

Hadron-hadron collision mode in ReneSANCe-v1.3.0

Serge Bondarenko^{a,*}, Yahor Dydyshka^{b,c,*}, Lidia Kalinovskaya^{b,*}, Renat Sadykov^{b,*}, Vitaly Yermolchik^{b,c,*}

^a*Bogoliubov Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, JINR, Joliot-Curie 6, RU-141980 Dubna, Russia*

^b*Dzhelepov Laboratory for Nuclear Problems, JINR, Joliot-Curie 6, RU-141980 Dubna, Russia*

^c*Institute for Nuclear Problems, Belarusian State University, Bobruiskaya 11, 220006 Minsk, Belarus*

Abstract

We report an implementation of the hadron-hadron (pp and $p\bar{p}$) collision mode to the Monte Carlo event generator ReneSANCe — the code that was previously developed for e^+e^- collisions. The described extension of ReneSANCe currently contains neutral and charged current Drell-Yan processes $pp[p\bar{p}] \rightarrow ZX \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-X$, $pp[p\bar{p}] \rightarrow W^+X \rightarrow \ell^+\nu_\ell X$ and $pp[p\bar{p}] \rightarrow W^-X \rightarrow \ell^-\bar{\nu}_\ell X$. We take into account complete one-loop electroweak (EW) and one-loop QCD corrections to these processes. The calculation is based on the SANC (Support for Analytic and Numeric Calculations for experiments at colliders) modules. The generator is constructed in such a way that new processes can be easily added. The paper contains a theoretical description of the SANC approach, numerical validations and a manual.

Keywords:

Perturbation theory; NLO calculations; Standard Model; Electroweak interaction; QED; QCD; Monte Carlo simulation

PROGRAM SUMMARY

Program Title: ReneSANCe-v1.3.0

Licensing provisions: GPLv3

Programming language: Fortran, C, C++

Supplementary material: Looptools [1], FOAM [2]

Nature of problem: Theoretical calculations at next-to-leading order in perturbation theory allow to compute higher precision amplitudes for Standard Model processes and decays, provided proper treatments of UV divergences and IR singularities are performed

Solution method: Numerical integration of the precomputed differential expressions for cross sections of certain processes implemented as SANC modules [3,4]

Restrictions: the list of processes is limited to $pp[p\bar{p}] \rightarrow ZX \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-X$, $pp[p\bar{p}] \rightarrow W^+X \rightarrow \ell^+\nu_\ell X$ and $pp[p\bar{p}] \rightarrow W^-X \rightarrow \ell^-\bar{\nu}_\ell X$

References

- [1] T. Hahn and M. Perez-Victoria, Comput. Phys. Commun. 118 (1999) 153–165, hep-ph/9807565
- [2] S. Jadach, Comput.Phys.Commun. 152 (2003) 55-100, hep-ph/0203033
- [3] A. Andonov, A. Arbuzov, D. Bardin, et al., Comput.Phys.Commun. 181 (2010) 305–312, arXiv:0812.4207
- [4] D. Bardin et al., Phys. Rev. D 98, 013001, arXiv:1801.00125

1. Introduction

The construction of high-precision theoretical predictions and their comparison with experimental data play a crucial role in solving the problem of applicability of the Standard Model and justifying its structure on the basis of

*Corresponding authors.

Email addresses: bondarenko@jinr.ru (Serge Bondarenko), yahord@gmail.com (Yahor Dydyshka), lidia.kalinovskaya@cern.ch (Lidia Kalinovskaya), sadykov@cern.ch (Renat Sadykov), Vitaly.Yermolchik@jinr.ru (Vitaly Yermolchik)

fundamental principles. Implementation of the results of theoretical calculations in Monte Carlo event generators is an important step of theoretical support for high-precision experimental verification of the Standard Model carried out in current and future experiments.

Monte Carlo event generators are used to allow for detector effects in experimental data and obtain predictions with which these experimental data will be compared. In addition, they can be used to obtain histograms of complex observables and pseudo-observables without rerunning and rewriting the code. One can simply take the generated events and analyze them using programs such as RIVET [1] or ROOT [2], in contrast to integrators where histograms are often hardcoded.

It is very important to comprehensively take into account the effects of higher-orders corrections due to strong, electromagnetic and weak interactions.

This paper describes the extension of the Monte Carlo (MC) event generator *ReNeSANCe* [3] previously developed for e^+e^- processes to simulate processes at hadron-hadron colliders with allowance for electroweak (EW) and QCD corrections with the next-to-leading order (NLO) accuracy and also higher-order EW corrections through $\Delta\rho$ parameter. The described extension contains at present the inclusive charged and neutral current (NC and CC) Drell-Yan (DY) processes at pp and $p\bar{p}$ colliders:

$$pp[p\bar{p}] \rightarrow Z + X \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^- + X, \quad (1)$$

$$pp[p\bar{p}] \rightarrow W^+ + X \rightarrow \ell^+ \nu_\ell + X \quad (2)$$

$$pp[p\bar{p}] \rightarrow W^- + X \rightarrow \ell^- \bar{\nu}_\ell + X, \quad (3)$$

with $\ell = e, \mu$.

The Drell-Yan specific code in *ReNeSANCe* originates from the earlier *SANC* MC integrator and generator [4–7]. Since then, it has been substantially improved and extended. These tools and the later MC integrator *MCSANC* [8, 9] for processes at pp colliders share common *SANC* Fortran modules [10]. The results of these codes are thoroughly verified in the systematic comparison of public Monte Carlo codes, which describe the Drell-Yan processes at hadron colliders [11–16]. Full support of $p\bar{p}$ colliders is added in *SANC* codes for the first time.

Currently, multiple independent MC event generators are available that calculate NLO EW corrections to DY processes, e.g. *KKMChh* [17, 18], *WGRAD/ZGRAD* [19–21], *HORACE* [22–25], *WINHAC/ZINHAC* [26, 27], *POWHEG-EW* [28, 29], *RADY* [30, 31], *LePaProGen* [32].

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 contains a brief description of one-loop EW and QCD calculations in the *SANC* system. The structure of the code is described in section 3. The benchmarks against *MCSANC* integrator are presented in section 4. A summary is given in section 5.

