The rise of 212 MAX phase borides, Ti₂PB₂, Zr₂PbB₂, and Nb₂AB₂ [A = P, S]: DFT insights into the physical properties for thermo-mechanical applications

M. A. Ali^{1,2,*}, M. M. Hossain^{1,2}, M. M. Uddin^{1,2}, A. K. M. A. Islam^{3,4}, S. H. Naqib^{2,4,*}

¹Department of Physics, Chittagong University of Engineering and Technology (CUET), Chattogram-4349,

Bangladesh

²Advanced Computational Materials Research Laboratory (ACMRL), Department of Physics, Chittagong University of Engineering and Technology (CUET), Chattogram-4349, Bangladesh

³Department of Electrical and Electronic Engineering, International Islamic University Chittagong, Kumira, Chattogram-4318, Bangladesh

⁴Department of Physics, University of Rajshahi, Rajshahi-6205, Bangladesh

Abstract

An interesting class of ternary metallic borides, known as the 212 MAX phase borides, is the recent advancement of the MAX phase family. This new class exhibits a similar level of metallicity but significantly improved mechanical and thermal properties compared to its traditional carbide counterparts, owing to the existence of a 2D boron layer by forming 2c-2e covalent bonds between the atoms. In this article, results from ab-initio calculations on unexplored Ti₂PB₂, Zr₂PbB₂, and Nb₂AB₂ [A = P, S] are reported wherein Ti₂PB₂ along with its 211 boride phase Ti₂PB are predicted for the first time. The stability was confirmed by calculating the formation energy, phonon dispersion curve, and elastic stiffness constants. The obtained elastic constants, elastic moduli, and Vickers hardness values of Ti₂PB₂, Zr₂PbB₂, and Nb_2AB_2 [A = P, S] were found to be significantly larger than those of their counterparts 211 borides and carbides, in a trend similar to other 212 borides. The studied compounds are brittle like most of the MAX and MAB phases. The electronic band structure and density of states revealed the metallic nature of the titled borides. Several thermal parameters were explored, certifying the suitability of Ti_2PB_2 , Zr_2PbB_2 , and Nb_2AB_2 [A = P, S] compared to their counterparts, and a similar trend was found for the other 212 borides. The obtained results predict that Ti_2PB_2 , Zr_2PbB_2 , and Nb_2AB_2 [A = P, S] have significant potential for use as efficient thermal barrier coating materials. The response of Ti_2PB_2 , Zr_2PbB_2 , and Nb_2AB_2 [A = P, S] to the incident photon was studied by computing the dielectric constant (real and imaginary part), refractive index, absorption coefficient, photoconductivity, reflectivity, and energy loss function. The ability to protect from solar heating was revealed from the studied reflectivity spectra. In this work, we have explored the physical basis of the improved thermo-mechanical properties of 212 MAX phase borides compared to their carbide and boride counterparts.

Keywords: MAX phase borides; DFT study; Mechanical properties; Electronic properties; Thermal properties

1. Introduction

The demand for novel materials with a better demonstration of their performances in various applications is increasing day by day in association with the advancement of technology. One of the best ways to achieve this goal is the prediction and/or synthesis of novel materials in addition

to the existing ones that are used in various technological applications. Since the practical use of MAX phase results due to their peculiar physical properties, the prediction, synthesis as well as study of newly synthesized MAX phase materials have received huge interest from both applications as well as basic research points of view [1]. The term MAX represents a layered class of solids in which M is a representative of the transition metal group, A is a representative of the IIIA or IVA group in the periodic table and X is a C/N/B atom [2–8]. Despite the metallic nature of the MAX phase materials, they are also potential candidates for use as an alternative to high-temperature materials because of their ceramic-like characteristics [1,4,5,9,10]. A long list of their potential applications can be found elsewhere [1,5,11–13].

However, the main focus for the discovery of a new MAX phase was limited due only to the extension of either the M element or the A element [14-23] or reporting of the MAX phase alloys by the combination of M, M', and A, A' atoms; M, M' = Ti, Zr, Hf, Ta,; A, A' = AI, Ga, Si, Ge, P, ... [24–33]. The atom X was recognized as either C/N for a long time before the groundbreaking work of Khazaei et al. [5] where they have proposed B as an X element. Before this proposal, very few attempts were made to extend the MAX phase family by tuning the X elements in comparison with the attempts taken to extend by choosing the M and/or A element(s) for the same. Both the physical and chemical characteristics of B as well as B-containing compounds convey the prospects of the MAX phase borides by replacing C/N with boron [34]. In recent times such systems have been synthesized and are already listed as promising members of the MAX phases. Significant attention has also been paid to these MAX phase borides [6-Nb, Mo, Hf, or Ta)] was published by Khazaei et al. [6] where the trend in the electronic structures of the phase stability has been investigated. Gencer et al. [36] reported the electronic and vibrational properties of Ti_2SiB . A predictive study of M_2AlB (M = V, Nb, Ta) borides was performed by Surucu et al. [44]. The first synthesis of the M_2SB (M = Zr, Hf, and Nb) borides phase was carried out by Rackl et al. [7,40]. Chakraborty et al. [41] predicted the V_2 AlB boride by B substitution in place of C in the V₂AlC. A DFT study of M_2AB (M = Ti, Zr, Hf; A = Al, Ga, In) compounds was carried out by G. Surucu [42] in which the structural, electronic, elastic anisotropy, and lattice dynamical properties were considered. We have performed a comprehensive investigation of the synthesized borides M_2SB (M = Zr, Hf, and Nb) in our earlier report [43] where the physical properties of borides were compared with those of carbides. Boron substitutional effect on the carbon site in the Nb₂SC MAX phase was studied by Mitra et al. [37]. Substitution of C and N in place of the B-site of the first synthesized MAX boride Nb₂SB has also been reported [35]. Physical properties of predicted MAX phase borides Hf_2AB (A = Pb, Bi) have been reported by Hossain et al. [39]. Only one MAX phase boride belonging to the 413 sub-class has been investigated by A. Gencer [38] so far.

Miao et al. [8] have predicted MAX phase borides Hf_2AB (A = Bi, Pb) where they have calculated the formation energy and investigated their dynamical stability. Miao et al. [8] have also predicted another class of MAX phase materials crystallizing with different space groups (S.G.: 187, also called sub-space group of conventional MAX phase [8,45]) of the hexagonal system. The traditional MAX phases are crystallized in the S.G.: 194 of the hexagonal system. The study [8] predicted six 212 [Ti₂InB₂, Hf₂AB₂ (In, Sn), Zr₂AB₂ (A = In, Tl, Pb)] MAX phases and two 314 [Hf₃PB₄, Zr₃CdB₄] MAX phases among which Ti₂InB₂ (; No. 187) has already been synthesized [45]. Miao et al. [8] were inspired by the discovery of Ti₂InB₂ [45] and other layered ternary borides known as the MAB phases have been investigated [46–48] in recent times. Although the B containing 212 and 314 MAX phases have layered structures that crystallize in a hexagonal system, the atomic arrangement in the cell is different from the conventional MAX phases as shown in Fig. 1. As evident from the figure, there is a 2D layer of B sandwiched in between two Zr layers that significantly contribute in the enhancement of the structural stability and mechanical strength [49].

Li et al. [50] also predicted some MAX phase borides consisting of 212 Nb₂AB₂ [A = P, S] and 211 Nb₂AB [A = P, S]. Li et al. [50] have also compared their electronic and transport properties (e.g., the thermal conductivity) with 211 carbides Nb₂AC [A = P, S]. The stability of these phases was reported in terms of formation energy and dynamical stability. These compounds there are predicted to possess unusual thermal properties owing to the acoustic and optical contributions; and the anisotropic nature.

Motivated by the study of Miao et al [8] and Li et al [50], we have tried to explore the 212 Ti_2PB_2 and 211 Ti_2PB MAX phase borides by the substitutional method. To do this, at first, we created the structure of Nb₂PB₂ and Nb₂PB and calculated their physical properties. After then, we substituted the Nb atoms with Ti in both cases. Recently, the substitutional method has also been used by researchers to predict MAX phase borides [38,41]. Finally, we calculated their

formation energy and checked their dynamical and elastic stability.

So far, the physical properties of Ti_2InB_2 [51,52], Hf_2AB_2 (A = In, Sn) [53], Zr_2AB_2 (A = In, Tl) [49], Hf₃PB₄[54], and Zr₃CdB₄[55] MAX phases have been studied using the density functional theory (DFT) method. For each of the cases, the mechanical properties of B-containing compounds are found to be enhanced remarkably in comparison with their conventional C/N containing 211 MAX phases. The Debye temperature and melting temperature increased for boron-containing 212 phases compared to 211 carbides/nitrides. The minimum thermal conductivity also decreases for the same. The thermal expansion coefficient remains reasonably suitable for coating materials in borides. Thus, the enhanced thermo-mechanical properties of Bcontaining 212 MAX phases revealed their appropriateness for applications in high-temperature technology compared to the widely used 211 MAX phase carbides. These features of 212 MAX phases are very much motivational and we are interested to study the yet-to-be-investigated stable 212 MAX phase Zr₂PbB₂. Though Li et al. [50] studied transport properties, electronic band structure, Fermi surface, etc. of Nb₂AB₂ [A = P, S] and Nb₂AB; the mechanical properties and other thermal parameters such as Debye temperature, melting temperature, etc. are not included in their study. Moreover, we have found that Ti₂PB₂ (212) and Ti₂PB (211) MAX borides are energetically, elastically/mechanically, and dynamically stable.

Therefore, in this study, the thermo-mechanical parameters of Ti_2PB_2 , Zr_2PbB_2 , and Nb_2AB_2 [A = P, S] compounds have been studied employing the DFT method, and the properties are compared with those of other 212 MAX phases as well with their 211 counterpart carbides (Ti_2PC , Zr_2PbC , Nb_2AC [A = P, S]) and borides (Ti_2PB , Zr_2PbB , Nb_2AB [A = P, S]). It is noted that the 211 boride, Zr_2PdB , has also been predicted in this study following the same procedure mentioned above.

2. Computational methodology

In this study, the physical properties of Ti₂PB₂, Zr₂PbB₂, and Nb₂AB₂ [A = P, S] have been computed by CAmbridge Serial Total Energy Package (CASTEP) code [56,57] via the planewave pseudopotential-based DFT method. The generalized gradient approximation (GGA) of the Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof (PBE) [58] was used to treat the exchange and correlation functions. The electronic orbitals for B - $2s^2 2p^1$, Pb- $6s^26p^2$, and Zr $-5s^24p^6 4d^2$ were considered for pseudo-atomic calculations. The cutoff energy and *k*-point grids [59] were set to 500 eV and 10 × 10 × 4. The geometry was relaxed by Broyden Fletcher Goldfarb Shanno's (BFGS) technique [60] whereas density mixing was selected for the electronic structure calculations. Furthermore, the self-consistent convergence of the total energy was set to 5×10^{-6} eV/atom, and the maximum force per atom was taken as 0.01 eV/Å. A value of 5×10^{-4} Å and 0.02 GPa was fixed for the maximum ionic displacement and maximum stress. The bulk modulus (*B*) and shear modulus (*G*) were computed using Hill's approximation [61,62] which is the average value of the upper limit (Voigt [63]) and lower limit (Reuss [64]) of *B*: $[B = (B_V + B_R)/2]$ and *G*: $[G = (G_V + G_R)/2]$. The B_V , B_R , G_V , and G_R can be expressed as follows: $B_V = [2(C_{11} + C_{12}) + C_{33} + 4C_{13}]/9$; $B_R = C^2/M$; $C^2 = (C_{11} + C_{12})C_{33} - 2C_{13}^2$; $M = C_{11} + C_{12} + 2C_{33} - 4C_{13}$; $G_V = [M + 12C_{44} + 12C_{66}]/30$ and $G_R = (\frac{5}{2})[C^2C_{44}C_{66}]/[3B_VC_{44}C_{66} + C^2(C_{44} + C_{66})]$; $C_{66} = (C_{11} - C_{12})/2$. The Young's modulus (Y) has been estimated using the equation: Y = 9BG/(3B + G)[65,66]. The equation: v = (3B - Y)/(6B)[65,66] was used to compute the Poison's ratio (*v*). The Cauchy pressure (*CP*) is obtained from the stiffness constants; $CP = (C_{12} - C_{44})$.

