATLAS Collaboration, *Measurement of the b-jet identification efficiency for high transverse momentum jets in $t\bar{t}$ events in the lepton + jets channel with the ATLAS detector using Run 2 data*, ATL-PHYS-PUB-2021-004, 2021, URL: <https://cds.cern.ch/record/2753734>.
- [88] ATLAS Collaboration, *Performance of missing transverse momentum reconstruction with the ATLAS detector using proton–proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **78** (2018) 903, arXiv: 1802.08168 [hep-ex].
- [89] F. Chollet et al., *Keras*, 2015, URL: <https://github.com/fchollet/keras>.
- [90] Martín Abadi et al., *TensorFlow: Large-Scale Machine Learning on Heterogeneous Systems*, Software available from tensorflow.org, 2015, URL: <https://www.tensorflow.org/>.
- [91] V. Nair and G. E. Hinton, ‘Rectified Linear Units Improve Restricted Boltzmann Machines’, *ICML’10: Proceedings of the 27th International Conference on International Conference on Machine Learning*, Madison, WI, USA: Omnipress, 2010 807, ISBN: 9781605589077.
- [92] I. Sutskever, J. Martens, G. Dahl and G. Hinton, ‘On the importance of initialization and momentum in deep learning’, *Proceedings of the 30th International Conference on Machine Learning*, ed. by S. Dasgupta and D. McAllester, vol. 28, Proceedings of Machine Learning Research 3, Atlanta, Georgia, USA: PMLR, 2013 1139.
- [93] ATLAS Collaboration, *Measurements of $W^{\pm}Z$ production cross sections in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV with the ATLAS detector and limits on anomalous gauge boson self-couplings*, *Phys. Rev. D* **93** (2016) 092004, arXiv: 1603.02151 [hep-ex].
- [94] J. Butterworth et al., *PDF4LHC recommendations for LHC Run II*, *J. Phys. G* **43** (2016) 023001, arXiv: 1510.03865 [hep-ph].
- [95] ATLAS Collaboration, *Multi-boson simulation for 13 TeV ATLAS analyses*, ATL-PHYS-PUB-2016-002, 2016, URL: <https://cds.cern.ch/record/2119986>.
- [96] ATLAS Collaboration, *Measurement of the $t\bar{t}Z$ and $t\bar{t}W$ cross sections in proton–proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector*, *Phys. Rev. D* **99** (2019) 072009, arXiv: 1901.03584 [hep-ex].
- [97] ATLAS Collaboration, *Evidence for the production of three massive vector bosons with the ATLAS detector*, *Phys. Lett. B* **798** (2019) 134913, arXiv: 1903.10415 [hep-ex].
- [98] ATLAS Collaboration, *Luminosity determination in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV using the ATLAS detector at the LHC*, ATLAS-CONF-2019-021, 2019, URL: <https://cds.cern.ch/record/2677054>.

- [99] G. Avoni et al., *The new LUCID-2 detector for luminosity measurement and monitoring in ATLAS*, [JINST **13** \(2018\) P07017](#).
- [100] ATLAS Collaboration, *Measurement of the Inelastic Proton–Proton Cross Section at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS Detector at the LHC*, [Phys. Rev. Lett. **117** \(2016\) 182002](#),
arXiv: [1606.02625 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [101] ATLAS Collaboration, *Jet energy scale measurements and their systematic uncertainties in proton–proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector*, [Phys. Rev. D **96** \(2017\) 072002](#),
arXiv: [1703.09665 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [102] G. Cowan, K. Cranmer, E. Gross and O. Vitells,
Asymptotic formulae for likelihood-based tests of new physics, [Eur. Phys. J. C **71** \(2011\) 1554](#),
arXiv: [1007.1727 \[physics.data-an\]](#), Erratum: [Eur. Phys. J. C **73** \(2013\) 2501](#).
- [103] A. L. Read, *Presentation of search results: the CL_s technique*, [J. Phys. G **28** \(2002\) 2693](#).
- [104] T. C. C. The ATLAS Collaboration and T. L. H. C. Group,
Procedure for the LHC Higgs boson search combination in summer 2011,
ATL-PHYS-PUB-2011-011, 2011, URL: <https://cds.cern.ch/record/1375842>.
- [105] *ATLAS Computing Acknowledgements*, tech. rep., CERN, 2021,
URL: <https://cds.cern.ch/record/2776662>.

The ATLAS Collaboration

G. Aad ¹⁰¹, B. Abbott ¹¹⁹, D.C. Abbott ¹⁰², K. Abeling ⁵⁵, S.H. Abidi ²⁹, A. Aboulhorma ^{35e}, H. Abramowicz ¹⁵⁰, H. Abreu ¹⁴⁹, Y. Abulaiti ¹¹⁶, A.C. Abusleme Hoffman ^{136a}, B.S. Acharya ^{68a,68b,o}, B. Achkar ⁵⁵, L. Adam ⁹⁹, C. Adam Bourdarios ⁴, L. Adamczyk ^{84a}, L. Adamek ¹⁵⁴, S.V. Addepalli ²⁶, J. Adelman ¹¹⁴, A. Adiguzel ^{21c}, S. Adorni ⁵⁶, T. Adye ¹³³, A.A. Affolder ¹³⁵, Y. Afik ³⁶, M.N. Agaras ¹³, J. Agarwala ^{72a,72b}, A. Aggarwal ⁹⁹, C. Agheorghiesei ^{27c}, J.A. Aguilar-Saavedra ^{129f}, A. Ahmad ³⁶, F. Ahmadov ^{38,y}, W.S. Ahmed ¹⁰³, X. Ai ⁴⁸, G. Aielli ^{75a,75b}, I. Aizenberg ¹⁶⁸, M. Akbiyik ⁹⁹, T.P.A. Åkesson ⁹⁷, A.V. Akimov ³⁷, K. Al Khoury ⁴¹, G.L. Alberghi ^{23b}, J. Albert ¹⁶⁴, P. Albicocco ⁵³, M.J. Alconada Verzini ⁸⁹, S. Alderweireldt ⁵², M. Aleksa ³⁶, I.N. Aleksandrov ³⁸, C. Alexa ^{27b}, T. Alexopoulos ¹⁰, A. Alfonsi ¹¹³, F. Alfonsi ^{23b}, M. Alhroob ¹¹⁹, B. Ali ¹³¹, S. Ali ¹⁴⁷, M. Aliev ³⁷, G. Alimonti ^{70a}, C. Allaire ³⁶, B.M.M. Allbrooke ¹⁴⁵, P.P. Allport ²⁰, A. Aloisio ^{71a,71b}, F. Alonso ⁸⁹, C. Alpigiani ¹³⁷, E. Alunno Camelia ^{75a,75b}, M. Alvarez Estevez ⁹⁸, M.G. Alvigi ^{71a,71b}, Y. Amaral Coutinho ^{81b}, A. Ambler ¹⁰³, C. Amelung ³⁶, C.G. Ames ¹⁰⁸, D. Amidei ¹⁰⁵, S.P. Amor Dos Santos ^{129a}, S. Amoroso ⁴⁸, K.R. Amos ¹⁶², C.S. Amrouche ⁵⁶, V. Ananiev ¹²⁴, C. Anastopoulos ¹³⁸, N. Andari ¹³⁴, T. Andeen ¹¹, J.K. Anders ¹⁹, S.Y. Andrean ^{47a,47b}, A. Andreazza ^{70a,70b}, S. Angelidakis ⁹, A. Angerami ^{41,ab}, A.V. Anisenkov ³⁷, A. Annovi ^{73a}, C. Antel ⁵⁶, M.T. Anthony ¹³⁸, E. Antipov ¹²⁰, M. Antonelli ⁵³, D.J.A. Antrim ^{17a}, F. Anulli ^{74a}, M. Aoki ⁸², J.A. Aparisi Pozo ¹⁶², M.A. Aparo ¹⁴⁵, L. Aperio Bella ⁴⁸, C. Appelt ¹⁸, N. Aranzabal ³⁶, V. Araujo Ferraz ^{81a}, C. Arcangeletti ⁵³, A.T.H. Arce ⁵¹, E. Arena ⁹¹, J-F. Arguin ¹⁰⁷, S. Argyropoulos ⁵⁴, J.-H. Arling ⁴⁸, A.J. Armbruster ³⁶, O. Arnaez ¹⁵⁴, H. Arnold ¹¹³, Z.P. Arrubarrena Tame ¹⁰⁸, G. Arttoni ^{74a,74b}, H. Asada ¹¹⁰, K. Asai ¹¹⁷, S. Asai ¹⁵², N.A. Asbah ⁶¹, E.M. Asimakopoulou ¹⁶⁰, J. Assahsah ^{35d}, K. Assamagan ²⁹, R. Astalos ^{28a}, R.J. Atkin ^{33a}, M. Atkinson ¹⁶¹, N.B. Atlay ¹⁸, H. Atmani ^{62b}, P.A. Atmasiddha ¹⁰⁵, K. Augsten ¹³¹, S. Auricchio ^{71a,71b}, A.D. Auriol ²⁰, V.A. Austrup ¹⁷⁰, G. Avner ¹⁴⁹, G. Avolio ³⁶, K. Axiotis ⁵⁶, M.K. Ayoub ^{14c}, G. Azuelos ^{107,ag}, D. Babal ^{28a}, H. Bachacou ¹³⁴, K. Bachas ^{151,r}, A. Bachi ³⁴, F. Backman ^{47a,47b}, A. Badea ⁶¹, P. Bagnaia ^{74a,74b}, M. Bahmani ¹⁸, A.J. Bailey ¹⁶², V.R. Bailey ¹⁶¹, J.T. Baines ¹³³, C. Bakalis ¹⁰, O.K. Baker ¹⁷¹, P.J. Bakker ¹¹³, E. Bakos ¹⁵, D. Bakshi Gupta ⁸, S. Balaji ¹⁴⁶, R. Balasubramanian ¹¹³, E.M. Baldin ³⁷, P. Balek ¹³², E. Ballabene ^{70a,70b}, F. Balli ¹³⁴, L.M. Baltes ^{63a}, W.K. Balunas ³², J. Balz ⁹⁹, E. Banas ⁸⁵, M. Bandieramonte ¹²⁸, A. Bandyopadhyay ²⁴, S. Bansal ²⁴, L. Barak ¹⁵⁰, E.L. Barberio ¹⁰⁴, D. Barberis ^{57b,57a}, M. Barbero ¹⁰¹, G. Barbour ⁹⁵, K.N. Barends ^{33a}, T. Barillari ¹⁰⁹, M-S. Barisits ³⁶, J. Barkeloo ¹²², T. Barklow ¹⁴², R.M. Barnett ^{17a}, P. Baron ¹²¹, D.A. Baron Moreno ¹⁰⁰, A. Baroncelli ^{62a}, G. Barone ²⁹, A.J. Barr ¹²⁵, L. Barranco Navarro ^{47a,47b}, F. Barreiro ⁹⁸, J. Barreiro Guimarães da Costa ^{14a}, U. Barron ¹⁵⁰, M.G. Barros Teixeira ^{129a}, S. Barsov ³⁷, F. Bartels ^{63a}, R. Bartoldus ¹⁴², A.E. Barton ⁹⁰, P. Bartos ^{28a}, A. Basalae ⁴⁸, A. Basan ⁹⁹, M. Baselga ⁴⁹, I. Bashta ^{76a,76b}, A. Bassalat ^{66,ad}, M.J. Basso ¹⁵⁴, C.R. Basson ¹⁰⁰, R.L. Bates ⁵⁹, S. Batlamous ^{35e}, J.R. Batley ³², B. Batool ¹⁴⁰, M. Battaglia ¹³⁵, M. Bause ^{74a,74b}, P. Bauer ²⁴, A. Bayirli ^{21a}, J.B. Beacham ⁵¹, T. Beau ¹²⁶, P.H. Beauchemin ¹⁵⁷, F. Becherer ⁵⁴, P. Bechtel ²⁴, H.P. Beck ^{19,q}, K. Becker ¹⁶⁶, C. Becot ⁴⁸, A.J. Beddall ^{21d}, V.A. Bednyakov ³⁸, C.P. Bee ¹⁴⁴, L.J. Beemster ¹⁵, T.A. Beermann ³⁶, M. Begalli ^{81b,81d}, M. Begel ²⁹, A. Behera ¹⁴⁴, J.K. Behr ⁴⁸, C. Beirao Da Cruz E Silva ³⁶, J.F. Beirer ^{55,36}, F. Beisiegel ²⁴, M. Belfkir ¹⁵⁸, G. Bella ¹⁵⁰, L. Bellagamba ^{23b}, A. Bellerive ³⁴, P. Bellos ²⁰, K. Beloborodov ³⁷, K. Belotskiy ³⁷, N.L. Belyaev ³⁷, D. Benckekroun ^{35a},

