

# Noise correlations behind superdiffusive quantum walks

Graça R. M. de Almeida, N. Amaral, A. R. C. Buarque and W. S. Dias  
*Instituto de Física, Universidade Federal de Alagoas, 57072-900 Maceió, Alagoas, Brazil.*

We study how discrete-time quantum walks behave under short-range correlated noise. By considering noise as a source of inhomogeneity of quantum gates, we introduce a primitive relaxation in the uncorrelated stochastic noise assumption: binary pair correlations manifesting in the random distribution. Considering different quantum gates, we examined the transport properties for both spatial and temporal noise regimes. For spatial inhomogeneities, we show noise correlations driving quantum walks from the well-known exponentially localized condition to superdiffusive spreading. This scenario displays an intriguing performance in which the superdiffusive exponent is almost invariant to the inhomogeneity degree. The time-asymptotic regime and the finite-size scaling also unveil an emergent superdiffusive behavior for quantum walks undergoing temporal noise correlation, replacing the diffusive regime exhibited when noise is random and uncorrelated. However, results report some quantum gates insensitive to correlations, contrasting with the spatial noise scenario. Results and following discussions help us understand the underlying mechanism of superdiffusive quantum walks, including those with deterministic aperiodic inhomogeneities.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Dynamical aspects of particles in discrete systems are among the fundamental issues in physics, the application of which extends to a wide variety of systems such as behavioral macroeconomics [1], image segmentation [2], animal dynamics [3, 4], computer science [5], evolutionary ecology [6, 7] and thermal conductivity of nanofluids [8, 9]. The emergence of quantum walks further extends its importance, whether through a universal model for quantum computing [10–13] and the development of new quantum algorithms [14] but also for providing a versatile and highly controllable platform to describe quantum systems [15–21].

Designing and controlling such quantum processes for long-time dynamics is essential, with noise among the principal obstacles [22–24]. Quantum error-correcting methods [25, 26] and fault-tolerant protocols [27, 28] have pointed to the need for a better understanding of the noise nature of the system. Thus, ingredients that symbolize interaction between system and environment have been studied. In general, noise drives the discrete-time quantum walk at a slower spreading rate in the long-time limit. White noise fluctuations on the time evolution operator usually lead to a diffusive wave-function spreading [19, 29–32], while an arbitrary spatial inhomogeneity is responsible for a localized behavior [19, 20, 33–35]. Studies also contemplate the coexistence of both scenarios, wherein the diffusive behavior over a long time limit has been documented [36, 37]. The consequences of an instantaneous stochastic noise over the quantum walk stability have been recently reported, where a maximally coherent initial state achieves breathing dynamics or even a standing self-focusing in a long-time regime [38].

Noise correlations have attracted significant attention by quantum characterization, verification, and validation techniques [39–42]. This aspect has also been considered by quantum walks, with reports of significant changes in the walk profile. For example, we observe a quantum walker exhibiting a superdiffusive spreading in one-

dimensional systems where quantum gates follow aperiodic time-dependent sequences, such as Fibonacci [43, 44] and Thue-Morse [44]. Conversely, systems where quantum gates are temporally controlled based on the Rudin-Shapiro distribution exhibit a nearly diffusive behavior [44]. The observed behavior in the system utilizing Fibonacci temporal sequencing is connected with the power-law decay of the time-correlation function of the trace map [45].

The nonstochastic scenario has also been explored in the spatial framework for different quantum gates distributed along the lattice sites and for systems with position-dependent phase defects. Aperiodic Fibonacci and Thue-Morse sequencing show a superdiffusive spreading, either embedded into the quantum gate distribution [44] or the step operator, where the allowed jumps symbolize connections between non-neighboring quantum gates [47]. We observe spatial localization of quantum walker for systems in which quantum gates are distributed analogous to the Aubry-André model [48] and the Rudin-Shapiro ordering [44]. Transitions between localized and delocalized spreading were reported for systems with quantum gates following spatial aperiodicity [49] and systems with long-range correlations encoded as static phase disorder in the conditional shift operator [50]. Long-range correlations in systems with inhomogeneous space and time space and time have shown a wide range of dynamic regimes, from localized to ballistic spreading [51].

