

Multichannel decay: alternative derivation of the i -th channel decay probability

Francesco Giacosa

*Institute of Physics, Jan Kochanowski University,
ul. Uniwersytecka 7, 25-406, Kielce, Poland*

*Institute for Theoretical Physics, J. W. Goethe University,
Max-von-Laue-Str. 1, 60438 Frankfurt, Germany*

Abstract

In the study of decays, it is quite common that an unstable quantum state/particle has multiple distinct decay channels. In this case, besides the survival probability $p(t)$, also the probability $w_i(t)$ that the decay occurs between $(0, t)$ in the i -th channel is a relevant object. The general form of the function $w_i(t)$ was recently presented in PLB **831** (2022), 137200. Here, we provide a novel and detailed ‘joint’ derivation of both $p(t)$ and $w_i(t)$. As it is well known, $p(t)$ is not an exponential function; similarly, $w_i(t)$ is also not such. In particular, the ratio w_i/w_j (for $i \neq j$) is not a simple constant, as it would be in the exponential limit. The functions $w_i(t)$ and their mutual ratios may therefore represent a novel tool to study the non-exponential nature of the decay law.

In the study of unstable states, both in QM and in QFT, the survival probability $p(t)$ (the probability that the state formed at $t = 0$ has not decayed yet at a later time $t > 0$) is of crucial importance [1–15]. Yet, usually unstable states can decay in more than a single decay channel [16]. Then, an equally useful and relevant object is the decay probability $w_i(t)$ that the decay has occurred between 0 and $t > 0$ in a certain i -th channel. Of course, the equality

$$p(t) + \sum_{i=1}^N w_i(t) = 1 \quad (1)$$

must hold for each t , since at any given time the state has either decayed in one of the N possible channels or it is undecayed (*tertium non datur*). As it is well established, the survival probability $p(t)$ can be well approximated by an exponential expression $p(t) \simeq e^{-t/\tau}$, but the latter is not exact, as direct and indirect experimental analyses show [17–21]. Since $p(t)$ is not an exponential, it follows that the functions $w_i(t)$ are not such as well.

The explicit form for the $w_i(t)$ was recently derived in Ref. [22]. A preliminary approximate expression was previously put forward in Ref. [11]. Here, we present a novel joint determination of $p(t)$ and $w_i(t)$ that makes use of a Lippmann-Schwinger equation at the level of operators, see e.g. Ref. [23].

Let H be the Hamiltonian of a physical system that contains an unstable state $|S\rangle$. We assume that H can be split into $H = H_0 + H_{int}$ with $H_{int} = \sum_{i=1}^N H_i$, where H_i is responsible for the i -th decay channel. The ONC eigenstates of the non-interacting Hamiltonian H_0 are $\{|S\rangle, |E, i\rangle\}$: $H_0 |S\rangle = M |S\rangle$, $H_0 |E, i\rangle = E |E, i\rangle$ with $E \geq E_{th,i}$, where $E_{th,i}$ is the energy threshold of the i -th channel; here, we assume for definiteness that $E_{th,1} \leq E_{th,2} \leq \dots \leq E_{th,N}$. The ONC conditions of the underlying Hilbert space read:

$$\langle S|S\rangle = 1, \quad \langle S|E, i\rangle = 0, \quad \langle E, i|E', j\rangle = \delta_{ij}\delta(E - E'); \quad (2)$$

$$|S\rangle\langle S| + \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{E_{th,i}}^{\infty} dE |E, i\rangle\langle E, i| = 1. \quad (3)$$

