

A comparative study of auxiliary intercalating agents on thermal conductivity of expanded graphite/polyetherimide composite

Fatema Tarannum, Swapneel Danayat, Avinash Nayal, Rajmohan Muthaiah, Roshan Sameer
Annam, Jivtesh Garg

School of Aerospace and Mechanical Engineering, University of Oklahoma, Norman, 73019,
USA

Abstract

In this work, we have comprehensively studied the effect of auxiliary intercalating agents on the thermal conductivity of expanded graphite (EG) polymer composites. We report an ultra-high enhancement of 4030% in thermal conductivity of polyetherimide/graphene nanocomposite ($k = 9.5 \text{ Wm}^{-1}\text{K}^{-1}$) prepared through the use of EG with hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) as an auxiliary intercalating agent at 10 wt% compositions (k of pure polyetherimide $\sim 0.23 \text{ Wm}^{-1}\text{K}^{-1}$). This ultra-high thermal conductivity value is found to be due to an EG-mediated interconnected graphene network throughout the composite, establishing a percolative environment that enables highly efficient thermal transport in the composite. Comparative studies were also performed using sodium chlorate (NaClO_3) as an auxiliary intercalating agent. At 10 wt% composition, NaClO_3 intercalated EG was found to lead to a smaller enhancement of 2190% in k of composite. Detailed characterization performed to elucidate this advantage, revealed that hydrogen peroxide led to primarily edge oxidation of graphene sheets within EG, leaving the basal plane intact, thus preserving the ultra-high in-plane thermal conductivity of $\sim 2000 \text{ Wm}^{-1}\text{K}^{-1}$. Sodium chlorate, on the other hand, led to a higher degree of oxidation, with a large number of oxygen groups on the basal plane of graphene, dramatically lowering its in-plane thermal conductivity. Thermal diffusivity of H_2O_2 prepared EG paper was measured to be $9.5 \text{ mm}^2/\text{s}$ while that of NaClO_3 case was measured to be $6.7 \text{ mm}^2/\text{s}$, thus directly confirming the beneficial impact of H_2O_2 on k of graphene itself. This study is the first to address the role of intercalating agents on k of expanded graphite/polymer composites and has led to the discovery of H_2O_2 as an effective intercalating agent for achieving ultra-high thermal conductivity values.

Keywords: Intercalating agents, thermal conductivity, expanded graphite, graphite intercalated compound, hydrogen peroxide, sodium chlorate.

1. Introduction

Graphite comprises of multiple layers of graphene which are stacked along the c-axis by weak van der Waals force with an interlayer spacing of 3.35 Å¹. Due to the weak van der Waals force and the interlayer spacing, the insertion of atoms, ions, and molecules between the graphene layers can quickly initiate the intercalation process. Graphite intercalated compound (GIC) is the form of graphite with intercalated chemical species such as atoms, ions, and molecules between the graphene layers^{2,3} as shown in Figure 1a. GIC has been studied for various applications including superconductors, fuel cells, battery cells, heterogeneous catalysts, electrodes, hydrogen storage, and polarizers⁴⁻⁷. GICs are also of interest for obtaining large lateral size⁸⁻¹⁰ and single/bilayer/few layers of graphene using liquid-phase exfoliation^{1,11}.

Concentrated H₂SO₄ serves as the acidic environment and has been the most common intercalating agent for the preparation technique of GIC; however, the intercalation process also requires an anodic or strong oxidizing agent. According to Cai *et al.*¹², even though the van der Waals force between the graphene layers is weak, it is still strong enough to make the intercalation process difficult. Oxidizing agents coupled with H₂SO₄ reduce these forces significantly, enabling the interlayer separation to be increased, which leads to a high degree of intercalation of GIC^{12,13}. Intercalation degree is directly related to a parameter known as staging index which is equal to the number of graphite layers between two intercalated regions¹⁴. Several studies emphasize the role of staging index of GIC^{15,16} in understanding the intercalation process.

According to the Rudorff model, a single layer, bilayer, or tri-layer graphene can be alternated regularly with intercalated species in stages I, II, or III. In stage-I of the intercalation process, each graphene sheet is separated from the others by intercalated compounds, and in stage II, GIC has two adjacent graphene sheets contained between intercalated compounds¹⁷ as shown in Figure 1b.

GIC possesses a well-stacked graphitic structure containing acceptor, donor, or neutral type intercalant species. An acceptor type GIC is produced when electronegative species accept an electron and form an ionic bond with the π -electron network¹⁶ denoted by C_x.



Here A accepts m electron from the π -electron network. A donor type GIC is produced when an electron is donated to the network^{16,18}; this happens with metal atoms as shown in the following equation.







Figure 5.1 a) Preparation of graphite intercalation compound (GIC) in the presence of intercalants (acids & oxidizing agents), b) schematic illustration of different stages of intercalation.

The intercalation process allows simultaneous oxidation or reduction of starting graphite sheets. Through this method, the positive or negative charges of the acceptor or donor type GIC cause repulsion between the graphite layers that increase their relative distance, and the intercalating agents can enter in between the graphite gaps. Donor and acceptor type intercalants cause the carbon-carbon bonds in the bonding layers to have stage-dependent stiffening nature for acceptors or softening for donors, respectively, due to the electron transfer process¹⁹. Another main structural characteristic of GIC is strong intraplanar binding and weak interplanar bonding, which


causes the graphite and intercalant layers to stay separated and maintain the inherent properties of graphite.

A class of graphite intercalated compounds known as graphite bisulfates, are an acceptor type intercalated compound and can be synthesized using sulfuric acid and oxidizing agents such as concentrated nitric acid, potassium permanganate, potassium dichromate and chromium trioxide^{20,21}.

Synthesis of graphite bisulfate is obtained using the following reaction scheme²²:



where O_x is the oxidizing agent, C is the carbon atoms in the graphite, n, and m are the numbers of moles of C and H_2SO_4 respectively. Intercalation of graphite produces intercalated graphite bisulfate compound. Simultaneously, oxidizing agents cause the anionic and molecular insertion between the carbon layered structure along with the formation of C_n^+ through their high redox potential. Upon synthesis, GIC contains carbon, oxygen, and sulfur with different ratios. Due to the insertion of intercalated species and oxygen groups, GIC has huge potential to expand significantly by thermal heating, along the graphite c-crystallographic direction because of weak adhesive forces between adjacent graphene layers. Thus, GIC provides an efficient route to obtain expanded graphite (EG). Camino *et al.* have proposed that the expansion occurs due to the formation of carbon dioxide (CO_2), sulfur dioxide (SO_2), and water vapor (H_2O) produced by the reaction (5), yielding expanded graphite^{23,24} (Figure 1a).



The properties of expanded graphite have been shown to be highly dependent on the GIC production processes related to intercalation, chemical oxidation, and electrochemical intercalation²⁵⁻²⁷. The characteristics of EG are substantially influenced by the sulfuric acid concentration, oxidizing agent, and intercalation period used in the intercalation process.

Numerous GICs with different intercalants have been synthesized and reported. In recent years, after discovering the fascinating properties of graphene, and the higher-temperature superconductivity in CaC_6 ²⁸, GICs started gaining renewed interest²⁹. Significant research has been performed on the synthesis process and characterization of graphite intercalated compound. The intercalation process is complicated, and studies are still ongoing to better understand it. Hong *et al.* developed a simple method for producing graphene from sulfuric acid intercalated graphite oxide by fast reduction and expansion exfoliation at temperatures just above 100 °C in ambient

air³⁰. Salvatore *et al.*³¹ explored the morphology and intercalation effect of intercalated graphite bisulfate synthesized using various oxidizing agents and sulfuric acid. Parvez *et al.*³² investigated electrochemical exfoliation using several organic salts ((NH₄)₂SO₄, Na₂SO₄, K₂SO₄) to create GICs using sulfate ions, and found that the EG, produced has better electrical properties including 11 Ω sq⁻¹ conductivity of graphene films and high yield exfoliation³².

EG filler has recently attracted a lot of interest in polymer composite applications. While integrated with the polymer, EG filler improves thermal characteristics significantly. Huang *et al.*³³ found that two-step intercalation with hydrogen peroxide and phosphoric acid improved the flame retardancy of expandable graphite (EG). They found that 30 wt% EG composition EG/ethylene-vinylacetate copolymer (EVM) matrix composite had a high limited oxygen index (LOI) of 30.4%³³. Hou *et al.* used hydrogen peroxide and sulfuric acid to make an exfoliated or expanded graphite film with an in-plane thermal conductivity of 575 Wm⁻¹K⁻¹ at a density of 2 gcm⁻³. Liu *et al.*³⁴ reported thermal conductivity of 12.95 Wm⁻¹K⁻¹ of 3D-EG/ polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) composite containing 31.9 wt% EG and graphene oxide (GO). Wang *et al.* demonstrated superior electrical properties of 1719 Sm⁻¹ of chemically expanded graphite poly (methyl methacrylate) (PMMA) composite through interlayer polymerization at 10 wt% EG filler content. Kuan *et al.* compared different preparation techniques to observe the flame retardant property and found that hydrothermally H₂O₂ processed EG high-density polyethylene composite showed superiority over other procedures³⁶. However, no work has addressed the role of intercalating agents in the thermal conductivity enhancement of expanded graphite polymer composites.

To synthesize intercalated graphite, two distinct oxidizing agents, namely, hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) and sodium chlorate (NaClO₃) are used in this work, and the influence of thermally expanded graphite (EG) on the thermal characteristics of EG polymer composites is investigated in this work. Theoretical studies have revealed that Na ions possess strong intercalating power between graphene layers, resulting in lower stage number at a higher degree of oxidation³⁷. Recently Kang *et al.*³⁸ theoretically studied the role of alkali metal sodium (Na) on the intercalation behavior of expanded graphite oxide with different amounts and ratios of oxygen functional groups. Wang *et al.*³⁹ also reported that oxidation leads to enlarged interlayer spacing of graphite to accommodate sodium ions and microchannels of oxidized graphite significantly help in Na ion diffusion. Intercalation behavior and effect on the thermal properties of EG using such alkali metal base and strong oxidizer, namely, NaClO₃ will be investigated here. On the other hand, Vittore *et*

*al.*⁴⁰ developed a simple approach for preparing edge oxidized graphite utilizing H₂O₂ treatment at 60 °C and also claimed an additional benefit of eliminating amorphous carbon fraction from starting graphite. Edge oxidized graphite can lead to superior thermal and electrical properties to the polymer composites. In this work, we utilize another intercalation route of H₂O₂ to prepare expanded graphite from intercalated graphite bisulfate. The role of auxiliary intercalating agents in modifying the thermal properties of expanded graphite is explored in this work with the goal of developing high thermal conductivity polymer/expanded-graphite nanocomposites.