The reports illustrate how studies into inhomogeneous quantum walks focus on uncorrelated heterogeneities, which could arise from a stochastic noise, and heterogeneities following deterministic sequences or exhibiting long-range correlations. Less extreme and more realistic scenarios need further understanding. How do discrete-time quantum walks behave under short-range correlated noises? In this paper, we examine the impact of a primitive relaxation in uncorrelated stochastic noise assumption: the emergence of binary pair-correlated in the random distribution. Let us consider a homogeneous lat-

tice with quantum gates  $\hat{C}_{n,t}(\theta_1)$ , in which a general noise process deviates some quantum gates from their ideal operation, leading them to effectively behave like  $\hat{C}_{n,t}(\theta_2)$ . We explored both the spatial and the temporal inhomogeneous scenarios, in which we assume discrete-time quantum walks effectively ruled by two distinct quantum gates, just like in Refs. [37, 43, 44]. We show noise correlations driving quantum walks with spatial inhomogeneities from the well-known exponentially localized (stochastic and uncorrelated noise) to the superdiffusive spreading. This scenario displays an exciting performance in which the superdiffusive exponent is almost unvarying to the inhomogeneity degree  $\Delta\theta$ . A superdiffusive asymptotic behavior is also reported for quantum walks undergoing temporal noise correlation, contrasting with the diffusive regime exhibited when noise is random and uncorrelated. However, results show the superdiffusive spreading unreachable for specific quantum gate settings.

## II. MODEL

We consider quantum walks in one-dimensional lattices of interconnected sites. The walker consists of a qubit (two-state quantum system) with an internal degree of freedom. Thus, the quantum walker state  $|\Psi\rangle$  belongs to a Hilbert space  $H = H_P \otimes H_C$ , where  $H_C$  is a complex vector space of dimension two associated with the internal degree of freedom, here spanned in the basis  $\{|\uparrow\rangle, |\downarrow\rangle\}$ . The position Hilbert space  $H_P$  is spanned by the basis  $\{|n\rangle\}$  with the lattice nodes  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Each step of evolution consists of quantum gates  $\hat{C}_{n,t}$  located in the lattice sites, which act on the quantum walker and shuffle its internal state. Such a state establishes the spatial redistribution to be performed by the shift operator  $\hat{S}$ . Thus, starting from an initial state  $|\Psi_{t=0}\rangle$ , the dynamical evolution is accomplished by recursively applying the unitary transformation  $|\Psi_{t+1}\rangle = \hat{U}|\Psi_t\rangle$ , with  $\hat{U} = \hat{S} \cdot (\hat{C}_{n,t} \otimes I_P)$ .

An arbitrary quantum walker state in the  $t$ -th time step is written as

$$|\Psi_t\rangle = \sum_n (\psi_{n,t}^\uparrow |\uparrow\rangle + \psi_{n,t}^\downarrow |\downarrow\rangle) \otimes |n\rangle, \quad (1)$$

in which  $\psi_{n,t}^\uparrow$  and  $\psi_{n,t}^\downarrow$  are complex amplitudes that satisfy  $\sum_n |\psi_{n,t}^\uparrow|^2 + |\psi_{n,t}^\downarrow|^2 = 1$ . Quantum gates  $\hat{C}_{n,t}$ , the ones responsible for mixing the qubit internal degree of freedom, are arbitrary SU(2) unitary operators given by

$$\hat{C}_{n,t} = \cos[\theta_{n,t}] \hat{Z} + \sin[\theta_{n,t}] \hat{X}, \quad (2)$$

with  $\theta_{n,t} \in [0, 2\pi]$ .  $\hat{Z}, \hat{X}$  are the Pauli matrices and  $I_P$  describes the identity operator in space of positions. The stepping of the quantum walker to the left and right is achieved by using the shift operator  $\hat{S}$ , given as

$$\hat{S} = \sum_n (|n+1\rangle\langle n| \otimes |\uparrow\rangle\langle\uparrow| + |n-1\rangle\langle n| \otimes |\downarrow\rangle\langle\downarrow|). \quad (3)$$




FIG. 1. Schematic illustration of spatial and temporal random noise scenarios, in which a general noise process  $\mathcal{D}$  deviates some quantum gates from their ideal operation, leading them to effectively behave like  $\hat{C}_{n,t}(\theta_2) = \mathcal{D}\hat{C}_{n,t}(\theta_1)$ . The main features of the quantum walker spreading are described by considering the characteristic power-law behavior of standard deviation  $\sigma(t) \sim t^\alpha$ .