The decays $|S\rangle \rightarrow |E, i\rangle$ are encoded in the matrix elements

$$\langle S|H_j|E, j\rangle = \delta_{ij} \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma_i(E)}{2\pi}} \quad (4)$$

where $\Gamma_i(E)$ is the i -th decay width which, in general, is a function of the energy (it reduces to a constant in the exponential or Breit-Wigner (BW) limit [24–26]). [Note, in Eq. (4) a sum over other d.o.f., such as spin and momenta, has been implicitly taken into account; the functions $\Gamma_i(E)$ are assumed to be known for a specific quantum system, even though this is usually not a simple task.] An explicit expression for H that fulfills the properties listed above can be written in the form of a Friedrichs-Lee Hamiltonian [27, 28] (for various applications, see Refs. [29–41] and refs. therein):

$$H = H_0 + H_{int}, \quad (5)$$

with

$$H_0 = M |S\rangle\langle S| + \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{E_{i,th}}^{\infty} dE |E, i\rangle\langle E, i|, \quad H_{int} = \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{E_{i,th}}^{\infty} dE \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma_i(E)}{2\pi}} (|E, i\rangle\langle S| + |S\rangle\langle E, i|). \quad (6)$$

Note, H represents actually an infinite class of models, since it depends on the functions $\Gamma_i(E)$.

The quantity $U(t) = e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}Ht}$ is the well-known time evolution operator. In our case, we are interested in the evaluation of the survival probability amplitude and the i -th channel decay amplitude:

$$\langle S|U(t)|S\rangle, \quad \langle E, i|U(t)|S\rangle. \quad (7)$$

In order to accomplish it, let us introduce the operator $F(t)$ (F for ‘future’) as

$$F(t) = \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dE \frac{e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}Et}}{E - H + i\varepsilon} = \begin{cases} U(t) & \text{for } t > 0 \\ 0 & \text{for } t < 0 \end{cases}. \quad (8)$$

The previous equation should be understood as an operatorial equation: for an arbitrary eigenstate $|E_0\rangle$ with $H|\Psi_0\rangle = E_0|\Psi_0\rangle$, one has

$$F(t)|\Psi_0\rangle = \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dE \frac{e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}Et}}{E - H + i\varepsilon} |\Psi_0\rangle = \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dE \frac{e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}Et}}{E - E_0 + i\varepsilon} |\Psi_0\rangle = \begin{cases} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}E_0t} |\Psi_0\rangle & \text{for } t > 0 \\ 0 & \text{for } t < 0 \end{cases}, \quad (9)$$

where the last equation is obtained integrating on the lower half-plane of the complex variable E for $t > 0$ and on the upper half-plane for $t < 0$. Formally, $F(t)$ is not defined for $t = 0$ since the integral $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dE \frac{1}{E - E_0 + i\varepsilon}$ does not converge. Yet, we summarize the previous equation by writing

$$F(t) = \theta(t)U(t) \quad (10)$$

together with the choice $\theta(0) = 1/2$, thus $F(0) = 1/2$. Similarly, let us introduce the operator $P(t)$ (P for ‘past’):

$$P(t) = F^*(-t) = -\frac{i}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dE \frac{e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}Et}}{E - H - i\varepsilon} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } t > 0 \\ U(t) & \text{for } t < 0 \end{cases}, \quad (11)$$

hence $P(t) = \theta(-t)U(t)$ and $P(0) = 1/2$. For each time t (including $t = 0$) we get the consistent result:

$$\begin{aligned} U(t) &= e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}Ht} = F(t) + P(t) = \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dE \frac{e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}Et}}{E - H + i\varepsilon} - \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dE \frac{e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}Et}}{E - H - i\varepsilon} \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dE \frac{\varepsilon}{(E - H)^2 + \varepsilon^2} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}Et} = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dE \delta(E - H) e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}Et}. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Next, we turn to the time evolution of the expectation values of Eq. (7). In order to evaluate them, we need to determine the propagators defined as:

$$G_S(E) = \langle S | \frac{1}{E - H + i\varepsilon} | S \rangle, \quad T_i(E', E) = \langle E', i | \frac{1}{E - H + i\varepsilon} | S \rangle. \quad (13)$$