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Structural properties and stability

The structure of the conventional MAX phase is well known, but the structure of the Bcontaining 212 MAX phase is less familiar. To distinguish between the structures, the unit cell of both 212 (Zr₂PbB₂) and 211 (Zr₂PbC) phases is presented as a representative in Fig. 1. As seen, there is a clear difference in the atomic arrangements in these structures which is responsible for the different physical behaviors concerning mechanical, electronic, and thermal properties. Both 212 (Zr₂PbB₂) and 211 (Zr₂PbB/Zr₂PbC) are crystallized in the hexagonal system with different space groups. The 212 MAX phase belongs to the space group $P\bar{6}m2$, (No. 187) [8] while the 211 MAX phase belongs to the space group of P63/mmc (194) [5]. The positions of M, A, and X atoms are different in the 212 and 211 MAX phases. The M (Zr) atoms are positioned at (1/3, 2/3, z_M) in the 211 phase, whereas it is at (0.3333, 0.6667, 0.6935) in the 212 phase. The atomic positions of A (Pb) atoms are at (1/3, 2/3, 3/4) and at (0.6667, 0.3333, 0.0), respectively, for the 211 and 212 phases. The most important difference is the positions and contribution of the X atoms in the bulk crystals. The X (C) atoms occupy the corner position (0, 0, 0) in 211 phase while it (B) occupies two positions: (0.6667, 0.3333, 0.5) and (0.0, 0.0, 0.5) forming a 2D layer sandwiched in between the M layers. Within this 2D layer, the B atoms themselves make a strong covalent bond (B-B) that results in a comparatively more stable structure than that of the counterpart 211 MAX phase borides and carbides.





Fig. 1. The unit cells of (a) Zr₂PbB₂ and (b) Zr₂PbB/Zr₂PbC MAX compounds.

The unit cell of Ti_2PB_2 , Zr_2PbB_2 , and Nb_2AB_2 [A = P, S] are optimized to obtain the other physical properties. The cell constants calculated for the optimized structures are given in Table 1. A very good consistency is observed between the values obtained in this study and prior results [8,50]. This reveals the precision of the parameters used for calculations in this work. The lattice constants of 211 borides are presented in Table S1 (in the supplementary document).

Phase	а	% of	С	% of	c/a	Reference
	(Å)	deviation	(Å)	deviation		
Ti_2PB_2	3.1218		6.5457		2.09	This work
Zr_2PdB_2	3.2787	0.08	8.4282	0.15	2.57	This work
	3.276		8.415		2.57	Ref [8]
Nb_2PB_2	3.1929	0.53	6.6517	0.42	2.08	This work
	3.21		6.68		2.08	[50]
Nb_2SB_2	3.2025	0.54	6.6548	0.52	2.07	This work
	3.22		6.69		2.07	[50]

Table 1 - The lattice constants (*a* and *c*) and c/a ratio of Ti₂PB₂, Zr₂PbB₂, and Nb₂AB₂ [A = P, S] MAX phases.

Miao et al [8] have shown that the predicted 212 phases including Zr_2PbB_2 are thermodynamically stable by calculating the enthalpy with respect to the known competing phases. They have also calculated the phonon dispersion curves in which no imaginary frequency branch exists. In the case of Nb_2AB_2 [A = P, S], Li et al [50] have shown their stability in terms of formation energy and phonon dispersion curves. The Ti₂PB₂ has been found to be stable because of the negative formation energy, non-existence of the imaginary frequency in the phonon dispersion curves, and fulfillment of the mechanical stability conditions by the calculated C_{ij} s. We have also calculated the phonon dispersion curve of Zr_2PbB_2 and Nb_2AB_2 [A = P, S] in this study wherein no imaginary frequency branch exists as shown in Fig. 2 (a-d). This confirms the dynamical stability of these borides like the other 212 MAX phase borides. The phonon dispersion of corresponding 211 borides is also presented in Fig. S1 (in the supplementary document). Moreover, the mechanical stabilities of Ti_2PB_2 , Zr_2PbB_2 , and Nb_2AB_2 [A = P, S] have also been checked in the following section. It is noted that the formation energy of Ti₂PB₂ is calculated from the well-known formula used for the prediction of MAX phase materials [67– 72]: $E_{form} = \frac{[E_{MAX} - n_M E_M - n_A E_A - n_X E_X]}{n_M + n_A + n_X}$. In this case, we have calculated the energy of all the structures of the elements found in the materials projects [tabulated in Table S2] and computed the formation energy for different combinations. The calculated values of $E_{\text{formation}}$ are found to be in the range from -1.03 to -2.38 eV/atom. Thus, it is expected that the predicted Ti₂PB₂ will be chemically stable.






Fig. 2 (a-d). Phonon dispersion curves of Ti_2PB_2 , Zr_2PbB_2 , and Nb_2AB_2 [A = P, S].

3.2.1 Mechanical properties

To bring out the figure of merit of the 212 MAX phases in light, it is necessary to discuss the possible relevant parameters for disclosing the mechanical properties. During the practical use of materials, many of them are subjected to applied forces or loads. Thus, knowledge of the related parameters is essential for the proper selection of the materials, otherwise, crack formation, mechanical failure, fracture introduction or structural deformation may take place. One of the key applications of the MAX phases is as structural components at high temperatures [73]. The constants used to unveil the mechanical behavior of solids are stiffness constants, tensile strength, elastic moduli, hardness, brittleness/ductility, fracture toughness, etc. Thus, the aforementioned parameters of Ti₂PB₂, Zr₂PbB₂, and Nb₂AB₂ [A = P, S] have been estimated and discussed [Table 2]. The first step in the study of mechanical properties is the calculation of single crystal elastic constants from which all the other mechanical parameters can be calculated. The obtained single crystal elastic constants of the titled borides using the strain-stress method [51,65,74–76] are tabulated in Table 2 along with those of previously reported 212 MAX phases and their corresponding 211 borides and/or carbides phases. The study of mechanical stability is one of the ways for assessing the stability of solids under static stress used in practical applications. For this case, the stabilities of Ti_2PB_2 , Zr_2PbB_2 , and Nb_2AB_2 [A = P, S] are checked by the well-known stability conditions [77,78] for hexagonal system as follows: $C_{11} > 0$, $C_{11} > 0$ C_{12} , $C_{44} > 0$, $(C_{11} + C_{12})C_{33} - 2(C_{13})^2 > 0$. The titled borides satisfy the above-stated conditions and hence they are predicted to be mechanically stable.

As can be seen in Table 2, the C_{11} and C_{33} values are not only higher for Ti₂PB₂, Zr₂PbB₂, and Nb_2AB_2 [A = P, S] compared to those of the corresponding 211 boride and carbide phases but also higher for other 212 phases compared to their 211 counterpart carbides. In case of C_{11} , the values are 41(33)%, 35(47)%, 25(19)%, and 12(16)% higher for Ti₂PB₂, Zr₂PbB₂, and Nb₂AB₂ [A = P, S], respectively than that of the counterpart borides (carbides). In addition, an increase of 10%, 22%, 11%, 40%, and 28% are also observed from the previously reported phases: Zr_2InB_2 , Zr₂TlB₂, Hf₂InB₂, Hf₂SnB₂, Ti₂InB₂, respectively, compared to their corresponding 211 counterparts. Similarly in case of C_{33} , the values are 20(5)%, 38(13)%, 20(19)%, and 23(24)% for Ti_2PB_2 , Zr_2PbB_2 , and Nb_2AB_2 [A = P, S], respectively than that of counterpart borides (carbides). Besides, an increase of 6%, 21%, 2%, 22%, and 14% is noted for Zr₂InB₂, Zr₂TlB₂, Hf₂InB₂, Hf₂SnB₂, and Ti₂InB₂, respectively, compared to their corresponding 211 phases. It is well known that the C_{11} and C_{33} measure bonding strength along the *a*- and *c*-axis. Thus, an increase of C_{11} and C_{33} implies the increase of bonding strength along the mentioned directions; consequently, the overall bonding strength is expected to be increased for 212 phases which is also reflected in the values of elastic moduli and hardness values presented in Table 2. As we know, the bulk modulus (B) measures the resistance against the uniform volume-changing hydrostatic pressure whereas the shear modulus (G) measures the resistance against shapechanging plastic deformation. Comparative stiffness analysis of the solids can be done from the values of Young's modulus (Y) which give a measure of the resistance against change in the length. In the case of B, the values are found to be increased by 25(8)%, 41(19)%, 16(07)%, and 5(2)% for Ti₂PB₂, Zr₂PbB₂, and Nb₂AB₂ [A = P, S], respectively, compared to their counterpart borides and carbides. Similarly, shear moduli are observed to be increased by 38(34)%, 44(22)%, 23(24)%, and 4(26)%; and Young's moduli are noted to be increased by 35(28)%, 43(21)%, 21(21)%, and 4(22)% for Ti₂PB₂, Zr₂PbB₂, and Nb₂AB₂ [A = P, S], respectively, compared to their counterpart borides and carbides. Moreover, for Zr₂PbB₂, Zr₂InB₂, Zr₂TlB₂, Hf₂InB₂, Hf_2SnB_2 , and Ti_2InB_2 phases, the values of B[G(Y)] are increased by 1%[11%(9%)], 17%[18%(17%)], 1%[9%(7%)], 14%[43%(36%)], and 17%[22%(22%)] compared to their corresponding 211 phases Zr₂InC, Zr₂TlC, Hf₂InC, Hf₂SnC, and Ti₂InC, respectively.

Now, we focus our attention on the hardness parameters, H_{Chen} and H_{Miao} , as calculated using the following equations: $H_{\text{Chen}} = 2\left[\left(\frac{G}{B}\right)^2 G\right]^{0.585} - 3$ [79] and $H_{\text{Miao}} = \frac{(1-2\nu)E}{6(1+\nu)}$ [80]. The calculated

values are presented in Table 2. Like the elastic moduli, the hardness parameters of 212 MAX phase borides are also higher than those of 211 MAX phase borides and/or carbides. Moreover, the increase of hardness parameters is also significantly larger for Ti₂PB₂, Zr₂PbB₂, and Nb₂PB₂ while it is small for Nb₂SB₂. An increment of 20%(18%), 12%(18%), 16%(15%), 75%(71%), and 21%(26%) for H_{Chen} (H_{Miao}) is also reported in the cases of Zr₂AB₂ (A = In, Tl), Hf₂AB₂ (A = In, Sn) and Ti₂InB₂, respectively, compared to those of their corresponding 211 MAX phase carbides. Hence, it is evident that the hardness parameters are significantly enhanced for the 212 phases in comparison with the 211 phases. As discussed so far in this section, the mechanical properties of the 212 MAX phase borides and/or carbides. The values of C_{44} for all the studied phases also indicate a significant enhancement as it is most closely related to the hardness of solids [81]. Thus, a reasonable question is, what features bring about these enhancements in the 212 systems? The possible answer is explored in section 3.5.

