

Phenomenological implications of sterile neutrinos in the $\mu\nu$ SSM and dark matter

Paulina Knees ^{*a}, Daniel E. López-Fogliani ^{†a,b}, and Carlos Muñoz ^{‡c,d}

^a*Instituto de Física de Buenos Aires UBA & CONICET, Departamento de Física, Facultad de Ciencia Exactas y Naturales, Universidad de Buenos Aires, 1428 Buenos Aires, Argentina*

^b*Pontificia Universidad Católica Argentina, Av. Alicia Moreau de Justo 1500, 1107 Buenos Aires, Argentina*

^c*Departamento de Física Teórica, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid (UAM), Campus de Cantoblanco, 28049 Madrid, Spain*

^d*Instituto de Física Teórica (IFT) UAM-CSIC, Campus de Cantoblanco, 28049 Madrid, Spain*

May 30, 2023

Abstract

We analyze the role of sterile neutrinos in the framework of the $\mu\nu$ SSM, where the presence of right-handed neutrinos provides a simultaneous solution to μ - and ν -problems in supersymmetry. We adopt a minimalistic approach, reproducing light neutrino masses and mixing angles at tree level using just two right-handed neutrinos as part of the seesaw mechanism. A third right-handed neutrino does not contribute significantly to the mass of the three active ones, behaving as a sterile neutrino with a mass in the range keV–MeV. Furthermore, a sterile neutrino of about 10 keV can be a good candidate for dark matter with a lifetime larger than the age of the Universe. In particular, the three-body decay to active neutrinos gives the dominant contribution to its lifetime. The one-loop decay to gamma and active neutrino is subdominant, but relevant for observations such as astrophysical X-rays. We find regions of the parameter space of the $\mu\nu$ SSM, with different values of the sterile neutrino mass, fulfilling not only these constraints but also collider constraints from the Higgs sector.

Keywords: Supersymmetry Phenomenology; Sterile Neutrinos; Dark Matter.

*pknees@df.uba.ar

†daniel.lopez@df.uba.ar

‡c.munoz@uam.es

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Sterile neutrinos in the $\mu\nu$SSM	5
2.1	The neutrino sector in the $\mu\nu$ SSM	5
2.2	Sterile neutrinos	7
3	Sterile neutrinos as dark matter	9
4	Detection and Exclusion Limits	10
5	Results	13
6	Conclusions	15
A	Appendix	17

1 Introduction

Supersymmetry (SUSY) is one of the most interesting theories for physics beyond the standard model (SM), providing also an elegant solution to the gauge hierarchy problem. The minimal SUSY extension of the SM is the so-called Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model (MSSM) [1–5]. However, it is fair to say that the MSSM has several crucial problems. Neutrinos are massless in this model implying that the MSSM itself is unable to solve the ν -problem (the generation of neutrino masses according to experimental results [6–10]). The MSSM suffers also a naturalness problem, the so-called μ -problem [11, 12]. This arises from the requirement of a mass term for the Higgs superfields in the superpotential, $\mu\hat{H}_u\hat{H}_d$, which must be of the order of the electroweak (EW) scale to successfully lead to EW symmetry breaking, as well as to generate Higgsino masses compatible with current experimental lower limits on SUSY particles [13]. However, the natural scale for μ , being a SUSY mass term, is the high-energy scale expected in the theory which can be the grand unified theory (GUT) scale M_{GUT} , the string scale M_{string} , or, in the absence of a GUT, the Planck scale M_{Planck} , since gravity is always there. In the MSSM there is no attempt to solve this problem, the μ term is just assumed to be present.

The ‘ μ from ν ’ Supersymmetric Standard Model ($\mu\nu$ SSM) [14, 15] is a highly predictive alternative model to the MSSM. The $\mu\nu$ SSM solves simultaneously the μ -problem and the ν -problem without the need to introduce additional energy scales beyond the SUSY-breaking scale (See Ref. [16] for a recent review of the $\mu\nu$ SSM and Ref. [17] for the latest vacuum structure analysis). Summarizing, in the $\mu\nu$ SSM the particle content of the MSSM is extended by right-handed neutrino superfields $\hat{\nu}_i^c$, allowing gauge invariant couplings with the Higgses of the type $\lambda_i\hat{\nu}_i^c\hat{H}_u\hat{H}_d$ in the superpotential. They generate dynamically the μ -term after the symmetry breaking induced by the soft SUSY-breaking terms which are in the ballpark of a TeV, since then the right sneutrinos $\tilde{\nu}_{iR}$ develop vacuum expectation values (VEVs) also of the order of TeV. Thus, $\mu = \lambda_i\langle\tilde{\nu}_{iR}\rangle$. In addition, Dirac Yukawa couplings between left-handed (LH) neutrinos ν_{iL} and right-handed (RH) neutrinos ν_{iR} , $Y_{ij}^\nu\hat{H}_u\hat{L}_i\hat{\nu}_j^c$,

as well as couplings among RH neutrinos themselves, $\kappa_{ijk}\hat{\nu}_i^c\hat{\nu}_j^c\hat{\nu}_k^c$, are allowed, with the latter generating effective EW-scale Majorana masses for RH neutrinos, $\mathcal{M}_{ij} \sim \kappa_{ijk}\langle\tilde{\nu}_{kR}\rangle$. Both types of couplings are therefore instrumental in solving the ν -problem through an EW-scale seesaw. They can accommodate at tree level the correct neutrino masses and mixing angles with $Y_{ij}^\nu \lesssim 10^{-6}$ [14, 15, 18–22]. Actually, this is even possible with diagonal Yukawa couplings, i.e. $Y_{ij}^\nu = Y_i^\nu\delta_{ij}$ [18, 20]. Having a EW seesaw also avoids the introduction of *ad-hoc* high-energy scales in the model, as it occurs e.g. in the case of a GUT seesaw. Thus, the only scale in the $\mu\nu$ SSM is the EW symmetry breaking scale. Last but not least, baryon asymmetry of the Universe might be realized in the $\mu\nu$ SSM through EW baryogenesis [23].

On the other hand, the search for SUSY at accelerators has been focused mainly on prompt signals with missing transverse energy (MET) from neutralinos inspired in models such as the MSSM or the Next-to-MSSM (NMSSM) [24, 25]. In these models, the Z_2 discrete symmetry R -parity (+1 for particles and -1 for SUSY particles) is imposed by hand in order to avoid fast proton decay. This R -parity conservation (RPC) has the consequence that SUSY particles must appear in pairs. Thus, the lightest supersymmetric particle (LSP) is stable and a potential candidate for dark matter (DM). Since it must be a neutral particle, the only candidate in the MSSM/NMSSM is the neutralino (a mixture of bino, neutral wino and Higgsinos). In this RPC framework of searching for prompt signals with MET from neutralinos at accelerators, significant lower bounds on SUSY particle masses have been obtained [13]. In particular, strongly interacting SUSY particles have to have masses above about 1 TeV, whereas the bound for the weakly interacting SUSY particles is about 100 GeV (with the exception of the bino-like neutralino which is basically not constrained due to its small pair production cross section).

In contrast to the MSSM/NMSSM, R -parity (and lepton number) is not conserved in the $\mu\nu$ SSM. The simultaneous presence in the superpotential of the three terms discussed above makes it impossible to assign R -parity charges consistently to ν_{iR} , thus producing explicit R -parity violation (RPV), although harmless for proton decay. Note that in the limit of neutrino Yukawa couplings $Y_{ij}^\nu \rightarrow 0$, $\hat{\nu}_i^c$ can be identified in the superpotential as pure singlet superfields without lepton number, similar to the singlet of the NMSSM, and therefore R -parity is restored. Thus, Y^ν are the parameters which control the amount of RPV in the $\mu\nu$ SSM, and as a consequence this violation is small since the size of $Y_{ij}^\nu \lesssim 10^{-6}$ is determined by the EW-scale seesaw of the $\mu\nu$ SSM, as discussed above. This novel RPV framework leads to a completely different phenomenology, since SUSY particles do not appear in pairs and therefore the LSP is not stable, decaying to SM particles. Now, depending on the LSP mass, signals at accelerators are characterized not only by prompt decays of the LSP, but also by displaced decays of the order of mm–m due to its low decay width produced by the smallness of neutrino masses. These decays produce distinct signals with multi-leptons/jets/photons with small/moderate MET from neutrinos [26–32]. Besides, since the LSP is not stable all SUSY particles, not only the neutralino, can be candidates for the LSP: squarks, gluinos, charginos, charged sleptons, sneutrinos. These features imply that the extrapolation of the usual MSSM/NMSSM bounds on SUSY particle masses [13] to the $\mu\nu$ SSM is not applicable [26–32].

A similar conclusion concerning the phenomenology at accelerators is obtained when comparing the $\mu\nu$ SSM with other RPV models proposed in the literature [33], such as the bilinear RPV model (BRPV) where the bilinear terms $\mu_i\hat{H}_u\hat{L}_i$ are added to the superpotential to generate neutrino masses, and the trilinear RPV models (TRPV) where the

lepton-number violating couplings $\lambda_{ijk}\hat{L}_i\hat{L}_j\hat{e}_k^c + \lambda'_{ijk}\hat{L}_i\hat{Q}_j\hat{d}_k^c$ or the baryon-number violating couplings $\lambda''_{ijk}\hat{d}_i^c\hat{d}_j^c\hat{u}_k^c$, are added. Besides, in these models neutrino masses can only arise through loop corrections, and they do not attempt to solve the μ -problem.