F. Bendebba ^{35a}, Y. Benhammou ¹⁵⁰, D.P. Benjamin ²⁹, M. Benoit ²⁹, J.R. Bensinger ²⁶, S. Bentvelsen ¹¹³, L. Beresford ³⁶, M. Beretta ⁵³, D. Berge ¹⁸, E. Bergeaas Kuutmann ¹⁶⁰, N. Berger ⁴, B. Bergmann ¹³¹, J. Beringer ^{17a}, S. Berlendis ⁷, G. Bernardi ⁵, C. Bernius ¹⁴², F.U. Bernlochner ²⁴, T. Berry ⁹⁴, P. Berta ¹³², A. Berthold ⁵⁰, I.A. Bertram ⁹⁰, O. Bessidskaia Bylund ¹⁷⁰, S. Bethke ¹⁰⁹, A. Betti ⁴⁴, A.J. Bevan ⁹³, M. Bhamjee ^{33c}, S. Bhatta ¹⁴⁴, D.S. Bhattacharya ¹⁶⁵, P. Bhattarai ²⁶, V.S. Bhopatkar ⁶, R. Bi ¹²⁸, R. Bi ^{29,aj}, R.M. Bianchi ¹²⁸, O. Biebel ¹⁰⁸, R. Bielski ¹²², N.V. Biesuz ^{73a,73b}, M. Biglietti ^{76a}, T.R.V. Billoud ¹³¹, M. Bindi ⁵⁵, A. Bingul ^{21b}, C. Bini ^{74a,74b}, S. Biondi ^{23b,23a}, A. Biondini ⁹¹, C.J. Birch-sykes ¹⁰⁰, G.A. Bird ^{20,133}, M. Birman ¹⁶⁸, T. Bisanz ³⁶, D. Biswas ^{169,k}, A. Bitadze ¹⁰⁰, K. Bjørke ¹²⁴, I. Bloch ⁴⁸, C. Blocker ²⁶, A. Blue ⁵⁹, U. Blumenschein ⁹³, J. Blumenthal ⁹⁹, G.J. Bobbink ¹¹³, V.S. Bobrovnikov ³⁷, M. Boehler ⁵⁴, D. Bogavac ³⁶, A.G. Bogdanchikov ³⁷, C. Bohm ^{47a}, V. Boisvert ⁹⁴, P. Bokaň ⁴⁸, T. Bold ^{84a}, M. Bomben ⁵, M. Bona ⁹³, M. Boonekamp ¹³⁴, C.D. Booth ⁹⁴, A.G. Borbély ⁵⁹, H.M. Borecka-Bielska ¹⁰⁷, L.S. Borgna ⁹⁵, G. Borissov ⁹⁰, D. Bortoletto ¹²⁵, D. Boscherini ^{23b}, M. Bosman ¹³, J.D. Bossio Sola ³⁶, K. Bouaouda ^{35a}, J. Boudreau ¹²⁸, E.V. Bouhova-Thacker ⁹⁰, D. Boumediene ⁴⁰, R. Bouquet ⁵, A. Boveia ¹¹⁸, J. Boyd ³⁶, D. Boye ²⁹, I.R. Boyko ³⁸, J. Bracinik ²⁰, N. Brahimi ^{62d,62c}, G. Brandt ¹⁷⁰, O. Brandt ³², F. Braren ⁴⁸, B. Brau ¹⁰², J.E. Brau ¹²², W.D. Breaden Madden ⁵⁹, K. Brendlinger ⁴⁸, R. Brenner ¹⁶⁸, L. Brenner ³⁶, R. Brenner ¹⁶⁰, S. Bressler ¹⁶⁸, B. Brickwedde ⁹⁹, D. Britton ⁵⁹, D. Britzger ¹⁰⁹, I. Brock ²⁴, G. Brooijmans ⁴¹, W.K. Brooks ^{136f}, E. Brost ²⁹, P.A. Bruckman de Renstrom ⁸⁵, B. Brüers ⁴⁸, D. Bruncko ^{28b,*}, A. Bruni ^{23b}, G. Bruni ^{23b}, M. Bruschi ^{23b}, N. Brusino ^{74a,74b}, L. Bryngemark ¹⁴², T. Buanes ¹⁶, Q. Buat ¹³⁷, P. Buchholz ¹⁴⁰, A.G. Buckley ⁵⁹, I.A. Budagov ^{38,*}, M.K. Bugge ¹²⁴, O. Bulekov ³⁷, B.A. Bullard ⁶¹, S. Burdin ⁹¹, C.D. Burgard ⁴⁸, A.M. Burger ⁴⁰, B. Burghgrave ⁸, J.T.P. Burr ³², C.D. Burton ¹¹, J.C. Burzynski ¹⁴¹, E.L. Busch ⁴¹, V. Büscher ⁹⁹, P.J. Bussey ⁵⁹, J.M. Butler ²⁵, C.M. Buttar ⁵⁹, J.M. Butterworth ⁹⁵, W. Buttinger ¹³³, C.J. Buxo Vazquez ¹⁰⁶, A.R. Buzykaev ³⁷, G. Cabras ^{23b}, S. Cabrera Urbán ¹⁶², D. Caforio ⁵⁸, H. Cai ¹²⁸, Y. Cai ^{14a,14d}, V.M.M. Cairo ³⁶, O. Cakir ^{3a}, N. Calace ³⁶, P. Calafiura ^{17a}, G. Calderini ¹²⁶, P. Calfayan ⁶⁷, G. Callea ⁵⁹, L.P. Caloba ^{81b}, D. Calvet ⁴⁰, S. Calvet ⁴⁰, T.P. Calvet ¹⁰¹, M. Calvetti ^{73a,73b}, R. Camacho Toro ¹²⁶, S. Camarda ³⁶, D. Camarero Munoz ⁹⁸, P. Camarri ^{75a,75b}, M.T. Camerlingo ^{76a,76b}, D. Cameron ¹²⁴, C. Camincher ¹⁶⁴, M. Campanelli ⁹⁵, A. Camplani ⁴², V. Canale ^{71a,71b}, A. Canesse ¹⁰³, M. Cano Bret ⁷⁹, J. Cantero ¹⁶², Y. Cao ¹⁶¹, F. Capocasa ²⁶, M. Capua ^{43b,43a}, A. Carbone ^{70a,70b}, R. Cardarelli ^{75a}, J.C.J. Cardenas ⁸, F. Cardillo ¹⁶², T. Carli ³⁶, G. Carlino ^{71a}, B.T. Carlson ^{128,s}, E.M. Carlson ^{164,155a}, L. Carminati ^{70a,70b}, M. Carnesale ^{74a,74b}, S. Caron ¹¹², E. Carquin ^{136f}, S. Carrá ^{70a,70b}, G. Carratta ^{23b,23a}, F. Carrio Argos ^{33g}, J.W.S. Carter ¹⁵⁴, T.M. Carter ⁵², M.P. Casado ^{13,h}, A.F. Casha ¹⁵⁴, E.G. Castiglia ¹⁷¹, F.L. Castillo ^{63a}, L. Castillo Garcia ¹³, V. Castillo Gimenez ¹⁶², N.F. Castro ^{129a,129e}, A. Catinaccio ³⁶, J.R. Catmore ¹²⁴, V. Cavaliere ²⁹, N. Cavalli ^{23b,23a}, V. Cavašinni ^{73a,73b}, E. Celebi ^{21a}, F. Celli ¹²⁵, M.S. Centonze ^{69a,69b}, K. Cerny ¹²¹, A.S. Cerqueira ^{81a}, A. Cerri ¹⁴⁵, L. Cerrito ^{75a,75b}, F. Cerutti ^{17a}, A. Cervelli ^{23b}, S.A. Cetin ^{21d}, Z. Chadi ^{35a}, D. Chakraborty ¹¹⁴, M. Chala ^{129f}, J. Chan ¹⁶⁹, W.S. Chan ¹¹³, W.Y. Chan ¹⁵², J.D. Chapman ³², B. Chargeishvili ^{148b}, D.G. Charlton ²⁰, T.P. Charman ⁹³, M. Chatterjee ¹⁹, S. Chekanov ⁶, S.V. Chekulaev ^{155a}, G.A. Chelkov ^{38,a}, A. Chen ¹⁰⁵, B. Chen ¹⁵⁰, B. Chen ¹⁶⁴, C. Chen ^{62a}, H. Chen ^{14c}, H. Chen ²⁹, J. Chen ^{62c}, J. Chen ²⁶, S. Chen ¹⁵², S.J. Chen ^{14c}, X. Chen ^{62c}, X. Chen ^{14b,af}, Y. Chen ^{62a}, C.L. Cheng ¹⁶⁹, H.C. Cheng ^{64a}, A. Cheplakov ³⁸, E. Cheremushkina ⁴⁸, E. Cherepanova ¹¹³, R. Cherkaoui El Moursli ^{35e}, E. Cheu ⁷, K. Cheung ⁶⁵, L. Chevalier ¹³⁴, V. Chiarella ⁵³, G. Chiarelli ^{73a}, G. Chiodini ^{69a}, A.S. Chisholm ²⁰,

A. Chitan ^{27b}, Y.H. Chiu ¹⁶⁴, M.V. Chizhov ³⁸, K. Choi ¹¹, A.R. Chomont ^{74a,74b}, Y. Chou ¹⁰²,
 E.Y.S. Chow ¹¹³, T. Chowdhury ^{33g}, L.D. Christopher ^{33g}, K.L. Chu ^{64a}, M.C. Chu ^{64a},
 X. Chu ^{14a,14d}, J. Chudoba ¹³⁰, J.J. Chwastowski ⁸⁵, D. Cieri ¹⁰⁹, K.M. Ciesla ^{84a}, V. Cindro ⁹²,
 A. Ciocio ^{17a}, F. Cirotto ^{71a,71b}, Z.H. Citron ^{168,1}, M. Citterio ^{70a}, D.A. Ciubotaru ^{27b},
 B.M. Ciungu ¹⁵⁴, A. Clark ⁵⁶, P.J. Clark ⁵², J.M. Clavijo Columbie ⁴⁸, S.E. Clawson ¹⁰⁰,
 C. Clement ^{47a,47b}, J. Clercx ⁴⁸, L. Clissa ^{23b,23a}, Y. Coadou ¹⁰¹, M. Cobal ^{68a,68c},
 A. Coccaro ^{57b}, R.F. Coelho Barrue ^{129a}, R. Coelho Lopes De Sa ¹⁰², S. Coelli ^{70a}, H. Cohen ¹⁵⁰,
 A.E.C. Coimbra ^{70a,70b}, B. Cole ⁴¹, J. Collot ⁶⁰, P. Conde Muño ^{129a,129g}, S.H. Connell ^{33c},
 I.A. Connelly ⁵⁹, E.I. Conroy ¹²⁵, F. Conventi ^{71a,ah}, H.G. Cooke ²⁰, A.M. Cooper-Sarkar ¹²⁵,
 F. Cormier ¹⁶³, L.D. Corpe ³⁶, M. Corradi ^{74a,74b}, E.E. Corrigan ⁹⁷, F. Corriveau ^{103,x},
 A. Cortes-Gonzalez ¹⁸, M.J. Costa ¹⁶², F. Costanza ⁴, D. Costanzo ¹³⁸, B.M. Cote ¹¹⁸,
 G. Cowan ⁹⁴, J.W. Cowley ³², K. Cranmer ¹¹⁶, S. Crépe-Renaudin ⁶⁰, F. Crescioli ¹²⁶,
 M. Cristinziani ¹⁴⁰, M. Cristoforetti ^{77a,77b,c}, V. Croft ¹⁵⁷, G. Crosetti ^{43b,43a}, A. Cueto ³⁶,
 T. Cuhadar Donszelmann ¹⁵⁹, H. Cui ^{14a,14d}, Z. Cui ⁷, A.R. Cukierman ¹⁴², W.R. Cunningham ⁵⁹,
 F. Curcio ^{43b,43a}, P. Czodrowski ³⁶, M.M. Czurylo ^{63b}, M.J. Da Cunha Sargedas De Sousa ^{62a},
 J.V. Da Fonseca Pinto ^{81b}, C. Da Via ¹⁰⁰, W. Dabrowski ^{84a}, T. Dado ⁴⁹, S. Dahbi ^{33g},
 T. Dai ¹⁰⁵, C. Dallapiccola ¹⁰², M. Dam ⁴², G. D'amen ²⁹, V. D'Amico ^{76a,76b}, J. Damp ⁹⁹,
 J.R. Dandoy ¹²⁷, M.F. Daneri ³⁰, M. Danninger ¹⁴¹, V. Dao ³⁶, G. Darbo ^{57b}, S. Darmora ⁶,
 S.J. Das ^{29,aj}, A. Dattagupta ¹²², S. D'Auria ^{70a,70b}, C. David ^{155b}, T. Davidek ¹³²,
 D.R. Davis ⁵¹, B. Davis-Purcell ³⁴, I. Dawson ⁹³, K. De ⁸, R. De Asmundis ^{71a},
 M. De Beurs ¹¹³, S. De Castro ^{23b,23a}, N. De Groot ¹¹², P. de Jong ¹¹³, H. De la Torre ¹⁰⁶,
 A. De Maria ^{14c}, A. De Salvo ^{74a}, U. De Sanctis ^{75a,75b}, M. De Santis ^{75a,75b}, A. De Santo ¹⁴⁵,
 J.B. De Vivie De Regie ⁶⁰, D.V. Dedovich ³⁸, J. Degens ¹¹³, A.M. Deiana ⁴⁴, F. Del Corso ^{23b,23a},
 J. Del Peso ⁹⁸, F. Del Rio ^{63a}, F. Deliot ¹³⁴, C.M. Delitzsch ⁴⁹, M. Della Pietra ^{71a,71b},
 D. Della Volpe ⁵⁶, A. Dell'Acqua ³⁶, L. Dell'Asta ^{70a,70b}, M. Delmastro ⁴, P.A. Delsart ⁶⁰,
 S. Demers ¹⁷¹, M. Demichev ³⁸, S.P. Denisov ³⁷, L. D'Eramo ¹¹⁴, D. Derendarz ⁸⁵,
 F. Derue ¹²⁶, P. Dervan ⁹¹, K. Desch ²⁴, K. Dette ¹⁵⁴, C. Deutsch ²⁴, P.O. Deviveiros ³⁶,
 F.A. Di Bello ^{74a,74b}, A. Di Ciaccio ^{75a,75b}, L. Di Ciaccio ⁴, A. Di Domenico ^{74a,74b},
 C. Di Donato ^{71a,71b}, A. Di Girolamo ³⁶, G. Di Gregorio ^{73a,73b}, A. Di Luca ^{77a,77b},
 B. Di Micco ^{76a,76b}, R. Di Nardo ^{76a,76b}, C. Diaconu ¹⁰¹, F.A. Dias ¹¹³, T. Dias Do Vale ¹⁴¹,
 M.A. Diaz ^{136a,136b}, F.G. Diaz Capriles ²⁴, M. Didenko ¹⁶², E.B. Diehl ¹⁰⁵, L. Diehl ⁵⁴,
 S. Díez Cornell ⁴⁸, C. Diez Pardos ¹⁴⁰, C. Dimitriadi ^{24,160}, A. Dimitrievska ^{17a}, W. Ding ^{14b},
 J. Dingfelder ²⁴, I-M. Dinu ^{27b}, S.J. Dittmeier ^{63b}, F. Dittus ³⁶, F. Djama ¹⁰¹, T. Djobava ^{148b},
 J.I. Djuvsland ¹⁶, D. Dodsworth ²⁶, C. Doglioni ^{100,97}, J. Dolejsi ¹³², Z. Dolezal ¹³²,
 M. Donadelli ^{81c}, B. Dong ^{62c}, J. Donini ⁴⁰, A. D'Onofrio ^{14c}, M. D'Onofrio ⁹¹, J. Dopke ¹³³,
 A. Doria ^{71a}, M.T. Dova ⁸⁹, A.T. Doyle ⁵⁹, M.A. Draguet ¹²⁵, E. Drechsler ¹⁴¹, E. Dreyer ¹⁶⁸,
 I. Drivas-koulouris ¹⁰, A.S. Drobac ¹⁵⁷, D. Du ^{62a}, T.A. du Pree ¹¹³, F. Dubinin ³⁷,
 M. Dubovsky ^{28a}, E. Duchovni ¹⁶⁸, G. Duckeck ¹⁰⁸, O.A. Ducu ³⁶, D. Duda ¹⁰⁹, A. Dudarev ³⁶,
 M. D'uffizi ¹⁰⁰, L. Duflot ⁶⁶, M. Dührssen ³⁶, C. Dülse ¹⁷⁰, A.E. Dumitriu ^{27b}, M. Dunford ^{63a},
 S. Dungs ⁴⁹, K. Dunne ^{47a,47b}, A. Duperrin ¹⁰¹, H. Duran Yildiz ^{3a}, M. Düren ⁵⁸,
 A. Durglishvili ^{148b}, B.L. Dwyer ¹¹⁴, G.I. Dyckes ^{17a}, M. Dyndal ^{84a}, S. Dysch ¹⁰⁰,
 B.S. Dziedzic ⁸⁵, Z.O. Earnshaw ¹⁴⁵, B. Eckerova ^{28a}, M.G. Eggleston ⁵¹,
 E. Egidio Purcino De Souza ^{81b}, L.F. Ehrke ⁵⁶, G. Eigen ¹⁶, K. Einsweiler ^{17a}, T. Ekelof ¹⁶⁰,
 P.A. Ekman ⁹⁷, Y. El Ghazali ^{35b}, H. El Jarrari ^{35e,147}, A. El Moussaouy ^{35a}, V. Ellajosyula ¹⁶⁰,
 M. Ellert ¹⁶⁰, F. Ellinghaus ¹⁷⁰, A.A. Elliot ⁹³, N. Ellis ³⁶, J. Elmsheuser ²⁹, M. Elsing ³⁶,
 D. Emelianov ¹³³, A. Emerman ⁴¹, Y. Enari ¹⁵², I. Ene ^{17a}, S. Epari ¹³, J. Erdmann ⁴⁹,
 A. Ereditato ¹⁹, P.A. Erland ⁸⁵, M. Errenst ¹⁷⁰, M. Escalier ⁶⁶, C. Escobar ¹⁶², E. Etzion ¹⁵⁰,