Namely, once these quantities are known, the time evolution is obtained by using the ‘future’ representation $F(t)$ of Eq. (8). To this end, we write down an operatorial Lippmann-Schwinger equation:

$$\frac{1}{E - H + i\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{E - H_0 + i\varepsilon} + \frac{1}{E - H_0 + i\varepsilon} H_{int} \frac{1}{E - H + i\varepsilon}, \quad (14)$$

which can be proven by considering the operator O defined as (note, dealing with the operators the ordering is important):

$$\begin{aligned} O &= (E - H_0 + i\varepsilon) \left(\frac{1}{E - H + i\varepsilon} - \frac{1}{E - H_0 + i\varepsilon} \right) = (E - H_0 + i\varepsilon) \frac{1}{E - H + i\varepsilon} - 1 \\ &= (E - H_0 + i\varepsilon) \frac{1}{E - H + i\varepsilon} - (E - H + i\varepsilon) \frac{1}{E - H + i\varepsilon} \\ &= (H - H_0) \frac{1}{E - H + i\varepsilon} = H_{int} \frac{1}{E - H + i\varepsilon}. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Then, the propagator of the unstable state S reads:

$$\begin{aligned} G_S(E) &= \langle S | \frac{1}{E - H + i\varepsilon} | S \rangle = \frac{1}{E - M + i\varepsilon} + \frac{1}{E - M + i\varepsilon} \langle S | H_{int} \frac{1}{E - H + i\varepsilon} | S \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{E - M + i\varepsilon} + \frac{1}{E - M + i\varepsilon} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{E_{th,i}}^{\infty} dE' \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma_i(E')}{2\pi}} T_i(E', E), \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

while the propagators for the transitions $|S\rangle \rightarrow |E, i\rangle$ are given by:

$$T_i(E', E) = \langle E', i | \frac{1}{E - H + i\varepsilon} | S \rangle = \frac{1}{E - E' + i\varepsilon} \langle E', i | H_{int} \frac{1}{E - H + i\varepsilon} | S \rangle = \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma_i(E')}{2\pi}} \frac{G_S(E)}{E - E' + i\varepsilon}. \quad (17)$$

Plugging $T_i(E', E)$ into Eq. (16), we obtain the Dyson-Schwinger equation of the S propagator:

$$G_S(E) = \frac{1}{E - M + i\varepsilon} - \frac{1}{E - M + i\varepsilon} \Pi(E) G_S(E), \quad (18)$$

where the total self-energy $\Pi(E)$ and the partial self-energies $\Pi_i(E)$ read:

$$\Pi(E) = \sum_{i=1}^N \Pi_i(E), \quad \Pi_i(E) = - \int_{E_{th,i}}^{\infty} \frac{dE'}{2\pi} \frac{\Gamma_i(E')}{E - E' + i\varepsilon}, \quad (19)$$

for which $\text{Im} \Pi_i(E) = \Gamma_i(E)/2$ (optical theorem)¹. Then:

$$G_S(E) = \frac{1}{E - M + \Pi(E) + i\varepsilon} \quad (20)$$

is the searched propagator of the state S . As it is well known, this expression can be also obtained by performing the standard Dyson resummation, see e.g. Ref. [39]. We thus have provided a simple alternative derivation of this object.

¹It is often common to perform the replacements $\Pi_i(E) \rightarrow \Pi_i(E) + C_i$, where the latter are real subtraction constants such that $\text{Re} \Pi_i(M) = 0$. In this way, the bare mass M of the unstable state is left unchanged by quantum fluctuations.

