

Edgeworth expansion for the weakly interacting Bose gas

Lea Boßmann* and Sören Petrat†

October 27, 2022

Abstract

We consider the ground state and the low-energy excited states of a system of N identical bosons with interactions in the mean-field scaling regime. For the ground state, we derive an Edgeworth expansion for the fluctuations of bounded one-body operators, which yields corrections to a central limit theorem to any order in $1/\sqrt{N}$. For suitable excited states, we show that the limiting distribution is a polynomial times a normal distribution, and that higher order corrections are given by an Edgeworth-type expansion.

1 Introduction

A quantum mechanical system of N identical bosons is described by a wave function Ψ that is square integrable and symmetric under the exchange of any two particles, i.e.,

$$\Psi(x_1, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_j, \dots, x_N) = \Psi(x_1, \dots, x_j, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_N), \quad i, j \in \{1, \dots, N\}. \quad (1.1)$$

Hence, Ψ is an element of the symmetric subspace $\mathfrak{H}_{\text{sym}}^N$ of the N -body Hilbert space \mathfrak{H}^N , where

$$\mathfrak{H}^N := \mathfrak{H}^{\otimes N}, \quad \mathfrak{H}_{\text{sym}}^N := \mathfrak{H}^{\otimes_{\text{sym}} N}, \quad \mathfrak{H} := L^2(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad (1.2)$$

for $d \geq 1$ the spatial dimension of the system and where \otimes_{sym} denotes the symmetric tensor product. The statistics of measurements, for example of the kinetic energy of the system, are described by self-adjoint operators on \mathfrak{H}^N . In particular, we consider one-body operators on \mathfrak{H}^N , i.e., operators of the form

$$B_j = \underbrace{\mathbb{1} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbb{1}}_{j-1} \otimes B \otimes \underbrace{\mathbb{1} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbb{1}}_{N-j} \quad (1.3)$$

for bounded self-adjoint operators B on \mathfrak{H} . For example, the kinetic energy of the system is given by the operator $\sum_{1 \leq j \leq N} (-\Delta_j)$, for $\Delta = \partial^2/\partial x_1^2 + \dots + \partial^2/\partial x_d^2$ the Laplace operator on \mathbb{R}^d , where we chose the units such that $\hbar = 1$ and the particle mass is $m = 1/2$.

Due to the permutation symmetry (1.1), the family of one-body operators $\{B_j\}_{j=1}^N$ defines a family of identically distributed random variables, whose distribution is determined by the

*Institute of Science and Technology Austria, Am Campus 1, 3400 Klosterneuburg, Austria and Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, Mathematisches Institut, Theresienstr. 39, 80333 München, Germany. bossmann@math.lmu.de

†School of Science, Jacobs University Bremen, Campus Ring 1, 28759 Bremen, Germany. s.petrat@jacobs-university.de

wave function Ψ via the spectral theorem. The probability that the corresponding random variable B_j takes values in a set $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ is given by

$$\mathbb{P}_\Psi(B_j \in A) = \langle \Psi, \chi_A(B_j)\Psi \rangle, \quad (1.4)$$

where χ_A denotes the characteristic function of the set A and where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the inner product of \mathfrak{H}^N . Functions of self-adjoint operators are defined via the functional calculus.

If the N -body wave function is a product state, i.e., if $\Psi = \varphi^{\otimes N}$ for some $\varphi \in \mathfrak{H}$, the random variables B_j are independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.). Consequently, the empiric mean $N^{-1} \sum_j B_j$ satisfies the law of large numbers (LLN), and the fluctuations around the expectation value are, in the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$, described by the central limit theorem (CLT). Moreover, for large but finite N , the fluctuations can be expanded in an asymptotic Edgeworth series, providing higher order corrections to the central limit theorem to any order in $1/\sqrt{N}$ (see Section 3.5 for a more detailed discussion).

A factorized wave function $\Psi = \varphi^{\otimes N}$ describes the ground state of an ideal Bose gas, i.e., a system without interactions between the particles. In this work, we are interested in the situation where the bosons interact weakly with each other. We consider a system of N bosons in \mathbb{R}^d described by the many-body Hamiltonian

$$H_N = \sum_{j=1}^N (-\Delta_j + V(x_j)) + \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} v(x_i - x_j) \quad (1.5)$$

acting on $\mathfrak{H}_{\text{sym}}^N$, under suitable assumptions on the interaction v and the external trapping potential V (see Section 1.1). This describes a Bose gas in the so-called mean-field (or Hartree) regime, where the interactions are weak and long-ranged. We consider the ground state Ψ_N^{gs} and suitable low-energy excited states Ψ_N^{ex} of the Hamiltonian H_N , i.e.,

$$H_N \Psi_N^{\text{gs}} = \mathcal{E}_N \Psi_N^{\text{gs}}, \quad \Psi_N^{\text{gs}} \in \mathfrak{H}_{\text{sym}}^N \quad (1.6)$$

and

$$H_N \Psi_N^{\text{ex}} = \mathcal{E}_N \Psi_N^{\text{ex}}, \quad \Psi_N^{\text{ex}} \in \mathfrak{H}_{\text{sym}}^N, \quad (1.7)$$

where $\mathcal{E}_N^{\text{gs}} := \inf \text{spec}(H_N)$ is the ground state energy and $\mathcal{E}_N^{\text{ex}}$ denotes a suitable excited eigenvalue of H_N (see Definition 2.1). Due to the interactions between the particles, these states are no product states but correlated. Consequently, the family $\{B_j\}_j$ of one-body operators defines a family of (weakly) dependent random variables. Despite this dependence, one can prove both an LLN and a CLT (see, e.g., [1, 6, 28, 27]). While the LLN is the same as for i.i.d. random variables, the interactions change the variance of the limiting Gaussian random variable in the CLT. In this work, we prove that the statistics of bounded one-body operators with respect to the N -body ground state Ψ_N^{gs} admit an Edgeworth expansion, which differs from the expansion for the i.i.d. case due to the interactions. Moreover, we prove an Edgeworth-type expansion for a class of low-energy excited states Ψ_N^{ex} .

1.1 Assumptions

It is well known that the ground state Ψ_N^{gs} as well as the low-energy excited states Ψ_N^{ex} of H_N exhibit Bose–Einstein condensation (BEC), i.e.,

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \text{Tr}_{\mathfrak{H}^k} \left| \gamma_N^{(k)} - |\varphi\rangle\langle\varphi|^{\otimes k} \right| = 0 \quad (1.8)$$

for any $k \geq 0$. Here, $|\varphi\rangle\langle\varphi|$ denotes the projector onto $\varphi \in \mathfrak{H}$, i.e., the operator with integral kernel $\varphi(x)\overline{\varphi(y)}$, and $\gamma_N^{(k)}$ denotes the k -particle reduced density matrix of $\Psi_N \in \{\Psi_N^{\text{gs}}, \Psi_N^{\text{ex}}\}$, whose integral kernel is defined as

$$\gamma_N^{(k)}(x_1, \dots, x_k; y_1, \dots, y_k) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^{(N-k)d}} \Psi_N(x_1, \dots, x_N) \overline{\Psi_N(y_1, \dots, y_k, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_N)} dx_{k+1} \cdots dx_N. \quad (1.9)$$

The condensate wave function φ is given by the minimizer of the Hartree energy functional,

$$\mathcal{E}_H[\phi] := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (|\nabla\phi(x)|^2 + V(x)|\phi(x)|^2) dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} v(x-y)|\phi(x)|^2|\phi(y)|^2 dx dy, \quad (1.10)$$

for $\phi \in \mathcal{Q}(-\Delta + V)$ under the mass constraint $\|\phi\|_{\mathfrak{H}} = 1$. The minimizer φ solves the stationary Hartree equation $h\varphi = 0$ in the sense of distributions, where h is the operator on $\mathcal{D}(h) = \mathcal{D}(-\Delta + V) \subset \mathfrak{H}$ defined by

$$h := -\Delta + V + v * \varphi^2 - \mu_H, \quad \mu_H := \frac{1}{2} \langle \varphi, (-\Delta + V + v * \varphi^2) \varphi \rangle. \quad (1.11)$$

The corresponding Hartree energy is denoted by

$$e_H := \mathcal{E}_H[\varphi]. \quad (1.12)$$

We make the following assumptions on the interaction potential v and the trap V , which, in particular, ensure that φ is unique and can be chosen real-valued:

Assumption 1. *Let $V : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be measurable, locally bounded and non-negative and let $V(x)$ tend to infinity as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$, i.e.,*

$$\inf_{|x|>R} V(x) \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } R \rightarrow \infty. \quad (1.13)$$

Assumption 2. *Let $v : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be measurable with $v(-x) = v(x)$ and $v \not\equiv 0$, and assume that there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that, in the sense of operators on $\mathcal{Q}(-\Delta) = H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$,*

$$|v|^2 \leq C(1 - \Delta). \quad (1.14)$$

Besides, assume that v is of positive type, i.e., that it has a non-negative Fourier transform.

Assumption 3. *Assume that there exist constants $C_1 \geq 0$ and $0 < C_2 \leq 1$, as well as a function $\varepsilon : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_0^+$ with*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} N^{-\frac{1}{3}} \varepsilon(N) \leq C_1, \quad (1.15)$$

such that

$$H_N - Ne_H \geq C_2 \sum_{j=1}^N h_j - \varepsilon(N) \quad (1.16)$$

in the sense of operators on $\mathcal{D}(H_N)$.

Assumption 1 ensures that V is a confining potential; an example is the harmonic oscillator potential, $V(x) = x^2$. Assumption 3 ensures that low-energy eigenstates of H_N exhibit complete BEC in the Hartree minimizer. Assumptions 2 and 3 are, for example, satisfied by any

bounded and positive definite interaction potential v , and by the repulsive three-dimensional Coulomb potential, $v(x) = 1/|x|$.

Assumptions 1 to 3 are precisely the assumptions made in [4]. They ensure that we can expand the low-energy eigenstates of H_N and the corresponding energies in an asymptotic series in $1/\sqrt{N}$ (see Section 2.3), which is crucial for deriving the Edgeworth expansions.

Our main result holds for the ground state Ψ_N^{gs} of H_N and for a class of excited eigenstates $\Psi_N^{\text{ex}} \in \mathcal{C}_N^{(\eta)}$. The set $\mathcal{C}_N^{(\eta)} \subset \mathfrak{H}_{\text{sym}}^N$ consists of all eigenstates Ψ_N^{ex} of H_N where $H_N \Psi_N^{\text{ex}} = \mathcal{E}_N^{\text{ex}} \Psi_N^{\text{ex}}$ such that $\mathcal{E}_N^{\text{ex}} - N e_{\text{H}}$ converges to a non-degenerate eigenvalue of the Bogoliubov Hamiltonian, and where the corresponding Bogoliubov eigenstate is a state with η quasi-particles (see Definition 2.1). In particular, the ground state Ψ_N^{gs} is contained in $\mathcal{C}_N^{(\eta)}$ for $\eta = 0$.

1.2 Main Result

In this work, we consider the centred and rescaled random variable

$$\mathcal{B}_N := \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{j=1}^N (B_j - \mathbb{E}_{\Psi_N}[B]). \quad (1.17)$$

Here, $B \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{H})$ is a bounded self-adjoint one-body operator, and $\mathbb{E}_{\Psi_N}[\cdot] := \langle \Psi_N, \cdot \Psi_N \rangle$ denotes the expectation value of a random variable with respect to the probability distribution determined by Ψ_N . Our main result is the following:

Theorem 1. *Let Assumptions 1 to 3 hold and let $\Psi_N \in \mathcal{C}_N^{(\eta)}$ for some $\eta \in \mathbb{N}_0$, with $\mathcal{C}_N^{(\eta)}$ as in Definition 2.1. Let $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ such that its Fourier transform $\widehat{g} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, (1 + |s|^{3a+4}))$. Then, for any self-adjoint bounded operator $B \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{H})$,*

$$\left| \mathbb{E}_{\Psi_N}[g(\mathcal{B}_N)] - \sum_{j=0}^a N^{-\frac{j}{2}} \int dx g(x) \mathfrak{p}_j(x) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2}} \right| \leq C_B(a, g) N^{-\frac{a+1}{2}} \quad (1.18)$$

for σ as in (3.11), where the functions $\mathfrak{p}_j(x)$ are polynomials of finite degree with real coefficients depending on B, V and v . The error can be estimated as

$$C_B(a, g) \leq C(a) (1 + \|B\|_{\text{op}}^{3a+4}) \int_{\mathbb{R}} ds |\widehat{g}(s)| \left(1 + |s|^{3a+3} + N^{-\frac{1}{2}} |s|^{3a+4} \right) \quad (1.19)$$

for some $C(a) > 0$, where $\|\cdot\|_{\text{op}}$ denotes the operator norm on $\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{H})$.

(a) If $\Psi_N = \Psi_N^{\text{gs}} \in \mathcal{C}_N^{(0)}$, then $\mathfrak{p}_j^{\text{gs}}$ is a polynomial of degree $3j$ which is even/odd for j even/odd. In particular,

$$\mathfrak{p}_0^{\text{gs}}(x) = 1, \quad (1.20a)$$

$$\mathfrak{p}_1^{\text{gs}}(x) = \frac{\alpha_3}{6\sigma^3} H_3\left(\frac{x}{\sigma}\right), \quad (1.20b)$$

with α_3 as in (4.29) and where H_3 is the third Hermite polynomial (see (3.27)).

(b) If $\Psi_N = \Psi_N^{\text{ex}} \in \mathcal{C}_N^{(\eta)}$ for some $\eta > 0$, then $\mathfrak{p}_j^{\text{ex}}$ is a polynomial of degree $3j + 2\eta$ which is even/odd for j even/odd. The leading order $\mathfrak{p}_0^{\text{ex}}$ is computed in Proposition 4.7.

If the N -body system is in its ground state, Theorem 1 implies that \mathcal{B}_N admits a weak Edgeworth expansion (see Remark 1.1) although the random variables are not independent. However, the interactions affect the precise form of the Edgeworth series: the standard deviation σ of the Gaussian as well as the polynomials \mathbf{p}_j^{gs} differ from the expansion for the non-interacting Bose gas (see Sections 3.5 and 3.6 for a detailed discussion). For low-energy excited states, we see that the dependence is so strong that the CLT fails: By Theorem 1b, the limiting distribution is the Gaussian from the ground state expansion, multiplied with a polynomial. However, one still obtains an Edgeworth-type expansion, where each order of the distribution is the Gaussian times a (different) polynomial.

Theorem 1 is, to the best of our knowledge, the first derivation of an Edgeworth expansion for an interacting quantum many-body system. Asymptotic expansions for (weakly) dependent random variables have been derived in [23, 24, 18] for Markov processes, in [14] for stochastic processes which are approximated by a suitable Markov process, and in [7] in the context of dynamical systems. In [11], the authors prove the existence of Edgeworth expansions for weakly dependent random variables under fairly generic conditions, which includes random variables arising from dynamical systems and Markov chains but excludes our model¹.

Remark 1.1. Theorem 1 constitutes a weak Edgeworth expansion as introduced in [14, 5, 11]. In particular, our result does not imply an asymptotic expansion of the probability $\mathbb{P}_{\Psi_N}(\mathcal{B}_N \in [a, b])$. The reason why we can only state our result in this weak form is that our error estimate when truncating the expansion of the characteristic function $\mathbb{E}_{\Psi_N}[e^{is\mathcal{B}_N}]$ grows polynomially in s (Proposition 4.4). Hence, we can not simply apply the Fourier transform to obtain an expansion of the probability density, and it is an open question whether this stronger statement is even true.