The low decay width of the LSP due to the smallness of neutrino masses, is also related to the existence of candidates for (decaying) DM in the $\mu\nu$ SSM. This is the case of the gravitino [34–38], or the axino [39], with lifetimes longer than the age of the Universe, since their interactions are not only suppressed by the Planck or the Peccei-Quinn scale, but also by the small RPV parameters. Contrary to the MSSM/NMSSM where the stable neutralino can be detected in accelerator experiments as well as DM direct detection experiments [40], or an extension of the NMSSM where the right sneutrino can be the DM [41, 42], in the $\mu\nu$ SSM these types of detection techniques are not possible. The decaying DM candidates, gravitino and axino, are so weakly interacting that they can only be observed in indirect detection experiments such as MeV-GeV gamma-ray telescopes, through their decays into photon and neutrino [34–39]. Thus displaced decay signals at accelerators and/or gamma-ray signals at indirect detection experiments are clues for the $\mu\nu$ SSM compared to other models.

On the other hand, a sterile neutrino is an intriguing particle that could be detectable through its mixing with the active neutrinos. In particular, with appropriate mass and couplings, it is a well motivated DM candidate with a lifetime longer than the age of the Universe. The viability of the sterile neutrino as decaying DM, and also its possible detection through different signals, has been studied extensively in recent years (for a review, see e.g. Ref. [43] and references therein). Since the $\mu\nu$ SSM includes naturally RH neutrinos, we might use some of them to generate light neutrino masses, whereas others can be used as sterile neutrinos. Thus in the natural case of three RH neutrinos that we will adopt here, one can use one of them with a mass in the range of keV–MeV, without a significant participation in the seesaw mechanism, as a sterile neutrino. This is the aim of this work, to carry out the first analysis of the phenomenology of the sterile neutrino in the $\mu\nu$ SSM. Unlike the other DM candidates, gravitino and axino, that can be detected through gamma-ray signals as discussed above, the sterile neutrino can be observed through X-rays.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we will briefly review the $\mu\nu$ SSM and its relevant parameters for the analysis of the neutrino sector, and in particular we will apply this information to learn how to use one of the RH neutrinos of the model as a sterile neutrino. In Section 3, we will study the relevant decay modes of the sterile neutrino to determine its lifetime, and therefore its viability as a DM candidate with a mass in the range of keV. Section 4 is dedicated to discuss the viability of its detection via radiative decay modes, as well as the associated exclusion limits. In Section 5, we will carry out a numerical analysis of the parameter space to obtain a representative set of parameters compatible with a sterile neutrino reproducing at the same time data on neutrino masses and mixing angles. Finally, our conclusions are left for Section 6.

2 Sterile neutrinos in the $\mu\nu$ SSM

2.1 The neutrino sector in the $\mu\nu$ SSM

The simplest superpotential of the $\mu\nu$ SSM with three RH neutrinos is [14, 15, 26]

$$\begin{aligned} W = & \epsilon_{ab} \left(Y_{ij}^e \hat{H}_d^a \hat{L}_i^b \hat{e}_j^c + Y_{ij}^d \hat{H}_d^a \hat{Q}_i^b \hat{d}_j^c + Y_{ij}^u \hat{H}_u^b \hat{Q}_i^a \hat{u}_j^c \right) \\ & + \epsilon_{ab} \left(Y_{ij}^\nu \hat{H}_u^b \hat{L}_i^a \hat{\nu}_j^c - \lambda_i \hat{\nu}_i^c \hat{H}_u^b \hat{H}_d^a \right) + \frac{1}{3} \kappa_{ijk} \hat{\nu}_i^c \hat{\nu}_j^c \hat{\nu}_k^c, \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where the summation convention is implied on repeated indices, with $i, j, k = 1, 2, 3$ the usual family indices of the SM and $a, b = 1, 2$ $SU(2)_L$ indices with ϵ_{ab} the totally anti-symmetric tensor, $\epsilon_{12} = 1$. The first three terms are the usual Dirac Yukawa couplings for quarks and charged leptons. As discussed in the Introduction, the last three terms are characteristic of the $\mu\nu$ SSM. It is worth noting here that because of the VEVs acquired by the RH sneutrinos after the EW symmetry breaking, the neutrino Yukawa couplings also generate dynamically the bilinear terms $\mu_i \hat{H}_u \hat{L}_i$ used in the BRPV, with $\mu_i = Y_{ij}^\nu \langle \tilde{\nu}_{jR} \rangle$.

With the choice of CP conservation,¹ the neutral components of the Higgs doublet fields H_d and H_u , and the left and right sneutrinos $\tilde{\nu}_{iL}$ and $\tilde{\nu}_{iR}$ develop real VEVs denoted by:

$$\langle H_d^0 \rangle = \frac{v_d}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad \langle H_u^0 \rangle = \frac{v_u}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad \langle \tilde{\nu}_{iR} \rangle = \frac{v_{iR}}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad \langle \tilde{\nu}_{iL} \rangle = \frac{v_{iL}}{\sqrt{2}}. \quad (2)$$

Then, the higgsino mass parameter μ , the bilinear couplings μ_i and Dirac and Majorana masses are given by:

$$\mu = \lambda_i \frac{v_{iR}}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad \mu_i = Y_{ij}^\nu \frac{v_{jR}}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad m_{\mathcal{D}_{ij}} = Y_{ij}^\nu \frac{v_u}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad \mathcal{M}_{ij} = 2\kappa_{ijk} \frac{v_{kR}}{\sqrt{2}}. \quad (3)$$

As discussed in the Introduction, $v_{iR} \sim \mathcal{O}(1 \text{ TeV})$, because of the right sneutrino minimization equations which are determined by the soft SUSY-breaking terms [14, 15, 26]. However, the small values of $Y_{ij}^\nu \lesssim 10^{-6}$ determined by the EW-scale seesaw of the $\mu\nu$ SSM, drive v_{iL} to small values because of the proportional contributions to Y_{ij}^ν appearing in their minimization equations. A rough estimation gives $v_{iL} \lesssim m_{\mathcal{D}_{jk}} \lesssim 10^{-4} \text{ GeV}$.

As a consequence of the RPV of the $\mu\nu$ SSM, the MSSM neutralinos mix with the LH and RH neutrinos, thus the neutral fermions have the flavor composition $\psi^{0T} = ((\nu_{iL})^c, \tilde{B}^0, \tilde{W}^0, \tilde{H}_d^0, \tilde{H}_u^0, \nu_{iR}^*)$. The mass terms in the Lagrangian are given by $-\frac{1}{2} \psi^{0T} m_{\psi^0} \psi^0 + \text{h.c.}$, where m_{ψ^0} is a 10×10 (symmetric) neutrino/neutralino mass matrix with the structure of a generalized EW-scale seesaw given by [15, 26]:

$$m_{\psi^0} = \begin{pmatrix} 0_{3 \times 3} & m^T \\ m & M_{7 \times 7} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4)$$

Here m is a 3×7 submatrix containing the mixing of LH neutrinos with MSSM neutralinos and RH neutrinos,

$$m^T = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} g' v_{iL} & -\frac{1}{2} g v_{iL} & 0_{3 \times 1} & \mu_i & m_{\mathcal{D}_{ij}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (5)$$

¹The $\mu\nu$ SSM with spontaneous CP violation was studied in Ref. [20].

where the EW gauge couplings are estimated at the m_Z scale by $e = g \sin \theta_W = g' \cos \theta_W$. M is a 7×7 submatrix containing the mixing of MSSM neutralinos with RH neutrinos, in addition to the mixing between MSSM neutralinos themselves and RH neutrinos themselves,

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} M_1 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2}g'v_d & \frac{1}{2}g'v_u & 0_{1 \times 3} \\ 0 & M_2 & \frac{1}{2}gv_d & -\frac{1}{2}gv_u & 0_{1 \times 3} \\ -\frac{1}{2}g'v_d & \frac{1}{2}gv_d & 0 & -\mu & -\lambda_j v_u \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{2}g'v_u & -\frac{1}{2}gv_u & -\mu & 0 & (-\lambda_j v_d + Y_{kj}^\nu v_{kL}) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ 0_{3 \times 1} & 0_{3 \times 1} & -\lambda_j v_u \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & (-\lambda_j v_d + Y_{kj}^\nu v_{kL}) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \mathcal{M}_{ij} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (6)$$

Regarding the seesaw mechanism, note that the entries of M are expected to be of the order of the EW/SUSY scale, since they contain the bino and wino soft masses, M_1 and M_2 , the μ term, the mixing of Higgsinos with RH neutrinos which is essentially determined by $\lambda_i v_u$ and $\lambda_i v_d$, and the self mixing of the RH neutrinos which is determined by the Majorana masses \mathcal{M}_{ij} of Eq. (3) which are $\sim \mathcal{O}(1 \text{ TeV})$. Here we are assuming values of the couplings $\lambda_i, \kappa_{ijk} \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$. On the contrary, the entries of the matrix m are much smaller, since the bilinear mass terms μ_i and Dirac neutrino masses $m_{\mathcal{D}_{ij}}$ are $\lesssim 10^{-4} \text{ GeV}$ as discussed above, and the other entries are similarly small being determined by gv_{iL} and $g'v_{iL}$.

