

Experimental realization of a high precision tunable hexagonal optical lattice

JIN-YU LIU^{1,2,3,†}, GUANG-QUAN LUO^{2,3,†}, XIAO-QIONG WANG^{2,3,*},
ANDREAS HEMMERICH⁴, AND ZHI-FANG XU^{2,3,5,6,*}

¹Department of Physics, Harbin Institute of Technology, Harbin 150001, China

²Department of Physics, Southern University of Science and Technology, Shenzhen 518055, China

³Shenzhen Institute for Quantum Science and Engineering, Southern University of Science and Technology, Shenzhen 518055, China

⁴Institute of Laser Physics, University of Hamburg, Luruper Chaussee 149, 22761 Hamburg, Germany

⁵International Quantum Academy, Shenzhen 518048, China

⁶Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Quantum Science and Engineering, Southern University of Science and Technology, Shenzhen 518055, China

[†]These authors contributed equally to this work.

*wangxq@sustech.edu.cn, xuzf@sustech.edu.cn

Abstract: Hexagonal optical lattices offer a tunable platform to study exotic orbital physics in solid state materials. Here, we present a versatile high-precision scheme to implement a hexagonal optical lattice potential, which is engineered by overlapping two independent triangular optical sublattices generated by laser beams with slightly different wavelengths around 1064 nm. This enables us to precisely control the detailed structure of the hexagonal lattice by adjusting the relative position and the relative lattice depth of the two triangular optical sublattices. Taking advantage of the sensitive dependence of the second Bloch band on small lattice deformations, we propose a strategy to optimize the optical lattice geometry with an extremely high precision. This method can also be extended to other lattice configurations involving more than two sublattices. Our work provides the experimental requirements in the search for novel orbital physics of ultracold atoms, for example, in the flat p -band of the hexagonal optical lattice.

© 2022 Optical Society of America under the terms of the [OSA Open Access Publishing Agreement](#)

1. Introduction

As an additional degree of freedom, different from charge and spin, orbital physics plays a central role for superconductivity, magnetism and transport in transition-metal-oxides due to two fundamental features, i.e., orbital degeneracy and orbital anisotropy [1]. In periodic crystals, orbitals describe the motion of electrons localized at a lattice site. Electron clouds with different symmetries can arise such as s , p , and d orbitals, distinguished by their angular momentum. To simulate orbital degrees of freedom with ultracold atoms, one may apply artificial periodic potentials, called optical lattices, by interfering several laser beams, and prepare the atoms in higher Bloch bands. Wannier functions associated with the Bloch bands describe the character of the orbitals. As a versatile platform for quantum simulation [2–7], ultracold atoms in optical lattices have become an ideal system for exploring orbital physics in electronic condensed matter. In contrast to the widely studied s orbital physics in optical lattices [4, 8, 9], the interplay of orbital degeneracy and spatial anisotropy of higher orbitals can induce more complex many-body scenarios with remarkable new features [10].

Over the past decade, p orbitals in optical lattices have been attracting a great deal of attention theoretically. Related experimental developments have provided the means to verify many of the theoretical predictions. In addition to ultracold fermionic gases taking the role of electrons in solid state materials [11–22], also bosons, for example loaded into the p band, exhibit exotic many-body quantum phases due to interaction effects [23–35]. A pioneering experimental work

of Ref. [24] successfully realized a p -orbital superfluid in a bipartite optical square lattice. In this work, bosons condensed at two quasi-momenta located at the centers of the four boundaries forming the rectangular first Brillouin zone (BZ). The corresponding complex-valued locally chiral $p_x \pm ip_y$ order parameter breaks time-reversal symmetry. Recently, experimental efforts on p -orbital physics have been directed to hexagonal optical lattices [36–39]. In our recent work [38], we observed the formation of a globally chiral atomic superfluid, induced by interaction-driven time-reversal symmetry breaking in the second Bloch band of a hexagonal boron nitride (BN) optical lattice. The emergent orbital superfluid supports topological Bogoliubov excitations and edge states.

In experiments with bosons in higher Bloch bands with orbital degrees of freedom, time-reversal symmetry and discrete rotation symmetries must be implemented with high precision in order to realize and exploit orbital degeneracies. In this manuscript, we report a versatile high precision scheme to construct a hexagonal BN optical lattice and propose a strategy to precisely optimize the optical lattice geometry. Unlike other schemes [36, 37, 40, 41], we create the hexagonal optical lattice by overlapping two triangular optical lattices, which contribute sublattice sites $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ to the resulting BN lattice. Each triangular lattice is generated by intersecting three monochromatic running wave laser beams with out-of-plane linear polarization. The potential depth and spatial position of each sublattice can be adjusted independently in the experiment. We swap the potential depths of the two sublattice wells to load atoms into the desired second band. In this process we added an extra step of reducing the superimposed optical dipole trap to further cool the atoms, which turned out crucial for the realization of long-lived condensates with very low temperature.