It is well-known that such quantum walk protocol, with single and steady quantum gates, provides the asymptotical behavior of ballistic spreading (except for  $\theta = \pi/2$  for which the particle remains confined). This scenario is modified by disturbances on the quantum gates [21]. Consider a homogeneous lattice with quantum gates  $\hat{C}_{n,t}(\theta_1)$ , in which a general noise process  $\mathcal{D}$  deviates some quantum gates from their ideal operation, leading them to effectively behave like  $\hat{C}_{n,t}(\theta_2) = \mathcal{D}\hat{C}_{n,t}(\theta_1)$ . Such error processes can be unitary, arising from over- or under-rotation in qubit control pulses [52]. By assuming a local noise, where interferences act randomly and independently on individual quantum gates located along the lattice positions, we effectively deal with a spatial inhomogeneity since distinct quantum gates are distributed at each position  $n$ . By considering such spatial arrangement as temporally persistent, the resulting quantum walk is localized for any  $\hat{C}_n(\theta_2)$  other than  $\hat{C}_n(\theta_1)$  [19, 20]. On the other hand, when all quantum gates simultaneously feel the same disturbances, but in randomly specified time steps along the time evolution, results report a diffusive spreading for any  $\hat{C}_t(\theta_2)$  other than  $\hat{C}_t(\theta_1)$  [37]. Fig. 1 shows a schematic illustration of both scenarios and their corresponding features of quantum walker spreading. Localized, diffusive, sub-ballistic, and ballistic regimes are identified by considering the characteristic power-law behavior  $\sigma(t) \sim t^\alpha$  of the standard deviation

$$\sigma(t) = \sqrt{\langle n^2(t) \rangle - \langle n(t) \rangle^2}, \quad (4)$$

where  $\langle n^2(t) \rangle = \sum_n n^2 |\Psi_n(t)|^2$  and  $\langle n(t) \rangle = \sum_n n |\Psi_n(t)|^2$ .

Here, we introduce a minimally biased noise model exhibiting a short-range correlation: the emergence of binary pairs. Just as in Ref. [37, 43, 44], we assume only two quantum coins are involved. We set  $\hat{C}(\theta_1)$  according to the percentage  $p = 0.5$  and  $\hat{C}(\theta_2)$  to  $(1 - p)$ , with  $\hat{C}(\theta_2)$  appearing in pairs. Quantum gates are fixed during the time evolution for a spatial-dependent noise scenario. However, their randomly drawn at each site has the constraint that  $\hat{C}(\theta_2)$  always appear in pairs (adjacent sites). For time-dependent noise, in which quantum gates are the same at all lattice sites but randomly change at each time step, we assume the constraint of  $\hat{C}(\theta_2)$  appearing in temporal dimers (two consecutive time steps).

The initial state of the quantum walker can be written as

$$|\Psi_{t=0}\rangle = \sum_n \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|\uparrow\rangle + i|\downarrow\rangle) \otimes |n_0\rangle, \quad (5)$$

in which the initial position  $n_0$  of the quantum walker is defined in the central site of the lattice. We consider open chains as the boundary condition throughout the analysis, with large enough lattice sizes so that the wave function does not reach the edges over the time course described. Due to its stochastic nature, we establish an ensemble of fifty subsequent and independent quantum walks to evaluate its average behavior.

### III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### A. Spatial noise

Using the numerical method described above, we start by examining the weight of the proposed correlation over the asymptotic behavior of the quantum walker. In Fig. 2, we show a snapshot of average wave-function profiles, taking as reference the scenario of quantum gates arranged randomly and independently. We set the binary pair (BP) correlated  $\theta_2$  as Hadamard quantum gates and  $\theta_1 = \pi/3$ . In the absence of correlations, we observe the probability distribution of the quantum walker strictly around the initial position. Wave-function profile exhibiting an exponential decay exposes a signature of Anderson localization, which corroborates the Refs. [19, 20, 53]. A distinct scenario is described by systems with binary pair correlations since the wave function is no longer concentrated around the starting position. Now, exponentially decaying tails give way to wave-packet fronts exhibiting sharp cutoff, suggesting a delocalized spreading regime.

To better understand the previous results, we follow the time evolution of the wave-function spreading by using the standard deviation  $\sigma(t)$ , defined in Eq. 4. Its characteristic power-law behavior  $\sigma(t) \sim t^\alpha$  quantifies the spreading properties of wave-function, as the ballistic ( $\alpha = 1.0$ ), the diffusive ( $\alpha = 0.5$ ) and the localized ( $\alpha = 0.0$ ) behavior. In Fig. 3a, we explore the same




FIG. 2. Average wave function after 3000 time-steps for a quantum walker subjected to uncorrelated (red circles) and spatially correlated noise (blue squares). In the absence of correlations, the quantum walker's profile exhibits a signature of Anderson localization, characterized by exponential decay and linear fitting (the dashed line is a guide for eyes) in the semilog scaled plot. With binary pair correlations, the wave function spreads further, and the exponentially decaying tails give way to wave-packet fronts exhibiting sharp cutoff, which suggests a delocalized behavior.