Polyetherimide (PEI) has significantly low thermal conductivity of 0.23 Wm⁻¹K⁻¹. Thermally expanded graphite (EG) produced from GICs functions as a useful 3D carbon filler for the thermosetting polymer, PEI. The thermal conductivity of an EG/polymer composite made of H₂O₂ expanded graphite (EG-H₂O₂) was found to be significantly higher than that of NaClO₃ expanded graphite (EG-NaClO₃). Compared to pure PEI, the *k* value of EG-H₂O₂/PEI composite for H₂O₂ intercalation increased by ~ 4030%, whereas EG-NaClO₃ fillers increased the *k* value by ~2190% at 10 wt% expanded graphite filler concentration. We have also made compressed EG sheets out of EG-H₂O₂ and EG-NaClO₃ to compare the thermal conductivity of graphene paper attained via the two intercalation processes addressed above. In this study, the impact of oxidizing agent concentrations, reaction duration, and interlayer spacing on EG structure, chemical composition, and structural integrity of GIC and EG is thoroughly examined. To perform the investigation and provide evidence to demonstrate the remarkable improvement of EG-H₂O₂/PEI composites, characterization methods such as Raman, XPS, XRD, and FE-ESEM were utilized. The solvent casting approach was used to prepare the composite as it helps to preserve the EG structure during the composite preparation.

2. Experimental Sections

2.1 Materials

Natural flake graphite (-10 mesh graphite, 99.9%) and N, N-dimethylacetamide (DMAC) were purchased from Alfa aesar⁴¹, US. Sulfuric acid (H₂SO₄, 95–98%), hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂, 30%), sodium chlorate (NaClO₃, 99%), and polyetherimide (PEI pellets, melt index 18 g/10 min) were purchased from Sigma Aldrich⁴².

2.2 Synthesis of GIC-H₂O₂ & EG-H₂O₂ using Intercalation Route I

10 mesh graphite was intercalated using H₂SO₄ and H₂O₂ under mechanical stirring in the intercalation route I. Initially, a cold-water bath was used for 10-15 min to run the reaction then we ran the reaction at room temperature. 20 ml of H₂SO₄ was added to the flask and slowly cooled down below 20 °C using a cold-water bath. Then 2 g graphite was added to the H₂SO₄ solution, followed by a very slow addition of H₂O₂. 300 ml cold deionized water was added to the mixture slowly at the end of the reaction time. Then we filtered and separated the particles from the acidic solution. We dried the filtered graphite at 60 °C for 24 h to obtain the intercalation compound GIC, denoted as GIC-H₂O₂. Then, the EG particles, named EG-H₂O₂, were obtained from GIC-H₂O₂ through rapid heat treatment at 900 °C. To achieve the highest thermal properties, we optimized the amount of H₂SO₄ and H₂O₂ using different quantities of H₂SO₄ and H₂O₂ in the reaction. Performed reaction conditions and obtained sample names are given in Table 1.

Table 1 Chemicals and their compositions used for the synthesis of GIC-H₂O₂ and EG-H₂O₂

| Sample name (GIC & EG) | 10 Mesh Graphite (g) | Amounts of reactants used | | Volume ratio (H ₂ SO ₄ : H ₂ O ₂) | Time |
|---|----------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|--------|
| | | H ₂ SO ₄ (mL) | H ₂ O ₂ (mL) | | |
| GIC-H ₂ O ₂ 1 & EG-H ₂ O ₂ 1 | 2 | 20 | 6 | 3.33:1 | 30 min |
| GIC-H ₂ O ₂ 2 & EG-H ₂ O ₂ 2 | 2 | 40 | 6 | 6.67:1 | 30 min |
| GIC-H ₂ O ₂ 3 & EG-H ₂ O ₂ 3 | 2 | 60 | 6 | 10:1 | 30 min |
| GIC-H ₂ O ₂ 4 & EG-H ₂ O ₂ 4 | 2 | 20 | 2 | 10:1 | 1 h |
| GIC-H ₂ O ₂ 5 & EG-H ₂ O ₂ 5 | 2 | 20 | 4 | 5:1 | 1 h |
| GIC-H ₂ O ₂ 6 & EG-H ₂ O ₂ 6 | 2 | 20 | 6 | 3:33:1 | 1 h |

2.3 Synthesis of GIC- NaClO_3 & EG- NaClO_3 using Intercalation Route II

In intercalation route II, H_2SO_4 and NaClO_3 were used to intercalate the 10 mesh graphite particles. using mechanical stirring. A cold-water bath was used for 10-15 min to run the reaction then the ambient temperature has been used. At first, H_2SO_4 was taken into a flask and slowly cooled down below $20\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ using a cold-water bath, then 2 g 10 mesh graphite particles were dispersed in H_2SO_4 , and NaClO_3 was added to H_2SO_4 solution slowly. 300 ml cold deionized water was added to the mixture slowly at the end of the reaction time and then washed with deionized water. The filtered graphite particles were then dried at $60\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ for 24 h to obtain the intercalation compound GIC, named GIC- NaClO_3 . To obtain the EG- NaClO_3 fillers, GICs were thermally expanded at $900\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$. 20-40 ml H_2SO_4 and 0.25-0.5 g NaClO_3 were utilized to optimize the amounts of reactants for the preparation of EG. Performed reaction conditions are mentioned in Table 2.

Table 2 Chemicals and their compositions used for synthesis of GIC- NaClO_3 and EG- NaClO_3

| Sample name | 10 Mesh Graphite (g) | Amounts of reactants used | | Volume Ratio H_2SO_4 : NaClO_3 | Time |
|--|----------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|---|--------|
| | | H_2SO_4 (mL) | NaClO_3 (g) | | |
| GIC- NaClO_3 1 & EG- NaClO_3 1 | 2 | 20 | 0.25 | 200:1 | 30 min |
| GIC- NaClO_3 2 & EG- NaClO_3 2 | 2 | 20 | 0.25 | 200:1 | 1 h |
| GIC- NaClO_3 3 & EG- NaClO_3 3 | 2 | 20 | 0.5 | 100:1 | 30 min |

2.4 Preparation Method of Expanded Graphite-Polyetherimide (EG/PEI) Composite and Expanded Graphite (EG) Paper

Thermal expansion of graphite intercalated compound was carried out to obtain the worm-structured EG filler using a hot furnace at 900 °C. To achieve maximum expansion, the reacted GIC particles were kept inside the furnace for ~1 min. The solution casting technique was used to




Figure 2 a) Schematic preparation of EG/PEI composite, b) Preparation method of expanded graphite (EG) paper using compression molding. DMAC: N,N-dimethylacetamide

prepare the expanded graphite polymer composite, and polyetherimide (PEI) was chosen as the polymer matrix to mix with the EG filler. We have followed the fabrication procedure as shown in Figure 2a to prepare EG/PEI composite. GIC-H₂O₂ and GIC-NaClO₃ were separately expanded by using the thermal expansion technique to obtain the EG-H₂O₂ and EG-NaClO₃ particles. EG fillers were then dispersed into 20 mL DMAC. Separately PEI pellets were dissolved using 50 mL DMAC at 130 °C for 1 h. The DMAC solution with EG filler and dissolved polymer were mixed and blended for 3 h at 130 °C, followed by ultrasonication at 20% amplitude. Ultra-sonication time (1 min – 3 min) was optimized for the composite preparation process. Then, the mixture was cast into a glass petri dish and kept at 100 °C. The composite film was peeled off after 24-48 h. Composite films were prepared using different concentrations of EG filler- 2.5, 5, 7.5, and 10 wt%. The EG-H₂O₂/PEI and EG-NaClO₃/PEI composite films at 10 wt% filler loading were prepared using the same solution casting technique for different reaction conditions to compare the thermal conductivity value.

We also prepared the expanded graphite paper or EG paper using the compression molding technique on EG particles as illustrated in Figure 2b. Carver hot press was used to compress 2 g of EG particles together at room temperature under a pressure of 1-2 MPa and ~0.3 mm thick EG papers were fabricated. We prepared EG-H₂O₂ 1 and EG-NaClO₃ 1 papers separately, using EG-H₂O₂ 1 and EG-NaClO₃ 1 particles, respectively.

3. Characterization

The expansion volume (EV) was determined by exfoliating 1 g of GIC at a temperature of 900 °C for 30-60 s, and its volume was measured using a graduated cylinder. Then volume was recorded, and this datum was considered as EV value. To ensure accuracy, measurements were carried out in triplicate, and the average value was reported.

The Raman spectra was obtained using a Horiba Jobin-Yvon labRam HR instrument (HORIBA Scientific, France). Data were collected over the range from 3000 to 1000 cm⁻¹ using a laser wavelength λ_L of 632 nm and a spectral resolution of 1.5 cm⁻¹. An Olympus BX 41 microscope with a 50× objective, a beam cross-sectional diameter of 25 μ m, and 3 scans per sample was used to collect the spectra.

Rigaku SmartLab diffractometer (Rigaku Corporation, Japan) was used to produce the X-ray powder diffraction (XRD) patterns of GICs, and EGs at room temperature. A Cu K α radiation ($\lambda = 1.5406 \text{ \AA}$) at 40 KV and 30 mA with a scan range of 5 to 80° and step size of 0.02° was used to collect the spectra. Bragg-Brentano configuration was used to collect the data at room temperature.