2. NLO EW and QCD corrections in *SANC* framework

The calculations are organized in a way that allows one to control the consistency of the result. All analytical calculations at the one-loop precision level are realized in the R_ξ gauge with three gauge parameters: ξ_A , ξ_Z , and $\xi \equiv \xi_W$. To parameterize ultraviolet divergences, dimensional regularization is used. Loop integrals are expressed in terms of the standard scalar Passarino-Veltman functions: A_0 , B_0 , C_0 , D_0 [33]. These features make it possible to carry out several important checks at the level of analytical expressions, e.g., checking the gauge invariance by eliminating the dependence on the gauge parameter, checking cancellation of ultraviolet poles, as well as checking various symmetry properties and the Ward identities.

The cross section of the process $e^+e^- \rightarrow X$ at the one-loop EW level can be divided into four parts:

$$\sigma^{\text{l-loop}} = \sigma^{\text{Born}} + \sigma^{\text{virt}}(\lambda) + \sigma^{\text{soft}}(\lambda, \omega) + \sigma^{\text{hard}}(\omega), \quad (4)$$

where σ^{Born} is the Born level cross section, σ^{virt} is the contribution of virtual (loop) corrections, σ^{soft} corresponds to the soft photon emission, and σ^{hard} is the hard photon emission part (with energy $E_\gamma > \omega$). Auxiliary parameters λ (fictitious “photon mass” which regularizes infrared divergences) and ω (photon energy which separates the regions of the phase space associated with the soft and hard emissions) cancel out after summation.

Calculations were carried out in the *SANC* framework [10] using *FORM* [34]. For optimization we intensively used factorization [35] introduced in *FORM* 4 and elimination of common subexpressions with temporary variables [36]. The

results of calculations were automatically transformed into software modules in the FORTRAN 77 language with the standard SANC interface. Evaluation of loop integrals in the modules is performed by Looptools [37] and SANCLib [38, 39] packages. These modules incorporating physical calculations can be used in any code that understands the SANC interface [40].

3. ReneSANCe structure

3.1. Configuration

Configuration files support JSON-like syntax and contain general steering parameters for a run, FOAM parameters, kinematic cuts and parameters of the Standard Model. The configuration can be split into several files and included in the index.conf file by `.include <relative or absolute path to file>` macro.

3.1.1. Process parameters

pid [integer] = defines a partonic process to calculate (integer)

- 201: $hh \rightarrow e^+ e^- X$
- 202: $hh \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- X$
- 203: $hh \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^- X$
- 211: $hh \rightarrow e^- \bar{\nu}_e X$
- 212: $hh \rightarrow \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu X$
- 213: $hh \rightarrow \tau^- \bar{\nu}_\tau X$
- 221: $hh \rightarrow \nu_e e^+ X$
- 222: $hh \rightarrow \nu_\mu \mu^+ X$
- 223: $hh \rightarrow \nu_\tau \tau^+ X$

ibeam [integer] = 1/2 corresponds to $pp/p\bar{p}$ colliding beams,

pdfname [string] sets the name of the PDF set connected via LHAPDF,

pdfmember [integer] sets a member of the PDF set,

ecm [double] sets collider energy in the center-of-momentum frame,

costhcut [double] cut on $|\cos \theta|$ for both final state particles,

ome [double] is the parameter separating contributions from soft and hard photon bremsstrahlung ($\omega = ome \sqrt{s}/2$).

Flags controlling components of the NLO EW computations:

iqed [integer] =

- 0: disables QED corrections
- 1: with full QED corrections
- 2: only initial state QED radiation (ISR)
- 3: initial-final QED radiation interference term (IFI)
- 4: only final state QED radiation (FSR)
- 5: sum of initial and final state radiation contributions [IFI+FSR]
- 6: sum of initial state and initial-final QED interference terms [ISR+IFI]

iew [integer] = 0/1 corresponds to disabled/enabled weak corrections,

iborn [integer] = 0 or 1 selects respectively LO or NLO level of calculations,

ifgg [integer] = choice of calculations for photonic vacuum polarization $\mathcal{F}_{\gamma\gamma}$

- 1: 0
- 0: 1
- 1: $1 + \mathcal{F}_{\gamma\gamma}(\text{NLO})$
- 2: $1/[1 - \mathcal{F}_{\gamma\gamma}(\text{NLO})]$

irun [integer] = 0/1 corresponds to the fixed/running gauge boson width,

gfscheme [integer] = flag selects the electroweak scheme in which the calculation is performed

0: $\alpha(0)$ -scheme

1: G_μ -scheme

2: $\alpha(M_Z)$ -scheme

3.1.2. FOAM parameters

By default, optimal FOAM parameters are used, but a user can tune some parameters manually:

Nev{Born,Virt,Hard,Brdq,Ibaa,Ibaq,Ibs1,Ibs2,Tot} [integer] number of events to generate,

nCells{Born,Virt,Hard,Brdq,Ibaa,Ibaq,Ibs1,Ibs2} [integer] number of cells in buildup per branch,

nSampl{Born,Virt,Hard,Brdq,Ibaa,Ibaq,Ibs1,Ibs2} [integer] number of MC events per cell in build-up per branch,

nBin{Born,Virt,Hard,Brdq,Ibaa,Ibaq,Ibs1,Ibs2} [integer] number of bins for search for the optimal division per branch,

EvPerBin{Born,Virt,Hard,Brdq,Ibaa,Ibaq,Ibs1,Ibs2} [integer] maximum events (equiv.) per bin in build-up per branch,

MaxWtRej{Born,Virt,Hard,Brdq,Ibaa,Ibaq,Ibs1,Ibs2} [integer] maximum weight for rejection per branch,

RanSeed [integer] pseudorandom generator seed.

Different contributions to the NLO cross sections (real, virtual) can differ from each other by orders of magnitude. To improve relative and absolute accuracy, a user can enlarge $nCellsVirt$. In general, it also makes generation speed higher.

3.1.3. Standard Model parameters

alpha, gf, alphas, conhc [double] a list of constants and coefficients: α_{EM} , G_μ , α_S , conversion constant from GeV^{-2} to pb,

mw, mz, mh [double] W, Z, Higgs boson masses,

wz, ww, wh, wtp [double] W, Z, Higgs and the top quark widths,

men, mel, mmn, mmo, mtn, mta [double] $\nu_e, e, \nu_\mu, \mu, \nu_\tau, \tau$ lepton masses,

mdn, mup, mst, mch, mbt, mtp [double] d, u, s, c, b, t quark masses.