Since the energy minima of the second band sensitively depend on the relative positions of the two triangular lattices, small variations of the experimental parameters would cause a significant change in the locations of Bragg resonances in momentum spectra recorded by ballistic time-of-flight (TOF) and subsequent absorption imaging. We thus developed a strategy to optimize the optical lattice geometry. First, we calibrate the positions of Bragg peaks from the momentum spectra, which reflect the corresponding quasi-momenta for the energy minima in the second band. Second, we deduce the current experimental parameters assisted by numerical calculations and tune these parameters to correct the lattice deformation. This strategy has been readily applied in the experiment and we believe that it could be easily generalized to other optical lattice systems.

The remainder of this manuscript is organized as follows: In Sec. 2, we introduce the hexagonal optical lattice potential and analyze its deformation with the variation of experimental parameters. In Sec. 3, we describe the protocols for building and controlling the experimental setup. In Sec. 4, we show the experimental results of the TOF images of the condensates, and discuss our strategy to optimize the optical lattice potential. A summary and an outlook are given in Sec. 5.

2. Theoretical scheme for creating hexagonal optical lattice potential

Theoretically, we apply three laser beams intersecting at 120° angle with respect to each other in the xy -plane to construct the hexagonal lattice potential. The polarizations of all laser beams are parallel to the z -axis. Each laser beam comprises two spectral components with slightly different wavelengths λ_1 and λ_2 around 1064 nm. The interference among each set of spectral components with the same frequency generates a triangular lattice. Therefore, the total hexagonal optical lattice potential is a superposition of two triangular lattices with corresponding lattice sites denoted respectively by $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ and can be written as $V_h(\mathbf{r}) = V_{\lambda_1}(\mathbf{r}) + V_{\lambda_2}(\mathbf{r})$ with

$$V_{\lambda_1}(\mathbf{r}) = -V_1 \left\{ 3 + 2 \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \cos [(\mathbf{k}_i - \mathbf{k}_j) \cdot \mathbf{r} + (\theta_i - \theta_j)] \right\}, \quad (1a)$$

$$V_{\lambda_2}(\mathbf{r}) = -V_2 \left\{ 3 + 2 \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \cos \left[(\mathbf{k}_i - \mathbf{k}_j) \cdot \mathbf{r} + (\theta'_i - \theta'_j) \right] \right\}. \quad (1b)$$

Here, $V_{1,2} \geq 0$ as $\lambda_{1,2}$ are far red detuned from the atomic resonance. The summation $\langle i, j \rangle$ is limited to $\langle 1, 2 \rangle$, $\langle 2, 3 \rangle$, $\langle 3, 1 \rangle$. The wave vectors are given by $\mathbf{k}_1 = k_L(-\sqrt{3}/2, 1/2)$, $\mathbf{k}_2 = k_L(\sqrt{3}/2, 1/2)$, and $\mathbf{k}_3 = k_L(0, -1)$ with $k_L = 2\pi/\lambda_1$. The phase angles are determined by $\theta_j = 2\pi\nu_1 L_j/c + \theta_0$ and $\theta'_j = 2\pi\nu_2 L_j/c + \theta'_0$, where $\nu_{1,2} = c/\lambda_{1,2}$ are frequencies and L_j denotes the optical path length of the j -th beam from the splitting point to the center of the lattice. c denotes the speed of light. θ_0 and θ'_0 include the phase information for laser beams and are irrelevant to the lattice structure.

This optical lattice system has various degrees of freedom to be conveniently adjusted in the experiment. $V_{1,2}$ control the potential well depths of the two triangular sublattices independently. The relative position of the two triangular lattices is described by $\Delta\mathbf{r}$ which is defined via the equation $V_{\lambda_1}(\mathbf{r})/V_1 = V_{\lambda_2}(\mathbf{r} - \Delta\mathbf{r})/V_2$ and can be explicitly written as

$$\Delta\mathbf{r} = \frac{\sqrt{3}a_0}{4\pi} \left(\sqrt{3}\Delta\theta_{12}, -\Delta\theta_{12} - 2\Delta\theta_{23} \right). \quad (2)$$