Table 2: The stiffness constants, C_{ij} (GPa), elastic moduli [*B*, *G*, *Y*] (GPa), hardness parameters, Pugh ratios, *G/B*, Poisson ratios, v and Cauchy Pressures, *CP* (GPa) of M₂AB₂ (M =Ti, Zr, Hf, Nb; A = P, S, In, Sn, Tl, Pb) together with those of M₂AC (M =Ti, Zr, Hf, Nb; A = P, S, In, Sn, Tl, Pb) compounds.

Phase	C_{11}	C_{12}	<i>C</i> ₁₃	<i>C</i> ₃₃	C_{44}	В	G	Y	$H_{\rm Chen}$	$H_{ m Miao}$	G/B	v	СР	Reference
Ti ₂ PB ₂	368	90	121	383	184	198	152	361	24.74	30.79	0.77	0.19	-94	This study
Ti ₂ PB	261	85	105	320	148	158	110	267	17.48	20.65	0.70	0.21	-63	This study
Ti ₂ PC	277	119	131	364	171	184	113	282	14.96	19.24	0.61	0.24	-52	This study
Zr_2PbB_2	297	57	72	245	76	138	95	232	15.55	17.73	0.69	0.22	-19	This study
Zr ₂ PbB	201	56	48	177	58	98	66	162	11.61	12.12	0.67	0.22	-02	This study
Zr ₂ PbC	220	66	65	216	80	116	78	191	13.08	14.28	0.67	0.23	-14	This study
Nb ₂ PB ₂	438	115	147	476	213	241	179	430	26.36	35.49	0.74	0.20	-98	This study
Nb ₂ PB	350	107	141	395	199	207	146	355	21.53	27.82	0.71	0.21	-92	This study
Nb ₂ PC	368	123	162	400	194	225	144	355	18.72	25.24	0.64	0.23	-71	This study
Nb_2SB_2	335	90	131	404	155	196	133	326	19.20	24.58	0.68	0.22	-65	This study
Nb ₂ SB	326	86	126	328	151	186	128	312	19.07	23.86	0.69	0.22	-65	Ref [43]
Nb ₂ SC	316	108	151	325	124	192	105	267	12.02	16.22	0.55	0.27	-16	Ref [43]
Zr_2InB_2	315	46	64	263	82	138	105	251	19.11	21.24	0.76	0.20	-36	Ref [49]
Zr ₂ InC	286	62	71	248	83	136	95	231	15.87	17.94	0.70	0.22	-14	Ref [82]
Zr_2TlB_2	310	52	61	251	66	135	94	229	15.68	17.71	0.70	0.22	-21	Ref[49]
Zr ₂ TlC	255	60	52	207	63	115	80	195	13.98	15.06	0.70	0.22	-03	Ref [83]
Hf_2InB_2	343	61	76	278	94	154	114	274	19.46	22.56	0.74	0.20	-33	Ref [53]
Hf ₂ InC	309	81	80	273	98	152	105	256	16.75	19.65	0.69	0.21	-17	Ref[82]
Hf_2SnB_2	353	65	86	306	110	165	124	297	21.02	24.84	0.75	0.20	-45	Ref [53]
Hf ₂ SnC	251	71	107	238	101	145	87	218	12.00	14.50	0.60	0.25	-30	Ref [84]
Ti_2InB_2	364	47	58	275	94	147	122	287	23.72	26.44	0.83	0.17	-47	Ref [53]
Ti ₂ InC	284	62	51	242	87	126	100	236	19.57	20.92	0.79	0.18	-25	Ref [52]

3.2.2 The brittleness of Zr₂PB₂

Although the MAX phases are metallic, most of them exhibit brittleness like ceramics. In this section, three widely known formalisms are used to predict brittleness/ductility. First of all, the Pugh ratio, (G/B) [85] is used to confirm the brittle character of Ti_2PB_2 , Zr_2PbB_2 , and Nb_2AB_2 [A = P, S] [since G/B < 0.571 for ductile and G/B > 0.571 for brittle solids]. The Poisson's ratio (v) also confirmed the brittle character of Ti_2PB_2 , Zr_2PbB_2 , and Nb_2AB_2 [A = P, S] as v = 0.26 is a critical value to identify the brittle and ductile materials, and v is lower than the critical value for brittle solids, and for ductile solids, the values are higher. In addition, the value of v also indicates the bonding nature within the solids. For example, it is typically low (0.10) for covalent solids and high (0.33) for metallic solids. For the present case, it (v) is within the range of 0.19 to 0.23, thus, expecting a mixture of covalent and metallic bonding within the Ti₂PB₂, Zr₂PbB₂, and Nb_2AB_2 [A = P, S] compounds like in other MAX phases. In fact, it agrees well with the existence of the M-X (covalent) bonding and M-A (ionic) bonding within the MAX phase materials. Moreover, Pettifor [86] addressed the Cauchy pressure (CP) to determine the chemical bonding and ductile/brittle nature of solids. A negative value of CP certifies the covalently bonded brittle solids whereas the positive value indicates the metallic solids. As is evident, Ti_2PB_2 , Zr_2PbB_2 , and Nb_2AB_2 [A = P, S] belong to the covalently bonded brittle class of solids.

3.2.3 Elastic anisotropy

Complete information regarding the mechanical stability of solids in extreme conditions can be gained from the study of elastic anisotropy. Anisotropy is also related to some other critical processes such as the creation and propagation of microcracks under mechanical stress and anisotropic plastic deformation, etc. Let us look back at the crystal structure again [Fig. 1], the atomic arrangements along the a(b)- and c-direction are completely different, consequently, the bond strengths along these directions are also different [for example $C_{11} \neq C_{33}$]. These lead to elastic anisotropy in the layered hexagonal system [87]. The knowledge of anisotropy also provides a guideline for the enhancement of the mechanical stability of solids under extreme conditions by supplying direction-dependent elastic constants. Thus, the study of the anisotropic nature of elastic properties is compulsory and we have studied the elastic anisotropy of Ti₂PB₂, Zr₂PbB₂, and Nb₂AB₂ [A = P, S] by calculating some anisotropy indices using different

formalisms. The different anisotropic factors for Ti_2PB_2 , Zr_2PbB_2 , and Nb_2AB_2 [A = P, S] are computed from elastic constants C_{ij} using the following relations [88] and presented in Table 3:

$$A_{1} = \frac{\frac{1}{6} (C_{11} + C_{12} + 2C_{33} - 4C_{13})}{C_{44}}$$
$$A_{2} = \frac{2C_{44}}{C_{11} - C_{12}}$$
$$A_{3} = \frac{\frac{1}{3} (C_{11} + C_{12} + 2C_{33} - 4C_{13})}{C_{11} - C_{12}}$$

The compounds Ti₂PB₂, Zr₂PbB₂, and Nb₂AB₂ [A = P, S] are anisotropic having the non unit (one) values of A_i s because $A_i = 1$ implies the isotropic nature. The linear compressibility (*k*) along the *a* and *c*-axis are calculated by the equation [89]:

$$\frac{k_c}{k_a} = f = (C_{11} + C_{12} - 2C_{13})/(C_{33} - C_{13})$$

Table 3: Anisotropy indices, A_1 , A_2 , A_3 , k_o/k_a , and universal anisotropy index A^U of Ti₂PB₂, Zr₂PbB₂, and Nb₂AB₂ [A = P, S] MAX phases along with their counterpart borides and carbides.

Phases	A_1	A_2	A_3	$k_{\rm c}/k_a$	A^{U}
Ti ₂ PB ₂	0.67	1.32	0.89	0.82	0.14
Ti ₂ PB	0.64	1.68	1.07	0.63	0.33
Ti ₂ PC	0.58	2.16	1.27	0.58	0.67
Zr_2PbB_2	1.22	0.63	0.77	1.21	0.45
Zr ₂ PbB	1.20	0.80	0.96	1.25	0.06
Zr ₂ PbC	0.95	1.04	0.99	1.03	0.34
Nb_2PB_2	0.72	1.32	0.95	0.79	0.11
Nb_2PB	0.57	1.64	0.94	0.69	0.34
Nb ₂ PC	0.55	1.58	0.87	0.70	0.34
Nb_2SB_2	0.76	1.27	0.96	0.60	0.11
Nb_2SB	0.62	1.26	0.78	0.79	0.15
Nb ₂ SC	0.63	1.19	0.75	0.70	0.16

The computed values of f are greater than 1 (f = 1 for isotropic materials) for all the phases considered herein which certify the anisotropic nature of the titled compounds.

The universal anisotropy index A^{U} for Ti₂PB₂, Zr₂PbB₂, and Nb₂AB₂ [A = P, S] is estimated by the following equation [90]: $A^{U} = 5\frac{G_{V}}{G_{R}} + \frac{B_{V}}{B_{R}} - 6 \ge 0$, where *B* and *G* are bulk and shear modulus; V and R indicate the Voigt and Reuss values. Like other anisotropy indices, A^{U} for Ti₂PB₂, Zr₂PbB₂, and Nb₂AB₂ [A = P, S] is smaller than one (1) that implies the anisotropic nature of Ti₂PB₂, Zr₂PbB₂, and Nb₂AB₂ [A = P, S]. Since the polycrystalline elastic moduli are calculated from the elastic constants C_{ij} , thus, it is obvious that the anisotropic behavior will be exhibited by the moduli as well. Similar results have also been reported for some other 211, 212, 314 MAX phases previously [49,53,54,70,91,92].

3.3 Electronic band structure (EBS) and density of states (DOS)

Figs. 3 illustrate the *EBS* of Ti_2PB_2 , Zr_2PbB_2 , and Nb_2AB_2 [A = P, S] borides in which the electronic paths are shown in the first Brillouin zone. The obtained *EBS* is similar to the metallic solids due to the overlapping of the valence and conduction bands. These are the characteristics of MAX phase materials that exhibit a good combination of metallic and ceramics properties [43,53,93,94].




Fig. 3. The electronic band structures of (a) Ti_2PB_2 , (b) Zr_2PbB_2 , (c) Nb_2PB_2 , and (d) Nb_2SB_2 compounds.

The anisotropic characteristics of the MAX phases are expected due to their layered structure. The EBS also exhibits anisotropy along the basal plane and *c*-direction of the crystal. The paths Γ -A, H-K, and M-L correspond to the *c*-direction while the paths A-H, K- Γ , Γ -M, and L-H are for the basal plane [95]. It is seen that the energy dispersions along the basal plane and the *c*-direction differ significantly, confirming the anisotropic behavior of charge effective masses for these directions, as has been found for other MAX phase nanolaminates [43,49,53].

Figs. 4 (a) displays the partial and total DOS of Zr_2PbB_2 as a representative. These profiles roughly exhibit the usual characteristics of MAX phase materials. The Fermi level is dominantly contributed by the Zr-*d* electronic states in association with a very small contribution from the B*p* and Pb-*p* electronic states. The most strong orbital hybridization is observed between B-*p* states and Zr-*d* states that contribute to the formation of strong covalent bonding between them, similar to other MAX phases [12,70,96], specially matched with those of Zr_2AB_2 (A = In, Tl) [49]. It is worth stating that the Fermi level of Zr_2PbB_2 resides close to the pseudogap in the TDOS profile. This is an indication of a high level of electronic stability. Similar results are also found for other considered compounds [not shown here]. Fig. 4 (b) shows the total DOS of the titled phases for a comparative understanding of their nature.