G. Evans ^{id129a}, H. Evans ^{id67}, M.O. Evans ^{id145}, A. Ezhilov ^{id37}, S. Ezzarqtouni ^{id35a}, F. Fabbri ^{id59}, L. Fabbri ^{id23b,23a}, G. Facini ^{id95}, V. Fadeyev ^{id135}, R.M. Fakhrutdinov ^{id37}, S. Falciano ^{id74a}, P.J. Falke ^{id24}, S. Falke ^{id36}, J. Faltova ^{id132}, Y. Fan ^{id14a}, Y. Fang ^{id14a,14d}, G. Fanourakis ^{id46}, M. Fanti ^{id70a,70b}, M. Faraj ^{id68a,68b}, A. Farbin ^{id8}, A. Farilla ^{id76a}, T. Farooque ^{id106}, S.M. Farrington ^{id52}, F. Fassi ^{id35e}, D. Fassouliotis ^{id9}, M. Faucci Giannelli ^{id75a,75b}, W.J. Fawcett ^{id32}, L. Fayard ^{id66}, O.L. Fedin ^{id37,a}, G. Fedotov ^{id37}, M. Feickert ^{id161}, L. Feligioni ^{id101}, A. Fell ^{id138}, D.E. Fellers ^{id122}, C. Feng ^{id62b}, M. Feng ^{id14b}, M.J. Fenton ^{id159}, A.B. Fenyuk ^{id37}, L. Ferencz ^{id48}, S.W. Ferguson ^{id45}, J. Ferrando ^{id48}, A. Ferrari ^{id160}, P. Ferrari ^{id113}, R. Ferrari ^{id72a}, D. Ferrere ^{id56}, C. Ferretti ^{id105}, F. Fiedler ^{id99}, A. Filipčič ^{id92}, E.K. Filmer ^{id1}, F. Filthaut ^{id112}, M.C.N. Fiolhais ^{id129a,129c,b}, L. Fiorini ^{id162}, F. Fischer ^{id140}, W.C. Fisher ^{id106}, T. Fitschen ^{id20,66}, I. Fleck ^{id140}, P. Fleischmann ^{id105}, T. Flick ^{id170}, L. Flores ^{id127}, M. Flores ^{id33d,ac}, L.R. Flores Castillo ^{id64a}, F.M. Follega ^{id77a,77b}, N. Fomin ^{id16}, J.H. Foo ^{id154}, B.C. Forland ^{id67}, A. Formica ^{id134}, A.C. Forti ^{id100}, E. Fortin ^{id101}, A.W. Fortman ^{id61}, M.G. Foti ^{id17a}, L. Fountas ^{id9,i}, D. Fournier ^{id66}, H. Fox ^{id90}, P. Francavilla ^{id73a,73b}, S. Francescato ^{id61}, M. Franchini ^{id23b,23a}, S. Franchino ^{id63a}, D. Francis ^{id36}, L. Franco ^{id112}, L. Franconi ^{id19}, M. Franklin ^{id61}, G. Frattari ^{id26}, A.C. Freegard ^{id93}, P.M. Freeman ^{id20}, W.S. Freund ^{id81b}, N. Fritzsche ^{id50}, A. Froch ^{id54}, D. Froidevaux ^{id36}, J.A. Frost ^{id125}, Y. Fu ^{id62a}, M. Fujimoto ^{id117}, E. Fullana Torregrosa ^{id162,*}, J. Fuster ^{id162}, A. Gabrielli ^{id23b,23a}, A. Gabrielli ^{id36}, P. Gadow ^{id48}, G. Gagliardi ^{id57b,57a}, L.G. Gagnon ^{id17a}, G.E. Gallardo ^{id125}, E.J. Gallas ^{id125}, B.J. Gallop ^{id133}, R. Gamboa Goni ^{id93}, K.K. Gan ^{id118}, S. Ganguly ^{id152}, J. Gao ^{id62a}, Y. Gao ^{id52}, F.M. Garay Walls ^{id136a,136b}, B. Garcia ^{id29,aj}, C. García ^{id162}, J.E. García Navarro ^{id162}, J.A. García Pascual ^{id14a}, M. Garcia-Sciveres ^{id17a}, R.W. Gardner ^{id39}, D. Garg ^{id79}, R.B. Garg ^{id142,p}, S. Gargiulo ^{id54}, C.A. Garner ^{id154}, V. Garonne ^{id29}, S.J. Gasiorowski ^{id137}, P. Gaspar ^{id81b}, G. Gaudio ^{id72a}, V. Gautam ^{id13}, P. Gauzzi ^{id74a,74b}, I.L. Gavrilenko ^{id37}, A. Gavrilyuk ^{id37}, C. Gay ^{id163}, G. Gaycken ^{id48}, E.N. Gazis ^{id10}, A.A. Geanta ^{id27b}, C.M. Gee ^{id135}, J. Geisen ^{id97}, M. Geisen ^{id99}, C. Gemme ^{id57b}, M.H. Genest ^{id60}, S. Gentile ^{id74a,74b}, S. George ^{id94}, W.F. George ^{id20}, T. Gerialis ^{id46}, L.O. Gerlach ^{id55}, P. Gessinger-Befurt ^{id36}, M. Ghasemi Bostanabad ^{id164}, M. Ghneimat ^{id140}, A. Ghosal ^{id140}, A. Ghosh ^{id159}, A. Ghosh ^{id7}, B. Giacobbe ^{id23b}, S. Giagu ^{id74a,74b}, N. Giangiacomi ^{id154}, P. Giannetti ^{id73a}, A. Giannini ^{id62a}, S.M. Gibson ^{id94}, M. Gignac ^{id135}, D.T. Gil ^{id84b}, A.K. Gilbert ^{id84a}, B.J. Gilbert ^{id41}, D. Gillberg ^{id34}, G. Gilles ^{id113}, N.E.K. Gillwald ^{id48}, L. Ginabat ^{id126}, D.M. Gingrich ^{id2,ag}, M.P. Giordani ^{id68a,68c}, P.F. Giraud ^{id134}, G. Giugliarelli ^{id68a,68c}, D. Giugni ^{id70a}, F. Giuli ^{id36}, I. Gkialas ^{id9,i}, L.K. Gladilin ^{id37}, C. Glasman ^{id98}, G.R. Gledhill ^{id122}, M. Glisic ^{id122}, I. Gnesi ^{id43b,e}, Y. Go ^{id29,aj}, M. Goblirsch-Kolb ^{id26}, D. Godin ^{id107}, S. Goldfarb ^{id104}, T. Golling ^{id56}, M.G.D. Gololo ^{id33g}, D. Golubkov ^{id37}, J.P. Gombas ^{id106}, A. Gomes ^{id129a,129b}, G. Gomes Da Silva ^{id140}, A.J. Gomez Delegido ^{id162}, R. Goncalves Gama ^{id55}, R. Gonçalves ^{id129a,129c}, G. Gonella ^{id122}, L. Gonella ^{id20}, A. Gongadze ^{id38}, F. Gonnella ^{id20}, J.L. Gonski ^{id41}, R.Y. González Andana ^{id52}, S. González de la Hoz ^{id162}, S. Gonzalez Fernandez ^{id13}, R. Gonzalez Lopez ^{id91}, C. Gonzalez Renteria ^{id17a}, R. Gonzalez Suarez ^{id160}, S. Gonzalez-Sevilla ^{id56}, G.R. Gonzalvo Rodriguez ^{id162}, L. Goossens ^{id36}, N.A. Gorasia ^{id20}, P.A. Gorbounov ^{id37}, B. Gorini ^{id36}, E. Gorini ^{id69a,69b}, A. Gorišek ^{id92}, A.T. Goshaw ^{id51}, M.I. Gostkin ^{id38}, C.A. Gottardo ^{id112}, M. Goughri ^{id35b}, V. Goumarre ^{id48}, A.G. Goussiou ^{id137}, N. Govender ^{id33c}, C. Goy ^{id4}, I. Grabowska-Bold ^{id84a}, K. Graham ^{id34}, E. Gramstad ^{id124}, S. Grancagnolo ^{id18}, M. Grandi ^{id145}, V. Gratchev ^{id37,*}, P.M. Gravila ^{id27f}, F.G. Gravili ^{id69a,69b}, H.M. Gray ^{id17a}, M. Greco ^{id69a,69b}, C. Grefe ^{id24}, I.M. Gregor ^{id48}, P. Grenier ^{id142}, C. Grieco ^{id13}, A.A. Grillo ^{id135}, K. Grimm ^{id31,m}, S. Grinstein ^{id13,u}, J.-F. Grivaz ^{id66}, E. Gross ^{id168}, J. Grosse-Knetter ^{id55}, C. Grud ^{id105}, A. Grummer ^{id111}, J.C. Grundy ^{id125}, L. Guan ^{id105}, W. Guan ^{id169}, C. Gubbels ^{id163}, J.G.R. Guerrero Rojas ^{id162}, G. Guerrieri ^{id68a,68c}, F. Guescini ^{id109}, R. Gugel ^{id99}, J.A.M. Guhit ^{id105}, A. Guida ^{id48}, T. Guillemin ^{id4}, E. Guilloton ^{id166,133}, S. Guindon ^{id36}, F. Guo ^{id14a,14d}, J. Guo ^{id62c}, L. Guo ^{id66}, Y. Guo ^{id105},

R. Gupta ⁴⁸, S. Gurbuz ²⁴, S.S. Gurdasani ⁵⁴, G. Gustavino ³⁶, M. Guth ⁵⁶, P. Gutierrez ¹¹⁹, L.F. Gutierrez Zagazeta ¹²⁷, C. Gutschow ⁹⁵, C. Guyot ¹³⁴, C. Gwenlan ¹²⁵, C.B. Gwilliam ⁹¹, E.S. Haaland ¹²⁴, A. Haas ¹¹⁶, M. Habedank ⁴⁸, C. Haber ^{17a}, H.K. Hadavand ⁸, A. Hadeif ⁹⁹, S. Hadzic ¹⁰⁹, M. Haleem ¹⁶⁵, J. Haley ¹²⁰, J.J. Hall ¹³⁸, G.D. Hallowell ¹⁰¹, L. Halser ¹⁹, K. Hamano ¹⁶⁴, H. Hamdaoui ^{35e}, M. Hamer ²⁴, G.N. Hamity ⁵², J. Han ^{62b}, K. Han ^{62a}, L. Han ^{14c}, L. Han ^{62a}, S. Han ^{17a}, Y.F. Han ¹⁵⁴, K. Hanagaki ⁸², M. Hance ¹³⁵, D.A. Hangal ^{41,ab}, M.D. Hank ³⁹, R. Hankache ¹⁰⁰, J.B. Hansen ⁴², J.D. Hansen ⁴², P.H. Hansen ⁴², K. Hara ¹⁵⁶, D. Harada ⁵⁶, T. Harenberg ¹⁷⁰, S. Harkusha ³⁷, Y.T. Harris ¹²⁵, P.F. Harrison ¹⁶⁶, N.M. Hartman ¹⁴², N.M. Hartmann ¹⁰⁸, Y. Hasegawa ¹³⁹, A. Hasib ⁵², S. Haug ¹⁹, R. Hauser ¹⁰⁶, M. Havranek ¹³¹, C.M. Hawkes ²⁰, R.J. Hawkins ³⁶, S. Hayashida ¹¹⁰, D. Hayden ¹⁰⁶, C. Hayes ¹⁰⁵, R.L. Hayes ¹⁶³, C.P. Hays ¹²⁵, J.M. Hays ⁹³, H.S. Hayward ⁹¹, F. He ^{62a}, Y. He ¹⁵³, Y. He ¹²⁶, M.P. Heath ⁵², V. Hedberg ⁹⁷, A.L. Heggelund ¹²⁴, N.D. Hehir ⁹³, C. Heidegger ⁵⁴, K.K. Heidegger ⁵⁴, W.D. Heidorn ⁸⁰, J. Heilman ³⁴, S. Heim ⁴⁸, T. Heim ^{17a}, J.G. Heinlein ¹²⁷, J.J. Heinrich ¹²², L. Heinrich ³⁶, J. Hejbal ¹³⁰, L. Helary ⁴⁸, A. Held ¹¹⁶, S. Hellesund ¹²⁴, C.M. Helling ¹⁶³, S. Hellman ^{47a,47b}, C. Helsen ³⁶, R.C.W. Henderson ⁹⁰, L. Henkelmann ³², A.M. Henriques Correia ³⁶, H. Herde ¹⁴², Y. Hernández Jiménez ¹⁴⁴, H. Herr ⁹⁹, M.G. Herrmann ¹⁰⁸, T. Herrmann ⁵⁰, G. Herten ⁵⁴, R. Hertenberger ¹⁰⁸, L. Hervás ³⁶, N.P. Hessey ^{155a}, H. Hibi ⁸³, E. Higón-Rodríguez ¹⁶², S.J. Hillier ²⁰, I. Hinchliffe ^{17a}, F. Hinterkeuser ²⁴, M. Hirose ¹²³, S. Hirose ¹⁵⁶, D. Hirschbuehl ¹⁷⁰, T.G. Hitchings ¹⁰⁰, B. Hiti ⁹², J. Hobbs ¹⁴⁴, R. Hobincu ^{27e}, N. Hod ¹⁶⁸, M.C. Hodgkinson ¹³⁸, B.H. Hodgkinson ³², A. Hoecker ³⁶, J. Hofer ⁴⁸, D. Hohn ⁵⁴, T. Holm ²⁴, M. Holzbock ¹⁰⁹, L.B.A.H. Hommels ³², B.P. Honan ¹⁰⁰, J. Hong ^{62c}, T.M. Hong ¹²⁸, Y. Hong ⁵⁵, J.C. Honig ⁵⁴, A. Hönle ¹⁰⁹, B.H. Hooberman ¹⁶¹, W.H. Hopkins ⁶, Y. Horii ¹¹⁰, S. Hou ¹⁴⁷, A.S. Howard ⁹², J. Howarth ⁵⁹, J. Hoya ⁸⁹, M. Hrabovsky ¹²¹, A. Hrynevich ³⁷, T. Hryn'ova ⁴, P.J. Hsu ⁶⁵, S.-C. Hsu ¹³⁷, Q. Hu ^{41,ab}, Y.F. Hu ^{14a,14d,ai}, D.P. Huang ⁹⁵, S. Huang ^{64b}, X. Huang ^{14c}, Y. Huang ^{62a}, Y. Huang ^{14a}, Z. Huang ¹⁰⁰, Z. Hubacek ¹³¹, M. Huebner ²⁴, F. Huegging ²⁴, T.B. Huffman ¹²⁵, M. Huhtinen ³⁶, S.K. Huiberts ¹⁶, R. Hulsken ¹⁰³, N. Huseynov ^{12,a}, J. Huston ¹⁰⁶, J. Huth ⁶¹, R. Hyneman ¹⁴², S. Hyrych ^{28a}, G. Iacobucci ⁵⁶, G. Iakovidis ²⁹, I. Ibragimov ¹⁴⁰, L. Iconomidou-Fayard ⁶⁶, P. Inengo ^{71a,71b}, R. Iguchi ¹⁵², T. Iizawa ⁵⁶, Y. Ikegami ⁸², A. Ilg ¹⁹, N. Ilic ¹⁵⁴, H. Imam ^{35a}, T. Ingebretsen Carlson ^{47a,47b}, G. Introzzi ^{72a,72b}, M. Iodice ^{76a}, V. Ippolito ^{74a,74b}, M. Ishino ¹⁵², W. Islam ¹⁶⁹, C. Issever ^{18,48}, S. Istin ^{21a,ak}, H. Ito ¹⁶⁷, J.M. Iturbe Ponce ^{64a}, R. Iuppa ^{77a,77b}, A. Ivina ¹⁶⁸, J.M. Izen ⁴⁵, V. Izzo ^{71a}, P. Jacka ^{130,131}, P. Jackson ¹, R.M. Jacobs ⁴⁸, B.P. Jaeger ¹⁴¹, C.S. Jagfeld ¹⁰⁸, G. Jäkel ¹⁷⁰, K. Jakobs ⁵⁴, T. Jakoubek ¹⁶⁸, J. Jamieson ⁵⁹, K.W. Janas ^{84a}, G. Jarlskog ⁹⁷, A.E. Jaspan ⁹¹, T. Javůrek ³⁶, M. Javurkova ¹⁰², F. Jeanneau ¹³⁴, L. Jeanty ¹²², J. Jejelava ^{148a,z}, P. Jenni ^{54,f}, C.E. Jessiman ³⁴, S. Jézéquel ⁴, J. Jia ¹⁴⁴, X. Jia ⁶¹, X. Jia ^{14a,14d}, Z. Jia ^{14c}, Y. Jiang ^{62a}, S. Jiggins ⁵², J. Jimenez Pena ¹⁰⁹, S. Jin ^{14c}, A. Jinaru ^{27b}, O. Jinnouchi ¹⁵³, H. Jivan ^{33g}, P. Johansson ¹³⁸, K.A. Johns ⁷, C.A. Johnson ⁶⁷, D.M. Jones ³², E. Jones ¹⁶⁶, P. Jones ³², R.W.L. Jones ⁹⁰, T.J. Jones ⁹¹, J. Jovicevic ¹⁵, X. Ju ^{17a}, J.J. Junggeburth ³⁶, A. Juste Rozas ^{13,u}, S. Kabana ^{136e}, A. Kaczmarska ⁸⁵, M. Kado ^{74a,74b}, H. Kagan ¹¹⁸, M. Kagan ¹⁴², A. Kahn ⁴¹, A. Kahn ¹²⁷, C. Kahra ⁹⁹, T. Kaji ¹⁶⁷, E. Kajomovitz ¹⁴⁹, N. Kakati ¹⁶⁸, C.W. Kalderon ²⁹, A. Kamenshchikov ¹⁵⁴, N.J. Kang ¹³⁵, Y. Kano ¹¹⁰, D. Kar ^{33g}, K. Karava ¹²⁵, M.J. Kareem ^{155b}, E. Karentzos ⁵⁴, I. Karkanias ¹⁵¹, S.N. Karpov ³⁸, Z.M. Karpova ³⁸, V. Kartvelishvili ⁹⁰, A.N. Karyukhin ³⁷, E. Kasimi ¹⁵¹, C. Kato ^{62d}, J. Katzy ⁴⁸, S. Kaur ³⁴, K. Kawade ¹³⁹, K. Kawagoe ⁸⁸, T. Kawaguchi ¹¹⁰, T. Kawamoto ¹³⁴, G. Kawamura ⁵⁵, E.F. Kay ¹⁶⁴, F.I. Kaya ¹⁵⁷, S. Kazakos ¹³, V.F. Kazanin ³⁷, Y. Ke ¹⁴⁴, J.M. Keaveney ^{33a}, R. Keeler ¹⁶⁴, G.V. Kehris ⁶¹, J.S. Keller ³⁴, A.S. Kelly ⁹⁵,