Here, $a_0 = 4\pi/(3\sqrt{3}k_L)$ and the relative phase differences $\Delta\theta_{ij}$ are defined as

$$\Delta\theta_{ij} = (\theta_i - \theta_j) - (\theta'_i - \theta'_j) = \frac{2\pi\Delta\nu}{c}(L_i - L_j) \quad (3)$$

with $\Delta\nu = \nu_1 - \nu_2$. Note that there are only two independent degrees of freedom as $\Delta\theta_{12} + \Delta\theta_{23} + \Delta\theta_{31} = 0$. Thus, $\Delta\mathbf{r}$ can be rewritten as

$$\Delta\mathbf{r} = \frac{\sqrt{3}a_0\Delta\nu}{2c} \left(\sqrt{3}L_1 - \sqrt{3}L_2, -L_1 - L_2 + 2L_3 \right). \quad (4)$$

When fixing $L_3 = (L_1 + L_2)/2$, we can change $L_1 - L_2$ or $\Delta\nu$ to tune the relative position of the two triangular sublattices along the x -direction. If we further choose $(L_1 - L_2, L_2 - L_3, L_3 - L_1) = (-6.04, 3.02, 3.02)$ cm and $\Delta\nu = 3.308$ GHz, $\Delta\mathbf{r} = (-a_0, 0)$ and a regular hexagonal optical lattice is formed. The unit cell in this case is a hexagon as shown in Fig. 1 (a). Adjacent vertices are associated with potential wells denoted by $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$, respectively. The potential maximum is located at the center of the unit cell. A typical resulting hexagonal optical lattice potential is shown in Fig. 1 (b).




Fig. 1. (a) A hexagonal lattice is obtained by superposing two triangular sublattices. The red and blue filled circles indicate the potential minima of two triangular sublattices. (b) The hexagonal optical lattice potential with $V_1 = 7.88E_R$ and $V_2 = 7.24E_R$, where $E_R = \hbar^2 k_L^2 / (2m)$. $k_L = 2\pi/\lambda_1$ is the wave number of the laser and m is the atom mass of ^{87}Rb . The red hexagon indicates the unit cell.

3. Experimental construction of hexagonal optical lattices

Our experiment starts with a ^{87}Rb condensate with approximately 4×10^4 atoms in the hyperfine ground state $|F = 1, m_F = -1\rangle$ without discernable thermal atoms, produced in a pancake optical dipole trap. The dipole trap is formed by two elliptical beams perpendicular to each other at the center of an ultra-high dodecagonal vacuum metal chamber, which is shown in Fig. 2 (a). The trapping frequencies are $\{\omega_x, \omega_y, \omega_z\} = 2\pi \times \{26.4, 26.7, 70.6\}\text{Hz}$. A two-dimensional hexagonal optical lattice is formed by superimposing two sets of triangular lattices generated by three two-color beams.




Fig. 2. (a) Schematic diagram of the experimental setup. The pancake optical dipole trap is created by the elliptical Gaussian beams colored in purple. The hexagonal lattice is formed by the three Gaussian beams colored in pink. (b) The lattice depths ($|V_{12}|, |V_{23}|, |V_{31}|$) are plotted versus the frequency difference $\Delta\nu$. The black squares, red circles, and blue triangles indicate the experimental data of $|V_{12}|, |V_{23}|$, and $|V_{31}|$ respectively. The black solid, red solid, and blue dashed lines are the fitting curves for the experimental data symbols with the same color. The central vertical gray dashed line and the gray solid circles mark the values of $\Delta\nu$ for two specific configurations, with a one-dimensional (1D) and hexagonal lattice geometry, respectively.

Experimentally, to create a regular hexagonal lattice we need to fine tune all parameters including L_1, L_2, L_3 , and $\Delta\nu$. First, we measure and roughly set the values of $(L_1 - L_2, L_2 - L_3, L_3 - L_1)$ as $(-6, 3, 3)$ cm. Second, we turn on two out of three lattice beams to form a 1D optical lattice. Loading a Bose-Einstein condensation into the lattice, we calibrate the lattice depth via amplitude modulated spectroscopy and obtain information for the lattice center difference when we turn on all three lattice beams. As an example, we consider the i -th and j -th lattice beams with four wave vectors $(\mathbf{k}_i, \mathbf{k}_j, \mathbf{k}'_i, \mathbf{k}'_j)$. In the beginning, we tune the power of each spectral component of each beam to make sure that $V_1 = V_2$ (denoted as V_0). Their combination gives rise to a 1D lattice potential, which is given by

$$V_{ij}^{(1D)}(\mathbf{r}) = -4V_0 - V_{ij} \cos \left\{ (\mathbf{k}_i - \mathbf{k}_j) \cdot \mathbf{r} + \frac{1}{2} [(\theta_i - \theta_j) + (\theta'_i - \theta'_j)] \right\} \quad (5)$$

where $V_{ij} = 4V_0 \cdot \cos \left(\frac{\pi \Delta\nu}{c} (L_i - L_j) \right)$.