4. Numerical results

4.1. Input parameters

For numerical validation we worked in the $\alpha(0)$ and G_μ schemes and used the following set of input parameters:

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha^{-1}(0) &= 137.035999084, \quad G_F = 1.1663787 \times 10^{-5} \text{ GeV}^{-2}, \\
M_W &= 80.379 \text{ GeV}, \quad M_Z = 91.1876 \text{ GeV}, \\
M_H &= 125.25 \text{ GeV}, \\
\Gamma_W &= 2.085 \text{ GeV}, \quad \Gamma_Z = 2.4952 \text{ GeV}, \\
|V_{ud}| &= 0.9737, \quad |V_{us}| = 0.2252, \\
|V_{cd}| &= 0.221, \quad |V_{cs}| = 0.987, \\
|V_{cb}| &= 0, \quad |V_{ub}| = 0, \\
m_e &= 0.51099895 \text{ MeV}, \quad m_\mu = 0.1056583745 \text{ GeV}, \\
m_\tau &= 1.77686 \text{ GeV}, \\
m_d &= 0.066 \text{ GeV}, \quad m_u = 0.066 \text{ GeV}, \\
m_s &= 0.15 \text{ GeV}, \quad m_c = 1.67 \text{ GeV}, \\
m_b &= 4.78 \text{ GeV}, \quad m_t = 172.76 \text{ GeV}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

The values of the parameters were taken from PDG-2020 [41], except for the masses of light quarks (u, d and s), for which the same values as in [31] were used. We used the CT14n1o PDF set [42] for qq and qg subprocesses and CT14qed_inc_proton PDF set [43] for $q\gamma$ and $\gamma\gamma$ subprocesses via the LHAPDF6 library [44] with the factorization and the renormalization scales $M_{\ell\ell}$ for NC DY and $M_{\ell\nu}$ for CC DY.

4.2. Cuts

The following cuts were applied ($\ell = e, \mu$):

$$\begin{aligned}
pp[p\bar{p}] &\rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^- X : \quad p_\perp(\ell^\pm) > 25 \text{ GeV}, \quad |\eta(\ell^\pm)| < 2.5, \quad M(\ell^+ \ell^-) > 50 \text{ GeV}, \\
pp[p\bar{p}] &\rightarrow \ell^+ \nu_\ell X : \quad p_\perp(\ell^+) > 25 \text{ GeV}, \quad p_\perp(\nu_\ell) > 25 \text{ GeV}, \quad |\eta(\ell^+)| < 2.5, \quad M(\ell^+ \nu_\ell) > 1 \text{ GeV}, \\
pp[p\bar{p}] &\rightarrow \ell^- \bar{\nu}_\ell X : \quad p_\perp(\ell^-) > 25 \text{ GeV}, \quad p_\perp(\bar{\nu}_\ell) > 25 \text{ GeV}, \quad |\eta(\ell^-)| < 2.5, \quad M(\ell^- \bar{\nu}_\ell) > 1 \text{ GeV}.
\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

4.3. List of observables

4.3.1. Z boson observables

- σ_Z : total inclusive cross section of Z boson production.

The total inclusive cross section $\sigma = \sigma_Z$ and the corresponding relative corrections δ for the LHC in the $\alpha(0)$ scheme are shown in Tables (1, 2), and in the G_μ scheme in Tables (3, 4), and the same results for the Tevatron in the $\alpha(0)$ in Tables (5, 6) and G_μ scheme in Tables (7, 8).

- $\frac{d\sigma}{dm_{\ell^+ \ell^-}}$: invariant mass distribution of the final-state lepton pair.

The relative corrections δ for $m_{\ell^+ \ell^-}$ range [50 GeV; 200 GeV] are shown in Fig. 1 for LHC at $\sqrt{s_0} = 8 \text{ TeV}$ (left) and for Tevatron at $\sqrt{s_0} = 1.96 \text{ TeV}$ (right).

- $\frac{d\sigma}{dp_{\ell^+}^T}$: transverse momentum distribution of the ℓ^+ .

The relative corrections δ for $p_{\ell^+}^T$ range [25 GeV; 55 GeV] are shown in Fig.2.

- $\frac{d\sigma}{dp_{\ell^-}^T}$: transverse momentum distribution of the ℓ^- .

The relative corrections δ for $p_{\ell^-}^T$ range [25 GeV; 55 GeV] are shown in Fig.3.

- $\frac{d\sigma}{d\eta_{\ell^+}}$: pseudorapidity distribution of the ℓ^+ .

The relative corrections δ for η_{ℓ^+} range $[-2.5; 2.5]$ are shown in Fig.4.

- $\frac{d\sigma}{d\eta_{\ell^-}}$: pseudorapidity distribution of the ℓ^- .

The relative corrections δ for η_{ℓ^-} range $[-2.5; 2.5]$ are shown in Fig.5.

- $\frac{d\sigma}{dy_{\ell^+\ell^-}}$: rapidity distribution of the final-state lepton pair.

The relative corrections δ for $y_{\ell^+\ell^-}$ range $[-2.5; 2.5]$ are shown in Fig.6.

- A_{FB} : forward-backward asymmetry as a function of $m_{\ell^+\ell^-}$.

The difference $\Delta A_{FB} = A_{FB}^{NLO} - A_{FB}^{LO}$ for $m_{\ell^+\ell^-}$ range [50 GeV; 130 GeV] are shown in Fig.7.

A_{FB} is defined by

$$A_{FB} = \frac{\sigma_F - \sigma_B}{\sigma_F + \sigma_B}, \quad (7)$$

where $\sigma_F = \int_0^1 \frac{d\sigma}{d\cos\vartheta^*} d\cos\vartheta^*$, and $\sigma_B = \int_{-1}^0 \frac{d\sigma}{d\cos\vartheta^*} d\cos\vartheta^*$. The definition for $\cos\vartheta^*$ is as follows:

$$\cos\vartheta^* = F \frac{2}{m_{\ell^+\ell^-} \sqrt{m_{\ell^+\ell^-}^2 + (p_{\ell^+\ell^-}^T)^2}} [p_{\ell^-}^+ p_{\ell^+}^- - p_{\ell^-}^- p_{\ell^+}^+] \quad (8)$$

with

$$p^\pm = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(E \pm p^z). \quad (9)$$

Here $F = 1$ for $p\bar{p}$ collisions, and $F = \frac{|p_{\ell^+\ell^-}^z|}{p_{\ell^+\ell^-}^z}$ for pp collisions.