GICs and EGs were analyzed by Thermo Scientific K-alpha X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy (XPS) (ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, Massachusetts, USA), where Al K α gun source was used to excite the sample, and measurement was carried out for acquisition time of ~68 s at 400 μm spot size. The passing energy of 200 eV was utilized to find the C, O and S peaks in this analysis spectrum. The elemental analysis of C, O & S and the abundance of functional groups were investigated using the Avantage software. To determine the functional group's peak position and atomic percentage, Avantage software was used for deconvoluting C1s curve fitting utilizing Gaussian and Lorentzian functions.

Morphological characterization of EG filler and EG/polymer composites was carried out by high-resolution Field Emission Environmental Scanning Electron Microscopy (Quattro S FE-ESEM, ThermoFisher Scientific, USA). This SEM was operated in secondary electron (SE) mode at an accelerating voltage of 20 kV.

4. Result & Discussion

4.1 Thermal Conductivity Measurement

A Netzsch LFA 467 Hyperflash was used to measure the through-thickness thermal diffusivity of the samples. The composite film samples of 0.5-0.8 mm thickness were cut into 12.5 mm diameter discs and coated with a thin layer of graphite paint. Thermal diffusivity measurements were performed at room temperature (23 °C) for 8-12 samples. Then, the thermal conductivity was calculated using $k = \alpha \times \rho \times C_p$, where k , ρ , and C_p represent the thermal conductivity, density, and specific heat constant of the sample, respectively. The density of composite sample was measured using the Pycnometer (Accu-Pyc II 1340, Micromeritics, US instrument). Rule of mixture formula

was used to calculate the specific heat of composite where the specific heat of pure polymer and expanded graphite were obtained from differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) (DSC 204F1 Phoenix, Netzsch, Selb, Germany). We measured the through-thickness thermal diffusivity (α) of EG paper using LFA 467 Hyperflash for 18-20 samples and averaged them to determine the thermal diffusivity value of EG paper.




Figure 3 a) k value of EG-H₂O₂ 1/PEI & EG-NaClO₃ 1/PEI composite at 2.5, 5, 7.5 and 10 wt% filler concentration, b) α value of EG-H₂O₂ 1 & EG-NaClO₃ 1 paper.

4.2 Thermal Conductivity (k) Data Analysis

To compare the thermal properties of EG-H₂O₂ and EG-NaClO₃, the through thickness-thermal conductivity of expanded graphite polymer composite was measured. Comparison in thermal conductivity (k) value of EG/polymer composites for two auxiliary intercalating agents (H₂O₂ &




Figure 4 k value of 10 wt% EG-H₂O₂/PEI composites for a) different volume ratio of H₂SO₄:H₂O₂ for 20, 40, and 60 mL of H₂SO₄ respectively, b) different volume ratio of H₂SO₄:H₂O₂ for 2, 4, and 6 mL of H₂O₂ respectively.

NaClO₃) at different weight percentages-2.5, 5, 7.5 & 10 wt% of EG filler content has been presented in Figure 3a. With the addition of 2.5 wt% filler, the k of EG-H₂O₂ 1/PEI composites significantly increased to 4.7 Wm⁻¹K⁻¹ (representing an increase of ~1944% with respect to pure PEI), while the k of EG-NaClO₃ 1/PEI composites only increased to 2.3 Wm⁻¹K⁻¹. Increasing the EG-H₂O₂ 1 filler concentration to 5 and 7.5 wt%, increased k enhancement of EG-H₂O₂ 1/PEI composites by ~2620% and ~3670% respectively,. The slope of the EG-H₂O₂ /PEI composite's k value (as shown in Figure 3a) is 0.9, on the other hand, the slope of the EG-NaClO₃ 1/PEI composite's k is relatively low (0.5). k value of EG-H₂O₂ 1/PEI composites reaches up to 9.5 Wm⁻¹K⁻¹ for 10 wt% EG-H₂O₂ 1 filler concentration, indicating a remarkable enhancement of ~4030% with respect to k of pure PEI (0.23 Wm⁻¹K⁻¹). In comparison, a k value of 3 Wm⁻¹K⁻¹ is achieved for EG-NaClO₃ 1/PEI composite with 10 wt% EG-NaClO₃ 1 filler concentration, showing ~2190% enhancement in k value compared to pure PEI polymer. Such outstanding enhancement of k for EG-H₂O₂ 1/PEI relative to EG-NaClO₃ 1/PEI composite reveals the superior effect of EG-H₂O₂ 1




Figure 5 k value of 10 wt% EG-NaClO₃ /PEI composites with the different volume ratio of H₂SO₄: NaClO₃ and reaction time.

filler over the EG- NaClO_3 1 filler. This superior effect of EG- H_2O_2 filler can be explained in terms of structural integrity, optimum expansion volume, and presence of higher C=C/C-C bonding. Most importantly, H_2O_2 leads to edge oxidation during the intercalation route I, whereas NaClO_3 leads to basal plane oxidation during the intercalation route II, as shown in XPS analysis (shown in section 4.5). To verify the thermal conductivity of the expanded graphite prepared from the two intercalation routes, EG papers have been prepared to measure the through-thickness thermal diffusivity (α) and a higher α value has been found for EG- H_2O_2 1 paper as shown in Figure 3b. ~42% enhancement in α value for EG- H_2O_2 1 paper has been achieved compared to EG- NaClO_3 1 filler.

We have performed multiple reactions to optimize the volume ratio of H_2SO_4 : H_2O_2 and reaction time to achieve the highest thermal conductivity. Initially, keeping the amount of H_2O_2 the same, the quantity of H_2SO_4 was changed to 20 ml, 40 ml & 60 ml, and 10 wt% EG- H_2O_2 /PEI composite composites were prepared for different volume ratios of H_2SO_4 : H_2O_2 such as 3.33:1, 6.67:1 & 10:1. Figure 4a presents the k value of 10 wt% EG- H_2O_2 /PEI composite for different volume ratios of H_2SO_4 : H_2O_2 , and shows a decrease in composite k with an increase in H_2SO_4 quantity. This is due to strong intercalation with a higher amount of H_2SO_4 , resulting in lower stage number in GIC- H_2O_2 and higher expansion volume of EG. The k value of 10 wt% EG- H_2O_2 1/PEI composite at a volume ratio of H_2SO_4 : H_2O_2 (3:33:1) is found to be $9.5 \text{ Wm}^{-1}\text{K}^{-1}$; this decreases to $6 \text{ Wm}^{-1}\text{K}^{-1}$ for 10 wt% EG- H_2O_2 3/PEI composite at a volume ratio of 10:1. Due to the strong intercalation, the defective structural morphology of EG filler is responsible for such reduction in k value as shown through Raman analysis (section 4.4).

We have also observed a change in the k value of the EG- H_2O_2 /PEI composite with an increase in H_2O_2 quantity at a similar dosage of H_2SO_4 . Figure 4b presents the thermal conductivity value of EG- H_2O_2 /PEI composites for different volume ratios of 10:1, 5:1 & 3.33:1 at 2 ml, 4 ml, and 6 ml of H_2O_2 , respectively, and 1 h of intercalation time. k value of EG- H_2O_2 4/PEI composite is $7.3 \text{ Wm}^{-1}\text{K}^{-1}$ for 10 wt% EG- H_2O_2 4 filler loading and k value increases to $8 \text{ Wm}^{-1}\text{K}^{-1}$ for 10 wt% EG- H_2O_2 5 filler loading. In contrast, 10 wt% EG- H_2O_2 6 filler composition sample leads to a reduced k value of $6.2 \text{ Wm}^{-1}\text{K}^{-1}$. For the case of 2 ml & 4 ml H_2O_2 , the intercalation process does not complete with 20 ml H_2SO_4 for 60 min reaction time to reach optimum expansion volume. Optimum synthesis condition of 20 ml H_2SO_4 , 6 ml H_2O_2 , and 30 min leads to optimum expansion volume and superior thermal conductivity of $9.5 \text{ Wm}^{-1}\text{K}^{-1}$ for 10 wt% EG- H_2O_2 1/PEI composite.

We also have studied variation in k value of EG-NaClO₃/PEI composite with different quantities of H₂SO₄ & NaClO₃ and for different intercalation times. Figure 5 represents the k value of EG-NaClO₃/PEI composite for different volume ratios of 200:1 and 100:1. For a minimal amount of 0.25 g NaClO₃ and at a volume ratio of H₂SO₄: NaClO₃ (200:1), k value of EG-NaClO₃ 1/PEI composite reaches 3 Wm⁻¹K⁻¹ for 10 wt% EG-NaClO₃ 1 filler composition. The k value of EG-NaClO₃ 2/PEI composite is decreased to 4.6 Wm⁻¹K⁻¹ as the intercalation time increases from 30 min to 1 h. Higher intercalation time to prepare the GIC-NaClO₃ for the same amount of oxidant leads to more intercalation and lower stage number, leading to higher expansion volume. On the other hand, higher oxidation leads to higher structural defects as shown in XPS and Raman analysis, resulting in a lower k value. Also, a higher amount of NaClO₃ at volume ratio of H₂SO₄ : NaClO₃ (100:1) has a negative impact on EG-NaClO₃ 3/PEI composite's k value, leading to a lower k value of 4.5 Wm⁻¹K⁻¹ compared to EG-NaClO₃ 1/PEI composite. The measured k value does not increase at higher quantity of H₂SO₄ used in the reaction. Optimum reaction condition at a volume ratio of H₂SO₄: NaClO₃ (200:1) and 30 min intercalation time reveals a k value of 3 Wm⁻¹K⁻¹.

Additionally, the nature of oxidation greatly impacts the EG filler's structural integrity as well as the thermal conductivity of EG/PEI composite, as discussed in chapter 2 in detail. According to the XPS analysis, as discussed in section 4.5, we have found significant differences in oxidation degree and the presence of functional groups for GIC-H₂O₂ 1 & EG-H₂O₂ 1 in contrast to GIC-NaClO₃ 1 & EG-NaClO₃ 1.