The propagator $G_S(E)$ can be also rewritten as

$$G_S(E) = \int_{E_{th,1}}^{+\infty} dE' \frac{d_S(E')}{E - E' + i\varepsilon} \text{ with } d_S(E) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \text{Im } G_S(E) = \frac{\Gamma(E)}{2\pi} |G_S(E)|^2. \quad (21)$$

The function $d_S(E)$ is the correctly normalized energy distribution (or spectral function) of the unstable state [$d_S(E)$ is the probability that the state S has an energy between $(E, E + dE)$]. Then, one proceeds as usual for the determination of the survival probability amplitude:

$$a(t) = \langle S | U(t) | S \rangle \stackrel{t \geq 0}{=} \langle S | F(t) | S \rangle = \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dE G_S(E) e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} E t} = \int_{E_{th,1}}^{+\infty} dE d_S(E) e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} E t}. \quad (22)$$

This is indeed the amplitude that, starting with $|S\rangle$, we still have $|S\rangle$ at the time $t > 0$. The survival probability

$$p(t) = \left| \int_{E_{th,1}}^{+\infty} dE d_S(E) e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} E t} \right|^2 \quad (23)$$

emerges. This is indeed the starting point of many studies on the decay law [1–15].

As a consequence of the adopted formalism, once $G_S(E)$ is fixed, also $T_i(E', E)$ in Eq. (17) is determined. We then calculate the probability that the decay takes place in the i -th channel between 0 and $t > 0$ as:

$$\begin{aligned} w_i(t) &= \int_{E_{th,1}}^{+\infty} dE' |\langle E', i | U(t) | S \rangle|^2 \stackrel{t \geq 0}{=} \int_{E_{th,1}}^{+\infty} dE' |\langle E', i | F(t) | S \rangle|^2 \\ &= \int_{E_{th,1}}^{+\infty} dE' \left| \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dE T_i(E', E) e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} E t} \right|^2 = \int_{E_{th,1}}^{+\infty} dE' \frac{\Gamma_i(E')}{2\pi} \left| \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dE \frac{G_S(E)}{E - E' + i\varepsilon} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} E t} \right|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

This is indeed the expression for the quantity $w_i(t)$ that we were looking for. Yet, it still involves the complex propagator $G_S(E)$, so it is better to recast it in a form that is simpler in practical applications. By introducing the spectral representation of Eq. (21)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{G_S(E)}{E - E' + i\varepsilon} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} E t} &= \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dE \int_{E_{1,th}}^{+\infty} dy \frac{1}{E - E' + i\varepsilon} \frac{d_S(y)}{E - y + i\varepsilon} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} E t} \\ &= \int_{E_{1,th,1}}^{+\infty} dy d_S(y) \left(\frac{e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} E' t} - e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} y t}}{E' - y} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

(note, the integrand contains no singularity) we obtain the expression of Ref. [22]:

$$w_i(t) = \int_{E_{th,1}}^{+\infty} dE' \frac{\Gamma_i(E')}{2\pi} \left| \int_{E_{1,th}}^{+\infty} dy d_S(y) \left(\frac{e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} E' t} - e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} y t}}{E' - y} \right) \right|^2. \quad (26)$$

This quantity can be calculated numerically when the functions $\Gamma_i(E)$ (and thus $d_S(E)$ too) are known. Roughly speaking, it is ready to be used by just “plug in and calculate”.

There is another useful way to express $w_i(t)$ mentioned in Ref. [22]. By introducing

$$\begin{aligned} I(t) &= \int_0^t dt' \frac{a(t')}{\hbar} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} E' t'} = \int_0^t dt' \left(\int_{E_{th,1}}^{+\infty} dy d_S(y) e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} y t'} \right) e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} E' t'} = \int_{E_{th,1}}^{+\infty} \frac{dy}{\hbar} d_S(y) \int_0^t dt' e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} (E' - y) t'} \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dy d_S(y) \frac{e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} (E' - y) t} - 1}{i(E' - y)} = i e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} E' t} \int_{E_{th,1}}^{+\infty} dy d_S(y) \frac{e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} E' t} - e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} y t}}{E' - y} \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

we find

$$w_i(t) = \int_{E_{th,i}}^{+\infty} dE' \frac{\Gamma_i(E')}{2\pi} \left| \int_0^t dt' \frac{a(t')}{\hbar} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} E' t'} \right|^2. \quad (28)$$

Once $a(t)$ is calculated (a necessary step for getting the survival probability $p(t)$), $w_i(t)$ can be numerically evaluated from the previous expression.

