

Path integral description and direct interaction approximation for elastic plate turbulence

Ignacio Pavez¹ and Gustavo Düring¹

¹Instituto de Física, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Casilla 306, Santiago, Chile

July 12, 2022

Abstract

In this work, we apply the Martin-Siggia-Rose path integral formalism to the equations of a thin elastic plate. Using a diagrammatic technique, we obtain the direct interaction approximation (DIA) equations to describe the evolutions of the correlation function and the response function of the fields. Consistent with previous results, we show that DIA equations for elastic plates can be derived from a non-markovian stochastic process and that in the weakly nonlinear limit, the DIA equations lead to the kinetic equation of wave turbulence theory. We expect that this approach will allow a better understanding of the statistical properties of wave turbulence and that DIA equations can open new avenues for understanding the breakdown of weakly nonlinear turbulence for elastic plates.

Introduction

Turbulence represents one of the long-standing and unsolved problems which has challenged physicists for centuries. Although the turbulence phenomenon is rooted in the dynamics of fluid flows, turbulence-like behavior is rather ubiquitous in nature. A large class of systems ranging from quantum scales to astrophysical ones [1, 2, 3] are characterized by out-of-equilibrium randomly fluctuating waves, a state referred to as wave turbulence (WT). These systems have many similarities with hydrodynamic turbulence. They display a Richardson-like cascade with an energy flux from large scales toward small scales, leading to a stationary out-of-equilibrium solution for

the energy spectrum, called Kolmogorov-Zakharov[4, 5]. The significant advantage of random wave systems is that the dynamics can be considered typically as weakly nonlinear, in stark opposition to hydrodynamic turbulence. In consequence, it is possible to establish a closed kinetic equation for wave amplitudes evolution which capture the WT behavior [6, 7, 8, 9]. The wave turbulence theory has been extensively applied to quantum turbulence [10, 11], surface water waves[12, 13, 14], Alfvén waves [15] and even to describe the preheating stage of inflation in the early Universe [16].

The case of elastic plates [17] has been considered experimentally and numerically in several works [18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27]. It has been shown to be an excellent play-

ground for WT due to the simplicity of the experimental setup and of the dynamic equations for the surface elevation. Although important progress has been made, a full understanding of the probability density function evolution, as well as the nonlinear response of elastic plates, remains unclear. In addition, in the past decade, it has been reported that the weakly nonlinear regime breaks down at increasing forcing, and a new regime emerges [28][26]. Under strongly nonlinear effects, elastic plates display an energy spectrum different from the WT theory prediction. Intermittency, which is responsible for breaking self-similarity, is also observed [29]. Such a phenomenon, which is well known in hydrodynamic turbulence [30], goes beyond the standard description of the WT theory and remains largely unclear. In this work, using the Martin-Siggia-Rose formalism[31, 32, 33] we construct a path integral description for the stochastic dynamics of plates. This statistical description enables a full representation of the probability distribution function and a clear description of correlations and responses of the system under external perturbation. We expect that this formalism will provide new insights into the statistical properties of weakly nonlinear elastic plates and might elucidate some properties beyond the breakdown of the wave turbulence theory.

Different non-perturbative approximations have been developed to understand the properties of strong turbulence, ranging from the introduction of the so-called eddy viscosity constant [34] to methods recycled from quantum field theory. Theories based on renormalization have led to several descriptions such as Wyld's analysis [35], Local Energy Transfer Theory (LET) [36, 37], Direct Interaction Approximation (DIA)[38] and others [39]. Each of them leads to different results and descriptions, showing some degree of similarity [40, 39], but in general, fail to give an accurate description of hydrodynamic turbulence. DIA presents several advantages compared to other renormalization

methods. First, it lays on an exact correspondence with an underlying stochastic system which guarantees a well-defined evolution. Second, it correctly yields to the kinetic equation when applied to weakly nonlinear wave systems [41]. Here, using the path integral formalism, we derive the DIA equations for elastic plates to extend previous results to cubic nonlinear systems which are not phase invariant. We obtain an extra non-trivial term in the DIA arising from one-loop correction diagrams, which could impact the phase mixing at increasing wave amplitude. This extra term does not lead to energy transfer along modes and in the weakly nonlinear regime, it is responsible for the frequency renormalization [42, 5, 43, 17, 44].

DIA equations have been largely applied to different nonlinear systems from the Duffing Oscillator [45, 32] to Langmuir Turbulence in plasmas or the nonlinear Schrödinger equation (NLS) [46, 47]. For strongly nonlinear regimes, DIA is known to yield relatively unsatisfactory results [38, 47, 48, 49]. However, when a modified version of the DIA is imposed, the prediction compares well with numerical results [50, 41, 51]. Thus, this seems to be the best starting point to study the breakdown of the WT theory, due to its simplicity and realizability.

2 Föppl-von Kármán equations for plates

Thin elastic plates are modeled by the Föppl-von Kármán(FvK) equations [52]. These equations describe the time evolution of the vertical displacement ζ and the Airy stress function χ where

$$\rho \frac{\partial^2 \zeta}{\partial t^2}(\mathbf{x}, t) = -\frac{Eh^2}{12(1-\sigma^2)} \Delta^2 \zeta(\mathbf{x}, t) + \{\zeta, \chi\}(\mathbf{x}, t), \quad (1)$$

$$\frac{1}{E} \Delta^2 \chi(\mathbf{x}, t) = -\frac{1}{2} \{\zeta, \zeta\}(\mathbf{x}, t) \quad (2)$$

and $\{f, g\} := f_{xx}g_{yy} + f_{yy}g_{xx} - 2f_{xy}g_{xy}$. The physical parameters are given by the mass density ρ , the thickness h , the Young's modulus E and the Poisson ratio σ . The linear regime is characterized by bending waves, which display a relation dispersion $\omega_{\mathbf{k}} = \sqrt{\frac{Eh^2}{12(1-\sigma^2)\rho}}|\mathbf{k}|^2$. Using the canonical change of variables $A_{\mathbf{k}}(t) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\sqrt{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}\rho h} \zeta_{\mathbf{k}}(t) + \frac{i}{\sqrt{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}\rho h}} p_{\mathbf{k}}(t) \right)$ for the Fourier transform of the displacement field ζ and for the Fourier transforms of the momentum $p = \rho \partial_t \zeta$, the FvK equations transform to the general form of cubic nonlinear wave systems [17]

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + is\omega_{\mathbf{k}} \right) A_{\mathbf{k}}^s(t) = \sum_{s_1 s_2 s_3} \int L_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}_1\mathbf{k}_2\mathbf{k}_3}^{s s_1 s_2 s_3} A_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{s_1}(t) A_{\mathbf{k}_2}^{s_2}(t) A_{\mathbf{k}_3}^{s_3}(t) \times \delta(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{k}_3 - \mathbf{k}) d\mathbf{k}_{123}. \quad (3)$$

We utilize Newell's notation [42] : $A_{\mathbf{k}}^+(t) := A_{\mathbf{k}}(t)$, $A_{\mathbf{k}}^-(t) := A_{-\mathbf{k}}^*(t)$ and $d\mathbf{k}_{123} := d\mathbf{k}_1 d\mathbf{k}_2 d\mathbf{k}_3$. It should be noted that for finite size plates, integrals in Fourier space must be replaced with sums, but the entire formalism developed in the following remains unaltered. For the elastic plate:

$$L_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}_1\mathbf{k}_2\mathbf{k}_3}^{s s_1 s_2 s_3} = \frac{-is}{6\pi^2} X_{-\mathbf{k}} X_{\mathbf{k}_1} X_{\mathbf{k}_2} X_{\mathbf{k}_3} \times (T_{-\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}_1\mathbf{k}_2\mathbf{k}_3} + T_{-\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}_2\mathbf{k}_1\mathbf{k}_3} + T_{-\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}_3\mathbf{k}_2\mathbf{k}_1}) \quad (4)$$

with

$$T_{\mathbf{k}_1\mathbf{k}_2\mathbf{k}_3\mathbf{k}_4} = \frac{1}{16} \left(\frac{1}{|\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2|^2} + \frac{1}{|\mathbf{k}_3 + \mathbf{k}_4|^2} \right) (\mathbf{k}_1 \times \mathbf{k}_2)^2 (\mathbf{k}_3 \times \mathbf{k}_4)^2.$$

The canonical equations (3) can be encountered in several nonlinear wave systems such as gravity waves [53, 54] or capillary waves [55, 43]; however, beyond the weakly nonlinear regime, higher order corrections need to be included for those systems. Another important example is the Gross-Pitaevskii [56, 57, 58, 59] or NLS equations, which have been extensively studied including a description using DIA [46, 60]. However,

¹The star superscript denotes the complex conjugate.

it is known that the phase invariance of NLS implies an extra conserved quantity, leading to a complex statistical description even for initially weakly non linear amplitudes [57]. It is also interesting to observe that ideal incompressible fluids can be recast in a similar form making use of Clebsch pair μ and λ , where the velocity field reads $\mathbf{v} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \Delta^{-1} \nabla \times (\nabla \lambda \times \nabla \mu)$ with ρ the density. The dynamic equation in Fourier space thus takes the form (3) with $\omega_k = 0$, $A_{\mathbf{k}} = (\mu_{\mathbf{k}} + i\lambda_{\mathbf{k}})/\sqrt{2}$ and the scattering matrix $L_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}_1\mathbf{k}_2\mathbf{k}_3}^{s s_1 s_2 s_3}$ given in [5].

Canonical equation (3) can be shown to derive from a Hamiltonian structure

$$is\partial_t A_{\mathbf{k}}^s(t) = \frac{\delta H}{\delta A_{\mathbf{k}}^{-s}(t)}$$

where for the elastic plate

$$H = \int d\mathbf{k} \omega_{\mathbf{k}} A_{\mathbf{k}}(t) A_{\mathbf{k}}^*(t) + \frac{1}{4(2\pi)^2} \int d\mathbf{k}_{1234} X_{\mathbf{k}_1} X_{\mathbf{k}_2} X_{\mathbf{k}_3} X_{\mathbf{k}_4} T_{\mathbf{k}_1\mathbf{k}_2;\mathbf{k}_3\mathbf{k}_4} \times \sum_{s_1 s_2 s_3 s_4} A_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{s_1}(t) A_{\mathbf{k}_2}^{s_2}(t) A_{\mathbf{k}_3}^{s_3}(t) A_{\mathbf{k}_4}^{s_4}(t) \delta(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{k}_3 + \mathbf{k}_4). \quad (5)$$

To obtain an out-of-equilibrium turbulent state, we have to include forcing and damping terms into the dynamics

$$is\partial_t A_{\mathbf{k}}^s(t) = \eta_{\mathbf{k}}^s - is\gamma_{\mathbf{k}} A_{\mathbf{k}}^s(t) + \frac{\delta H}{\delta A_{\mathbf{k}}^{-s}(t)}, \quad (6)$$

where $\gamma_{\mathbf{k}}$ is the damping term and $\eta_{\mathbf{k}}^s$ the external force. We will assume $\eta_{\mathbf{k}}^s$ to be a complex random variable with a Gaussian distribution and delta correlated ,i.e. $\langle \eta_{\mathbf{k}}^s(t) \eta_{\mathbf{q}}^z(t') \rangle = 2F_{\mathbf{q}} \delta(t - t') \delta_{-s,z} \delta(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q})$ with $(\eta_{-\mathbf{k}_1}^{s_1}(t_1))^* = \eta_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{-s_1}(t_1)$ ¹. To study the statistical properties of this system, we will write in the next chapter the path integral description following the standard Martin-Siggia-Rose formalism [31, 32, 33].