D. Kelsey ¹⁴⁵, J.J. Kempster ²⁰, J. Kendrick ²⁰, K.E. Kennedy ⁴¹, O. Kepka ¹³⁰,
B.P. Kerridge ¹⁶⁶, S. Kersten ¹⁷⁰, B.P. Kerševan ⁹², L. Keszezhova ^{28a}, S. Ketabchi Haghighat ¹⁵⁴,
M. Khandoga ¹²⁶, A. Khanov ¹²⁰, A.G. Kharlamov ³⁷, T. Kharlamova ³⁷, E.E. Khoda ¹³⁷,
T.J. Khoo ¹⁸, G. Khoriauli ¹⁶⁵, J. Khubua ^{148b}, Y.A.R. Khwaira ⁶⁶, M. Kiehn ³⁶,
A. Kilgallon ¹²², D.W. Kim ^{47a,47b}, E. Kim ¹⁵³, Y.K. Kim ³⁹, N. Kimura ⁹⁵, A. Kirchhoff ⁵⁵,
D. Kirchmeier ⁵⁰, C. Kirfel ²⁴, J. Kirk ¹³³, A.E. Kiryunin ¹⁰⁹, T. Kishimoto ¹⁵², D.P. Kisliuk ¹⁵⁴,
C. Kitsaki ¹⁰, O. Kivernyk ²⁴, M. Klassen ^{63a}, C. Klein ³⁴, L. Klein ¹⁶⁵, M.H. Klein ¹⁰⁵,
M. Klein ⁹¹, U. Klein ⁹¹, P. Klimek ³⁶, A. Klimentov ²⁹, F. Klimpel ¹⁰⁹, T. Klingl ²⁴,
T. Klioutchnikova ³⁶, F.F. Klitzner ¹⁰⁸, P. Kluit ¹¹³, S. Kluth ¹⁰⁹, E. Kneringer ⁷⁸,
T.M. Knight ¹⁵⁴, A. Knue ⁵⁴, D. Kobayashi ⁸⁸, R. Kobayashi ⁸⁶, M. Kobel ⁵⁰, M. Kocian ¹⁴²,
T. Kodama ¹⁵², P. Kodyš ¹³², D.M. Koeck ¹⁴⁵, P.T. Koenig ²⁴, T. Koffas ³⁴, N.M. Köhler ³⁶,
M. Kolb ¹³⁴, I. Koletsou ⁴, T. Komarek ¹²¹, K. Köneke ⁵⁴, A.X.Y. Kong ¹, T. Kono ¹¹⁷,
N. Konstantinidis ⁹⁵, B. Konya ⁹⁷, R. Kopeliansky ⁶⁷, S. Koperny ^{84a}, K. Korcyl ⁸⁵,
K. Kordas ¹⁵¹, G. Koren ¹⁵⁰, A. Korn ⁹⁵, S. Korn ⁵⁵, I. Korolkov ¹³, N. Korotkova ³⁷,
B. Kortman ¹¹³, O. Kortner ¹⁰⁹, S. Kortner ¹⁰⁹, W.H. Kostecka ¹¹⁴, V.V. Kostyukhin ¹⁴⁰,
A. Kotsokechagia ⁶⁶, A. Kotwal ⁵¹, A. Koulouris ³⁶, A. Kourkoumeli-Charalampidi ^{72a,72b},
C. Kourkoumelis ⁹, E. Kourlitis ⁶, O. Kovanda ¹⁴⁵, R. Kowalewski ¹⁶⁴, W. Kozanecki ¹³⁴,
A.S. Kozhin ³⁷, V.A. Kramarenko ³⁷, G. Kramberger ⁹², P. Kramer ⁹⁹, M.W. Krasny ¹²⁶,
A. Krasznahorkay ³⁶, J.A. Kremer ⁹⁹, T. Kresse ⁵⁰, J. Kretzschmar ⁹¹, K. Kreul ¹⁸,
P. Krieger ¹⁵⁴, F. Krieter ¹⁰⁸, S. Krishnamurthy ¹⁰², A. Krishnan ^{63b}, M. Krivos ¹³²,
K. Krizka ^{17a}, K. Kroeninger ⁴⁹, H. Kroha ¹⁰⁹, J. Kroll ¹³⁰, J. Kroll ¹²⁷, K.S. Krowpman ¹⁰⁶,
U. Kruchonak ³⁸, H. Krüger ²⁴, N. Krumnack ⁸⁰, M.C. Kruse ⁵¹, J.A. Krzysiaak ⁸⁵, A. Kubota ¹⁵³,
O. Kuchinskaia ³⁷, S. Kудay ^{3a}, D. Kuechler ⁴⁸, J.T. Kuechler ⁴⁸, S. Kuehn ³⁶, T. Kuhl ⁴⁸,
V. Kukhtin ³⁸, Y. Kulchitsky ^{37,a}, S. Kuleshov ^{136d,136b}, M. Kumar ^{33g}, N. Kumari ¹⁰¹,
M. Kuna ⁶⁰, A. Kupco ¹³⁰, T. Kupfer ⁴⁹, A. Kupich ³⁷, O. Kuprash ⁵⁴, H. Kurashige ⁸³,
L.L. Kurchaninov ^{155a}, Y.A. Kurochkin ³⁷, A. Kurova ³⁷, E.S. Kuwertz ³⁶, M. Kuze ¹⁵³,
A.K. Kvam ¹⁰², J. Kvita ¹²¹, T. Kwan ¹⁰³, K.W. Kwok ^{64a}, C. Lacasta ¹⁶², F. Lacava ^{74a,74b},
H. Lacker ¹⁸, D. Lacour ¹²⁶, N.N. Lad ⁹⁵, E. Ladygin ³⁸, B. Laforge ¹²⁶, T. Lagouri ^{136e},
S. Lai ⁵⁵, I.K. Lakomic ^{84a}, N. Lalloue ⁶⁰, J.E. Lambert ¹¹⁹, S. Lammers ⁶⁷, W. Lampl ⁷,
C. Lampoudis ¹⁵¹, A.N. Lancaster ¹¹⁴, E. Lançon ²⁹, U. Landgraf ⁵⁴, M.P.J. Landon ⁹³,
V.S. Lang ⁵⁴, R.J. Langenberg ¹⁰², A.J. Lankford ¹⁵⁹, F. Lanni ²⁹, K. Lantzsch ²⁴, A. Lanza ^{72a},
A. Lapertosa ^{57b,57a}, J.F. Laporte ¹³⁴, T. Lari ^{70a}, F. Lasagni Manghi ^{23b}, M. Lassnig ³⁶,
V. Latonova ¹³⁰, T.S. Lau ^{64a}, A. Laudrain ⁹⁹, A. Laurier ³⁴, S.D. Lawlor ⁹⁴, Z. Lawrence ¹⁰⁰,
M. Lazzaroni ^{70a,70b}, B. Le ¹⁰⁰, B. Leban ⁹², A. Lebedev ⁸⁰, M. LeBlanc ³⁶, T. LeCompte ⁶,
F. Ledroit-Guillon ⁶⁰, A.C.A. Lee ⁹⁵, G.R. Lee ¹⁶, L. Lee ⁶¹, S.C. Lee ¹⁴⁷, S. Lee ^{47a,47b},
L.L. Leeuw ^{33c}, H.P. Lefebvre ⁹⁴, M. Lefebvre ¹⁶⁴, C. Leggett ^{17a}, K. Lehmann ¹⁴¹,
G. Lehmann Miotto ³⁶, W.A. Leight ¹⁰², A. Leisos ^{151,t}, M.A.L. Leite ^{81c}, C.E. Leitgeb ⁴⁸,
R. Leitner ¹³², K.J.C. Leney ⁴⁴, T. Lenz ²⁴, S. Leone ^{73a}, C. Leonidopoulos ⁵², A. Leopold ¹⁴³,
C. Leroy ¹⁰⁷, R. Les ¹⁰⁶, C.G. Lester ³², M. Levchenko ³⁷, J. Levêque ⁴, D. Levin ¹⁰⁵,
L.J. Levinson ¹⁶⁸, D.J. Lewis ²⁰, B. Li ^{14b}, B. Li ^{62b}, C. Li ^{62a}, C-Q. Li ^{62c,62d}, H. Li ^{62a},
H. Li ^{62b}, H. Li ^{14c}, H. Li ^{62b}, J. Li ^{62c}, K. Li ¹³⁷, L. Li ^{62c}, M. Li ^{14a,14d}, Q.Y. Li ^{62a},
S. Li ^{62d,62c,d}, T. Li ^{62b}, X. Li ¹⁰³, Z. Li ^{62b}, Z. Li ¹²⁵, Z. Li ¹⁰³, Z. Li ⁹¹, Z. Liang ^{14a},
M. Liberatore ⁴⁸, B. Liberti ^{75a}, K. Lie ^{64c}, J. Lieber Marin ^{81b}, K. Lin ¹⁰⁶, R.A. Linck ⁶⁷,
R.E. Lindley ⁷, J.H. Lindon ², A. Linss ⁴⁸, E. Lipeles ¹²⁷, A. Lipniacka ¹⁶, T.M. Liss ^{161,ae},
A. Lister ¹⁶³, J.D. Little ⁴, B. Liu ^{14a}, B.X. Liu ¹⁴¹, D. Liu ^{62d,62c}, J.B. Liu ^{62a}, J.K.K. Liu ³²,
K. Liu ^{62d,62c}, M. Liu ^{62a}, M.Y. Liu ^{62a}, P. Liu ^{14a}, Q. Liu ^{62d,137,62c}, X. Liu ^{62a}, Y. Liu ⁴⁸,
Y. Liu ^{14c,14d}, Y.L. Liu ¹⁰⁵, Y.W. Liu ^{62a}, M. Livan ^{72a,72b}, J. Llorente Merino ¹⁴¹, S.L. Lloyd ⁹³,

E.M. Lobodzinska ⁴⁸, P. Loch ⁷, S. Loffredo ^{75a,75b}, T. Lohse ¹⁸, K. Lohwasser ¹³⁸,
 M. Lokajicek ^{130,*}, J.D. Long ¹⁶¹, I. Longarini ^{74a,74b}, L. Longo ^{69a,69b}, R. Longo ¹⁶¹,
 I. Lopez Paz ³⁶, A. Lopez Solis ⁴⁸, J. Lorenz ¹⁰⁸, N. Lorenzo Martinez ⁴, A.M. Lory ¹⁰⁸,
 A. Lösle ⁵⁴, X. Lou ^{47a,47b}, X. Lou ^{14a,14d}, A. Lounis ⁶⁶, J. Love ⁶, P.A. Love ⁹⁰,
 J.J. Lozano Bahilo ¹⁶², G. Lu ^{14a,14d}, M. Lu ⁷⁹, S. Lu ¹²⁷, Y.J. Lu ⁶⁵, H.J. Lubatti ¹³⁷,
 C. Luci ^{74a,74b}, F.L. Lucio Alves ^{14c}, A. Lucotte ⁶⁰, F. Luehring ⁶⁷, I. Luise ¹⁴⁴,
 O. Lukianchuk ⁶⁶, O. Lundberg ¹⁴³, B. Lund-Jensen ¹⁴³, N.A. Luongo ¹²², M.S. Lutz ¹⁵⁰,
 D. Lynn ²⁹, H. Lyons⁹¹, R. Lysak ¹³⁰, E. Lytken ⁹⁷, F. Lyu ^{14a}, V. Lyubushkin ³⁸,
 T. Lyubushkina ³⁸, H. Ma ²⁹, L.L. Ma ^{62b}, Y. Ma ⁹⁵, D.M. Mac Donell ¹⁶⁴, G. Maccarrone ⁵³,
 J.C. MacDonald ¹³⁸, R. Madar ⁴⁰, W.F. Mader ⁵⁰, J. Maeda ⁸³, T. Maeno ²⁹, M. Maerker ⁵⁰,
 V. Magerl ⁵⁴, J. Magro ^{68a,68c}, H. Maguire ¹³⁸, D.J. Mahon ⁴¹, C. Maidantchik ^{81b},
 A. Maio ^{129a,129b,129d}, K. Maj ^{84a}, O. Majersky ^{28a}, S. Majewski ¹²², N. Makovec ⁶⁶,
 V. Maksimovic ¹⁵, B. Malaescu ¹²⁶, Pa. Malecki ⁸⁵, V.P. Maleev ³⁷, F. Malek ⁶⁰,
 D. Malito ^{43b,43a}, U. Mallik ⁷⁹, C. Malone ³², S. Maltezos¹⁰, S. Malyukov³⁸, J. Mamuzic ¹³,
 G. Mancini ⁵³, G. Manco ^{72a,72b}, J.P. Mandalia ⁹³, I. Mandić ⁹²,
 L. Manhaes de Andrade Filho ^{81a}, I.M. Maniatis ¹⁵¹, M. Manisha ¹³⁴, J. Manjarres Ramos ⁵⁰,
 D.C. Mankad ¹⁶⁸, K.H. Mankinen ⁹⁷, A. Mann ¹⁰⁸, A. Manousos ⁷⁸, B. Mansoulie ¹³⁴,
 S. Manzoni ³⁶, A. Marantis ^{151,t}, G. Marchiori ⁵, M. Marcisovsky ¹³⁰, L. Marcoccia ^{75a,75b},
 C. Marcon ⁹⁷, M. Marinescu ²⁰, M. Marjanovic ¹¹⁹, Z. Marshall ^{17a}, S. Marti-Garcia ¹⁶²,
 T.A. Martin ¹⁶⁶, V.J. Martin ⁵², B. Martin dit Latour ¹⁶, L. Martinelli ^{74a,74b}, M. Martinez ^{13,u},
 P. Martinez Agullo ¹⁶², V.I. Martinez Outschoorn ¹⁰², P. Martinez Suarez ¹³, S. Martin-Haugh ¹³³,
 V.S. Martoiu ^{27b}, A.C. Martyniuk ⁹⁵, A. Marzin ³⁶, S.R. Maschek ¹⁰⁹, L. Masetti ⁹⁹,
 T. Mashimo ¹⁵², J. Masik ¹⁰⁰, A.L. Maslennikov ³⁷, L. Massa ^{23b}, P. Massarotti ^{71a,71b},
 P. Mastrandrea ^{73a,73b}, A. Mastroberardino ^{43b,43a}, T. Masubuchi ¹⁵², T. Mathisen ¹⁶⁰,
 A. Matic ¹⁰⁸, N. Matsuzawa¹⁵², J. Maurer ^{27b}, B. Maček ⁹², D.A. Maximov ³⁷, R. Mazini ¹⁴⁷,
 I. Maznas ¹⁵¹, M. Mazza ¹⁰⁶, S.M. Mazza ¹³⁵, C. Mc Ginn ²⁹, J.P. Mc Gowan ¹⁰³,
 S.P. Mc Kee ¹⁰⁵, T.G. McCarthy ¹⁰⁹, W.P. McCormack ^{17a}, E.F. McDonald ¹⁰⁴,
 A.E. McDougall ¹¹³, J.A. Mcfayden ¹⁴⁵, G. Mchedlidze ^{148b}, R.P. McKenzie ^{33g},
 T.C. McLachlan ⁴⁸, D.J. McLaughlin ⁹⁵, K.D. McLean ¹⁶⁴, S.J. McMahon ¹³³, P.C. McNamara ¹⁰⁴,
 R.A. McPherson ^{164,x}, J.E. Mdhluli ^{33g}, S. Meehan ³⁶, T. Megy ⁴⁰, S. Mehlhase ¹⁰⁸,
 A. Mehta ⁹¹, B. Meirose ⁴⁵, D. Melini ¹⁴⁹, B.R. Mellado Garcia ^{33g}, A.H. Melo ⁵⁵,
 F. Meloni ⁴⁸, E.D. Mendes Gouveia ^{129a}, A.M. Mendes Jacques Da Costa ²⁰, H.Y. Meng ¹⁵⁴,
 L. Meng ⁹⁰, S. Menke ¹⁰⁹, M. Mentink ³⁶, E. Meoni ^{43b,43a}, C. Merlassino ¹²⁵,
 L. Merola ^{71a,71b}, C. Meroni ^{70a}, G. Merz¹⁰⁵, O. Meshkov ³⁷, J.K.R. Meshreki ¹⁴⁰, J. Metcalfe ⁶,
 A.S. Mete ⁶, C. Meyer ⁶⁷, J-P. Meyer ¹³⁴, M. Michetti ¹⁸, R.P. Middleton ¹³³, L. Mijović ⁵²,
 G. Mikenberg ¹⁶⁸, M. Mikesikova ¹³⁰, M. Mikuž ⁹², H. Mildner ¹³⁸, A. Milic ¹⁵⁴,
 C.D. Milke ⁴⁴, D.W. Miller ³⁹, L.S. Miller ³⁴, A. Milov ¹⁶⁸, D.A. Milstead^{47a,47b}, T. Min^{14c},
 A.A. Minaenko ³⁷, I.A. Minashvili ^{148b}, L. Mince ⁵⁹, A.I. Mincer ¹¹⁶, B. Mindur ^{84a},
 M. Mineev ³⁸, Y. Minegishi¹⁵², Y. Mino ⁸⁶, L.M. Mir ¹³, M. Miralles Lopez ¹⁶²,
 M. Mironova ¹²⁵, T. Mitani ¹⁶⁷, A. Mitra ¹⁶⁶, V.A. Mitsou ¹⁶², O. Miu ¹⁵⁴, P.S. Miyagawa ⁹³,
 Y. Miyazaki⁸⁸, A. Mizukami ⁸², J.U. Mjörnmark ⁹⁷, T. Mkrtchyan ^{63a}, M. Mlynarikova ¹¹⁴,
 T. Moa ^{47a,47b}, S. Mobius ⁵⁵, K. Mochizuki ¹⁰⁷, P. Moder ⁴⁸, P. Mogg ¹⁰⁸,
 A.F. Mohammed ^{14a,14d}, S. Mohapatra ⁴¹, G. Mokgatitswane ^{33g}, B. Mondal ¹⁴⁰, S. Mondal ¹³¹,
 K. Möning ⁴⁸, E. Monnier ¹⁰¹, L. Monsonis Romero¹⁶², J. Montejo Berlingen ³⁶, M. Montella ¹¹⁸,
 F. Monticelli ⁸⁹, N. Morange ⁶⁶, A.L. Moreira De Carvalho ^{129a}, M. Moreno Llácer ¹⁶²,
 C. Moreno Martinez ¹³, P. Morettini ^{57b}, S. Morgenstern ¹⁶⁶, M. Morii ⁶¹, M. Morinaga ¹⁵²,
 V. Morisbak ¹²⁴, A.K. Morley ³⁶, F. Morodei ^{74a,74b}, L. Morvaj ³⁶, P. Moschovakos ³⁶,