Furthermore, the degree and location of oxidation significantly impact the structural integrity of the EG filler and the thermal conductivity of the EG/PEI composite in our recent work. We noticed a substantial difference in oxidation degree and the location-dependent functional groups for GIC-H₂O₂ 1 & EG-H₂O₂ 1 in comparison to GIC-NaClO₃ 1 & EG-NaClO₃ 1 in the XPS study reported in section 4. According to the location of functional groups of graphite oxide, the percentage of basal plane functional groups (C-O-C & C-O) are larger in quantity than edge functional groups (C=O, O=C-O) for NaClO₃. The GIC-H₂O₂ 1 & EG-H₂O₂ 1, on the other hand, contain a higher percentage of edge functional groups than basal plane functional groups.

It is important to mention that basal plane oxidation leads to distortion of sp² carbon structure due to attachment of the functional groups on the basal plane, increasing phonon scattering, and

dramatically reducing intrinsic thermal conductivity of graphene. On the contrary, edge oxidation avoids distortion of the basal plane area leading to higher intrinsic k of graphene.

4.3 Formation and Expansion Volume of GICs and EG Fillers

To intercalate graphite, H_2O_2 and H_2SO_4 were used to prepare GIC- H_2O_2 , where H_2SO_4 was used as a primary intercalating agent and H_2O_2 as an auxiliary intercalating agent or oxidizing agent for the intercalation route I. The addition of oxidant, H_2O_2 into H_2SO_4 raises the temperature and causes self-decomposition of H_2O_2 according to Eq. For the case of intercalation using H_2O_2 , the synthesis was carried out using a cold ice bath to maintain the temperature at 20-25 °C. We have utilized the strategy to intercalate graphite using oxidant H_2O_2 so that H_2O_2 helps to open up the edges of graphite with simultaneous insertion of HSO_4^- and SO_4^{2-} ions into graphite from H_2SO_4 . Such intercalation process leads to a separation of graphene layers releasing O_2 (Eq. 6) and yielding GIC- H_2O_2 as shown in Figure 6a. Self-decomposition of H_2O_2 leads to a pre-expansion phenomenon before the GIC- H_2O_2 is exposed to high-temperature treatment for expansion.

The effect of different volume ratios of H_2SO_4 : H_2O_2 on optimum expansion volume to achieve superior thermal conductivity is studied and described in the next section. The expansion volume of GIC and EG was recorded for different volume ratios of H_2SO_4 : H_2O_2 in Table 3 as presented in Figure 7a-d and surface morphology through expansion was investigated using FE-ESEM in section 4.7. Intercalation process of graphite with an increased amount of H_2SO_4 produces more O_2 without allowing much time for this generated O_2 to escape, pushing the microchannels of graphite layers apart. Thus, an increased volume of graphite particles GIC- H_2O_2 is obtained after different reaction processes of 2 g 10 mesh graphite as shown in Figure 7a-d. The expansion volume after thermal shock increases with an increasing amount of H_2O_2 up to 3.33:1(20 ml:6 ml) volume ratio but the increased amount of H_2O_2 also produces a significant amount of O_2 , which




Figure 6 a) Schematic illustration of the preparation process of a) GIC-H₂O₂ & EG-H₂O₂, b) GIC-NaClO₃ & EG-NaClO₃.

causes excessive exfoliation of graphite. Furthermore, higher amount of H₂SO₄ leads to more intercalation, following the reaction below, so the volume of graphite particles increases even after the reaction because of pre-expansion.






Figure 7 Expansion volume (EV) of a) GIC-H₂O₂ 1, 2 & 3, b) GIC-H₂O₂ 4, 5 & 6, c) EG-H₂O₂ 1, 2 & 3, d) EG-H₂O₂ 4, 5 & 6.

Oxidant H_2O_2 , known as a green oxidizer, allows oxidation to graphite with non-toxic bi-products and involves an easy washing procedure. Such oxidation process causes insignificant damage to graphite and provides optimum expansion volume to achieve higher thermal conductivity.

Another oxidant, sodium chlorate (NaClO_3) has been employed with H_2SO_4 to obtain sodium




Figure 8 Expansion volume of a) GIC- NaClO_3 1, 2 & 3, and b) EG- NaClO_3 1, 2 & 3.

chlorate intercalated graphite compound (GIC- NaClO_3) and thermally expanded graphite, EG- NaClO_3 to observe the effect on thermal conductivity of EG- NaClO_3 polymer composite. The preparation process of GIC- NaClO_3 also involves performing reactions at room temperature of 20-25 °C. NaClO_3 has been used as a strong oxidizer for Brodie's and Staudenmaier's methods with other oxidizing agents^{43,44}. With respect to previous work, the intercalation and oxidation effect of this oxidizer on EG has been observed in this work. With the intercalation of acceptor bisulfate compound, sodium ion gets diffused into the interlayer spacing as shown in Figure 6b and results in strong intercalation with lower stage number as evident through characterization analysis. During the reaction, oxygen groups attach to the basal plane structure and delamination possibly occurs. The reaction takes place during intercalation route II as mentioned below.




Table 3 Exfoliation volume and weight loss (%) for GICs & EG fillers.

| Sample name | Expansion volume (ml/g) | Weight loss (%) |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| GIC-H ₂ O ₂ 1 | 8 | - |
| EG-H ₂ O ₂ 1 | 70 | 17 |
| GIC-H ₂ O ₂ 2 | 10 | - |
| EG-H ₂ O ₂ 2 | 90 | 20 |
| GIC-H ₂ O ₂ 3 | 12 | - |
| EG-H ₂ O ₂ 3 | 115 | 42 |
| GIC-H ₂ O ₂ 4 | 6 | - |
| EG-H ₂ O ₂ 4 | 60 | 14 |
| GIC-H ₂ O ₂ 5 | 10 | - |
| EG-H ₂ O ₂ 5 | 75 | 24 |
| GIC-H ₂ O ₂ 6 | 11 | - |
| EG-H ₂ O ₂ 6 | 110 | 45 |
| GIC-NaClO ₃ 1 | 3 | - |
| EG-NaClO ₃ 1 | 70 | 21 |
| GIC-NaClO ₃ 2 | 5 | - |
| EG-NaClO ₃ 2 | 115 | 40 |
| GIC-NaClO ₃ 3 | 5 | - |
| EG-NaClO ₃ 3 | 155 | 55 |

Different volume ratios have been explored to achieve the optimum reaction condition for enhancing the thermal conductivity of EG-NaClO₃/PEI composites. The expansion volume of GICs and EGs with different volume ratios of H₂SO₄: NaClO₃ is presented in Figure 8a & b and recorded in Table 3. At a very small amount of NaClO₃, the expansion volume of EG is 70 ml/g indicating higher intercalation effect than EG-H₂O₂. Controlling the reaction process allows variation in the volume ratio. Optimum expansion volume of 70 ml/g of EG-H₂O₂ 1 and EG-NaClO₃ 1 has been utilized for preparing the composite to achieve the highest thermal conductivity in both the case.

4.4 Raman Analysis

Raman spectroscopy is used to investigate the structural and chemical changes of graphite structure because of the intercalation & oxidation process. Typically, the Raman spectra of graphite exhibit the characteristic G, D & 2D peaks at $\sim 1572\text{ cm}^{-1}$, $\sim 1330\text{ cm}^{-1}$, and $\sim 2680\text{ cm}^{-1}$ ⁴⁵⁻⁴⁷ respectively as presented in Figure 9a and Table 4 for 10 mesh graphite. The strong G peak is attributed to the vibration due to sp^2 hybridized carbon structure; the D peak is caused due to sp^3 hybridized carbon lattice defects³³. The prominent 2D peak is the second order of the D peak caused by in-plane transverse optical phonons near the boundary of the Brillouin zone due to the electronic band structure, and always shows the double peak structure for graphite⁴⁸. This shape and position of the 2D peak are useful for understanding the thickness of graphite⁴⁹.

Table 4: Raman peak positions and I_D/I_G of GIC- H_2O_2 & EG- H_2O_2 fillers

| Sample name | G band (cm^{-1}) | D band (cm^{-1}) | 2D band (cm^{-1}) | I_D/I_G |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|-----------|
| 10 Mesh Graphite | 1572 | 1332 | 2680 | 0.13 |
| GIC- H_2O_2 1 | 1572 | 1326 | 2677 | 0.05 |
| EG- H_2O_2 1 | 1573 | 1331 | 2680 | 0.04 |
| GIC- H_2O_2 2 | 1571 | 1324 | 2676 | 0.06 |
| EG- H_2O_2 2 | 1572 | 1324 | 2679 | 0.05 |
| GIC- H_2O_2 3 | 1571 | 1331 | 2680 | 0.09 |
| EG- H_2O_2 3 | 1582 | 1335 | 2687 | 0.1 |




Figure 9 Raman spectra of a) GIC-H₂O₂ 1, 2 & 3, and b) EG-H₂O₂ 1, 2 & 3. c) G band line, d) D band line, e) 2D band line of GIC-H₂O₂ 1, 2 & 3, f) G band line, d) D band line, e) 2D band line of EG-H₂O₂ 1, 2 & 3.