4.3.2. W boson observables

- σ_W : total inclusive cross section of W boson production
- $\frac{d\sigma_T}{dm_{\ell^+\nu_\ell}}$: transverse mass distribution of the final state lepton-neutrino pair.

$$m_{\ell^+\nu_\ell}^T = \sqrt{2p_{\ell^+}^T p_{\nu_\ell}^T (1 - \cos\phi_{\ell^+\nu_\ell})}, \quad (10)$$

where $p_{\ell^+}^T$, $p_{\nu_\ell}^T$ are the transverse momenta of charged lepton and the neutrino, and $\phi_{\ell^+\nu_\ell}$ is the angle between the charged lepton and the neutrino in the transverse plane.

The relative corrections δ for $m_{\ell^+\nu_\ell}^T$ range [50 GeV; 100 GeV] are shown in Fig.8.

- $\frac{d\sigma_T}{dp_{\ell^+}^T}$: transverse momentum distribution of the ℓ^+ .

The relative corrections δ for $p_{\ell^+}^T$ range [25 GeV; 55 GeV] are shown in Fig.9.

- $\frac{d\sigma_T}{dp_{\nu_\ell}^T}$: transverse momentum distribution of the neutrino ν_ℓ .

The relative corrections δ for $p_{\nu_\ell}^T$ range [25 GeV; 55 GeV] are shown in Fig.10.

- $\frac{d\sigma}{d\eta_{\ell^+}}$: pseudorapidity distribution of the ℓ^+ .

The relative corrections δ for η_{ℓ^+} range $[-2.5; 2.5]$ are shown in Fig.11.

- $\frac{d\sigma}{d\eta_{\nu_\ell}}$: pseudorapidity distribution of the neutrino ν_ℓ .

The relative corrections δ for η_{ν_ℓ} range $[-2.5; 2.5]$ are shown in Fig.12.

- $\frac{d\sigma}{dy_{\ell^+\ell^-}}$: rapidity distribution of the final-state lepton-neutrino pair.

The relative corrections δ for $y_{\ell^+\nu_\ell}$ range $[-2.5; 2.5]$ are shown in Fig.13.

- A_W : the charge asymmetry.

A_W is defined by

$$A_W = \frac{\sigma^{W^+} - \sigma^{W^-}}{\sigma^{W^+} + \sigma^{W^-}}. \quad (11)$$

The difference $\Delta A_W = A_W^{NLO} - A_W^{LO}$ as a function of charged lepton pseudorapidity η_ℓ in range $[-2.5; 2.5]$ is shown in Fig.14.

ΔA_W as a function of neutrino pseudorapidity η_{ν_ℓ} in range $[-2.5; 2.5]$ is shown in Fig.15.

ΔA_W as a function of a rapidity $y_{\ell\nu_\ell}$ of the lepton-neutrino pair in range $[-2.5; 2.5]$ is shown in Fig.16.

$pp \rightarrow$		e^+e^-X	$e^+\nu_e X$	$e^-\bar{\nu}_e X$	$\mu^+\mu^-X$	$\mu^+\nu_\mu X$	$\mu^-\bar{\nu}_\mu X$
σ_{LO} [pb]	ReneSANCe	427.78(1)	2903.7(1)	1979.5(1)	427.78(1)	2903.7(1)	1979.4(1)
	MCSANC	427.78(1)	2903.7(1)	1979.5(1)	427.78(1)	2903.7(1)	1979.5(1)
$\Delta\sigma_{NLO EW}$ [pb]	ReneSANCe	-8.64(1)	16.6(1)	19.4(1)	7.70(1)	89.6(1)	66.1(1)
	MCSANC	-8.62(1)	16.6(1)	19.3(1)	7.71(1)	89.5(2)	66.0(1)
$\Delta\sigma_{NLO QCD}$ [pb]	ReneSANCe	26.1(1)	-5.8(6)	36.2(4)			
	MCSANC	26.1(1)	-5.7(3)	36.8(3)			
$\delta_{NLO EW}$ [%]	ReneSANCe	-2.02(1)	0.57(1)	0.98(1)	1.80(1)	3.09(1)	3.34(1)
	MCSANC	-2.02(1)	0.57(1)	0.98(1)	1.80(1)	3.08(1)	3.33(1)
$\delta_{NLO QCD}$ [%]	ReneSANCe	6.11(1)	-0.20(2)	1.83(2)	7.25(3)	0.14(3)	2.64(3)
	MCSANC	6.11(1)	-0.19(1)	1.86(1)			

Table 1: Cross sections and relative corrections for NC and CC DY processes at $\sqrt{s_0} = 8$ TeV in $\alpha(0)$ -scheme obtained by ReneSANCe v1.3.0 and MCSANC v1.21.

$pp \rightarrow$		e^+e^-X	$e^+\nu_e X$	$e^-\bar{\nu}_e X$	$\mu^+\mu^-X$	$\mu^+\nu_\mu X$	$\mu^-\bar{\nu}_\mu X$
σ_{LO} [pb]	ReneSANCe	423.53(1)	2877.1(1)	1957.7(1)	423.52(1)	2877.2(1)	1957.7(1)
	MCSANC	423.53(1)	2877.2(1)	1957.7(1)	423.53(1)	2877.2(1)	1957.7(1)
$\Delta\sigma_{\gamma q}$ [pb]	ReneSANCe	-0.364(1)	1.098(1)	0.799(1)	-0.372(1)	1.098(1)	0.799(1)
	MCSANC	-0.364(1)	1.098(1)	0.799(1)	-0.371(1)	1.099(1)	0.800(1)
$\Delta\sigma_{\gamma\gamma}$ [pb]	ReneSANCe	0.648(1)	-	-	0.648(1)	-	-
	MCSANC	0.649(1)	-	-	0.648(1)	-	-
$\delta_{\gamma q}$ [%]	ReneSANCe	-0.086(1)	0.038(1)	0.041(1)	-0.088(1)	0.038(1)	0.041(1)
	MCSANC	-0.086(1)	0.038(1)	0.041(1)	-0.088(1)	0.038(1)	0.041(1)
$\delta_{\gamma\gamma}$ [%]	ReneSANCe	0.153(1)	-	-	0.153(1)	-	-
	MCSANC	0.153(1)	-	-	0.153(1)	-	-

Table 2: Cross sections and relative corrections for NC and CC DY processes at $\sqrt{s_0} = 8$ TeV in $\alpha(0)$ -scheme obtained by ReneSANCe v1.3.0 and MCSANC v1.21.