B. Moser ³⁶, M. Mosidze^{148b}, T. Moskalets ⁵⁴, P. Moskvitina ¹¹², J. Moss ^{31,n}, E.J.W. Moyse ¹⁰², S. Muanza ¹⁰¹, J. Mueller ¹²⁸, D. Muenstermann ⁹⁰, R. Müller ¹⁹, G.A. Mullier ⁹⁷, J.J. Mullin¹²⁷, D.P. Mungo ^{70a,70b}, J.L. Munoz Martinez ¹³, D. Munoz Perez ¹⁶², F.J. Munoz Sanchez ¹⁰⁰, M. Murin ¹⁰⁰, W.J. Murray ^{166,133}, A. Murrone ^{70a,70b}, J.M. Muse ¹¹⁹, M. Muškinja ^{17a}, C. Mwewa ²⁹, A.G. Myagkov ^{37,a}, A.J. Myers ⁸, A.A. Myers¹²⁸, G. Myers ⁶⁷, M. Myska ¹³¹, B.P. Nachman ^{17a}, O. Nackenhorst ⁴⁹, A. Nag ⁵⁰, K. Nagai ¹²⁵, K. Nagano ⁸², J.L. Nagle ^{29,aj}, E. Nagy ¹⁰¹, A.M. Nairz ³⁶, Y. Nakahama ⁸², K. Nakamura ⁸², H. Nanjo ¹²³, R. Narayan ⁴⁴, E.A. Narayanan ¹¹¹, I. Naryshkin ³⁷, M. Naseri ³⁴, C. Nass ²⁴, G. Navarro ^{22a}, J. Navarro-Gonzalez ¹⁶², R. Nayak ¹⁵⁰, P.Y. Nechaeva ³⁷, F. Nechansky ⁴⁸, T.J. Neep ²⁰, A. Negri ^{72a,72b}, M. Negrini ^{23b}, C. Nellist ¹¹², C. Nelson ¹⁰³, K. Nelson ¹⁰⁵, S. Nemecek ¹³⁰, M. Nessi ^{36,g}, M.S. Neubauer ¹⁶¹, F. Neuhaus ⁹⁹, J. Neundorff ⁴⁸, R. Newhouse ¹⁶³, P.R. Newman ²⁰, C.W. Ng ¹²⁸, Y.S. Ng¹⁸, Y.W.Y. Ng ¹⁵⁹, B. Ngair ^{35e}, H.D.N. Nguyen ¹⁰⁷, R.B. Nickerson ¹²⁵, R. Nicolaidou ¹³⁴, J. Nielsen ¹³⁵, M. Niemeyer ⁵⁵, N. Nikiforou ³⁶, V. Nikolaenko ^{37,a}, I. Nikolic-Audit ¹²⁶, K. Nikolopoulos ²⁰, P. Nilsson ²⁹, H.R. Nindhito ⁵⁶, A. Nisati ^{74a}, N. Nishu ², R. Nisius ¹⁰⁹, J-E. Nitschke ⁵⁰, E.K. Nkadimeng ^{33g}, S.J. Noacco Rosende ⁸⁹, T. Nobe ¹⁵², D.L. Noel ³², Y. Noguchi ⁸⁶, T. Nommensen ¹⁴⁶, M.A. Nomura²⁹, M.B. Norfolk ¹³⁸, R.R.B. Norisam ⁹⁵, B.J. Norman ³⁴, J. Novak ⁹², T. Novak ⁴⁸, O. Novgorodova ⁵⁰, L. Novotny ¹³¹, R. Novotny ¹¹¹, L. Nozka ¹²¹, K. Ntekas ¹⁵⁹, E. Nurse⁹⁵, F.G. Oakham ^{34,ag}, J. Ocariz ¹²⁶, A. Ochi ⁸³, I. Ochoa ^{129a}, S. Oda ⁸⁸, S. Oerdek ¹⁶⁰, A. Ogrodnik ^{84a}, A. Oh ¹⁰⁰, C.C. Ohm ¹⁴³, H. Oide ¹⁵³, R. Oishi ¹⁵², M.L. Ojeda ⁴⁸, Y. Okazaki ⁸⁶, M.W. O'Keefe⁹¹, Y. Okumura ¹⁵², A. Olariu^{27b}, L.F. Oleiro Seabra ^{129a}, S.A. Olivares Pino ^{136e}, D. Oliveira Damazio ²⁹, D. Oliveira Goncalves ^{81a}, J.L. Oliver ¹⁵⁹, M.J.R. Olsson ¹⁵⁹, A. Olszewski ⁸⁵, J. Olszowska ^{85,*}, Ö.O. Öncel ⁵⁴, D.C. O'Neil ¹⁴¹, A.P. O'Neill ¹⁹, A. Onofre ^{129a,129e}, P.U.E. Onyisi ¹¹, M.J. Oreglia ³⁹, G.E. Orellana ⁸⁹, D. Orestano ^{76a,76b}, N. Orlando ¹³, R.S. Orr ¹⁵⁴, V. O'Shea ⁵⁹, R. Ospanov ^{62a}, G. Otero y Garzon ³⁰, H. Otono ⁸⁸, P.S. Ott ^{63a}, G.J. Ottino ^{17a}, M. Ouchrif ^{35d}, J. Ouellette ^{29,aj}, F. Ould-Saada ¹²⁴, M. Owen ⁵⁹, R.E. Owen ¹³³, K.Y. Oyulmaz ^{21a}, V.E. Ozcan ^{21a}, N. Ozturk ⁸, S. Ozturk ^{21d}, J. Pacalt ¹²¹, H.A. Pacey ³², K. Pachal ⁵¹, A. Pacheco Pages ¹³, C. Padilla Aranda ¹³, G. Padovano ^{74a,74b}, S. Pagan Griso ^{17a}, G. Palacino ⁶⁷, A. Palazzo ^{69a,69b}, S. Palazzo ⁵², S. Palestini ³⁶, M. Palka ^{84b}, J. Pan ¹⁷¹, T. Pan ^{64a}, D.K. Panchal ¹¹, C.E. Pandini ¹¹³, J.G. Panduro Vazquez ⁹⁴, P. Pani ⁴⁸, G. Panizzo ^{68a,68c}, L. Paolozzi ⁵⁶, C. Papadatos ¹⁰⁷, S. Parajuli ⁴⁴, A. Paramonov ⁶, C. Paraskevopoulos ¹⁰, D. Paredes Hernandez ^{64b}, T.H. Park ¹⁵⁴, M.A. Parker ³², F. Parodi ^{57b,57a}, E.W. Parrish ¹¹⁴, V.A. Parrish ⁵², J.A. Parsons ⁴¹, U. Parzefall ⁵⁴, B. Pascual Dias ¹⁰⁷, L. Pascual Dominguez ¹⁵⁰, V.R. Pascuzzi ^{17a}, F. Pasquali ¹¹³, E. Pasqualucci ^{74a}, S. Passaggio ^{57b}, F. Pastore ⁹⁴, P. Pasuwan ^{47a,47b}, J.R. Pater ¹⁰⁰, J. Patton⁹¹, T. Pauly ³⁶, J. Pearkes ¹⁴², M. Pedersen ¹²⁴, R. Pedro ^{129a}, S.V. Peleganchuk ³⁷, O. Penc ¹³⁰, C. Peng ^{64b}, H. Peng ^{62a}, M. Penzin ³⁷, B.S. Peralva ^{81a,81d}, A.P. Pereira Peixoto ⁶⁰, L. Pereira Sanchez ^{47a,47b}, D.V. Perepelitsa ^{29,aj}, E. Perez Codina ^{155a}, M. Perganti ¹⁰, L. Perini ^{70a,70b,*}, H. Pernegger ³⁶, S. Perrella ³⁶, A. Perrevoort ¹¹², O. Perrin ⁴⁰, K. Peters ⁴⁸, R.F.Y. Peters ¹⁰⁰, B.A. Petersen ³⁶, T.C. Petersen ⁴², E. Petit ¹⁰¹, V. Petousis ¹³¹, C. Petridou ¹⁵¹, A. Petrukhin ¹⁴⁰, M. Pettee ^{17a}, N.E. Pettersson ³⁶, A. Petukhov ³⁷, K. Petukhova ¹³², A. Peyaud ¹³⁴, R. Pezoa ^{136f}, L. Pezzotti ³⁶, G. Pezzullo ¹⁷¹, T. Pham ¹⁰⁴, P.W. Phillips ¹³³, M.W. Phipps ¹⁶¹, G. Piacquadio ¹⁴⁴, E. Pianori ^{17a}, F. Piazza ^{70a,70b}, R. Piegaia ³⁰, D. Pietreanu ^{27b}, A.D. Pilkington ¹⁰⁰, M. Pinamonti ^{68a,68c}, J.L. Pinfold ², B.C. Pinheiro Pereira ^{129a}, C. Pitman Donaldson⁹⁵, D.A. Pizzi ³⁴, L. Pizzimento ^{75a,75b}, A. Pizzini ¹¹³, M.-A. Pleier ²⁹, V. Plesanovs⁵⁴, V. Pleskot ¹³², E. Plotnikova³⁸, G. Poddar ⁴, R. Poettgen ⁹⁷, R. Poggi ⁵⁶,

L. Poggioli ¹²⁶, I. Pogrebnyak ¹⁰⁶, D. Pohl ²⁴, I. Pokharel ⁵⁵, S. Polacek ¹³², G. Polesello ^{72a},
 A. Poley ^{141,155a}, R. Polifka ¹³¹, A. Polini ^{23b}, C.S. Pollard ¹²⁵, Z.B. Pollock ¹¹⁸,
 V. Polychronakos ²⁹, D. Ponomarenko ³⁷, L. Pontecorvo ³⁶, S. Popa ^{27a}, G.A. Popeneciu ^{27d},
 D.M. Portillo Quintero ^{155a}, S. Pospisil ¹³¹, P. Postolache ^{27c}, K. Potamianos ¹²⁵, I.N. Potrap ³⁸,
 C.J. Potter ³², H. Potti ¹, T. Poulsen ⁴⁸, J. Poveda ¹⁶², G. Pownall ⁴⁸, M.E. Pozo Astigarraga ³⁶,
 A. Prades Ibanez ¹⁶², M.M. Prapa ⁴⁶, J. Pretel ⁵⁴, D. Price ¹⁰⁰, M. Primavera ^{69a},
 M.A. Principe Martin ⁹⁸, M.L. Proffitt ¹³⁷, N. Proklova ³⁷, K. Prokofiev ^{64c}, G. Proto ^{75a,75b},
 S. Protopopescu ²⁹, J. Proudfoot ⁶, M. Przybycien ^{84a}, J.E. Puddefoot ¹³⁸, D. Pudzha ³⁷, P. Puzo ⁶⁶,
 D. Pyatiizbyantseva ³⁷, J. Qian ¹⁰⁵, Y. Qin ¹⁰⁰, T. Qiu ⁹³, A. Quadt ⁵⁵, M. Queitsch-Maitland ²⁴,
 G. Rabanal Bolanos ⁶¹, D. Rafanoharana ⁵⁴, F. Ragusa ^{70a,70b}, J.L. Rainbolt ³⁹, J.A. Raine ⁵⁶,
 S. Rajagopalan ²⁹, E. Ramakoti ³⁷, K. Ran ^{14a,14d}, V. Raskina ¹²⁶, D.F. Rassloff ^{63a}, S. Rave ⁹⁹,
 B. Ravina ⁵⁹, I. Ravinovich ¹⁶⁸, M. Raymond ³⁶, A.L. Read ¹²⁴, N.P. Readioff ¹³⁸,
 D.M. Rebuzzi ^{72a,72b}, G. Redlinger ²⁹, K. Reeves ⁴⁵, J.A. Reidelsturz ¹⁷⁰, D. Reikher ¹⁵⁰,
 A. Reiss ⁹⁹, A. Rej ¹⁴⁰, C. Rembser ³⁶, A. Renardi ⁴⁸, M. Renda ^{27b}, M.B. Rendel ¹⁰⁹,
 A.G. Rennie ⁵⁹, S. Resconi ^{70a}, M. Ressegotti ^{57b,57a}, E.D. Resseguie ^{17a}, S. Rettie ⁹⁵,
 B. Reynolds ¹¹⁸, E. Reynolds ^{17a}, M. Rezaei Estabragh ¹⁷⁰, O.L. Rezanova ³⁷, P. Reznicek ¹³²,
 E. Ricci ^{77a,77b}, R. Richter ¹⁰⁹, S. Richter ^{47a,47b}, E. Richter-Was ^{84b}, M. Ridel ¹²⁶, P. Rieck ¹¹⁶,
 P. Riedler ³⁶, M. Rijssenbeek ¹⁴⁴, A. Rimoldi ^{72a,72b}, M. Rimoldi ⁴⁸, L. Rinaldi ^{23b,23a},
 T.T. Rinn ²⁹, M.P. Rinnagel ¹⁰⁸, G. Ripellino ¹⁴³, I. Riu ¹³, P. Rivadeneira ⁴⁸,
 J.C. Rivera Vergara ¹⁶⁴, F. Rizatdinova ¹²⁰, E. Rizvi ⁹³, C. Rizzi ⁵⁶, B.A. Roberts ¹⁶⁶,
 B.R. Roberts ^{17a}, S.H. Robertson ^{103,x}, M. Robin ⁴⁸, D. Robinson ³², C.M. Robles Gajardo ^{136f},
 M. Robles Manzano ⁹⁹, A. Robson ⁵⁹, A. Rocchi ^{75a,75b}, C. Roda ^{73a,73b}, S. Rodriguez Bosca ^{63a},
 Y. Rodriguez Garcia ^{22a}, A. Rodriguez Rodriguez ⁵⁴, A.M. Rodríguez Vera ^{155b}, S. Roe ³⁶,
 J.T. Roemer ¹⁵⁹, A.R. Roepe-Gier ¹¹⁹, J. Roggel ¹⁷⁰, O. Røhne ¹²⁴, R.A. Rojas ¹⁶⁴,
 B. Roland ⁵⁴, C.P.A. Roland ⁶⁷, J. Roloff ²⁹, A. Romaniouk ³⁷, E. Romano ^{72a,72b},
 M. Romano ^{23b}, A.C. Romero Hernandez ¹⁶¹, N. Rompotis ⁹¹, L. Roos ¹²⁶, S. Rosati ^{74a},
 B.J. Rosser ³⁹, E. Rossi ⁴, E. Rossi ^{71a,71b}, L.P. Rossi ^{57b}, L. Rossini ⁴⁸, R. Rosten ¹¹⁸,
 M. Rotaru ^{27b}, B. Rottler ⁵⁴, D. Rousseau ⁶⁶, D. Rousso ³², G. Rovelli ^{72a,72b}, A. Roy ¹⁶¹,
 A. Rozanov ¹⁰¹, Y. Rozen ¹⁴⁹, X. Ruan ^{33g}, A. Rubio Jimenez ¹⁶², A.J. Ruby ⁹¹, T.A. Ruggeri ¹,
 F. Rühr ⁵⁴, A. Ruiz-Martinez ¹⁶², A. Rummler ³⁶, Z. Rurikova ⁵⁴, N.A. Rusakovich ³⁸,
 H.L. Russell ¹⁶⁴, J.P. Rutherford ⁷, E.M. Rüttinger ¹³⁸, K. Rybacki ⁹⁰, M. Rybar ¹³²,
 E.B. Rye ¹²⁴, A. Ryzhov ³⁷, J.A. Sabater Iglesias ⁵⁶, P. Sabatini ¹⁶², L. Sabetta ^{74a,74b},
 H.F-W. Sadrozinski ¹³⁵, F. Safai Tehrani ^{74a}, B. Safarzadeh Samani ¹⁴⁵, M. Safdari ¹⁴²,
 S. Saha ¹⁰³, M. Sahinsoy ¹⁰⁹, M. Saimpert ¹³⁴, M. Saito ¹⁵², T. Saito ¹⁵², D. Salamani ³⁶,
 G. Salamanna ^{76a,76b}, A. Salnikov ¹⁴², J. Salt ¹⁶², A. Salvador Salas ¹³, D. Salvatore ^{43b,43a},
 F. Salvatore ¹⁴⁵, A. Salzburger ³⁶, D. Sammel ⁵⁴, D. Sampsonidis ¹⁵¹, D. Sampsonidou ^{62d,62c},
 J. Sánchez ¹⁶², A. Sanchez Pineda ⁴, V. Sanchez Sebastian ¹⁶², H. Sandaker ¹²⁴, C.O. Sander ⁴⁸,
 J.A. Sandesara ¹⁰², M. Sandhoff ¹⁷⁰, C. Sandoval ^{22b}, D.P.C. Sankey ¹³³, A. Sansoni ⁵³,
 L. Santi ^{74a,74b}, C. Santoni ⁴⁰, H. Santos ^{129a,129b}, S.N. Santpur ^{17a}, A. Santra ¹⁶⁸,
 K.A. Saoucha ¹³⁸, J.G. Saraiva ^{129a,129d}, J. Sardain ¹⁰¹, O. Sasaki ⁸², K. Sato ¹⁵⁶, C. Sauer ^{63b},
 F. Sauerburger ⁵⁴, E. Sauvan ⁴, P. Savard ^{154,ag}, R. Sawada ¹⁵², C. Sawyer ¹³³, L. Sawyer ⁹⁶,
 I. Sayago Galvan ¹⁶², C. Sbarra ^{23b}, A. Sbrizzi ^{23b,23a}, T. Scanlon ⁹⁵, J. Schaarschmidt ¹³⁷,
 P. Schacht ¹⁰⁹, D. Schaefer ³⁹, U. Schäfer ⁹⁹, A.C. Schaffer ⁶⁶, D. Schaile ¹⁰⁸,
 R.D. Schamberger ¹⁴⁴, E. Schanet ¹⁰⁸, C. Scharf ¹⁸, V.A. Schegelsky ³⁷, D. Scheirich ¹³²,
 F. Schenck ¹⁸, M. Schernau ¹⁵⁹, C. Scheulen ⁵⁵, C. Schiavi ^{57b,57a}, Z.M. Schillaci ²⁶,
 E.J. Schioppa ^{69a,69b}, M. Schioppa ^{43b,43a}, B. Schlag ⁹⁹, K.E. Schleicher ⁵⁴, S. Schlenker ³⁶,
 K. Schmieden ⁹⁹, C. Schmitt ⁹⁹, S. Schmitt ⁴⁸, L. Schoeffel ¹³⁴, A. Schoening ^{63b},