The peak positions for GICs and EG fillers for intercalating agent H₂O₂ are presented in Table 4. We have estimated the I_D/I_G ratio for the GICs and EG fillers after the intercalation and thermal expansion to analyze the defective state of structure in graphite layers as reported in Table 4. I_D/I_G of 10 mesh graphite indicates the presence of defects in graphite structure as associated with the functional groups attached to the graphite shown in XPS analysis. Figure 9a & b present the Raman spectra of GIC-H₂O₂ 1, 2 & 3 and EG-H₂O₂ 1, 2 & 3 respectively to compare the change in carbon material due to the intercalation process and heat treatment. Figure 9 c, d & e show the G, D & 2D peak intensity of GIC-H₂O₂ 1, 2 & 3 with an increase in the amount of H₂SO₄ respectively, and Figure 9 f, g & h show the G, D & 2D peak intensity of EG-H₂O₂ 1, 2 & 3 after the thermal treatment. Figure 9 c-h clearly depict the change in intensity with the increase of H₂SO₄ for intercalation with H₂O₂. I_D/I_G ratios for GIC-H₂O₂ 1, 2 & 3 are 0.05, 0.06, and 0.09, indicating an increase in degree of defect with the higher amount of H₂SO₄. Due to self-decomposition of H₂O₂, GICs have even lower I_D/I_G ratio than 10 mesh graphite. Similarly, I_D/I_G ratios for EG-H₂O₂ filler show similar trend in the degree of defect. I_D/I_G ratio increases from 0.04 to 0.1 with the change in the amount of H₂SO₄ from 20 ml to 40 ml. Additionally, the change in peak position and asymmetric broader peak for GICs and EG fillers compared to 10mesh graphite as shown in Figure 9 c-h refers to the oxidation effect. In Figure 9 e and h, the 2D peak position does not degrade to much lower wavenumber compared to 2D peak position of 10mesh graphite indicating higher stage number for the intercalation route with H₂O₂. The stronger G peak intensity in EG-H₂O₂ 1, 2 & 3 fillers refers to the better structural integrity, consistent with the XRD (Section 4.6) and XPS analysis (Section 4.5). The thermal conductivity value of EG-H₂O₂ 1, 2 & 3 PEI composites appears to be similarly decreased with higher degree of defect in graphite's structure.

Table 5 Raman peak positions and I_D/I_G of GIC-NaClO₃ & EG-NaClO₃ fillers

| Sample name | G band (cm ⁻¹) | D band (cm ⁻¹) | 2D band (cm ⁻¹) | I _D /I _G |
|--------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 10 Mesh Graphite | 1572 | 1332 | 2680 | 0.13 |
| GIC-NaClO ₃ 1 | 1574 | 1326 | 2680 | 0.35 |
| EG-NaClO ₃ 1 | 1574 | 1332 | 2676 | 0.25 |
| GIC-NaClO ₃ 2 | 1578 | 1325 | 2667 | 0.75 |
| EG-NaClO ₃ 2 | 1575 | 1332 | 2678 | 0.28 |
| GIC-NaClO ₃ 3 | 1577 | 1332 | 2678 | 0.62 |
| EG-NaClO ₃ 3 | 1573 | 1324 | 2680 | 0.26 |

The peak positions and I_D/I_G ratio for GICs and EG fillers for intercalating agent NaClO_3 are presented in Table Figure 10a & b portray the Raman spectra of GIC- NaClO_3 1, 2 & 3 and EG- NaClO_3 1, 2 & 3 indicating the change in the defective state of carbon material due to the intercalation process and heat treatment respectively. Figure 10 c, d & e show the G, D & 2D peak intensity of GIC- NaClO_3 1, 2 & 3 with the increase in the quantity of NaClO_3 and intercalation time. Figure 10 f, g & h show the G, D & 2D peak intensity of EG- NaClO_3 1, 2 & 3 after the thermal treatment compared to pristine graphite. Figure 10 c-h clearly illustrate the change in intensity with the increase of H_2SO_4 for intercalation with NaClO_3 . I_D/I_G ratio increases from 0.35 to 0.75 for GIC- NaClO_3 1 and 2, indicating an increase in the degree of defect with the higher intercalation time. An increase in I_D/I_G ratio (0.62) for GIC- NaClO_3 3 signifies an increased degree of defect with the higher amount of NaClO_3 . I_D/I_G ratios of EG- NaClO_3 1, 2 & 3 are 0.25, 28 & 0.23, show the similar trend in degree of defect. Overall, the I_D/I_G ratio for EG- NaClO_3 is higher due to the effect of stronger intercalating agent, NaClO_3 . Figure 10 c & f show the broader G peak and change in peak position and Figure 10 d & g show the broader D peak of GIC- NaClO_3 1, 2 & 3 and EG- NaClO_3 1, 2 & 3 because of higher disorder in the graphite structure. Also, another peak $\sim 1600\text{ cm}^{-1}$ close to the G peak (shown in Figure 10 d & g) suggests large-scale damage and deformation to the graphitic crystalline structure due to oxidation [refer]. The comparison of I_D/I_G ratios of EG- NaClO_3 2 and 3 reveals that the intercalation time affects more than the amount of NaClO_3 . Therefore, the higher thermal conductivity value of EG- NaClO_3 1 PEI composite than other EG- NaClO_3 /PEI composite is due to such a lower I_D/I_G ratio.

Raman spectra of GIC- H_2O_2 1 & GIC- NaClO_3 1 and EG- H_2O_2 1 & EG- NaClO_3 1 fillers for intercalating agent H_2O_2 and NaClO_3 are depicted in Figure 11a & b to observe graphitic structural damage, happened during the intercalation and expansion process. We can see I_D/I_G ratio (0.25) of EG NaClO_3 1 is higher than I_D/I_G ratio (0.04) for EG- H_2O_2 1. Figure 11 c, d & e show the G, D & 2D peak intensity of EG- H_2O_2 1 & EG- NaClO_3 1. The D peak of Figure 11 d & f shows the higher intensity of EG- NaClO_3 1 than EG- H_2O_2 1 filler. Another peak, $\sim 1610\text{ cm}^{-1}$ close to G band, is visible in Figure 11c & f for GIC- NaClO_3 and EG- NaClO_3 , which can be explained as the induced defect due to the strong oxidation effect is absent in GIC- H_2O_2 & EG- H_2O_2 spectrum. A broader 2D peak of EG- NaClO_3 1 suggests a lower stage number than EG- H_2O_2 1 Figure 11 e & h. The structural integrity of carbon material plays a key role in the thermal properties of carbon-based




Figure 10 Raman spectra of a) GIC- NaClO_3 1, 2 & 3, and b) EG- NaClO_3 1, 2 & 3. c) G band line, d) D band line, and e) 2D band line of GIC- NaClO_3 1, 2 & 3, f) G band line, g) D band line, and h) 2D band line of EG- NaClO_3 1, 2 & 3.

polymer composites field^{50,51}. Incorporation of EG- H_2O_2 1 filler with lower I_D/I_G ratio results in superior thermal conductivity due to less defective carbon structure.




Figure 11 Raman spectra of a) GIC-H₂O₂ 1 & GIC-NaClO₃ 1, and b) EG-H₂O₂ 1 & EG-NaClO₃ 1. c) G band line, d) D band line, and e) 2D band line of GIC-H₂O₂ 1 & GIC-NaClO₃ 1, f) G band line, g) D band line, and h) 2D band line of EG-H₂O₂ 1 & EG-NaClO₃ 1.

4.5 Chemical Composition Analysis of GICs and EG Fillers by XPS




Figure 12 a) XPS spectra of GIC-H₂O₂ 1, 2 & 3 and b) EG-H₂O₂ 1, 2 & 3.

X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) analysis reveals the chemical composition and binding states of GICs and EG fillers. Figure 12a & b and Figure 13a & b show the presence of C1s peak at ~285 eV, strong O1s peaks at ~532 eV, and weaker S2p peak at ~169 eV^{33,52} for 10 mesh graphite, GICs, and EG fillers because of intercalation using H₂O₂ & NaClO₃ and thermal expansion. Table 6 presents the atomic percentage of C, O, and S, and C/O ratio for GICs and EG fillers. The atomic percentage of C shows that the C content decreases and O increases with higher intercalation using a larger quantity of H₂SO₄. The increase in H₂SO₄:H₂O₂ volume ratio from 3.33 to 10 results in a reduction in C/O ratio from 10.8 for GIC-H₂O₂ 1 to 8.8 for GIC-H₂O₂ 3. After thermal expansion, the C/O ratio similarly decreases from 44.2 for EG-H₂O₂ 1 to 23 for EG-H₂O₂ 3. We have achieved higher k value (9.5 Wm⁻¹K⁻¹) for EG-H₂O₂ 1 composition, which decreases to 6 Wm⁻¹K⁻¹ for EG-H₂O₂ 3 due to higher oxidation.

Figure 13 a & b present the XPS spectra of GICs and EG fillers, respectively showing three characteristic peaks of C, O, and S for intercalation route, NaClO₃. Analysis of the C/O ratio of GIC 1, 2 & 3 suggests a decrease in carbon content with the use of the higher quantity of strong oxidant, NaClO₃ and higher intercalation time, which also raises the content of sulfur. For optimum thermal conductivity, the C/O ratio is found to be 6.7 at a lower amount of NaClO₃ and lower intercalation time of 30 min. After thermal treatment, the C/O ratio of EG shows a similar trend as for GIC. EG-NaClO₃1 has the highest carbon content of almost 95% and provides a higher k value relative to other EG-NaClO₃ fillers. In addition, sulfur content still is present in EG-NaClO₃ fillers due to the substantial intercalation effect. C/O ratio of EG-H₂O₂ 1 (44.2) is significantly higher than that of EG-NaClO₃ 1 (22.7), indicating that higher carbon content is preserved in intercalation route I after thermal expansion, resulting in superior k value of EG-H₂O₂ 1/PEI composite than that of EG-NaClO₃1/PEI composite.





Figure 13 a) XPS spectra of GIC-NaClO₃ 1, 2 & 3, and b) EG-NaClO₃ 1, 2 & 3.