Fig. 4. The total and partial DOS of (a) Zr_2PbB_2 and (b) total DOS of Ti_2PB_2 , Zr_2PbB_2 , and Nb_2AB_2 [A = P, S] compounds.

3.4 Thermal Properties

Recently, the high-temperature applications of MAX phases have attracted much attention from both research and application points of view. Some of the thermal parameters of particular interest can be used to assess the suitability of materials for high-temperature applications. In this section, the Debye temperature (Θ_D), minimum thermal conductivity (k_{min}), thermal expansion coefficient, Grüneisen parameter, and melting temperature of Ti₂PB₂, Zr₂PbB₂, and Nb₂AB₂ [A = P, S] compounds have been calculated to assess their potential for thermal applications.

The elastic properties can be correlated with the thermal properties, for example, phonon dynamics, thermal expansion, thermal conductivity, specific heat, and lattice enthalpy are connected to the Debye temperature. The Debye temperature itself depends on the elastic parameters which determine the sound velocity in a material. The details of the calculations can be found elsewhere [49]. The obtained values of Θ_D for Ti₂PB₂, Zr₂PbB₂, and Nb₂AB₂ [A = P, S] are 861 K, 434 K, 745 K, and 643 K, much higher than that of the corresponding 211 borides and/or carbides [Table 4]. The Θ_D values of Ti₂PB₂ and Nb₂AB₂ [A = P, S] are also higher than those of prior known 212 phases while Θ_D of Zr_2PbB_2 is lower than that of Zr_2InB_2 , Hf₂SnB₂ and Ti₂InB₂ but greater than those of Zr₂TlB₂ and Hf₂InB₂. In reality, the obtained values of Θ_D for 212 MAX phases are significantly larger compared to those of their B and/or C-containing counterparts belonging to the 211 MAX phases, indicating their higher temperature limits for use because the $\Theta_{\rm D}$ limits the normal modes of thermal vibrations within the solids. We can quantify the degree of the increment of Debye temperature as 22.3(22.6)%, 26.3(18.6)%, 17.3(18.8)%, and 8.2(13.8)% for Ti₂PB₂, Zr₂PbB₂, and Nb₂AB₂ [A = P, S], respectively, compared to their 211 borides (carbides). The ranking of the so far known 212 MAX phases based on the values of Θ_D should be as follows: $Ti_2PB_2 > Nb_2PB_2 > Nb_2SB_2 > Ti_2InB_2 > Zr_2InB_2 > Hf_2SnB_2 > Zr_2PbB_2 > Nb_2SB_2 > Ti_2InB_2 > Ti_2InB_2 > Hf_2SnB_2 > Ti_2PbB_2 > Ti_2InB_2 > Ti_2InB_2$ $Zr_2TlB_2 > Hf_2InB_2$.

For the assessment of the high-temperature applications, minimum thermal conductivity (k_{min}) is one of the important parameters. The thermal conductivity attains a constant value (minimum) at high temperatures known as the minimum thermal conductivity [97]. The lower the value of k_{min} , the more suitable the solid for use in thermal barrier coating applications as it conducts less amount of heat which is one of the main criteria for selecting TBC materials. The k_{min} is closely associated with the acoustic wave velocity in the materials and can be calculated by the following model due to Clark [97]: $K_{min} = k_B v_m \left(\frac{M}{n\rho N_A}\right)^{-\frac{2}{3}}$. The values of k_{min} are significantly lower for Ti₂PB₂, Zr₂PbB₂, and Nb₂AB₂ [A = P, S] phases compared to those of the corresponding boride and carbide counterparts as seen in Table 4. As also seen in Table 4 that the K_{min} of Zr₂PbB₂ is the lowest and equal to those of Zr₂InB₂ and Hf₂InB₂, certifying a very low capability of heat conduction at high temperatures. Actually, the K_{min} of 212 phases is lower compared to their 211 counterpart borides and/or carbides, revealing more appropriateness of the 212 phases for the use as TBC materials. However, we can rank [starting from low value] the 212 phases based on the values of K_{min} as follows: Zr₂PbB₂ = Zr₂InB₂ = Hf₂InB₂ < Hf₂SnB₂ < Zr₂InB₂ < Ti₂InB₂ < Nb₂SB₂ < Nb₂PB₂ < Ti₂PB₂.

Owing to the close connection of the Grüneisen parameter (γ) with the specific heat at constant volume, bulk modulus, and TEC, the calculation of γ is of scientific interest. It is used to reveal the degree of anharmonic effects present in solids. Thus, γ has been determined via the relation with the Poisson's ratio [98]: $\gamma = \frac{3}{2} \frac{(1+\nu)}{(2-3\nu)}$. As seen, the anharmonic effect is low for Ti₂PB₂, Zr₂PbB₂, and Nb₂AB₂ [A = P, S]. The values remain within the predicted limit [0.85 to 3.53] for polycrystalline solids associated with the limiting value of the Poisson's ratio [0.05–0.46] [99].

One of the mandatory information for the selection of materials for applications at hightemperature technology is the melting point (T_m) which provides an idea of the temperature limit for usage. Owing to the combined ceramic properties of MAX phases with metallic properties, they have been recognized as suitable systems for high-temperature applications since the early days. Hence, the calculation of T_m for the MAX phase is important and has been estimated in this study using the equation relating elastic constants as follows [100]: $T_m = (3C_{11}+1.5C_{33}+354)$ K.

Table 4: Debye temperature, Θ_D , minimum thermal conductivity, K_{min} , Grüneisen parameter, γ , and melting temperature, T_m of M₂AB₂ (M =Ti, Zr, Hf, Nb; A = P, S, In, Sn, Tl, Pb) compounds together with those of M₂AC (M =Ti, Zr, Hf, Nb; A =P, S, In, Sn, Tl, Pb) compounds.

Phase	ρ	v_1	v_{t}	v _m	$\Theta_{ m D}$	K_{\min}	γ	T _m	Reference
	(g/cm^3)	(m/s)	(m/s)	(m/s)	(K)	(W/mK)		(K)	
Ti ₂ PB ₂	4.45	9480	5839	6442	861	1.71	1.26	2033	This study
Ti ₂ PB	4.25	8460	5083	5623	704	2.43	1.35	1617	This study
Ti ₂ PC	4.61	8513	4947	5489	702	2.12	1.47	1731	This study
Zr_2PbB_2	8.70	5514	3304	3655	434	0.77	1.36	1613	This study
Zr ₂ PbB	8.25	4748	2828	3131	342	0.88	1.38	1338	This study

Zr ₂ PbC	9.04	4933	2937	3252	366	0.97	1.41	1223	This study
Nb ₂ PB ₂	6.74	8435	5153	5696	745	1.45	1.29	2382	This study
Nb ₂ PB	6.72	7726	459	5151	635	1.80	1.34	1997	This study
Nb ₂ PC	6.98	7727	4541	5033	627	1.85	1.44	2058	This study
Nb_2SB_2	6.72	7449	4446	4021	643	1.39	1.37	1965	This study
Nb ₂ SB	6.70	7294	4366	4831	594	1.14	1.36	1824	Ref [43]
Nb ₂ SC	6.31	7308	4079	4542	565	1.09	1.40	1790	Ref [43]
Zr_2InB_2	6.87	6358	3907	4312	516	0.92	1.28	1693	Ref [49]
Zr ₂ InC	7.32	6004	3616	3999	459	1.24	1.36	1584	Ref [82]
Zr_2TlB_2	8.71	5466	3284	3633	433	0.77	1.36	1660	Ref [49]
Zr ₂ TlC	8.92	4989	2993	3311	372	0.89	1.36	1430	Ref [83]
Hf_2InB_2	10.86	5309	3240	3578	431	0.77	1.29	1800	Ref [53]
Hf ₂ InC	11.67	5004	2999	3319	383	1.04	1.32	1691	Ref [82]
Hf_2SnB_2	11.08	5459	3344	3692	447	0.80	1.28	1872	Ref [53]
Hf ₂ SnC	12.06	5121	3050	3376	393	1.07	1.49	1746	Ref [101]
Ti ₂ InB ₂	05.90	7241	4545	5004	633	1.19	1.19	1858	Ref [53]
	05.91	7168	4487	4942	621	1.23	1.20	1833	Ref [52]
Ti ₂ InC	06.08	6531	4055	4471	534	1.00	1.23	1569	Ref [52]

Like the other 212 MAX phases, the $T_{\rm m}$ of Ti₂PB₂, Zr₂PbB₂, and Nb₂AB₂ [A = P, S] values are also larger than their 211 corresponding counterpart borides and/or carbides. On the other hand, $T_{\rm m}$ is the lowest [1613 K] for Zr₂PbB₂ in comparison with other 212 MAX phase borides while it is maximum for Nb₂PB₂ [2382 K] (Table 4). In addition, the used formula to calculate the $T_{\rm m}$ contains C_{11} and C_{33} , which are the measures of the stiffness along the *a*- and *c*-axis. Thus, a direct relationship between the $T_{\rm m}$ and Young's modulus [a measure of the stiffness of a polycrystalline solid] is expected which is seen in Table 2 and Table 4. The maximum value of Y is obtained for Nb₂PB₂, following the ranking as follows: Nb₂PB₂ > Ti₂PB₂ > Nb₂SB₂ > Hf₂SnB₂ > Ti₂InB₂> Hf₂InB₂> Zr₂InB₂> Zr₂TlB₂> Zr₂PbB₂. This ranking also applies to the melting temperature $T_{\rm m}$ as seen in Table 4. It has been suggested previously that $T_{\rm m}$ will be higher for the solids with higher Young's modulus and vice versa [102]. Knowledge regarding the decomposition temperature (T_d) is also a prerequisite regardless of the value of T_m . The MAX phases have a tendency to decompose at a lower temperature than that of $T_{\rm m}$. Unfortunately, no information regarding T_d of the titled borides as well as other 212 phases is present at this moment. An earlier report provided by Cue et al. [103] might be helpful regarding this issue. They have estimated T_d and T_m of some MAX phases and shown that T_d is lower than T_m , for some cases T_d is close to T_m [54], thus, one may expect the same for Ti₂PB₂, Zr₂PbB₂, and Nb_2AB_2 [A = P, S] phases as well.