$pp \rightarrow$		e^+e^-X	$e^+ \nu_e X$	$e^- \bar{\nu}_e X$	$\mu^+ \mu^- X$	$\mu^+ \nu_\mu X$	$\mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu X$
σ_{LO} [pb]	ReneSANCe	459.75(1)	3120.8(1)	2127.5(1)	459.76(1)	3120.8(1)	2127.4(1)
	MCSANC	459.77(1)	3120.8(1)	2127.3(1)	459.76(1)	3120.8(1)	2127.3(1)
$\Delta\sigma_{\text{NLO EW}}$ [pb]	ReneSANCe	−36.29(2)	−165.6(1)	−104.4(1)	−18.77(1)	−87.3(1)	−54.1(1)
	MCSANC	−36.30(1)	−165.8(1)	−104.4(1)	−18.73(1)	−87.3(1)	−54.1(2)
$\delta_{\text{NLO EW}}$ [%]	ReneSANCe	−7.89(1)	−5.31(1)	−4.91(1)	−4.08(1)	−2.80(1)	−2.54(1)
	MCSANC	−7.90(1)	−5.31(1)	−4.91(1)	−4.07(1)	−2.80(1)	−2.54(1)

Table 3: Cross sections and relative corrections for NC and CC DY processes at $\sqrt{s_0} = 8$ TeV in G_μ -scheme obtained by ReneSANCe v1.3.0 and MCSANC v1.21.

$pp \rightarrow$		e^+e^-X	e^+v_eX	$e^-\bar{v}_eX$	$\mu^+\mu^-X$	$\mu^+v_\mu X$	$\mu^-\bar{v}_\mu X$
σ_{LO} [pb]	ReneSANCe	455.19(1)	3092.2(1)	2104.0(1)	455.18(1)	3092.2(1)	2104.0(1)
	MCSANC	455.19(1)	3092.3(1)	2104.0(1)	455.19(1)	3092.3(1)	2104.0(1)
$\Delta\sigma_{\gamma q}$ [pb]	ReneSANCe	-0.391(1)	1.180(1)	0.858(1)	-0.399(1)	1.180(1)	0.859(1)
	MCSANC	-0.392(1)	1.180(1)	0.859(1)	-0.399(1)	1.180(1)	0.859(1)
$\Delta\sigma_{\gamma\gamma}$ [pb]	ReneSANCe	0.648(1)	—	—	0.648(1)	—	—
	MCSANC	0.648(1)	—	—	0.648(1)	—	—
$\delta_{\gamma q}$ [%]	ReneSANCe	-0.086(1)	0.038(1)	0.041(1)	-0.088(1)	0.038(1)	0.041(1)
	MCSANC	-0.086(1)	0.038(1)	0.041(1)	-0.088(1)	0.038(1)	0.040(1)
$\delta_{\gamma\gamma}$ [%]	ReneSANCe	0.142(1)	—	—	0.142(1)	—	—
	MCSANC	0.142(1)	—	—	0.141(1)	—	—

Table 4: Cross sections and relative corrections for NC and CC DY processes at $\sqrt{s_0} = 8$ TeV in G_μ -scheme obtained by ReneSANCe v1.3.0 and MCSANC v1.21.

$p\bar{p} \rightarrow$		e^+e^-X	$e^+\nu_eX$	$e^-\bar{\nu}_eX$	$\mu^+\mu^-X$	$\mu^+\nu_\mu X$	$\mu^-\bar{\nu}_\mu X$
σ_{LO} [pb]	ReneSANCe	134.17(1)	683.15(1)	683.15(1)	134.16(1)	683.14(1)	683.14(2)
	MCSANC	134.16(1)	683.15(1)	683.16(1)	134.15(1)	683.15(1)	683.15(1)
$\Delta\sigma_{\text{NLO EW}}$ [pb]	ReneSANCe	-2.75(1)	6.15(1)	6.15(1)	2.38(1)	22.39(1)	22.40(1)
	MCSANC	-2.75(1)	6.14(1)	6.14(1)	2.38(1)	22.40(1)	22.39(1)
$\Delta\sigma_{\text{NLO QCD}}$ [pb]	ReneSANCe	19.1(1)	76.5(1)	76.3(1)			
	MCSANC	19.2(1)	76.6(1)	76.3(1)			
$\delta_{\text{NLO EW}}$ [%]	ReneSANCe	-2.05(1)	0.90(1)	0.90(1)	1.78(1)	3.28(1)	3.28(1)
	MCSANC	-2.05(1)	0.90(1)	0.90(1)	1.77(1)	3.28(1)	3.28(1)
$\delta_{\text{NLO QCD}}$ [%]	ReneSANCe	14.2(1)	11.2(1)	11.2(1)			
	MCSANC	14.3(1)	11.2(1)	11.2(1)			

Table 5: Cross sections and relative corrections for NC and CC DY processes at $\sqrt{s_0} = 1.96$ TeV in $\alpha(0)$ -scheme obtained by ReneSANCe v1.3.0 and MCSANC v1.21.

$p\bar{p} \rightarrow$		e^+e^-X	$e^+\nu_eX$	$e^-\bar{\nu}_eX$	$\mu^+\mu^-X$	$\mu^+\nu_\mu X$	$\mu^-\bar{\nu}_\mu X$
σ_{LO} [pb]	ReneSANCe	132.97(1)	676.59(1)	676.61(1)	132.97(1)	676.62(1)	676.62(1)
	MCSANC	132.97(1)	676.62(1)	676.63(1)	132.97(1)	676.61(1)	676.61(1)
$\Delta\sigma_{\gamma q}$ [pb]	ReneSANCe	-0.064(1)	0.074(1)	0.074(1)	-0.065(1)	0.074(1)	0.074(1)
	MCSANC	-0.065(1)	0.074(2)	0.075(2)	-0.065(1)	0.074(2)	0.074(2)
$\Delta\sigma_{\gamma\gamma}$ [pb]	ReneSANCe	0.119(1)	—	—	0.119(1)	—	—
	MCSANC	0.119(1)	—	—	0.119(1)	—	—
$\delta_{\gamma q}$ [%]	ReneSANCe	-0.048(1)	0.011(1)	0.011(1)	-0.048(1)	0.011(1)	0.011(1)
	MCSANC	-0.048(1)	0.011(1)	0.011(1)	-0.049(1)	0.011(1)	0.011(1)
$\delta_{\gamma\gamma}$ [%]	ReneSANCe	0.089(1)	—	—	0.089(1)	—	—
	MCSANC	0.089(1)	—	—	0.089(1)	—	—

Table 6: Cross sections and relative corrections for NC and CC DY processes at $\sqrt{s_0} = 1.96$ TeV in $\alpha(0)$ -scheme obtained by ReneSANCe v1.3.0 and MCSANC v1.21.