3 Path Integral formalism

The key idea in constructing the path integral functional is to realize that each solution $A_{\mathbf{k}}^s$ depends on the specific realization of the noise $\eta_{\mathbf{k}}^s$. Thus, we can obtain a probability distribution function (PDF) for the field $A_{\mathbf{k}}^s$ combining the PDF of the gaussian noise and the constraint of the function $A_{\mathbf{k}}^s$ to the stochastic differential equation (SDE). The average for an observable $O = O(A_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{s_1}(t), \dots, A_{\mathbf{k}_n}^{s_n}(t))$ is

$$\langle O \rangle = \int \mathcal{D}\eta_{\mathbf{k}}^s(t) P(\eta_{\mathbf{k}}^s(t)) O(A_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{s_1, \eta_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{s_1}}, \dots, A_{\mathbf{k}_n}^{s_n, \eta_{\mathbf{k}_n}^{s_n}}), \quad (7)$$

where $\mathcal{D}\eta_{\mathbf{k}}^s(t) := \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{k=k_1}^{k_n} \prod_{s=s_1}^{s_n} \prod_{j=1}^N d\eta_{k,j}^s$ (the subindex j is for the time discretization), $P(\eta_{\mathbf{k}}^s(t))$ is a Gaussian PDF and $A_{\mathbf{k}_n, \eta_{\mathbf{k}_n}^{s_n}}^{s_n}$ is the field under a given realization of the noise. Inserting a Dirac delta function with the SDE as an argument allows us to rewrite the average as integrating over the field $A_{\mathbf{k}}^s$. Averaging over the noise, by making use of the integral representation of the delta function leads to

$$\langle O \rangle = \int \mathcal{D}A_{\mathbf{k}}^s(t) \mathcal{D}\tilde{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^s(t) O(A_{\mathbf{k}}^s(t), \dots) e^{-S[A_{\mathbf{k}}^s(t), \tilde{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^s(t)]} \quad (8)$$

where $\tilde{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^s$ corresponds to the integration variable from the Dirac delta integral representation and the MSR action reads as

$$S[A_{\mathbf{k}}^s(t), \tilde{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^s(t)] := \sum_s \int d\mathbf{k} dt \tilde{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^s(t) (\partial_t A_{\mathbf{k}}^s(t) + \gamma_{\mathbf{k}} A_{\mathbf{k}}^s(t) + i s \frac{\delta H}{\delta A_{\mathbf{k}}^{-s}(t)} - F_{\mathbf{k}} \tilde{A}_{-\mathbf{k}}^{-s}(t)). \quad (9)$$

Therefore, we can define the generating functional in terms of the auxiliary fields $J_{\mathbf{k}}^s(t)$ and $\tilde{J}_{\mathbf{k}}^s(t)$ as

$$Z[J, \tilde{J}] = \int \mathcal{D}A_{\mathbf{k}}^s \mathcal{D}\tilde{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^s e^{-S[A_{\mathbf{k}}^s(t), \tilde{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^s(t)] + \sum_s \int d\mathbf{k} \int \tilde{J}_{\mathbf{k}}^s(t) A_{\mathbf{k}}^s(t) + J_{\mathbf{k}}^s(t) \tilde{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^s(t) dt}, \quad (10)$$

where $Z[0, 0] = 1$. Correlation functions can then be easily obtained by differentiating over the field \tilde{J} and J . In particular, the two point correlation function $C_{\mathbf{p}_1 \mathbf{p}_2}^{l_1 l_2}(t_1, t_2) :=$

$\langle A_{\mathbf{p}_1}^{l_1}(t_1) A_{\mathbf{p}_2}^{l_2}(t_2) \rangle$ is given by

$$C_{\mathbf{p}_1 \mathbf{p}_2}^{l_1 l_2}(t_1, t_2) = \left. \frac{\delta^2 Z[J, \tilde{J}]}{\delta \tilde{J}_{\mathbf{p}_2}^{l_2}(t_2) \delta \tilde{J}_{\mathbf{p}_1}^{l_1}(t_1)} \right|_{J=\tilde{J}=0}. \quad (11)$$

The response function defined as $R_{\mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{p}_2}^{l_1 - l_2}(t_1, t_2) := \left\langle \frac{\delta A_{\mathbf{p}_1}^{l_1}(t_1)}{\delta \eta_{\mathbf{p}_2}^{l_2}(t_2)} \right\rangle$ can also be obtained directly from the generating functional. This quantity simply measures (in average) the infinitesimal change of the field with respect to a source. It can be shown (Appendix F) that

$$R_{\mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{p}_2}^{l_1 - l_2}(t_1, t_2) = \left. \frac{\delta^2 Z[J, \tilde{J}]}{\delta \tilde{J}_{\mathbf{p}_2}^{l_2}(t_2) \delta \tilde{J}_{\mathbf{p}_1}^{l_1}(t_1)} \right|_{J=\tilde{J}=0}. \quad (12)$$

Performing the path integral for the generating functional (10) is extremely complicated and only in the absence of the nonlinear term, called the *free* case, a general solution can be found. The moment generating functional for the *free* case $Z_0[J, \tilde{J}]$ is obtained by keeping the linear term of the Hamiltonian $H \rightarrow H_0 = \int d\mathbf{k} \omega_{\mathbf{k}} A_{\mathbf{k}}(t) A_{\mathbf{k}}^*(t)$. The *free* generating functional then reads as (see details in appendix A)

$$Z_0[J, \tilde{J}] = e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{s_1 s_2} \int d\mathbf{k}_1 d\mathbf{k}_2 \int dt dt' j_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{s_1, T}(t) G_{\mathbf{k}_1 \mathbf{k}_2}^{s_1 s_2}(t, t') j_{\mathbf{k}_2}^{s_2}(t')} \quad (13)$$

where

$$G_{\mathbf{k}_1 \mathbf{k}_2}^{s_1 s_2}(t, t') = \begin{pmatrix} C_{0, \mathbf{k}_1 \mathbf{k}_2}^{s_1 s_2}(t, t') & R_{0, \mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2}^{s_1 - s_2}(t, t') \\ R_{0, \mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{k}_1}^{s_2 - s_1}(t', t) & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (14)$$

with $j_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{s_1}(t) := \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{J}_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{s_1}(t) \\ J_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{s_1}(t) \end{pmatrix}$ and the superscript T denotes transpose. The subindex 0 hereafter refers to functions associated with the linear Hamiltonian H_0 . It is to be noted that we express this functional only in terms of the correlation and response function; this is an outstanding advantage of this construction and the reason will be clarified later. The explicit solutions for the correlation and response functions are known

for the *free* case. Considering the dynamic equation (6) with the linear Hamiltonian H_0 , one can easily obtain closed equations for the two-point correlation and response function

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_t + is\Omega_{\mathbf{k}}^s) C_{0,\mathbf{k}\mathbf{p}_2}^{sl_2}(t, t_2) &= 2F_{\mathbf{p}_2} R_{0,\mathbf{p}_2\mathbf{k}}^{l_2s}(t_2, t) \\ (\partial_t + is\Omega_{\mathbf{k}}^s) R_{0,\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{p}_2}^{s-l_2}(t, t_2) &= \delta_{sl_2} \delta(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p}_2) \delta(t - t_2) \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

where $\Omega_{\mathbf{k}}^s := \omega_{\mathbf{k}} - is\gamma_{\mathbf{k}}$ and we made use of the identity $R_{0,\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{p}_2}^{s-l_2}(t, t_2) = \frac{1}{2F_{-\mathbf{p}_2}} \langle A_{\mathbf{k}}^s(t) \eta_{-\mathbf{p}_2}^{-l_2}(t_2) \rangle$ (see Appendix F for details). Finally the zero order correlation and response function are given by:

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\mathbf{p}_1-\mathbf{p}_2}^{l_1-l_2}(t_1, t_2) &= \delta_{l_1 l_2} \delta(\mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{p}_2) e^{-il_1 \Omega_{\mathbf{p}_1}^{l_1}(t_1-t_2)} \\ C_{0,\mathbf{p}_1\mathbf{p}_2}^{l_1 l_2}(t_1, t_2) &= C_{0,\mathbf{p}_1\mathbf{p}_2}^{l_1 l_2}(0, 0) e^{-i(l_1 \Omega_{\mathbf{p}_1}^{l_1} t_1 + l_2 \Omega_{\mathbf{p}_2}^{l_2} t_2)} \\ &\quad + 2F_{\mathbf{p}_2} \delta_{l_1, -l_2} e^{il_1 \omega_{\mathbf{p}_2}(t_2-t_1)} t_1 \theta(t_2 - t_1) \\ &\quad + 2F_{\mathbf{p}_2} \delta_{l_1, -l_2} e^{il_1 \omega_{\mathbf{p}_2}(t_2-t_1)} t_2 \theta(t_1 - t_2) \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

where θ is the step function.

4 DIA equations

Calculating the path integral of the full nonlinear generating functional is a complicated problem, and an analog expression to (13) for the exact Z is not known. Thus, to study the nonlinear regime, some level of approximation needs to be used. The Direct interaction approximation (DIA) corresponds to a particular resummation scheme which leads to a closed set of coupled nonlinear integro-differential equations for the correlation and response functions. In contrast to the original derivation,

the use of the Martin-Siggia-Rose formalism exposes naturally the role of the response function in the statistical dynamics, as shown in the equation for the free generating functional (13).

The starting point is to consider perturbatively the non linear term of the generating functional (10) and expand the exponential into a power series. Every term in the series will corresponds to functional derivatives of Z_0 with respect to the auxiliar fields. Thus, we can write: $Z = Z_0[J, \tilde{J}] + Z_1[J, \tilde{J}] + Z_2[J, \tilde{J}] + \dots$. Consequently, the correlation $C_{\mathbf{p}_1\mathbf{p}_2}^{l_1 l_2}(t_1, t_2)$ and response function $R_{\mathbf{p}_1-\mathbf{p}_2}^{l_1-l_2}(t_1, t_2)$ can be written as expansions series making use of (11) and (12), where the zero order term correspond precisely to the free correlation $C_{0,\mathbf{p}_1\mathbf{p}_2}^{l_1 l_2}(t_1, t_2)$ and the free response $R_{0,\mathbf{p}_1-\mathbf{p}_2}^{l_1-l_2}(t_1, t_2)$, respectively (see details in Appendix B). To obtain an intuitive understanding of the procedure, we use a diagram technique.

So if we name every function (independent of the indices):

$$\begin{aligned} C &\rightarrow \text{~~~~~} \begin{array}{c} t_2 \\ \text{~~~~~} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} t_1 \\ \text{~~~~~} \end{array} \\ C_0 &\rightarrow \text{-----} \begin{array}{c} t_2 \\ \text{-----} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} t_1 \\ \text{-----} \end{array} \\ R &\rightarrow \text{~~~~~} \begin{array}{c} t_2 \\ \text{~~~~~} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} t_1 \\ \text{~~~~~} \end{array} \\ R_0 &\rightarrow \text{-----} \begin{array}{c} t_2 \\ \text{-----} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} t_1 \\ \text{-----} \end{array} \end{aligned}$$

one could find the Feynman diagrams for the correlation and the response functions. However, for practical reasons, we define the differential operator $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{p}_1, t_1}^{l_1} := \frac{\partial}{\partial t_1} + il_1 \Omega_{\mathbf{p}_1}^{l_1}$ and then expand the quantities $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{p}_1, t_1}^{l_1} C_{\mathbf{p}_1\mathbf{p}_2}^{l_1 l_2}(t_1, t_2)$ and $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{p}_1, t_1}^{l_1} R_{\mathbf{p}_1-\mathbf{p}_2}^{l_1-l_2}(t_1, t_2)$ instead of the pure two-point correlation and response function. Then the Feynman diagrams up to the second order take the form (showed in Appendix B):

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{p}_1, t_1}^{l_1} \text{ (wavy line)} = & \underbrace{t_2 \quad t_1}_{\text{Order R0}} + \underbrace{t_2 \quad t_1 \text{ (loop)}}_{\text{Order 1, type A}} + \underbrace{t_2 \quad t \text{ (loop)} \quad t_1}_{\text{Order 1, type RA}} \\
& + \underbrace{t_2 \quad t \text{ (loop)} \quad t_1 \text{ (loop)}}_{\text{Order 2, type A}} + \underbrace{t_2 \quad t' \text{ (loop)} \quad t' \text{ (loop)} \quad t \text{ (loop)} \quad t_1}_{\text{Order 2, type RA}} \\
& + \underbrace{t_2 \quad t \text{ (loop)} \quad t_1}_{\text{Order 2, type B}} + \underbrace{t_2 \quad t' \text{ (loop)} \quad t \text{ (loop)} \quad t_1}_{\text{Order 2, type RB}} \\
& + \underbrace{t_2 \quad t \text{ (loop)} \quad t_1}_{\text{Order 2, type C}} + \underbrace{t_2 \quad t_1 \text{ (loop)} \quad t \text{ (loop)}}_{\text{Order 2, type A}} + \underbrace{t_2 \quad t' \text{ (loop)} \quad t_1 \text{ (loop)}}_{\text{Order 2, type RA}}
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{p}_1, t_1}^{l_1} \text{ (wavy line)} = & \underbrace{\delta_{l_1, l_2} \delta(\mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{p}_2) \delta(t_1 - t_2)}_{\text{Order 0}} + \underbrace{t_2 \quad t_1 \text{ (loop)}}_{\text{Order 1, type RA}} \\
& + \underbrace{t_0 \quad t \text{ (loop)} \quad t_1 \text{ (loop)}}_{\text{Order 2, type RA}} + \underbrace{t_2 \quad t_1 \text{ (loop)} \quad t \text{ (loop)}}_{\text{Order 2, type RA}} \\
& + \underbrace{t_2 \quad t \text{ (loop)} \quad t_1}_{\text{Order 2, type RB}}
\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Here, the single *loops* means that there is a 0-order correlation function evaluated at equal times. Also, the corresponding order of each diagram is associated with the number of vertices. Concurrently, this number indicates the number of coefficient

factors $L_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}_1\mathbf{k}_2\mathbf{k}_3}^{ss_1s_2s_3}$ involved in wave vector integrals. Superscript sums and combinatory factors are ignored in the diagrammatical representation.