As discussed in Section 3.5 for the i.i.d. situation, Theorem 1 yields a very precise description in the center of the distribution. In contrast, it does not generally provide a good approximation of the tails of the distribution. For the dynamics generated by H_N , large deviation estimates have been proven in [20, 29].

We expect that Theorem 1 can be generalized to all situations where the N -body wave function admits an (explicitly known) asymptotic expansion in the spirit of Lemma 2.2. We conjecture the existence of a dynamical Edgeworth expansion providing corrections to [1]; moreover, generalizations to k -body operators as in [27] and to k one-body operators as in [6] seem feasible.

Remark 1.2. Theorem 1 implies a quantitative version of the CLT for the ground state with improved rate. Following the proof of [6, Corollary 1.2], we approximate the characteristic function $\chi_{[\alpha, \beta]}$ for some $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ from below and above by some smooth and compactly supported functions g_-^ε and g_+^ε . For $\varepsilon > 0$, we define these functions as $g_\pm^\varepsilon := \chi_{[\alpha \mp \varepsilon, \beta \pm \varepsilon]} * \zeta_\varepsilon$ for $\zeta_\varepsilon(x) = \varepsilon^{-1} \zeta(x/\varepsilon)$, where $\zeta \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ is some non-negative function such that $\zeta(x) = 0$ for $|x| > 1$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \zeta = 1$. Consequently,

$$\mathbb{E}_{\Psi_N^{\text{gs}}}[g_-^\varepsilon(\mathcal{B}_N)] \leq \mathbb{P}_{\Psi_N^{\text{gs}}}(\mathcal{B}_N \in [\alpha, \beta]) \leq \mathbb{E}_{\Psi_N^{\text{gs}}}[g_+^\varepsilon(\mathcal{B}_N)]. \quad (1.21)$$

Analogously to [6], one obtains the estimate $|\widehat{g}_\pm^\varepsilon(s)| \leq C|\widehat{\zeta}(\varepsilon s)| \min\{|s|^{-1}, |\beta - \alpha|\}$ for some constant $C > 0$, hence Theorem 1 leads (for any $a \in \mathbb{N}_0$) to

$$\left| \mathbb{E}_{\Psi_N^{\text{gs}}}[g_\pm^\varepsilon(\mathcal{B}_N)] - \int g_\pm^\varepsilon(x) b_a(x) dx \right| \leq C \left(a \left(N^{-\frac{a+1}{2}} \varepsilon^{-(3a+3)} + N^{-\frac{a+2}{2}} \varepsilon^{-(3a+4)} \right) \right), \quad (1.22)$$

¹In [11], the authors consider a Banach space B and assume that the characteristic function is of the form $\phi_N(s) = \ell(\mathcal{L}_s^N v)$, where $\mathcal{L}_s : B \rightarrow B$ is a family of bounded linear operators and where $v \in B$, $\ell \in B'$. This is clearly different from our situation.

where the constant C depends on B , α and β and where we abbreviated

$$b_a(x) := \sum_{j=0}^a N^{-\frac{j}{2}} \mathbf{p}_j^{\text{gs}}(x) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2}}. \quad (1.23)$$

Since $|\int_{\mathbb{R}} g_{\pm}^{\varepsilon} b_a - \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} b_a(x) dx| \leq C\varepsilon$, this yields

$$\left| \mathbb{P}_{\Psi_N^{\text{gs}}}(\mathcal{B}_N \in [\alpha, \beta]) - \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} b_a(x) dx \right| \leq C(a) \left(\varepsilon + N^{-\frac{a+1}{2}} \varepsilon^{-(3a+3)} + N^{-\frac{a+2}{2}} \varepsilon^{-(3a+4)} \right). \quad (1.24)$$

The right hand side of (1.24) is minimal for $\varepsilon = N^{-\frac{a+1}{6a+8}}$, which, in particular, implies that it is always larger than $N^{-\frac{1}{6}}$. Consequently, choosing a sufficiently large yields

$$\left| \mathbb{P}_{\Psi_N^{\text{gs}}}(\mathcal{B}_N \in [\alpha, \beta]) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2}} dx \right| \leq C_{\gamma} N^{-\gamma} \quad \text{for any } \gamma < \frac{1}{6}. \quad (1.25)$$

This improves the previous estimate $N^{-1/8}$, which follows analogously to [28] by taking into account only the leading order $a = 0$.

The remainder of the paper is structured as follows: In Section 2, we summarize the quantum many-body framework and collect known results for the mean-field Bose gas which we require for the proof. Section 3 is a review of the probabilistic picture, including existing results on the CLT for the interacting Bose gas. In particular, we analyse the effect of the interactions on the Edgeworth series (Sections 3.5 and 3.6). Finally, Section 4 contains the proof of Theorem 1.

2 Many-body framework

2.1 Excitations from the condensate

We consider N -body states Ψ which exhibit complete BEC in the Hartree minimizer φ in the sense of (1.8). However, this does in general not imply that $\Psi = \varphi^{\otimes N}$; in fact, an $\mathcal{O}(1)$ fraction of the particles forms excitations from the condensate. To describe them mathematically, one recalls, e.g. from [22], that any $\Psi \in \mathfrak{H}_{\text{sym}}^N$ can be decomposed as

$$\Psi = \sum_{k=0}^N \varphi^{\otimes(N-k)} \otimes_{\text{sym}} \chi^{(k)}, \quad \chi^{(k)} \in \bigotimes_{\text{sym}}^k \{\varphi\}^{\perp}, \quad (2.1)$$

with the usual notation $\{\varphi\}^{\perp} := \{\phi \in \mathfrak{H} : \langle \phi, \varphi \rangle = 0\}$. The sequence

$$\chi_{\leq N} := (\chi^{(k)})_{k=0}^N, \quad \chi := \chi_{\leq N} \oplus 0 \quad (2.2)$$

of k -particle excitations forms a vector in the (truncated) excitation Fock space over $\{\varphi\}^{\perp}$,

$$\mathcal{F}_{\perp}^{\leq N} = \bigoplus_{k=0}^N \bigotimes_{\text{sym}}^k \{\varphi\}^{\perp} \subset \mathcal{F}_{\perp} = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} \bigotimes_{\text{sym}}^k \{\varphi\}^{\perp}, \quad (2.3)$$

and vectors in \mathcal{F}_{\perp} (resp. $\mathcal{F}_{\perp}^{\leq N}$) are denoted as ϕ (resp. $\phi_{\leq N}$). The creation and annihilation operators on \mathcal{F}_{\perp} , $a^{\dagger}(f)$ and $a(f)$ for $f \in \{\varphi\}^{\perp}$, are defined in the usual way as

$$(a^{\dagger}(f)\phi)^{(k)}(x_1, \dots, x_k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \sum_{j=1}^k f(x_j) \phi^{(k-1)}(x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_k), \quad (2.4a)$$

$$(a(f)\phi)^{(k)}(x_1, \dots, x_k) = \sqrt{k+1} \int dx \overline{f(x)} \phi^{(k+1)}(x_1, \dots, x_k, x) \quad (2.4b)$$

for $k \geq 1$ and $k \geq 0$, respectively, and $\phi \in \mathcal{F}_\perp$. They can be expressed in terms of the operator-valued distributions a_x^\dagger and a_x ,

$$a^\dagger(f) = \int dx f(x) a_x^\dagger, \quad a(f) = \int dx \overline{f(x)} a_x, \quad (2.5)$$

which satisfy the canonical commutation relations

$$[a_x, a_y^\dagger] = \delta(x-y), \quad [a_x, a_y] = [a_x^\dagger, a_y^\dagger] = 0. \quad (2.6)$$

We denote the second quantization in \mathcal{F}_\perp (resp. \mathcal{F}) of an operator A by $d\Gamma_\perp(A)$ (resp. $d\Gamma(A)$). The vacuum is denoted by $|\Omega\rangle$ and the number operator on \mathcal{F}_\perp is given by

$$\mathcal{N}_\perp := d\Gamma_\perp(\mathbb{1}), \quad (\mathcal{N}_\perp \phi)^{(k)} = k\phi^{(k)} \text{ for } \phi \in \mathcal{F}_\perp. \quad (2.7)$$

An N -body state Ψ is mapped onto its corresponding excitation vector $\chi_{\leq N}$ by the unitary excitation map $U_{N,\varphi}$

$$U_{N,\varphi} : \mathfrak{H}^N \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_\perp^{\leq N}, \quad \Psi \mapsto U_{N,\varphi} \Psi = \chi_{\leq N}, \quad (2.8)$$

introduced in [22]. For $f, g \in \{\varphi\}^\perp$, it acts as

$$U_{N,\varphi} a^\dagger(\varphi) a(\varphi) U_{N,\varphi}^* = N - \mathcal{N}_\perp, \quad (2.9a)$$

$$U_{N,\varphi} a^\dagger(f) a(\varphi) U_{N,\varphi}^* = a^\dagger(f) \sqrt{N - \mathcal{N}_\perp}, \quad (2.9b)$$

$$U_{N,\varphi} a^\dagger(\varphi) a(g) U_{N,\varphi}^* = \sqrt{N - \mathcal{N}_\perp} a(g), \quad (2.9c)$$

$$U_{N,\varphi} a^\dagger(f) a(g) U_{N,\varphi}^* = a^\dagger(f) a(g) \quad (2.9d)$$

as identities on $\mathcal{F}_\perp^{\leq N}$.

2.2 Bogoliubov theory

It was shown in [4] that the low-energy eigenstates of H_N can be retrieved by perturbation theory around the eigenstates of the Bogoliubov Hamiltonian, which is given by

$$\mathbb{H}_0 := \mathbb{K}_0 + \mathbb{K}_1 + \mathbb{K}_2 + \mathbb{K}_2^*. \quad (2.10)$$

Here,

$$\mathbb{K}_0 := \int dx a_x^\dagger h_x a_x, \quad (2.11a)$$

$$\mathbb{K}_1 := \int dx_1 dx_2 (qKq)(x_1; x_2) a_{x_1}^\dagger a_{x_2}, \quad (2.11b)$$

$$\mathbb{K}_2 := \frac{1}{2} \int dx_1 dx_2 (q_1 q_2 K)(x_1, x_2) a_{x_1}^\dagger a_{x_2}^\dagger, \quad (2.11c)$$

for h from (1.11), where K is the operator with kernel

$$K(x; y) = v(x-y) \varphi(x) \varphi(y) \quad (2.12)$$

and where we used the orthogonal projectors

$$p := |\varphi\rangle\langle\varphi|, \quad q := \mathbb{1} - p \quad (2.13)$$

onto the condensate and its complement.

The Bogoliubov Hamiltonian \mathbb{H}_0 can be diagonalized by Bogoliubov transformations (see, e.g., [31]), which are defined as follows: For $F = f \oplus \bar{g} \in \{\varphi\}^\perp \oplus \{\varphi\}^\perp$, one defines the generalized creation and annihilation operators $A(F)$ and $A^\dagger(F)$ as

$$A(F) = a(f) + a^\dagger(g), \quad A^\dagger(F) = A(\mathcal{J}F) = a^\dagger(f) + a(g), \quad (2.14)$$

where $\mathcal{J} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & J \\ J & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ with $(Jf)(x) = \overline{f(x)}$. An operator \mathcal{V} on $\{\varphi\}^\perp \oplus \{\varphi\}^\perp$ such that

$$A^\dagger(\mathcal{V}F) = A(\mathcal{V}\mathcal{J}F), \quad [A(\mathcal{V}F_1), A^\dagger(\mathcal{V}F_2)] = [A(F_1), A^\dagger(F_2)], \quad (2.15)$$

is called a (bosonic) Bogoliubov map. It can be written in the block form

$$\mathcal{V} := \begin{pmatrix} U & \bar{V} \\ V & \bar{U} \end{pmatrix}, \quad U, V : \{\varphi\}^\perp \rightarrow \{\varphi\}^\perp. \quad (2.16)$$

If V is Hilbert-Schmidt, \mathcal{V} is unitarily implementable on \mathcal{F}_\perp , i.e., there exists a unitary transformation $\mathbb{U}_\mathcal{V} : \mathcal{F}_\perp \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_\perp$, called a Bogoliubov transformation, such that

$$\mathbb{U}_\mathcal{V} A(F) \mathbb{U}_\mathcal{V}^* = A(\mathcal{V}F). \quad (2.17)$$

The identity (2.14) leads to a transformation rule of creation/annihilation operators under a Bogoliubov transformation,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{U}_\mathcal{V} a(f) \mathbb{U}_\mathcal{V}^* &= a(Uf) + a^\dagger(\bar{V}\bar{f}), \\ \mathbb{U}_\mathcal{V} a^\dagger(f) \mathbb{U}_\mathcal{V}^* &= a(\bar{V}\bar{f}) + a^\dagger(Uf) \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

for $f \in \{\varphi\}^\perp$. In particular, powers of \mathcal{N}_\perp conjugated with $\mathbb{U}_\mathcal{V}$ can be bound as

$$\mathbb{U}_\mathcal{V} (\mathcal{N}_\perp + 1)^b \mathbb{U}_\mathcal{V}^* \leq C_\mathcal{V}^b b^b (\mathcal{N}_\perp + 1)^b \quad (b \in \mathbb{N}) \quad (2.19)$$

in the sense of operators on \mathcal{F}_\perp , where $C_\mathcal{V} := 2\|V\|_{\text{HS}}^2 + \|U\|_{\text{op}}^2 + 1$ [3, Lemma 4.4]. Finally, we recall that a normalized state $\phi \in \mathcal{F}_\perp$ is called quasi-free if there exists a Bogoliubov transformation $\mathbb{U}_\mathcal{V}$ such that

$$\phi = \mathbb{U}_\mathcal{V} |\Omega\rangle. \quad (2.20)$$

Quasi-free states satisfy Wick's rule; in particular, it holds that

$$\langle \phi, a^\sharp(f_1) \cdots a^\sharp(f_{2n-1}) \phi \rangle = 0 \quad (2.21)$$

for $a^\sharp \in \{a^\dagger, a\}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f_1, \dots, f_{2n-1} \in \{\varphi\}^\perp$.

We denote by $\mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{V}_0} : \mathcal{F}_\perp \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_\perp$ the Bogoliubov transformation that diagonalizes \mathbb{H}_0 , i.e.,

$$\mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{V}_0} \mathbb{H}_0 \mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{V}_0}^* = d\Gamma_\perp(D) + \inf \sigma(\mathbb{H}_0), \quad (2.22)$$

where $D > 0$ is a self-adjoint operator on $\{\varphi\}^\perp$. It admits a complete set of normalized eigenfunctions, denoted as $\{\xi_j\}_{j \geq 0}$. The ground state χ_0^{gs} of \mathbb{H}_0 is unique and given by

$$\chi_0^{\text{gs}} = \mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{V}_0}^* |\Omega\rangle. \quad (2.23)$$

Any non-degenerate excited eigenstate χ_0^{ex} of \mathbb{H}_0 can be expressed as

$$\chi_0^{\text{ex}} = \mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{V}_0}^* \frac{(a^\dagger(\xi_0))^{\eta_0}}{\sqrt{\eta_0!}} \frac{(a^\dagger(\xi_1))^{\eta_1}}{\sqrt{\eta_1!}} \cdots \frac{(a^\dagger(\xi_k))^{\eta_k}}{\sqrt{\eta_k!}} |\Omega\rangle \quad (2.24)$$

for some $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and some tuple $(\eta_0, \dots, \eta_k) \in \mathbb{N}_0^{k+1}$. Finally, the Bogoliubov map corresponding to $\mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{V}_0}$ is denoted by

$$\mathcal{V}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} U_0 & \bar{V}_0 \\ V_0 & \bar{U}_0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.25)$$

2.3 Low-energy eigenstates of H_N

Assumptions 1 to 3 ensure that H_N has a unique ground state and a discrete low-energy spectrum. We will consider the following class of eigenstates of H_N :

Definition 2.1. *Let $\eta \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then $\Psi_N \in \mathfrak{H}_{\text{sym}}^N$ is an element of the set $\mathcal{C}_N^{(\eta)}$ iff all of the following are satisfied:*

- (a) Ψ_N is an eigenstate of H_N , i.e., $H_N \Psi_N = \mathcal{E}_N \Psi_N$.
- (b) There exists a non-degenerate Bogoliubov eigenstate, $\mathbb{H}_0 \chi_0 = E_0 \chi_0$, such that

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} (\mathcal{E}_N - N e_{\text{H}}) = E_0.$$

- (c) χ_0 is a state with η quasi-particles, i.e., it is given by (2.24) with $\eta_0 + \eta_1 + \cdots + \eta_k = \eta$.