3.5 Why are the thermo-mechanical properties for 212 phases enhanced?

From the analysis of the results obtained so far, it is obvious that the thermo-mechanical properties are significantly upgraded when we go from the 211 MAX phase carbides to the 212 MAX phase borides. Why the properties are get enhanced for the 212 phases? The answer lies in the structure. Though both the 212 and 211 phases belong to the MAX family, their structures are not the same. The 212 phases are crystallized in the space group P-6m2, (No. 187) [8,45], while the 211 phases are crystallized in the space group P6₃/mmc (No.194) [5,104] as shown in Fig. 1 (a and b). The bonding natures within these two structures are also different. For the 212 phases, there is a very strong covalent bonding among the B atoms by forming a 2D layer and contributing to the 2c-2e type of bonding as shown in Figs. 5 (a and b). In addition, there is also strong covalent bonding between M (Zr atoms for Zr₂PbB₂) and X (B atoms for Zr₂PbB₂) similar to the 211 phases (Zr and B/C are M and X atoms for Zr₂PbB/Zr₂PbC). In addition, there is comparatively weak M – A bonding (Pb is the A atom in the case of Zr₂PbB/Zr₂PbC). There is no covalent bond among the C atoms in the 211 phase, although strong covalent bonds between M- X atoms are formed as well as the M-A bonds. Owing to the existence of the very strong B-B covalent bonding within the 2D layer of B atoms, the structure becomes more stable, and the overall cohesive energy is enhanced significantly for the 212 phases in comparison with the 211 phases. It is noted that, for 211 MAX phase borides, B-B bonding does not exist [6,7,37,39,43,105]. Our statements will be further clarified by the calculation of the Mulliken population analysis given in Table 5. As seen in Table 5, the charge is transferred from Zr to B (0.54/0.55) and Pb (0.01). The charge transfer mechanism confirms the existence of ionic character as observed for the B/C-containing MAX phase Zr₂PbB/Zr₂PbC. In this boride/carbide, the charge is transferred from Zr to B (0.77) and Pb (0.00) [Table 5]. Table 5 also shows the bond overlap population (BOP) analysis for both the compounds Zr₂PbB₂ and Zr₂PbB. The value of BOP indicates the nature of bonding/anti-bonding states within the solids depending on the positive/negative values. A negative value of BOP stands for anti-bonding whereas a positive value for bonding states. It is clear from Table 5 that no anti-bonding exists within the considered MAX phases. In addition, the value of BOP is also an indicator of bonding strength, the higher the BOP, the stronger the bonding. As evident from Table 5, the B-B bonding exhibits a much higher BOP value, confirming the very strong covalent bonding formed in the 2c-2e channel. The M (Zr) - X (B) bonding in the Zr₂PbB is stronger than that of Zr₂PbB₂ with the

BOP values of 1.25 and 0.24/0.20, respectively. Due to the existence of very strong B-B bonding, the overall bonding strength, as well as mechanical parameters and hardness values, are higher for Zr_2PbB_2 compared to Zr_2PbB . The results for the other titled compounds are also presented in Table 5. Similar results are also reported for other known 212 phases [49,51,53].




Fig. 5. Formation of B-B covalent bonding within Zr₂PbB₂.

Now, let us discuss the variation of the mechanical properties [Table 2] among the titled borides in terms of the BOP values as presented in Table 5. We can rank the titled borides based on the values of hardness parameters [Table 2] (H_{chen} , H_{miao} , and C_{44}) as follows: Nb₂PB₂ > Ti₂PB₂ > Nb₂SB₂ > Zr₂PbB₂. The BOP of B-B bonds is 2.22, 2.47, 2.07, and 2.12 for Nb₂PB₂, Ti₂PB₂, Nb₂SB₂, and Zr₂PbB₂, respectively. According to these values, the ranking of borides can be reordered. But, for Nb₂PB₂, the BOPs of Nb-B are (0.14 and 0.19) higher compared to those of Ti-B (0.05 and 0.12), and the BOP of Nb-P and Ti-P is the same for both compounds. Thus, considering the cumulative contribution from all bonds (BOP), the overall bonding strength is expected to be higher for Nb₂PB₂ compared to that for Ti₂PB₂ which is reflected in values of the elastic constants, elastic moduli, and hardness parameters. Again for Nb₂SB₂ and Zr₂PbB₂, the BOP of the B-B bond is 2.07 and 2.12, respectively. The BOP of Nb-B (0.20 and 0.19) and Zr-B (0.24 and 0.20) are also comparable. But, there is no BOP for Zr-Pb bonding within the considered threshold length (5 Å) whereas the BOP for Nb-S is 0.74. This makes the difference in the overall bonding strength as reflected from values of elastic constants, elastic moduli, and hardness parameters. It is also noted that no BOP of Zr-Pb is found for 211 the Zr_2PbB compound [Table 5].

			Atom	nic popu	lation			Bond over	rlap population	n
Compound	Atoms	S	р	d	Total	Charge (e)	Bond	Bond	Bond length	Bond
								number	$d^{\mu}(\mathrm{\AA})$	population
								n^{μ}		P^{μ}
Ti_2PB_2	В	0.95	2.62	0.00	3.56	-0.56	B-B	1	1.80242	2.47
	В	0.95	2.61	0.00	3.57	-0.57	Ti-B	2	2.39781	0.12
	Ti	2.10	6.51	2.79	11.39	0.61	Ti-B	2	2.39781	0.05
	Р	1.58	3.50	2.79	5.08	0.08	Ti-P	2	2.47181	1.00
Ti ₂ PB	В	1.21	2.42	0.00	3.64	-0.64	Ti-B	4	2.27017	1.10
	Ti	2.21	6.67	2.73	11.62	0.38	Ti-P	4	2.49843	0.96
	Р	1.61	3.52	0.00	5.13	-0.13				
Zr ₂ PbB ₂	В	0.98	2.58	0.00	3.55	-0.55	B-B	1	1.89298	2.12
	В	0.97	2.57	0.00	3.54	-0.54	Zr-B	2	2.51753	0.24
	Zr	2.15	6.46	2.84	11.45	0.55	Zr-B	2	2.51753	0.20
	Pb	1.42	2.55	10.04	14.01	-0.01				
Zr ₂ PbB	В	1.18	2.59	0.00	3.77	-0.77	Zr-B	4	2.38633	1.25
	Zr	2.28	6.61	2.72	11.62	0.38				
	Pb	1.41	2.55	10.03	14.00	00.0				
Nb ₂ PB ₂	В	0.92	2.57	0.00	3.49	-0.49	B-B	1	1.84346	2.22
	В	0.95	2.56	0.00	3.51	-0.51	Nb-B	2	2.43378	0.19
	Nb	2.15	6.28	4.07	15.51	0.49	Nb-B	2	2.43378	0.14
	Р	1.55	3.43	0.00	4.99	0.01	Nb-P	2	2.53279	1.00
Nb ₂ PB	В	1.14	2.46	0.00	3.60	-0.60	Nb-B	4	2.29051	1.15
	Nb	2.25	6.41	4.02	12.68	0.32	Nb-P	4	2.56130	1.06
	Р	1.59	3.46	0.00	5.04	-0.04				
Nb ₂ SB ₂	В	0.92	2.56	0.00	3.47	-0.47	B-B	1	1.84897	2.07
	В	0.93	2.58	0.00	3.51	-0.51	Nb-B	2	2.40337	0.20
	Nb	2.14	6.27	4.04	12.45	0.55	Nb-B	2	2.40337	0.19
	S	1.76	4.37	0.00	6.12	-0.12	Nb-S	2	2.57488	0.74
Nb ₂ SB	В	1.11	2.51	0.00	3.62	-0.62	Nb-B	4	2.26543	1.12
	S	1.77	4.40	0.00	6.17	-0.17	Nb-S	4	2.59817	0.79
	Nb	2.24	6.37	3.99	12.61	0.39				

Table 5: Mulliken atomic and bond overlap populations of Ti_2PB_2 , Zr_2PbB_2 , and Nb_2AB_2 [A = P, S] and Ti_2PB , Zr_2PbB , and Nb_2AB [A = P, S] MAX compounds.

3.6 Optical properties

The technologically important optical constants of Ti_2PB_2 , Zr_2PbB_2 , and Nb_2AB_2 [A = P, S] MAX phase compounds are estimated and shown in Fig. 6 in the energy range up to 25 eV. The low energy part of the imaginary part of the dielectric function is corrected by introducing a

plasma frequency of 3 eV and damping of 0.5 eV owing to the metallic nature of the titled compounds. Besides, we have used a Gaussian smearing 0.5 eV.

The real part of the dielectric function, \mathcal{E}_1 is shown in Fig. 6 (a) exhibiting metallic behavior. Usually, the \mathcal{E}_1 exhibit a large negative value in very low energy region for metallic systems. Thus, \mathcal{E}_1 spectra of Ti₂PB₂, Zr₂PbB₂, and Nb₂AB₂ [A = P, S] agree well with the non-existence of the energy band gap in the electronic band structure. The imaginary part of dielectric function \mathcal{E}_2 is depicted in Fig. 6 (b) wherein two small peaks are observed for both polarization directions of the electric field vector. The peaks in the \mathcal{E}_2 certify that Ti₂PB₂, Zr₂PbB₂, and Nb₂AB₂ [A = P, S] compounds are metal, and the \mathcal{E}_F [Fig. 3] is located at the Ti-3*d* states that results in the free electron behavior, consequently, the photon-induced transition between electronic states is possible within the conduction band.

The refractive index (*n*) and extinction coefficient (*k*) are depicted in Fig. 6 (c) and (d), respectively. The n(0) is found to be 6.4, 7.8, 7.1 and 18.6 for Ti₂PB₂, Zr₂PbB₂, and Nb₂AB₂ [A = P, S], respectively. The *k* for Ti₂PB₂, Zr₂PbB₂, and Nb₂AB₂ [A = P, S] rise progressively in the IR region to its highest values and then decline slowly in the visible and UV regions [Fig. 6(d)]. The decline of *k* follows the decline of \mathcal{E}_2 , as seen in Fig. 6 (b) and Fig. 6 (d).

The absorption coefficient (α) of Ti₂PB₂, Zr₂PbB₂, and Nb₂AB₂ [A = P, S] is presented in Fig 6 (e). As seen in the figure, it rises almost steadily to attain its maximum value in the UV region. Moreover, α starts rising from the zero photon energy, indicating once again the metallic nature of the compounds under investigation. In addition, it is found that Ti₂PB₂, Zr₂PbB₂, and Nb₂AB₂ [A = P, S] can be used as good absorbing materials in the visible and UV regions owing to the high values of their absorption coefficients. Fig. 6 (f) displays the photoconductivity (σ) of Ti₂PB₂, Zr₂PbB₂, and Nb₂AB₂ [A = P, S] as a function of photon energy. The σ measures the change in the electrical conductivity of the material when it is subjected to photon irradiation. Like α , the σ spectrum also certifies that Ti₂PB₂, Zr₂PbB₂, and Nb₂AB₂ [A = P, S] are metallic compounds.




Fig. 6 (a) real part, \mathcal{E}_1 , and (b) imaginary part of dielectric function, \mathcal{E}_2 , (c) refractive index, *n*, (d) extinction coefficient, *k*, (e) absorption coefficient, *a*, (f) photoconductivity, σ , (g) reflectivity, *R* and (h) lossfunction, *L* as a function of electromagnetic radiation energy.

One of the most important applications of MAX phase materials is as coating materials to reduce solar heating. The potential for this application can be predicted by the study of reflectivity. The reflectivity $R(\omega)$ of Ti₂PB₂, Zr₂PbB₂, and Nb₂AB₂ [A = P, S] is presented Fig. 6 (g). According to Li *et al.* [106,107] the MAX phase materials which have reflectivity greater than 44% are suitable for use as coating materials. As evident from Fig. 6 (g), the $R(\omega)$ remains always greater than 44% up to ~12 eV for all the considered phases. Though the reflectivity at low energy is highest for Nb₂SB₂, the overall performance of Zr₂PbB₂ is the best for use as a cover to protect from solar heating. Noted here that the reflectivity spectrum with ~ 53% in the IR, visible, and near UV region (0-5 eV) was almost constant for Zr₂PbB₂. Fig. 6 (h) shows the energy loss function [$L(\omega)$] spectra of Ti₂PB₂, Zr₂PbB₂, and Nb₂AB₂ [A = P, S] compounds, in which the peaks correspond to plasma frequencies (ω_p). At this particular frequency the $R(\omega)$ exhibits falling a tail, the absorption coefficient falls rapidly and \mathcal{E}_1 crosses zero from the negative side. It is the characteristic frequency above which the materials behave as transparent to the incident electromagnetic wave.