To obtain the DIA equations, a resummation scheme is necessary. Such resummation process consists of considering an infinite subset of diagrams, to capture some effects beyond the weakly nonlinear regime. In practice, the resummation corresponds to replace the free correlations and free response function (C_0 or R_0) on the right side of equation (17) and (18) with the respective "exact" correlation and response function, i.e, $C_0 \rightarrow C$ and $R_0 \rightarrow R$ for each mode. Finally, we obtain the DIA equations, for the two point correlation

$$\begin{aligned}
 \hat{\mathcal{L}}_{p_1,t_1}^{l_1} \begin{array}{c} t_2 \\ \text{~~~~~} \\ t_1 \end{array} &= \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} t_2 \\ \text{~~~~~} \\ t_1 \end{array}}_{\text{Type R}} + \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} t_2 \\ \text{~~~~~} \\ t_1 \end{array}}_{\text{Type A}} \\
 &+ \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} t_2 \\ \text{~~~~~} \\ t_1 \end{array}}_{\text{Type B}} \\
 &+ \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} t_2 \\ \text{~~~~~} \\ t_1 \end{array}}_{\text{Type C}}
 \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

and for the response function

$$\begin{aligned}
 \hat{\mathcal{L}}_{p_1,t_1}^{l_1} \begin{array}{c} t_2 \\ \text{~~~~~} \\ t_1 \end{array} &= \delta_{l_1,l_2} \delta(t_1 - t_2) + \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} t_2 \\ \text{~~~~~} \\ t_1 \end{array}}_{\text{Type RA}} \\
 &+ \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} t_2 \\ \text{~~~~~} \\ t_1 \end{array}}_{\text{Type RB}}
 \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

It is important to note that the diagrams in the final DIA equations corresponds to an infinite subset of the original expansion (17). One can show that all the diagrams up to the second order in (17) are considered in the DIA equations, but also many more (see for details Appendix C). For instance, one can easily notice that diagrams of order 1 and 2 of type A in (17) can be recovered from the type A diagram in the DIA equation (19). However, diagrams of order 2 type B and C in (17) are not obtained from the type A diagram in the DIA equation (19), but from diagrams type B and C in (19) (see Appendix C for details).

Considering statistical spatial homogeneity, the correlation and response function can be simplified as $C_{\mathbf{p}_1\mathbf{p}_2}^{l_1l_2}(t_1, t_2) = \delta(\mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{p}_2) C_{\mathbf{p}_2}^{l_1l_2}(t_1, t_2) 2\pi$ and $R_{\mathbf{p}_1\mathbf{p}_2}^{l_1l_2}(t_1, t_2) = \delta(\mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{p}_2) R_{\mathbf{p}_2}^{l_1l_2}(t_1, t_2)$. Then the DIA equations read as

$$\begin{aligned}
 \hat{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{p}_2,t_1}^{l_1} C_{\mathbf{p}_2}^{l_1l_2}(t_1, t_2) &= \sum_s \int_{\mathbf{p}_2}^{l_1s} C_{\mathbf{p}_2}^{sl_2}(t_1, t_2) + 2F_{\mathbf{p}_2} R_{\mathbf{p}_2}^{l_2l_1}(t_2, t_1) \\
 &+ \int_0^{t_1} d\tau \sum_{x_3} \sigma_{\mathbf{p}_2}^{l_1x_3}(t_1, \tau) C_{\mathbf{p}_2}^{x_3l_2}(\tau, t_2) \\
 &+ \int_0^{t_2} d\tau \sum_x S_{\mathbf{p}_2}^{l_1x}(\tau, t_1) R_{\mathbf{p}_2}^{l_2-x}(t_2, \tau)
 \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{p}_2, t_1}^{l_1} R_{-\mathbf{p}_2}^{l_1-l_2}(t_1, t_2) &= \delta_{l_1, l_2} \delta(t_1 - t_2) + \sum_s f_{\mathbf{p}_2}^{l_1 s} R_{-\mathbf{p}_2}^{s-l_2}(t_1, t_2) \\ &+ \int_{t_2}^{t_1} d\tau \sum_{x_3} \sigma_{-\mathbf{p}_2}^{l_1 x_3}(t_1, \tau) R_{-\mathbf{p}_2}^{x_3-l_2}(\tau, t_2)\end{aligned}\quad (22)$$

with

$$f_{\mathbf{p}}^{ls}(t) := 3(2\pi) \sum_{s_1 s_2} \int d\mathbf{k} L_{-\mathbf{p} \mathbf{k} \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p}}^{ls_1 s_2 s} C_{\mathbf{k}}^{s_1 s_2}(t, t) \quad (23)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_{\mathbf{p}}^{l_1 x_3}(t_1, t) &:= 18(2\pi)^2 \sum_{s_1 s_2 s_3} \int d\mathbf{k}_{123} L_{-\mathbf{p}_2 \mathbf{k}_1 \mathbf{k}_2 \mathbf{k}_3}^{l_1 s_1 s_2 s_3} \\ &\times \sum_{x_1 x_2} L_{\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_3 - \mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{p}_2}^{x x_1 x_2 x_3} C_{\mathbf{k}_3}^{x_1 s_3}(t, t_1) C_{\mathbf{k}_2}^{x_2 s_2}(t, t_1) \\ &\times R_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{s_1 - x}(t_1, t) \delta(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{k}_3 + \mathbf{p}_2)\end{aligned}\quad (24)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{p}_2}^{l_1 x}(t, t_1) &:= 6(2\pi)^2 \sum_{s_1 s_2 s_3} \int d\mathbf{k}_{123} L_{-\mathbf{p}_2 \mathbf{k}_1 \mathbf{k}_2 \mathbf{k}_3}^{l_1 s_1 s_2 s_3} \\ &\times \sum_{x_1 x_2 x_3} L_{\mathbf{p}_2 - \mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{k}_3}^{x x_1 x_2 x_3} C_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{x_1 s_1}(t, t_1) C_{\mathbf{k}_2}^{x_2 s_2}(t, t_1) \\ &\times C_{\mathbf{k}_3}^{x_3 s_3}(t, t_1) \delta(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{k}_3 + \mathbf{p}_2).\end{aligned}\quad (25)$$

Equations (21) and (22) correspond to the DIA equations for the elastic plate, similar to the DIA equations originally derived by Kraichnan in [38] for hydrodynamic turbulence. The main difference lies in the one-loop contribution proportional to $f_{\mathbf{p}_2}^{l_1 s}$, observed on the right hand side of equation (21) and (22). As one could notice, this nonlinear term alone is not capable for inducing an energy flux toward the small scales. If the amplitudes were zero above some wave number at some initial time, only the two-loop terms can activate those modes. However, the one-loop term could be very relevant for phase mixing and the decorrelation time scale in the system, which can be responsible for the break-down of the weakly nonlinear regime. As one would anticipate, this term will be shown to be responsible for the frequency shift in the weakly nonlinear

regime.

5 DIA Properties

5.1 Underlying stochastic system

An important property of the DIA equations is that they correspond to an exact closure for an underlying stochastic system. This ensures the existence of a well-defined PDF and quantities like $C_{\mathbf{k}}^{l_1-l_1}(t, t)$ are positive definite. Constructing backwards from equation (21) we get the stochastic system²:

$$(\partial_t + i l \omega_{\mathbf{p}}) A_{\mathbf{p}}^l(t) - \sum_s f_{\mathbf{p}}^{ls} A_{\mathbf{p}}^s(t) - \int_0^t \sum_x \sigma_{\mathbf{p}}^{lx}(t_1, \tau) A_{\mathbf{p}}^x(\tau) d\tau = \eta_{\mathbf{p}}^l(t) \quad (26)$$

where $\eta_{\mathbf{p}}^l(t)$ is the stochastic force which is statistical independent among modes and must satisfy $\langle \eta_{\mathbf{p}}^l(t) \eta_{\mathbf{p}_2}^x(t') \rangle = \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{p}}^{lx}(t, t') 2\pi \delta(\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{p}_2)$. A simple way to accomplish this constraint is defining

$$\begin{aligned}\eta_{\mathbf{p}}^l(t) &:= \sqrt{6(2\pi)^3} \sum_{s_1 s_2 s_3} \int d\mathbf{k}_{123} L_{-\mathbf{p} \mathbf{k}_1 \mathbf{k}_2 \mathbf{k}_3}^{ls_1 s_2 s_3} \\ &\times \xi_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{s_1}(t) \chi_{\mathbf{k}_2}^{s_2}(t) \phi_{\mathbf{k}_3}^{s_3}(t) \delta(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{k}_3 + \mathbf{p})\end{aligned}\quad (27)$$

where $\xi_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{s_1}(t)$, $\chi_{\mathbf{k}_2}^{s_2}(t)$ and $\phi_{\mathbf{k}_3}^{s_3}(t)$ are independent complex stochastic variables which correlation $\langle \xi_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{s_1}(t_1) \xi_{\mathbf{k}_2}^{s_2}(t_2) \rangle = \langle \chi_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{s_1}(t_1) \chi_{\mathbf{k}_2}^{s_2}(t_2) \rangle = \langle \phi_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{s_1}(t_1) \phi_{\mathbf{k}_2}^{s_2}(t_2) \rangle = \delta(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2) C_{\mathbf{k}_2}^{s_1 s_2}(t_1, t_2)$. Therefore, the correlation and response functions of the SDE (26) under the noise (27) are precisely the ones given by the DIA equations.