Table 6 Atomic composition and C/O ratio by XPS analysis of graphite, GICs, and EG- fillers

| Samples | Atomic Composition by XPS (at%) | | | C/O ratio |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|
| | C (~285 eV) | O (~532 eV) | S (~169 eV) | |
| 10 mesh graphite | 93.44 | 47 | 1.09 | 17.1 |
| GIC-H ₂ O ₂ 1 | 90.4 | 8.35 | 1.24 | 10.8 |
| EG-H ₂ O ₂ 1 | 97.79 | 2.21 | - | 44.2 |
| GIC-H ₂ O ₂ 2 | 89.47 | 8.81 | 1.72 | 10.1 |
| EG-H ₂ O ₂ 2 | 96.5 | 3.5 | - | 27.6 |
| GIC-H ₂ O ₂ 3 | 87.84 | 9.99 | 2.17 | 8.8 |
| EG-H ₂ O ₂ 3 | 96.2 | 3.8 | - | 23 |
| GIC-NaClO ₃ 1 | 84.85 | 12.63 | 2.52 | 6.7 |
| EG-NaClO ₃ 1 | 94.97 | 4.18 | 0.85 | 22.7 |
| GIC-NaClO ₃ 2 | 78 | 19.94 | 4.26 | 3.8 |
| EG-NaClO ₃ 2 | 91.85 | 6.81 | 1.34 | 13.5 |
| GIC-NaClO ₃ 3 | 80.73 | 16.59 | 2.68 | 4.9 |
| EG-NaClO ₃ 3 | 92.52 | 7.09 | 0.4 | 18.6 |

We have also shown the comparison in atomic percentage of functional groups between the GICs and EG fillers for H₂O₂ and NaClO₃ at their optimum condition in Table 7. To further analyze, the high-resolution carbon spectra of GIC-H₂O₂ 1, GIC-NaClO₃ 1, EG-H₂O₂ 1, and EG-NaClO₃ 1 are shown in Figure 14a-d. Sharper peaks are visible for both the GIC and EG filler case for H₂O₂ intercalation compared to NaClO₃. To understand the differences in functional groups achieved through the two intercalation routes (H₂O₂ and NaClO₃), the C1s high-resolution XPS spectra were further analyzed and resolved by curve fitting. The deconvoluted spectra of GIC-H₂O₂ 1 (shown in Figure 14a) reveal the presence of C-C/C=C graphitic carbon (284.84 eV), the hydroxyl/epoxide group (~286 eV), and the carbonyl/carboxyl group (~288 eV), respectively^{53,54}. Basal plane functional groups (hydroxyl/epoxide groups) are present in higher quantities in GIC-NaClO₃ 1 and EG-NaClO₃ 1 (Figure 14b & d), due to the fact that NaClO₃ mostly attacks the basal plane of the graphite structure. In comparison, deconvoluted XPS spectra of GIC-H₂O₂ 1 & EG-H₂O₂ 1 show that the edge functional groups are more prominent as seen in Figure 14 a and c. It is

noticeable that EG-H₂O₂ 1 displays a stronger intensity peak of graphitic carbon (C-C/C=C) compared to EG-NaClO₃ 1; this directly correlates to better structural integrity of expanded graphite prepared from oxidant H₂O₂. The atomic percentage of epoxy and hydroxyl functional groups (C-O-C/C-OH) as well as the edge functional groups (C=O/O=C-OH) (as shown in Table 7) reveal higher degree of edge functionalization in the case of EG-H₂O₂ 1. The presence of higher degree of edge functional groups relative to basal plane functional groups in graphite's structure limits the structural damage in EG-H₂O₂ 1 sample while presence of higher degree of basal plane functional groups lead to higher damage for NaClO₃ intercalation. The scheme of intercalation




Figure 14 C1s core level XPS spectra for a) GIC-H₂O₂ 1, b) GIC-NaClO₃ 1, c) EG-H₂O₂ 1, and d) EG-NaClO₃ 1.

with H₂O₂, thus drastically preserves the basal plane structure which leads to superior thermal transport in EG-H₂O₂ 1 sample.

Table 7 Relative atomic percent composition of functional groups of GICs & EG fillers

| Sample name | C-C/C=C | C-OH & C-O-C | C=O & O=C-OH |
|-------------------------------------|---------|--------------|--------------|
| GIC-H ₂ O ₂ 1 | 82.4 | 91 | 7.16 |
| GIC-NaClO ₃ 1 | 711 | 13.54 | 6.22 |
| EG-H ₂ O ₂ 1 | 80.31 | 9 | 6.56 |
| EG-NaClO ₃ 1 | 73.8 | 10.78 | 8.75 |

4.6 Analysis of Interlayer Spacing of GICS and EG Fillers through XRD

XRD analyzes the structural characteristics of the obtained GICs and EG fillers. XRD allows us to understand oxidation and intercalation effects on GICs and EG filler through the two auxiliary intercalating agents, H₂O₂ and NaClO₃. For the 10-mesh graphite, the sharp diffraction peak at $2\theta = 26.3^\circ$ corresponds to an interlayer spacing of 3.38 Å. This peak position is present at a lower angle than $2\theta = 26.6^\circ$ for pristine graphite⁵⁵⁻⁵⁸, corresponding to the standard 3.34 Å interlayer spacing of the (002) crystal phase for untreated graphite. Table 8 represents the peak position and d-spacing values of graphite GICs and EG fillers for both the intercalation routes.

Table 8 2θ and d-spacing values of graphite, GICs, and EG fillers using XRD analysis

| Sample name | 10 Mesh Graphite | GIC-H ₂ O ₂ 1 | GIC-H ₂ O ₂ 2 | GIC-H ₂ O ₂ 3 |
|---------------|------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 2θ | 26.3 | 25, 26.4 | 22, 24 | 23, 26.3 |
| d-spacing (Å) | 3.38 | 3.49, 3.37 | 3.53, 3.51 | 3.52, 3.38 |
| Sample name | 10 Mesh Graphite | EG-H ₂ O ₂ 1 | EG-H ₂ O ₂ 2 | EG-H ₂ O ₂ 3 |
| 2θ | 26.3 | 26.5 | 26.5 | 26.5 |
| d-spacing (Å) | 3.38 | 3.36 | 3.36 | 3.36 |
| Sample name | 10 Mesh Graphite | GIC-NaClO ₃ 1 | GIC-NaClO ₃ 2 | GIC-NaClO ₃ 3 |
| 2θ | 26.3 | 28 | 24.7 | 26 |
| d-spacing (Å) | 3.38 | 3.45 | 3.6 | 3.48 |
| Sample name | 10 Mesh Graphite | EG-NaClO ₃ 1 | EG-NaClO ₃ 2 | EG-NaClO ₃ 3 |
| 2θ | 26.3 | 26.4 | 26.3 | 26.4 |
| d-spacing (Å) | 3.38 | 3.37 | 3.38 | 3.37 |




Figure 15 XRD spectra of a) GIC-H₂O₂ 1, 2 & 3, b) EG-H₂O₂ 1, 2 & 3; Inset of XRD spectra of c) GIC-H₂O₂ 1, 2 & 3, and d) EG-H₂O₂ 1, 2 & 3.




Figure 16 XRD spectra of a) GIC- NaClO_3 1, 2 & 3, b) EG- NaClO_3 1, 2 & 3; Inset of XRD spectra of c) GIC- NaClO_3 1, 2 & 3 and d) EG- NaClO_3 1, 2 & 3.

Figure 15 a & b illustrate the diffraction peaks of GIC- H_2O_2 and EG- H_2O_2 , respectively, and demonstrate the XRD pattern before and after the thermal treatment for an increasing amount of H_2SO_4 . The only signal observable in the XRD pattern of natural graphite is (002), which shows reflections in the perpendicular direction (c-axis) of the hexagonal planes of graphite. Compared

to 10 mesh graphite, the (002) peaks in the GIC-H₂O₂ 1, 2 & 3, are noticeably widened. The 002 peak is seen at 2 θ angle (around $\sim 26.4^\circ$) for GIC-H₂O₂ 1 and intensity becomes weaker (as exhibited in Figure 15c) with the increased amount of intercalating agents due to the disorder in




Figure 17 XRD spectra of a) GIC-H₂O₂ 1 & GIC-NaClO₃ 1, b) EG-H₂O₂ 1 & EG-NaClO₃ 1.

graphitic morphology⁵⁹ after the pre-expansion process. Also, the double peak nature in GIC-H₂O₂

1, 2 & 3 is attributed to the presence of intercalated compounds. Interlayer spacing critically becomes higher with the intercalation effect as indicated by a clear diminution in intensity of (002) peaks (visible in Figure 15c). After thermal expansion, the intensity becomes even weaker than the GICs. Comparatively stronger peaks of EG-H₂O₂ 1, 2 & 3 at $2\theta = 25^\circ$ are regained (as shown in Figure 15b) as the intercalated compounds evaporate in gaseous form during thermal treatment. Such aligned peak position of EG fillers also indicates the existence of intact chemical structure of graphite and ordered morphology^{35,60}. The interlayer spacing between graphene layers is 3.36 Å which is slightly higher than pristine graphite layers. In addition, the (002) peak intensity is still wider (Figure 15d) than the untreated graphite suggesting the expanded nature of EG filler. This interconnected and stacked structure of EG enables better thermal transport throughout the polymer composite⁶¹.

In contrast, the peak shape and position of GICs and EG filler obtained from NaClO₃ intercalation route are displayed in Figure 16 a & b. The reflection peaks of GIC-NaClO₃ 1, 2 & 3 at $2\theta = 28^\circ$, 24.7° , and 26° , lead to interlayer spacings of 3.45, 3.6, and 3.48 Å, respectively. The asymmetric but intense peak shape of GIC-NaClO₃ 2 shifts to a lower 2θ angle, reflecting the insertion of many intercalating species. Higher intercalation time causes insertion of higher intercalated compounds between the layers and maximum peak shift as observed for GIC-NaClO₃ 2 (Figure 16c). After expanding the GICs at 900 °C, the EG-NaClO₃ 1, 2 & 3 are subjected to the change in peak position at $2\theta = 26.4$, 26.5 and 26.5 as discussed earlier, resulting in the interlayer spacing of 3.37, 3.38 and 3.37 Å respectively.

We have compared the XRD spectra of GICs and EG fillers, obtained at optimum conditions for the two intercalation routes in Figure 17 a & b. It is noticeable that the interlayer spacing is higher for the case of EG-NaClO₃ 1 than EG-H₂O₂ 1 filler and the broader peak in EG-NaClO₃ 1 compared to EG-H₂O₂ 1 (Figure 17 b) reveals the effect of higher intercalation, and lower stage number in EG-NaClO₃ 1. Also the broader peak in EG-NaClO₃ 1 is caused due to the reduced crystalline structure and an increase in amorphous regions⁶². The larger change in peak position for GIC-NaClO₃ is attributed to the presence of higher oxygen moieties in NaClO₃ case, resulting in lower thermal conductivity of EG-NaClO₃ 1. On the other hand, stronger intensity accompanied with only a slight change in peak position of EG-H₂O₂ 1 filler is attributed to lower disorder in the crystal structure of graphite layers⁶³ leading to higher thermal conductivity of EG-H₂O₂ 1 filler.