We then change the frequency difference among two spectral components in a range of (0, 10) GHz and measure $(|V_{12}|, |V_{23}|, |V_{31}|)$ accordingly. Fig. 2(b) shows our final results after we carefully set L_1, L_2 , and L_3 . Note that we use the fact that $V_{23} = V_{31}$ holds for arbitrary values of $\Delta\nu$ when $L_2 - L_3 = L_3 - L_1$ to fine tune L_3 . In Fig. 2(b), we fit the experimental data by

applying the formula

$$|V_{ij}(\Delta\nu)| = a_{ij} \left| \cos \frac{2\pi\Delta\nu}{b_{ij}} \right|, \quad (6)$$

where a_{ij} and b_{ij} are the fitting parameters. The fitting results are ($a_{12} = 7.347(8) E_R$, $b_{12} = 9.909(6) \text{ GHz}$), ($a_{23} = 7.38(2) E_R$, $b_{23} = 19.87(2) \text{ GHz}$), ($a_{31} = 7.38(1) E_R$, $b_{31} = 19.84(2) \text{ GHz}$) in the confidence level of 95% for curves. Based on these parameters, we obtain more precision values for optical path differences as $(L_1 - L_2, L_2 - L_3, L_3 - L_1) = (-6.04, 3.02, 3.02) \text{ cm}$. When the frequency difference is set at $\Delta\nu = 3.308 \text{ GHz}$ or 6.607 GHz as marked by two circles in Fig. 2(b), a regular hexagonal is created.

4. Optimization of the hexagonal lattice geometry

4.1. Bose-Einstein condensation in a hexagonal optical lattice

To further determine and fine tune the geometry of the hexagonal lattice after turning on all three laser beams, we load atoms into the second Bloch band of a hexagonal BN lattice with $V_1 \neq V_2$. Initially, atoms are loaded into the s orbitals in the deep wells of a hexagonal BN lattice. Applying the population swapping technique [42, 43] by a rapid quench of the lattice depths in $100 \mu\text{s}$, such that the sizes of V_1 , V_2 and hence the depth of the two subclasses of wells are swapped, the atoms are transferred into the s orbitals of the shallow wells. After a hold time, the atoms recondense at the band minima of the second Bloch band. The momentum distribution of the condensate is recorded by suddenly switching off all potentials followed by 20 ms free expansion before an absorption image is taken. More details can be found in Ref. [38]. We thus distinguish the degree of the deformation of the hexagonal lattice due to improper choices of the optical paths and the frequency difference $\Delta\nu$.

Fixing the optical paths as $(L_1 - L_2, L_2 - L_3, L_3 - L_1) = (-6.04, 3.02, 3.02) \text{ cm}$, we have adjusted different values of $\Delta\nu$ between 3.282 GHz and 3.332 GHz . Fig. 3(a) shows the corresponding theoretically obtained second band dispersions (first row), obtained from plane-wave expansion method, and the experimentally recorded momentum distributions for the condensate in the second band (second row). For the case of $\Delta\nu = 3.308 \text{ GHz}$, the second band minima are located at the two K points denoted as K_Δ and K_∇ (cf. Fig. 3(b)). Changing $\Delta\nu$, we observe that the two minima shift along the k_y direction. In Fig. 3(c), we compare the location of the second band minima with the experimental observed momentum distribution and find that Bragg peaks in experimental TOF images are in good agreement with theoretical predictions for various $\Delta\nu$. In the low frequency difference limit, two band minima merge together at an M point (cf. Fig. 3(b)). This phenomenon is quite similar to the band dispersion change in a shaking 1D lattice [44, 45]. Thus, a similar ferromagnetic quantum phase transition would also occur in our system when we change $\Delta\nu$.

4.2. A new optimization strategy

From the momentum distribution of the condensate in the second band, we find that the positions of the Bragg peaks are very sensitive to the frequency difference $\Delta\nu$ and the optical paths L_1 , L_2 , and L_3 , which does not occur for atoms residing in the lowest band. Hence, we can take advantage of this sensitivity to further fine correct the distortion of the hexagonal optical lattices after implementing the method mentioned in Sec. 3. Details of the optimization strategy are presented below.