5.2 Kinetic equation

It is important to note that the DIA contains all the diagrams related to the second order expansion and therefore, contains all the needed diagrams to derive the kinetic equation of wave turbulence. If we want to take the weakly nonlinear limit,

²The derivation from the stochastic system to the DIA equations is shown in Appendix E

we have to rescale the order of the deformation, $A_{\mathbf{k}}^s \rightarrow \epsilon A_{\mathbf{k}}^s$, the forcing $F_{\mathbf{p}} \rightarrow \epsilon^2 F_{\mathbf{p}}$ and dissipation $\gamma_{\mathbf{p}} \rightarrow \epsilon^2 \gamma_{\mathbf{p}}$. It is convenient to make a change of variables to the time difference : $(t_1, t_2) \rightarrow (\tau, t_2)$ with $\tau := t_2 - t_1$. A standard perturbation method leads at zero order to

$$\begin{aligned} C_{0,\mathbf{p}_2}^{l_1 l_2}(\tau, t_2) &= C_{0,\mathbf{p}_2}^{l_1 l_2}(0, 0) e^{-i(l_1+l_2)\omega_{\mathbf{p}_2} t_2 + i l_1 \omega_{\mathbf{p}_2} \tau} \\ R_{0,\mathbf{p}_2}^{l_1 l_2}(\tau) &= \delta_{l_1, -l_2} e^{-i l_1 \omega_{\mathbf{p}_2} \tau} \end{aligned}$$

which are the same as Eq.16 and Eq.16 but without injection and dissipation. At higher orders of the expansion, resonant terms emerge requiring a multiscale perturbation method. Keeping the time difference τ as a fast time scale of order one and considering the long time behavior of t_2 , one can rewrite $C_{\mathbf{p}_2}^{l_1 l_2}(\tau, t_2) = C_{\mathbf{p}_2,0}^{l_1 l_2}(\tau, t_2, T_2, \mathcal{T}_2) + \epsilon C_{\mathbf{p}_2,1}^{l_1 l_2}(\tau, t_2, T_2, \mathcal{T}_2) + \epsilon^2 C_{\mathbf{p}_2,2}^{l_1 l_2}(\tau, t_2, T_2, \mathcal{T}_2) + \dots$ with $T_2 := \epsilon t_2$ and $\mathcal{T}_2 := \epsilon^2 t_2$. The integration constant of the zero order perturbation can then depend on the slow time, thus $C_{0,\mathbf{p}_2}^{l_1 l_2}(0, 0) \rightarrow C_{0,\mathbf{p}_2}^{l_1 l_2}(0, 0, T_2, \mathcal{T}_2)$. The slow time evolution of the correlation function $C_{0,\mathbf{p}_2}^{l_1 l_2}(0, 0, T_2, \mathcal{T}_2)$ is then set by the secular condition necessary to ensure an asymptotic perturbation expansion. At the second order expansion, one obtains that the two point correlation function at $\tau = 0$ defined as $n_{l_2 \mathbf{p}_2}(t_2) := C_{0,\mathbf{p}_2}^{l_2 - l_2}(0, 0, 0, \mathcal{T}_2)$ must satisfy the well known kinetic equation for elastic plates [17](see Appendix D for details):

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dn_{l_2 \mathbf{p}_2}}{dt} &= 12l_2 \pi \epsilon^4 \int d\mathbf{k}_{123} |L_{\mathbf{p}_2 \mathbf{k}_1 \mathbf{k}_2 \mathbf{k}_3}^{l_2 s_1 s_2 s_3}|^2 \sum_{s_1 s_2 s_3} n_{s_1 \mathbf{k}_1} n_{s_2 \mathbf{k}_2} n_{s_3 \mathbf{k}_3} n_{l_2 \mathbf{p}_2} \\ &\times \left(\frac{l_2}{n_{l_2 \mathbf{p}_2}} - \frac{s_1}{n_{s_1 \mathbf{k}_1}} - \frac{s_2}{n_{s_2 \mathbf{k}_2}} - \frac{s_3}{n_{s_3 \mathbf{k}_3}} \right) \\ &\times \delta(l_2 \omega_{\mathbf{p}_2} - s_1 \omega_{\mathbf{k}_1} - s_2 \omega_{\mathbf{k}_2} - s_3 \omega_{\mathbf{k}_3}) \\ &\times \delta(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{k}_3 - \mathbf{p}_2) \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

It should be noted that although the derivation of DIA equations does not require continuum variables in Fourier space, the

derivation of the kinetic equation does (see Appendix D).

6 Discussion

The Martin-Siggia-Rose path integral formalism used in this work gives a natural and clear approach to derive DIA and study wave turbulence theory for the elastic plates and other systems. This simplicity arises from the explicit dependence of the path integral on the response function, which enables a straightforward derivation of DIA. Interestingly, a new term emerges in the DIA equations for elastic plates, which is not present in previously studied systems. It originated from one-loop corrections, but yet, its physical significance and its role in non-weak turbulent systems remain to be explored.

Since the weak turbulence theory is recovered from the DIA equations in the proper limit, we expect the present formalism will elucidate several open questions on Wave Turbulence. For instance, a description of the response function at the slow time scales of the kinetic equation or a better understanding of the full probability distribution function using the stochastic underlying equations in the weakly nonlinear regime. Since DIA equations are equally derived for finite size systems, questions regarding discreteness in wave turbulence could also be addressed. Finally and more interestingly, the DIA equations might shed light on the breakdown of wave turbulence and the critical balance regime [61].

Theoretically, the DIA equations enable us to compute the so-called transport power [38, 62]. This is the rate at which energy is transferred from modes k' below some particular k ($k' < k$) to modes above the same k ($k' > k$). Therefore, we propose to study the evolution of this quantity for different amplitudes and consequently, attempt to categorize regimes according to the transport power.

Finally, it should be noted that for incompressible fluids using the Clebsch variable, similar DIA equations are obtained. Since no small parameter exists for such a system, the validity of DIA is questionable but it could be interesting to establish whether it leads to the same incorrect results obtained by Kraichnan for the DIA in fluids [38].

Acknowledgment. We thank G.Krstulovic, S. Rica and C.Falcón, for fruitful discussions and for comments on the manuscript. I.P and G.D. acknowledge support from Fondecyt Grant No. 1210656 and FONDECYT Grant No.1181382.

References

- [1] J. Saur, H. Politano, A. Pouquet, and W. Matthaeus, *Astronomy & Astrophysics* **386**, 699 (2002).
- [2] K. Wilson, E. Samson, Z. Newman, T. Neely, and B. Anderson, *Annual Review of Cold Atoms and Molecules: Volume 1*, 261 (2013).
- [3] P. Denissenko, S. Lukaschuk, and S. Nazarenko, *Physical review letters* **99**, 014501 (2007).
- [4] V. E. Zakharov and V. Lvov, *Radiofizika* **18**, 1470 (1975).
- [5] V. E. Zakharov, V. S. L'vov, and G. Falkovich, Kolmogorov spectra of turbulence I: Wave turbulence (Springer Science & Business Media, 2012).
- [6] R. Peierls, *Annalen der Physik* **395**, 1055 (1929).
- [7] D. Benney and P. G. Saffman, *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A. Mathematical and Physical Sciences* **289**, 301 (1966).
- [8] D. Benney and A. C. Newell, *Studies in Applied Mathematics* **48**, 29 (1969).
- [9] K. Hasselmann, *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* **12**, 481 (1962).
- [10] W. Vinen and J. Niemela, *Journal of low temperature physics* **128**, 167 (2002).
- [11] E. Kozik and B. Svistunov, *Physical review letters* **94**, 025301 (2005).
- [12] S. Y. Annenkov and V. I. Shrira, *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* **449**, 341 (2001).
- [13] E. Falcon, C. Laroche, and S. Fauve, *Physical review letters* **98**, 094503 (2007).
- [14] R. Bedard, S. Lukaschuk, and S. Nazarenko, *Jetp Letters* **97**, 459 (2013).
- [15] C. Ng and A. Bhattacharjee, *Physics of Plasmas* **4**, 605 (1997).
- [16] R. Micha and I. I. Tkachev, *Physical review letters* **90**, 121301 (2003).
- [17] G. Düring, C. Josserand, and S. Rica, *Physical review letters* **97**, 025503 (2006).
- [18] A. Boudaoud, P. Patricio, Y. Couder, and M. Ben Amar, *Nature* **407**, 718 (2000).
- [19] J. Chopin, D. Vella, and A. Boudaoud, *Proceedings of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences* **464**, 2887 (2008).
- [20] N. Mordant, *Physical review letters* **100**, 234505 (2008).
- [21] N. Mordant, *The European Physical Journal B* **76**, 537 (2010).
- [22] P. Cobelli, P. Petitjeans, A. Maurel, V. Pagneux, and N. Mordant, *Physical review letters* **103**, 204301 (2009).
- [23] G. Düring, C. Josserand, and S. Rica, *Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena* **347**, 42 (2017).

- [24] G. Düring and G. Krstulovic, *Physical Review E* **97**, 020201 (2018).
- [25] R. Hassaini, N. Mordant, B. Miquel, G. Krstulovic, and G. Düring, *Physical Review E* **99**, 033002 (2019).
- [26] N. Yokoyama and M. Takaoka, *Physical Review Letters* **110**, 105501 (2013).
- [27] N. Yokoyama and M. Takaoka, *Physical Review E* **89**, 012909 (2014).
- [28] B. Miquel, A. Alexakis, C. Josserand, and N. Mordant, *Physical review letters* **111**, 054302 (2013).
- [29] G. Düring, C. Josserand, G. Krstulovic, and S. Rica, *Physical Review Fluids* **4**, 064804 (2019).
- [30] U. Frisch and A. N. Kolmogorov, Turbulence: the legacy of AN Kolmogorov (Cambridge university press, 1995).
- [31] P. C. Martin, E. Siggia, and H. Rose, *Physical Review A* **8**, 423 (1973).
- [32] S. Gauthier, M.-E. Brachet, and J.-D. Fournier, *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General* **14**, 2969 (1981).
- [33] T. Castellani and A. Cavagna, *Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment* **2005**, P05012 (2005).
- [34] J. Boussinesq, Essai sur la théorie des eaux courantes (Impr. nationale, 1877).
- [35] H. Wyld Jr, *Annals of Physics* **14**, 143 (1961).
- [36] S. F. Edwards and W. McComb, *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. A. Mathematical and Physical Sciences* **325**, 313 (1971).
- [37] W. McComb, *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical, Nuclear and General* **7**, 632 (1974).
- [38] R. H. Kraichnan, *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* **5**, 497 (1959).
- [39] W. D. McComb, Oxford (1990).
- [40] W. McComb and V. Shanmugasundaram, *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* **143**, 95 (1984).
- [41] J. A. Krommes, *Physics Reports* **360**, 1 (2002).
- [42] A. C. Newell, S. Nazarenko, and L. Biven, *Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena* **152**, 520 (2001).
- [43] G. Düring and C. Falcón, *Physical review letters* **103**, 174503 (2009).
- [44] S. Galtier and S. V. Nazarenko, *Physical review letters* **119**, 221101 (2017).
- [45] J. Morton and S. Corrsin, *Journal of Statistical Physics* **2**, 153 (1970).
- [46] D. DuBois and H. Rose, *Physical Review A* **24**, 1476 (1981).
- [47] G.-Z. Sun, D. R. Nicholson, and H. A. Rose, *The Physics of fluids* **28**, 2395 (1985).
- [48] G.-Z. Sun, D. R. Nicholson, and H. A. Rose, *The Physics of fluids* **29**, 1011 (1986).
- [49] S. A. Orszag and G. Patterson Jr, *Physical Review Letters* **28**, 76 (1972).
- [50] J. S. Frederiksen and A. G. Davies, *Geophysical & Astrophysical Fluid Dynamics* **98**, 203 (2004).
- [51] J. C. Bowman, J. A. Krommes, and M. Ottaviani, *Physics of Fluids B: Plasma Physics* **5**, 3558 (1993).
- [52] L. D. Landau, E. M. Lifshitz, A. M. Kosevich, and L. P. Pitaevskii, Theory of elasticity: volume 7, Vol. 7 (Elsevier, 1986).

- [53] A. I. Dyachenko, A. O. Korotkevich, and V. E. Zakharov, Physical review letters **92**, 134501 (2004).
- [54] V. E. Zakharov and N. Filonenko, in Doklady Akademii Nauk, Vol. 170 (Russian Academy of Sciences, 1966) pp. 1292–1295.
- [55] V. E. Zakharov and N. Filonenko, Journal of applied mechanics and technical physics **8**, 37 (1967).
- [56] S. Nazarenko and M. Onorato, Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena **219**, 1 (2006).
- [57] S. Dyachenko, A. C. Newell, A. Pushkarev, and V. E. Zakharov, Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena **57**, 96 (1992).
- [58] S. Nazarenko and M. Onorato, Journal of Low Temperature Physics **146**, 31 (2007).
- [59] V. E. Zakharov, S. Musher, and A. Rubenchik, Physics reports **129**, 285 (1985).
- [60] P. J. Hansen and D. R. Nicholson, The Physics of Fluids **24**, 615 (1981).
- [61] A. C. Newell and V. E. Zakharov, Physics Letters A **372**, 4230 (2008).
- [62] R. H. Kraichnan, The Physics of Fluids **7**, 1030 (1964).