$p\bar{p} \rightarrow$		e^+e^-X	$e^+\nu_e X$	$e^-\bar{\nu}_e X$	$\mu^+\mu^-X$	$\mu^+\nu_\mu X$	$\mu^-\bar{\nu}_\mu X$
σ_{LO} [pb]	ReneSANCe	144.20(1)	734.25(2)	734.24(2)	144.20(1)	734.23(2)	734.21(2)
	MCSANC	144.18(1)	734.22(1)	734.21(1)	144.19(1)	734.23(1)	734.23(1)
$\Delta\sigma_{\text{NLO EW}}$ [pb]	ReneSANCe	-11.44(1)	-36.55(2)	-36.56(2)	-5.92(1)	-19.11(1)	-19.09(1)
	MCSANC	-11.40(2)	-36.53(1)	-36.52(1)	-5.92(1)	-19.08(1)	-19.08(1)
$\delta_{\text{NLO EW}}$ [%]	ReneSANCe	-7.94(1)	-4.98(1)	-4.98(1)	-4.10(1)	-2.60(1)	-2.60(1)
	MCSANC	-7.91(1)	-4.98(1)	-4.97(1)	-4.10(1)	-2.60(1)	-2.60(1)

Table 7: Cross sections and relative corrections for NC and CC DY processes at $\sqrt{s_0} = 1.96$ TeV in G_μ -scheme obtained by ReneSANCe v1.3.0 and MCSANC v1.21.

$p\bar{p} \rightarrow$		e^+e^-X	$e^+\nu_e X$	$e^-\bar{\nu}_e X$	$\mu^+\mu^-X$	$\mu^+\nu_\mu X$	$\mu^-\bar{\nu}_\mu X$
σ_{LO} [pb]	ReneSANCe	142.91(1)	727.20(1)	727.20(1)	142.91(1)	734.23(2)	734.21(2)
	MCSANC	142.91(1)	727.20(1)	727.20(1)	142.91(1)	727.20(1)	727.20(1)
$\Delta\sigma_{\gamma q}$ [pb]	ReneSANCe	-0.069(1)	0.080(1)	0.080(1)	-0.069(1)	0.080(1)	0.080(1)
	MCSANC	-0.070(9)	0.080(1)	0.081(1)	-0.070(9)	0.080(1)	0.080(1)
$\Delta\sigma_{\gamma\gamma}$ [pb]	ReneSANCe	0.119(1)	—	—	0.119(1)	—	—
	MCSANC	0.119(1)	—	—	0.119(1)	—	—
$\delta_{\gamma q}$ [%]	ReneSANCe	-0.048(1)	0.011(1)	0.011(1)	-0.048(1)	0.011(1)	0.011(1)
	MCSANC	-0.048(1)	0.011(1)	0.011(1)	-0.049(1)	0.011(1)	0.011(1)
$\delta_{\gamma\gamma}$ [%]	ReneSANCe	0.083(1)	—	—	0.083(1)	—	—
	MCSANC	0.083(1)	—	—	0.083(1)	—	—

Table 8: Cross sections and relative corrections for NC and CC DY processes at $\sqrt{s_0} = 1.96$ TeV in G_μ -scheme obtained by ReneSANCe v1.3.0 and MCSANC v1.21.




Figure 1: The lepton pair invariant mass $m_{l^+ l^-}$ distributions for relative corrections.




Figure 2: The lepton transverse momentum $p_{l^+}^T$ distributions for relative corrections.




Figure 3: The antilepton transverse momentum $p_{l^-}^T$ distributions for relative corrections.




Figure 4: The lepton pseudorapidity η_{l^+} distributions for relative corrections.




Figure 5: The lepton pseudorapidity η_{l^-} distributions for relative corrections.




Figure 6: The lepton pair rapidity $y_{l^+l^-}$ distributions for relative corrections.




Figure 7: The forward-backward asymmetry A_{FB} distributions.




Figure 8: The lepton pair transverse mass $m_{l^+ \nu}^T$ distributions for relative corrections.




Figure 9: The lepton transverse momentum $p_{l^+}^T$ distributions for relative corrections.




Figure 10: The neutrino transverse momentum p_{ν}^T distributions for relative corrections.




Figure 11: The antilepton pseudorapidity η_{l^+} distributions for relative corrections.




Figure 12: The neutrino pseudorapidity η_ν distributions for relative corrections.




Figure 13: The lepton pair rapidity y_{l^+v} distributions for relative corrections.




Figure 14: The charge asymmetry on antilepton pseudorapidity η_{l^+} distributions for relative NLO and LO difference.




Figure 15: The charge asymmetry on neutrino pseudorapidity η_ν distributions for relative NLO and LO difference.




Figure 16: The charge asymmetry on lepton-neutrino pair rapidity $y_{l\nu}$ distributions for relative NLO and LO difference.

5. Summary

We presented an extension of the ReneSANCe Monte Carlo generator to the hadron-hadron collisions mode. We performed a tuned comparison of ReneSANCe with the MCSANC Monte Carlo integrator in NC and CC for DY processes, taking into account realistic requirements of the Tevatron and the LHC. We found perfect numerical agreement of the prediction for the sets of Z and W observables. Our study includes $\alpha(0)$ and G_μ EW input schemes and corrections for the contributions for NC and CC DY at the LO EW/QCD and NLO EW/QCD levels, as well as the contributions for photon-induced processes.

6. Funding

The research is supported by grant of the Russian Science Foundation (project No. 22-12-00021).