To avoid confusion, we use K'_Δ and K'_∇ to label the locations of the six major Bragg peaks in the momentum distributions in Fig. 4, which correspond to the condensate momenta in reciprocal space. K_Δ (K_∇) and K'_Δ (K'_∇) are identical in the case of a perfect regular hexagonal lattice. The three K'_Δ and K'_∇ points form two triangles Δ' and ∇' , respectively. We note that Δ' and ∇' are




Fig. 3. (a) The upper panel shows the single-particle energy spectra of the second band in the reciprocal space with $(V_1, V_2) = (7.88, 7.24) E_R$. The red dots indicate the energy minima. The lower panel shows the corresponding experimental TOF images. The black hexagons indicate the first BZ. The frequency differences $\Delta\nu$ are chosen between 3.282 GHz to 3.332 GHz. (b) The first BZ of the hexagonal lattice in momentum space. The blue dots indicate the high symmetry points. (c) The black lines indicate the positions of the energy minima obtained by theoretical calculations. The blue dots indicate the average positions of the atoms obtained from experimental TOF images. The upper and lower branches indicate the top right corner and bottom right corner, respectively.




Fig. 4. (a) Sketch of the momentum distribution in a deformed hexagonal lattice. The red and green triangles indicate the three K'_Δ and K'_∇ points, respectively. The relative distance between the centers of the two triangles is labeled by S . (b) The experimental TOF images correspond to $\delta L_3 = -173 \mu\text{m}$, $\delta L_3 = -98 \mu\text{m}$, and $\delta L_3 = -20 \mu\text{m}$ respectively. The optical lattice potential is set as $(V_1, V_2) = (7.87, 7.23) E_R$. The black hexagon indicates the first BZ.

two regular triangles with the same size, which means that directions and powers of three lattice beams are set accurately in the experiment. In addition, every K'_Δ point has a inverse K'_∇ point and vice versa, which is ensured by the time-reversal symmetry of the system. In summary, the only significant error is the misalignment of the two centers of Δ' and ∇' , which are not identical unless all K' points form a regular hexagon leading to a perfect regular hexagonal lattice (see Fig. 4 (a)).

The displacement from the center of ∇' to the center of Δ' is labeled as $\mathbf{S}$. The positions of all K' points can be derived by $\mathbf{S}$. For example, the top right vertex of the hexagon is located at

$$(k_x^{(1)}, k_y^{(1)}) = \left(\frac{\sqrt{3}k_L - S(1)}{2}, \frac{k_L - S(2)}{2} \right). \quad (7)$$

When $\mathbf{S} = 0$, we obtain a regular hexagonal lattice with $(k_x^{(1)}, k_y^{(1)}) = (\sqrt{3}k_L/2, k_L/2)$. When $\mathbf{S} \neq 0$, we only need to optimize the phases θ_i and θ'_i by fine tuning L_3 and $\Delta\nu$. Due to the constraint $\sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \Delta\theta_{ij} = 0$, we can choose two out of three relative phase differences, e.g. $\Delta\theta_{12}$ and $\Delta\theta_{23}$, to determine a certain displacement $\mathbf{S}$. And a displacement $\mathbf{S}$, in turn, can also be used to derive the correct value of $\Delta\theta_{12}$ and $\Delta\theta_{23}$.

We apply three steps to determine the relative phase differences $\Delta\theta_{12}$ and $\Delta\theta_{23}$. First, we extract the positions of the six major Bragg peaks from a TOF image. The centers of two triangles Δ' and ∇' are derived by averaging three K'_Δ and three K'_∇ points respectively. The displacement $\mathbf{S}$ between the two triangle centers is thus obtained. Secondly, we use Eq. 7 to calculate the position of the top right vertex $(k_x^{(1)}, k_y^{(1)})$, which is more accurate than extracting the position of the corresponding Bragg peak directly. Finally, $\Delta\theta_{12}$ and $\Delta\theta_{23}$ of the TOF image are obtained by finding the solution of $f(\Delta\theta_{12}, \Delta\theta_{23}) = 0$, where the objective function is defined as

$$f(\Delta\theta_{12}, \Delta\theta_{23}) = \left\{ \left[\frac{\partial E_2(\mathbf{k})}{\partial k_x} \right]^2 + \left[\frac{\partial E_2(\mathbf{k})}{\partial k_y} \right]^2 \right\} \bigg|_{\mathbf{k}=(k_x^{(1)}, k_y^{(1)})}. \quad (8)$$

Here, $E_2(\mathbf{k})$ is the energy dispersion for the second band and depends on the values of $\Delta\theta_{12}$ and $\Delta\theta_{23}$. Obviously, $f(\Delta\theta_{12}, \Delta\theta_{23}) = 0$ when $(k_x^{(1)}, k_y^{(1)})$ is a minimum of the energy spectrum. We thus optimize the objective function $f(\Delta\theta_{12}, \Delta\theta_{23})$ in the neighborhood of $(-4\pi/3, 2\pi/3)$ to find the correct values of $\Delta\theta_{12}$ and $\Delta\theta_{23}$.