A Generating Functional

To obtain the solution for the generating functional at order 0, we start with the linear version of the path integral. As we would like to have a quadratic form in the argument of the exponential, we write S_0 (the linear version of MSR action S) as:

$$S_0 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s_1 s_2} \int d\mathbf{k}_1 \int d\mathbf{k}_2 \int \int \phi_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{s_1, T}(t) G_{\mathbf{k}_1 \mathbf{k}_2}^{-1, s_1 s_2}(t, t') \phi_{\mathbf{k}_2}^{s_2}(t') dt dt' \quad (29)$$

$$\text{where } G_{\mathbf{k}_1 \mathbf{k}_2}^{-1, s_1 s_2}(t, t') := \begin{pmatrix} G_{\mathbf{k}_1 \mathbf{k}_2, 1}^{-1, s_1 s_2}(t, t') & G_{\mathbf{k}_1 \mathbf{k}_2, 2}^{-1, s_1 s_2}(t, t') \\ G_{\mathbf{k}_1 \mathbf{k}_2, 3}^{-1, s_1 s_2}(t, t') & G_{\mathbf{k}_1 \mathbf{k}_2, 4}^{-1, s_1 s_2}(t, t') \end{pmatrix},$$

$\phi_{\mathbf{k}}^s(t) := \begin{pmatrix} A_{\mathbf{k}}^s(t) \\ \tilde{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^s(t) \end{pmatrix}$ and $\phi_{\mathbf{k}}^{s, T}(t)$ is the transpose of $\phi_{\mathbf{k}}^s(t)$. Then, by comparison we find out that:

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\mathbf{k}_1 \mathbf{k}_2, 1}^{-1, s_1 s_2}(t, t') &= 0 \\ G_{\mathbf{k}_1 \mathbf{k}_2, 2}^{-1, s_1 s_2}(t, t') &= \delta(t - t') \delta_{s_1, s_2} \delta(\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2) (-\partial_{t'} + i s_2 \Omega_{-\mathbf{k}_2}^{s_2}) \\ G_{\mathbf{k}_1 \mathbf{k}_2, 3}^{-1, s_1 s_2}(t, t') &= \delta(t - t') \delta_{s_1, s_2} \delta(\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2) (\partial_{t'} + i s_2 \Omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^{s_2}) \\ G_{\mathbf{k}_1 \mathbf{k}_2, 4}^{-1, s_1 s_2}(t, t') &= -2 F_{\mathbf{k}_2} \delta(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2) \delta_{s_1, -s_2} \delta(t - t') \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

As already mentioned, here we add the auxiliar fields compressed in $j_{\mathbf{k}}^s(t)$. So we get:

$$\begin{aligned} Z_0[J, \tilde{J}] &= \int \mathcal{D}[A_{\mathbf{k}}^s(t)] \mathcal{D}[\tilde{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^s(t)] \\ &\times e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{s_1 s_2} \int d\mathbf{k}_1 \int d\mathbf{k}_2 \int_0^T \int_0^T dt dt' \phi_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{s_1, T}(t) G_{\mathbf{k}_1 \mathbf{k}_2}^{-1, s_1 s_2}(t, t') \phi_{\mathbf{k}_2}^{s_2}(t')} \\ &\times e^{\sum_{s_1} \int d\mathbf{k}_1 \int j_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{s_1, T}(t) \phi_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{s_1}(t) dt} \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

As usual, we now follow the common procedure to integrate quadratic forms. That is, we first make a translation of variable $y_{\mathbf{k}}^s(t) := \phi_{\mathbf{k}}^s(t) - \phi_{\mathbf{k}}^{*s}(t)$ where $\left[\frac{\delta S_0}{\delta \phi_{\mathbf{k}}^s(t)} \right]_{\phi=\phi^*} = 0$. From this last

condition we get:

$$\sum_s \int d\mathbf{k} \int_0^T dt' G_{\mathbf{k}_1 \mathbf{k}}^{-1, s_1 s}(t, t') \phi_{\mathbf{k}}^{*s}(t') = j_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{s_1}(t) \quad (32)$$

Thus, to obtain $\phi_{\mathbf{k}}^{*s}(t')$, we need to invert this equation and that means we need to have the inverse of $G_{\mathbf{k}_1 \mathbf{k}}^{-1, s_1 s}(t, t')$:

$$\sum_{s_3} \int d\mathbf{k}_3 \int d\tau G_{\mathbf{k}_1 \mathbf{k}_3}^{-1, s_1 s_3}(t, \tau) G_{\mathbf{k}_3 \mathbf{k}_2}^{s_3 s_2}(\tau, t') = \delta_{s_1 s_2} \delta(\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2) \delta(t - t') \mathbb{I}_{2 \times 2} \quad (33)$$

This condition yields to equations for the components and we know functions that satisfy them: The correlation function and the response function, both at order 0. From the linear version of the dynamic equation for the fields, we know that:

$$(\partial_t + i s_1 \Omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{s_1}) C_{0, \mathbf{k}_1 \mathbf{k}_2}^{s_1 s_2}(t, t') = 2 F_{\mathbf{k}_1} R_{0, \mathbf{k}_2 \mathbf{k}_1}^{s_2 s_1}(t', t) \quad (34)$$

and

$$(\partial_t + i s_1 \Omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{s_1}) R_{0, \mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2}^{s_1 - s_2}(t, t') = \delta_{s_1 s_2} \delta(\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2) \delta(t - t') \quad (35)$$

Then we conclude that a posible solution is:

$$G_{\mathbf{k}_1 \mathbf{k}_2}^{s_1 s_2}(t, t') = \begin{pmatrix} C_{0, \mathbf{k}_1 \mathbf{k}_2}^{s_1 s_2}(t, t') & R_{0, \mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2}^{s_1 - s_2}(t, t') \\ R_{0, \mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{k}_1}^{s_2 - s_1}(t', t) & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (36)$$

Therefore, we can invert (32) and make the change of variable. Finally, to diagonalize the matrix, we make a unitary rotation which yields a gaussian integral. Because of the unitary rotation and the linear translation, the jacobian for the variable

$$Z_0[J, \tilde{J}] = e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{s_1} \int d\mathbf{k}_1 \int dt j_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{s_1, T}(t) \phi_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{*s_1}(t)} \quad (37)$$

B Obtaining DIA

Since we already know the generating functional for the linear case, we start from the definition of the exact generating functional and separate $S = S_0 + S_{N,L}$:

$$Z_0 = \int \mathcal{D}A_{\mathbf{k}}^s(t) \mathcal{D}\tilde{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^s(t) e^{-S_0[A_{\mathbf{k}}^s(t), \tilde{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^s(t)] - S_{N,L}[A_{\mathbf{k}}^s(t), \tilde{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^s(t)]} \quad (38)$$

We then convert it into a power series and add the auxiliar fields:

$$Z[J, \tilde{J}] = \int \mathcal{D}[A_{\mathbf{k}}^s(t) \mathcal{D}[\tilde{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^s(t)] \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} (S_{N,L})^n \times \exp \left(-S_0 + \sum_s \int d\mathbf{k} \int \tilde{J}_{\mathbf{k}}^s(t) A_{\mathbf{k}}^s(t) + J_{\mathbf{k}}^s(t) \tilde{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^s(t) dt \right) \quad (39)$$


If we expand $(S_{N,L})^n$, we observe that each term can be obtained if we perform derivatives with respect to the auxiliar fields and then set them to 0:

$$\begin{aligned} Z[J, \tilde{J}] &= \int \mathcal{D}[A_{\mathbf{k}}^s(t) \mathcal{D}[\tilde{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^s(t)] \left(1 + \int d\mathbf{k} \int dt \sum_{ss_1 s_2 s_3} \int L_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}_1 \mathbf{k}_2 \mathbf{k}_3}^{ss_1 s_2 s_3} \right. \\ &\quad \times \tilde{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^s(t) A_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{s_1}(t) A_{\mathbf{k}_2}^{s_2}(t) A_{\mathbf{k}_3}^{s_3}(t) \delta(\mathbf{K} - \mathbf{k}) d\mathbf{k}_{123} + O(2) \Big) \\ &\quad \times \exp \left(-S_0 + \sum_s \int d\mathbf{k} \int \tilde{J}_{\mathbf{k}}^s(t) A_{\mathbf{k}}^s(t) + J_{\mathbf{k}}^s(t) \tilde{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^s(t) dt \right) \\ &= \int \mathcal{D}[A_{\mathbf{k}}^s(t) \mathcal{D}[\tilde{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^s(t)] \left(1 + \int d\mathbf{k} \int dt \sum_{ss_1 s_2 s_3} \int L_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}_1 \mathbf{k}_2 \mathbf{k}_3}^{ss_1 s_2 s_3} \right. \\ &\quad \times \frac{\delta^4}{\delta \tilde{J}_{\mathbf{k}_3}^{s_3}(t) \delta \tilde{J}_{\mathbf{k}_2}^{s_2}(t) \delta \tilde{J}_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{s_1}(t) \delta J_{\mathbf{k}}^s(t)} \delta(\mathbf{K} - \mathbf{k}) d\mathbf{k}_{123} + O(2) \Big) \\ &\quad \times \exp \left(-S_0 + \sum_s \int d\mathbf{k} \int \tilde{J}_{\mathbf{k}}^s(t) A_{\mathbf{k}}^s(t) + J_{\mathbf{k}}^s(t) \tilde{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^s(t) dt \right) \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

where $\delta(\mathbf{K} - \mathbf{k}) := \delta(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{k}_3 - \mathbf{k})$. Since the integrals are over the A and \tilde{A} fields, the series pass out the integrals and Z can be viewed as a series of terms, each of them involving derivatives of free case Z_0 with respect to the auxiliar fields. Finally, to obtain the DIA, we go up to second order of the expansion


and compute 10 derivatives (8 for the nonlinear expression of Z_2 and 2 to obtain either the correlation function or the response function). Nevertheless, instead of actually computing the 10 derivatives, we can make use of the diagram technique as follows. We need second order terms, which means that we will have 2 internal vertices corresponding to the 2 factors $L_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}_1 \mathbf{k}_2 \mathbf{k}_3}^{ss_1 s_2 s_3}$ and 2 external legs associated with the 2 times where the correlation/response function is actually evaluated. In this case, one of the external legs will have the time t_2 and the other t_1 . Also, the 2 internal vertices correspond to 2 time variables (say t and t') which, obviously, are integrated. Next, we observe the symmetries that arise from the derivatives with respect to the x, \mathbf{q} and s, \mathbf{k} , because they are all dummy variables. Since Z_0 is expressed in terms of the 0-order statistical functions and these functions come from differentiate twice with respect to the auxiliar fields as seen in (for the $C_{\mathbf{p}_1 \mathbf{p}_2}^{l_1 l_2}(t_1, t_2)$ the derivatives are $\frac{\delta^2}{\delta \tilde{J}_{\mathbf{p}_2}^{l_2}(t_2) \delta \tilde{J}_{\mathbf{p}_1}^{l_1}(t_1)}$, while for $R_{\mathbf{p}_1 \mathbf{p}_2}^{l_1 l_2}(t_1, t_2)$ the derivatives are $\frac{\delta^2}{\delta J_{\mathbf{p}_2}^{l_2}(t_2) \delta \tilde{J}_{\mathbf{p}_1}^{l_1}(t_1)}$), we can view the problem of derivatives as a combinatory problem where we have to count every posible outcome that can be made if we take the derivatives by pairs. In this way, the only posible outcomes for the correlation function come from:

$$a) \quad \left(\frac{\delta}{\delta \tilde{J}_{\mathbf{p}_1}^{l_1}(t_1)} \frac{\delta}{\delta \tilde{J}_{\mathbf{k}}^s(t)} \right) \left(\frac{\delta}{\delta \tilde{J}_{\mathbf{q}_3}^{x_3}(t')} \frac{\delta}{\delta \tilde{J}_{\mathbf{q}_2}^{x_2}(t')} \right) \left(\frac{\delta}{\delta \tilde{J}_{\mathbf{k}_3}^{s_3}(t)} \frac{\delta}{\delta \tilde{J}_{\mathbf{k}_2}^{s_2}(t)} \right) \times \left(\frac{\delta}{\delta J_{\mathbf{q}}^x(t')} \frac{\delta}{\delta \tilde{J}_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{s_1}(t)} \right) \left(\frac{\delta}{\delta \tilde{J}_{\mathbf{p}_2}^{l_2}(t_2)} \frac{\delta}{\delta \tilde{J}_{\mathbf{q}_1}^{x_1}(t')} \right) \quad (41)$$

which will represent:  and because of symmetry, there will be 18 diagrams of the same type.