4. Conclusions

The thermo-mechanical and optical properties of Ti₂PB₂, Zr₂PbB₂, and Nb₂AB₂ [A = P, S] are explored via DFT calculations with the intention to shed light on the enhanced thermomechanical properties of recently added 212 MAX phase borides in comparison with their 211 boride and carbide counterparts. The titled MAX phases are chemically, dynamically, and mechanically stable. The values of elastic constants and moduli of 212 phases are higher than those of the 211 phase borides and/or carbides. The hardness parameters are also higher for the same. The usual brittleness of the MAX phase is also exhibited by the titled borides. Due to the different atomic arrangements along the *a*- and *c*-direction, the elastic properties are anisotropic. The metallic character of the studied phases is confirmed by the EBS and DOS. Like the mechanical properties, the thermal parameters are also enhanced significantly for 212 phases compared to their 211 counterparts. For Θ_D , the values are increased by 22.3(22.6)%, 26.3(18.6)%, 17.3(18.8)%, and 8.2(13.8)% for Ti₂PB₂, Zr₂PbB₂, and Nb₂AB₂ [A = P, S], respectively, compared to the corresponding 211 borides (carbides). The melting temperature is also noted to be increased by 26(17)%, 20(32)%, 19(16)%, and 08(10)%. The study of thermomechanical properties reveals the more appropriateness of the 212 phase borides compared to their 211 counterparts MAX phases for applications. The existence of very strong B-B bonding contributes to the enhancement of the thermo-mechanical properties of the 212 compounds. The important results concerning the optical constants are found in accord with the electronic band structure results. The dielectric constant, absorption coefficient, and photoconductivity spectra reconfirm the metallic nature of the titled MAX phases. The reflectivity spectra show the suitability of Ti_2PB_2 , Zr_2PbB_2 , and Nb_2AB_2 [A = P, S] phases for use as shielding materials to protect from solar radiation.

To conclude, we hope, the results presented in this paper will stimulate further research on the 212 MAX phases which exhibit superior characteristics for applications in the thermomechanical sectors compared to many other conventional MAX phase nanolaminates.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest to declare.

Acknowledgment

The authors are grateful to the Department of Physics, Chittagong University of Engineering & Technology (CUET), Chattogram-4349, Bangladesh, for providing the computing facility for this work. A part of this work has been done at the ACMRL, Department of Physics, CUET, Bangladesh, where the facility is supported by the research grant from The World Academy of Sciences [No. 21-378 RG/PHYS/AS_G -FR3240319526].

References

- [1] M. Sokol, V. Natu, S. Kota, M.W. Barsoum, On the Chemical Diversity of the MAX Phases, Trends Chem. 1 (2019) 210–223. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trechm.2019.02.016.
- [2] M.W. Barsoum, Physical Properties of the MAX Phases, in: Encycl. Mater. Sci. Technol., Elsevier, 2006: pp. 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1016/B0-08-043152-6/02058-1.
- [3] M.W. Barsoum, D. Brodkin, T. El-Raghy, Layered machinable ceramics for high temperature applications, Scr. Mater. 36 (1997) 535–541. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1359-6462 (96) 00418-6.
- [4] M.W. Barsoum, The MN+1AXN phases: A new class of solids, Prog. Solid State Chem.
 28 (2000) 201–281. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0079-6786(00)00006-6.
- [5] M.W. Barsoum, MAX phases: Properties of machinable ternary carbides and nitrides, Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim, Germany, 2013. https://doi.org/10.1002/9783527654581.

- [6] M. Khazaei, M. Arai, T. Sasaki, M. Estili, Y. Sakka, Trends in electronic structures and structural properties of MAX phases: a first-principles study on M₂AlC (M = Sc, Ti, Cr, Zr, Nb, Mo, Hf, or Ta), M 2 AlN, and hypothetical M₂AlB phases, J. Phys. Condens. Matter. 26 (2014) 505503. https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/26/50/505503.
- T. Rackl, L. Eisenburger, R. Niklaus, D. Johrendt, Syntheses and physical properties of the MAX phase boride Nb₂SB and the solid solutions Nb₂SB_xC_{1-x} (x=0-1), Phys. Rev. Mater. 3 (2019) 054001. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevMaterials.3.054001.
- [8] N. Miao, J. Wang, Y. Gong, J. Wu, H. Niu, S. Wang, K. Li, A.R. Oganov, T. Tada, H. Hosono, Computational Prediction of Boron-Based MAX Phases and MXene Derivatives, Chem. Mater. 32 (2020) 6947–6957. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemmater.0c02139.
- [9] M. Naguib, V.N. Mochalin, M.W. Barsoum, Y. Gogotsi, 25th Anniversary Article: MXenes: A New Family of Two-Dimensional Materials, Adv. Mater. 26 (2014) 992– 1005. https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201304138.
- [10] W. Jeitschko, H. Nowotny, F. Benesovsky, Carbides of formula T₂MC, J. Less Common Met. 7 (1964) 133–138. https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-5088(64)90055-4.
- [12] M.R. Khatun, M.A. Ali, F. Parvin, A.K.M.A. Islam, Elastic, thermodynamic and optical behavior of V₂AC (A = Al, Ga) MAX phases, Results Phys. 7 (2017) 3634–3639. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rinp.2017.09.043.
- [13] A.S. Ingason, M. Dahlqvist, J. Rosen, Magnetic MAX phases from theory and experiments; A review, J. Phys. Condens. Matter. (2016). https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/28/43/433003.
- [14] T. Lapauw, K. Lambrinou, T. Cabioc'h, J. Halim, J. Lu, A. Pesach, O. Rivin, O. Ozeri, E.N. Caspi, L. Hultman, P. Eklund, J. Rosén, M.W. Barsoum, J. Vleugels, Synthesis of the new MAX phase Zr₂AlC, J. Eur. Ceram. Soc. 36 (2016) 1847–1853. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeurceramsoc.2016.02.044.
- [15] T. Lapauw, J. Halim, J. Lu, T. Cabioc'h, L. Hultman, M.W. Barsoum, K. Lambrinou, J. Vleugels, Synthesis of the novel Zr₃AlC₂ MAX phase, J. Eur. Ceram. Soc. 36 (2016) 943–947. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeurceramsoc.2015.10.011.
- [16] M. Dahlqvist, J. Lu, R. Meshkian, Q. Tao, L. Hultman, J. Rosen, Prediction and synthesis of a family of atomic laminate phases with Kagomé-like and in-plane chemical ordering, Sci. Adv. 3 (2017) 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1700642.
- [17] H. Fashandi, C.C. Lai, M. Dahlqvist, J. Lu, J. Rosen, L. Hultman, G. Greczynski, M. Andersson, A. Lloyd Spetz, P. Eklund, Ti₂Au₂C and Ti₃Au₂C₂ formed by solid state reaction of gold with Ti₂AlC and Ti₃AlC₂, Chem. Commun. 53 (2017) 9554–9557. https://doi.org/10.1039/c7cc04701k.
- [18] L. Mian, L. You-Bing, L. Kan, L. Jun, E. Per, P. Per, R. Johanna, H. Lars, D. Shi-Yu, H.

Zheng-Ren, H. Qing, Synthesis of Novel MAX Phase Ti_3ZnC_2 via A-site-elementsubstitution Approach, J. Inorg. Mater. 34 (2019) 60. https://doi.org/10.15541/jim20180377.

- [19] T. Lapauw, B. Tunca, T. Cabioc'h, J. Lu, P.O.Å. Persson, K. Lambrinou, J. Vleugels, Synthesis of MAX Phases in the Hf–Al–C System, Inorg. Chem. 55 (2016) 10922–10927. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.inorgchem.6b01398.
- [20] B. Anasori, M. Dahlqvist, J. Halim, E.J. Moon, J. Lu, B.C. Hosler, E.N. Caspi, S.J. May, L. Hultman, P. Eklund, J. Rosén, M.W. Barsoum, Experimental and theoretical characterization of ordered MAX phases Mo₂TiAlC₂ and Mo₂Ti₂AlC₃, J. Appl. Phys. 118 (2015) 094304. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4929640.
- [21] S. Kuchida, T. Muranaka, K. Kawashima, K. Inoue, M. Yoshikawa, J. Akimitsu, Superconductivity in Lu₂SnC, Phys. C Supercond. 494 (2013) 77–79. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physc.2013.04.050.
- [22] Q. Xu, Y. Zhou, H. Zhang, A. Jiang, Q. Tao, J. Lu, J. Rosén, Y. Niu, S. Grasso, C. Hu, Theoretical prediction, synthesis, and crystal structure determination of new MAX phase compound V₂SnC, J. Adv. Ceram. 9 (2020) 481–492. https://doi.org/10.1039/d0ra07730e.
- [23] M.S. Ali, M.A. Rayhan, M.A. Ali, R. Parvin, A.K.M.A. Islam, New MAX Phase Compound Mo₂TiAlC₂: First-principles Study, J. Sci. Res. 8 (2016) 109–117. https://doi.org/10.3329/jsr.v8i2.25057.
- [24] D. Horlait, S.C. Middleburgh, A. Chroneos, W.E. Lee, Synthesis and DFT investigation of new bismuth-containing MAX phases, Sci. Rep. 6 (2016) 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep18829.
- [25] E. Zapata-Solvas, M.A. Hadi, D. Horlait, D.C. Parfitt, A. Thibaud, A. Chroneos, W.E. Lee, Synthesis and physical properties of (Zr_{1-x},Ti_x)₃AlC₂ MAX phases, J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 100 (2017) 3393–3401. https://doi.org/10.1111/jace.14870.
- [27] P.A. Burr, D. Horlait, W.E. Lee, Experimental and DFT investigation of (Cr,Ti)₃AlC₂
 MAX phases stability, Mater. Res. Lett. 5 (2017) 144–157. https://doi.org/10.1080/21663831.2016.1222598.
- [28] B. Tunca, T. Lapauw, O.M. Karakulina, M. Batuk, T. Cabioc'h, J. Hadermann, R. Delville, K. Lambrinou, J. Vleugels, Synthesis of MAX Phases in the Zr-Ti-Al-C System, Inorg. Chem. 56 (2017) 3489–3498. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.inorgchem.6b03057.
- [29] T. Lapauw, B. Tunca, D. Potashnikov, A. Pesach, O. Ozeri, J. Vleugels, K. Lambrinou, The double solid solution (Zr,Nb)₂(Al, Sn)C MAX phase: a steric stability approach, Sci. Rep. 8 (2018) 12801. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-31271-2.
- [30] B. Tunca, T. Lapauw, R. Delville, D.R. Neuville, L. Hennet, D. Thiaudière, T. Ouisse, J. Hadermann, J. Vleugels, K. Lambrinou, Synthesis and Characterization of Double Solid

Solution $(Zr,Ti)_2(Al,Sn)C$ MAX Phase Ceramics, Inorg. Chem. 58 (2019) 6669–6683. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.inorgchem.9b00065.