Now, in a first approximation the effective active-neutrino mixing mass matrix can be written as [20]

$$m_\nu^{\text{eff}} = -m^T M^{-1} m, \quad (7)$$

and, after diagonalization by an unitarity transformation U_{PMNS} , one obtains

$$U_{\text{PMNS}}^T m_\nu^{\text{eff}} U_{\text{PMNS}} = \text{diag} (m_{\nu_1}, m_{\nu_2}, m_{\nu_3}). \quad (8)$$

We conclude therefore that the relevant independent parameters in the neutrino sector are:

$$v_{iL}, v_{iR}, \tan \beta, Y_{ij}^\nu, \kappa_i, \lambda_i, M_1, M_2, \quad (9)$$

where we have assumed $\kappa_{iii} \equiv \kappa_i$ and vanishing otherwise, which is sufficient for the purposes of our numerical analysis below. Also we have determined v_u and v_d using $\tan \beta \equiv v_u/v_d$ and $v_d \approx v/\sqrt{\tan^2 \beta + 1}$, since the SM Higgs VEV, $v^2 = v_d^2 + v_u^2 + \sum_i v_{iL}^2 = 4m_Z^2/(g^2 + g'^2) \approx (246 \text{ GeV})^2$.

To give us a qualitative idea of the values of neutrino masses in the model, in the simplifying situation of universal $v_{iR} = v_R$, $\lambda_i = \lambda$, $\kappa_i = \kappa$, and diagonal $Y_{ij}^\nu = Y_i^\nu \delta_{ij}$, one can obtain the approximate formula [20]:

$$(m_\nu^{\text{eff}})_{ij} \approx \frac{m_{\mathcal{D}_i} m_{\mathcal{D}_j}}{3\mathcal{M}} (1 - 3\delta_{ij}) - \frac{v_{iL} v_{jL}}{4M_g}, \quad \frac{1}{M_g} \equiv \frac{g'^2}{M_1} + \frac{g^2}{M_2}, \quad (10)$$

with $m_{\mathcal{D}_i} = Y_i^\nu v_u/\sqrt{2}$ and $\mathcal{M} = 2\kappa v_R/\sqrt{2}$. Of the three terms in Eq. (10), the first two are generated through the mixing of ν_{iL} with ν_{iR} -Higgsinos, and the third one also include the mixing with gauginos. These are the so-called ν_R -Higgsino seesaw and gaugino seesaw,

respectively [20]. As can be straightforwardly understood from this equation, for values of the couplings and parameters $\lambda, \kappa \sim 1$, $Y_{\nu_{ij}} \lesssim 10^{-6}$, $v_{iL} \lesssim 10^{-4}$, and $M_1, M_2, v_{iR} \sim 1$ TeV, as discussed above, light (basically LH) neutrino masses $\lesssim 0.1$ eV, accompanied by RH neutrino masses ~ 1 TeV, can easily be obtained.

This result also gives us an idea that the values of several of the parameters of the model must be chosen differently if we want to obtain a neutrino mass \sim keV–MeV, as required for a sterile neutrino. This is the discussion we will focus on below.

2.2 Sterile neutrinos

Sterile neutrinos are singlets under the SM gauge group, and, as discussed in the Introduction, they are very interesting particles for phenomenology beyond the SM. Given our review above of neutrino physics in the $\mu\nu$ SSM, we will analyze the simplest case of converting one of the Majorana RH neutrinos into a sterile neutrino with a mass \sim keV–MeV, modifying the values of some of the input parameters of the model. In this case, we are effectively using only two RH neutrinos in the seesaw mechanism, and therefore we will need to work with off-diagonal terms in the neutrino Yukawa matrix in order to reproduce correctly neutrino data at tree level.

The first 4×4 entries of the matrix M in Eq. (6) can be identified with the entries of the MSSM neutralino matrix. As already mentioned, their contributions are naturally of order EW/SUSY scale since they contain EW gaugino masses and the effective μ parameter (which is experimentally bounded by the chargino mass). Nevertheless, RH neutrino masses depend on the values chosen for the κ_{ijk} parameters in \mathcal{M}_{ij} as well as on the values of λ_i and Y_{ij}^ν controlling their mixtures with MSSM higgsinos and active neutrinos.

Thus, for the purpose of obtaining one light sterile neutrino ν_s , it is necessary to decouple one of the RH neutrinos from the seesaw mechanism, reducing the value of one of the diagonal Yukawa couplings up to $Y_{ii}^\nu \sim 10^{-13}$. Now, in order to achieve the desired mass scale in the range of 1 keV–100 keV, we obtain from the Majorana mass in Eq. (3) the relation

$$m_{\nu_s} \approx \sqrt{2} \kappa_2 v_{2R} \approx 10^{-6} - 10^{-4} \text{ GeV}, \quad (11)$$

where for the sake of definiteness we have chosen the second family of RH neutrinos to be the sterile neutrino, $\nu_{2R} \equiv \nu_s$. Our results can be easily extrapolated to the case of using the first or the third family as the ones for the sterile neutrino. From Eq. (11), RH sneutrino VEVs $v_{iR} \sim 10^3$ GeV imply that we have to use a value of $\kappa_2 \sim 10^{-9} - 10^{-7}$. Summarizing, two of the independent parameters of Eq. (9) must be fixed to the following values:

$$Y_{22}^\nu \sim 10^{-13}, \quad \kappa_2 \sim 10^{-9} - 10^{-7}. \quad (12)$$

As mentioned above when discussing the matrix in Eq. (6), higgsinos and RH neutrinos are mixed, and as a consequence λ_2 must be chosen with an appropriate value to obtain a viable mixture between higgsinos and the sterile neutrino. Given that in general the order of magnitude of the mixtures with active neutrinos is $\sim 10^{-7}$, and we need the sterile neutrino to be almost a pure state, it is reasonable to take this value for the mixing. Thus

we can roughly approximate the mixing by the ratio $\lambda_2 v_u / \mu$, implying

$$\lambda_2 \sim 10^{-7}. \quad (13)$$

Using the values of the parameters of Eqs. (12) and (13), it is possible to find regions of the model with a mass of the sterile state not only in the 1 keV–100 keV range, but also with a lifetime long enough to be a DM candidate. We will focus on this latter possibility in the next section, and the numerical analysis will be carried out in Section 5.

The hierarchies between the values of the parameters of the model, Y^ν 's, λ_i 's, k_i 's are an unavoidable consequence of imposing a light sterile neutrino. One might think that this situation is not so natural, although it is true that something similar occurs in the SM with the values of Yukawa couplings. In the context of these always uncertain naturalness arguments, it worth noting that we are working here for simplicity with three families of RH neutrino superfields, but in fact this is an arbitrary number in the model. Thus, with more than three families one could argue that such hierarchies are not unexpected.

Let us finally discuss the range of masses of the scalar and pseudoscalar partners of the sterile neutrino. As a consequence of RPV violation, the Higgses mix with left and right sneutrinos giving rise to eight scalar states h_α^0 , $\alpha = 1, \dots, 8$, and seven pseudoscalar states $A_{\alpha'}^0$, $\alpha' = 1, \dots, 7$ (since the remaining pseudoscalar is the Goldstone boson). All their masses are in the EW/SUSY range $\sim 10^2 - 10^3$ GeV, except for the scalar and pseudoscalar partners of the sterile neutrino, $h_1^0 \equiv \tilde{\nu}_s^{\mathcal{R}}$ and $A_1^0 \equiv \tilde{\nu}_s^{\mathcal{I}}$, which are lighter as expected from the above values of the associated parameters. Using the results of Refs. [15, 26], from the minimization equation with respect to v_{2R} their physical masses can be written in a good approximation as:

$$m_{\tilde{\nu}_s^{\mathcal{R}}}^2 \approx T_2^\lambda \frac{v_d v_u}{\sqrt{2} v_{2R}} + T_2^\kappa \frac{v_{2R}}{\sqrt{2}} + m_{\nu_s}^2 = A_2^\lambda \lambda_2 \frac{v_d v_u}{\sqrt{2} v_{2R}} + \frac{1}{2} A_2^\kappa m_{\nu_s} + m_{\nu_s}^2, \quad (14)$$

$$m_{\tilde{\nu}_s^{\mathcal{I}}}^2 \approx m_{\tilde{\nu}_s^{\mathcal{R}}}^2 - 2\sqrt{2} T_2^\kappa v_{2R} - m_{\nu_s}^2 = A_2^\lambda \lambda_2 \frac{v_d v_u}{\sqrt{2} v_{2R}} - \frac{3}{2} A_2^\kappa m_{\nu_s}, \quad (15)$$

where in the last equalities the supergravity breaking as the origin of the soft SUSY-breaking terms is assumed, with the trilinear parameters proportional to their corresponding couplings, i.e. $T_2^\kappa = A_2^\kappa \kappa_2$, $T_2^\lambda = A_2^\lambda \lambda_2$.