$\theta$  settings used in Fig. 2, adding a noiseless quantum walk. Hadamard quantum gates are taken as a reference for binary pair correlated noise. As expected, noiseless quantum walks display a ballistic spreading, evident from the linear growth of  $\sigma(t)$  as time evolves. On the other hand, the localized behavior is verified when a random and independent spatial distribution rules  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$ . Such aspect is characterized by  $\sigma(t) \sim t^0$ . The main point is the superdiffusive spreading for quantum walks subjected to binary pair correlations, characterized by exponent  $\alpha \approx 0.74$ . This asymptotic behavior persists even with replacing the quantum gate  $\theta_1$ , from  $\pi/3$  to  $4\pi/15$  (see Fig. 3b). The initial transient is more prolonged as the error  $\Delta\theta = |\theta_1 - \theta_2|$  decreases. A supplementary analysis is shown in Fig. 3c, in which we report the wave-packet width size dependence in a long-time regime. Due to the initial transient behavior, we consider lattice sizes ranging from  $N = 10,000$  to  $N = 1,000,000$  sites and the quantum gates used before. As expected, systems with a stochastic and uncorrelated spatial ordering of quantum gates exhibit a size-independent scenario [ $\overline{\sigma}(t_\infty) \sim N^0$ ], which is a signature of the localized regime. On the other hand, the finite-size scaling for systems with binary pair-correlated spatial noise shows  $\overline{\sigma}(t_\infty) \sim N^{0.72}$ , in entire agreement with superdiffusive spreading reported before.

Results suggest the superdiffusive behavior is an intrinsic component from noise with binary pair correlations, regardless of how far  $\theta_2$  is from  $\theta_1$ . However, the question is whether such behavior prevails over other quantum gates. Could other quantum gates provide a dif-




FIG. 3. Average standard deviation of the quantum walker distribution vs. time for noiseless, random, and binary pair-correlated quantum walks. (a)  $\theta_1 = \pi/3$  and  $\theta_2 = \pi/4$  and (b)  $\theta_1 = 4\pi/15$  and  $\theta_2 = \pi/4$ . An asymptotic superdiffusive behavior emerges from the binary pair correlation, contrasting with the characteristic localized regime exhibited by quantum walks subjected to uncorrelated random noise. (c) The finite-size scaling computed for the long-time average of  $\sigma(t)$  corroborates results in (a) and (b). Data: Squares (BP Correlation) and circles (Random) with  $\theta_1 = 4\pi/15$ ; Diamond (BP Correlation) and triangle (Random) with  $\theta_1 = \pi/3$ . Dashed lines are guides for the eyes.

fusive or subdiffusive spreading? This question is answered in Fig. 4, where we explore the asymptotic exponent  $\alpha$  as a function of  $\theta_1$ , taking into account the binary pair-correlated quantum gates (a)  $\theta_2 = \pi/4$ , (b)  $\theta_2 = 4\pi/15$  and (c)  $\theta_2 = \pi/3$ . Fig. 4a extends the previous analysis to further  $\theta_1$  quantum gates and confirms a dominant superdiffusive regime for quantum walks with binary pair-correlated noise. Figs. 4b-c show the same




FIG. 4. Dependence of characteristic asymptotic exponent of standard deviation ( $\alpha$ ) computed for different quantum gates  $\theta_1$ , with (a)  $\theta_2 = \pi/4$ , (b)  $\theta_2 = 2\pi/15$  and (c)  $\theta_2 = \pi/3$ . The binary pair correlation induces a significant change in prevailing transport property, moving from exponentially localized to a super-diffusive regime. Results also show that such a scenario is not unique to Hadamard quantum gates appearing in pairs (a), as we see in (b-c).

behavior when other quantum gates play the role of binary pair-correlated. The asymptotic exponent  $\alpha$  stands approximately unvarying ( $\sim 0.73$ ) when noise is correlated, even for a considerably small  $\Delta\theta$ . On the other hand, uncorrelated inhomogeneity leads to a stagnation of the spread after an initial transient and hence a  $\sigma(t) \sim t^0$  in the asymptotic regime, in entire agreement with Ref. [19, 20, 53]. We observe exceptions for quantum gates  $\theta_1$  equivalent to the pair-correlated quantum gates  $\theta_2$ , in which the fully extended (ballistic) regime is achieved. On the other hand, employment of Pauli X quantum gates results in a localized behavior, coming from swapping the amplitudes of states  $|\uparrow\rangle$  and  $|\downarrow\rangle$  that corresponds to a negation operation.