- [31] M. Griseri, B. Tunca, S. Huang, M. Dahlqvist, J. Rosén, J. Lu, P.O.Å. Persson, L. Popescu, J. Vleugels, K. Lambrinou, Journal of the European Ceramic Society Ta-based 413 and 211 MAX phase solid solutions with Hf and Nb, J. Eur. Ceram. Soc. 40 (2020) 1829–1838. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeurceramsoc.2019.12.052.
- [32] M.A. Ali, M.M. Hossain, M.A. Hossain, M.T. Nasir, M.M. Uddin, M.Z. Hasan, A.K.M.A. Islam, S.H. Naqib, Recently synthesized $(Zr_{1-x}Ti_x)_2AlC$ ($0 \le x \le 1$) solid solutions: Theoretical study of the effects of M mixing on physical properties, J. Alloys Compd. 743 (2018) 146–154. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jallcom.2018.01.396.
- [33] R. Pan, J. Zhu, Y. Liu, Synthesis, microstructure and properties of $(Ti_{1-x},Mo_x)_2AIC$ phases, Mater. Sci. Technol. 34 (2018) 1064–1069. https://doi.org/10.1080/02670836.2017.1419614.
- [34] O.O. Kurakevych, Superhard phases of simple substances and binary compounds of the B-C-N-O system: from diamond to the latest results (a Review), J. Superhard Mater. 31 (2009) 139–157. https://doi.org/10.3103/S1063457609030010.
- [35] S.K. Mitro, M.A. Hadi, F. Parvin, R. Majumder, S.H. Naqib, A.K.M.A. Islama, Effect of boron incorporation into the carbon-site in Nb₂SC MAX phase: Insights from DFT, J. Mater. Res. Technol. 11 (2021) 1969–1981. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmrt.2021.02.031.
- [36] A. Gencer, G. Surucu, Electronic and lattice dynamical properties of Ti₂SiB MAX phase, Mater. Res. Express. 5 (2018) 076303. https://doi.org/10.1088/2053-1591/aace7f.
- [37] M.A. Hadi, M. Zahanggir Alam, I. Ahmed, A.M.M. Tanveer Karim, S.H. Naqib, A. Chroneos, A.K.M.A. Islam, A density functional theory approach to the effects of C and N substitution at the B-site of the first boride MAX phase Nb₂SB, Mater. Today Commun. 29 (2021) 102910. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MTCOMM.2021.102910.
- [38] A. GENCER, First Principles Investigations of Ta₄AlX₃ (X= B, C, N) MAX Phase Ceramics, J. Boron. 5 (2020) 115–123. https://doi.org/10.30728/boron.731471.
- [39] M.S. Hossain, M.A. Ali, M.M. Hossain, M.M. Uddin, Physical properties of predicted MAX phase borides Hf₂AB (A = Pb, Bi): A DFT insight, Mater. Today Commun. 27 (2021) 102411. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mtcomm.2021.102411.
- [40] T. Rackl, D. Johrendt, The MAX phase borides Zr₂SB and Hf₂SB, Solid State Sci. 106 (2020) 106316. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.solidstatesciences.2020.106316.
- [41] P. Chakraborty, A. Chakrabarty, A. Dutta, T. Saha-Dasgupta, Soft MAX phases with boron substitution: A computational prediction, Phys. Rev. Mater. 2 (2018) 103605. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevMaterials.2.103605.
- [42] G. Surucu, Investigation of structural, electronic, anisotropic elastic, and lattice dynamical properties of MAX phases borides: An Ab-initio study on hypothetical M_2AB (M = Ti, Zr, Hf; A = Al, Ga, In) compounds, Mater. Chem. Phys. 203 (2018) 106–117. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matchemphys.2017.09.050.
- [43] M.A. Ali, M.M. Hossain, M.M. Uddin, M.A. Hossain, A.K.M.A. Islam, S.H. Naqib,

Physical properties of new MAX phase borides M_2SB (M = Zr, Hf and Nb) in comparison with conventional MAX phase carbides M_2SC (M = Zr, Hf and Nb): Comprehensive insights, J. Mater. Res. Technol. 11 (2021) 1000–1018. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmrt.2021.01.068.

- [44] G. Surucu, A. Gencer, X. Wang, O. Surucu, Lattice dynamical and thermo-elastic properties of M₂AlB (M = V, Nb, Ta) MAX phase borides, J. Alloys Compd. 819 (2020) 153256. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jallcom.2019.153256.
- [45] J. Wang, T.N. Ye, Y. Gong, J. Wu, N. Miao, T. Tada, H. Hosono, Discovery of hexagonal ternary phase Ti₂InB₂ and its evolution to layered boride TiB, Nat. Commun. 10 (2019) 1– 8. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-10297-8.
- [46] M. Ade, H. Hillebrecht, Ternary Borides Cr_2AlB_2 , Cr_3AlB_4 , and Cr_4AlB_6 : The First Members of the Series $(CrB_2)_nCrAl$ with n = 1, 2, 3 and a Unifying Concept for Ternary Borides as MAB-Phases, Inorg. Chem. 54 (2015) 6122–6135. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.inorgchem.5b00049.
- [47] S. Kota, M. Sokol, M.W. Barsoum, A progress report on the MAB phases: atomically laminated, ternary transition metal borides, Int. Mater. Rev. 65 (2020) 226–255. https://doi.org/10.1080/09506608.2019.1637090.
- [48] M.A. Ali, M.A. Hadi, M.M. Hossain, S.H. Naqib, A.K.M.A. Islam, Theoretical investigation of structural, elastic, and electronic properties of ternary boride MoAlB, Phys. Status Solidi. 254 (2017) 1700010. https://doi.org/10.1002/pssb.201700010.
- [49] M.A. Ali, M.M. Hossain, M.M. Uddin, A.K.M.A. Islam, S.H. Naqib, Understanding the improvement of thermo-mechanical and optical properties of 212 MAX phase borides Zr_2AB_2 (A = In, Tl), J. Mater. Res. Technol. 15 (2021) 2227–2241. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmrt.2021.09.042.
- [50] S. Li, W. Sun, Y. Luo, J. Yu, L. Sun, B.-T. Wang, J.-X. Liu, G.-J. Zhang, I. Di Marco, Pushing the limit of thermal conductivity of MAX borides and MABs, J. Mater. Sci. Technol. 97 (2022) 79–88. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JMST.2021.05.006.
- [51] Y.X. Wang, Z.X. Yan, W. Liu, G.L. Zhou, Structure stability, mechanical properties and thermal conductivity of the new hexagonal ternary phase Ti₂InB₂ under pressure, Philos. Mag. 100 (2020) 2054–2067. https://doi.org/10.1080/14786435.2020.1754485.
- [52] M.M. Ali, M.A. Hadi, I. Ahmed, A.F.M.Y. Haider, A.K.M. Islam, Physical properties of a novel boron-based ternary compound Ti₂InB₂, Mater. Today Commun. 25 (2020) 101600. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mtcomm.2020.101600.
- [53] M.A. Ali, M.M. Hossain, M.M. Uddin, A.K.M.A. Islam, D. Jana, S.H. Naqib, DFT insights into new B-containing 212 MAX phases: Hf₂AB₂ (A = In, Sn), J. Alloys Compd. 860 (2021) 158408. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jallcom.2020.158408.
- [54] M.A. Ali, M.M. Hossain, A.K.M.A. Islam, S.H. Naqib, Ternary boride Hf₃PB₄: Insights into the physical properties of the hardest possible boride MAX phase, J. Alloys Compd. 857 (2021) 158264. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jallcom.2020.158264.
- [55] M.W. Qureshi, M.A. Ali, X. Ma, Screen the thermomechanical and optical properties of

the new ductile 314 MAX phase boride Zr_3CdB_4 : A DFT insight, J. Alloys Compd. 877 (2021) 160248. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jallcom.2021.160248.

- [56] M.D. Segall, P.J.D. Lindan, M.J. Probert, C.J. Pickard, P.J. Hasnip, S.J. Clark, M.C. Payne, First-principles simulation: ideas, illustrations and the CASTEP code, J. Phys. Condens. Matter. 14 (2002) 2717–2744. https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/14/11/301.
- [57] S.J. Clark, M.D. Segall, C.J. Pickard, P.J. Hasnip, M.I.J. Probert, K. Refson, M.C. Payne, First principles methods using CASTEP, Zeitschrift Für Krist. - Cryst. Mater. 220 (2005). https://doi.org/10.1524/zkri.220.5.567.65075.
- [58] J.P. Perdew, K. Burke, M. Ernzerhof, Generalized Gradient Approximation Made Simple, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77 (1996) 3865–3868. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.77.3865.
- [59] H.J. Monkhorst, J.D. Pack, Special points for Brillouin-zone integrations, Phys. Rev. B. 13 (1976) 5188–5192. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.13.5188.
- [60] T.H. Fischer, J. Almlof, General methods for geometry and wave function optimization, J. Phys. Chem. 96 (1992) 9768–9774. https://doi.org/10.1021/j100203a036.
- [61] R. Hill, The Elastic Behaviour of a Crystalline Aggregate, Proc. Phys. Soc. Sect. A. 65 (1952) 349–354. https://doi.org/10.1088/0370-1298/65/5/307.
- [62] M.A. Ali, A.K.M.A. Islam, M.S. Ali, Ni-rich Nitrides ANNi₃ (A = Pt, Ag, Pd) in Comparison with Superconducting ZnNNi₃, J. Sci. Res. 4 (2011) 1. https://doi.org/10.3329/jsr.v4i1.9026.
- [63] W. Voigt, Lehrbuch der Kristallphysik, Vieweg+Teubner Verlag, Wiesbaden, 1966. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-663-15884-4.
- [64] A. Reuss, Berechnung der Fließgrenze von Mischkristallen auf Grund der Plastizitätsbedingung für Einkristalle ., ZAMM - J. Appl. Math. Mech. / Zeitschrift Für Angew. Math. Und Mech. 9 (1929) 49–58. https://doi.org/10.1002/zamm.19290090104.
- [65] M.A. Ali, M. Roknuzzaman, M.T. Nasir, A.K.M.A. Islam, S.H. Naqib, Structural, elastic, electronic and optical properties of Cu_3MTe_4 (M = Nb, Ta) sulvanites An *ab initio* study, Int. J. Mod. Phys. B. 30 (2016) 1650089. https://doi.org/10.1142/S0217979216500892.
- [66] M.A. Ali, M. Anwar Hossain, M.A. Rayhan, M.M. Hossain, M.M. Uddin, M. Roknuzzaman, K. Ostrikov, A.K.M.A. Islam, S.H. Naqib, First-principles study of elastic, electronic, optical and thermoelectric properties of newly synthesized K₂Cu₂GeS₄ chalcogenide, J. Alloys Compd. 781 (2018) 37–46. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jallcom.2018.12.035.
- [67] Q. Chen, X. Li, B. Ye, L. Xiong, First-Principle Prediction of Phase Stability, Electronic and Elastic Properties Study of the $M_{n+1}AN_n$ (A = Al, Si, M = Ti, Zr, Hf), J. Phase Equilibria Diffus. 41 (2020) 883–890. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11669-020-00851-z.
- [68] R. Khaledialidusti, M. Khazaei, S. Khazaei, K. Ohno, High-throughput computational discovery of ternary-layered MAX phases and prediction of their exfoliation for formation of 2D MXenes, Nanoscale. 13 (2021) 7294–7307. https://doi.org/10.1039/d0nr08791b.
- [69] D. Ohmer, G. Qiang, I. Opahle, H.K. Singh, H. Zhang, High-throughput design of 211-

M₂AX compounds, Phys. Rev. Mater. 3 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevMaterials.3.053803.