7. Acknowledgements

We are grateful to M. Potapov for the help in preparation of the manuscript.

References

- [1] A. Buckley, J. Butterworth, L. Lonnblad, D. Grellscheid, H. Hoeth, J. Monk, H. Schulz, F. Siegert, Rivet user manual, Comput. Phys. Commun. 184 (2013) 2803–2819. [arXiv:1003.0694](#), doi:10.1016/j.cpc.2013.05.021.
- [2] R. Brun, F. Rademakers, ROOT: An object oriented data analysis framework, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A389 (1997) 81–86. doi:10.1016/S0168-9002(97)00048-X.
- [3] R. Sadykov, V. Yermolchyk, Polarized NLO EW e^+e^- cross section calculations with ReneSANCe-v1.0.0, Comput. Phys. Commun. 256 (2020) 107445. [arXiv:2001.10755](#), doi:10.1016/j.cpc.2020.107445.
- [4] A. Arbuzov, D. Bardin, S. Bondarenko, P. Christova, L. Kalinovskaya, G. Nanava, R. Sadykov, One-loop corrections to the Drell-Yan process in SANC. I. The Charged current case, Eur. Phys. J. C 46 (2006) 407–412, [Erratum: Eur.Phys.J.C 50, 505 (2007)]. [arXiv:hep-ph/0506110](#), doi:10.1140/epjc/s2006-02505-y.
- [5] A. Arbuzov, D. Bardin, S. Bondarenko, P. Christova, L. Kalinovskaya, G. Nanava, R. Sadykov, One-loop corrections to the Drell-Yan process in SANC. (II). The Neutral current case, Eur. Phys. J. C 54 (2008) 451–460. [arXiv:0711.0625](#), doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-008-0531-8.
- [6] A. B. Arbuzov, R. R. Sadykov, Inverse bremsstrahlung contributions to Drell-Yan like processes, J. Exp. Theor. Phys. 106 (2008) 488–494. [arXiv:0707.0423](#), doi:10.1134/S1063776108030096.
- [7] P. Richardson, R. R. Sadykov, A. A. Saponov, M. H. Seymour, P. Z. Skands, QCD parton showers and NLO EW corrections to Drell-Yan, JHEP 06 (2012) 090. [arXiv:1011.5444](#), doi:10.1007/JHEP06(2012)090.
- [8] S. G. Bondarenko, A. A. Saponov, NLO EW and QCD proton-proton cross section calculations with mcsanc-v1.01, Comput. Phys. Commun. 184 (2013) 2343–2350. [arXiv:1301.3687](#), doi:10.1016/j.cpc.2013.05.010.
- [9] A. Arbuzov, D. Bardin, S. Bondarenko, P. Christova, L. Kalinovskaya, U. Klein, V. Kolesnikov, L. Rumyantsev, R. Sadykov, A. Saponov, Update of the MCSANC Monte Carlo integrator, v. 1.20, JETP Lett. 103 (2) (2016) 131–136. [arXiv:1509.03052](#), doi:10.1134/S0021364016020041.
- [10] A. Andonov, A. Arbuzov, D. Bardin, S. Bondarenko, P. Christova, L. Kalinovskaya, G. Nanava, W. von Schlippe, SANCscope—v.1.00, Computer Physics Communications 174 (6) (2006) 481–517. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2005.12.006>. URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0010465506000038>
- [11] C. Buttar, et al., Les houches physics at TeV colliders 2005, standard model and Higgs working group: Summary report, in: 4th Les Houches Workshop on Physics at TeV Colliders, 2006. [arXiv:hep-ph/0604120](#).
- [12] C. E. Gerber, et al., Tevatron-for-LHC Report: Top and Electroweak Physics, 2007. [arXiv:0705.3251](#).
- [13] C. Buttar, et al., Standard Model Handles and Candles Working Group: Tools and Jets Summary Report, in: 5th Les Houches Workshop on Physics at TeV Colliders, 2008, pp. 121–214. [arXiv:0803.0678](#).
- [14] A. B. Arbuzov, R. R. Sadykov, Z. Was, QED Bremsstrahlung in decays of electroweak bosons, Eur. Phys. J. C 73 (11) (2013) 2625. [arXiv:1212.6783](#), doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-013-2625-1.
- [15] S. Antropov, A. Arbuzov, R. Sadykov, Z. Was, Extra lepton pair emission corrections to Drell-Yan processes in PHOTOS and SANC, Acta Phys. Polon. B 48 (2017) 1469. [arXiv:1706.05571](#), doi:10.5506/APhysPolB.48.1469.
- [16] S. Alioli, et al., Precision studies of observables in $pp \rightarrow W \rightarrow l\nu_l$ and $pp \rightarrow \gamma, Z \rightarrow l^+l^-$ processes at the LHC, Eur. Phys. J. C 77 (5) (2017) 280. [arXiv:1606.02330](#), doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-017-4832-7.
- [17] S. Jadach, B. F. L. Ward, Z. Was, The Precision Monte Carlo event generator K K for two fermion final states in e^+e^- collisions, Comput. Phys. Commun. 130 (2000) 260–325. [arXiv:hep-ph/9912214](#), doi:10.1016/S0010-4655(00)00048-5.
- [18] S. Jadach, B. F. L. Ward, Z. A. Was, S. A. Yost, KK MC-hh: Resumed exact $O(\alpha^2L)$ EW corrections in a hadronic MC event generator, Phys. Rev. D 94 (7) (2016) 074006. [arXiv:1608.01260](#), doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.94.074006.
- [19] U. Baur, S. Keller, D. Wackeroth, Electroweak radiative corrections to W boson production in hadronic collisions, Phys. Rev. D 59 (1999) 013002. [arXiv:hep-ph/9807417](#), doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.59.013002.