These results can be understood when we look at the quantum gates playing the role of altering the probability of the quantum walker (qubit) moving to the right or the left. With all quantum gates identical, the system is translation invariant, and the generalized eigenfunctions are described by Bloch waves, infinitely extended

over the whole lattice. When quantum gates vary randomly in space, the system is no longer translational invariant. The spreading is inhibited by interference effects between multiple scatterings of qubit wave-function, altering the eigenfunctions to exponentially localized. A noise with random binary pair-correlation seems to develop transparent (resonant) states that emerge as if they were extended for a small finite sample and contribute to the spreading of the quantum walker. This scenario helps us understand the superdiffusive quantum walks reported for Fibonacci and Thue-Morse spatial inhomogeneities [44] since binary pairs spontaneously appear in such aperiodic sequencing. Although a similar phenomenology has been reported in electronic transport [54], we observe an absence of superdiffusive, diffusive, or localized regimes, with thresholds between them depending on the inhomogeneity degree. Our results suggest a prevailing superdiffusive scenario, asymptotically independent of  $\Delta\theta$ .

### B. Temporal noise

This section is devoted to studying walks with time-dependent varying quantum gates. We start by looking at the standard deviation (see eq. 4), establishing a comparative analysis between systems with binary pair correlations and their uncorrelated or noiseless counterparty. In Fig. 5 we evaluate the time-evolution by considering  $\theta_2 = \pi/4$  with (a)  $\theta_1 = \pi/3$  and (b)  $\theta_1 = 4\pi/15$ . We observe noiseless quantum walks displaying a ballistic behavior, characterized by power law  $\sigma(t) \sim t$ . Systems in which  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$  appear randomly and independently exhibit a diffusive character, corroborating previous studies [44]. Our analysis unveils superdiffusive quantum walks again emerging from binary pair correlations. As well as the scenario in which noise affects the spatial distribution of quantum gates, such character seems to be independent of how far  $\theta_2$  is from  $\theta_1$ , since the superdiffusive behavior is the same for  $\theta_1 - \theta_2 = \pi/12$  and  $\theta_1 - \theta_2 = \pi/60$ . This behavior is reinforced by analysis of finite-size scaling of the wave-packet width in an asymptotic regime (see Fig. 5c). By exploring lattice sizes ranging from  $N = 10,000$  to  $N = 1,000,000$  and the same quantum gates, we observe agreeing results: a diffusive character for quantum gates undergoing an uncorrelated alternation, identified by  $\bar{\sigma}(t_\infty) \sim N^{0.5}$ ; a superdiffusive behavior ( $\sim N^{0.73}$ ) for systems with binary pair correlations.

In order to know whether such superdiffusive behavior also extends when other quantum gates are involved, we examine the power-law exponent  $\alpha$  [ $\sigma(t) \sim t^\alpha$ ] as a function of  $\theta_1$ , taking into account the different dimerized quantum gates (see Fig. 6). As before, we also present data for the regimen without correlations as a reference, named random. We observed a predominant superdiffusive behavior, whether the dimerized quantum gates are (a) Hadamard, (b)  $\theta_2 = 4\pi/15$  or (c)  $\theta_2 = \pi/3$ . This




FIG. 5. Average standard deviation of the quantum walker distribution vs. time for noiseless, random, and binary pair-correlated quantum walks. (a)  $\theta_1 = \pi/3$  and  $\theta_2 = \pi/4$  and (b)  $\theta_1 = 4\pi/15$  and  $\theta_2 = \pi/4$ . An asymptotic superdiffusive behavior emerges from the binary pair correlation, contrasting with the characteristic diffusive regime exhibited by quantum walks subjected to uncorrelated random noise. (c) The finite-size scaling computed for the long-time average of  $\sigma(t)$  corroborates results in (a) and (b). Data: Squares (BP Correlation) and circles (Random) with  $\theta_1 = 4\pi/15$ ; Diamond (BP Correlation) and triangle (Random) with  $\theta_1 = \pi/3$ . Dashed lines are guides for the eyes.