Applying this strategy, we can extract $\Delta\theta_{12}$ and $\Delta\theta_{23}$ from an arbitrary TOF image. If their values are different from our target values $\Delta\theta_{12}^0$ and $\Delta\theta_{23}^0$, as estimated we can increase L_3 and $\Delta\nu$, respectively, by δL_3 and $\delta\Delta\nu$, to reach the target values, where

$$\begin{aligned} \delta L_3 &= \left(\frac{\Delta\theta_{23}}{\Delta\theta_{12}} - \frac{\Delta\theta_{23}^0}{\Delta\theta_{12}^0} \right) \frac{c\Delta\theta_{12}}{2\pi\Delta\nu}, \\ \delta\Delta\nu &= \frac{\Delta\theta_{12}^0 - \Delta\theta_{12}}{\Delta\theta_{12}} \Delta\nu. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

When $(\Delta\theta_{12}, \Delta\theta_{23}) = (-4\pi/3, 2\pi/3)$, a regular hexagonal lattice is created. In our experiments, we repeat the measurements and superimpose TOF images to increase the ratio of the signal to noise to evaluate the correct values of $\Delta\theta_{12}$ and $\Delta\theta_{23}$.

To demonstrate the power of the optimization strategy, we perform experiments and tune parameters according to the strategy, which is shown in Fig. 4(b). First, we set $\Delta\nu = 3.308$ GHz, and repeat experiments of loading atoms into the second band and hold for 105 ms. The corresponding momentum distribution from averaging over 65 experimental runs is shown in the left panel of Fig. 4(b). After evaluation, we find that to obtain a regular hexagonal lattice, we still need to change L_3 and $\Delta\nu$ by small values with $\delta L_3 = -173\mu\text{m}$ and $\delta\Delta\nu = 1.5$ MHz.

We thus decrease L_3 by $80\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ and keep frequency difference $\Delta\nu$ the same since the main phase error is from L_3 . After that, we perform another series of experiment and obtain the momentum distribution shown in the middle panel of Fig. 4(b). By further evaluation, we obtain $\delta L_3 = -98\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ and $\delta\Delta\nu = 0.7\text{ MHz}$. Later, we decrease L_3 by $80\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ again and perform a third series of experiments to obtain the momentum distribution shown in the right panel of Fig. 4(b). Finally, we obtain $\delta L_3 = -20\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ and $\delta\Delta\nu = 0.6\text{ MHz}$. It corresponds to $\Delta\theta_{12} = -4\pi/3 + 0.0002\pi$ and $\Delta\theta_{23} = 2\pi/3 - 0.0006\pi$, which is close to the precision limit we can achieve. We thus conclude that we have already created a regular hexagonal optical lattice.

5. Summary and outlook

In conclusion, we apply an effective high-precision scheme to construct a highly tunable precisely hexagonal optical lattice in the experiment. Furthermore, we propose and implement strategies to optimize the lattice geometry by carefully determining the positions of Bragg peaks from momentum distributions of the condensate in the second Bloch band. All experimental results are in good agreement with theoretical calculations. Our work paves the way for investigating many-body physics in the hexagonal optical lattice, especially for novel higher orbital physics, which sensitively depends on the lattice rotational symmetry. The schemes of constructing and optimizing the optical lattice can potentially be extended to other non-square lattices.

Acknowledgments. This work is supported by the Key-Area Research and Development Program of Guangdong Province (Grant No. 2019B030330001), the National Key R&D Program of China (Grant No. 2018YFA0307200), NSFC (Grant No. U1801661), and a fund from Guangdong province (Grant No. 2019ZT08X324). X.-Q. W. acknowledges support from the China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (No. 2021M691444). A.H. acknowledges support by Cluster of Excellence CUI: Advanced Imaging of Matter of the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) - EXC 2056 - project ID 390715994.

Disclosures. The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Data Availability Statement. Data underlying the results presented in this paper are not publicly available at this time but may be obtained from the authors upon reasonable request.