Clearly, if the terms with A_2^κ dominate the sum, the scalar (pseudoscalar) would be tachyonic for A_2^κ negative (positive). Thus we have to choose the parameters in such a way that the term proportional to A_2^λ is the dominant one. Assuming λ_2 , $A_2^\lambda > 0$, we can make a good estimation for $m_{\tilde{\nu}_s^{\mathcal{I}}}^2$ and $m_{\tilde{\nu}_s^{\mathcal{R}}}^2$, obtaining for the $A_2^\kappa < 0$ case,

$$m_{\tilde{\nu}_s^{\mathcal{I}}}^2 \gtrsim m_{\tilde{\nu}_s^{\mathcal{R}}}^2 \sim \lambda_2 A_2^\lambda \frac{v_d v_u}{\sqrt{2} v_{2R}} > \frac{1}{2} m_{\nu_s} |A_2^\kappa|, \quad (16)$$

and for the $A_2^\kappa > 0$ case,

$$m_{\tilde{\nu}_s^{\mathcal{R}}}^2 \gtrsim m_{\tilde{\nu}_s^{\mathcal{I}}}^2 \sim \lambda_2 A_2^\lambda \frac{v_d v_u}{\sqrt{2} v_{2R}} > \frac{3}{2} m_{\nu_s} A_2^\kappa. \quad (17)$$

It is important to notice here that the scalar and the pseudoscalar partner of the sterile




Figure 1: Three-body decays of a sterile neutrino to neutrinos mediated by scalars h_α^0 , $\alpha = 1, \dots, 8$, with $h_1^0 \equiv \tilde{\nu}_s^{\mathcal{R}}$, pseudoscalars $A_{\alpha'}^0$, $\alpha' = 1, \dots, 7$, with $A_1^0 \equiv \tilde{\nu}_s^{\mathcal{I}}$, and a Z boson.

neutrino are light compared with the SM Higgs but much heavier than the sterile neutrino, $m_{\tilde{\nu}_s^{\mathcal{I}}} \sim m_{\tilde{\nu}_s^{\mathcal{R}}} \gg m_{\nu_s}$. From the above equations, using $A_2^\lambda \sim 10^3$ GeV one obtains $m_{\tilde{\nu}_s^{\mathcal{I},\mathcal{R}}} \sim 10$ MeV. However, since they are dominated by the RH sneutrino composition their production at colliders is not observable.

3 Sterile neutrinos as dark matter

In this section, we will study the viability of the sterile neutrino as a DM candidate in the $\mu\nu$ SSM. It has two relevant decay modes. One is the three-body decay to active neutrinos $\nu_s \rightarrow \nu\nu\nu$, and the second one is the radiative decay to active neutrino and gamma $\nu_s \rightarrow \nu\gamma$. Given that the latter is a one-loop diagram, it has a lower decay width than the former. Thus it is sufficient for our purposes to consider only the three-body decay process for the calculation of the lifetime.

In particular, we have the three Feynman diagrams shown in Fig 1 contributing to the decay width of the sterile neutrino. In addition to the one with the Z boson as a mediator, in the $\mu\nu$ SSM we have also the possibility of the neutral scalars and pseudoscalars as mediators. As discussed in the previous section, all these masses are in the range $\sim 10^2 - 10^3$ GeV, except for the scalar and pseudoscalar partners of the sterile neutrino, $\tilde{\nu}_s^{\mathcal{R}}$ and $\tilde{\nu}_s^{\mathcal{I}}$ respectively, which are lighter $\sim 10^{-2}$ GeV. But even these contributions to the decay width are suppressed with respect to the Z one. Note in this respect that the latter contribution arises mainly from the sterile-active neutrino mixing in the incoming line. However, the main contribution mediated by scalar/pseudoscalar Higgses has, in addition to the sterile-active neutrino mixing in one of the outgoing lines, the suppression of the two neutrino Yukawa couplings involved in both vertices.

For the decay width mediated by the Z boson one has:

$$\Gamma(\nu_s \rightarrow \nu_i \nu_j \bar{\nu}_k) = \sum_{j,k} \Gamma(\nu_s \rightarrow \nu_i \nu_j \bar{\nu}_k) = \sum_{j,k} \frac{G_F^2 m_{\nu_s}^5}{6\pi^3} (O_{si} O_{jk})^2, \quad (18)$$

where $G_F = \sqrt{2}g^2/8m_W^2$ is the Fermi constant, and

$$O_{pq} = -\frac{1}{2}U_{p6}^V U_{q6}^V + \frac{1}{2}U_{p7}^V U_{q7}^V - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=1}^3 U_{pr}^V U_{qr}^V, \quad (19)$$

with U^V the matrix which diagonalizes the mass matrix of the neutral fermions in Eq. (4). Here the indices p, q denote the physical states, $p, q = 1, \dots, 10$ (for a discussion of the elements O_{pq} see for instance [21]), and r is a family index. Thus the entries with the indices 6 and 7 correspond in our case to the mixing between neutrinos and neutral higgsinos, \tilde{H}_u^0 and \tilde{H}_d^0 , whereas the entries with the index r correspond to the mixing between neutrinos themselves.

In the following we will assume for simplicity that our sterile neutrino $\nu_s = \nu_{2R}$ mixes only with the active neutrino ν_2 . Then, taking also into account that its mixing with higgsinos is very small $\sim 10^{-7}$ as discussed in the previous section, one has $O_{si} \rightarrow -\frac{1}{2} U_{si}^V$ and $O_{jk} \rightarrow -\frac{1}{2}$ if $j = k$ and 0 otherwise. As a result, one obtains

$$\Gamma(\nu_s \rightarrow \nu_i \nu \bar{\nu}) = \frac{G_F^2 m_{\nu_s}^5}{32\pi^3} (U_{si}^V)^2, \quad (20)$$

where U_{si}^V corresponds to the mixing between sterile and active neutrinos, i.e. $i = 2$ in our case. The relevance of this result lies in the order of magnitude of the lifetime, long enough for the sterile neutrino to be a good DM candidate. Using the typical values for U_{si}^V shown in Figure 5 of Section 5, it is straightforward to check that one obtains a lifetime in the range $\tau \sim 10^{24} \text{ s} - 10^{27} \text{ s}$, which is longer than the age of the Universe ($\sim 10^{17} \text{ s}$). Therefore, for this range of masses the sterile neutrino is compatible with a DM particle.

As suggested in the literature, sterile neutrino DM can be produced via a small mixing with active neutrinos through the mechanism known as non-resonant production [44]. However, this mechanism seems to be ruled out (see, e.g. [45]) by bounds on active-sterile neutrino mixing and mass bound from structure formation. On the other hand, the sterile neutrino DM production can be enhanced by the presence of primordial lepton asymmetry in a scenario known as resonant production [46]. The mixing angle determines both the relic density and decay rate, and as a consequence there is a region in the mixing angle-mass parameter space where the sterile neutrino might make up the whole DM. In particular, Big Bang Nucleosynthesis (BBN) constraints on the lepton asymmetry [47] can be used to set lower limits on the mixing angle, below which DM would be under-produced. These regions were discussed in detail in Refs. [48–51], including the limits from structure formation and astrophysical X-ray observations (see the discussion in the next sections and Fig. 5), and we will use their results to constrain our model. To translate them to the $\mu\nu$ SSM cases, one has to take into account that in those works the mixing angle $(U_{si}^V)^2$ is denoted as $\sin^2 \theta$.

More precise analyses regarding the production mechanism² as well as a explicit description of a multiple DM scenario including the sterile neutrino, are beyond the scope of this work, where the latter particle is explored for the first time in the framework of the $\mu\nu$ SSM.

4 Detection and Exclusion Limits

An important constraint on the sterile neutrino comes from its radiative decay $\nu_s \rightarrow \nu \gamma$. Although this decay channel is two orders of magnitude smaller than the channel into three

²In addition to the non-resonant and resonant oscillation production models, another interesting alternative is the production via a decaying particle (for a review see e.g. Ref. [52] and references therein).




Figure 2: Radiative decay of a sterile neutrino to an active neutrino and a photon via one (two) W^\pm boson and two (one) charged fermions $e_{l,m}$ in the loop, with $l, m = 1, \dots, 5$.




Figure 3: The same as in Fig. 2, but exchanging one or two W^\pm bosons in the loop by charged scalars $H_{f,g}^\pm$, with $f, g = 1, \dots, 8$.




Figure 4: Radiative decay of a sterile neutrino to an active neutrino and a photon via neutral scalars.

neutrinos discussed in the previous section, its relevance is due to the produced photons with an energy $m_{\nu_s}/2$ that can be observed in X-ray signals.

In the $\mu\nu$ SSM, there are several diagrams that contribute to the radiative decay of the sterile neutrino, but only those corresponding to SM processes are relevant. These are shown in Fig. 2, where mainly the sterile-active neutrino mixing in the incoming line gives rise to the decay of the sterile neutrino via the loop involving a W and two charged fermions. Note that because of RPV charged leptons have a small mixing with MSSM charginos giving rise to five charged fermions e_l , $l = 1, \dots, 5$.

Other diagrams present in the $\mu\nu$ SSM are similar to those of Fig. 2, but exchanging in the loop one or two W^\pm bosons by charged scalars, as shown in Fig. 3. Again, because of

RPV, charged Higgses have a small mixing with right and left charged sleptons giving rise to eight charged scalars H_f^\pm , $f = 1, \dots, 8$. However, all these diagrams are suppressed with respect to those in Fig. 2 because of the small values of the lepton Yukawa coupling in the outgoing vertex and the neutrino Yukawa coupling or the sterile-active neutrino mixing in the incoming vertex. There are also diagrams with the exchange of neutral scalars as shown in Fig. 4, which are also suppressed with respect to those in Fig. 2. Although there are more diagrams contributing to this radiative decay, they are even more suppressed than those in Figs. 3 and 4 due to the presence of two mixings elements. These diagrams are discussed in Appendix A, together with CP-violating contributions.