scenario is present even for the Pauli-X quantum gates, whose bit-flip character between  $|\uparrow\rangle$  and  $|\downarrow\rangle$  has proved to be dominant when the noise interfered only in the spatial arrangement of the quantum gates. The characteristic asymptotic exponent  $\alpha$  is similar to that presented in the spatial noise regime ( $\sim 0.73$ ). However, we observe an anomalous behavior for  $\theta_1 \approx 2\pi/3$  and  $\theta_1 \approx 5\pi/3$ , which suggests these quantum gates are insensitive to bi-






FIG. 6. Dependence of characteristic asymptotic exponent of standard deviation ( $\alpha$ ) computed for different quantum gates  $\theta_1$ , with (a)  $\theta_2 = \pi/4$ , (b)  $\theta_2 = 2\pi/15$  and (c)  $\theta_2 = \pi/3$ . Due to binary pair correlation, the predominant transport property changes from a diffusive to a superdiffusive regime. Exceptions around  $\theta_1 = 2\pi/3$  and  $\theta_1 = 5\pi/3$  suggest such quantum gates insensitive to binary pair-correlation.

nary pair correlation.

To better characterize this unusual regime, we show in Fig. 7 a density plot of asymptotic exponent characteristic of the standard deviation ( $\alpha$ ) in a plane of  $\theta_1$  vs  $\theta_2$  quantum gates. The scenario where  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$  are identical corresponds to a noiseless system, resulting in ballistic wave function spreading. Such behavior is exhibited by  $\alpha = 1.0$  along the diagonal. To improve the clarity of the density plot, we excluded the exceptional case where both  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$  are configured as Pauli-X gates, which results in a well-known localized behavior [49, 55]. Of greater significance is the observation of the prevailing superdiffusive behavior across a wide range of quantum gates  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$ , highlighting the influence of correlated noise on wave-function spreading. However, certain combinations of quantum gates maintain the diffusive regime even in the presence of noise correlations, displaying an insensitivity to correlations. The quantum gates  $\theta_2$  at which this singular scenario emerges are contingent upon the  $\theta_1$  in consideration. This scenario points to the possibility of particular quantum gates performing as a filter




FIG. 7. Density plot illustrating the characteristic asymptotic exponent of the standard deviation ( $\alpha$ ) in the plane of  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$  quantum gates. BP correlation supports a superdiffusive regime of the wave-function spreading for most evaluated quantum gates. Notably, specific combinations of quantum gates remain unresponsive to correlation, preserving the diffusive regime despite its presence. The occurrence of this scenario at  $\theta_2$  quantum gates is contingent upon the specific value of  $\theta_1$  in question.

of correlations, which can contribute to the progress of tools and algorithms for quantum processes under noise influence [56].

#### IV. SUMMARY AND CONCLUDING REMARKS

In summary, we have studied the transport properties in discrete-time quantum walks undergoing a noise correlation. By considering a relaxation in the uncorrelated stochastic noise premiss, we thought the emergence of binary pair-correlated in the random distribution. We have explored spatial and temporal noise scenarios, always drawing a comparative analysis with systems under uncorrelated noise. The dynamics of qubit was computed from a sample mean of independent noises. In systems with spatial inhomogeneity, we observe the binary pair correlation driving the quantum walks from the exponentially localized regime (coming from the stochastic and uncorrelated noise) to superdiffusive spreading. Such behavior holds maintained regardless of the difference between quantum gates  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$ , either by analyzing the time-asymptotic regime, as well as the finite-size scaling, which has unveiled a superdiffusive exponent almost unvarying to the degree of inhomogeneity. The binary pair correlation seems to develop resonant states that favor delocalized quantum walks, even in the temporal

scenario. In such systems, the superdiffusive spreading also emerges from the binary pair correlation, taking the place of the diffusive quantum walks observed for an independent and random temporal inhomogeneity. However, some quantum gates exhibit a remarkable effect of insensitivity to correlations, which seems attractive for studying correlation filters for quantum processes [56]. Our results bring new aspects about superdiffusive quantum walks reported in aperiodic inhomogeneities since binary pairs can spontaneously appear in traditional sequences such as Fibonacci. Studying the entanglement is one of the prospects that can bring new features to recent findings reported in Ref. [46]. To conclude, recent experimental achievement in a time-multiplexing system based

on an unbalanced Mach-Zehnder interferometer with a feedback loop [57] makes us believe that the proposed scheme here is feasible for prompt implementation. Such setup has been proven capable of controlling quantum gates over space and time, designing inhomogeneities.