In particular,

$$\Psi_N^{\text{gs}} \in \mathcal{C}_N^{(0)}, \quad (2.26)$$

i.e., the ground state is contained in the set $\mathcal{C}_N^{(\eta)}$ with zero quasi-particles.

To keep the notation simple, we will indicate the quasi-particle number η only when it is inevitable to avoid ambiguities. If $\Psi_N \in \mathcal{C}_N^{(\eta)}$ for some $\eta \in \mathbb{N}_0$, it was shown in [4, Theorem 3] that $\chi = U_{N,\varphi} \Psi_N \oplus 0$ admits an asymptotic expansion in the parameter $(N-1)^{-1/2}$, namely

$$\left\| \chi - \sum_{\ell=0}^a (N-1)^{-\frac{\ell}{2}} \tilde{\chi}_\ell \right\| \leq C(a) (N-1)^{-\frac{a+1}{2}} \quad (2.27)$$

for some constant $C(a) > 0$ and for coefficients $\tilde{\chi}_\ell \in \mathcal{F}_\perp$ given in [4, Theorem 3, Eqn. (3.26)].

For the proof of Theorem 1, it is more convenient to have a full expansion of these states in powers of $N^{-1/2}$ instead of $(N-1)^{-1/2}$. Moreover, we will make use of certain properties of the coefficients, which are deduced from the results in [4] in the following lemma (see Section 4.1.1 for a proof):

Lemma 2.2. *Let Assumptions 1 to 3 hold, let $\Psi_N \in \mathcal{C}_N^{(\eta)}$ for some $\eta \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and denote the corresponding excitation vector by $\chi = U_{N,\varphi} \Psi_N \oplus 0$.*

(a) For any $a \in \mathbb{N}_0$, there exists a constant $C(a) > 0$ such that

$$\left\| \boldsymbol{\chi} - \sum_{\ell=0}^a N^{-\frac{\ell}{2}} \boldsymbol{\chi}_\ell \right\| \leq C(a) N^{-\frac{a+1}{2}}, \quad (2.28)$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{\chi}_\ell = \mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{V}_0}^* \sum_{\substack{j=0 \\ \ell+\eta+j \text{ even}}}^{3\ell+\eta} \int dx^{(j)} \Theta_{\ell,j}^{(\eta)}(x^{(j)}) a_{x_1}^\dagger \cdots a_{x_j}^\dagger |\Omega\rangle \quad (2.29)$$

for some functions $\Theta_{\ell,j}^{(\eta)} \in L_{\text{sym}}^2(\mathbb{R}^{dj})$.

(b) For any $\ell, b \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a constant $C(\ell, b)$ such that

$$\|(\mathcal{N}_\perp + 1)^b \boldsymbol{\chi}_\ell\| \leq C(\ell, b). \quad (2.30)$$

(c) Let $B \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{H})$. For any $a \in \mathbb{N}_0$, there exists some constant $C(a) > 0$ such that

$$\left| \langle \Psi_N, B_1 \Psi_N \rangle - \sum_{\ell=0}^a N^{-\ell} B^{(\ell)} \right| \leq C(a) \|B\|_{\text{op}} N^{-(a+1)}, \quad (2.31)$$

where the coefficients $B^{(\ell)} \in \mathbb{R}$ can be bounded as

$$|B^{(\ell)}| \leq C(\ell) \|B\|_{\text{op}} \quad (2.32)$$

for some constants $C(\ell) > 0$. In particular, $B^{(0)} = \langle \varphi, B\varphi \rangle$, and

$$\begin{aligned} B^{(1)} &= \left\langle \boldsymbol{\chi}_0, \left(a^\dagger(qB\varphi) + a(qB\varphi) \right) \boldsymbol{\chi}_1 \right\rangle + \left\langle \boldsymbol{\chi}_1, \left(a^\dagger(qB\varphi) + a(qB\varphi) \right) \boldsymbol{\chi}_0 \right\rangle \\ &\quad + \left\langle \boldsymbol{\chi}_0, d\Gamma(q\tilde{B}q)\boldsymbol{\chi}_0 \right\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (2.33)$$

The functions $\Theta_{\ell,j}^{(\eta)}$ can be computed using perturbation theory, and we refer to [4] for the explicit expressions. In a similar way, one obtains explicit expressions for $B^{(\ell)}$; see [2].

3 Probabilistic picture

To illustrate the effect of the interactions, we compare in this section the random variables with probability distribution determined by $\Psi_N \in \mathcal{C}_N^{(\eta)}$ (for some $\eta \in \mathbb{N}_0$) with the random variables distributed according to the product state

$$\Psi_N^{\text{iid}} := \varphi^{\otimes N}. \quad (3.1)$$

To underline differences between the ground state $\Psi_N^{\text{gs}} \in \mathcal{C}_N^{(0)}$ and excited states $\Psi_N^{\text{ex}} \in \mathcal{C}_N^{(\eta)}$ for $\eta > 0$, we will indicate this in the notation by using the superscripts ^{gs} and ^{ex} when appropriate.

3.1 Random variables

A self-adjoint one-body operator $B \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{H})$ defines a family $\{B_j\}_{j=1}^N$ of random variables with common probability distribution determined by the N -body wave function Ψ_N . For Ψ_N^{iid} , the random variables are i.i.d., and the expectation value $\mathbb{E}_\varphi[B]$, the variance $\text{Var}_\varphi[B]$ and the standard deviation σ_{iid} are given by

$$\mathbb{E}_\varphi[B] = \langle \varphi, B\varphi \rangle, \quad \text{Var}_\varphi[B] = \sigma_{\text{iid}}^2 = \langle \varphi, B^2\varphi \rangle - \langle \varphi, B\varphi \rangle^2. \quad (3.2)$$

For an eigenstate $\Psi_N \in \mathcal{C}_N^{(\eta)}$ of H_N , the random variables are no longer independent, and the corresponding quantities $\mathbb{E}_{\Psi_N}[B]$, $\text{Var}_{\Psi_N}[B]$ and σ_N can be computed as

$$\mathbb{E}_{\Psi_N}[B] = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \langle \Psi_N, B_j \Psi_N \rangle = \langle \Psi_N, B_1 \Psi_N \rangle, \quad (3.3)$$

$$\text{Var}_{\Psi_N}[B] = \sigma_N^2 = \langle \Psi_N, B_1^2 \Psi_N \rangle - \langle \Psi_N, B_1 \Psi_N \rangle^2 \quad (3.4)$$

due to the bosonic symmetry (1.1) of Ψ_N . Note that by (1.8),

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{\Psi_N}[B] = \mathbb{E}_\varphi[B], \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \text{Var}_{\Psi_N}[B] = \text{Var}_\varphi[B]. \quad (3.5)$$

3.2 Law of large numbers

For the product state Ψ_N^{iid} , the LLN states that the empiric mean converges to its expectation value, i.e.,

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}_\varphi \left(\left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N B_j - \langle \varphi, B\varphi \rangle \right| \geq \varepsilon \right) = 0 \quad (3.6)$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$. For the interacting gas, the corresponding statement follows immediately from (1.8) by Markov's inequality (see, e.g., [1, Sec. 1]), i.e.,

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}_{\Psi_N} \left(\left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N B_j - \langle \varphi, B\varphi \rangle \right| \geq \varepsilon \right) = 0. \quad (3.7)$$

This implies that the interactions have no visible effect on the level of the LLN².

3.3 Central limit theorem for the ground state

Let us first compare the ground state Ψ_N^{gs} of the interacting gas with the product state Ψ_N^{iid} . The fluctuations around the respective expectation values are described by the rescaled and centred random variables

$$\mathcal{B}_N^{\text{iid}} := \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{j=1}^N (B_j - \mathbb{E}_\varphi[B]), \quad \mathcal{B}_N = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{j=1}^N (B_j - \mathbb{E}_{\Psi_N}[B]). \quad (3.8)$$

²Note that Ψ_N^{iid} is in general not the ground state of the non-interacting gas because φ is the minimizer of the Hartree energy functional, which depends on the interactions. In this sense, the interactions have an effect already on the level of the LLN.

For the i.i.d. situation, the CLT states that the distribution of $\mathcal{B}_N^{\text{iid}}$ converges to the centred Gaussian distribution with variance σ_{iid}^2 , i.e.,

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left| \mathbb{P}_\varphi(\mathcal{B}_N^{\text{iid}} \in A) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_{\text{iid}}^2}} \int_A e^{-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma_{\text{iid}}^2}} dx \right| = 0. \quad (3.9)$$

By the Berry–Esséen theorem, the error in (3.9) is of the order $\mathcal{O}(1/\sqrt{N})$.

Obtaining a comparable statement for the interacting Bose gas has been the content of several works. For our model, one can show along the lines of [28] that

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left| \mathbb{P}_{\Psi_N^{\text{gs}}}(\mathcal{B}_N \in A) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \int_A e^{-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2}} dx \right| = 0 \quad (3.10)$$

for

$$\sigma := \|\nu\|, \quad \nu := U_0 q B \varphi + \overline{V_0 q B \varphi}, \quad (3.11)$$

for U_0 and V_0 from (2.25) and q as in (2.13). In general, σ and σ_N differ by an error of order $\mathcal{O}(1)$. Hence, the interactions have a visible effect on the level of the CLT: they change the variance of the limiting Gaussian random variable.

The simplest way to understand this effect is via the characteristic functions of the random variables $\mathcal{B}_N^{\text{iid}}$ and \mathcal{B}_N , which are given by

$$\phi_N^{\text{iid}}(s) := \left\langle \varphi^{\otimes N}, e^{i s \mathcal{B}_N^{\text{iid}}} \varphi^{\otimes N} \right\rangle = \left\langle \varphi, e^{\frac{i s}{\sqrt{N}} (B - \langle \varphi, B \varphi \rangle)} \varphi \right\rangle^N \quad (3.12)$$

for the ideal gas, and by

$$\phi_N^{\text{gs}}(s) := \left\langle \Psi_N^{\text{gs}}, e^{i \mathcal{B}_N s} \Psi_N^{\text{gs}} \right\rangle \quad (3.13)$$

for the interacting gas. To compute the inner products in (3.12) and (3.13), one applies the map $U_{N,\varphi}$ from (2.8) to the N -body states $\varphi^{\otimes N}$ and Ψ_N . Since $\varphi^{\otimes N}$ is the pure condensate, $U_{N,\varphi}$ maps $\varphi^{\otimes N}$ onto the vacuum $|\Omega\rangle$ of the excitation Fock space, whereas $U_{N,\varphi} \Psi_N^{\text{gs}} = \mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{V}_0}^* |\Omega\rangle + \mathcal{O}(N^{-1/2})$ (see Lemma 2.2). Conjugating \mathcal{B}_N with $U_{N,\varphi}$ and, for the interacting gas case, with $\mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{V}_0}$, leads to the identities

$$\phi_N^{\text{iid}}(s) = \left\langle \Omega, e^{a^\dagger (i s q B \varphi) - a (i s q B \varphi)} \Omega \right\rangle + \mathcal{O}(N^{-\frac{1}{2}}) = e^{-\frac{1}{2} \|q B \varphi\|^2 s^2} + \mathcal{O}(N^{-\frac{1}{2}}), \quad (3.14)$$

$$\phi_N^{\text{gs}}(s) = \left\langle \Omega, \mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{V}_0} e^{a^\dagger (i s q B \varphi) - a (i s q B \varphi)} \mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{V}_0}^* \Omega \right\rangle + \mathcal{O}(N^{-\frac{1}{2}}) = e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 s^2} + \mathcal{O}(N^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \quad (3.15)$$

(see Section 4.2 for the details). Since

$$\|q B \varphi\|^2 = \langle \varphi, B(1 - |\varphi\rangle\langle\varphi|) B \varphi \rangle = \sigma_{\text{iid}}^2, \quad (3.16)$$

the inverse Fourier transform leads to the Gaussian probability densities as in (3.9) and (3.10).

The mathematical derivation of quantum central limit theorems has first been studied in the 1970s in [9, 17] and was followed by many works in different settings, e.g., [13, 32, 21, 16, 19, 8]. For the ground state of an interacting N -body system, (3.10) was proven in [28] for interactions in the Gross–Pitaevskii regime. For the mean-field Bose gas, the corresponding dynamical problem was first studied in [1], where the authors consider the time evolution generated by H_N of an initial product state. This was generalized in [6] to k one-body operators (corresponding to a multivariate setting), in [26] to singular interactions, and in [27] to k -body operators (corresponding to m -dependent random variables).

3.4 No central limit theorem for low-energy eigenstates

So far, we have considered the situation where the interacting Bose gas is in its ground state. If, instead, it is in a low-energy eigenstate $\Psi_N^{\text{ex}} \in \mathcal{C}_N^{(\eta)}$ for $\eta > 0$, the limiting distribution of the fluctuations is not Gaussian, i.e., there is no CLT. For example, if the first excited state $\Psi_N^{(1)}$ is contained in $\mathcal{C}_N^{(1)}$, it satisfies $U_{N,\varphi} \Psi_N^{(1)} = \mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{V}_0}^* a^\dagger(\xi) |\Omega\rangle + \mathcal{O}(N^{-1/2})$ for some normalized $\xi \in \mathfrak{H}$ (see Lemma 2.2a). Inserting this in (3.15) yields for the characteristic function $\phi_N^{(1)}(s) := \langle \Psi_N^{(1)}, e^{i\mathcal{B}_N s} \Psi_N^{(1)} \rangle$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_N^{(1)}(s) &= \left\langle \Omega, a(\xi) \mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{V}_0} e^{a^\dagger(isqB\varphi) - a(isqB\varphi)} \mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{V}_0}^* a^\dagger(\xi) \Omega \right\rangle + \mathcal{O}(N^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \\ &= e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 s^2} (1 - s^2 |\langle \xi, \nu \rangle|^2) + \mathcal{O}(N^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

for ν as in (3.11). Hence, the probability density converges to

$$b_\infty^{(1)}(x) := \left(1 + \frac{|\langle \xi, \nu \rangle|^2}{\sigma^2} \left(\frac{x^2}{\sigma^2} - 1 \right) \right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2}}, \quad (3.18)$$

which implies that

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left| \mathbb{P}_{\Psi_N^{(1)}}(\mathcal{B}_N \in A) - \int_A b_\infty^{(1)}(x) dx \right| = 0. \quad (3.19)$$

The corresponding statement for excited states with one excitation for the Bose gas on the torus with interactions in the Gross–Pitaevskii regime was shown in [28, Appendix A]. The general case with n excitations from the condensate is treated in Proposition 4.7.