References

1. Y. Tokura and N. Nagaosa, "Orbital physics in transition-metal oxides," *science* **288**, 462–468 (2000).
2. D. Jaksch and P. Zoller, "The cold atom Hubbard toolbox," *Annals Phys.* **315**, 52–79 (2005).
3. M. Lewenstein, A. Sanpera, V. Ahufinger, B. Damski, A. Sen, and U. Sen, "Ultracold atomic gases in optical lattices: mimicking condensed matter physics and beyond," *Adv. Phys.* **56**, 243–379 (2007).
4. I. Bloch, J. Dalibard, and W. Zwerger, "Many-body physics with ultracold gases," *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **80**, 885–964 (2008).
5. I. Bloch, J. Dalibard, and S. Nascimbène, "Quantum simulations with ultracold quantum gases," *Nat. Phys.* **8**, 267–276 (2012).
6. N. Goldman, J. C. Budich, and P. Zoller, "Topological quantum matter with ultracold gases in optical lattices," *Nat. Phys.* **12**, 639–645 (2016).
7. C. Gross and I. Bloch, "Quantum simulations with ultracold atoms in optical lattices," *Science* **357**, 995–1001 (2017).
8. M. Lewenstein, A. Sanpera, and V. Ahufinger, *Ultracold Atoms in Optical Lattices: Simulating quantum many-body systems* (Oxford University Press, 2012).
9. O. Dutta, M. Gajda, P. Hauke, M. Lewenstein, D.-S. Lühmann, B. A. Malomed, T. Sowiński, and J. Zakrzewski, "Non-standard hubbard models in optical lattices: a review," *Reports on Prog. Phys.* **78**, 066001 (2015).
10. X. Li and W. V. Liu, "Physics of higher orbital bands in optical lattices: a review," *Reports on Prog. Phys.* **79**, 116401 (2016).
11. C. Wu, D. Bergman, L. Balents, and S. Das Sarma, "Flat bands and wigner crystallization in the honeycomb optical lattice," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **99**, 070401 (2007).
12. C. Wu and S. Das Sarma, " $p_{x,y}$ -orbital counterpart of graphene: Cold atoms in the honeycomb optical lattice," *Phys. Rev. B* **77**, 235107 (2008).
13. K. Wu and H. Zhai, "Theory of quantum antiferromagnetism of fermions in an optical lattice with a half-filled p band," *Phys. Rev. B* **77**, 174431 (2008).
14. C. Wu, "Orbital ordering and frustration of p -band mott insulators," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100**, 200406 (2008).
15. E. Zhao and W. V. Liu, "Orbital order in mott insulators of spinless p -band fermions," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100**, 160403 (2008).