$$b) \quad \left(\frac{\delta}{\delta \tilde{J}_{\mathbf{p}_1}^{l_1}(t_1)} \frac{\delta}{\delta \tilde{J}_{\mathbf{k}}^s(t)} \right) \left(\frac{\delta}{\delta \tilde{J}_{\mathbf{q}_3}^{x_3}(t')} \frac{\delta}{\delta \tilde{J}_{\mathbf{k}_3}^{s_3}(t)} \right) \left(\frac{\delta}{\delta \tilde{J}_{\mathbf{q}_2}^{x_2}(t')} \frac{\delta}{\delta \tilde{J}_{\mathbf{k}_2}^{s_2}(t)} \right) \times \left(\frac{\delta}{\delta \tilde{J}_{\mathbf{p}_2}^{l_2}(t_2)} \frac{\delta}{\delta \tilde{J}_{\mathbf{q}_1}^{x_1}(t')} \right) \left(\frac{\delta}{\delta J_{\mathbf{q}}^x(t')} \frac{\delta}{\delta \tilde{J}_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{s_1}(t)} \right) \quad (42)$$

C Resummation process and expansion for order 1

represented by:  and

there will be 36 of them.

$$c) \left(\frac{\delta}{\delta \tilde{J}_{p_1}^{l_1}(t_1)} \frac{\delta}{\delta J_k^s(t)} \right) \left(\frac{\delta}{\delta \tilde{J}_{q_3}^{x_3}(t')} \frac{\delta}{\delta \tilde{J}_{k_3}^{s_3}(t)} \right) \left(\frac{\delta}{\delta \tilde{J}_{q_2}^{x_2}(t')} \frac{\delta}{\delta \tilde{J}_{k_2}^{s_2}(t)} \right) \times \left(\frac{\delta}{\delta \tilde{J}_{p_2}^{l_2}(t_2)} \frac{\delta}{\delta J_q^x(t')} \right) \left(\frac{\delta}{\delta \tilde{J}_{q_1}^{x_1}(t')} \frac{\delta}{\delta \tilde{J}_{k_1}^{s_1}(t)} \right) \quad (43)$$

represented by:  and

there will be 12 of them.


$$d) \left(\frac{\delta}{\delta \tilde{J}_{p_1}^{l_1}(t_1)} \frac{\delta}{\delta J_k^s(t)} \right) \left(\frac{\delta}{\delta \tilde{J}_{q_3}^{x_3}(t')} \frac{\delta}{\delta \tilde{J}_{q_2}^{x_2}(t')} \right) \left(\frac{\delta}{\delta \tilde{J}_{q_1}^{x_1}(t')} \frac{\delta}{\delta \tilde{J}_{k_3}^{s_3}(t)} \right) \times \left(\frac{\delta}{\delta \tilde{J}_{p_2}^{l_2}(t_2)} \frac{\delta}{\delta \tilde{J}_{k_2}^{s_2}(t)} \right) \left(\frac{\delta}{\delta \tilde{J}_q^x(t')} \frac{\delta}{\delta \tilde{J}_{k_2}^{s_2}(t)} \right) \quad (44)$$

To explain and clarify the resummation process, let us use the first order as an example. That is, we will only consider the diagrams with only one vertex at the most: the first and second one at the right hand side of the expansion of the correlation function 17. Then we make the mentioned replacements for the exact functions; so, the final equation corresponds to ³:

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{p}_1, t_1}^{l_1} \text{ } \overset{t_2}{\text{~~~~~}} \overset{t_1}{\text{~~~~~}} = \text{ } \overset{t_2}{\text{~~~~~}} \overset{t_1}{\text{~~~~~}} + 3 \times \text{ } \overset{t_2}{\text{~~~~~}} \text{ } \overset{t_1}{\text{~~~~~}} \quad (45)$$

and

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{p}_1, t_1}^{l_1} \text{ } \overset{t_2}{\text{~~~~~}} \overset{t_1}{\text{~~~~~}} = \delta_{l_1, l_2} \delta(t_1 - t_2) + 3 \times \text{ } \overset{t_2}{\text{~~~~~}} \text{ } \overset{t_1}{\text{~~~~~}} \quad (46)$$

represented by:  with 18 of them. The procedure for the response function is completely analogue. It is important to clarify that since we are not assuming relations between t_1 and t_2 (for now), in the perturbation expansion, next to the non-symmetric-in-time diagrams (that is a, b and d) are the same diagrams but with t_1 and t_2 interchanged. This last argument will not hold for the response function, because of causality. Next, we apply the differential operator $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{p}_1, t_1}^{l_1}$ mentioned, which affects the (diagram-) line labeled by t_1 . Finally, we replace every 0 order statistical function with the exact function and thus, we get the DIA equations (taking into count the resummation process detailed in C).

Thus, if we use the Green's function of the operator $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{p}_1, t_1}^{l_1}$ which is nothing but adding a solid line as a right external leg, we can expand the recursive relation for the function $\text{ } \overset{t_2}{\text{~~~~~}} \overset{t_1}{\text{~~~~~}}$ and eventually replace this expression in the loop and leg of the second term in the right hand side of equation 45. Explic-

³Here we show the combinatory factor "3×" as it is not explicitly written in the equation at first order

itly:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \hat{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{p}_1, t_1}^{l_1} \text{ (diagram with wavy line)} \\
 &= \frac{t_2}{\text{---}} \frac{t_1}{\text{---}} + \frac{t_2}{\text{---}} \text{ (diagram with dashed circle)} \\
 &+ \left(\frac{t_2}{\text{---}} \text{ (diagram with dashed circle)} \times \frac{t_1}{\text{---}} \right) \\
 &+ 3 \left(\frac{t_2}{\text{---}} \text{ (diagram with dashed circle)} \times \text{ (diagram with dashed circle)} \right) \\
 &+ \left(\frac{t_2}{\text{---}} \text{ (diagram with dashed circle)} \times \frac{t_1}{\text{---}} \right) \\
 &+ 3 \left(\frac{t_2}{\text{---}} \times 3 \text{ (diagram with dashed circle)} \right) \\
 &+ 3 \left(\frac{t_2}{\text{---}} \text{ (diagram with dashed circle)} \times \text{ (diagram with dashed circle)} \right) \\
 &+ \left(\frac{t_2}{\text{---}} \text{ (diagram with dashed circle)} \times \frac{t_1}{\text{---}} \right) + \dots
 \end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

where all diagrams up to order 2 are written. It should be clearly noted that the diagrams that are multiplied from the right with solid line are the ones corresponding to the expansion of the response function⁴. In this way, we observe that a subset of diagrams, already included in the original expansion, appear in this last expansion. 17 and it is only because of the resummation for the first order term. Despite the appearing subset of diagrams, we can also observe that there are diagrams that can

not be made up to this order. In consequence, the resummation replacement for 17 will only take place at those diagrams that cannot be recovered from the order 1

D Kinetic Equation and frequency correction

Since the kinetic equation corresponds to the slow evolution of the *same-time* correlation function, an appropriate change of variables must be made to capture the required dynamics. First of all, $(t_1, t_2) \rightarrow (\tau, t_2)$ where $\tau := t_2 - t_1$ but then as we allow different time scales $(\tau, t_2) \rightarrow (\tau, t_2, T_2, \mathcal{T}_2)$ with $T_2 := \epsilon t_2$ and $\mathcal{T}_2 := \epsilon^2 t_2$. This change yields to a respective expansion for the correlation function $C_{\mathbf{p}_2}^{l_1 l_2}(t_1, t_2) = C_{0, \mathbf{p}_2}^{l_1 l_2}(\tau, t_2, T_2, \mathcal{T}_2) + \epsilon C_{1, \mathbf{p}_2}^{l_1 l_2}(\tau, t_2, T_2, \mathcal{T}_2) + \epsilon^2 C_{2, \mathbf{p}_2}^{l_1 l_2}(\tau, t_2, T_2, \mathcal{T}_2) + \dots$. It is to be observed that in order to justify the expansion of the correlation function for every time, it has to remain bounded for times at any order. In this way, we will find a secular equation to ensure that the constant terms will vanish and therefore, don't grow linearly with t_2 . The usual procedure starts with the solution for the zero order functions without forcing and dissipation:

$$-\frac{\partial C_{0, \mathbf{p}_2}^{l_1 l_2}}{\partial \tau}(\tau, t_2, T_2, \mathcal{T}_2) + i l_1 \omega_{\mathbf{p}_2} C_{0, \mathbf{p}_2}^{l_1 l_2}(\tau, t_2, T_2, \mathcal{T}_2) = 0 \tag{48}$$

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} + \frac{\partial}{\partial t_2} + i l_2 \omega_{\mathbf{p}_2} \right) C_{0, \mathbf{p}_2}^{l_1 l_2}(\tau, t_2, T_2, \mathcal{T}_2) = 0 \tag{49}$$

Then,

$$C_{0, \mathbf{p}_2}^{l_1 l_2}(\tau, t_2, T_2, \mathcal{T}_2) = C_{0, \mathbf{p}_2}^{l_1 l_2}(0, 0, T_2, \mathcal{T}_2) e^{-i(l_1 + l_2)\omega_{\mathbf{p}_2} t_2 + i l_1 \omega_{\mathbf{p}_2} \tau} \tag{50}$$

⁴These are also the ones involving an extra time variable in comparison with the diagrams of the same order

D.1 Order ϵ : Frequency shift and non-scattering coefficient existent energy transfer

$$L_{\mathbf{p}_2 - \mathbf{k}_1 \mathbf{k}_1 \mathbf{p}_2}^{-l_1 s_1 s_2 - l_1} = -L_{-\mathbf{p}_2 - \mathbf{k}_1 \mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{p}_2}^{l_1 s_1 s_2 l_1}$$

The equations are:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} + il_1 \omega_{\mathbf{p}_2} \right) C_{1, \mathbf{p}_2}^{l_1 l_2}(\tau, t_2, T_2) \\ &= 6\pi^2 \sum_{s_1 s_2 s_3} \int L_{-\mathbf{p}_2 - \mathbf{k}_2 \mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{p}_2}^{l_1 s_1 s_2 s_3} \\ & \quad \times C_{0, \mathbf{k}_2}^{s_1 s_2}(0, t_2 - \tau, T_2) d\mathbf{k}_2 C_{0, \mathbf{p}_2}^{s_3 l_2}(\tau, t_2, T_2) \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} + \frac{\partial}{\partial t_2} + il_2 \omega_{\mathbf{p}_2} \right) C_{1, \mathbf{p}_2}^{l_1 l_2}(\tau, t_2, T_2) \\ &= -\frac{\partial C_{0, \mathbf{p}_2}^{l_1 l_2}}{\partial T_2} \\ & \quad + 6\pi^2 \sum_{s_1 s_2 s_3} \int L_{\mathbf{p}_2 - \mathbf{k}_2 \mathbf{k}_2 \mathbf{p}_2}^{l_2 s_1 s_2 s_3} C_{0, \mathbf{k}_2}^{s_1 s_2}(0, t_2, T_2) C_{0, \mathbf{p}_2}^{l_1 s_3}(\tau, t_2, T_2) \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

If we add the equations we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t_2} + i(l_1 + l_2) \omega_{\mathbf{p}_2} \right) C_{1, \mathbf{p}_2}^{l_1 l_2}(\tau, t_2, T_2) \\ &= -\frac{\partial C_{0, \mathbf{p}_2}^{l_1 l_2}}{\partial T_2} \\ & \quad + 6\pi^2 \sum_{s_1 s_2 s_3} \int L_{\mathbf{p}_2 - \mathbf{k}_2 \mathbf{k}_2 \mathbf{p}_2}^{l_2 s_1 s_2 s_3} C_{0, \mathbf{k}_2}^{s_1 s_2}(0, t_2, T_2) C_{0, \mathbf{p}_2}^{l_1 s_3}(\tau, t_2, T_2) \\ & \quad + 6\pi^2 \sum_{s_1 s_2 s_3} \int L_{-\mathbf{p}_2 - \mathbf{k}_2 \mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{p}_2}^{l_1 s_1 s_2 s_3} d\mathbf{k}_2 \\ & \quad \times C_{0, \mathbf{k}_2}^{s_1 s_2}(0, t_2 - \tau, T_2) d\mathbf{k}_2 C_{0, \mathbf{p}_2}^{s_3 l_2}(\tau, t_2, T_2) \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