## V. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was partially supported by CAPES (Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal do Nível Superior), CNPq (Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico), and FAPEAL (Fundação de Apoio à Pesquisa do Estado de Alagoas).

- 
- [1] Nelson, C. R. and Plosser, C. R. *Journal of Monetary Economics* **10**(2), 139 – 162 (1982).
  - [2] Grady, L. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence* **28**(11), 1768–1783 (2006).
  - [3] Viswanathan, G. M., Afanasyev, V., Buldyrev, S. V., Murphy, E. J., Prince, P. A., and Stanley, H. E. *Nature* **381**(6581), 413–415 May (1996).
  - [4] Codling, E. A., Plank, M. J., and Benhamou, S. *J. R. Soc. Interface* **5**(11), 5813–834 (2008).
  - [5] Pons, P. and Latapy, M. In *Computer and Information Sciences - ISCIS 2005*, Yolum, p., Güngör, T., Gürgeç, F., and Özturan, C., editors, 284–293 (Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2005).
  - [6] Dieckmann, U. and Law, R. *Journal of Mathematical Biology* **34**(5), 579–612 May (1996).
  - [7] Metz, J., Nisbet, R., and Geritz, S. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* **7**(6), 198 – 202 (1992).
  - [8] Keblikinski, P., Phillpot, S., Choi, S., and Eastman, J. *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer* **45**(4), 855 – 863 (2002).
  - [9] Jang, S. P. and Choi, S. U. S. *Applied Physics Letters* **84**(21), 4316–4318 (2004).
  - [10] Aharonov, Y., Davidovich, L., and Zagury, N. *Phys. Rev. A* **48**, 1687–1690 Aug (1993).
  - [11] Childs, A. M. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102**, 180501 May (2009).
  - [12] Farhi, E. and Gutmann, S. *Phys. Rev. A* **58**, 915–928 Aug (1998).
  - [13] Lovett, N. B., Cooper, S., Everitt, M., Trevers, M., and Kendon, V. *Phys. Rev. A* **81**, 042330 Apr (2010).
  - [14] AMBAINIS, A. *International Journal of Quantum Information* **01**(04), 507–518 (2003).
  - [15] Ryan, C. A., Laforest, M., Boileau, J. C., and Laflamme, R. *Phys. Rev. A* **72**, 062317 Dec (2005).
  - [16] Dias, W. S., Nascimento, E. M., Lyra, M. L., and de Moura, F. A. B. F. *Phys. Rev. B* **76**, 155124 Oct (2007).
  - [17] Peruzzo, A., Lobino, M., Matthews, J. C. F., Matsuda, N., Politi, A., Poulios, K., Zhou, X.-Q., Lahini, Y., Ismail, N., Wörhoff, K., Bromberg, Y., Silberberg, Y., Thompson, M. G., and O’Brien, J. L. *Science* **329**(5998), 1500–1503 (2010).
  - [18] Preiss, P. M., Ma, R., Tai, M. E., Lukin, A., Rispoli, M., Zupancic, P., Lahini, Y., Islam, R., and Greiner, M. *Science* **347**(6227), 1229–1233 (2015).
  - [19] Schreiber, A., Cassemiro, K. N., Potoček, V., Gábris, A., Jex, I., and Silberhorn, C. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **106**, 180403 May (2011).
  - [20] Crespi, A., Osellame, R., Ramponi, R., Giovannetti, V., Fazio, R., Sansoni, L., Nicola, F. D., Sciarrino, F., and Mataloni, P. *nature Photonics* **7**, 322 (2013).
  - [21] Venegas-Andraca, S. E. *Quantum Information Processing* **11**(5), 1015–1106 (2012).
  - [22] Degen, C. L., Reinhard, F., and Cappellaro, P. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **89**, 035002 Jul (2017).
  - [23] Wallman, J. J. and Emerson, J. *Phys. Rev. A* **94**, 052325 Nov (2016).
  - [24] Campbell, E. T., Terhal, B. M., and Vuillot, C. *Nature* **549**(7671), 172–179 (2017).
  - [25] Shor, P. W. *Phys. Rev. A* **52**, R2493–R2496 Oct (1995).
  - [26] Steane, A. M. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **77**, 793–797 Jul (1996).
  - [27] Aharonov, D. and Ben-Or, M. In *Proceedings of the Twenty-Ninth Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing*, STOC ’97, 176–188 (Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 1997).
  - [28] Knill, E., Laflamme, R., and Zurek, W. H. *Science* **279**(5349), 342–345 (1998).
  - [29] Shapira, D., Biham, O., Bracken, A. J., and Hackett, M. *Phys. Rev. A* **68**, 062315 Dec (2003).
  - [30] Košík, J., Bužek, V., and Hillery, M. *Phys. Rev. A* **74**, 022310 Aug (2006).
  - [31] Joye, A. *Communications in Mathematical Physics* **307**(1), 65 (2011).
  - [32] Di Molfetta, G. and Debbasch, F. *Quantum Studies: Mathematics and Foundations* **3**(4), 293–311 December (2016).
  - [33] Ghosh, J. *Phys. Rev. A* **89**, 022309 Feb (2014).
  - [34] Konno, N. *Quantum Information Processing* **9**(3), 405–418 (2010).
  - [35] Wójcik, A., Luczak, T., Kurzyński, P., Grudka, A., Gdala, T., and Bednarska-Bzdęga, M. *Phys. Rev. A* **85**, 012329 Jan (2012).
  - [36] Ahlbrecht, A., Cedzich, C., Matjeschk, R., Scholz, V. B., Werner, A. H., and Werner, R. F. *Quantum Information Processing* **11**(5), 1219–1249 (2012).
  - [37] Vieira, R., Amorim, E. P. M., and Rigolin, G. *Phys. Rev. A* **89**, 042307 Apr (2014).
  - [38] Buarque, A. R. C. and Dias, W. S. *Phys. Rev. A* **103**, 042213 Apr (2021).