To perform the calculation corresponding to the contributions of Fig. 2, we have followed the analysis of Ref. [53]. There, the decay width of a neutralino to a photon plus a neutrino in the MSSM is computed. In the case of the $\mu\nu$ SSM, it is easy to realize from Fig. 2 that the most important contributions have all indices equal, $i = l = m = 2$, given that we are working with a sterile neutrino $\nu_s = \nu_{2R}$ that only mixes with ν_2 . Taking it into account, we obtain the following result (where the sum over l is implicit):

$$\Gamma(\nu_s \rightarrow \nu_i \gamma) = \frac{64 G_F^2 \alpha m_{\nu_s}^3}{256 \pi^4} \left[\frac{3m_{\nu_s}}{4} (O_{L,sl} O_{L,il} - O_{R,sl} O_{R,il}) - 2m_{e_j} (O_{R,sl} O_{L,il} - O_{L,sl} O_{R,il}) \right]^2 \quad (21)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} O_{R,pl} &= U_{p5}^V U_{R,l4}^e + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} U_{p6}^V U_{R,l5}^e + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{r=1}^3 U_{pr}^V U_{R,lr}^e, \\ O_{L,pl} &= U_{p5}^V U_{L,l4}^e - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} U_{p7}^V U_{L,l5}^e, \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

with U_R^e and U_L^e the matrices which diagonalize the mass matrix for the charged fermions (the elements $O_{R,pl}$ and $O_{L,pl}$ were discussed in Ref. [21]). For these matrices, the entries with the indices 4 and 5 correspond to the small mixing between charged leptons and charged wino and higgsino, whereas the entries with the index r correspond to the mixing between charged leptons themselves. Concerning the matrix U^V , the entries with the index 5 correspond to the mixing between neutrinos and neutral wino $\lesssim 10^{-7}$, whereas the entries with the index r correspond to the mixing between neutrinos themselves. Thus we can neglect the products $U^V U^e$ in Eq. (22), obtaining $O_{L,il} = O_{L,sl} \rightarrow 0$ and $O_{R,sl} O_{R,il} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} U_{si}^V$. Therefore, the corresponding decay width is

$$\Gamma(\nu_s \rightarrow \nu_i \gamma) = \frac{9 G_F^2 \alpha m_{\nu_s}^5}{256 \pi^4} (U_{si}^V)^2, \quad (23)$$

where as for the case of the three-body decay in the previous section, U_{si}^V corresponds to the mixing between sterile and active neutrinos with $i = 2$. This result agrees with the one of Ref. [54], where this process is calculated in an extension of the SM with RH neutrinos.

Several constraints on the active-sterile neutrino mixing coming from this radiative decay, can be found in the literature. In particular, this is the case of X-ray signals due to a DM flux that contributes to the X-ray background (XRB) from galaxy clusters, dSPH galaxies, and Milky Way halo, among others [55–70]. As mentioned in the previous section, these constraints are summarized in Refs. [48–51], and we will take them into account in




Figure 5: Sterile-active neutrino mixing $(U_{si}^V)^2$ versus sterile neutrino mass m_{ν_s} . Dotted lines show the allowed values of $(U_{si}^V)^2$ for several fix values of λ_2 in the range $(1 - 20) \times 10^{-8}$. The region above the black line is forbidden by X-ray constraints, as summarized in Ref. [51]. The gray hatched region represents structure-formation constraints from the observed number of Milky Way dwarf satellites [48]. In the gray region BBN constraints imply that DM would be under produced.

our numerical analysis of the results below.

5 Results

We present here the numerical results obtained using the formulas discussed in previous sections. To find first a sterile neutrino state fulfilling neutrino physics [6–9], we used SARAH [71] to generate a SPheno [72, 73] version for the model. We also checked that our results satisfy the constraints coming from the Higgs sector. In particular, we implemented LEP, LHC and Tevatron Higgs constraints using HiggsBounds v5.9.1 [74–79], and we used HiggsSignals v2.6.1 [80–83] in order to compute a χ^2 measure to determine the compatibility of our model with the measured signal strength and mass. We required that the p -value reported by HiggsSignals be larger than 5%.

Concerning the sterile neutrino DM, in Fig 5 we show the current limits on the parameter

v_{1L}	v_{2L}	v_{3L}	v_{1R}	v_{2R}	v_{3R}
1.00×10^{-4}	4.45×10^{-4}	3.80×10^{-4}	2.40×10^3	1.50×10^3	1.40×10^3

Table 1: Values of the input left and right sneutrino VEVs (in GeV) that are fixed in the computation.

λ_1	λ_3	κ_1	κ_3	M_1	M_2
4.0×10^{-4}	1.5×10^{-1}	1.0×10^{-1}	4.5×10^{-1}	360	1600

Table 2: Values of the input couplings $\lambda_1, \lambda_3, \kappa_1, \kappa_3$, and input bino and wino masses M_1, M_2 (in GeV) that are fixed in the computation.

space. The region above the black line is forbidden by X-ray constraints, and the gray hatched region represents structure-formation constraints. Imposing the value of the relic density [48] (above the gray region), we can see that the allowed sterile neutrino masses are in the range $m_{\nu_s} \sim 10 - 15$ keV, corresponding to a sterile-active neutrino mixing $(U_{si}^V)^2 \sim 2 \times 10^{-12} - 2 \times 10^{-13}$. Using the parameter space of the $\mu\nu$ SSM, we are able to reproduce these ranges of values.

As discussed in Section 2, the relevant parameters of the model in the neutrino sector are those given in Eq. (9). Three of them, namely Y_{22}^ν , κ_2 and λ_2 are crucial to obtain a light sterile neutrino. For the rest of the parameters we have chosen values that are characteristic of the model. This is the case of the values shown in Tables 1 and 2. For simplicity, in the latter table we chose λ_3 to dominate the contribution to the μ -term as well as the mixing with Higgsinos, but similar values for $\lambda_1 \sim 10^{-1}$ are also possible without modifying significantly our results. The same comment applies to $\tan \beta$, although we chose the common value $\tan \beta = 10$, other values can be used keeping our results general. Concerning the neutrino Yukawas, we have to work with off-diagonal entries in the Yukawa matrix to obtain the correct mixing angles. The values of Y_{22}^ν and the other entries of the matrix used in our computation are:

$$Y^\nu = \begin{pmatrix} 8.0 \times 10^{-8} & 0 & 1.7 \times 10^{-8} \\ -2.8 \times 10^{-7} & 4.0 \times 10^{-13} & -8.6 \times 10^{-8} \\ 0 & 0 & 5.5 \times 10^{-8} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (24)$$

where as chosen in previous sections the sterile neutrino $\nu_s = \nu_{2R}$ mixes only with the active neutrino ν_2 . Different values chosen for λ_2 are shown in Fig 5 together with the corresponding values of the mixing $(U_{si}^V)^2$ (taking $i = 2$ in our analysis), and sterile neutrino mass m_{ν_s} . The latter mass fixes the value of κ_2 from (11). As we can see, for a given value of λ_2 the mixing becomes smaller with increasing mass. This is a reasonable result since for larger masses the sterile and active neutrino mass difference increases, giving rise to a smaller mixing element. Finally, concerning the scalar and pseudoscalar sectors, as discussed in Section 2.2 A_λ and A_κ are relevant parameters for them, and we used in all

cases the representative values $A_1^\lambda = 250$ GeV, $A_2^\lambda = 5$ TeV, $A_3^\lambda = 666$ GeV, $A_1^\kappa = -2.2$ GeV, $A_2^\kappa = -0.23$ GeV and $A_3^\kappa = -9.4$ GeV.

We conclude from the results of Fig 5, and taking into account reasonable variations of the parameters chosen, that λ_2 has to be in the range $\lambda_2 \sim 10^{-7} - 10^{-8}$ in order to reproduce the value of the relic density.

On the other hand, if one allows the sterile neutrino to produce a lower value of the relic density below the observational bound, all the mass range of Fig 5 will be available for appropriate values of λ_2 in the $\mu\nu$ SSM. We checked that this is also true for sterile neutrino masses larger than 40 keV. In particular, for cases with $m_{\nu_s} \gtrsim 50$ keV we considered in our analysis the additional constraints discussed in Ref. [84], where an study of the INTEGRAL data was carried out. Of course, for all these cases an additional contribution/s from another/other DM candidate/s would be necessary in order to obtain the relic density in the observational range. Besides, it is also possible to find points in the 100 keV–1 MeV region, still in agreement with a lifetime longer than the age of the Universe. For instance, working with similar parameters as in Tables 1 and 2, and Eq. (24), used for the keV mass region, but with $\kappa_2 = 1.42 \times 10^{-7}$ and $\lambda_2 = 7 \times 10^{-11}$, we obtain a sterile neutrino with $m_{\nu_s} = 300$ keV, $(U_{si}^V)^2 \sim 10^{-21}$, and according to Eq. (20) a lifetime $\tau \sim 10^{27}$ s. In addition to those constraints in Ref. [84] mentioned above, more constraints on the active-sterile neutrino mixing can be found in the literature for this mass range. They arise from nuclear beta decay and pion decay, among others, corresponding to the mass range ~ 300 keV–1000 MeV, as summarized in Ref. [85]. Although producing upper bounds on the mixing angle, all constraints available in this region are easily fulfilled by our results.