Next, we recall some relevant properties and extensions:

- We can prove Eq. (1) by using the formal expression for the transitions $w_i(t)$ in Eq. (24) and the completeness relation of Eq. (3):

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^N w_i(t) &= \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{E_{th,i}}^{+\infty} dE' |\langle E', i | U(t) | S \rangle|^2 = \langle S | U^\dagger(t) \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \int_{E_{th,i}}^{+\infty} dE' |E', i\rangle \langle E', i| \right) U(t) | S \rangle \\ &= \langle S | U^\dagger(t) (1 - |S\rangle \langle S|) U(t) | S \rangle = 1 - p(t). \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

This is an important consistency check of the correctness of the obtained results.

- The exponential (or Breit-Wigner) limit [24–26] is obtained for $\Gamma_i = const$ and $\Gamma = \sum_{i=1}^N \Gamma_i$ (no energy dependence). The survival probability $p(t)$ and the decay probabilities $w_i(t)$ reduce to [11, 22]:

$$p(t) = e^{-\frac{\Gamma}{\hbar} t}, \quad w_i(t) = \frac{\Gamma_i}{\Gamma} \left(1 - e^{-\frac{\Gamma}{\hbar} t} \right) \rightarrow \frac{w_i(t)}{w_j(t)} = \frac{\Gamma_i}{\Gamma_j} = const. \quad (30)$$

- In the general case, the ratio $w_i/w_j \neq const$ (for $i \neq j$). This fact has been shown in Ref. [22] by using the widths $\Gamma_i(E) = 2g_i^2 \frac{\sqrt{E-E_{th,i}}}{E^2+\Lambda^2}$ inspired by the expressions derived in Ref. [42] in the case of hydrogen-like atoms. In Ref. [11] w_i/w_j was also shown to be not a simple constant (in the framework of an approximate solution) for various choices of $\Gamma_i(E)$.
- A related interesting quantity is $h_i(t) = w'_i(t)$: $h_i(t)dt$ is the probability that the decay takes place in the i -th channel in the interval $(t, t+dt)$. In the BW limit, $h_i(t)/h_j(t) = \Gamma_i/\Gamma_j = const$, but this does not apply in general [11, 22].
- In Ref. [43] the two-channel decay was studied by in the framework of an asymmetric double-delta potential $V(x) = V_0 (\delta(x-a) + k\delta(x+a))$, where $k \neq 1$: the two channels were represented by the tunneling to the ‘left’ and to the ‘right’. The numerical accurate solutions of the Schrödinger equation has clearly shown that $w_R(t)/w_L(t)$ as well as $h_R(t)/h_L(t)$ (where R stays for right and L left) are not constant.
- The results can be extended to QFT. For that, the variable E must be replaced with $s = E^2$ (for the relativistic version of the Friedrichs-Lee approach, see e.g. Refs. [44–46]). The propagator reads $G_S(s) = [s - M^2 + \Pi(s) + i\varepsilon]^{-1}$, where $\Pi(s) = \sum_{i=1}^N \Pi_i(s)$ (with $\text{Im} \Pi_i(s) = \sqrt{s} \Gamma_i(s)$) is the sum of the self energies for N distinct decay channels. The spectral function is $d_S(s) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \text{Im} G_S(s)$ (e.g. Refs. [47, 48]). The survival probability $p(t)$ takes an analogous form of Eq. (23) (e.g. Refs. [49, 50]):

$$p^{\text{QFT}}(t) = \left| \int_{s_{th,1}}^{+\infty} ds d_S(s) e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} \sqrt{s} t} \right|^2, \quad (31)$$

while the partial decay probability $w_i(t)$ read:

$$w_i^{\text{QFT}}(t) = \int_{s_{th,i}}^{+\infty} ds \frac{\sqrt{s} \Gamma_i(s)}{\pi} \left| \int_{s_{th,1}}^{+\infty} ds' d_S(s') \left(\frac{e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} \sqrt{s} t} - e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} \sqrt{s'} t}}{s - s'} \right) \right|^2. \quad (32)$$

This expression can be calculated numerically once the functions $\Gamma_i(s)$ are known.