- [39] Bylander, J., Gustavsson, S., Yan, F., Yoshihara, F., Harrabi, K., Fitch, G., Cory, D. G., Nakamura, Y., Tsai, J.-S., and Oliver, W. D. *Nature Physics* **7**(7), 565–570 (2011).
- [40] Ball, H., Stace, T. M., Flammia, S. T., and Biercuk, M. J. *Phys. Rev. A* **93**, 022303 Feb (2016).
- [41] Mavadia, S., Edmunds, C. L., Hempel, C., Ball, H., Roy, F., Stace, T. M., and Biercuk, M. J. *npj Quantum Information* **4**(1), 7 (2018).
- [42] Cai, Z., Xu, X., and Benjamin, S. C. *npj Quantum Information* **6**(1), 17 (2020).
- [43] Ribeiro, P., Milman, P., and Mosseri, R. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93**, 190503 Nov (2004).
- [44] Lo Gullo, N., Ambarish, C. V., Busch, T., Dell’Anna, L., and Chandrashekar, C. M. *Phys. Rev. E* **96**, 012111 Jul (2017).
- [45] Romanelli, A. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications* **388**(18), 3985–3990 (2009).
- [46] Pires, M. A. and Duarte Queirós, S. M. *Scientific Reports* **11**(1), 4527 (2021).
- [47] Pires, M. A. and Queirós, S. M. D. *Phys. Rev. E* **102**, 012104 Jul (2020).
- [48] Shikano, Y. and Katsura, H. *Phys. Rev. E* **82**, 031122 Sep (2010).
- [49] Buarque, A. R. C. and Dias, W. S. *Phys. Rev. E* **100**, 032106 Sep (2019).
- [50] Mendes, C. V. C., Almeida, G. M. A., Lyra, M. L., and de Moura, F. A. B. F. *Phys. Rev. E* **99**, 022117 Feb (2019).
- [51] Buarque, A. R. C., Passos, F. S., Dias, W. S., and Raposo, E. P. *Phys. Rev. E* **107**, 064139 Jun (2023).
- [52] Kueng, R., Long, D. M., Doherty, A. C., and Flammia, S. T. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **117**, 170502 Oct (2016).
- [53] Anderson, P. W. *Phys. Rev.* **109**, 1492–1505 Mar (1958).
- [54] Dunlap, D. H., Wu, H.-L., and Phillips, P. W. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **65**, 88–91 Jul (1990).
- [55] Nielsen, M. A. and Chuan, I. L. *Quantum Computation and Quantum Information*. Cambridge University Press, (2000).
- [56] Cerfontaine, P., Hangleiter, T., and Bluhm, H. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **127**, 170403 Oct (2021).
- [57] GeraIdi, A., De, S., Laneve, A., Barkhofen, S., Sperling, J., Mataloni, P., and Silberhorn, C. *Phys. Rev. Research* **3**, 023052 Apr (2021).