To conclude our discussion, in order to cover a wider mass range for the sterile neutrino, we searched for points above the MeV scale, with $m_{\nu_s} = 10$ MeV and 100 MeV. In these cases the sterile neutrino lifetimes are smaller than the age of the Universe and it cannot be a DM candidate. For them we used the Yukawa matrix of Eq. (24) and the values of the parameters of Tables 1 and 2. Concerning the experimental constraints, we applied again those of Ref. [85], with the result that the upper bounds on the mixing angle are fulfilled by the typical values obtained in our computation. In particular, for the analyzed range of masses, using $\lambda_2 \sim 10^{-6} - 10^{-4}$ and $\kappa_2 \sim 10^{-6} - 10^{-5}$ we obtained $(U_{si}^V)^2 \sim 10^{-18} - 10^{-12}$, which are values far from the experimental available constraints.

6 Conclusions

Neutrino masses and mixing angles in the $\mu\nu$ SSM arise naturally from a generalized EW-scale seesaw mechanism involving the neutralinos in addition to RH and LH neutrinos. This is obtained without the need to introduce additional scales beyond the soft SUSY-breaking scale. The presence of RH neutrino superfields in the model is crucial to solve not only this ν -problem, but also the μ -problem of SUSY models. In this work, we carried out the first analysis in the $\mu\nu$ SSM of using RH neutrinos as sterile neutrinos. In particular, two RH neutrinos were used to generate masses at tree level for the active neutrinos through the generalized seesaw, whereas the third one plays the role of a sterile neutrino by choosing appropriately the parameters of the model.

We analysed numerically this parameter space, reproducing the current data on neutrino physics for sterile neutrino masses in the range $6 \text{ keV} < m_{\nu_s} < 40 \text{ keV}$. All the points

obtained fulfil also collider constraints coming from the Higgs sector. Besides, for this range of masses the relevant decay mode $\nu_s \rightarrow \nu\nu\nu$ gives rise to a lifetime for the sterile neutrino which lies between about $\tau \sim 10^{24} - 10^{27}$ s, longer than the age of the Universe ($\sim 10^{17}$ s). Therefore, it is compatible with a DM particle. As shown in Fig. 5, only specific values of the relevant parameter λ_2 , which couples the Higgses with the sterile neutrino, are in agreement with the bounds imposed by the relic density and X-ray observations (because of the radiative decay channel $\nu_s \rightarrow \nu\gamma$) corresponding to the range of masses $m_{\nu_s} \sim 10 - 15$ keV.

On the other hand, the whole mass range of Fig. 5 for appropriate values of λ_2 , and also larger masses, can be in agreement with X-ray observations if one allows a value of the relic density below the observational bound. In this case, the presence of other DM candidates is mandatory. We also checked that it is possible to find points in the 100 keV–1 MeV region, still with the lifetime of the sterile neutrino longer than the age of the Universe. In this region the current experimental constraints on the active-sterile mixing angle are also fulfilled. Finally, we searched for viable parameters giving sterile neutrino masses above the MeV scale, where this particle cannot be a DM candidate, finding compatibility with the experimental constraints.

Acknowledgments

CM thanks Werner Porod for useful comments. PK thanks Essodjolo Kpatcha for help with code implementation. The work of PK and DL was supported by the Argentinian CONICET, and they also acknowledge the support through PIP11220170100154CO and PICT 2020-02181. The research of CM was partially supported by the Spanish Research Agency (AEI) through the grants IFT Centro de Excelencia Severo Ochoa No CEX2020-001007-S, PGC2018-095161-B-I00, and PID2021-125331NB-I00. They are funded by MCIN/AEI/10.13039/501100011033, and the second grant also by ERDF "A way of making Europe".

A Appendix

RPV in the $\mu\nu$ SSM is related to neutrino physics, and as a consequence the one loop diagrams of the process $\nu_s \rightarrow \nu\gamma$ are suppressed by small Yukawas and/or small admixtures. The dominant ones were discussed in Fig. 2, and those in Figs. 3 and 4 are more suppressed. For completeness we discuss here those that follow in relevance. They are shown in Fig. 6.

As we can deduce from the figure, there are two mixing elements present. One concerns the incoming sterile neutrino mixing with a higgsino, which in turn decays to $q\tilde{q}$. This mixing is $\sim 10^{-7}$. The second one concerns an outgoing higgsino mixing with an active neutrino, which can be even smaller down to $\sim 10^{-11}$.

Finally, although in this work we have assumed CP conservation for simplicity, it is worth noting that all CP-violating contributions are suppressed similarly to those contributions in Fig. 4. They are shown in Fig. 7.




Figure 6: Radiative decay of a sterile neutrino to an active neutrino and a photon via quark/squark loops, with $j, k, h = 1, 2, 3$.




Figure 7: Radiative decay of a sterile neutrino to an active neutrino and a photon via pseudoscalars.

References

- [1] H. P. Nilles, “Supersymmetry, Supergravity and Particle Physics,” *Phys. Rept.* **110** (1984) 1–162.
- [2] R. Barbieri, “Looking Beyond the Standard Model: The Supersymmetric Option,” *Riv. Nuovo Cim.* **11N4** (1988) 1–45.
- [3] H. E. Haber and G. L. Kane, “The Search for Supersymmetry: Probing Physics Beyond the Standard Model,” *Phys. Rept.* **117** (1985) 75–263.
- [4] J. Gunion and H. E. Haber, “Higgs Bosons in Supersymmetric Models. 1.,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **272** (1986) 1. [Erratum: *Nucl.Phys.B* 402, 567–569 (1993)].
- [5] S. P. Martin, “A Supersymmetry primer,” [arXiv:hep-ph/9709356](#) [[hep-ph](#)]. [Adv. Ser. Direct. High Energy Phys.18,1(1998)].
- [6] F. Capozzi, E. Di Valentino, E. Lisi, A. Marrone, A. Melchiorri, and A. Palazzo, “Global constraints on absolute neutrino masses and their ordering,” *Phys. Rev.* **D95** no. 9, (2017) 096014, [arXiv:1703.04471](#) [[hep-ph](#)].
- [7] P. F. de Salas, D. V. Forero, C. A. Ternes, M. Tortola, and J. W. F. Valle, “Status of neutrino oscillations 2018: 3σ hint for normal mass ordering and improved CP sensitivity,” *Phys. Lett.* **B782** (2018) 633–640, [arXiv:1708.01186](#) [[hep-ph](#)].
- [8] P. F. De Salas, S. Gariazzo, O. Mena, C. A. Ternes, and M. Tórtola, “Neutrino Mass Ordering from Oscillations and Beyond: 2018 Status and Future Prospects,” *Front. Astron. Space Sci.* **5** (2018) 36, [arXiv:1806.11051](#) [[hep-ph](#)].
- [9] I. Esteban, M. C. Gonzalez-Garcia, A. Hernandez-Cabezudo, M. Maltoni, and T. Schwetz, “Global analysis of three-flavour neutrino oscillations: synergies and tensions in the determination of θ_{23} , δ_{CP} , and the mass ordering,” *JHEP* **01** (2019) 106, [arXiv:1811.05487](#) [[hep-ph](#)].
- [10] **T2K** Collaboration, K. Abe *et al.*, “Constraint on the matter–antimatter symmetry-violating phase in neutrino oscillations,” *Nature* **580** no. 7803, (2020) 339–344, [arXiv:1910.03887](#) [[hep-ex](#)].
- [11] J. E. Kim and H. P. Nilles, “The μ Problem and the Strong CP Problem,” *Phys. Lett.* **138B** (1984) 150–154.
- [12] K. J. Bae, H. Baer, V. Barger, and D. Sengupta, “Revisiting the SUSY μ problem and its solutions in the LHC era,” *Phys. Rev. D* **99** no. 11, (2019) 115027, [arXiv:1902.10748](#) [[hep-ph](#)].
- [13] **Particle Data Group** Collaboration, R. L. Workman *et al.*, “Review of Particle Physics,” *PTEP* **2022** (2022) 083C01.
- [14] D. E. López-Fogliani and C. Muñoz, “Proposal for a supersymmetric standard model,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **97** (2006) 041801, [arXiv:hep-ph/0508297](#) [[hep-ph](#)].