- In QFT, there is no BW limit and no exponential decay (a threshold is always present, since $s \geq 0$). Setting $\Gamma_i(s)$ to a constant leads to some inconsistencies. An interesting model, discussed in Ref. [51], postulates $\Pi_i(s) = i\tilde{\Gamma}_i\sqrt{s - s_{th,i}}$, for which $\Gamma_i(s) = \tilde{\Gamma}_i\sqrt{(s - s_{th,i})/s\theta(s - s_{th,i})}$ (that reduces to a constant for large s). Despite its simplicity, it allows to fit quite well the spectral functions of various broad hadrons. The function $w_i(t)$ turns out to be, as expected, non-exponential, in agreement with the QM case.

In conclusion, we have presented a novel and simple way to obtain the expressions of the survival probability $p(t)$ and the decay probability in the i -th channel $w_i(t)$ by using a Lippmann-Schwinger equation at the level of operators. The propagator for the state S and the transition propagator for S into any decay product are intertwined. In this way, $p(t)$ and $w_i(t)$ naturally emerge and the results coincide with the ones shown in Ref. [22]. In the future, the study of $w_i(t)$ in various physical systems is planned.

Acknowledgments: the author thanks L. Tinti, G. Pagliara and S. Mrówczyński for stimulating and useful discussions. Financial support from the OPUS project 2019/33/B/ST2/00613 is acknowledged.

References

- [1] L. Fonda, G. C. Ghirardi and A. Rimini, Rep. Prog. Phys. **41** (1978) 587.
- [2] L. A. Khal'fin Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **33**, 1371 (1957); Sov. Phys. JETP **6**, 1053 (1958).
- [3] R. G. Winter, Phys. Rev. **123**, 1503 (1961).
- [4] J. Levitan, Phys. Lett. A **129**, 267 (1988).
- [5] D. A. Dicus, W. W. Repko, R. F. Schwitters, T. M. Tinsley, **65**, 032116 (2002).
- [6] M. Peshkin, A. Volya and V. Zelevinsky, **107**, 40001 (2014).
- [7] G. García-Calderón and R. Romo, Phys. Rev. A **93**, 022118 (2016).
- [8] T. Koide and F. M. Toyama, Phys. Rev. A **66**, 064102 (2002).
- [9] F. V. Pepe, P. Facchi, Z. Kordi, and S. Pascazio, Phys. Rev. A **101**, 013632 (2020).
- [10] A. Kofman and G. Kurizki, Nature **405**, 546 (2000).
- [11] F. Giacosa, Found. Phys. **42** (2012), 1262-1299.
- [12] F. Giacosa, Phys. Rev. A **88** (2013) no.5, 052131.
- [13] K. Raczynska and K. Urbanowski, Acta Phys. Polon. B **49**, 1683 (2018).
- [14] D. F. Ramírez Jiménez and N. G. Kelkar, Phys. Rev. A **104** (2021) no.2, 022214.
- [15] D. F. Ramírez Jiménez and N. G. Kelkar, J. Phys. A **52** (2019) no.5, 055201.
- [16] P.A. Zyla et al. (Particle Data Group), Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. **2020**, 083C01 (2020) and 2021 update.
- [17] S. R. Wilkinson, C. F. Bharucha, M. C. Fischer, K. W. Madison, P. R. Morrow, Q. Niu, B. Sundaram, M. G. Raizen, Nature **387**, 575 (1997).
- [18] M. C. Fischer, B. Gutierrez-Medina, and M. G. Raizen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 040402 (2001).