For the case $l_2 = -l_1$, the only constant terms with respect to t_2 are: $\frac{\partial C_{0, \mathbf{p}_2}^{l_1 - l_1}}{\partial T_2}(0, 0, T_2)$, the first nonlinear term for the case $s_1 = -s_2, s_3 = -l_1$ and finally, the second nonlinear term for the case $s_1 = -s_2, s_3 = l_1$. Then, because of properties of the

therefore, the nonlinear terms cancel each other, yielding to the secular condition:

$$\frac{\partial C_{0, \mathbf{p}_2}^{l_1 - l_1}}{\partial T_2}(0, 0, T_2) = 0 \quad (55)$$

which shows that there is no energy cascade at this order. For the case $l_2 = l_1$ the procedure is similar; therefore we only present the secular condition:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial C_{0, \mathbf{p}_2}^{l_1 l_1}}{\partial T_2}(0, 0, T_2) \\ &= 6\pi \sum_{s_1} \int L_{\mathbf{p}_2 - \mathbf{k}_2 \mathbf{k}_2 \mathbf{p}_2}^{l_1 s_1 - s_1 l_1} C_{0, \mathbf{k}_2}^{s_1 - s_1}(0, 0, T_2) C_{0, \mathbf{p}_2}^{l_1 l_1}(0, 0, T_2) \\ & \quad + 6\pi \sum_{s_1} \int L_{-\mathbf{p}_2 - \mathbf{k}_2 \mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{p}_2}^{l_1 s_1 - s_1 l_1} C_{0, \mathbf{k}_2}^{s_1 - s_1}(0, 0, T_2) C_{0, \mathbf{p}_2}^{l_1 l_1}(0, 0, T_2) \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

which leads to a frequency correction of order ϵ :

$$C_{0, \mathbf{p}_2}^{l_1 l_1}(0, 0, T) = C_{0, \mathbf{p}_2}^{l_1 l_1}(0, 0, 0) e^{i\tilde{\omega}_{\mathbf{p}_2}^{l_1} T} \quad (58)$$

with

$$\tilde{\omega}_{\mathbf{p}_2}^{l_1} := -6\pi i \sum_{s_1} \int (L_{-\mathbf{p}_2 - \mathbf{p}_2 - \mathbf{k}_1 \mathbf{k}_1}^{l_1 l_1 - s_1 s_1} + L_{\mathbf{p}_2 \mathbf{p}_2 - \mathbf{k}_1 \mathbf{k}_1}^{l_1 l_1 - s_1 s_1}) C_{0, \mathbf{k}_1}^{-s_1 s_1}(0, 0, 0) d\mathbf{k}_1$$

D.2 Order ϵ^2 : Kinetic equation

The second order equations read as:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left[-\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} + il_1 \omega_{p_2} \right] C_{2,\mathbf{p}_2}^{l_1 l_2}(\tau, t_2, T_2, \mathcal{T}_2) \\
 = & 6\pi \sum_{s_1 s_2 s_3} \int L_{-\mathbf{p}_2 - \mathbf{k}_2 \mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{p}_2}^{l_1 s_1 s_2 s_3} \\
 & \times C_{0,\mathbf{k}_2}^{s_1 s_2}(0, t_2 - \tau, T_2, \mathcal{T}_2) C_{0,\mathbf{p}_2}^{s_3 l_2}(\tau, t_2, T_2, \mathcal{T}_2) d\mathbf{k}_2 \\
 & + 18(2\pi)^3 \sum_{s_1 s_2 s_3} \int d\mathbf{k}_{123} L_{\mathbf{p}_1 \mathbf{k}_1 \mathbf{k}_2 \mathbf{k}_3}^{l_1 s_1 s_2 s_3} \sum_x \int_0^{t_2 - \tau} dt \sum_{x_1 x_2 x_3} L_{\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_3 - \mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{p}_2}^{xx_1 x_2 x_3} \\
 & \times C_{0,\mathbf{p}_2}^{x_3 l_2}(t_2 - t, t_2, T_2, \mathcal{T}_2) C_{0,\mathbf{k}_3}^{x_1 s_3}(t_2 - \tau - t, t_2 - \tau, T_2, \mathcal{T}_2) \\
 & \times C_{0,\mathbf{k}_2}^{x_2 s_2}(t_2 - \tau - t, t_2 - \tau, T_2, \mathcal{T}_2) R_{0,-\mathbf{k}_1}^{s_1 - x}(t_2 - \tau - t) \delta(\mathbf{K} + \mathbf{p}_2) \\
 & + 6(2\pi)^3 \sum_{s_1 s_2 s_3} \int d\mathbf{k}_{123} L_{\mathbf{p}_1 \mathbf{k}_1 \mathbf{k}_2 \mathbf{k}_3}^{l_1 s_1 s_2 s_3} \sum_x \int_0^{t_2} dt \sum_{x_1 x_2 x_3} L_{\mathbf{p}_2 - \mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{k}_3}^{xx_1 x_2 x_3} \\
 & \times C_{0,\mathbf{k}_2}^{x_2 s_2}(t_2 - \tau - t, t_2 - \tau, T_2, \mathcal{T}_2) C_{0,\mathbf{k}_1}^{x_1 s_1}(t_2 - \tau - t, t_2 - \tau, T_2, \mathcal{T}_2) \\
 & \times R_{0,-\mathbf{p}_2}^{l_2 - x}(t_2 - t) C_{0,\mathbf{k}_3}^{x_3 s_3}(t_2 - \tau - t, t_2 - \tau, T_2, \mathcal{T}_2) \delta(\mathbf{K} + \mathbf{p}_2)
 \end{aligned}$$

slow scales and therefore we take $\lim_{t_2 \rightarrow \infty}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \lim_{t_2 \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t_2} \int dt'_2 \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} C_{2,\mathbf{p}_2}^{l_1 - l_1} + \frac{\partial C_{1,\mathbf{p}_2}^{l_1 - l_1}}{\partial T_2} + \frac{\partial C_{0,\mathbf{p}_2}^{l_1 - l_1}}{\partial \mathcal{T}_2} \right\} \\
 = & \lim_{t_2 \rightarrow \infty} \int \frac{dt'_2}{t_2} \left\{ 6\pi \sum_{s_1 s_2 s_3} \int L_{-\mathbf{p}_2 - \mathbf{k}_2 \mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{p}_2}^{l_1 s_1 s_2 s_3} \right. \\
 & \times C_{0,\mathbf{k}_2}^{s_1 s_2}(0, t'_2 - \tau, T_2, \mathcal{T}_2) C_{0,\mathbf{p}_2}^{s_3 - l_1}(\tau, t'_2, T_2, \mathcal{T}_2) d\mathbf{k}_2 \\
 & + 6\pi \sum_{s_1 s_2 s_3} \int L_{\mathbf{p}_2 - \mathbf{k}_2 \mathbf{k}_2 \mathbf{p}_2}^{-l_1 s_1 s_2 s_3} \\
 & \times C_{0,\mathbf{k}_2}^{s_1 s_2}(0, t_2, T_2, \mathcal{T}_2) C_{0,\mathbf{p}_2}^{s_3 l_1}(\tau, t'_2, T_2, \mathcal{T}_2) d\mathbf{k}_2 \\
 & + 18(2\pi)^3 \sum_{s_1 s_2 s_3} \int d\mathbf{k}_{123} L_{\mathbf{p}_1 \mathbf{k}_1 \mathbf{k}_2 \mathbf{k}_3}^{l_1 s_1 s_2 s_3} \int_0^{t'_2 - \tau} dt \sum_{x_1 x_2 x_3} L_{\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_3 - \mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{p}_2}^{xx_1 x_2 x_3} \\
 & \times C_{0,\mathbf{p}_2}^{x_3 - l_1}(t'_2 - t, t'_2, T_2, \mathcal{T}_2) C_{0,\mathbf{k}_3}^{x_1 s_3}(t'_2 - \tau - t, t'_2 - \tau, T_2, \mathcal{T}_2) \\
 & \times C_{0,\mathbf{k}_2}^{x_2 s_2}(t'_2 - \tau - t, t'_2 - \tau, T_2, \mathcal{T}_2) R_{0,-\mathbf{k}_1}^{s_1 - x}(t'_2 - \tau - t) \delta(\mathbf{K} + \mathbf{p}_2) \\
 & + 18(2\pi)^3 \sum_{s_1 s_2 s_3} \int d\mathbf{k}_{123} L_{\mathbf{p}_2 \mathbf{k}_1 \mathbf{k}_2 \mathbf{k}_3}^{-l_1 s_1 s_2 s_3} \int_0^{t'_2} dt \sum_{x_1 x_2 x_3} L_{\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_3 - \mathbf{k}_2 \mathbf{p}_2}^{xx_1 x_2 x_3} \\
 & \times C_{0,\mathbf{k}_2}^{x_2 s_2}(t'_2 - \tau - t, t'_2 - \tau, T_2, \mathcal{T}_2) C_{0,\mathbf{k}_3}^{x_1 s_3}(t'_2 - t, t'_2, T_2, \mathcal{T}_2) \\
 & \times C_{0,-\mathbf{p}_2}^{x_3 l_1}(t'_2 - \tau - t, t'_2 - \tau, T_2, \mathcal{T}_2) R_{0,-\mathbf{k}_1}^{s_1 - x}(t'_2 - t) \delta(\mathbf{K} - \mathbf{p}_2) \\
 & + 6(2\pi)^3 \sum_{s_1 s_2 s_3} \int d\mathbf{k}_{123} L_{\mathbf{p}_1 \mathbf{k}_1 \mathbf{k}_2 \mathbf{k}_3}^{l_1 s_1 s_2 s_3} \int_0^{t'_2} dt \sum_{x_1 x_2 x_3} L_{\mathbf{p}_2 - \mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{k}_3}^{xx_1 x_2 x_3} \\
 & \times C_{0,\mathbf{k}_2}^{x_2 s_2}(t'_2 - \tau - t, t'_2 - \tau, T_2, \mathcal{T}_2) C_{0,\mathbf{k}_1}^{x_1 s_1}(t'_2 - \tau - t, t'_2 - \tau, T_2, \mathcal{T}_2) \\
 & \times R_{0,-\mathbf{p}_2}^{l_1 - x}(t'_2 - t) C_{0,\mathbf{k}_3}^{x_3 s_3}(t'_2 - \tau - t, t'_2 - \tau, T_2, \mathcal{T}_2) \delta(\mathbf{K} + \mathbf{p}_2) \\
 & + 6(2\pi)^3 \sum_{s_1 s_2 s_3} \int d\mathbf{k}_{123} L_{\mathbf{p}_2 \mathbf{k}_1 \mathbf{k}_2 \mathbf{k}_3}^{-l_1 s_1 s_2 s_3} \sum_x \int_0^{t'_2 - \tau} dt \sum_{x_1 x_2 x_3} \\
 & \times L_{-\mathbf{p}_2 - \mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{k}_3}^{xx_1 x_2 x_3} R_{0,\mathbf{p}_2}^{l_1 - x}(t'_2 - \tau - t) C_{0,\mathbf{k}_1}^{x_1 s_1}(t - t'_2, t'_2, T_2, \mathcal{T}_2) \\
 & \times C_{0,\mathbf{k}_2}^{x_2 s_2}(t - t'_2, t'_2, T_2, \mathcal{T}_2) C_{0,\mathbf{k}_3}^{x_3 s_3}(t - t'_2, t'_2, T_2, \mathcal{T}_2) \delta(\mathbf{K} - \mathbf{p}_2) \left. \right\}
 \end{aligned} \tag{59}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} + \frac{\partial}{\partial t_2} + il_2 \Omega_{p_2}^{l_2} \right] C_{2,\mathbf{p}_2}^{l_1 l_2} + \frac{\partial C_{1,\mathbf{p}_2}^{l_1 l_2}}{\partial T_2} + \frac{\partial C_{0,\mathbf{p}_2}^{l_1 l_2}}{\partial \mathcal{T}_2} \\
 = & 6\pi \sum_{s_1 s_2 s_3} \int L_{\mathbf{p}_2 - \mathbf{k}_2 \mathbf{k}_2 \mathbf{p}_2}^{l_2 s_1 s_2 s_3} \\
 & \times C_{0,\mathbf{k}_2}^{s_1 s_2}(0, t_2, T_2, \mathcal{T}_2) C_{0,\mathbf{p}_2}^{s_3 l_1}(\tau, t_2, T_2, \mathcal{T}_2) d\mathbf{k}_2 \\
 & + 18(2\pi)^3 \sum_{s_1 s_2 s_3} \int d\mathbf{k}_{123} L_{\mathbf{p}_2 \mathbf{k}_1 \mathbf{k}_2 \mathbf{k}_3}^{l_2 s_1 s_2 s_3} \int_0^{t_2} dt \sum_{x_1 x_2 x_3} L_{\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_3 - \mathbf{k}_2 \mathbf{p}_2}^{xx_1 x_2 x_3} \\
 & \times C_{0,-\mathbf{p}_2}^{x_3 l_1}(t_2 - \tau - t, t_2 - \tau, T_2, \mathcal{T}_2) C_{0,\mathbf{k}_3}^{x_1 s_3}(t_2 - t, t_2, T_2, \mathcal{T}_2) \\
 & \times C_{0,\mathbf{k}_2}^{x_2 s_2}(t_2 - t, t_2, T_2, \mathcal{T}_2) R_{0,-\mathbf{k}_1}^{s_1 - x}(t_2 - t) \delta(\mathbf{K} - \mathbf{p}_2) \\
 & + 6(2\pi)^3 \sum_{s_1 s_2 s_3} \int d\mathbf{k}_{123} L_{\mathbf{p}_2 \mathbf{k}_1 \mathbf{k}_2 \mathbf{k}_3}^{l_2 s_1 s_2 s_3} \sum_x \int_0^{t_2 - \tau} dt \sum_{x_1 x_2 x_3} \\
 & \times L_{-\mathbf{p}_2 - \mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{k}_3}^{xx_1 x_2 x_3} R_{0,\mathbf{p}_2}^{l_1 - x}(t_2 - \tau - t) C_{0,\mathbf{k}_1}^{x_1 s_1}(t - t_2, t_2, T_2, \mathcal{T}_2) \\
 & \times C_{0,\mathbf{k}_2}^{x_2 s_2}(t - t_2, t_2, T_2, \mathcal{T}_2) C_{0,\mathbf{k}_3}^{x_3 s_3}(t - t_2, t_2, T_2, \mathcal{T}_2) \delta(\mathbf{K} - \mathbf{p}_2)
 \end{aligned} \tag{60}$$