- [70] M.W. Qureshi, M.A. Ali, X. Ma, G. Tang, M.U. Javed, D. Paudyal, Verification of stability and unraveling the electronic and physical properties of bulk and (001)-surfaces of newly synthesized Ti₂ZnX (X = C, N) MAX phases, Surfaces and Interfaces. 31 (2022) 102032. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.surfin.2022.102032.
- [71] M.W. Qureshi, X. Ma, G. Tang, R. Paudel, Ab initio predictions of structure and physical properties of the Zr₂GaC and Hf₂GaC MAX- phases under pressure, Sci. Reports |. 11 (2021) 1–23. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-82402-1.
- [72] M. Dahlqvist, B. Alling, J. Rosén, Stability trends of MAX phases from first principles, Phys. Rev. B - Condens. Matter Mater. Phys. (2010). https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.81.220102.
- [73] N. Atazadeh, M. Saeedi Heydari, H.R. Baharvandi, N. Ehsani, Reviewing the effects of different additives on the synthesis of the Ti₃SiC₂ MAX phase by mechanical alloying technique, Int. J. Refract. Met. Hard Mater. 61 (2016) 67–78. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijrmhm.2016.08.003.
- [75] M.A. Ali, A.K.M.A. Islam, N. Jahan, S. Karimunnesa, First-principles study of SnO under high pressure, Int. J. Mod. Phys. B. 30 (2016) 1650228. https://doi.org/10.1142/S0217979216502283.
- [76] M.A. Ali, N. Jahan, A.K.M.A. Islam, Sulvanite Compounds Cu₃TMS₄ (TM = V, Nb and Ta): Elastic, Electronic, Optical and Thermal Properties using First-principles Method, J. Sci. Res. 6 (2014) 407–419. https://doi.org/10.3329/jsr.v6i3.19191.
- [77] M. Born, On the stability of crystal lattices. I, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 36 (1940) 160–172. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0305004100017138.
- [78] F. Mouhat, F.X. Coudert, Necessary and sufficient elastic stability conditions in various crystal systems, Phys. Rev. B - Condens. Matter Mater. Phys. 90 (2014) 224104. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.90.224104.
- [79] X.-Q. Chen, H. Niu, D. Li, Y. Li, Modeling hardness of polycrystalline materials and bulk metallic glasses, Intermetallics. 19 (2011) 1275–1281. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intermet.2011.03.026.
- [80] N. Miao, B. Sa, J. Zhou, Z. Sun, Theoretical investigation on the transition-metal borides with Ta₃B₄-type structure: A class of hard and refractory materials, Comput. Mater. Sci. 50 (2011) 1559–1566. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.COMMATSCI.2010.12.015.
- [81] S.H. Jhi, J. Ihm, S.G. Loule, M.L. Cohen, Electronic mechanism of hardness enhancement in transition-metal carbonitrides, Nature. 399 (1999) 132–134. https://doi.org/10.1038/20148.
- [82] A. Bouhemadou, Calculated structural and elastic properties of M_2 InC (M = Sc, Ti, V, Zr,

Nb, Hf, Ta), Mod. Phys. Lett. B. 22 (2008) 2063–2076. https://doi.org/10.1142/S0217984908016807.

- [83] A. Bouhemadou, Structural, electronic and elastic properties of Ti₂TlC, Zr₂TlC and Hf₂TlC, Cent. Eur. J. Phys. 7 (2009) 753–761. https://doi.org/10.2478/s11534-009-0022-z.
- [84] M.A. Hadi, N. Kelaidis, S.H. Naqib, A. Chroneos, A.K.M.A. Islam, Mechanical behaviors, lattice thermal conductivity and vibrational properties of a new MAX phase Lu₂SnC, J. Phys. Chem. Solids. 129 (2019) 162–171. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpcs.2019.01.009.
- [85] S.F. Pugh, XCII. Relations between the elastic moduli and the plastic properties of polycrystalline pure metals, London, Edinburgh, Dublin Philos. Mag. J. Sci. 45 (1954) 823–843. https://doi.org/10.1080/14786440808520496.
- [86] D.G. Pettifor, Theoretical predictions of structure and related properties of intermetallics, Mater. Sci. Technol. 8 (1992) 345–349. https://doi.org/10.1179/026708392790170801.
- [87] M.A. Hadi, S.R.G. Christopoulos, S.H. Naqib, A. Chroneos, M.E. Fitzpatrick, A.K.M.A. Islam, Physical properties and defect processes of M₃SnC₂ (M = Ti, Zr, Hf) MAX phases: Effect of M-elements, J. Alloys Compd. 748 (2018) 804–813. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jallcom.2018.03.182.
- [88] H.M. Ledbetter, Elastic properties of zinc: A compilation and a review, J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data. 6 (1977) 1181–1203. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.555564.
- [89] J. Wang, Y. Zhou, T. Liao, Z. Lin, First-principles prediction of low shear-strain resistance of Al₃BC₃: A metal borocarbide containing short linear BC₂ units, Appl. Phys. Lett. 89 (2006) 021917. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2220549.
- [90] S.I. Ranganathan, M. Ostoja-Starzewski, Universal Elastic Anisotropy Index, Phys. Rev. Lett. 101 (2008) 055504. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.101.055504.
- [91] M.A. Ali, M.W. Qureshi, DFT insights into the new Hf-based chalcogenide MAX phase Hf₂SeC, Vacuum. 201 (2022) 111072. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vacuum.2022.111072.
- [92] M.A. Ali, M.W. Qureshi, Newly synthesized MAX phase Zr₂SeC: DFT insights into physical properties towards possible applications, RSC Adv. 11 (2021) 16892–16905. https://doi.org/10.1039/d1ra02345d.
- [93] M.A. Ali, M.M. Hossain, N. Jahan, A.K.M.A. Islam, S.H. Naqib, Newly synthesized Zr₂AlC, Zr₂(Al_{0.58}Bi_{0.42})C, Zr₂(Al_{0.2}Sn_{0.8})C, and Zr₂(Al_{0.3}Sb_{0.7})C MAX phases: A DFT based first-principles study, Comput. Mater. Sci. 131 (2017) 139–145. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.commatsci.2017.01.048.
- [94] M.A. Ali, S.H. Naqib, Recently synthesized $(Ti_{1-x}Mo_x)_2AlC$ ($0 \le x \le 0.20$) solid solutions: deciphering the structural, electronic, mechanical and thermodynamic properties via ab initio simulations, RSC Adv. 10 (2020) 31535–31546. https://doi.org/10.1039/D0RA06435A.
- [95] Y. Zhou, Z. Sun, Electronic structure and bonding properties of layered machinable and ceramics, Phys. Rev. B - Condens. Matter Mater. Phys. 61 (2000) 12570–12573. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.61.12570.

- [96] M.A. Ali, M.W. Qureshi, DFT insights into the new Hf-based chalcogenide MAX phase Hf₂SeC, Vacuum. 201 (2022) 111072. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vacuum.2022.111072.
- [97] D.R. Clarke, Materials selection guidelines for low thermal conductivity thermal barrier coatings, Surf. Coatings Technol. 163–164 (2003) 67–74. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0257-8972(02)00593-5.
- [98] V.N. Belomestnykh, E.P. Tesleva, Interrelation between anharmonicity and lateral strain in quasi-isotropic polycrystalline solids, Tech. Phys. 49 (2004) 1098–1100. https://doi.org/10.1134/1.1787679.
- [99] S.I. Mikitishin, Interrelationship of Poisson's ratio with other characteristics of pure metals, Sov. Mater. Sci. 18 (1982) 262–265. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01150837.
- [100] M.E. Fine, L.D. Brown, H.L. Marcus, Elastic constants versus melting temperature in metals, Scr. Metall. 18 (1984) 951–956. https://doi.org/10.1016/0036-9748(84)90267-9.
- [101] A. Bouhemadou, Prediction study of structural and elastic properties under pressure effect of M_2 SnC (M = Ti, Zr, Nb, Hf), Phys. B Condens. Matter. 403 (2008) 2707–2713. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physb.2008.02.014.
- [102] D. Salamon, Advanced Ceramics, in: Adv. Ceram. Dent., Elsevier Inc., 2014: pp. 103– 122. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-394619-5.00006-7.
- [103] B. Cui, W.E. Lee, B. Cui, W.E. Lee, High-temperature Oxidation Behaviour of MAX Phase Ceramics, Refract. Worldforum. 5 (2013) 105–112.
- [104] M. Barsoum, T. El-Raghy, The MAX Phases: Unique New Carbide and Nitride Materials, Am. Sci. 89 (2001) 334. https://doi.org/10.1511/2001.4.334.
- [105] S. Aydin, A. Tatar, Y.O. Ciftci, Some new members of MAX family including lightelements: Nanolayered Hf₂XY (X= Al, Si, P and Y Combining double low line B, C, N), Solid State Sci. 53 (2016) 44–55. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.solidstatesciences.2015.10.010.
- [106] S. Li, R. Ahuja, M.W. Barsoum, P. Jena, B. Johansson, Optical properties of Ti₃SiC₂ and Ti₄AlN₃, Appl. Phys. Lett. 92 (2008) 221907. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2938862.
- [107] M.A. Ali, M.S. Ali, M.M. Uddin, Structural, elastic, electronic and optical properties of metastable MAX phase Ti₅SiC₄ compound, Indian J. Pure Appl. Phys. 54 (2016) 386–390.

The rise of 212 MAX phase borides, Ti₂PB₂, Zr₂PbB₂, and Nb₂AB₂ [A = P, S]: DFT insights into the physical properties for thermo-mechanical applications

M. A. Ali^{1,2,*}, M. M. Hossain^{1,2}, M. M. Uddin^{1,2}, A. K. M. A. Islam^{3,4}, S. H. Naqib^{2,4,*} ¹Department of Physics, Chittagong University of Engineering and Technology (CUET), Chattogram-4349,

Bangladesh

²Advanced Computational Materials Research Laboratory (ACMRL), Department of Physics, Chittagong University of Engineering and Technology (CUET), Chattogram-4349, Bangladesh

³Department of Electrical and Electronic Engineering, International Islamic University Chittagong, Kumira, Chattogram-4318, Bangladesh

⁴Department of Physics, University of Rajshahi, Rajshahi-6205, Bangladesh

Table S1 - The lattice constants (*a* and *c*) and *c/a* ratio of 211 MAX phase borides.

Phase	а	с	c/a	Reference
	(Å)	(Å)		
Ti ₂ PB	3.2674	11.6044		This study
Zr ₂ PdB	3.5021	15.1729		This study
Nb ₂ PB	3.3403	11.6853		This study
Nb ₂ SB	3.5383	11.6043		[1]

Phase ID of Ti	Energy of Ti (eV)	Phase ID of P	Energy of P (eV)
mp-72	-4809.31	mp-53	-179.58
mp-46	-3206.1	mp-118	-4309.48
mp-6985	-1602.97	mp-130	-359.271
mp-73	-1603.02	mp-157	-718.668
		mp-7245	-359.269
Phase ID of B	Energy of B (eV)	mp-12883	-1436.49
mp-160	-926.397	mp-674158	-174.21
mp-1193675	-2160.86	mp-1014013	-718.626
mp-22046	-3854.98	mp-1094075	-1796.33
mp-570316	-3697.84	mp-1179990	-357.596
mp-570602	-3848.55	mp-1198724	-7547.41
mp-632401	-923.045		
mp-1202723	-922.895	Phase	Energy (eV)
mp-1055985	-74.4371	Ti ₂ PB ₂	-3545.42

Table S2 Different structures of Ti₂PB₂ composing elements.




Fig. S1 Phonon dispersion curves of (a) Ti_2PB , (b) Zr_2PbB , (c) Nb_2PB , and (d) Nb_2PB MAX phase compounds.




Fig. S2. The electronic band structures of (a) Ti₂PB, (b) Zr₂PbB, (c) Nb₂PB, and (d) Nb₂SB MAX phase compounds.




Fig. S3. The total DOS of Ti_2PB , Zr_2PbB , and Nb_2AB [A = P, S] MAX phase compounds.