3.5 Edgeworth expansion for the product state

For the case of i.i.d. random variables, one can go beyond the order $N^{-1/2}$ of the CLT and approximate the probability distribution of $\mathcal{B}_N^{\text{iid}}$ in an Edgeworth series, i.e., in a power series in powers of $N^{-1/2}$ which is determined by the cumulants of the distribution. We follow the discussion from [12, Chapter 2]. The ℓ 'th cumulant of the distribution of $\mathcal{B}_N^{\text{iid}}$ is defined as

$$\kappa_\ell[\mathcal{B}_N^{\text{iid}}] := (-i)^\ell \left(\frac{d}{ds} \right)^\ell \ln \phi_N^{\text{iid}}(s) \Big|_{s=0} \quad (3.20)$$

for $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, and one easily verifies that

$$\kappa_\ell[\mathcal{B}_N^{\text{iid}}] = N^{1-\frac{\ell}{2}} \kappa_\ell[\tilde{B}], \quad (3.21)$$

where we abbreviated

$$\tilde{B} := B - \langle \varphi, B\varphi \rangle. \quad (3.22)$$

The first three cumulants coincide with the first three central moments; in particular,

$$\kappa_1[\tilde{B}] = \mathbb{E}_\varphi[\tilde{B}] = 0, \quad \kappa_2[\tilde{B}] = \text{Var}_\varphi[\tilde{B}] = \sigma_{\text{iid}}^2. \quad (3.23)$$

The ℓ 'th cumulant is the ℓ 'th coefficient in the Taylor expansion of $\ln \phi_N^{\text{iid}}(s)$ around zero, i.e.,

$$\ln \phi_N^{\text{iid}}(s) = \sum_{\ell \geq 1} \frac{(is)^\ell}{\ell!} \kappa_\ell[\mathcal{B}_N^{\text{iid}}] = \sum_{\ell \geq 2} N^{-\frac{\ell}{2}+1} \frac{(is)^\ell}{\ell!} \kappa_\ell[\tilde{B}] \quad (3.24)$$

by (3.21) and (3.23). The basic idea of the Edgeworth series is to expand ϕ_N^{iid} around the characteristic function $\exp(-s^2\sigma_{\text{iid}}^2/2)$ of the corresponding Gaussian random variable. With (3.24) and (3.23), one (formally) computes

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_N^{\text{iid}}(s) &= e^{\ln \phi_N^{\text{iid}}(s) + \frac{1}{2}s^2\sigma_{\text{iid}}^2} e^{-\frac{1}{2}s^2\sigma_{\text{iid}}^2} \\ &= \exp \left\{ \sum_{\ell \geq 3} N^{-\frac{\ell}{2}+1} \frac{\kappa_\ell[\tilde{B}](is)^\ell}{\ell!} \right\} e^{-\frac{1}{2}s^2\sigma_{\text{iid}}^2} \\ &= \left(1 + N^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\kappa_3(is)^3}{3!} + N^{-1} \left(\frac{\kappa_4(is)^4}{4!} + \frac{\kappa_3^2(is)^6}{2 \cdot (3!)^2} \right) + \dots \right) e^{-\frac{1}{2}s^2\sigma_{\text{iid}}^2},\end{aligned}\quad (3.25)$$

where we abbreviated $\kappa_\ell := \kappa_\ell[\tilde{B}]$. Applying the inverse Fourier transform leads to a series expansion for the probability density b_N^{iid} of the random variable $\mathcal{B}_N^{\text{iid}}$,

$$\begin{aligned}b_N^{\text{iid}}(x) &= \left(1 + N^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\kappa_3}{6\sigma_{\text{iid}}^3} H_3\left(\frac{x}{\sigma_{\text{iid}}}\right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + N^{-1} \left(\frac{\kappa_4}{24\sigma_{\text{iid}}^4} H_4\left(\frac{x}{\sigma_{\text{iid}}}\right) + \frac{\kappa_3^2}{72\sigma_{\text{iid}}^6} H_6\left(\frac{x}{\sigma_{\text{iid}}}\right) \right) + \dots \right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_{\text{iid}}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma_{\text{iid}}^2}}\end{aligned}\quad (3.26)$$

where

$$H_\ell(x) := e^{\frac{x^2}{2}} \left(-\frac{d}{dx} \right)^\ell e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} \quad (3.27)$$

are the (Chebyshev-)Hermite polynomials, for example

$$H_2(x) = x^2 - 1, \quad (3.28a)$$

$$H_3(x) = x^3 - 3x, \quad (3.28b)$$

$$H_4(x) = x^4 - 6x^2 + 3, \quad (3.28c)$$

$$H_6(x) = x^6 - 15x^4 + 45x^2 - 15. \quad (3.28d)$$

The functions H_j are polynomials of degree j which are even/odd for j even/odd. The complete (formal) Edgeworth expansion is given by the formula

$$b_N^{\text{iid}}(x) = \left(1 + \sum_{\ell \geq 1} N^{-\frac{\ell}{2}} \mathfrak{p}_\ell^{\text{iid}}(x) \right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_{\text{iid}}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma_{\text{iid}}^2}} \quad (3.29)$$

with

$$\mathfrak{p}_\ell^{\text{iid}}(x) = \sum_{m=1}^{\ell} \frac{H_{\ell+2m}\left(\frac{x}{\sigma_{\text{iid}}}\right)}{\sigma_{\text{iid}}^{\ell+2m} m!} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{N}^m \\ |\mathbf{j}|=\ell}} \prod_{n=1}^m \frac{\kappa_{j_n+2}}{(j_n+2)!}. \quad (3.30)$$

The ℓ 'th Edgeworth polynomial $\mathfrak{p}_\ell^{\text{iid}}$ is a polynomial of degree 3ℓ which is even/odd for ℓ even/odd and whose coefficients depend on the cumulants of \tilde{B} of order up to $\ell+2$. If the expansion is truncated after finitely many terms, the right hand side of (3.29) is in general no probability density since it may become negative for large values of $|x|$ and is not necessarily normalized. The Edgeworth expansion is thus a local approximation, which is good in the center of the distribution but can be inaccurate in the tails.

The expansion (3.29) was first formally derived by Chebyshev and Edgeworth in the end of the 20'th century, and the first proof is due to Cramér. Under the assumption that all

relevant moments of the distribution exist, the rigorous statement is usually formulated as an asymptotic expansion of the cumulative distribution function or the probability density, with an error that is uniform in x , i.e.,

$$b_N^{\text{iid}}(x) - \left(1 + \sum_{\ell=1}^a N^{-\frac{\ell}{2}} \mathbf{p}_\ell^{\text{iid}}(x)\right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_{\text{iid}}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma_{\text{iid}}^2}} = \mathcal{O}\left(N^{-\frac{a}{2}}\right) \quad (3.31)$$

(see, e.g., [33, 10, 25, 12, 15] and the references therein). In general, one cannot take the limit $a \rightarrow \infty$ since the series does usually not converge. Generalizations of Edgeworth expansions for i.i.d. random variables, for example to different statistics, the multivariate case or the situation when the leading order is not Gaussian, can be found in the literature mentioned above.

3.6 Edgeworth expansion for the interacting gas

Let us first consider the ground state Ψ_N^{gs} of the interacting gas. Due to the dependence of the random variables, this situation is much more intricate than for the product state. In Theorem 1, we prove that the probability density b_N of the random variable \mathcal{B}_N with probability distribution determined by Ψ_N is given by

$$b_N(x) = \left(1 + \sum_{j=1}^a N^{-\frac{j}{2}} \mathbf{p}_j(x)\right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma^2} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2}} + \mathcal{O}\left(N^{-\frac{a+1}{2}}\right) \quad (3.32)$$

in the sense of (1.18). For $\Psi_N = \Psi_N^{\text{gs}}$, the functions \mathbf{p}_j^{gs} are even/odd polynomials of degree $3j$ if j is even/odd; the first polynomial is computed in Proposition 4.6 as

$$\mathbf{p}_1^{\text{gs}}(x) = \frac{\alpha_3}{6\sigma^3} H_3\left(\frac{x}{\sigma}\right). \quad (3.33)$$

Let us provide a formal derivation of this result. As a consequence of the interactions, the cumulants

$$\kappa_\ell^{\text{gs}}[\mathcal{B}_N] := \left(-i \frac{d}{ds}\right)^\ell \ln \phi_N^{\text{gs}}(s) \Big|_{s=0} = \left(-i \frac{d}{ds}\right)^\ell \ln \langle \Psi_N^{\text{gs}}, e^{i\mathcal{B}_N s} \Psi_N^{\text{gs}} \rangle \Big|_{s=0} \quad (3.34)$$

do not have the cumulative property that would lead to the exact scaling behaviour (3.21). Instead, the cumulant $\kappa_\ell^{\text{gs}}[\mathcal{B}_N]$ has a series expansion in powers of $1/N$, for example

$$\kappa_1^{\text{gs}}[\mathcal{B}_N] = 0, \quad (3.35a)$$

$$\kappa_2^{\text{gs}}[\mathcal{B}_N] = \kappa_{2;0} + N^{-1}\kappa_{2;1} + N^{-2}\kappa_{2;2} + \dots, \quad (3.35b)$$

$$\kappa_3^{\text{gs}}[\mathcal{B}_N] = N^{-\frac{1}{2}}\kappa_{3;0} + N^{-\frac{3}{2}}\kappa_{3;1} + N^{-\frac{5}{2}}\kappa_{3;2} + \dots, \quad (3.35c)$$

$$\kappa_4^{\text{gs}}[\mathcal{B}_N] = N^{-1}\kappa_{4;0} + N^{-2}\kappa_{4;1} + N^{-3}\kappa_{4;2} + \dots \quad (3.35d)$$

with

$$\kappa_{2;0} = \sigma^2, \quad \kappa_{3;0} = \alpha_3 \quad (3.36)$$

for σ as in (3.11) and α_3 as in (4.29). To obtain (3.35), one first uses (3.34) to express the cumulants via the central moments of \mathcal{B}_N and subsequently applies the excitation map $U_{N,\varphi}$ from (2.8), which yields

$$\kappa_2^{\text{gs}}[\mathcal{B}_N] = \langle \chi^{\text{gs}}, \mathbb{B}^2 \chi^{\text{gs}} \rangle, \quad \kappa_3^{\text{gs}}[\mathcal{B}_N] = \langle \chi^{\text{gs}}, \mathbb{B}^3 \chi^{\text{gs}} \rangle, \quad \kappa_4^{\text{gs}}[\mathcal{B}_N] = \langle \chi^{\text{gs}}, \mathbb{B}^4 \chi^{\text{gs}} \rangle - 2\kappa_2^{\text{gs}}[\mathcal{B}_N]^2, \quad (3.37)$$

where we abbreviated $\mathbb{B} := U_{N,\varphi} \mathcal{B}_N U_{N,\varphi}^*$. The operator \mathbb{B} can be expanded in $1/\sqrt{N}$ as

$$\mathbb{B} = \mathbb{B}_0 + N^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbb{B}_1 + N^{-1} \mathbb{B}_2 + \dots \quad (3.38)$$

(see, e.g., Section 4.2 for the details), and combining this with the expansion (2.28) of χ^{gs} yields (3.35). Note that the leading order of $\kappa_\ell^{\text{gs}}[\mathcal{B}_N]$ for $\ell = 2, 3, 4$ is $N^{-\ell/2+1}$, which is the scaling behaviour of the corresponding cumulant in the i.i.d. case. Moreover, only even/odd powers of $N^{-1/2}$ contribute for ℓ even/odd.

Proving (3.35) in full generality for each $\ell \geq 2$ would be extremely tedious, which is why we refrain from following that route for a proof of Theorem 1. Assuming one could prove the (formal) identity

$$\kappa_\ell^{\text{gs}}[\mathcal{B}_N] = \sum_{\nu \geq 0} N^{-\frac{\ell}{2} - \nu + 1} \kappa_{\ell;\nu} \quad (3.39)$$

for each $\ell \geq 2$, a computation along the lines of (3.25) (formally) yields the expansion

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_N^{\text{gs}}(s) = & \left(1 + N^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\kappa_{3;0}(\text{is})^3}{3!} \right. \\ & \left. + N^{-1} \left(\frac{\kappa_{2;1}(\text{is})^2}{2} + \frac{\kappa_{4;0}(\text{is})^4}{4!} + \frac{\kappa_{3;0}^2(\text{is})^6}{2 \cdot (3!)^2} \right) + \dots \right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}s^2\sigma^2} \end{aligned} \quad (3.40)$$

for the characteristic function. Finally, this implies that

$$\begin{aligned} b_N(x) = & \left(1 + N^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\alpha_3}{6\sigma^3} H_3\left(\frac{x}{\sigma}\right) \right. \\ & \left. + N^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{2\sigma} H_2\left(\frac{x}{\sigma}\right) + \frac{\kappa_{4;0}}{24\sigma^4} H_4\left(\frac{x}{\sigma}\right) + \frac{\kappa_{3;0}^2}{72\sigma^6} H_6\left(\frac{x}{\sigma}\right) \right) + \dots \right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2}}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.41)$$

which is consistent with the rigorous result obtained in Theorem 1.

For the low-energy excited states $\Psi_N^{\text{ex}} \in \mathcal{C}_N^{(\eta)}$ with $\eta > 0$, the leading order is given by the Gaussian with variance σ^2 , multiplied with some even polynomial whose degree depends on the number η of Bogoliubov quasi-particles (see Proposition 4.7). Let us again consider the example of the first excited state $\Psi_N^{(1)} \in \mathcal{C}_N^{(1)}$ which was discussed in Section 3.4. As its probability density $b_N^{(1)}$ converges to the limiting density $b_\infty^{(1)}$ from (3.18), the characteristic function $\phi_N^{(1)}$ must be expanded around the function $\phi_\infty^{(1)}(s) := (1 - s^2 |\langle \xi, \nu \rangle|^2) e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 s^2}$. This can (formally) be done by expanding the Charlier differential series³

$$\phi_N^{(1)}(s) = \exp \left\{ \sum_{\ell \geq 1} (\kappa_\ell^{(1)}[\mathcal{B}_N] - \kappa_\ell^{(1,\infty)}) \frac{(\text{is})^\ell}{\ell!} \right\} \phi_\infty^{(1)}(s) \quad (3.42)$$

in powers of $N^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. Here, $\kappa_\ell^{(1)}[\mathcal{B}_N]$ denote the cumulants of \mathcal{B}_N with respect to the probability distribution determined by $\Psi_N^{(1)}$, and $\kappa_\ell^{(1,\infty)}$ are the cumulants corresponding to the characteristic function $\phi_\infty^{(1)}$. Note that, in contrast to the ground state situation where the developing function is a Gaussian, the cumulants $\kappa_\ell^{(1,\infty)}$ do not generally vanish for $\ell \geq 3$, hence the expansion is more complicated.

³See, e.g., [12, Chapter 2.3].