16. C. Wu, "Orbital analogue of the quantum anomalous hall effect in p -band systems," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101**, 186807 (2008).
17. M. Zhang, H.-h. Hung, C. Zhang, and C. Wu, "Quantum anomalous hall states in the p -orbital honeycomb optical lattices," *Phys. Rev. A* **83**, 023615 (2011).
18. K. Sun, W. V. Liu, A. Hemmerich, and S. Das Sarma, "Topological semimetal in a fermionic optical lattice," *Nat. Phys.* **8**, 67–70 (2012).
19. B. Liu, X. Li, B. Wu, and W. V. Liu, "Chiral superfluidity with p -wave symmetry from an interacting s -wave atomic Fermi gas," *Nat. Commun.* **5**, 5064 (2014).
20. Z. Zhou, E. Zhao, and W. V. Liu, "Spin-orbital exchange of strongly interacting fermions in the p band of a two-dimensional optical lattice," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **114**, 100406 (2015).
21. Z.-F. Xu, A. Hemmerich, and W. V. Liu, "Odd-parity topological superfluidity for fermions in a bond-centered square optical lattice," *Phys. Rev. A* **96**, 053607 (2017).
22. M. Hachmann, Y. Kiefer, J. Riebesehl, R. Eichberger, and A. Hemmerich, "Quantum degenerate fermi gas in an orbital optical lattice," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **127**, 033201 (2021).
23. C. Wu, W. V. Liu, J. Moore, and S. D. Sarma, "Quantum stripe ordering in optical lattices," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **97**, 190406 (2006).
24. G. Wirth, M. Ölschläger, and A. Hemmerich, "Evidence for orbital superfluidity in the p -band of a bipartite optical square lattice," *Nat. Phys.* **7**, 147–153 (2011).
25. P. Soltan-Panahi, D.-S. Lühmann, J. Struck, P. Windpassinger, and K. Sengstock, "Quantum phase transition to unconventional multi-orbital superfluidity in optical lattices," *Nat. Phys.* **8**, 71–75 (2012).
26. X. Li, Z. Zhang, and W. V. Liu, "Time-reversal symmetry breaking of p -orbital bosons in a one-dimensional optical lattice," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108**, 175302 (2012).
27. F. Pinheiro, G. M. Bruun, J.-P. Martikainen, and J. Larson, " xyz quantum heisenberg models with p -orbital bosons," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111**, 205302 (2013).
28. T. Sowiński, M. Łącki, O. Dutta, J. Pietraszewicz, P. Sierant, M. Gajda, J. Zakrzewski, and M. Lewenstein, "Tunneling-induced restoration of the degeneracy and the time-reversal symmetry breaking in optical lattices," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111**, 215302 (2013).
29. M. Ölschläger, T. Kock, G. Wirth, A. Ewerbeck, C. M. Smith, and A. Hemmerich, "Interaction-induced chiral $px \pm ipy$ superfluid order of bosons in an optical lattice," *New J. Phys.* **15**, 083041 (2013).
30. T. Kock, M. Ölschläger, A. Ewerbeck, W.-M. Huang, L. Mathey, and A. Hemmerich, "Observing chiral superfluid order by matter-wave interference," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **114**, 115301 (2015).
31. T. Kock, C. Hippler, A. Ewerbeck, and A. Hemmerich, "Orbital optical lattices with bosons," *J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys.* **49**, 042001 (2016).
32. Y. Li, J. Yuan, A. Hemmerich, and X. Li, "Rotation-symmetry-enforced coupling of spin and angular momentum for p -orbital bosons," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121**, 093401 (2018).
33. S. Lieu, A. F. Ho, D. K. K. Lee, and P. Coleman, "Intertwined superfluidity and density wave order in a p -orbital bose condensate," *Phys. Rev. B* **99**, 014504 (2019).
34. H. Chen and X. C. Xie, "Orbital order in a bosonic p -band triangular lattice," *Phys. Rev. B* **103**, 205144 (2021).
35. Y. Li, J. Yuan, X. Zhou, and X. Li, "Spin-induced orbital frustration in a hexagonal optical lattice," *Phys. Rev. Res.* **3**, 033274 (2021).
36. M. Weinberg, C. Staarmann, C. Ölschläger, J. Simonet, and K. Sengstock, "Breaking inversion symmetry in a state-dependent honeycomb lattice: artificial graphene with tunable band gap," *2D Mater.* **3**, 024005 (2016).
37. S. Jin, W. Zhang, X. Guo, X. Chen, X. Zhou, and X. Li, "Evidence of potts-nematic superfluidity in a hexagonal $s p^2$ optical lattice," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **126**, 035301 (2021).
38. X.-Q. Wang, G.-Q. Luo, J.-Y. Liu, W. V. Liu, A. Hemmerich, and Z.-F. Xu, "Evidence for an atomic chiral superfluid with topological excitations," *Nature* **596**, 227–231 (2021).
39. T. Klafka, "Bose-einstein condensation in higher bloch bands of the optical honeycomb lattice," *Ph.D. thesis, Staats-und Universitätsbibliothek Hamburg Carl von Ossietzky* (2021).
40. P. Soltan-Panahi, J. Struck, P. Hauke, A. Bick, W. Plenkers, G. Meineke, C. Becker, P. Windpassinger, M. Lewenstein, and K. Sengstock, "Multi-component quantum gases in spin-dependent hexagonal lattices," *Nat. Phys.* **7**, 434–440 (2011).
41. L. Duca, T. Li, M. Reitter, I. Bloch, M. Schleier-Smith, and U. Schneider, "An aharonov-bohm interferometer for determining bloch band topology," *Science* **347**, 288–292 (2015).
42. M. Anderlini, P. J. Lee, B. L. Brown, J. Sebby-Strabley, W. D. Phillips, and J. V. Porto, "Controlled exchange interaction between pairs of neutral atoms in an optical lattice," *Nature* **448**, 452–456 (1999).
43. S. Fölling, S. Trotzky, P. Cheinet, M. Feld, R. Saers, A. Widera, T. Müller, and I. Bloch, "Direct observation of second-order atom tunnelling," *Nature* **448**, 1029–1032 (2007).
44. C. V. Parker, L.-C. Ha, and C. Chin, "Direct observation of effective ferromagnetic domains of cold atoms in a shaken optical lattice," *Nat. Phys.* **9**, 769–774 (2013).
45. L. W. Clark, L. Feng, and C. Chin, "Universal space-time scaling symmetry in the dynamics of bosons across a quantum phase transition," *Science* **354**, 606–610 (2016).