P.G. Scholer ⁵⁴, E. Schopf ¹²⁵, M. Schott ⁹⁹, J. Schovancova ³⁶, S. Schramm ⁵⁶,
 F. Schroeder ¹⁷⁰, H-C. Schultz-Coulon ^{63a}, M. Schumacher ⁵⁴, B.A. Schumm ¹³⁵, Ph. Schune ¹³⁴,
 A. Schwartzman ¹⁴², T.A. Schwarz ¹⁰⁵, Ph. Schwemling ¹³⁴, R. Schwienhorst ¹⁰⁶,
 A. Sciandra ¹³⁵, G. Sciolla ²⁶, F. Scuri ^{73a}, F. Scutti ¹⁰⁴, C.D. Sebastiani ⁹¹, K. Sedlaczek ⁴⁹,
 P. Seema ¹⁸, S.C. Seidel ¹¹¹, A. Seiden ¹³⁵, B.D. Seidlitz ⁴¹, T. Seiss ³⁹, C. Seitz ⁴⁸,
 J.M. Seixas ^{81b}, G. Sekhniaidze ^{71a}, S.J. Sekula ⁴⁴, L. Selem ⁴, N. Semprini-Cesari ^{23b,23a},
 S. Sen ⁵¹, D. Sengupta ⁵⁶, V. Senthilkumar ¹⁶², L. Serin ⁶⁶, L. Serkin ^{68a,68b}, M. Sessa ^{76a,76b},
 H. Severini ¹¹⁹, S. Sevova ¹⁴², F. Sforza ^{57b,57a}, A. Sfyrila ⁵⁶, E. Shabalina ⁵⁵, R. Shaheen ¹⁴³,
 J.D. Shahinian ¹²⁷, N.W. Shaikh ^{47a,47b}, D. Shaked Renous ¹⁶⁸, L.Y. Shan ^{14a}, M. Shapiro ^{17a},
 A. Sharma ³⁶, A.S. Sharma ¹⁶³, P. Sharma ⁷⁹, S. Sharma ⁴⁸, P.B. Shatalov ³⁷, K. Shaw ¹⁴⁵,
 S.M. Shaw ¹⁰⁰, Q. Shen ^{62c}, P. Sherwood ⁹⁵, L. Shi ⁹⁵, C.O. Shimmin ¹⁷¹, Y. Shimogama ¹⁶⁷,
 J.D. Shinner ⁹⁴, I.P.J. Shipsey ¹²⁵, S. Shirabe ⁶⁰, M. Shiyakova ^{38,w}, J. Shlomi ¹⁶⁸,
 M.J. Shochet ³⁹, J. Shojaii ¹⁰⁴, D.R. Shope ¹⁴³, S. Shrestha ¹¹⁸, E.M. Shrif ^{33g}, M.J. Shroff ¹⁶⁴,
 P. Sicho ¹³⁰, A.M. Sickles ¹⁶¹, E. Sideras Haddad ^{33g}, O. Sidiropoulou ³⁶, A. Sidoti ^{23b},
 F. Siegert ⁵⁰, Dj. Sijacki ¹⁵, R. Sikora ^{84a}, F. Sili ⁸⁹, J.M. Silva ²⁰, M.V. Silva Oliveira ³⁶,
 S.B. Silverstein ^{47a}, S. Simion ⁶⁶, R. Simoniello ³⁶, E.L. Simpson ⁵⁹, N.D. Simpson ⁹⁷,
 S. Simsek ^{21d}, S. Sindhu ⁵⁵, P. Sinervo ¹⁵⁴, V. Sinetckii ³⁷, S. Singh ¹⁴¹, S. Singh ¹⁵⁴,
 S. Sinha ⁴⁸, S. Sinha ^{33g}, M. Sioli ^{23b,23a}, I. Siral ¹²², S. Yu. Sivoklov ^{37,*}, J. Sjölin ^{47a,47b},
 A. Skaf ⁵⁵, E. Skorda ⁹⁷, P. Skubic ¹¹⁹, M. Slawinska ⁸⁵, V. Smakhtin ¹⁶⁸, B.H. Smart ¹³³,
 J. Smiesko ¹³², S.Yu. Smirnov ³⁷, Y. Smirnov ³⁷, L.N. Smirnova ^{37,a}, O. Smirnova ⁹⁷,
 E.A. Smith ³⁹, H.A. Smith ¹²⁵, J.L. Smith ⁹¹, R. Smith ¹⁴², M. Smizanska ⁹⁰, K. Smolek ¹³¹,
 A. Smykiewicz ⁸⁵, A.A. Snesarev ³⁷, H.L. Snoek ¹¹³, S. Snyder ²⁹, R. Sobie ^{164,x}, A. Soffer ¹⁵⁰,
 C.A. Solans Sanchez ³⁶, E.Yu. Soldatov ³⁷, U. Soldevila ¹⁶², A.A. Solodkov ³⁷, S. Solomon ⁵⁴,
 A. Soloshenko ³⁸, K. Solovieva ⁵⁴, O.V. Solovyanov ³⁷, V. Solovyev ³⁷, P. Sommer ³⁶,
 A. Sonay ¹³, W.Y. Song ^{155b}, A. Sopczak ¹³¹, A.L. Sopio ⁹⁵, F. Sopkova ^{28b}, V. Sothilingam ^{63a},
 S. Sottocornola ^{72a,72b}, R. Soualah ^{115b}, Z. Soumami ^{35e}, D. South ⁴⁸, S. Spagnolo ^{69a,69b},
 M. Spalla ¹⁰⁹, F. Spanò ⁹⁴, D. Sperlich ⁵⁴, G. Spigo ³⁶, M. Spina ¹⁴⁵, S. Spinali ⁹⁰,
 D.P. Spiteri ⁵⁹, M. Spousta ¹³², E.J. Staats ³⁴, A. Stabile ^{70a,70b}, R. Stamen ^{63a},
 M. Stamenkovic ¹¹³, A. Stampekis ²⁰, M. Standke ²⁴, E. Stanecka ⁸⁵, B. Stanislaus ^{17a},
 M.M. Stanitzki ⁴⁸, M. Stankaityte ¹²⁵, B. Stapf ⁴⁸, E.A. Starchenko ³⁷, G.H. Stark ¹³⁵,
 J. Stark ^{101,aa}, D.M. Starko ^{155b}, P. Staroba ¹³⁰, P. Starovoitov ^{63a}, S. Stärz ¹⁰³, R. Staszewski ⁸⁵,
 G. Stavropoulos ⁴⁶, J. Steentoft ¹⁶⁰, P. Steinberg ²⁹, A.L. Steinhebel ¹²², B. Stelzer ^{141,155a},
 H.J. Stelzer ¹²⁸, O. Stelzer-Chilton ^{155a}, H. Stenzel ⁵⁸, T.J. Stevenson ¹⁴⁵, G.A. Stewart ³⁶,
 M.C. Stockton ³⁶, G. Stoica ^{27b}, M. Stolarski ^{129a}, S. Stonjek ¹⁰⁹, A. Straessner ⁵⁰,
 J. Strandberg ¹⁴³, S. Strandberg ^{47a,47b}, M. Strauss ¹¹⁹, T. Strebler ¹⁰¹, P. Strizenec ^{28b},
 R. Ströhmer ¹⁶⁵, D.M. Strom ¹²², L.R. Strom ⁴⁸, R. Stroynowski ⁴⁴, A. Strubig ^{47a,47b},
 S.A. Stucci ²⁹, B. Stugu ¹⁶, J. Stupak ¹¹⁹, N.A. Styles ⁴⁸, D. Su ¹⁴², S. Su ^{62a},
 W. Su ^{62d,137,62c}, X. Su ^{62a,66}, K. Sugizaki ¹⁵², V.V. Sulin ³⁷, M.J. Sullivan ⁹¹,
 D.M.S. Sultan ^{77a,77b}, L. Sultanaliyeva ³⁷, S. Sultansoy ^{3b}, T. Sumida ⁸⁶, S. Sun ¹⁰⁵, S. Sun ¹⁶⁹,
 O. Sunneborn Gudnadottir ¹⁶⁰, M.R. Sutton ¹⁴⁵, M. Svatos ¹³⁰, M. Swiatlowski ^{155a},
 T. Swirski ¹⁶⁵, I. Sykora ^{28a}, M. Sykora ¹³², T. Sykora ¹³², D. Ta ⁹⁹, K. Tackmann ^{48,v},
 A. Taffard ¹⁵⁹, R. Tafirout ^{155a}, J.S. Tafoya Vargas ⁶⁶, R.H.M. Taibah ¹²⁶, R. Takashima ³⁷,
 K. Takeda ⁸³, E.P. Takeva ⁵², Y. Takubo ⁸², M. Talby ¹⁰¹, A.A. Talyshev ³⁷, K.C. Tam ^{64b},
 N.M. Tamir ¹⁵⁰, A. Tanaka ¹⁵², J. Tanaka ¹⁵², R. Tanaka ⁶⁶, M. Tanasini ^{57b,57a}, J. Tang ^{62c},
 Z. Tao ¹⁶³, S. Tapia Araya ⁸⁰, S. Tapprogge ⁹⁹, A. Tarek Abouelfadl Mohamed ¹⁰⁶, S. Tarem ¹⁴⁹,
 K. Tariq ^{62b}, G. Tarna ^{27b}, G.F. Tartarelli ^{70a}, P. Tas ¹³², M. Tasevsky ¹³⁰, E. Tassi ^{43b,43a},
 A.C. Tate ¹⁶¹, G. Tateno ¹⁵², Y. Tayalati ^{35e}, G.N. Taylor ¹⁰⁴, W. Taylor ^{155b}, H. Teagle ⁹¹,