4 Proofs

4.1 Preliminaries

4.1.1 Proof of Lemma 2.2

Starting from (2.27), one notes that $(N-1)^{-\ell/2} = N^{-\ell/2}(1-1/N)^{-\ell/2}$ and expands $(1-1/N)^{-\ell/2}$ in a power series in $1/N$. This yields (2.28) with

$$\chi_\ell := \sum_{\substack{m=0 \\ \ell+m \text{ even}}}^{\ell} \tilde{c}_{\ell-m}^{(m)} \tilde{\chi}_m, \quad (4.1)$$

with $\tilde{\chi}_m$ as in [4, Eqn. (3.26)] and where the coefficients $\tilde{c}_{\ell-m}^{(m)}$ are determined by the Taylor expansion of $(1-x)^{-\frac{m}{2}}$, i.e., $\tilde{c}_0^{(0)} = 1$, $\tilde{c}_k^{(0)} = 0$ for $k \geq 1$, and $\tilde{c}_k^{(\ell)} := 2^{-k} \binom{\ell+k-1}{k}$ for $\ell \geq 1$. From [4, Eqn. (3.26) and Remark 3.7], one infers that there exist $\tilde{\Theta}_{\ell,j}^{(\eta)} \in L_{\text{sym}}^2(\mathbb{R}^{dj})$ such that

$$\tilde{\chi}_\ell = \mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{V}_0}^* \sum_{\substack{j=0 \\ \ell+j+\eta \text{ even}}}^{3\ell+\eta} \int dx^{(j)} \tilde{\Theta}_{\ell,j}^{(\eta)}(x^{(j)}) a_{x_1}^\dagger \cdots a_{x_j}^\dagger |\Omega\rangle. \quad (4.2)$$

With (4.1), this yields (2.29). Part (c) follows immediately from (2.19) and (2.29). In [2, Corollary 1.1], it was shown that the one-body reduced density matrix $\gamma_N^{(1)}$ of $\Psi_N \in \{\Psi_N^{\text{gs}}, \Psi_N^{\text{ex}}\}$ can be expanded as

$$\text{Tr} \left| \gamma_N^{(1)} - \sum_{\ell=0}^a (N-1)^{-\ell} \gamma_{1,\ell} \right| \leq C(a) (N-1)^{-(a+1)} \quad (4.3)$$

where the trace class operators $\gamma_{1,\ell}$ are defined in [2, Eqn. (2.50)]⁴. In particular, we have $\gamma_{1,0} = p$ for p as in (2.13). Expanding $(N-1)^{-\ell}$ in powers of N^{-1} as above, this implies part (d) with

$$B^{(0)} := \text{Tr } pB, \quad B^{(\ell)} := \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \binom{\ell-1}{\ell-k} \text{Tr } \gamma_{1,k} B. \quad (4.4)$$

4.1.2 Weyl operators

As a preparation, we recall in this section the concept of Weyl operators (see, e.g., [30]) and collect some of their well-known properties. For any $f \in \mathfrak{H}$, the Weyl operator is defined as

$$W(f) := e^{a^\dagger(f) - a(f)}. \quad (4.5)$$

It is unitary with $W^*(f) = W(-f)$ and satisfies the shift property

$$W^*(f)a(g)W(f) = a(g) + \langle g, f \rangle, \quad W^*(f)a^\dagger(g)W(f) = a^\dagger(g) + \langle f, g \rangle \quad (4.6)$$

for all $f, g \in \mathfrak{H}$. Conjugation with a Bogoliubov transformation $\mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{V}}$, $\mathcal{V} = \begin{pmatrix} U & \bar{V} \\ V & \bar{U} \end{pmatrix}$, transforms a Weyl operator into another Weyl operator as

$$\mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{V}} W(f) \mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{V}}^* = W(g), \quad g := Uf - \bar{V}f. \quad (4.7)$$

⁴Corollary 1.1 in [2] was only formulated for Ψ_N^{gs} but holds true also for every $\Psi_N^{\text{ex}} \in \mathcal{C}_N^{(\eta)}$.

The Baker–Campbell–Hausdorff formula yields

$$W(f) = e^{a^\dagger(f)} e^{-a(f)} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\|f\|^2}, \quad (4.8)$$

which leads to the identity

$$\langle \Omega, W(f)\Omega \rangle = e^{-\frac{1}{2}\|f\|^2}. \quad (4.9)$$

The number operator transforms under a Weyl operator as

$$\mathcal{N}_\perp W(f) = W(f) \left(\mathcal{N}_\perp + a^\dagger(f) + a(f) + \|f\|^2 \right), \quad (4.10)$$

which leads to the following result:

Lemma 4.1. *Let $b \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{N}_0$ and $f \in \mathfrak{H}$. Then there exists a constant $C(b)$ such that*

$$\|(\mathcal{N}_\perp + 1)^b W(f)\xi\| \leq C(b) \left(\|(\mathcal{N}_\perp + 1)^b \xi\| + \|f\|^{2b} \|\xi\| \right) \quad (4.11)$$

for any $\xi \in \mathcal{F}$.

Proof. By unitarity of the Weyl operator,

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\mathcal{N}_\perp + 1)^b W(f)\xi\| &= \|(\mathcal{N}_\perp + 1 + a^\dagger(f) + a(f) + \|f\|^2)^b \xi\| \\ &\leq C(b) \left(\|(\mathcal{N}_\perp + 1)^b \xi\| + \|f\|^{2b} \|\xi\| \right) \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

where we used the estimate $\|a^\sharp(f)\xi\| \leq \|f\| \|(\mathcal{N}_\perp + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \xi\|$ for $a^\sharp \in \{a^\dagger, a\}$. \square

4.2 Strategy of proof

In this section, we give an overview of the proof of our main result, Theorem 1. We will in the following always assume that Assumptions 1 to 3 are satisfied and that $\Psi_N \in \mathcal{C}_N^{(\eta)}$ for some $\eta \in \mathbb{N}_0$ (see Definition 2.1). Moreover, we will use the notation $\chi = U_{N,\varphi} \Psi_N \oplus 0$ and denote by χ_n the coefficients of the asymptotic expansion (2.28). As above, we will only indicate the dependence on η in the notation where it is inevitable. Our goal is to compute the quantity

$$\mathbb{E}_{\Psi_N}[g(\mathcal{B}_N)] = \langle \Psi_N, g(\mathcal{B}_N) \Psi_N \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}} ds \widehat{g}(s) \phi_N(s) \quad (4.13)$$

with

$$\phi_N(s) = \langle \Psi_N, e^{is\mathcal{B}_N} \Psi_N \rangle \quad (4.14)$$

for \mathcal{B}_N as in (3.8) and $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ some integrable and sufficiently regular function. As a first step, we use the excitation map $U_{N,\varphi}$ from (2.8) to re-write the characteristic function as

$$\phi_N(s) = \left\langle \chi, e^{is\mathbb{B}} \chi \right\rangle, \quad (4.15)$$

where \mathbb{B} denotes the operator on \mathcal{F} defined by

$$\mathbb{B} := U_{N,\varphi} \mathcal{B}_N U_{N,\varphi}^* \oplus 0. \quad (4.16)$$

Applying the substitution rules (2.9) and expanding the square roots $\sqrt{1 - \mathcal{N}_\perp/N}$ in N^{-1} leads to the following asymptotic expansion (see Section 4.3.1 for the proof):

Lemma 4.2. *We have*

$$\mathbb{B} = \sum_{\ell=0}^a N^{-\frac{\ell}{2}} \mathbb{B}_\ell + N^{-\frac{a+1}{2}} \mathbb{R}_a, \quad (4.17)$$

where

$$\mathbb{B}_1 = d\Gamma(q\tilde{B}q) - B^{(1)}, \quad (4.18a)$$

$$\mathbb{B}_{2\ell} = c_\ell \left(a^\dagger (qB\varphi) \mathcal{N}_\perp^\ell + \mathcal{N}_\perp^\ell a (qB\varphi) \right) \quad (\ell \geq 0), \quad (4.18b)$$

$$\mathbb{B}_{2\ell+1} = -B^{(\ell+1)} \quad (\ell \geq 1) \quad (4.18c)$$

for $B^{(\ell)}$ as in (4.4), with $c_0 = 1$, $c_1 = -1/2$, $c_\ell = -(2\ell - 3)!! / (2^\ell \ell!)$ ($\ell \geq 2$) and with

$$\|\mathbb{R}_a \boldsymbol{\xi}\| \leq \|B\|_{\text{op}} C(a) \left(\|(\mathcal{N}_\perp + 1)^{a+1} \boldsymbol{\xi}\| + \delta_{a,0} N^{-1/2} \|(\mathcal{N}_\perp + 1)^{3/2} \boldsymbol{\xi}\| \right) \quad (4.19)$$

for some constant $C(a) > 0$ and any $\boldsymbol{\xi} \in \mathcal{F}$.

Note that the estimate (4.19) is by far not optimal in the powers of \mathcal{N}_\perp except for $a = 0$, which determines the largest power of s in Proposition 4.4. In combination with Duhamel's formula,

$$e^{is\mathbb{B}} = e^{is\mathbb{B}_0} + i \int_0^s d\tau e^{i\tau\mathbb{B}} (\mathbb{B} - \mathbb{B}_0) e^{i(s-\tau)\mathbb{B}_0}, \quad (4.20)$$

Lemma 4.2 leads to an expansion of $e^{is\mathbb{B}}$. Together with the asymptotic series (2.28) for $\boldsymbol{\chi}$, this yields the following expansion of (4.15), which is proven in Section 4.3.2:

Proposition 4.3. *For ϕ_N as defined in (4.14), it holds that*

$$\left| \phi_N(s) - \sum_{j=0}^a N^{-\frac{j}{2}} \sum_{m=0}^j \sum_{n=0}^m \langle \boldsymbol{\chi}_n, \mathbb{T}_{j-m}(s) \boldsymbol{\chi}_{m-n} \rangle \right| \leq N^{-\frac{a+1}{2}} (C(a) + |\mathcal{S}_a(s)|), \quad (4.21)$$

where

$$\mathbb{T}_0(s) := e^{is\mathbb{B}_0}, \quad (4.22a)$$

$$\mathbb{T}_j(s) := \sum_{k=1}^j \sum_{\substack{\boldsymbol{\ell} \in \mathbb{N}^k \\ |\boldsymbol{\ell}|=j}} \mathbb{I}_\ell^{(k)} \quad (j \geq 1), \quad (4.22b)$$

$$\mathcal{S}_a(s) := \sum_{m=0}^a \sum_{n=0}^{a-m} \sum_{k=1}^{m+1} \sum_{\substack{\boldsymbol{\ell} \in \mathbb{N}^{k-1} \\ |\boldsymbol{\ell}| \leq m}} \langle \boldsymbol{\chi}_{a-m-n}, \mathbb{J}_{m;\boldsymbol{\ell}}^{(k)}(s) \boldsymbol{\chi}_n \rangle \quad (4.22c)$$

with

$$\mathbb{I}_\ell^{(k)}(s) := \int_{\Delta_k}^s d\boldsymbol{\tau} e^{i\tau_k \mathbb{B}_0} \mathbb{B}_{\ell_k} e^{i(\tau_{k-1} - \tau_k) \mathbb{B}_0} \mathbb{B}_{\ell_{k-1}} e^{i(\tau_{k-2} - \tau_{k-1}) \dots} \mathbb{B}_{\ell_1} e^{i(s - \tau_1) \mathbb{B}_0}, \quad (4.23a)$$

$$\mathbb{J}_{a;\boldsymbol{\ell}}^{(k+1)}(s) := \int_{\Delta_{k+1}}^s d\boldsymbol{\tau} e^{i\tau_{k+1} \mathbb{B}} \mathbb{R}_{a-|\boldsymbol{\ell}|} e^{i(\tau_k - \tau_{k+1}) \mathbb{B}_0} \mathbb{B}_{\ell_k} e^{i(\tau_{k-1} - \tau_k) \mathbb{B}_0} \mathbb{B}_{\ell_{k-1}} \dots \mathbb{B}_{\ell_1} e^{i(s - \tau_1) \mathbb{B}_0} \quad (4.23b)$$

for $\boldsymbol{\ell} = (\ell_1, \dots, \ell_k) \in \mathbb{N}^k$, \mathbb{B}_ℓ and \mathbb{R}_ℓ as in Lemma 4.2, and where we used the notation

$$\int_{\Delta_j}^s d\boldsymbol{\tau} := i^j \int_0^s d\tau_1 \int_0^{\tau_1} d\tau_2 \dots \int_0^{\tau_{j-1}} d\tau_j. \quad (4.24)$$

To control the remainders of the expansion, it is crucial that $\mathbb{B}_0 = a^\dagger(qB\varphi) + a(qB\varphi)$, hence

$$e^{i\tau\mathbb{B}_0} = W(i\tau qB\varphi) \quad (4.25)$$

is a Weyl operator. Moreover, the operators \mathbb{R}_ℓ and \mathbb{B}_ℓ can be bounded by powers of the number operator. Hence, applying Lemma 4.1 repeatedly and making use of the fact that moments of the number operator with respect to χ_ℓ are bounded uniformly in N (Lemma 2.2b) yields an estimate of the error $\mathcal{S}_a(s)$ (see Section 4.3.3 for a proof):

Proposition 4.4. *The term $\mathcal{S}_a(s)$ from (4.22c) satisfies*

$$|\mathcal{S}_a(s)| \leq C_B(a) \left(1 + |s|^{3a+3} + N^{-\frac{1}{2}}|s|^{3a+4}\right) \quad (4.26)$$

where $C_B(a) \leq C(a)(1 + \|B\|_{\text{op}}^{3a+4})$ for some constant $C(a)$.

The next step is to compute the coefficients in the expansion (4.21), which is done in Section 4.3.4. Since an explicit evaluation to any order is too complex to obtain in full generality, we focus on the dependence of the coefficients on s :

Proposition 4.5. *For \mathbb{T}_j as in Proposition 4.3, we have*

$$\sum_{m=0}^j \sum_{n=0}^m \langle \chi_n, \mathbb{T}_{j-m}(s) \chi_{m-n} \rangle = p_j^{(\eta)}(s) e^{-\frac{1}{2}s^2\sigma^2} \quad (4.27)$$

for σ as in (3.11) and where $p_j^{(\eta)}$ is a polynomial of degree $3j + 2\eta$ with complex coefficients depending on φ , B , \mathcal{V}_0 and $\Theta_{\ell,j}^{(\eta)}$. Moreover, $p_j^{(\eta)}$ is even/odd for j even/odd.

For the ground state $\Psi_N^{\text{gs}} \in \mathcal{C}_N^{(0)}$, an explicit computation of the leading and next-to-leading order of the approximation is still feasible and yields the following result (see Section 4.3.5 for the details of the computation):

Proposition 4.6. *Let $\eta = 0$. For $j = 0, 1$, the polynomials in (4.27) are given by*

$$p_0^{(0)}(s) = 1, \quad p_1^{(0)}(s) = -\frac{i}{6}\alpha_3 s^3, \quad (4.28)$$

where

$$\alpha_3 = 12\Re \langle \nu^{\otimes 3}, \Theta_{1,3}^{(0)} \rangle + \left\langle \nu, \left(U_0 q \tilde{B} q U_0^* + \overline{V_0 q \tilde{B} q V_0^*} \right) \nu \right\rangle + 4\Re \langle \nu, U_0 q \tilde{B} q V_0^* \bar{\nu} \rangle, \quad (4.29)$$

and where $\Theta_{1,3}^{(0)}$ is given in [3, Appendix B].

Theorem 1 follows from Propositions 4.3 to 4.6 by Fourier inversion (see Section 4.4 for the proof). For excited states $\Psi_N^{\text{ex}} \in \mathcal{C}_N^{(\eta)}$ with $\eta > 0$, we explicitly compute only the leading order polynomial. The proof of the following proposition is given in Section 4.5.