- [20] U. Baur, O. Brein, W. Hollik, C. Schappacher, D. Wackeroth, Electroweak radiative corrections to neutral current Drell-Yan processes at hadron colliders, *Phys. Rev. D* 65 (2002) 033007. [arXiv:hep-ph/0108274](#), doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.65.033007.
- [21] U. Baur, D. Wackeroth, Electroweak radiative corrections to $p\bar{p} \rightarrow W^\pm \rightarrow \ell^\pm \nu$ beyond the pole approximation, *Phys. Rev. D* 70 (2004) 073015. [arXiv:hep-ph/0405191](#), doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.70.073015.
- [22] C. M. Carloni Calame, G. Montagna, O. Nicrosini, M. Treccani, Higher order QED corrections to W boson mass determination at hadron colliders, *Phys. Rev. D* 69 (2004) 037301. [arXiv:hep-ph/0303102](#), doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.69.037301.
- [23] C. M. Carloni Calame, G. Montagna, O. Nicrosini, M. Treccani, Multiple photon corrections to the neutral-current Drell-Yan process, *JHEP* 05 (2005) 019. [arXiv:hep-ph/0502218](#), doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2005/05/019.
- [24] C. M. Carloni Calame, G. Montagna, O. Nicrosini, A. Vicini, Precision electroweak calculation of the charged current Drell-Yan process, *JHEP* 12 (2006) 016. [arXiv:hep-ph/0609170](#), doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2006/12/016.
- [25] C. M. Carloni Calame, G. Montagna, O. Nicrosini, A. Vicini, Precision electroweak calculation of the production of a high transverse-momentum lepton pair at hadron colliders, *JHEP* 10 (2007) 109. [arXiv:0710.1722](#), doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2007/10/109.
- [26] W. Placzek, S. Jadach, Multiphoton radiation in leptonic W boson decays, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 29 (2003) 325–339. [arXiv:hep-ph/0302065](#), doi:10.1140/epjc/s2003-01223-4.
- [27] W. Placzek, S. Jadach, M. W. Krasny, Drell-Yan processes with WINHAC, *Acta Phys. Polon. B* 44 (11) (2013) 2171–2178. [arXiv:1310.5994](#), doi:10.5506/APhysPolB.44.2171.
- [28] C. Bernaciak, D. Wackeroth, Combining NLO QCD and Electroweak Radiative Corrections to W boson Production at Hadron Colliders in the POWHEG Framework, *Phys. Rev. D* 85 (2012) 093003. [arXiv:1201.4804](#), doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.85.093003.
- [29] L. Barze, G. Montagna, P. Nason, O. Nicrosini, F. Piccinini, Implementation of electroweak corrections in the POWHEG BOX: single W production, *JHEP* 04 (2012) 037. [arXiv:1202.0465](#), doi:10.1007/JHEP04(2012)037.
- [30] S. Dittmaier, M. Krämer, Electroweak radiative corrections to W boson production at hadron colliders, *Phys. Rev. D* 65 (2002) 073007. [arXiv:hep-ph/0109062](#), doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.65.073007.
- [31] S. Dittmaier, M. Huber, Radiative corrections to the neutral-current Drell-Yan process in the Standard Model and its minimal supersymmetric extension, *JHEP* 01 (2010) 060. [arXiv:0911.2329](#), doi:10.1007/JHEP01(2010)060.
- [32] Y. V. Dydyshka, V. L. Yermolchik, J. H. Suarez, N. M. Shumeiko, LePaProGen—lepton pair production generator, *Phys. Part. Nucl.* 48 (5) (2017) 755–759. doi:10.1134/S1063779617050136.
- [33] G. Passarino, M. Veltman, One-loop corrections for e^+e^- annihilation into $\mu^+\mu^-$ in the weinberg model, *Nuclear Physics B* 160 (1) (1979) 151–207. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(79)90234-7.
URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0550321379902347>
- [34] J. A. M. Vermaseren, New features of FORM (2000). [arXiv:math-ph/0010025](#).
- [35] J. Kuipers, T. Ueda, J. A. M. Vermaseren, J. Vollinga, FORM version 4.0, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* 184 (2013) 1453–1467. [arXiv:1203.6543](#), doi:10.1016/j.cpc.2012.12.028.
- [36] J. Kuipers, T. Ueda, J. A. M. Vermaseren, Code Optimization in FORM, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* 189 (2015) 1–19. [arXiv:1310.7007](#), doi:10.1016/j.cpc.2014.08.008.
- [37] T. Hahn, M. Perez-Victoria, Automatized one loop calculations in four-dimensions and D-dimensions, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* 118 (1999) 153–165. [arXiv:hep-ph/9807565](#), doi:10.1016/S0010-4655(98)00173-8.
- [38] D. Yu. Bardin, L. V. Kalinovskaya, L. A. Rumyantsev, J(A) functions in the Passarino-Veltman reduction, *Phys. Part. Nucl. Lett.* 6 (2009) 30–41, [*Pisma Fiz. Elem. Chast. Atom. Yadra*2009,no.149,54(2009)]. doi:10.1134/S1547477109010063.
- [39] D. Bardin, L. Kalinovskaya, V. Kolesnikov, W. von Schlippe, $J_{AW,WA}$ functions in Passarino - Veltman reduction, *Phys. Atom. Nucl.* 73 (2010) 2048–2063. [arXiv:0912.3893](#), doi:10.1134/S1063778810120100.
- [40] A. Andonov, A. Arbuzov, D. Bardin, S. Bondarenko, P. Christova, L. Kalinovskaya, V. Kolesnikov, R. Sadykov, Standard SANC Modules, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* 181 (2010) 305–312. [arXiv:0812.4207](#), doi:10.1016/j.cpc.2009.10.004.
- [41] P. A. Zyla, et al., Review of Particle Physics, *PTEP* 2020 (8) (2020) 083C01. doi:10.1093/ptep/ptaa104.
- [42] S. Dulat, T.-J. Hou, J. Gao, M. Guzzi, J. Huston, P. Nadolsky, J. Pumplin, C. Schmidt, D. Stump, C. P. Yuan, New parton distribution functions from a global analysis of quantum chromodynamics, *Phys. Rev. D* 93 (3) (2016) 033006. [arXiv:1506.07443](#), doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.93.033006.
- [43] C. Schmidt, J. Pumplin, D. Stump, C. P. Yuan, CT14QED parton distribution functions from isolated photon production in deep inelastic scattering, *Phys. Rev. D* 93 (11) (2016) 114015. [arXiv:1509.02905](#), doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.93.114015.
- [44] A. Buckley, J. Ferrando, S. Lloyd, K. Nordström, B. Page, M. Rüfenacht, M. Schönherr, G. Watt, LHAPDF6: parton density access in the LHC precision era, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 75 (2015) 132. [arXiv:1412.7420](#), doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-015-3318-8.