4.7 Structure and Morphology Characterization of GICs and EG Filler

The morphology and porosity of the graphite structure due to intercalation and worm-like thermally expanded graphite have been studied using FE-ESEM. The FE-ESEM image of 10 mesh graphite particles with an average lateral size of 800 μm is shown in Figure 18. Figure 19-22 illustrate the structural change in GICs, resulting from chemical intercalation of 10 mesh graphite using H_2O_2 and NaClO_3 separately and thermally treated expanded graphite, observed by FE-ESEM.

Figure 19 a, b & c show images of GIC- H_2O_2 1 highlighting the expansion phenomenon as generated from H_2O_2 intercalation reaction. Magnified images of GIC- H_2O_2 1 (Figure 19 b) show a highly porous structure with sharp edges that emerge due to the reaction scheme between H_2SO_4 and H_2O_2 producing a large amount of O_2 that tries to escape from the graphite layers. The measured average lateral size of GICs and thickness of the graphite walls are $\sim 500 \mu\text{m}$ and $\sim 1 \mu\text{m}$ respectively. The intercalated chemicals are decomposed by heating GICs to 900°C , resulting in puffed up materials (Figure 19 d & h) with a very porous structure (as shown in Figure 19e-i).




Figure 18 FE-ESEM images of 10 mesh graphite a) $\times 35$, b) $\times 150$.




Figure 19 FE-ESEM micrographs of a) GIC-H₂O₂ 1 (×150), b) GIC-H₂O₂ 1 (×800), c) GIC-H₂O₂ 1 (×120), d) EG-H₂O₂ 1 (×20), e) EG-H₂O₂ 1 (×250), f) EG-H₂O₂ 1 (×500), g) EG-H₂O₂ 1 (×500), h) EG-H₂O₂ 1 (×50), and i) EG-H₂O₂ 1 (×150).




Figure 20 FE-ESEM micrographs of a) GIC-H₂O₂ 2 ($\times 120$), b) GIC-H₂O₂ 3 ($\times 120$), c) EG-H₂O₂ 3 ($\times 35$), d) EG-H₂O₂ 3 ($\times 200$).




Figure 21 FE-ESEM micrographs of a) GIC- NaClO_3 1 ($\times 150$), b) GIC- NaClO_3 1 ($\times 250$), c) GIC- NaClO_3 1 ($\times 1000$), d) EG- NaClO_3 1 ($\times 35$), e) EG- NaClO_3 1 ($\times 120$), f) EG- NaClO_3 1 ($\times 500$), g) EG- NaClO_3 1 ($\times 1000$), h) EG- NaClO_3 1 ($\times 800$), i) EG- NaClO_3 1 ($\times 5000$).

The EG-H₂O₂ 1 filler's edges are wide open (Figure 19 e), allowing the polymer to be




Figure 22 FE-ESEM micrographs of a) GIC-NaClO₃ 2 (×80), b) GIC-NaClO₃ 2 (×200), c) GIC-NaClO₃ 2 (×150), d) GIC-NaClO₃ 2 (×250), e) GIC-NaClO₃ 2 (×500), f) GIC-NaClO₃ 2 (× 500), g) EG-NaClO₃ 2 (× 200), h) EG-NaClO₃ 2 (× 200), and i) EG-NaClO₃2 (×1200)

absorbed properly into the EG-H₂O₂ 1 filler. This interconnected porous structure (seen in Figure 19 h & i), allows creation of an interpenetrating 3D polymer/graphene network in the composite. We have observed the effect on H₂O₂ intercalation route with a higher amount of H₂SO₄. As a result, we discovered that GIC-H₂O₂ 2 has a larger volume and a more ordered porous structure (Figure 20a), but EG-H₂O₂ 3 has a higher structural defect because of lateral breakage and

delamination in GIC-H₂O₂ 3 (Figure 20b). Figure 20 c & d show the images of EG-H₂O₂ 3 after the thermal treatment.

We have also observed the structural changes of GIC-NaClO₃ and EG-NaClO₃ while using NaClO₃ as an auxiliary intercalating agent to analyze the impact on the thermal properties of the composite. Figure 21a shows a fractured section at the center or basal plane area, as well as a crack across the GIC-NaClO₃ 1 particle. Figure 21b & c show highly stacked but delaminated area through the thickness, which can be explained as the result of vigorous intercalation.




Figure 23 FE-ESEM micrographs of EG-H₂O₂ 1/PEI composite for 10 wt% EG-H₂O₂ 1 filler a) (×250), b) (×650) magnification; and FE-ESEM micrographs of GIC-NaClO₃ 1/PEI composite for 10 wt% GIC-NaClO₃ 1 filler c) (×250), d) (×650) magnification.

The graphite layers expand when the intercalating chemicals transform into CO₂ and SO₂, and the length or surface of the GICs can be readily broken due to the loosely connected layers or fractured surface along the graphite layers (Figure 21 d). The expanded graphite image with the cleavage area because of the fractured nature is shown in Figure 21 e & f. Magnified view of comparatively smaller pores are visible in Figure 21 g-i.

We also observe the effect of longer intercalation time in the images of resulting GIC-NaClO₃ 2 and EG-NaClO₃ 2 in Figure 22 a-i. Figure 22 a, b & c represent the basal plane and cross-sectional area of a GIC -NaClO₃ 2 particle respectively. The structures seem to have a significant number of cracks and defects. Such structure leads to a reduction in the lateral size of the filler even after expansion.

To investigate the composite structure after composite fabrication, FE-ESEM images of EG-H₂O₂ 1/PEI composite (Figure 23 a & b) for 10 wt% EG-H₂O₂ 1 filler and EG-NaClO₃ 1/PEI composite (Figure 23 c & d) for 10 wt% GIC-NaClO₃ 1 filler were also observed. Because the pores of the EG-H₂O₂ 1 filler are filled with polymer, the surface appears smooth and homogenous, lowering the overall thermal resistance of polymer composites (Figure 23 a & b).

There are obvious differences between the two intercalated graphite's structure and thermally treated EG fillers. The important factor for EG-H₂O₂/PEI composite's higher thermal conductivity over EG-NaClO₃/PEI composite is insignificant structural flaws with the ordered texture of EG-H₂O₂.

5 CONCLUSIONS

In summary, two distinct intercalation methods have been employed to prepare GIC and EG filler using H₂SO₄ as a principal intercalator and two auxiliary intercalating agents, H₂O₂ and NaClO₃ as oxidizers. With optimized conditions, EG-H₂O₂ 1/PEI composites exhibit a k value of 9.5 Wm⁻¹K⁻¹ when the EG-H₂O₂ 1 filler content is increased to 10 wt%, showing ~4030% enhancement relative to the pure PEI (k ~0.23 Wm⁻¹K⁻¹). In comparison, the EG-NaClO₃ 1/PEI composite shows k value of 3 Wm⁻¹K⁻¹ at 10 wt% EG-NaClO₃ 1 filler content, showing ~2190% enhancement relative to the PEI. Using the solution casting technique, the interconnected continuous network is established for the EG-H₂O₂ 1/PEI composites, whereas the continuous structure is not quite apparent in EG-NaClO₃ 1/PEI composites as compared by FE-ESEM analysis. The developed interconnected network of high-quality larger graphene nanosheet in EG-H₂O₂ relative to EG-NaClO₃ leads to superior composite thermal conductivity. Analysis of the

morphology, structural integrity, and crystal structure through FE-ESEM, Raman and XRD has validated the superiority of the intercalation route involving H₂O₂. XPS analysis of the chemical composition of the products from the two intercalation routes reveals the preferential edge oxidation in EG-H₂O₂ 1, which leads to lower structural disorder relative to EG-NaClO₃ 1, caused by basal plane oxidation. Higher thermal diffusivity value of EG-H₂O₂ 1 filler than that of EG-NaClO₃ 1 filler further confirms the beneficial effect of the auxiliary intercalating agent, H₂O₂ used in the intercalation route I. With this superior thermally conductive composite, we have shed light on the potential use of EG filler in achieving an efficient thermal management system.

Acknowledgment

FT, SD, JG, and AN acknowledge support from National Science Foundation CAREER award under Award No. #1847129.

Conflicts of Interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

References

- 1 Kim, Y. *et al.* Breakdown of the interlayer coherence in twisted bilayer graphene. *Physical Review Letters* **110**, 096602 (2013).
- 2 Bodzenta, J., Mazur, J. & Kaźmierczak-Bałata, A. Thermal properties of compressed expanded graphite: photothermal measurements. *Applied Physics B* **105**, 623-630 (2011).
- 3 Yoshida, A., Hishiyama, Y. & Inagaki, M. Exfoliated graphite from various intercalation compounds. *Carbon* **29**, 1227-1231 (1991).
- 4 Wei, L., Zhang, Y., Yang, Y., Ye, M. & Li, C. C. Manipulating the Electronic Structure of Graphite Intercalation Compounds for Boosting the Bifunctional Oxygen Catalytic Performance. *Small*, 2107667 (2022).
- 5 Enoki, T., Suzuki, M. & Endo, M. *Graphite intercalation compounds and applications*. (Oxford University Press, 2003).
- 6 Grüneis, A. *et al.* Electronic structure and electron-phonon coupling of doped graphene layers in KC 8. *Physical Review B* **79**, 205106 (2009).
- 7 Belash, I., Bronnikov, A., Zharikov, O. & Pal'nichenko, A. Superconductivity of graphite intercalation compound with lithium C₂Li. *Solid state communications* **69**, 921-923 (1989).