Proposition 4.7. *Let $\eta > 0$ and denote the quasi-particle states by $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_\eta \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, i.e.,*

$$\chi_0 = \mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{V}_0}^* a^\dagger(\xi_1) \cdots a^\dagger(\xi_\eta) |\Omega\rangle. \quad (4.30)$$

Then $\mathfrak{p}_0^{\text{ex}}$ in Theorem 1b is given by

$$\mathfrak{p}_0^{\text{ex}}(x) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\eta} c_{\eta,\ell} \left(\frac{-i}{\sigma} \right)^{2\ell} H_{2\ell} \left(\frac{x}{\sigma} \right), \quad (4.31)$$

with H_k the k -th Hermite polynomial (as defined in (3.27)) and where

$$c_{\eta,\ell} := \frac{(-1)^\ell}{(\eta-\ell)!((\ell)!)^2} \sum_{\pi,\pi' \in \mathfrak{S}_\eta} \prod_{j=1}^{\eta-\ell} \langle \xi_{\pi'(j)}, \xi_{\pi(j)} \rangle \prod_{j'=\eta-\ell+1}^{\eta} \langle \xi_{\pi'(j')}, \nu \rangle \langle \nu, \xi_{\pi(j')} \rangle. \quad (4.32)$$

Note that $\xi_i = \xi_j$ for $i \neq j$ is admitted, and that the formula for $c_{n,\ell}$ simplifies if the functions ξ_j are orthonormal. For $\eta = 1$, we recover (3.18).

4.3 Proofs of the propositions

4.3.1 Proof of Lemma 4.2

We decompose \mathcal{B}_N as

$$\mathcal{B}_N = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} d\Gamma(\tilde{B}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \left(d\Gamma(pBq) + d\Gamma(qBp) + d\Gamma(q\tilde{B}q) \right) \quad (4.33)$$

with

$$\tilde{B} := B - \langle \varphi, B\varphi \rangle. \quad (4.34)$$

Note that

$$\sqrt{1 - \frac{\mathcal{N}_\perp}{N}} = \sum_{\ell=0}^b c_\ell N^{-\ell} \mathcal{N}_\perp^\ell + N^{-(b+1)} \tilde{\mathbb{R}}_{2b}, \quad \|\tilde{\mathbb{R}}_{2b}\boldsymbol{\xi}\| \leq C(b) \|\mathcal{N}_\perp^{b+1}\boldsymbol{\xi}\| \quad (4.35)$$

for any $\boldsymbol{\xi} \in \mathcal{F}$ and $b \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and where $[\tilde{\mathbb{R}}_{2b}, \mathcal{N}_\perp] = 0$. Besides, by Lemma 2.2c, there exists some $r_B(a) \in \mathbb{R}$ with $|r_B(a)| \leq C(a) \|B\|_{\text{op}}$ such that

$$\langle \Psi_N, B_1 \Psi_N \rangle - \langle \varphi, B\varphi \rangle = \sum_{\ell=1}^a N^{-\ell} B^{(\ell)} + N^{-(a+1)} r_B(a). \quad (4.36)$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{B} &= N^{-\frac{1}{2}} U_{N,\varphi} \left(d\Gamma(qBp) + d\Gamma(pBq) + d\Gamma(q\tilde{B}q) - N (\langle \Psi_N, B_1 \Psi_N \rangle - \langle \varphi, B\varphi \rangle) \right) U_{N,\varphi}^* \\ &= a^\dagger(qB\varphi) \sqrt{1 - \frac{\mathcal{N}_\perp}{N}} + \sqrt{1 - \frac{\mathcal{N}_\perp}{N}} a(qB\varphi) + N^{-\frac{1}{2}} d\Gamma(q\tilde{B}q) \\ &\quad - \left(\sum_{\ell=1}^a N^{-(\ell-\frac{1}{2})} B^{(\ell)} + N^{-(a+\frac{1}{2})} r_B(a) \right) \\ &= \sum_{\ell=0}^a N^{-\frac{\ell}{2}} \mathbb{B}_\ell + N^{-\frac{a+1}{2}} \mathbb{R}_a \end{aligned} \quad (4.37)$$

for \mathbb{B}_ℓ as in (4.17) and where \mathbb{R}_a satisfies (4.19). \square

4.3.2 Proof of Proposition 4.3

From (4.17), it follows that $\mathbb{B} - \mathbb{B}_0 = N^{-1/2} \mathbb{R}_0$ with

$$\mathbb{R}_0 = \sum_{\ell=1}^b N^{-\frac{\ell-1}{2}} \mathbb{B}_\ell + N^{-\frac{b}{2}} \mathbb{R}_b. \quad (4.38)$$

Hence, (4.20) implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
e^{is\mathbb{B}} &= e^{is\mathbb{B}_0} + N^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\Delta_1}^s d\tau e^{i\tau_1\mathbb{B}} \left(\sum_{\ell_1=1}^a N^{-\frac{\ell_1-1}{2}} \mathbb{B}_{\ell_1} + N^{-\frac{a}{2}} \mathbb{R}_a \right) e^{i(s-\tau_1)\mathbb{B}_0} \\
&= e^{is\mathbb{B}_0} + \sum_{\ell_1=1}^a N^{-\frac{\ell_1}{2}} \int_{\Delta_1}^s d\tau e^{i\tau_1\mathbb{B}_0} \mathbb{B}_{\ell_1} e^{i(s-\tau_1)\mathbb{B}_0} \\
&\quad + N^{-\frac{a+1}{2}} \left[\int_{\Delta_1}^s d\tau e^{i\tau_1\mathbb{B}} \mathbb{R}_a e^{i(s-\tau_1)\mathbb{B}_0} + \sum_{\ell_1=1}^a \int_{\Delta_2}^s d\tau e^{i\tau_2\mathbb{B}} \mathbb{R}_{a-\ell_1} e^{i(\tau_1-\tau_2)\mathbb{B}_0} \mathbb{B}_{\ell_1} e^{i(s-\tau_1)\mathbb{B}_0} \right] \\
&\quad + \sum_{\ell_1=1}^a \sum_{\ell_2=1}^{a-\ell_1} N^{-\frac{\ell_1+\ell_2}{2}} \int_{\Delta_2}^s d\tau e^{i\tau_2\mathbb{B}} \mathbb{B}_{\ell_2} e^{i(\tau_1-\tau_2)\mathbb{B}_0} \mathbb{B}_{\ell_1} e^{i(s-\tau_1)\mathbb{B}_0} \\
&= \dots, \tag{4.39}
\end{aligned}$$

which eventually leads to the expansion

$$e^{is\mathbb{B}} = \sum_{j=0}^a N^{-\frac{j}{2}} \mathbb{T}_j(s) + N^{-\frac{a+1}{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{a+1} \sum_{\substack{\ell \in \mathbb{N}^{k-1} \\ |\ell| \leq a}} \mathbb{J}_{a;\ell}^{(k)}(s) \tag{4.40}$$

with $\mathbb{T}_j(s)$ and $\mathbb{J}_{a;\ell}^{(k)}(s)$ as in (4.22) and (4.23). This implies (4.21) by (2.28) because

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_N(s) &= \left\langle \chi - \sum_{n=0}^a N^{-\frac{n}{2}} \chi_n, e^{is\mathbb{B}} \chi \right\rangle + \sum_{n=0}^a N^{-\frac{n}{2}} \left\langle \chi_n, e^{is\mathbb{B}} \left(\chi - \sum_{m=0}^{a-n} N^{-\frac{m}{2}} \chi_m \right) \right\rangle \\
&\quad + \sum_{n=0}^a \sum_{m=0}^{a-n} N^{-\frac{n+m}{2}} \left\langle \chi_n, e^{is\mathbb{B}} \chi_m \right\rangle. \tag{4.41}
\end{aligned}$$

□

4.3.3 Proof of Proposition 4.4

Recall from (4.22) that

$$\mathcal{S}_a(s) = \sum_{m=0}^a \sum_{n=0}^{a-m} \sum_{\mu=0}^m \sum_{k=1}^{\mu+1} \sum_{\substack{\ell \in \mathbb{N}^{k-1} \\ |\ell|=\mu}} \left\langle \chi_{a-m-n}, \mathbb{J}_{m;\ell}^{(k)}(s) \chi_n \right\rangle$$

with

$$\mathbb{J}_{m;\ell}^{(k)}(s) = \int_{\Delta_k}^s d\tau e^{i\tau_k\mathbb{B}} \mathbb{R}_{m-|\ell|} e^{i(\tau_{k-1}-\tau_k)\mathbb{B}_0} \mathbb{B}_{\ell_{k-1}} e^{i(\tau_{k-2}-\tau_{k-1})\mathbb{B}_0} \mathbb{B}_{\ell_{k-2}} \dots \mathbb{B}_{\ell_1} e^{i(s-\tau_1)\mathbb{B}_0}.$$

By (4.19), we find for any $\xi, \xi' \in \mathcal{F}$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| \left\langle \xi', \mathbb{J}_{m;\ell}^{(k)}(s) \xi \right\rangle \right| \\
&\leq \left| \int_{\Delta_k}^s d\tau \|\mathbb{R}_{m-|\ell|} e^{i(\tau_{k-1}-\tau_k)\mathbb{B}_0} \mathbb{B}_{\ell_{k-1}} \dots \mathbb{B}_{\ell_1} e^{i(s-\tau_1)\mathbb{B}_0} \xi\| \|\xi'\| \right|
\end{aligned}$$

$$\leq C(m) \|B\|_{\text{op}} \|\boldsymbol{\xi}'\| \int_{[0,s]^k} d\boldsymbol{\tau} \left[\|(\mathcal{N}_\perp + 1)^{m-|\boldsymbol{\ell}|+1} W(i\delta_{k-1}f) \mathbb{B}_{\ell_{k-1}} \cdots \mathbb{B}_{\ell_1} W(i\delta_0f) \boldsymbol{\xi}\| \right. \quad (4.42a)$$

$$\left. + \delta_{m,|\boldsymbol{\ell}|} N^{-1/2} \|(\mathcal{N}_\perp + 1)^{3/2} W(i\delta_{k-1}f) \mathbb{B}_{\ell_{k-1}} \cdots \mathbb{B}_{\ell_1} W(i\delta_0f) \boldsymbol{\xi}\| \right] \quad (4.42b)$$

where we used the notation $f = qB\varphi$ and abbreviated

$$\delta_{k-1} := \tau_{k-1} - \tau_k \quad \delta_0 := s - \tau_1. \quad (4.43)$$

By definition (4.18) of the operators \mathbb{B}_ℓ and using Lemma 2.2c we find that

$$\|(\mathcal{N}_\perp + 1)^b \mathbb{B}_\ell \boldsymbol{\xi}\| \leq C(\ell, b) \|B\|_{\text{op}} \|(\mathcal{N}_\perp + 1)^{b+\gamma_\ell} \boldsymbol{\xi}\|, \quad \gamma_\ell = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \ell \geq 3 \text{ odd} \\ 1 & \text{if } \ell = 1 \\ \frac{\ell+1}{2} & \text{if } \ell \text{ even} \end{cases} \quad (4.44)$$

for any $b \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{N}_0$. With $|\delta_j| \leq |s|$ for all $j \in \{0, \dots, k-1\}$ for $\boldsymbol{\tau} \in [0, s]^k$, Lemma 4.1 and (4.44) imply

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(\mathcal{N}_\perp + 1)^b W(i\delta_{k-1}f) \mathbb{B}_{\ell_{k-1}} \boldsymbol{\xi}\| \\ & \leq C(\ell, b) \left(\|(\mathcal{N}_\perp + 1)^b \mathbb{B}_{\ell_{k-1}} \boldsymbol{\xi}\| + (s \|B\|_{\text{op}})^{2b} \|\mathbb{B}_{\ell_{k-1}} \boldsymbol{\xi}\| \right) \\ & \leq C(\ell, b) \|B\|_{\text{op}} \left(\|(\mathcal{N}_\perp + 1)^{b+\gamma_{\ell_{k-1}}} \boldsymbol{\xi}\| + (s \|B\|_{\text{op}})^{2(b+\gamma_{\ell_{k-1}})} \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\| \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.45)$$

Using this estimate repeatedly yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(\mathcal{N}_\perp + 1)^b W(i\delta_{k-1}f) \mathbb{B}_{\ell_{k-1}} \cdots \mathbb{B}_{\ell_1} W(i\delta_0f) \boldsymbol{\xi}\| \\ & \leq C(\ell, b) (1 + \|B\|_{\text{op}}^{k-1+2(b+\Gamma_\ell)}) \left(1 + |s|^{2(b+\Gamma_\ell)} \right) \|(\mathcal{N}_\perp + 1)^{b+\Gamma_\ell} \boldsymbol{\xi}\| \end{aligned} \quad (4.46)$$

where

$$\Gamma_\ell := \gamma_{\ell_1} + \cdots + \gamma_{\ell_{k-1}}, \quad 0 \leq \Gamma_\ell \leq |\boldsymbol{\ell}| \quad (4.47)$$

by definition (4.44) of γ_ℓ . Moreover, $k \leq |\boldsymbol{\ell}| + 1$, hence

$$(4.42a) \leq C(m) (1 + \|B\|_{\text{op}}^{|\boldsymbol{\ell}|+2m+3}) \|(\mathcal{N}_\perp + 1)^{m+1} \boldsymbol{\xi}\| \|\boldsymbol{\xi}'\| \left(1 + |s|^{2m+|\boldsymbol{\ell}|+3} \right), \quad (4.48a)$$

$$(4.42b) \leq \delta_{m,|\boldsymbol{\ell}|} C(m) N^{-\frac{1}{2}} (1 + \|B\|_{\text{op}}^{3m+4}) \|(\mathcal{N}_\perp + 1)^{3/2+m} \boldsymbol{\xi}\| \|\boldsymbol{\xi}'\| (1 + |s|^{3m+4}). \quad (4.48b)$$

By (2.30), we conclude that

$$|\mathcal{S}_a(s)| \leq C(a) (1 + \|B\|_{\text{op}}^{3a+4}) \left(1 + |s|^{3a+3} + N^{-\frac{1}{2}} |s|^{3a+4} \right). \quad (4.49)$$

□

4.3.4 Proof of Proposition 4.5

Let us introduce the abbreviation

$$\phi(g) := a^\dagger(g) + a(g) \quad (4.50)$$

for any $g \in \mathfrak{H}$, and denote as above $f = qB\varphi$ and $\nu = U_0f + \overline{V_0f}$, for U_0 and V_0 as in (2.25). Recall that $\sigma = \|\nu\|$. For an operator $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{H})$, the relations (4.6) for the Weyl operator yield

$$W(g) d\Gamma(A)W(g)^* = d\Gamma(A) - \phi(Ag) + \langle g, Ag \rangle, \quad (4.51)$$

hence the operators \mathbb{B}_ℓ from (4.18) transform as

$$e^{i\tau\mathbb{B}_0}\mathbb{B}_{2\ell+1}e^{-i\tau\mathbb{B}_0} = \mathbb{B}_{2\ell+1} \quad (\ell \geq 1), \quad (4.52a)$$

$$e^{i\tau\mathbb{B}_0}\mathbb{B}_1e^{-i\tau\mathbb{B}_0} = \left(\mathbb{B}_1 - \tau\phi(iq\tilde{B}qB\varphi) + \tau^2 \langle \varphi, Bq\tilde{B}qB\varphi \rangle \right), \quad (4.52b)$$

$$e^{i\tau\mathbb{B}_0}\mathbb{B}_{2\ell}e^{-i\tau\mathbb{B}_0} = c_\ell \left[\left(a^\dagger(f) + i\tau\|f\|^2 \right) (\mathcal{N}_\perp - \tau\phi(if) + \tau^2\|f\|^2)^\ell + (\mathcal{N}_\perp - \tau\phi(if) + \tau^2\|f\|^2)^\ell (a(f) - i\tau\|f\|^2) \right]. \quad (4.52c)$$

We summarize these expressions in the following way, keeping only track on the τ -dependence and on the total number of creation/annihilation operators a^\sharp :

Definition 4.8 (Equivalence classes). *Consider a self-adjoint polynomial of degree j in \mathcal{N}_\perp and a^\sharp , i.e., an expression of the form*

$$\sum_{\substack{n,m \geq 0 \\ 2n+m=j}} \sum_{\nu=0}^n \sum_{\mu=0}^m \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \{-1,1\}^\mu} \mathcal{N}_\perp^\nu \int dx^{(\mu)} \xi_\mu(x^{(\mu)}) a_{x_1}^{\sharp k_1} \cdots a_{x_\mu}^{\sharp k_\mu} + \text{h.c.} \quad (4.53)$$

for some $\xi_\mu \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{d\mu})$. Here, we used the notation $a^{\sharp-1} := a$ and $a^{\sharp1} := a^\dagger$.