- [15] N. Escudero, D. E. López-Fogliani, C. Muñoz, and R. R. de Austri, “Analysis of the parameter space and spectrum of the $\mu\nu$ SSM,” *JHEP* **12** (2008) 099, [arXiv:0810.1507 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [16] D. E. Lopez-Fogliani and C. Muñoz, “Searching for Supersymmetry: The $\mu\nu$ SSM (a short review),” *Eur. Phys. J. ST* **229** no. 21, (2020) 3263–3301, [arXiv:2009.01380 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [17] T. Biekötter, S. Heinemeyer, and G. Weiglein, “Vacuum (meta-)stability in the $\mu\nu$ SSM,” *Eur. Phys. J. C* **82** no. 4, (2022) 301, [arXiv:2112.12132 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [18] P. Ghosh and S. Roy, “Neutrino masses and mixing, lightest neutralino decays and a solution to the μ problem in supersymmetry,” *JHEP* **04** (2009) 069, [arXiv:0812.0084 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [19] A. Bartl, M. Hirsch, A. Vicente, S. Liebler, and W. Porod, “LHC phenomenology of the $\mu\nu$ SSM,” *JHEP* **05** (2009) 120, [arXiv:0903.3596 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [20] J. Fidalgo, D. E. López-Fogliani, C. Muñoz, and R. R. de Austri, “Neutrino physics and spontaneous CP violation in the $\mu\nu$ SSM,” *JHEP* **08** (2009) 105, [arXiv:0904.3112 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [21] P. Ghosh, P. Dey, B. Mukhopadhyaya, and S. Roy, “Radiative contribution to neutrino masses and mixing in $\mu\nu$ SSM,” *JHEP* **05** (2010) 087, [arXiv:1002.2705 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [22] S. Liebler and W. Porod, “On-shell renormalization of neutralino and chargino mass matrices in R-parity violating models - Correlation between LSP decays and neutrino mixing angles revisited,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **855** (2012) 774–800, [arXiv:1106.2921 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [23] D. J. H. Chung and A. J. Long, “Electroweak Phase Transition in the $\mu\nu$ SSM,” *Phys. Rev.* **D81** (2010) 123531, [arXiv:1004.0942 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [24] M. Maniatis, “The Next-to-Minimal Supersymmetric extension of the Standard Model reviewed,” *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **25** (2010) 3505–3602, [arXiv:0906.0777 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [25] U. Ellwanger, C. Hugonie, and A. M. Teixeira, “The Next-to-Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model,” *Phys. Rept.* **496** (2010) 1–77, [arXiv:0910.1785 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [26] P. Ghosh, I. Lara, D. E. López-Fogliani, C. Muñoz, and R. Ruiz de Austri, “Searching for left sneutrino LSP at the LHC,” *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **33** no. 18n19, (2018) 1850110, [arXiv:1707.02471 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [27] I. Lara, D. E. López-Fogliani, C. Muñoz, N. Nagata, H. Otono, and R. Ruiz De Austri, “Looking for the left sneutrino LSP with displaced-vertex searches,” *Phys. Rev.* **D98** no. 7, (2018) 075004, [arXiv:1804.00067 \[hep-ph\]](#).

- [28] I. Lara, D. E. López-Fogliani, and C. Muñoz, “Electroweak superpartners scrutinized at the LHC in events with multi-leptons,” *Phys. Lett.* **B790** (2019) 176–183, [arXiv:1810.12455 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [29] E. Kpatcha, I. , D. E. López-Fogliani, C. Muñoz, N. Nagata, H. Otono, and R. Ruiz De Austri, “Sampling the $\mu\nu$ SSM for displaced decays of the tau left sneutrino LSP at the LHC,” *Eur. Phys. J. C* **79** no. 11, (2019) 934, [arXiv:1907.02092 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [30] E. Kpatcha, I. Lara, D. E. López-Fogliani, C. Muñoz, and N. Nagata, “Explaining muon $g - 2$ data in the $\mu\nu$ SSM,” *Eur. Phys. J. C* **81** no. 2, (2021) 154, [arXiv:1912.04163 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [31] S. Heinemeyer, E. Kpatcha, I. Lara, D. E. López-Fogliani, C. Muñoz, and N. Nagata, “The new $(g - 2)_\mu$ result and the $\mu\nu$ SSM,” *Eur. Phys. J. C* **81** no. 9, (2021) 802, [arXiv:2104.03294 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [32] E. Kpatcha, I. Lara, D. E. López-Fogliani, C. Muñoz, N. Nagata, and H. Otono, “Searching for stop LSP at the LHC,” *Eur. Phys. J. C* **82** no. 3, (2022) 261, [arXiv:2111.13212 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [33] R. Barbier *et al.*, “R-parity violating supersymmetry,” *Phys. Rept.* **420** (2005) 1–202, [arXiv:hep-ph/0406039 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [34] K.-Y. Choi, D. E. Lopez-Fogliani, C. Muñoz, and R. R. de Austri, “Gamma-ray detection from gravitino dark matter decay in the $\mu\nu$ SSM,” *JCAP* **03** (2010) 028, [arXiv:0906.3681 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [35] G. A. Gomez-Vargas, M. Fornasa, F. Zandanel, A. J. Cuesta, C. Muñoz, F. Prada, and G. Yepes, “CLUES on Fermi-LAT prospects for the extragalactic detection of $\mu\nu$ SSM gravitino dark matter,” *JCAP* **02** (2012) 001, [arXiv:1110.3305 \[astro-ph.HE\]](#).
- [36] A. Albert, G. Gomez-Vargas, M. Grefe, C. Muñoz, C. Weniger, *et al.*, “Search for 100 MeV to 10 GeV γ -ray lines in the Fermi-LAT data and implications for gravitino dark matter in $\mu\nu$ SSM,” *JCAP* **10** (2014) 023, [arXiv:1406.3430 \[astro-ph.HE\]](#).
- [37] G. Gomez-Vargas, D. E. Lopez-Fogliani, C. Muñoz, A. D. Perez, and R. R. de Austri, “Search for sharp and smooth spectral signatures of $\mu\nu$ SSM gravitino dark matter with Fermi-LAT,” *JCAP* **1703** (2017) no.03, 047, [arXiv:1608.08640 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [38] G. A. Gómez-Vargas, D. E. López-Fogliani, C. Muñoz, and A. D. Perez, “MeV-GeV γ -ray telescopes probing gravitino LSP with coexisting axino NLSP as dark matter in the $\mu\nu$ SSM,” *Astropart. Phys.* **125** (2021) 102506, [arXiv:1911.08550 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [39] G. A. Gómez-Vargas, D. E. López-Fogliani, C. Muñoz, and A. D. Perez, “MeV-GeV γ -ray telescopes probing axino LSP/gravitino NLSP as dark matter in the $\mu\nu$ SSM,” *JCAP* **2001** no. 01, (2020) 058, [arXiv:1911.03191 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [40] C. Muñoz, “Dark matter detection in the light of recent experimental results,” *Int.J.Mod.Phys.* **A19** (2004) 3093–3170, [arXiv:hep-ph/0309346 \[hep-ph\]](#).

- [41] D. G. Cerdeno, C. Muñoz, and O. Seto, “Right-handed sneutrino as thermal dark matter,” *Phys. Rev. D* **79** (2009) 023510, [arXiv:0807.3029 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [42] D. E. Lopez-Fogliani, A. D. Perez, and R. R. de Austri, “Dark matter candidates in the NMSSM with RH neutrino superfields,” *JCAP* **04** (2021) 067, [arXiv:2102.08986 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [43] M. Drewes *et al.*, “A White Paper on keV Sterile Neutrino Dark Matter,” *JCAP* **01** (2017) 025, [arXiv:1602.04816 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [44] S. Dodelson and L. M. Widrow, “Sterile-neutrinos as dark matter,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **72** (1994) 17–20, [arXiv:hep-ph/9303287](#).
- [45] A. Boyarsky, M. Drewes, T. Lasserre, S. Mertens, and O. Ruchayskiy, “Sterile neutrino Dark Matter,” *Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys.* **104** (2019) 1–45, [arXiv:1807.07938 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [46] X.-D. Shi and G. M. Fuller, “A New dark matter candidate: Nonthermal sterile neutrinos,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **82** (1999) 2832–2835, [arXiv:astro-ph/9810076](#).
- [47] P. D. Serpico and G. G. Raffelt, “Lepton asymmetry and primordial nucleosynthesis in the era of precision cosmology,” *Phys. Rev. D* **71** (2005) 127301, [arXiv:astro-ph/0506162](#).
- [48] J. F. Cherry and S. Horiuchi, “Closing in on Resonantly Produced Sterile Neutrino Dark Matter,” *Phys. Rev. D* **95** no. 8, (2017) 083015, [arXiv:1701.07874 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [49] K. Perez, K. C. Y. Ng, J. F. Beacom, C. Hersh, S. Horiuchi, and R. Krivonos, “Almost closing the ν MSM sterile neutrino dark matter window with NuSTAR,” *Phys. Rev. D* **95** no. 12, (2017) 123002, [arXiv:1609.00667 \[astro-ph.HE\]](#).
- [50] K. C. Y. Ng, B. M. Roach, K. Perez, J. F. Beacom, S. Horiuchi, R. Krivonos, and D. R. Wik, “New Constraints on Sterile Neutrino Dark Matter from *NuSTAR* M31 Observations,” *Phys. Rev. D* **99** (2019) 083005, [arXiv:1901.01262 \[astro-ph.HE\]](#).
- [51] B. M. Roach, S. Rossland, K. C. Y. Ng, K. Perez, J. F. Beacom, B. W. Grefenstette, S. Horiuchi, R. Krivonos, and D. R. Wik, “Long-Exposure NuSTAR Constraints on Decaying Dark Matter in the Galactic Halo,” [arXiv:2207.04572 \[astro-ph.HE\]](#).
- [52] K. N. Abazajian, “Sterile neutrinos in cosmology,” *Phys. Rept.* **711-712** (2017) 1–28, [arXiv:1705.01837 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [53] H. E. Haber and D. Wyler, “Radiative neutralino decay,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **323** (1989) 267–310.
- [54] A. Boyarsky, O. Ruchayskiy, and M. Shaposhnikov, “The Role of sterile neutrinos in cosmology and astrophysics,” *Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.* **59** (2009) 191–214, [arXiv:0901.0011 \[hep-ph\]](#).