- 8 Wang, T., Quinn, M. D. & Notley, S. M. Enhanced electrical, mechanical and thermal properties by exfoliating graphene platelets of larger lateral dimensions. *Carbon* **129**, 191-198 (2018).
- 9 Kim, J. *et al.* Extremely large, non-oxidized graphene flakes based on spontaneous solvent insertion into graphite intercalation compounds. *Carbon* **139**, 309-316 (2018).
- 10 Wu, W. *et al.* Fast chemical exfoliation of graphite to few-layer graphene with high quality and large size via a two-step microwave-assisted process. *Chemical Engineering Journal* **381**, 122592 (2020).
- 11 Liang, B. *et al.* Improved efficiency of liquid-phase shear exfoliation of expanded graphite with mica plates as bifunctional additives. *Journal of Materials Chemistry A* **9**, 27586-27595 (2021).
- 12 Cai, M., Thorpe, D., Adamson, D. H. & Schniepp, H. C. Methods of graphite exfoliation. *Journal of Materials Chemistry* **22**, 24992-25002 (2012).
- 13 Chung, D. A review of exfoliated graphite. *Journal of materials science* **51**, 554-568 (2016).
- 14 Dresselhaus, M. S. & Dresselhaus, G. Intercalation compounds of graphite. *Advances in physics* **51**, 1-186 (2002).
- 15 Dimiev, A. M. *et al.* Direct real-time monitoring of stage transitions in graphite intercalation compounds. *ACS nano* **7**, 2773-2780 (2013).
- 16 Noel, M. & Santhanam, R. Electrochemistry of graphite intercalation compounds. *Journal of Power Sources* **72**, 53-65 (1998).
- 17 Wang, G., Yu, M. & Feng, X. Carbon materials for ion-intercalation involved rechargeable battery technologies. *Chemical Society Reviews* **50**, 2388-2443 (2021).
- 18 Wang, Y. *et al.* Enhanced thermal and electrical properties of epoxy composites reinforced with graphene nanoplatelets. *Polymer Composites* **36**, 556-565 (2015).
- 19 Van Heerden, X. & Badenhorst, H. The influence of three different intercalation techniques on the microstructure of exfoliated graphite. *Carbon* **88**, 173-184 (2015).
- 20 Steurer, P., Wissert, R., Thomann, R. & Mülhaupt, R. Functionalized graphenes and thermoplastic nanocomposites based upon expanded graphite oxide. *Macromolecular rapid communications* **30**, 316-327 (2009).

- 21 Rüdorff, W. in *Advances in Inorganic Chemistry and Radiochemistry* Vol. 1 223-266 (Elsevier, 1959).
- 22 Yakovlev, A., Finaenov, A., Zabud'Kov, S. & Yakovleva, E. Thermally expanded graphite: Synthesis, properties, and prospects for use. *Russian journal of applied chemistry* **79**, 1741-1751 (2006).
- 23 Camino, G. *et al.* (ACS Publications, 2001).
- 24 Duquesne, S. *et al.* Thermal degradation of polyurethane and polyurethane/expandable graphite coatings. *Polymer degradation and stability* **74**, 493-499 (2001).
- 25 Xia, Z., Bellani, V., Sun, J. & Palermo, V. Electrochemical exfoliation of graphite in H₂SO₄, Li₂SO₄ and NaClO₄ solutions monitored in situ by Raman microscopy and spectroscopy. *Faraday Discussions* **227**, 291-305 (2021).
- 26 Yu, P., Lowe, S. E., Simon, G. P. & Zhong, Y. L. Electrochemical exfoliation of graphite and production of functional graphene. *Current opinion in colloid & interface science* **20**, 329-338 (2015).
- 27 Su, C.-Y. *et al.* High-quality thin graphene films from fast electrochemical exfoliation. *ACS nano* **5**, 2332-2339 (2011).
- 28 Calandra, M. & Mauri, F. Origin of superconductivity of CaC₆ and of other intercalated graphites. *physica status solidi (b)* **243**, 3458-3463 (2006).
- 29 Chacón-Torres, J. C., Wirtz, L. & Pichler, T. Raman spectroscopy of graphite intercalation compounds: Charge transfer, strain, and electron–phonon coupling in graphene layers. *physica status solidi (b)* **251**, 2337-2355 (2014).
- 30 Hong, Y., Wang, Z. & Jin, X. Sulfuric acid intercalated graphite oxide for graphene preparation. *Scientific reports* **3**, 1-6 (2013).
- 31 Salvatore, M. *et al.* Synthesis and characterization of highly intercalated graphite bisulfate. *Nanoscale Research Letters* **12**, 1-8 (2017).
- 32 Parvez, K. *et al.* Electrochemically exfoliated graphene as solution-processable, highly conductive electrodes for organic electronics. *ACS nano* **7**, 3598-3606 (2013).
- 33 Huang, J. *et al.* Green preparation of expandable graphite and its application in flame-resistance polymer elastomer. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research* **56**, 5253-5261 (2017).

- 34 Liu, C. *et al.* 3D Expanded Graphite Frameworks for Dual-Functional Polymer Composites with Exceptional Thermal Conductive and Electromagnetic Interference Shielding Capabilities. *ACS Applied Electronic Materials* (2022).
- 35 Wang, P. *et al.* Interlayer polymerization in chemically expanded graphite for preparation of highly conductive, mechanically strong polymer composites. *Chemistry of Materials* **29**, 3412-3422 (2017).
- 36 Kuan, C. F. *et al.* Preparation of expandable graphite via H₂O₂-hydrothermal process and its effect on properties of high-density polyethylene composites. *Polymer composites* **33**, 872-880 (2012).
- 37 Udod, I., Orman, H. & Genchel, V. The sodium-graphite system under high-pressure conditions: the comparison with the lithium-graphite system. *Carbon* **32**, 101-106 (1994).
- 38 Kang, Y.-J., Jung, S. C., Choi, J. W. & Han, Y.-K. Important role of functional groups for sodium ion intercalation in expanded graphite. *Chemistry of Materials* **27**, 5402-5406 (2015).
- 39 Wen, Y. *et al.* Expanded graphite as superior anode for sodium-ion batteries. *Nature communications* **5**, 1-10 (2014).
- 40 Vittore, A., Acocella, M. R. & Guerra, G. Edge-oxidation of graphites by hydrogen peroxide. *Langmuir* **35**, 2244-2250 (2019).
- 41 Alfa Aesar, <https://www.alfa.com/en/catalog/043319/>.
- 42 MilliporeSigma, <https://www.sigmaaldrich.com/US/en/product/aldrich/700207>.
- 43 Brodie, B. C. XIII. On the atomic weight of graphite. *Philosophical transactions of the Royal Society of London*, 249-259 (1859).
- 44 Shin, H. J. *et al.* Efficient reduction of graphite oxide by sodium borohydride and its effect on electrical conductance. *Advanced Functional Materials* **19**, 1987-1992 (2009).
- 45 Yap, R. C. C. *et al.* Identifying the mechanisms of p-to-n conversion in unipolar graphene field-effect transistors. *Nanotechnology* **24**, 195202 (2013).
- 46 Kawashima, Y. & Katagiri, G. Fundamentals, overtones, and combinations in the Raman spectrum of graphite. *Physical Review B* **52**, 10053 (1995).
- 47 Chakrabarti, A. *et al.* Conversion of carbon dioxide to few-layer graphene. *Journal of Materials Chemistry* **21**, 9491-9493 (2011).

- 48 Ferrari, A. C. *et al.* Raman spectrum of graphene and graphene layers. *Physical review letters* **97**, 187401 (2006).
- 49 Ali, M. (2015).
- 50 Chen, J. & Li, L. Effect of oxidation degree on the thermal properties of graphene oxide. *Journal of Materials Research and Technology* **9**, 13740-13748 (2020).
- 51 Yang, Y. *et al.* Thermal conductivity of defective graphene oxide: a molecular dynamic study. *Molecules* **24**, 1103 (2019).
- 52 Méndez-Romero, U. A., Pérez-García, S. A., Fan, Q., Wang, E. & Licea-Jiménez, L. Lateral size reduction of graphene oxide preserving its electronic properties and chemical functionality. *RSC Advances* **10**, 29432-29440 (2020).
- 53 Aliyev, E. *et al.* Structural characterization of graphene oxide: Surface functional groups and fractionated oxidative debris. *Nanomaterials* **9**, 1180 (2019).
- 54 Stobinski, L. *et al.* Graphene oxide and reduced graphene oxide studied by the XRD, TEM and electron spectroscopy methods. *Journal of Electron Spectroscopy and Related Phenomena* **195**, 145-154 (2014).
- 55 Valapa, R. B., Pugazhenth, G. & Katiyar, V. Effect of graphene content on the properties of poly (lactic acid) nanocomposites. *Rsc Advances* **5**, 28410-28423 (2015).
- 56 Chen, G. *et al.* Preparation and characterization of graphite nanosheets from ultrasonic powdering technique. *Carbon* **42**, 753-759 (2004).
- 57 Bourbigot, S. & Fontaine, G. Flame retardancy of polylactide: an overview. *Polymer Chemistry* **1**, 1413-1422 (2010).
- 58 Xiang, H. *et al.* Graphene/nanosized silicon composites for lithium battery anodes with improved cycling stability. *Carbon* **49**, 1787-1796 (2011).
- 59 Tao, S., Wei, S. & Yulan, Y. Characterization of expanded graphite microstructure and fabrication of composite phase-change material for energy storage. *Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering* **27**, 04014156 (2015).
- 60 Zhang, H. *et al.* The graphite foam/erythritol composites with ultrahigh thermal conductivity for medium temperature applications. *Solar Energy Materials and Solar Cells* **230**, 111135 (2021).

- 61 Lin, X., Zhang, X., Liu, L., Liang, J. & Liu, W. Polymer/expanded graphite-based flexible phase change material with high thermal conductivity for battery thermal management. *Journal of Cleaner Production* **331**, 130014 (2022).
- 62 Kumar, R., Mohanty, S. & Nayak, S. K. Study on epoxy resin-based thermal adhesive filled with hybrid expanded graphite and graphene nanoplatelet. *SN Applied Sciences* **1**, 1-13 (2019).
- 63 Jiao, X., Qiu, Y., Zhang, L. & Zhang, X. Comparison of the characteristic properties of reduced graphene oxides synthesized from natural graphites with different graphitization degrees. *RSC advances* **7**, 52337-52344 (2017).