A.S. Tee ¹⁶⁹, R. Teixeira De Lima ¹⁴², P. Teixeira-Dias ⁹⁴, J.J. Teoh ¹⁵⁴, K. Terashi ¹⁵²,
 J. Terron ⁹⁸, S. Terzo ¹³, M. Testa ⁵³, R.J. Teuscher ^{154,x}, N. Themistokleous ⁵²,
 T. Theveneaux-Pelzer ¹⁸, O. Thielmann ¹⁷⁰, D.W. Thomas ⁹⁴, J.P. Thomas ²⁰, E.A. Thompson ⁴⁸,
 P.D. Thompson ²⁰, E. Thomson ¹²⁷, E.J. Thorpe ⁹³, Y. Tian ⁵⁵, V. Tikhomirov ^{37,a},
 Yu.A. Tikhonov ³⁷, S. Timoshenko ³⁷, E.X.L. Ting ¹, P. Tipton ¹⁷¹, S. Tisserant ¹⁰¹, S.H. Tlou ^{33g},
 A. Tnourji ⁴⁰, K. Todome ^{23b,23a}, S. Todorova-Nova ¹³², S. Todt ⁵⁰, M. Togawa ⁸², J. Tojo ⁸⁸,
 S. Tokár ^{28a}, K. Tokushuku ⁸², R. Tombs ³², M. Tomoto ^{82,110}, L. Tompkins ^{142,p},
 P. Tornambe ¹⁰², E. Torrence ¹²², H. Torres ⁵⁰, E. Torró Pastor ¹⁶², M. Toscani ³⁰, C. Toscirci ³⁹,
 D.R. Tovey ¹³⁸, A. Traet ¹⁶, I.S. Trandafir ^{27b}, T. Trefzger ¹⁶⁵, A. Tricoli ²⁹, I.M. Trigger ^{155a},
 S. Trincaz-Duvoid ¹²⁶, D.A. Trischuk ¹⁶³, B. Trocmé ⁶⁰, A. Trofymov ⁶⁶, C. Troncon ^{70a},
 L. Truong ^{33c}, M. Trzebinski ⁸⁵, A. Trzupek ⁸⁵, F. Tsai ¹⁴⁴, M. Tsai ¹⁰⁵, A. Tsiamis ¹⁵¹,
 P.V. Tsiarehsha ³⁷, S. Tsigaridas ^{155a}, A. Tsirigotis ^{151,t}, V. Tsiskaridze ¹⁴⁴, E.G. Tskhadadze ^{148a},
 M. Tsopoulou ¹⁵¹, Y. Tsujikawa ⁸⁶, I.I. Tsukerman ³⁷, V. Tsulaia ^{17a}, S. Tsuno ⁸², O. Tsur ¹⁴⁹,
 D. Tsybychev ¹⁴⁴, Y. Tu ^{64b}, A. Tudorache ^{27b}, V. Tudorache ^{27b}, A.N. Tuna ³⁶, S. Turchikhin ³⁸,
 I. Turk Cakir ^{3a}, R. Turra ^{70a}, T. Turtuvshin ³⁸, P.M. Tuts ⁴¹, S. Tzamarias ¹⁵¹, P. Tzanis ¹⁰,
 E. Tzovara ⁹⁹, K. Uchida ¹⁵², F. Ukegawa ¹⁵⁶, P.A. Ulloa Poblete ^{136c}, G. Unal ³⁶, M. Unal ¹¹,
 A. Undrus ²⁹, G. Unel ¹⁵⁹, K. Uno ¹⁵², J. Urban ^{28b}, P. Urquijo ¹⁰⁴, G. Usai ⁸, R. Ushioda ¹⁵³,
 M. Usman ¹⁰⁷, Z. Uysal ^{21b}, V. Vacek ¹³¹, B. Vachon ¹⁰³, K.O.H. Vadla ¹²⁴, T. Vafeiadis ³⁶,
 C. Valderanis ¹⁰⁸, E. Valdes Santurio ^{47a,47b}, M. Valente ^{155a}, S. Valentinetti ^{23b,23a}, A. Valero ¹⁶²,
 A. Vallier ^{101,aa}, J.A. Valls Ferrer ¹⁶², T.R. Van Daalen ¹³⁷, P. Van Gemmeren ⁶, S. Van Stroud ⁹⁵,
 I. Van Vulpen ¹¹³, M. Vanadia ^{75a,75b}, W. Vandelli ³⁶, M. Vandenbroucke ¹³⁴, E.R. Vandewall ¹²⁰,
 D. Vannicola ¹⁵⁰, L. Vannoli ^{57b,57a}, R. Vari ^{74a}, E.W. Varnes ⁷, C. Varni ^{17a}, T. Varol ¹⁴⁷,
 D. Varouchas ⁶⁶, L. Varriale ¹⁶², K.E. Varvell ¹⁴⁶, M.E. Vasile ^{27b}, L. Vaslin ⁴⁰, G.A. Vasquez ¹⁶⁴,
 F. Vazeille ⁴⁰, T. Vazquez Schroeder ³⁶, J. Veatch ³¹, V. Vecchio ¹⁰⁰, M.J. Veen ¹¹³,
 I. Veliscek ¹²⁵, L.M. Veloce ¹⁵⁴, F. Veloso ^{129a,129c}, S. Veneziano ^{74a}, A. Ventura ^{69a,69b},
 A. Verbytskyi ¹⁰⁹, M. Verducci ^{73a,73b}, C. Vergis ²⁴, M. Verissimo De Araujo ^{81b},
 W. Verkerke ¹¹³, J.C. Vermeulen ¹¹³, C. Vernieri ¹⁴², P.J. Verschuuren ⁹⁴, M. Vessella ¹⁰²,
 M.L. Vesterbacka ¹¹⁶, M.C. Vetterli ^{141,ag}, A. Vgenopoulos ¹⁵¹, N. Viaux Maira ^{136f},
 T. Vickey ¹³⁸, O.E. Vickey Boeriu ¹³⁸, G.H.A. Viehhauser ¹²⁵, L. Viganì ^{63b}, M. Villa ^{23b,23a},
 M. Villaplana Perez ¹⁶², E.M. Villhauer ⁵², E. Vilucchi ⁵³, M.G. Vinciter ³⁴, G.S. Virdee ²⁰,
 A. Vishwakarma ⁵², C. Vittori ^{23b,23a}, I. Vivarelli ¹⁴⁵, V. Vladimirov ¹⁶⁶, E. Voevodina ¹⁰⁹,
 F. Vogel ¹⁰⁸, P. Vokac ¹³¹, J. Von Ahnen ⁴⁸, E. Von Toerne ²⁴, B. Vormwald ³⁶, V. Vorobel ¹³²,
 K. Vorobev ³⁷, M. Vos ¹⁶², J.H. Vosseveld ⁹¹, M. Vozak ¹¹³, L. Vozdecky ⁹³, N. Vranjes ¹⁵,
 M. Vranjes Milosavljevic ¹⁵, M. Vreeswijk ¹¹³, R. Vuillermet ³⁶, O. Vujanovic ⁹⁹, I. Vukotic ³⁹,
 S. Wada ¹⁵⁶, C. Wagner ¹⁰², W. Wagner ¹⁷⁰, S. Wahdan ¹⁷⁰, H. Wahlberg ⁸⁹, R. Wakasa ¹⁵⁶,
 M. Wakida ¹¹⁰, V.M. Walbrecht ¹⁰⁹, J. Walder ¹³³, R. Walker ¹⁰⁸, W. Walkowiak ¹⁴⁰,
 A.M. Wang ⁶¹, A.Z. Wang ¹⁶⁹, C. Wang ^{62a}, C. Wang ^{62c}, H. Wang ^{17a}, J. Wang ^{64a},
 P. Wang ⁴⁴, R.-J. Wang ⁹⁹, R. Wang ⁶¹, R. Wang ⁶, S.M. Wang ¹⁴⁷, S. Wang ^{62b}, T. Wang ^{62a},
 W.T. Wang ⁷⁹, W.X. Wang ^{62a}, X. Wang ^{14c}, X. Wang ¹⁶¹, X. Wang ^{62c}, Y. Wang ^{62d},
 Y. Wang ^{14c}, Z. Wang ¹⁰⁵, Z. Wang ^{62d,51,62c}, Z. Wang ¹⁰⁵, A. Warburton ¹⁰³, R.J. Ward ²⁰,
 N. Warrack ⁵⁹, A.T. Watson ²⁰, M.F. Watson ²⁰, G. Watts ¹³⁷, B.M. Waugh ⁹⁵, A.F. Webb ¹¹,
 C. Weber ²⁹, M.S. Weber ¹⁹, S.A. Weber ³⁴, S.M. Weber ^{63a}, C. Wei ^{62a}, Y. Wei ¹²⁵,
 A.R. Weidberg ¹²⁵, J. Weingarten ⁴⁹, M. Weirich ⁹⁹, C. Weiser ⁵⁴, C.J. Wells ⁴⁸, T. Wenaus ²⁹,
 B. Wendland ⁴⁹, T. Wengler ³⁶, N.S. Wenke ¹⁰⁹, N. Wermes ²⁴, M. Wessels ^{63a}, K. Whalen ¹²²,
 A.M. Wharton ⁹⁰, A.S. White ⁶¹, A. White ⁸, M.J. White ¹, D. Whiteson ¹⁵⁹,
 L. Wickremasinghe ¹²³, W. Wiedenmann ¹⁶⁹, C. Wiel ⁵⁰, M. Wielers ¹³³, N. Wieseotte ⁹⁹,
 C. Wiglesworth ⁴², L.A.M. Wiik-Fuchs ⁵⁴, D.J. Wilbern ¹¹⁹, H.G. Wilkens ³⁶, D.M. Williams ⁴¹,

H.H. Williams¹²⁷, S. Williams³², S. Willocq¹⁰², P.J. Windischhofer¹²⁵, F. Winklmeier¹²², B.T. Winter⁵⁴, M. Wittgen¹⁴², M. Wobisch⁹⁶, A. Wolf⁹⁹, R. Wölker¹²⁵, J. Wollrath¹⁵⁹, M.W. Wolter⁸⁵, H. Wolters^{129a,129c}, V.W.S. Wong¹⁶³, A.F. Wongel⁴⁸, S.D. Worm⁴⁸, B.K. Wosiek⁸⁵, K.W. Woźniak⁸⁵, K. Wraight⁵⁹, J. Wu^{14a,14d}, M. Wu^{64a}, S.L. Wu¹⁶⁹, X. Wu⁵⁶, Y. Wu^{62a}, Z. Wu^{134,62a}, J. Wuerzinger¹²⁵, T.R. Wyatt¹⁰⁰, B.M. Wynne⁵², S. Xella⁴², L. Xia^{14c}, M. Xia^{14b}, J. Xiang^{64c}, X. Xiao¹⁰⁵, M. Xie^{62a}, X. Xie^{62a}, J. Xiong^{17a}, I. Xiotidis¹⁴⁵, D. Xu^{14a}, H. Xu^{62a}, H. Xu^{62a}, L. Xu^{62a}, R. Xu¹²⁷, T. Xu¹⁰⁵, W. Xu¹⁰⁵, Y. Xu^{14b}, Z. Xu^{62b}, Z. Xu¹⁴², B. Yabsley¹⁴⁶, S. Yacoob^{33a}, N. Yamaguchi⁸⁸, Y. Yamaguchi¹⁵³, H. Yamauchi¹⁵⁶, T. Yamazaki^{17a}, Y. Yamazaki⁸³, J. Yan^{62c}, S. Yan¹²⁵, Z. Yan²⁵, H.J. Yang^{62c,62d}, H.T. Yang^{17a}, S. Yang^{62a}, T. Yang^{64c}, X. Yang^{62a}, X. Yang^{14a}, Y. Yang⁴⁴, Z. Yang^{62a,105}, W-M. Yao^{17a}, Y.C. Yap⁴⁸, H. Ye^{14c}, J. Ye⁴⁴, S. Ye²⁹, X. Ye^{62a}, I. Yeletsikh³⁸, M.R. Yexley⁹⁰, P. Yin⁴¹, K. Yorita¹⁶⁷, C.J.S. Young⁵⁴, C. Young¹⁴², M. Yuan¹⁰⁵, R. Yuan^{62bj}, L. Yue⁹⁵, X. Yue^{63a}, M. Zaazoua^{35e}, B. Zabinski⁸⁵, E. Zaid⁵², T. Zakareishvili^{148b}, N. Zakharchuk³⁴, S. Zambito⁵⁶, J. Zang¹⁵², D. Zanzi⁵⁴, O. Zaplatilek¹³¹, S.V. Zeibner⁴⁹, C. Zeitnitz¹⁷⁰, J.C. Zeng¹⁶¹, D.T. Zenger Jr²⁶, O. Zenin³⁷, T. Ženiš^{28a}, S. Zenz⁹³, S. Zerradi^{35a}, D. Zerwas⁶⁶, B. Zhang^{14c}, D.F. Zhang¹³⁸, G. Zhang^{14b}, J. Zhang⁶, K. Zhang^{14a,14d}, L. Zhang^{14c}, R. Zhang¹⁶⁹, S. Zhang¹⁰⁵, T. Zhang¹⁵², X. Zhang^{62c}, X. Zhang^{62b}, Z. Zhang^{17a}, Z. Zhang⁶⁶, H. Zhao¹³⁷, P. Zhao⁵¹, T. Zhao^{62b}, Y. Zhao¹³⁵, Z. Zhao^{62a}, A. Zhemchugov³⁸, Z. Zheng¹⁴², D. Zhong¹⁶¹, B. Zhou¹⁰⁵, C. Zhou¹⁶⁹, H. Zhou⁷, N. Zhou^{62c}, Y. Zhou⁷, C.G. Zhu^{62b}, C. Zhu^{14a,14d}, H.L. Zhu^{62a}, H. Zhu^{14a}, J. Zhu¹⁰⁵, Y. Zhu^{62a}, X. Zhuang^{14a}, K. Zhukov³⁷, V. Zhulanov³⁷, N.I. Zimine³⁸, J. Zinsser^{63b}, M. Ziolkowski¹⁴⁰, L. Živković¹⁵, A. Zoccoli^{23b,23a}, K. Zoch⁵⁶, T.G. Zorbas¹³⁸, O. Zormpa⁴⁶, W. Zou⁴¹, L. Zwalinski³⁶.

¹Department of Physics, University of Adelaide, Adelaide; Australia.

²Department of Physics, University of Alberta, Edmonton AB; Canada.

^{3(a)}Department of Physics, Ankara University, Ankara; ^(b)Division of Physics, TOBB University of Economics and Technology, Ankara; Türkiye.

⁴LAPP, Université Savoie Mont Blanc, CNRS/IN2P3, Annecy; France.

⁵APC, Université Paris Cité, CNRS/IN2P3, Paris; France.

⁶High Energy Physics Division, Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne IL; United States of America.

⁷Department of Physics, University of Arizona, Tucson AZ; United States of America.

⁸Department of Physics, University of Texas at Arlington, Arlington TX; United States of America.

⁹Physics Department, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens; Greece.

¹⁰Physics Department, National Technical University of Athens, Zografou; Greece.

¹¹Department of Physics, University of Texas at Austin, Austin TX; United States of America.

¹²Institute of Physics, Azerbaijan Academy of Sciences, Baku; Azerbaijan.

¹³Institut de Física d'Altes Energies (IFAE), Barcelona Institute of Science and Technology, Barcelona; Spain.

^{14(a)}Institute of High Energy Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing; ^(b)Physics Department, Tsinghua University, Beijing; ^(c)Department of Physics, Nanjing University, Nanjing; ^(d)University of Chinese Academy of Science (UCAS), Beijing; China.

¹⁵Institute of Physics, University of Belgrade, Belgrade; Serbia.

¹⁶Department for Physics and Technology, University of Bergen, Bergen; Norway.

^{17(a)}Physics Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley CA; ^(b)University of California, Berkeley CA; United States of America.

¹⁸Institut für Physik, Humboldt Universität zu Berlin, Berlin; Germany.

- ¹⁹Albert Einstein Center for Fundamental Physics and Laboratory for High Energy Physics, University of Bern, Bern; Switzerland.
- ²⁰School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Birmingham, Birmingham; United Kingdom.
- ²¹(^a)Department of Physics, Bogazici University, Istanbul; (^b)Department of Physics Engineering, Gaziantep University, Gaziantep; (^c)Department of Physics, Istanbul University, Istanbul; (^d)Istinye University, Sariyer, Istanbul; Türkiye.
- ²²(^a)Facultad de Ciencias y Centro de Investigaciones, Universidad Antonio Nariño, Bogotá; (^b)Departamento de Física, Universidad Nacional de Colombia, Bogotá; Colombia.
- ²³(^a)Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia A. Righi, Università di Bologna, Bologna; (^b)INFN Sezione di Bologna; Italy.
- ²⁴Physikalisches Institut, Universität Bonn, Bonn; Germany.
- ²⁵Department of Physics, Boston University, Boston MA; United States of America.
- ²⁶Department of Physics, Brandeis University, Waltham MA; United States of America.
- ²⁷(^a)Transilvania University of Brasov, Brasov; (^b)Horia Hulubei National Institute of Physics and Nuclear Engineering, Bucharest; (^c)Department of Physics, Alexandru Ioan Cuza University of Iasi, Iasi; (^d)National Institute for Research and Development of Isotopic and Molecular Technologies, Physics Department, Cluj-Napoca; (^e)University Politehnica Bucharest, Bucharest; (^f)West University in Timisoara, Timisoara; Romania.
- ²⁸(^a)Faculty of Mathematics, Physics and Informatics, Comenius University, Bratislava; (^b)Department of Subnuclear Physics, Institute of Experimental Physics of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, Kosice; Slovak Republic.
- ²⁹Physics Department, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton NY; United States of America.
- ³⁰Universidad de Buenos Aires, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales, Departamento de Física, y CONICET, Instituto de Física de Buenos Aires (IFIBA), Buenos Aires; Argentina.
- ³¹California State University, CA; United States of America.
- ³²Cavendish Laboratory, University of Cambridge, Cambridge; United Kingdom.
- ³³(^a)Department of Physics, University of Cape Town, Cape Town; (^b)iThemba Labs, Western Cape; (^c)Department of Mechanical Engineering Science, University of Johannesburg, Johannesburg; (^d)National Institute of Physics, University of the Philippines Diliman (Philippines); (^e)University of South Africa, Department of Physics, Pretoria; (^f)University of Zululand, KwaDlangezwa; (^g)School of Physics, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg; South Africa.
- ³⁴Department of Physics, Carleton University, Ottawa ON; Canada.
- ³⁵(^a)Faculté des Sciences Ain Chock, Réseau Universitaire de Physique des Hautes Energies - Université Hassan II, Casablanca; (^b)Faculté des Sciences, Université Ibn-Tofail, Kénitra; (^c)Faculté des Sciences Semlalia, Université Cadi Ayyad, LPHEA-Marrakech; (^d)LPMR, Faculté des Sciences, Université Mohamed Premier, Oujda; (^e)Faculté des sciences, Université Mohammed V, Rabat; (^f)Institute of Applied Physics, Mohammed VI Polytechnic University, Ben Guerir; Morocco.
- ³⁶CERN, Geneva; Switzerland.
- ³⁷Affiliated with an institute covered by a cooperation agreement with CERN.
- ³⁸Affiliated with an international laboratory covered by a cooperation agreement with CERN.
- ³⁹Enrico Fermi Institute, University of Chicago, Chicago IL; United States of America.
- ⁴⁰LPC, Université Clermont Auvergne, CNRS/IN2P3, Clermont-Ferrand; France.
- ⁴¹Nevis Laboratory, Columbia University, Irvington NY; United States of America.
- ⁴²Niels Bohr Institute, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen; Denmark.
- ⁴³(^a)Dipartimento di Fisica, Università della Calabria, Rende; (^b)INFN Gruppo Collegato di Cosenza, Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati; Italy.
- ⁴⁴Physics Department, Southern Methodist University, Dallas TX; United States of America.