(a) *Two polynomials (4.53) are equivalent with respect to the relation \sim iff they have the same degree j and the number of operator-valued distributions a_x^\sharp in each summand is even/odd for j even/odd. We denote the representatives of the equivalence classes with respect to the relation \sim by \mathbb{F}_j , i.e.,*

$$\mathbb{F}_j \sim \sum_{\substack{n,m \geq 0 \\ 2n+m=j}} \sum_{\nu=0}^n \sum_{\substack{0 \leq \mu \leq m \\ j+\mu \text{ even}}} \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \{-1,1\}^\mu} \mathcal{N}_\perp^\nu \int dx^{(\mu)} \xi_\mu(x^{(\mu)}) a_{x_1}^{\sharp k_1} \cdots a_{x_\mu}^{\sharp k_\mu} + \text{h.c.} \quad (4.54)$$

(b) *Two polynomials (4.53) are equivalent with respect to the relation \sim_j iff they have a degree $\leq j$ and the number of operator-valued distributions a_x^\sharp in each summand is even/odd for j even/odd. We denote the representatives of the equivalence classes with respect to the relation \sim_j by $\mathbb{F}_{\leq j}$, i.e.,*

$$\mathbb{F}_{\leq j} \sim_j \mathbb{F}_{\tilde{j}} \quad (4.55)$$

for any $\tilde{j} \leq j$. When using the notation $\mathbb{F}_{\leq j}$, we will drop the index j from \sim_j .

With respect to these equivalence classes, $\mathbb{I}_\ell^{(k)}(s) \sim \mathbb{I}_{\tilde{\ell}}^{(k)}(s)$ if ℓ and $\tilde{\ell}$ differ only by a permutation of indices. Moreover, $\mathbb{I}_\ell^{(k)}(s)$ is equivalent to the operator where $\int_{\Delta_j}^s d\tau$ is replaced by $\int_{[0,s]^j} d\tau$. The identities (4.52) yield

$$\tilde{\mathbb{B}}_{2\ell+1} := \int_0^s e^{i\tau\mathbb{B}_0}\mathbb{B}_{2\ell+1}e^{-i\tau\mathbb{B}_0} d\tau \sim s\mathbb{F}_0, \quad (4.56a)$$

$$\tilde{\mathbb{B}}_1 := \int_0^s e^{i\tau\mathbb{B}_0} \mathbb{B}_1 e^{-i\tau\mathbb{B}_0} d\tau \sim \sum_{q=1}^3 s^q \mathbb{F}_{3-q} \quad (4.56b)$$

$$\tilde{\mathbb{B}}_{2\ell} := \int_0^s e^{i\tau\mathbb{B}_0} \mathbb{B}_{2\ell} e^{-i\tau\mathbb{B}_0} d\tau \sim \sum_{q=1}^{2\ell+2} s^q \mathbb{F}_{2\ell+2-q}. \quad (4.56c)$$

Consequently, for $|\ell| = j$,

$$\mathbb{I}_\ell^{(k)}(s) \sim \tilde{\mathbb{B}}_{\ell_1} \tilde{\mathbb{B}}_{\ell_2} \dots \tilde{\mathbb{B}}_{\ell_k} e^{is\mathbb{B}_0} \sim \tilde{\mathbb{B}}_1^{k_1} \tilde{\mathbb{B}}_2^{k_2} \dots \tilde{\mathbb{B}}_j^{k_j} e^{is\mathbb{B}_0} \quad (4.57)$$

where $(k_1, \dots, k_j) \in \{0, \dots, j\}^j$, $k_1 + \dots + k_j = k$ and $\sum_{n=1}^j nk_n = j$. From (4.56), one infers that

$$\tilde{\mathbb{B}}_\ell^k \sim \begin{cases} s^k \mathbb{F}_0 & \text{if } \ell \geq 3 \text{ odd,} \\ \sum_{n=k}^{3k} s^n \mathbb{F}_{3k-n} & \text{if } \ell = 1, \\ \sum_{n=k}^{k(\ell+2)} s^n \mathbb{F}_{k(\ell+2)-n} & \text{if } \ell \text{ even.} \end{cases} \quad (4.58)$$

Using the notation

$$k_{\text{odd}} := \sum_{\substack{3 \leq q \leq j \\ q \text{ odd}}} k_q, \quad j_{\text{odd}} := \sum_{\substack{3 \leq q \leq j \\ q \text{ odd}}} qk_q$$

one computes

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathbb{B}}_1^{k_1} \tilde{\mathbb{B}}_2^{k_2} \dots \tilde{\mathbb{B}}_j^{k_j} &\sim \left(\prod_{\substack{3 \leq q \leq j \\ q \text{ odd}}} \tilde{\mathbb{B}}_q^{k_q} \right) \tilde{\mathbb{B}}_1^{k_1} \left(\prod_{\substack{2 \leq q \leq j \\ q \text{ even}}} \tilde{\mathbb{B}}_q^{k_q} \right) \\ &\sim s^{k_{\text{odd}}} \sum_{n_1=k_1}^{3k_1} s^{n_1} \mathbb{F}_{3k_1-n_1} \prod_{\substack{2 \leq q \leq j \\ q \text{ even}}} \sum_{n_q=k_q}^{k_q(q+2)} s^{n_q} \mathbb{F}_{k_q(q+2)-n_q} \\ &\sim s^{k_{\text{odd}}} \sum_{n=k-k_{\text{odd}}}^{2k+j-(2k_{\text{odd}}+j_{\text{odd}})} s^n \mathbb{F}_{2k+j-(2k_{\text{odd}}+j_{\text{odd}})-n} \\ &= \sum_{n=k}^{2k+j-(k_{\text{odd}}+j_{\text{odd}})} s^n \mathbb{F}_{2k+j-(k_{\text{odd}}+j_{\text{odd}})-n} \end{aligned} \quad (4.59)$$

and consequently

$$\mathbb{T}_j(s) \sim \sum_{k=1}^j \sum_{n=k}^{2k+j-(k_{\text{odd}}+j_{\text{odd}})} s^n \mathbb{F}_{2k+j-(k_{\text{odd}}+j_{\text{odd}})-n} e^{is\mathbb{B}_0}. \quad (4.60)$$

Note that $k_{\text{odd}} + j_{\text{odd}} = \sum_{3 \leq q \leq j} \text{odd}(q+1)k_q$ is even, hence the power of s and the degree of \mathbb{F} sum up to an even/odd number if j is even/odd. Moreover, the highest power of s is attained for $k = j$ (where $k_{\text{odd}} = j_{\text{odd}} = 0$), which corresponds to the term $\mathbb{I}_{(1,1,\dots,1)}^{(j)}(s)$. Hence, we conclude that

$$\mathbb{T}_j(s) \sim \left(\sum_{n=1}^{j-1} s^n \mathbb{F}_{\leq 3j-n} + \sum_{n=j}^{3j} s^n \mathbb{F}_{3j-n} \right) e^{is\mathbb{B}_0} \sim \sum_{n=1}^{3j} s^n \mathbb{F}_{\leq 3j-n} e^{is\mathbb{B}_0}. \quad (4.61)$$

Moreover,

$$\mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{V}_0} \mathbb{T}_j(s) \mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{V}_0}^* \sim \sum_{\ell=1}^{3j} s^\ell \mathbb{F}_{\leq 3j-\ell} W(is\nu) \quad (4.62)$$

where we have used that $\mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{V}_0} \mathbb{B}_0 \mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{V}_0}^* = \phi(\nu)$ and $e^{is\phi(\nu)} = W(is\nu)$. By (2.29), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \chi_n, \mathbb{T}_{j-m}(s) \chi_{m-n} \rangle \\ & \sim \sum_{\substack{0 \leq p \leq 3n+\eta \\ p+n+\eta \text{ even}}} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq q \leq 3(m-n)+\eta \\ q+m-n+\eta \text{ even}}} \sum_{\ell=1}^{3(j-m)} s^\ell \int dx^{(q+p)} \overline{\Theta_{n,p}^{(\eta)}(x_{q+1}, \dots, x_{q+p})} \\ & \quad \times \Theta_{m-n,q}^{(\eta)}(x^{(q)}) \left\langle \Omega, a_{x_{q+1}} \cdots a_{x_{q+p}} \mathbb{F}_{\leq 3(j-m)-\ell} W(is\nu) a_{x_1}^\dagger \cdots a_{x_q}^\dagger \Omega \right\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (4.63)$$

Using that

$$W(is\nu) a_{x_1}^\dagger \cdots a_{x_q}^\dagger |\Omega\rangle = e^{-\frac{1}{2}s^2\sigma^2} (a_{x_1}^\dagger + is\nu(x_1)) \cdots (a_{x_q}^\dagger + is\nu(x_q)) e^{isa^\dagger(\nu)} |\Omega\rangle, \quad (4.64)$$

we find by permutation symmetry of $\Theta_{m-n,q}^{(\eta)}$ that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int dx^{(q)} \Theta_{m-n,q}^{(\eta)}(x^{(q)}) W(is\nu) a_{x_1}^\dagger \cdots a_{x_q}^\dagger |\Omega\rangle \\ & = e^{-\frac{1}{2}s^2\sigma^2} \sum_{r=0}^q (is)^{q-r} \binom{q}{r} \int dx^{(r)} \tilde{\Theta}_{m-n,q,r}^{(\eta)}(x^{(r)}) a_{x_1}^\dagger \cdots a_{x_r}^\dagger e^{isa^\dagger(\nu)} |\Omega\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (4.65)$$

for $\tilde{\Theta}_{m-n,q,r}^{(\eta)}(x^{(r)}) = \int dx_{r+1} \cdots dx_q \overline{\nu(x_{r+1})} \cdots \overline{\nu(x_q)} \Theta_{m-n,q}^{(\eta)}(x^{(q)})$. The inner product in (4.63) is non-zero only if it contains equal numbers of creation and annihilation operators. Since the operators $\mathbb{F}_{\leq 3(j-m)-\ell}$ have been conjugated by a Bogoliubov transformation (see (4.62)), they contain at each degree of the polynomial all possible combinations of creation and annihilation operators. Hence, expanding $e^{isa^\dagger(\nu)}$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \int dx^{(r)} dx_{q+1} \cdots dx_{q+p} \tilde{\Theta}_{m-n,q,r}^{(\eta)}(x^{(r)}) \overline{\Theta_{n,p}^{(\eta)}(x_{q+1}, \dots, x_{q+p})} \\ & \quad \times \left\langle \Omega, a_{x_{q+1}} \cdots a_{x_{q+p}} \mathbb{F}_{\leq 3(j-m)-\ell} a_{x_1}^\dagger \cdots a_{x_r}^\dagger e^{isa^\dagger(\nu)} \Omega \right\rangle \\ & = \sum_{\nu=0}^{3(j-m)-\ell} c_{\nu,j,m,n,\ell,q,r}^{(\eta)} s^{p+3(j-m)-\ell-r-2\nu} \end{aligned} \quad (4.66)$$

for some coefficients $c_{\nu,j,m,n,\ell,q,r}^{(\eta)} \in \mathbb{C}$. In particular, there is a non-zero contribution from $\nu = 0$ by (4.61). In summary,

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \chi_n, \mathbb{T}_{j-m}(s) \chi_{m-n} \rangle \\ & \sim \sum_{\substack{0 \leq p \leq 3n+\eta \\ p+n+\eta \text{ even}}} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq q \leq 3(m-n)+\eta \\ q+m-n+\eta \text{ even}}} \sum_{\ell=1}^{3(j-m)} s^\ell e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 s^2} \sum_{r=0}^q (is)^{q-r} \int dx^{(r)} dx_{q+1} \cdots dx_{q+p} \tilde{\Theta}_{m-n,q,r}^{(\eta)}(x^{(r)}) \\ & \quad \times \overline{\Theta_{n,p}^{(\eta)}(x_{q+1}, \dots, x_{q+p})} \left\langle \Omega, a_{x_{q+1}} \cdots a_{x_{q+p}} \mathbb{F}_{\leq 3(j-m)-\ell} a_{x_1}^\dagger \cdots a_{x_r}^\dagger e^{isa^\dagger(\nu)} \Omega \right\rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\sim e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 s^2} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq p \leq 3n+\eta \\ p+n+\eta \text{ even}}} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq q \leq 3(m-n)+\eta \\ q+m-n+\eta \text{ even}}} \sum_{\ell=1}^{3(j-m)} \sum_{r=0}^q \sum_{\nu=0}^{3(j-m)-\ell} c_{\nu,j,m,n,\ell,q,r}^{(\eta)} s^{p+q+3(j-m)-2(r+\nu)}. \quad (4.67)$$

Note that the highest power of s is $3j + 2\eta$ and that $p + q + 3(j - m) - 2(r + \nu)$ is even/odd when $3j$ is even/odd. This yields (4.27) with

$$p_j^{(\eta)}(s) = \sum_{\substack{0 \leq k \leq 3j+2\eta \\ k+j \text{ even}}} c_k^{(j,\eta)} s^k \quad (4.68)$$

for $c_k^{(j,\eta)} \in \mathbb{C}$ with $c_{3j+2\eta}^{(j,\eta)} \neq 0$. □

4.3.5 Proof of Proposition 4.6

From Propositions 4.3 and 4.4, we know that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_N(s) &= e^{-\frac{1}{2}s^2\sigma^2} \\ &+ iN^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^s d\tau \left\langle W(-isf)\chi_0, W(-i\tau f) d\Gamma(q\tilde{B}q)W(i\tau f)\chi_0 \right\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (4.69a)$$

$$+ N^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(\langle W(-isf)\chi_0, \chi_1 \rangle + \langle \chi_1, W(isf)\chi_0 \rangle \right) \quad (4.69b)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &- iN^{-\frac{1}{2}} B^{(1)} \int_0^s d\tau \langle \chi_0, W(isf)\chi_0 \rangle \\ &+ \mathcal{O}(N^{-1}) \end{aligned} \quad (4.69c)$$

with $f = qB\varphi$ as above.