- [19] N. G. Kelkar, M. Nowakowski and K. P. Khemchandani, Phys. Rev. C **70**, 024601 (2004).
- [20] C. Rothe, S. I. Hintschich, A. P. Monkman, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 163601 (2006).
- [21] A. Crespi, F.V. Pepe, P. Facchi, F. Sciarrino, P. Mataloni, H. Nakazato, S. Pascazio, and R. Osellame, Phys. Rev. Lett. **122**, 130401 (2019).
- [22] F. Giacosa, Phys. Lett. B **831** (2022), 137200.
- [23] H. Müther, O. A. Rubtsova, V. I. Kukulín and V. N. Pomerantsev, Phys. Rev. C **94** (2016) no.2, 024328.
- [24] V. Weisskopf and E. P. Wigner, Z. Phys. **63**, 54 (1930).
- [25] V. Weisskopf and E. Wigner, Z. Phys. **65**, 18 (1930).
- [26] G. Breit, Handbuch der Physik **41**, 1 (1959).
- [27] K.O. Friedrichs Commun. Pure Appl. Math. **1** (1948) 361.
- [28] T. D. Lee, Phys. Rev. **95**, 1329 (1954).
- [29] C. B. Chiu, E. C. G. Sudarshan and G. Bhamathi, Phys. Rev. D **46**, 3508 (1992).
- [30] E. T. Jaynes, F.W. Cummings, Proc. IEEE. **51**, Nr. 1, 1963, S. 89–109.
- [31] O. Civitarese and M. Gadella, Phys. Rept. **396** (2004) no.2, 41-113.
- [32] A.G. Kofman , G. Kurizki, and B. Sherman, Journal of Modern Optics, **41:2**, 353-384 (1994).
- [33] Z. W. Liu, W. Kamleh, D. B. Leinweber, F. M. Stokes, A. W. Thomas and J. J. Wu, Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**, 082004 (2016).
- [34] M. Scully, M. Zubairy, *Quantum Optics*, (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997).
- [35] G. Ordonez, T. Petrosky, I. Prigogine, Phys. Rev. A **63** no. 5, 052106 (2001).
- [36] Z. Xiao and Z. Y. Zhou, Phys. Rev. D **94** (2016) no.7, 076006
- [37] Z. Xiao and Z. Y. Zhou, J. Math. Phys. **58**, 062110 (2017).
- [38] Z. Y. Zhou and Z. Xiao, Phys. Rev. D **96**, 054031 (2017).
- [39] F. Giacosa, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. **1612** (2020) no.1, 012012.
- [40] P. M. Lo and F. Giacosa, Eur. Phys. J. C **79** (2019) no.4, 336
- [41] D. Lonigro, Eur. Phys. J. Plus **137** (2022) no.4, 492.
- [42] P. Facchi and S. Pascazio, Phys. Lett. A **241** (1998), 139-144.
- [43] F. Giacosa, P. Kościk and T. Sowiński, **102** (2020) no.2, 022204.
- [44] Antoniou, I., Gadella, M., Prigogine, I., Pronko, G. P. 1998. Journal of Math. Phys., **39**, no. 6, 2995-3018 (1998).
- [45] Z. Y. Zhou and Z. Xiao, Eur. Phys. J. C **80** (2020) no.12, 1191
- [46] Z. Y. Zhou and Z. Xiao, Eur. Phys. J. C **81** (2021) no.6, 551
- [47] P. T. Matthews and A. Salam, Phys. Rev. **112**, 283 (1958).

- [48] F. Giacosa and G. Pagliara, *Phys. Rev. C* **76** (2007), 065204.
- [49] F. Giacosa and G. Pagliara, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **26** (2011), 2247-2259.
- [50] P. Facchi and S. Pascazio, *Solitons Fractals* **12**, 2777 (2001).
- [51] F. Giacosa, A. Okopińska and V. Shastry, *Eur. Phys. J. A* **57**, no.12, 336 (2021).