- ⁴⁵Physics Department, University of Texas at Dallas, Richardson TX; United States of America.
- ⁴⁶National Centre for Scientific Research "Demokritos", Agia Paraskevi; Greece.
- ⁴⁷(^a) Department of Physics, Stockholm University; (^b) Oskar Klein Centre, Stockholm; Sweden.
- ⁴⁸Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron DESY, Hamburg and Zeuthen; Germany.
- ⁴⁹Fakultät Physik, Technische Universität Dortmund, Dortmund; Germany.
- ⁵⁰Institut für Kern- und Teilchenphysik, Technische Universität Dresden, Dresden; Germany.
- ⁵¹Department of Physics, Duke University, Durham NC; United States of America.
- ⁵²SUPA - School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh; United Kingdom.
- ⁵³INFN e Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Frascati; Italy.
- ⁵⁴Physikalisches Institut, Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Freiburg, Freiburg; Germany.
- ⁵⁵II. Physikalisches Institut, Georg-August-Universität Göttingen, Göttingen; Germany.
- ⁵⁶Département de Physique Nucléaire et Corpusculaire, Université de Genève, Genève; Switzerland.
- ⁵⁷(^a) Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Genova, Genova; (^b) INFN Sezione di Genova; Italy.
- ⁵⁸II. Physikalisches Institut, Justus-Liebig-Universität Giessen, Giessen; Germany.
- ⁵⁹SUPA - School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Glasgow, Glasgow; United Kingdom.
- ⁶⁰LPSC, Université Grenoble Alpes, CNRS/IN2P3, Grenoble INP, Grenoble; France.
- ⁶¹Laboratory for Particle Physics and Cosmology, Harvard University, Cambridge MA; United States of America.
- ⁶²(^a) Department of Modern Physics and State Key Laboratory of Particle Detection and Electronics, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei; (^b) Institute of Frontier and Interdisciplinary Science and Key Laboratory of Particle Physics and Particle Irradiation (MOE), Shandong University, Qingdao; (^c) School of Physics and Astronomy, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Key Laboratory for Particle Astrophysics and Cosmology (MOE), SKLPPC, Shanghai; (^d) Tsung-Dao Lee Institute, Shanghai; China.
- ⁶³(^a) Kirchhoff-Institut für Physik, Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg, Heidelberg; (^b) Physikalisches Institut, Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg, Heidelberg; Germany.
- ⁶⁴(^a) Department of Physics, Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, N.T., Hong Kong; (^b) Department of Physics, University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong; (^c) Department of Physics and Institute for Advanced Study, Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, Clear Water Bay, Kowloon, Hong Kong; China.
- ⁶⁵Department of Physics, National Tsing Hua University, Hsinchu; Taiwan.
- ⁶⁶IJCLab, Université Paris-Saclay, CNRS/IN2P3, 91405, Orsay; France.
- ⁶⁷Department of Physics, Indiana University, Bloomington IN; United States of America.
- ⁶⁸(^a) INFN Gruppo Collegato di Udine, Sezione di Trieste, Udine; (^b) ICTP, Trieste; (^c) Dipartimento Politecnico di Ingegneria e Architettura, Università di Udine, Udine; Italy.
- ⁶⁹(^a) INFN Sezione di Lecce; (^b) Dipartimento di Matematica e Fisica, Università del Salento, Lecce; Italy.
- ⁷⁰(^a) INFN Sezione di Milano; (^b) Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Milano, Milano; Italy.
- ⁷¹(^a) INFN Sezione di Napoli; (^b) Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Napoli, Napoli; Italy.
- ⁷²(^a) INFN Sezione di Pavia; (^b) Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Pavia, Pavia; Italy.
- ⁷³(^a) INFN Sezione di Pisa; (^b) Dipartimento di Fisica E. Fermi, Università di Pisa, Pisa; Italy.
- ⁷⁴(^a) INFN Sezione di Roma; (^b) Dipartimento di Fisica, Sapienza Università di Roma, Roma; Italy.
- ⁷⁵(^a) INFN Sezione di Roma Tor Vergata; (^b) Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Roma Tor Vergata, Roma; Italy.
- ⁷⁶(^a) INFN Sezione di Roma Tre; (^b) Dipartimento di Matematica e Fisica, Università Roma Tre, Roma; Italy.
- ⁷⁷(^a) INFN-TIFPA; (^b) Università degli Studi di Trento, Trento; Italy.
- ⁷⁸Universität Innsbruck, Department of Astro and Particle Physics, Innsbruck; Austria.
- ⁷⁹University of Iowa, Iowa City IA; United States of America.
- ⁸⁰Department of Physics and Astronomy, Iowa State University, Ames IA; United States of America.

- ⁸¹(^a) Departamento de Engenharia Elétrica, Universidade Federal de Juiz de Fora (UFJF), Juiz de Fora; (^b) Universidade Federal do Rio De Janeiro COPPE/EE/IF, Rio de Janeiro; (^c) Instituto de Física, Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo; (^d) Rio de Janeiro State University, Rio de Janeiro; Brazil.
- ⁸²KEK, High Energy Accelerator Research Organization, Tsukuba; Japan.
- ⁸³Graduate School of Science, Kobe University, Kobe; Japan.
- ⁸⁴(^a) AGH University of Krakow, Faculty of Physics and Applied Computer Science, Krakow; (^b) Marian Smoluchowski Institute of Physics, Jagiellonian University, Krakow; Poland.
- ⁸⁵Institute of Nuclear Physics Polish Academy of Sciences, Krakow; Poland.
- ⁸⁶Faculty of Science, Kyoto University, Kyoto; Japan.
- ⁸⁷Kyoto University of Education, Kyoto; Japan.
- ⁸⁸Research Center for Advanced Particle Physics and Department of Physics, Kyushu University, Fukuoka ; Japan.
- ⁸⁹Instituto de Física La Plata, Universidad Nacional de La Plata and CONICET, La Plata; Argentina.
- ⁹⁰Physics Department, Lancaster University, Lancaster; United Kingdom.
- ⁹¹Oliver Lodge Laboratory, University of Liverpool, Liverpool; United Kingdom.
- ⁹²Department of Experimental Particle Physics, Jožef Stefan Institute and Department of Physics, University of Ljubljana, Ljubljana; Slovenia.
- ⁹³School of Physics and Astronomy, Queen Mary University of London, London; United Kingdom.
- ⁹⁴Department of Physics, Royal Holloway University of London, Egham; United Kingdom.
- ⁹⁵Department of Physics and Astronomy, University College London, London; United Kingdom.
- ⁹⁶Louisiana Tech University, Ruston LA; United States of America.
- ⁹⁷Fysiska institutionen, Lunds universitet, Lund; Sweden.
- ⁹⁸Departamento de Física Teórica C-15 and CIAFF, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid; Spain.
- ⁹⁹Institut für Physik, Universität Mainz, Mainz; Germany.
- ¹⁰⁰School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Manchester, Manchester; United Kingdom.
- ¹⁰¹CPPM, Aix-Marseille Université, CNRS/IN2P3, Marseille; France.
- ¹⁰²Department of Physics, University of Massachusetts, Amherst MA; United States of America.
- ¹⁰³Department of Physics, McGill University, Montreal QC; Canada.
- ¹⁰⁴School of Physics, University of Melbourne, Victoria; Australia.
- ¹⁰⁵Department of Physics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor MI; United States of America.
- ¹⁰⁶Department of Physics and Astronomy, Michigan State University, East Lansing MI; United States of America.
- ¹⁰⁷Group of Particle Physics, University of Montreal, Montreal QC; Canada.
- ¹⁰⁸Fakultät für Physik, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, München; Germany.
- ¹⁰⁹Max-Planck-Institut für Physik (Werner-Heisenberg-Institut), München; Germany.
- ¹¹⁰Graduate School of Science and Kobayashi-Maskawa Institute, Nagoya University, Nagoya; Japan.
- ¹¹¹Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque NM; United States of America.
- ¹¹²Institute for Mathematics, Astrophysics and Particle Physics, Radboud University/Nikhef, Nijmegen; Netherlands.
- ¹¹³Nikhef National Institute for Subatomic Physics and University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam; Netherlands.
- ¹¹⁴Department of Physics, Northern Illinois University, DeKalb IL; United States of America.
- ¹¹⁵(^a) New York University Abu Dhabi, Abu Dhabi; (^b) University of Sharjah, Sharjah; United Arab Emirates.
- ¹¹⁶Department of Physics, New York University, New York NY; United States of America.
- ¹¹⁷Ochanomizu University, Otsuka, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo; Japan.

- ¹¹⁸Ohio State University, Columbus OH; United States of America.
- ¹¹⁹Homer L. Dodge Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Oklahoma, Norman OK; United States of America.
- ¹²⁰Department of Physics, Oklahoma State University, Stillwater OK; United States of America.
- ¹²¹Palacký University, Joint Laboratory of Optics, Olomouc; Czech Republic.
- ¹²²Institute for Fundamental Science, University of Oregon, Eugene, OR; United States of America.
- ¹²³Graduate School of Science, Osaka University, Osaka; Japan.
- ¹²⁴Department of Physics, University of Oslo, Oslo; Norway.
- ¹²⁵Department of Physics, Oxford University, Oxford; United Kingdom.
- ¹²⁶LPNHE, Sorbonne Université, Université Paris Cité, CNRS/IN2P3, Paris; France.
- ¹²⁷Department of Physics, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia PA; United States of America.
- ¹²⁸Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh PA; United States of America.
- ¹²⁹(^a) Laboratório de Instrumentação e Física Experimental de Partículas - LIP, Lisboa; (^b) Departamento de Física, Faculdade de Ciências, Universidade de Lisboa, Lisboa; (^c) Departamento de Física, Universidade de Coimbra, Coimbra; (^d) Centro de Física Nuclear da Universidade de Lisboa, Lisboa; (^e) Departamento de Física, Universidade do Minho, Braga; (^f) Departamento de Física Teórica y del Cosmos, Universidad de Granada, Granada (Spain); (^g) Departamento de Física, Instituto Superior Técnico, Universidade de Lisboa, Lisboa; Portugal.
- ¹³⁰Institute of Physics of the Czech Academy of Sciences, Prague; Czech Republic.
- ¹³¹Czech Technical University in Prague, Prague; Czech Republic.
- ¹³²Charles University, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Prague; Czech Republic.
- ¹³³Particle Physics Department, Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot; United Kingdom.
- ¹³⁴IRFU, CEA, Université Paris-Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette; France.
- ¹³⁵Santa Cruz Institute for Particle Physics, University of California Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz CA; United States of America.
- ¹³⁶(^a) Departamento de Física, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Santiago; (^b) Millennium Institute for Subatomic physics at high energy frontier (SAPHIR), Santiago; (^c) Instituto de Investigación Multidisciplinario en Ciencia y Tecnología, y Departamento de Física, Universidad de La Serena; (^d) Universidad Andres Bello, Department of Physics, Santiago; (^e) Instituto de Alta Investigación, Universidad de Tarapacá, Arica; (^f) Departamento de Física, Universidad Técnica Federico Santa María, Valparaíso; Chile.
- ¹³⁷Department of Physics, University of Washington, Seattle WA; United States of America.
- ¹³⁸Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Sheffield, Sheffield; United Kingdom.
- ¹³⁹Department of Physics, Shinshu University, Nagano; Japan.
- ¹⁴⁰Department Physik, Universität Siegen, Siegen; Germany.
- ¹⁴¹Department of Physics, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby BC; Canada.
- ¹⁴²SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, Stanford CA; United States of America.
- ¹⁴³Department of Physics, Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm; Sweden.
- ¹⁴⁴Departments of Physics and Astronomy, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook NY; United States of America.
- ¹⁴⁵Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Sussex, Brighton; United Kingdom.
- ¹⁴⁶School of Physics, University of Sydney, Sydney; Australia.
- ¹⁴⁷Institute of Physics, Academia Sinica, Taipei; Taiwan.
- ¹⁴⁸(^a) E. Andronikashvili Institute of Physics, Iv. Javakhishvili Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi; (^b) High Energy Physics Institute, Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi; (^c) University of Georgia, Tbilisi; Georgia.
- ¹⁴⁹Department of Physics, Technion, Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa; Israel.

- ¹⁵⁰Raymond and Beverly Sackler School of Physics and Astronomy, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv; Israel.
- ¹⁵¹Department of Physics, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki; Greece.
- ¹⁵²International Center for Elementary Particle Physics and Department of Physics, University of Tokyo, Tokyo; Japan.
- ¹⁵³Department of Physics, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Tokyo; Japan.
- ¹⁵⁴Department of Physics, University of Toronto, Toronto ON; Canada.
- ¹⁵⁵(^a) TRIUMF, Vancouver BC; (^b) Department of Physics and Astronomy, York University, Toronto ON; Canada.
- ¹⁵⁶Division of Physics and Tomonaga Center for the History of the Universe, Faculty of Pure and Applied Sciences, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba; Japan.
- ¹⁵⁷Department of Physics and Astronomy, Tufts University, Medford MA; United States of America.
- ¹⁵⁸United Arab Emirates University, Al Ain; United Arab Emirates.
- ¹⁵⁹Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of California Irvine, Irvine CA; United States of America.
- ¹⁶⁰Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Uppsala, Uppsala; Sweden.
- ¹⁶¹Department of Physics, University of Illinois, Urbana IL; United States of America.
- ¹⁶²Instituto de Física Corpuscular (IFIC), Centro Mixto Universidad de Valencia - CSIC, Valencia; Spain.
- ¹⁶³Department of Physics, University of British Columbia, Vancouver BC; Canada.
- ¹⁶⁴Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Victoria, Victoria BC; Canada.
- ¹⁶⁵Fakultät für Physik und Astronomie, Julius-Maximilians-Universität Würzburg, Würzburg; Germany.
- ¹⁶⁶Department of Physics, University of Warwick, Coventry; United Kingdom.
- ¹⁶⁷Waseda University, Tokyo; Japan.
- ¹⁶⁸Department of Particle Physics and Astrophysics, Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot; Israel.
- ¹⁶⁹Department of Physics, University of Wisconsin, Madison WI; United States of America.
- ¹⁷⁰Fakultät für Mathematik und Naturwissenschaften, Fachgruppe Physik, Bergische Universität Wuppertal, Wuppertal; Germany.
- ¹⁷¹Department of Physics, Yale University, New Haven CT; United States of America.
- ^a Also Affiliated with an institute covered by a cooperation agreement with CERN.
- ^b Also at Borough of Manhattan Community College, City University of New York, New York NY; United States of America.
- ^c Also at Bruno Kessler Foundation, Trento; Italy.
- ^d Also at Center for High Energy Physics, Peking University; China.
- ^e Also at Centro Studi e Ricerche Enrico Fermi; Italy.
- ^f Also at CERN, Geneva; Switzerland.
- ^g Also at Département de Physique Nucléaire et Corpusculaire, Université de Genève, Genève; Switzerland.
- ^h Also at Departament de Física de la Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Barcelona; Spain.
- ⁱ Also at Department of Financial and Management Engineering, University of the Aegean, Chios; Greece.
- ^j Also at Department of Physics and Astronomy, Michigan State University, East Lansing MI; United States of America.
- ^k Also at Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Louisville, Louisville, KY; United States of America.
- ^l Also at Department of Physics, Ben Gurion University of the Negev, Beer Sheva; Israel.
- ^m Also at Department of Physics, California State University, East Bay; United States of America.
- ⁿ Also at Department of Physics, California State University, Sacramento; United States of America.
- ^o Also at Department of Physics, King's College London, London; United Kingdom.
- ^p Also at Department of Physics, Stanford University, Stanford CA; United States of America.

- ^q Also at Department of Physics, University of Fribourg, Fribourg; Switzerland.
- ^r Also at Department of Physics, University of Thessaly; Greece.
- ^s Also at Department of Physics, Westmont College, Santa Barbara; United States of America.
- ^t Also at Hellenic Open University, Patras; Greece.
- ^u Also at Institutio Catalana de Recerca i Estudis Avancats, ICREA, Barcelona; Spain.
- ^v Also at Institut für Experimentalphysik, Universität Hamburg, Hamburg; Germany.
- ^w Also at Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy (INRNE) of the Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Sofia; Bulgaria.
- ^x Also at Institute of Particle Physics (IPP); Canada.
- ^y Also at Institute of Physics, Azerbaijan Academy of Sciences, Baku; Azerbaijan.
- ^z Also at Institute of Theoretical Physics, Ilia State University, Tbilisi; Georgia.
- ^{aa} Also at L2IT, Université de Toulouse, CNRS/IN2P3, UPS, Toulouse; France.
- ^{ab} Also at Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore; United States of America.
- ^{ac} Also at National Institute of Physics, University of the Philippines Diliman (Philippines); Philippines.
- ^{ad} Also at Physics Department, An-Najah National University, Nablus; Palestine.
- ^{ae} Also at The City College of New York, New York NY; United States of America.
- ^{af} Also at The Collaborative Innovation Center of Quantum Matter (CICQM), Beijing; China.
- ^{ag} Also at TRIUMF, Vancouver BC; Canada.
- ^{ah} Also at Università di Napoli Parthenope, Napoli; Italy.
- ^{ai} Also at University of Chinese Academy of Sciences (UCAS), Beijing; China.
- ^{aj} Also at University of Colorado Boulder, Department of Physics, Colorado; United States of America.
- ^{ak} Also at Yeditepe University, Physics Department, Istanbul; Türkiye.
- * Deceased