Computation of (4.69a). As above, we abbreviate $\nu = U_0qO\varphi + \overline{V_0qO\varphi}$. With (4.7) and (4.8), we find

$$\begin{aligned} (4.69a) &= iN^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^s d\tau \left\langle W(-is\nu)\Omega, W(-i\tau\nu)\mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{V}_0} d\Gamma(q\tilde{B}q)\mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{V}_0}^* W(i\tau\nu)\Omega \right\rangle \\ &= iN^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}s^2\sigma^2} \int_0^s d\tau \left\langle e^{-isa^\dagger(\nu)}\Omega, W^*(i\tau\nu)\mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{V}_0} d\Gamma(q\tilde{B}q)\mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{V}_0}^* W(i\tau\nu)\Omega \right\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (4.70)$$

For any one-body operator A , any ONB (φ_i) of \mathfrak{H}_\perp , and $g \in \mathfrak{H}_\perp$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} W^*(g)\mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{V}_0} d\Gamma(A)\mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{V}_0}^* W(g) &= \sum_{i,j} A_{ij} \left(a(\overline{V_0\varphi_i}) + \langle \overline{V_0\varphi_i}, g \rangle + a^\dagger(U_0\varphi_i) + \langle g, U_0\varphi_i \rangle \right) \\ &\quad \times \left(a(U_0\varphi_j) + \langle U_0\varphi_j, g \rangle + a^\dagger(\overline{V_0\varphi_j}) + \langle g, \overline{V_0\varphi_j} \rangle \right), \end{aligned} \quad (4.71)$$

where we denoted $A_{ij} := \langle \varphi_i, A\varphi_j \rangle$. Consequently, expanding the exponential yields

$$(4.69a) = iN^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}s^2\sigma^2} \sum_{i,j} (q\tilde{B}q)_{ij} \int_0^s d\tau \left\langle e^{-isa^\dagger(\nu)}\Omega, \left[a^\dagger(U_0\varphi_i) a^\dagger(\overline{V_0\varphi_j}) \right. \right.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \left(\langle \overline{V_0 \varphi_i}, i\tau\nu \rangle + \langle i\tau\nu, U_0 \varphi_i \rangle \right) a^\dagger(\overline{V_0 \varphi_j}) + a^\dagger(U_0 \varphi_i) \left(\langle U_0 \varphi_j, i\tau\nu \rangle + \langle i\tau\nu, \overline{V_0 \varphi_j} \rangle \right) \\
& + \langle \overline{V_0 \varphi_i}, \overline{V_0 \varphi_j} \rangle + \left(\langle \overline{V_0 \varphi_i}, i\tau\nu \rangle + \langle i\tau\nu, U_0 \varphi_i \rangle \right) \left(\langle U_0 \varphi_j, i\tau\nu \rangle + \langle i\tau\nu, \overline{V_0 \varphi_j} \rangle \right) \Big] \Omega \rangle \\
& = iN^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}s^2\sigma^2} (\tilde{c}_1 s + \tilde{c}_3 s^3), \tag{4.72}
\end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{c}_1, \tilde{c}_3 \in \mathbb{R}$ are given by

$$\tilde{c}_1 = \text{Tr}(V_0 q \tilde{B} q V_0^*), \tag{4.73a}$$

$$\tilde{c}_3 = -\frac{1}{6} \left(\langle \nu, U_0 q \tilde{B} q U_0^* \nu \rangle + \langle \bar{\nu}, V_0 q \tilde{B} q V_0^* \bar{\nu} \rangle \right) - \frac{2}{3} \Re \langle \nu, U_0 q \tilde{B} q V_0^* \bar{\nu} \rangle. \tag{4.73b}$$

Computation of (4.69b). Using that

$$\chi_1 = \mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{V}_0}^* \left(\int dx \Theta_{1,1}^{(0)}(x) a_x^\dagger |\Omega\rangle + \int dx^{(3)} \Theta_{1,3}^{(0)}(x^{(3)}) a_{x_1}^\dagger a_{x_2}^\dagger a_{x_3}^\dagger |\Omega\rangle \right) \tag{4.74}$$

by Lemma 2.2a, one computes

$$(4.69b) = iN^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}s^2\sigma^2} \left(2\Re \langle \nu, \Theta_{1,1}^{(0)} \rangle s - 2\Re \langle \nu^{\otimes 3}, \Theta_{1,3}^{(0)} \rangle s^3 \right). \tag{4.75}$$

Computation of (4.69c). We find, using first (4.9) and then Lemma 2.2c, that

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.69c) & = -iN^{-1/2} e^{-\frac{1}{2}s^2\sigma^2} s B^{(1)} \\
& = -iN^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}s^2\sigma^2} s \left(\langle \chi_0, \phi(qB\varphi)\chi_1 \rangle + \langle \chi_1, \phi(qB\varphi)\chi_0 \rangle + \langle \chi_0, d\Gamma(q\tilde{B}q)\chi_0 \rangle \right) \\
& = -e^{-\frac{1}{2}s^2\sigma^2} s \frac{d}{ds} \left((4.69a) + (4.69b) \right) \Big|_{s=0} \\
& = -iN^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}s^2\sigma^2} s \left(\tilde{c}_1 + 2\Re \langle \Theta_{1,1}^{(0)}, \nu \rangle \right). \tag{4.76}
\end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof of Proposition 4.6. \square

4.4 Proof of Theorem 1

Combining Propositions 4.3, 4.5 and 4.4, we find that

$$\left| \phi_N(s) - \sum_{j=0}^a N^{-\frac{j}{2}} p_j^{(\eta)}(s) e^{-\frac{1}{2}s^2\sigma^2} \right| \leq C_B(a) \left(N^{-\frac{a+1}{2}} (1 + |s|^{3a+3}) + N^{-\frac{a+2}{2}} |s|^{3a+4} \right). \tag{4.77}$$

Consequently, by (4.13),

$$\left| \mathbb{E}[g(\mathcal{B}_N)] - \sum_{j=0}^a N^{-\frac{j}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} ds \hat{g}(s) p_j^{(\eta)}(s) e^{-\frac{1}{2}s^2\sigma^2} \right| \leq C_B(g, a) N^{-\frac{a+1}{2}} \tag{4.78}$$

because $\hat{g} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, (1 + |s|^{3a+4}))$. Finally, Plancherel's theorem implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int ds \hat{g}(s) s^k e^{-\frac{1}{2}s^2\sigma^2} & = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \int dx g(x) \left(i \frac{d}{dx} \right)^k e^{-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2}} \\
& = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \left(\frac{-i}{\sigma} \right)^k \int g(x) H_k \left(\frac{x}{\sigma} \right) e^{-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2}}, \tag{4.79}
\end{aligned}$$

where $H_k(x)$ is the k -th Hermite polynomial as defined in (3.27). This yields (1.18) with polynomials $\mathbf{p}_j(x)$ of degree $3j + 2\eta$ in $x \in \mathbb{R}$ which are even/odd for j even/odd. Note that the coefficients of the \mathbf{p}_j must be real-valued because $\mathbb{E}[g(\mathcal{B}_N)] \in \mathbb{R}$ for real-valued g . \square

4.5 Proof of Proposition 4.7

We consider $\chi_0 \in \mathcal{C}_N^{(\eta)}$ for some $\eta > 0$. The leading order of $\phi_N^{(\eta)}(s)$ is given by $\langle \chi_0, e^{is\mathbb{B}_0} \chi_0 \rangle$ and can be computed similarly to Propositions 4.5 and 4.6. Using (4.30) and abbreviating

$$\sigma_j := \langle \nu, \xi_j \rangle, \quad (4.80)$$

we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \chi_0, e^{is\mathbb{B}_0} \chi_0 \rangle &= \langle \Omega, a(\xi_1) \cdots a(\xi_\eta) W(is\nu) a^\dagger(\xi_1) \cdots a^\dagger(\xi_\eta) \Omega \rangle \\ &= e^{-\frac{1}{2}s^2\sigma^2} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\eta} s^{2(\eta-\ell)} \frac{(-1)^{\eta-\ell}}{\ell!((\eta-\ell)!)^2} \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_\eta} \sigma_{\pi(\ell+1)} \cdots \sigma_{\pi(\eta)} \\ &\quad \times \langle \Omega, a(\xi_1) \cdots a(\xi_\eta) a^\dagger(\xi_{\pi(1)}) \cdots a^\dagger(\xi_{\pi(\ell)}) a^\dagger(\nu)^{\eta-\ell} \Omega \rangle \\ &=: e^{-\frac{1}{2}s^2\sigma^2} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\eta} c_{\eta, \eta-\ell} s^{2(\eta-\ell)}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.81)$$

where \mathfrak{S}_ℓ denotes the set of permutations of ℓ elements. To compute the coefficients $c_{\eta, \ell}$, let us introduce the notation

$$\zeta_j := \begin{cases} \xi_{\pi(j)} & j = 1, \dots, \ell \\ \nu & j = \ell + 1, \dots, \eta \end{cases} \quad (4.82)$$

and $I_\eta := \{1, \dots, \eta\}$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle \Omega, a(\xi_1) \cdots a(\xi_\eta) a^\dagger(\zeta_1) \cdots a^\dagger(\zeta_\eta) \Omega \rangle \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\eta} \langle \xi_\eta, \zeta_j \rangle \left\langle \Omega, a(\xi_1) \cdots a(\xi_{\eta-1}) \left(\prod_{\mu \in I_\eta \setminus \{j\}} a^\dagger(\zeta_\mu) \right) \Omega \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{\pi' \in \mathfrak{S}_\eta} \langle \xi_{\pi'(1)}, \zeta_1 \rangle \cdots \langle \xi_{\pi'(\eta)}, \zeta_\eta \rangle \\ &= \sum_{\pi' \in \mathfrak{S}_\eta} \langle \xi_{\pi'(1)}, \xi_{\pi(1)} \rangle \cdots \langle \xi_{\pi'(\ell)}, \xi_{\pi(\ell)} \rangle \overline{\sigma_{\pi'(\ell+1)}} \cdots \overline{\sigma_{\pi'(\eta)}}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.83)$$

the coefficients $c_{\eta, \ell}$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} c_{\eta, \ell} &= \frac{(-1)^\ell}{(\eta-\ell)!((\ell)!)^2} \sum_{\pi, \pi' \in \mathfrak{S}_\eta} \langle \xi_{\pi'(1)}, \xi_{\pi(1)} \rangle \cdots \langle \xi_{\pi'(\eta-\ell)}, \xi_{\pi(\eta-\ell)} \rangle \sigma_{\pi(\eta-\ell+1)} \cdots \sigma_{\pi(\eta)} \\ &\quad \times \overline{\sigma_{\pi'(\eta-\ell+1)}} \cdots \overline{\sigma_{\pi'(\eta)}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.84)$$

This concludes the proof by (4.79). \square

Acknowledgements

It is a pleasure to thank Martin Kolb, Simone Rademacher, Robert Seiringer and Stefan Teufel for helpful discussions. L.B. gratefully acknowledges funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie Grant Agreement No. 754411. We thank the Mathematisches Forschungsinstitut Oberwolfach, where part of this work was done, for their hospitality.

References

- [1] G. Ben Arous, K. Kirkpatrick, and B. Schlein. A central limit theorem in many-body quantum dynamics. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 321:371–417, 2013.
- [2] L. Boßmann. Low-energy spectrum and dynamics of the weakly interacting bose gas. *J. Math. Phys.*, 2022.
- [3] L. Boßmann, S. Petrat, P. Pickl, and A. Soffer. Beyond Bogoliubov dynamics. *Pure Appl. Anal.*, 3(4):677–726, 2021.
- [4] L. Boßmann, S. Petrat, and R. Seiringer. Asymptotic expansion of low-energy excitations for weakly interacting bosons. *Forum Math. Sigma*, 9:e28, 2021.
- [5] E. Breuillard. Distributions diophantiennes et théorème limite local sur. *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, 132(1):13–38, 2005.
- [6] S. Buchholz, C. Saffirio, and B. Schlein. Multivariate central limit theorem in quantum dynamics. *J. Stat. Phys.*, 154(1-2):113–152, 2014.
- [7] Z. Coelho and W. Parry. Central limit asymptotics for shifts of finite type. *Israel J. Math.*, 69(2):235–249, 1990.
- [8] M. Cramer and J. Eisert. A quantum central limit theorem for non-equilibrium systems: exact local relaxation of correlated states. *New J. Phys.*, 12(5):055020, 2010.
- [9] C. D. Cushen and R. L. Hudson. A quantum-mechanical central limit theorem. *J. Appl. Probab.*, 8(3):454–469, 1971.
- [10] W. Feller. *An introduction to probability theory and its applications, Vol 2*. John Wiley & Sons, 2008.
- [11] K. Fernando and C. Liverani. Edgeworth expansions for weakly dependent random variables. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré, Probab. Statist.*, 57(1):469–505, 2021.
- [12] C. Field and E. Ronchetti. *Small Sample Asymptotics*. IMS Lecture Notes-Monograph Series. Institute of Mathematical Statistics, 1990.
- [13] D. Goderis and P. Vets. Central limit theorem for mixing quantum systems and the CCR-algebra of fluctuations. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 122(2):249–265, 1989.
- [14] F. Götze and C. Hipp. Asymptotic expansions for sums of weakly dependent random vectors. *Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete*, 64(2):211–239, 1983.
- [15] P. Hall. *The Bootstrap and Edgeworth expansion*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.
- [16] M. Hayashi. Quantum estimation and the quantum central limit theorem. *Sci. and Tech.*, 227:95, 2006.
- [17] K. Hepp and E. H. Lieb. Phase transitions in reservoir-driven open systems with applications to lasers and superconductors. In *Condensed Matter Physics and Exactly Soluble Models*, pages 145–175. Springer, 1973.

- [18] L. Hervé and F. Pène. The Nagaev-Guivarc’h method via the Keller-Liverani theorem. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 138(3):415–489, 2010.
- [19] V. Jakšić, Y. Pautrat, and C.-A. Pillet. A quantum central limit theorem for sums of independent identically distributed random variables. *J. Math. Phys.*, 51(1):015208, 2010.
- [20] K. Kirkpatrick, S. Rademacher, and B. Schlein. A large deviation principle in many-body quantum dynamics. *Ann. Henri Poincaré*, 22(8):2595–2618, 2021.
- [21] G. Kuperberg. A tracial quantum central limit theorem. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 357(2):459–471, 2005.
- [22] M. Lewin, P. T. Nam, S. Serfaty, and J. P. Solovej. Bogoliubov spectrum of interacting Bose gases. *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.*, 68(3):413–471, 2015.
- [23] S. V. Nagaev. Some limit theorems for stationary Markov chains. *Theory Probab. Appl.*, 2(4):378–406, 1957.
- [24] S. V. Nagaev. More exact statement of limit theorems for homogeneous Markov chains. *Theory Probab. Appl.*, 6(1):62–81, 1961.
- [25] V. V. Petrov. *Sums of independent random variables*. De Gruyter, 2022.
- [26] S. Rademacher. Central limit theorem for Bose gases interacting through singular potentials. *Lett. Math. Phys.*, 110(8):2143–2174, 2020.
- [27] S. Rademacher. Dependent random variables in quantum dynamics. *J. Math. Phys.*, 63(8):081902, 2022.
- [28] S. Rademacher and B. Schlein. Central limit theorem for Bose–Einstein condensates. *J. Math. Phys.*, 60(7):071902, 2019.
- [29] S. Rademacher and R. Seiringer. Large deviation estimates for weakly interacting bosons. *J. Stat. Phys.*, 188(1):1–21, 2022.
- [30] I. Rodnianski and B. Schlein. Quantum fluctuations and rate of convergence towards mean field dynamics. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 291(1):31–61, 2009.
- [31] J. P. Solovej. Many body quantum mechanics. <http://www.mathematik.uni-muenchen.de/~sorensen/Lehre/SoSe2013/MQM2/skript.pdf>, 2007.
- [32] R. Speicher. A non-commutative central limit theorem. *Math. Z.*, 209(1):55–66, 1992.
- [33] D. L. Wallace. Asymptotic approximations to distributions. *The Annals of Mathematical Statistics*, 29(3):635–654, 1958.