Here, we can get rid easily of derivatives with respect to τ and focus on t_2 for the special case where $l_2 = -l_1$. We can find the secular terms by integration and then divide everything by t_2 . Finally, we are interested in the limit of dependence of the

The terms of order 1 will not contribute for reasons already clarified. For the second order terms, we can see that only particular cases will vanish. To see that, we have to use the Riemann-Lebesgue Lemma, because we are dealing with terms of the type:

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \int_0^t e^{i\tau x} \int_0^{\tau} e^{-ix\tau'} d\tau' d\tau dx$$

Thus, we find that the secular terms will correspond to the ones where the correlation functions has opposite signs in the upper index, that is: for example for the first term of second order $x_3 = l_1$, $x_1 = -s_3$ and $x_2 = -s_2$. On the left side of the equation we have that the only one which is resonant is $\frac{\partial C_{0,\mathbf{p}_2}^{l_1-l_1}}{\partial \mathcal{T}_2}$. Finally, the secular equation becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial C_{\mathbf{p}_2}^{l_1-l_1}}{\partial \mathcal{T}_2}(\mathcal{T}_2) &= -12\pi(2\pi)^2 \sum_{s_1 s_2 s_3} \int d\mathbf{k}_{123} \hat{T}_{123} \left| L_{\mathbf{p}_2 \mathbf{q}_3 \mathbf{q}_2 \mathbf{q}_1}^{-l_1 s_1 s_2 s_3} \right|^2 \\ &\times c_{\mathbf{p}_2}^{l_1-l_1}(\mathcal{T}_2) c_{\mathbf{k}_3}^{-s_3 s_3}(\mathcal{T}_2) c_{\mathbf{k}_2}^{-s_2 s_2}(\mathcal{T}_2) \\ &\times \frac{s_1}{l_1} \delta(K_{-\mathbf{p}_2}) \delta(l_1 \omega_{p_2} + s_1 \omega_{k_1} + s_2 \omega_{k_2} + s_3 \omega_{k_3}) \\ &+ 12\pi(2\pi)^2 \sum_{s_1 s_2 s_3} \int d\mathbf{k}_{123} \left| L_{\mathbf{p}_2 \mathbf{q}_3 \mathbf{q}_2 \mathbf{q}_1}^{-l_1 s_1 s_2 s_3} \right|^2 \\ &\times c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{-s_1 s_1}(\mathcal{T}_2) c_{\mathbf{k}_2}^{-s_2 s_2}(\mathcal{T}_2) c_{\mathbf{k}_3}^{-s_3 s_3}(\mathcal{T}_2) \\ &\delta(K_{-\mathbf{p}_2}) \delta(l_1 \omega_{p_2} + s_1 \omega_{k_1} + s_2 \omega_{k_2} + s_3 \omega_{k_3}) \end{aligned} \quad (62)$$

which is the kinetic equation.

E DIA exact equations from stochastic system

We first begin with the DIA equation for the correlation function. From the nonlinear dynamic equation 26 we multiply

both sides by $A_{\mathbf{p}_2}^{l_2}(t_2)$ and ensemble average to get:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{p},t}^l C_{\mathbf{p}\mathbf{p}_2}^{l l_2}(t, t_2) &= \sum_s \int_{\mathbf{p}}^{l s} C_{\mathbf{p}\mathbf{p}_2}^{s l_2}(t, t_2) - \int_0^t \sum_x \sigma_{\mathbf{p}}^{l x}(t_1, \tau) C_{\mathbf{p}\mathbf{p}_2}^{x l_2}(\tau, t_2) d\tau \\ &= \langle \eta_{\mathbf{p}}^l(t) A_{\mathbf{p}_2}^{l_2}(t_2) \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (63)$$

Then, to handle the right hand side of the equation, we return to the definition of the (non-averaged) response function [38]:

$$A_{\mathbf{p}_2}^{l_2}(t_2) = \sum_s \int d\mathbf{k} \int_0^{t_2} d\tau \tilde{R}_{\mathbf{p}_2-\mathbf{k}}^{l_2-s}(t_2, \tau) \eta_{\mathbf{k}}^s(\tau) \quad (64)$$

so we obtain for the right hand side:

$$\langle \eta_{\mathbf{p}}^l(t) A_{\mathbf{p}_2}^{l_2}(t_2) \rangle = \int_0^{t_2} d\tau \sum_s \int d\mathbf{k} R_{\mathbf{p}_2-\mathbf{k}}^{l_2-s}(t_2, \tau) \langle \eta_{\mathbf{p}}^l(t) \eta_{\mathbf{p}_2}^{l_2}(\tau) \rangle \quad (65)$$

where $\langle \tilde{R} \rangle = R$. Considering spatial homogeneity and using the imposed condition for the noise of the stochastic system, we obtain the DIA equation for the correlation function. The DIA equation for the response function can be obtained trivially by taking the functional derivative with respect to the noise and then averaging.

F Some useful identities about the response function

The first identity to show is $\left\langle \frac{\delta A_{\mathbf{p}_1}^{l_1}(t_1)}{\delta \eta_{\mathbf{p}_2}^{l_2}(t_2)} \right\rangle$.

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \frac{\delta A_{\mathbf{p}_1}^{l_1}(t_1)}{\delta \eta_{\mathbf{p}_2}^{l_2}(t_2)} \right\rangle &= \int \mathcal{D}\eta P(\eta) \int \mathcal{D}[A, \tilde{A}] \frac{\delta A_{\mathbf{p}_1}^{l_1}(t_1)}{\delta \eta_{\mathbf{p}_2}^{l_2}(t_2)} e^{\sum_s \int d\mathbf{k} \int dt \tilde{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^s(t) (A_{\mathbf{k}}^s(t) + i s \omega_{\mathbf{k}} A_{\mathbf{k}}^s(t) - \eta_{\mathbf{k}}^s(t) + N L)} \\ &= \int \mathcal{D}\eta P(\eta) \int \mathcal{D}[A, \tilde{A}] A_{\mathbf{p}_1}^{l_1}(t_1) \left(- \frac{\delta e^{\sum_s \int d\mathbf{k} \int dt \tilde{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^s(t) (A_{\mathbf{k}}^s(t) + i s \omega_{\mathbf{k}} A_{\mathbf{k}}^s(t) - \eta_{\mathbf{k}}^s(t) + N L)}}{\delta \eta_{\mathbf{p}_2}^{l_2}(t_2)} \right) \\ &= \int \mathcal{D}\eta P(\eta) \int \mathcal{D}[A, \tilde{A}] A_{\mathbf{p}_1}^{l_1}(t_1) \tilde{A}_{\mathbf{p}_2}^{l_2}(t_2) e^{\sum_s \int d\mathbf{k} \int dt \tilde{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^s(t) (A_{\mathbf{k}}^s(t) + i s \omega_{\mathbf{k}} A_{\mathbf{k}}^s(t) - \eta_{\mathbf{k}}^s(t) + N L)} \\ &= \langle A_{\mathbf{p}_1}^{l_1}(t_1) \tilde{A}_{\mathbf{p}_2}^{l_2}(t_2) \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (66)$$

Next, we show that the response function also corresponds to the correlation of the field with the noise:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle A_{\mathbf{k}'}^{s'}(t') \eta_{\mathbf{k}}^s(t) \rangle &= \int \mathcal{D}\eta P(\eta) \int \mathcal{D}[A, \tilde{A}] A_{\mathbf{k}'}^{s'}(t') \eta_{\mathbf{k}}^s(t) e^{\int -\tilde{A}(t)(\dot{A}(t)+is\Omega A(t)-\eta(t)+NL)} \\
 &= \int \mathcal{D}\eta P(\eta) \int \mathcal{D}[A, \tilde{A}] A_{\mathbf{k}'}^{s'}(t') \eta_{\mathbf{k}}^s(t) e^{\int [-\tilde{A}(t)(\dot{A}(t)+is\Omega A(t)-\eta(t))+b(t)\eta(t)+NL]} \Big|_{b=0} \\
 &= \int \mathcal{D}\eta P(\eta) \int \mathcal{D}[A, \tilde{A}] A_{\mathbf{k}'}^{s'}(t') \frac{\delta}{\delta b_{\mathbf{k}}^s(t)} e^{\int [-\tilde{A}(t)(\dot{A}(t)+is\Omega A(t)-\eta(t))+b(t)\eta(t)+NL]} \Big|_{b=0} \\
 &= \frac{\delta}{\delta b_{\mathbf{k}}^s(t)} \int \mathcal{D}[A, \tilde{A}] A_{\mathbf{k}'}^{s'}(t') e^{\int -\tilde{A}(t)(\dot{A}(t)+is\Omega A(t)+NL)dt} \int \mathcal{D}\eta P(\eta) e^{\int (s\tilde{A}(t)+b(t))\eta(t)dt} \Big|_{b=0} \\
 &= \frac{\delta}{\delta b_{\mathbf{k}}^s(t)} \int \mathcal{D}[A, \tilde{A}] A_{\mathbf{k}'}^{s'}(t') e^{\int -\tilde{A}(t)(\dot{A}(t)+is\Omega A(t)+NL)dt} e^{\int (\tilde{A}(t_1)+b(t_1))2F_{\mathbf{k}}\delta_{s_1-s_2}\delta(\mathbf{k}_1+\mathbf{k}_2)\delta(t_1-t_2)(\tilde{A}(t_2)+b(t_2))} \Big|_{b=0} \\
 &= \int \mathcal{D}[A, \tilde{A}] A_{\mathbf{k}'}^{s'}(t') \left(\int \tilde{A}_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{s_1}(t_1) \delta_{-s, s_1} \delta(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}) \delta(t_1 - t) \right) e^{\int -\tilde{A}(t)(\dot{A}(t)+is\Omega A(t)+NL)+\frac{1}{2} \int \int \tilde{A}(t_1) \delta(t_1-t_2) \tilde{A}(t_2)} \\
 &= \left(\int R_{\mathbf{k}'-\mathbf{k}_1}^{s'-s_1}(t', t_1) 2F_{\mathbf{k}} \delta_{-s s_1} \delta(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}_1) \delta(t_1 - t) \right) \\
 &= 2F_{\mathbf{k}} R_{\mathbf{k}'\mathbf{k}}^{s's}(t', t)
 \end{aligned} \tag{67}$$