- [55] A. Boyarsky, A. Neronov, O. Ruchayskiy, and M. Shaposhnikov, “Constraints on sterile neutrino as a dark matter candidate from the diffuse x-ray background,” *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **370** (2006) 213–218, [arXiv:astro-ph/0512509](#).
- [56] K. Abazajian, G. M. Fuller, and W. H. Tucker, “Direct detection of warm dark matter in the X-ray,” *Astrophys. J.* **562** (2001) 593–604, [arXiv:astro-ph/0106002](#).
- [57] E. Bulbul, M. Markevitch, A. Foster, R. K. Smith, M. Loewenstein, and S. W. Randall, “Detection of An Unidentified Emission Line in the Stacked X-ray spectrum of Galaxy Clusters,” *Astrophys. J.* **789** (2014) 13, [arXiv:1402.2301 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#).
- [58] S. Horiuchi, P. J. Humphrey, J. Onorbe, K. N. Abazajian, M. Kaplinghat, and S. Garrison-Kimmel, “Sterile neutrino dark matter bounds from galaxies of the Local Group,” *Phys. Rev. D* **89** no. 2, (2014) 025017, [arXiv:1311.0282 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#).
- [59] A. Boyarsky, D. Iakubovskyi, O. Ruchayskiy, and V. Savchenko, “Constraints on decaying Dark Matter from XMM-Newton observations of M31,” *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **387** (2008) 1361, [arXiv:0709.2301 \[astro-ph\]](#).
- [60] A. Boyarsky, D. Malyshev, A. Neronov, and O. Ruchayskiy, “Constraining DM properties with SPI,” *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **387** (2008) 1345, [arXiv:0710.4922 \[astro-ph\]](#).
- [61] C. R. Watson, Z.-Y. Li, and N. K. Polley, “Constraining Sterile Neutrino Warm Dark Matter with Chandra Observations of the Andromeda Galaxy,” *JCAP* **03** (2012) 018, [arXiv:1111.4217 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#).
- [62] K. N. Abazajian, M. Markevitch, S. M. Koushiappas, and R. C. Hickox, “Limits on the Radiative Decay of Sterile Neutrino Dark Matter from the Unresolved Cosmic and Soft X-ray Backgrounds,” *Phys. Rev. D* **75** (2007) 063511, [arXiv:astro-ph/0611144](#).
- [63] A. Boyarsky, A. Neronov, O. Ruchayskiy, and M. Shaposhnikov, “Restrictions on parameters of sterile neutrino dark matter from observations of galaxy clusters,” *Phys. Rev. D* **74** (2006) 103506, [arXiv:astro-ph/0603368](#).
- [64] A. Boyarsky, J. Nevalainen, and O. Ruchayskiy, “Constraints on the parameters of radiatively decaying dark matter from the dark matter halo of the Milky Way and Ursa Minor,” *Astron. Astrophys.* **471** (2007) 51–57, [arXiv:astro-ph/0610961](#).
- [65] M. Loewenstein, A. Kusenko, and P. L. Biermann, “New Limits on Sterile Neutrinos from Suzaku Observations of the Ursa Minor Dwarf Spheroidal Galaxy,” *Astrophys. J.* **700** (2009) 426–435, [arXiv:0812.2710 \[astro-ph\]](#).
- [66] M. Loewenstein and A. Kusenko, “Dark Matter Search Using Chandra Observations of Willman 1, and a Spectral Feature Consistent with a Decay Line of a 5 keV Sterile Neutrino,” *Astrophys. J.* **714** (2010) 652–662, [arXiv:0912.0552 \[astro-ph.HE\]](#).
- [67] D. Malyshev, A. Neronov, and D. Eckert, “Constraints on 3.55 keV line emission from stacked observations of dwarf spheroidal galaxies,” *Phys. Rev. D* **90** (2014) 103506, [arXiv:1408.3531 \[astro-ph.HE\]](#).

- [68] A. Boyarsky, O. Ruchayskiy, and M. Markevitch, “Constraints on parameters of radiatively decaying dark matter from the galaxy cluster 1E0657-56,” *Astrophys. J.* **673** (2008) 752–757, [arXiv:astro-ph/0611168](#).
- [69] K. C. Y. Ng, S. Horiuchi, J. M. Gaskins, M. Smith, and R. Preece, “Improved Limits on Sterile Neutrino Dark Matter using Full-Sky Fermi Gamma-Ray Burst Monitor Data,” *Phys. Rev. D* **92** no. 4, (2015) 043503, [arXiv:1504.04027 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#).
- [70] J. W. Foster, M. Kongsore, C. Dessert, Y. Park, N. L. Rodd, K. Cranmer, and B. R. Safdi, “Deep Search for Decaying Dark Matter with XMM-Newton Blank-Sky Observations,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **127** no. 5, (2021) 051101, [arXiv:2102.02207 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#).
- [71] F. Staub, “SARAH 4 : A tool for (not only SUSY) model builders,” *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **185** (2014) 1773, [arXiv:1309.7223 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [72] W. Porod, “SPheno, a program for calculating supersymmetric spectra, SUSY particle decays and SUSY particle production at e^+e^- colliders,” *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **153** (2003) 275, [arXiv:hep-ph/0301101 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [73] W. Porod and F. Staub, “SPheno 3.1: Extensions including flavour, CP-phases and models beyond the MSSM,” *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **183** (2012) 2458–2469, [arXiv:1104.1573 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [74] P. Bechtle, O. Brein, S. Heinemeyer, G. Weiglein, and K. E. Williams, “HiggsBounds: Confronting Arbitrary Higgs Sectors with Exclusion Bounds from LEP and the Tevatron,” *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **181** (2010) 138, [arXiv:0811.4169 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [75] P. Bechtle, O. Brein, S. Heinemeyer, G. Weiglein, and K. E. Williams, “HiggsBounds 2.0.0: Confronting Neutral and Charged Higgs Sector Predictions with Exclusion Bounds from LEP and the Tevatron,” *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **182** (2011) 2605, [arXiv:1102.1898 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [76] P. Bechtle, O. Brein, S. Heinemeyer, O. Stal, T. Stefaniak, G. Weiglein, and K. Williams, “Recent Developments in HiggsBounds and a Preview of HiggsSignals,” *PoS CHARGED2012* (2012) 024, [arXiv:1301.2345 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [77] P. Bechtle, O. Brein, S. Heinemeyer, O. Stal, T. Stefaniak, G. Weiglein, and K. E. Williams, “HiggsBounds – 4: Improved Tests of Extended Higgs Sectors against Exclusion Bounds from LEP, the Tevatron and the LHC,” *Eur. Phys. J.* **C74** no. 3, (2014) 2693, [arXiv:1311.0055 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [78] P. Bechtle, S. Heinemeyer, O. Stal, T. Stefaniak, and G. Weiglein, “Applying Exclusion Likelihoods from LHC Searches to Extended Higgs Sectors,” *Eur. Phys. J.* **C75** no. 9, (2015) 421, [arXiv:1507.06706 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [79] P. Bechtle, D. Dercks, S. Heinemeyer, T. Klingl, T. Stefaniak, G. Weiglein, and J. Wittbrodt, “HiggsBounds-5: Testing Higgs Sectors in the LHC 13 TeV Era,” *Eur. Phys. J. C* **80** no. 12, (2020) 1211, [arXiv:2006.06007 \[hep-ph\]](#).

- [80] P. Bechtle, S. Heinemeyer, O. Stal, T. Stefaniak, and G. Weiglein, “*HiggsSignals*: Confronting arbitrary Higgs sectors with measurements at the Tevatron and the LHC,” *Eur. Phys. J. C* **74** no. 2, (2014) 2711, [arXiv:1305.1933 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [81] O. Stal and T. Stefaniak, “Constraining extended Higgs sectors with HiggsSignals,” *PoS EPS-HEP2013* (2013) 314, [arXiv:1310.4039 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [82] P. Bechtle, S. Heinemeyer, O. Stal, T. Stefaniak, and G. Weiglein, “Probing the Standard Model with Higgs signal rates from the Tevatron, the LHC and a future ILC,” *JHEP* **11** (2014) 039, [arXiv:1403.1582 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [83] P. Bechtle, S. Heinemeyer, T. Klingl, T. Stefaniak, G. Weiglein, and J. Wittbrodt, “HiggsSignals-2: Probing new physics with precision Higgs measurements in the LHC 13 TeV era,” *Eur. Phys. J. C* **81** no. 2, (2021) 145, [arXiv:2012.09197 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [84] R. Laha, J. B. Muñoz, and T. R. Slatyer, “INTEGRAL constraints on primordial black holes and particle dark matter,” *Phys. Rev. D* **101** no. 12, (2020) 123514, [arXiv:2004.00627 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#).
- [85] D. A. Bryman and R. Shrock, “Constraints on Sterile Neutrinos in the MeV to GeV Mass Range,” *Phys. Rev. D* **100** (2019) 073011, [arXiv:1909.11198 \[hep-ph\]](#).