

On the general sombor index of connected unicyclic graphs with given diameter

Xipeng Hu, Lingping Zhong

Department of Mathematics

Nanjing University of Aeronautics and Astronautics

Key Laboratory of Mathematical Modelling

and High Performance Computing of Air Vehicles (NUAA), MIIT, Nanjing, 211106, China

Email addresses: huxipeng@nuaa.edu.cn, zhong@nuaa.edu.cn

Abstract

The general sombor index of G is defined as $SO_\alpha(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} (d_G^2(u) + d_G^2(v))^\alpha$. We obtain upper bounds on the general sombor index $SO_\alpha(G)$ for unicyclic graph G with given diameter and $0 < \alpha < 1$. The extremal graph was also given.

Keywords: general sombor index; diameter; unicyclic graph.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C07, 05C35.

1 Introduction

Let G be a graph with vertex set $V(G)$ and edge set $E(G)$. $N_G(v)$ is the set consist of vertices which are adjacent to $v \in V(G)$. The degree of $v \in V(G)$ is $|N_G(v)|$. A pendant vertex is a vertex of degree one. $VP(G)$ is the set consist of all pendant vertices in G . $N_G(v)$ of $v \in V(G)$ is the set of vertices adjacent to v in G . We denote the diameter path of G by $diam(G)$. Denote a unicyclic graph with n vertices and $diam(G) = d$ by $\mathcal{U}_{n,d}$. The girth $g(G)$ of a unicyclic graph G is the length of the cycle in G . For other standard graph-theoretical notions, these can be found in [13].

Recently, a new vertex degree based topological index called Sombor index was introduced by Gutman, defined as[3]

$$SO(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} (d_G^2(u) + d_G^2(v))^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Mathematical results about sombor index was given in [7][6][12][9][10][8]. In this paper, we define the general sombor index as follows:

$$SO_\alpha(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} (d_G^2(u) + d_G^2(v))^\alpha.$$

If $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$, it's sombor index. If $\alpha = 1$, we get the forgotten index $F(G)$.

$$F(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} (d_G^2(u) + d_G^2(v))$$

In [5][2][1][5][11][4][7][6], determine the extremal value and its corresponding graph of connected graphs(unicyclic graphs, trees) with given diameter. Motivated by [1, 2], we determine the maximum general sombor index of unicyclic graph with given diameter.

2 Preliminary results

Lemma 1 *Let $1 \leq x, 1 \leq y$. For $\alpha > 0$, we have*

$$(x^2 + y^2)^\alpha \leq (1 + (x - 1 + y)^2)^\alpha.$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} (x^2 + y^2)^\alpha &= ((1 + (x - 1))^2 + y^2)^\alpha = (1 + 2(x - 1) + (x - 1)^2 + y^2)^\alpha \\ &< (1 + (x - 1)^2 + 2(x - 1)y + y^2)^\alpha = (1 + ((x - 1) + y)^2)^\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2 *Let G be a graph, $uv \in E(G)$, and $d_G(u) \geq 2, d_G(v) \geq 2$, Let G' be a graph obtained from G by relocating the component connected with v except u to u , let v be a pendant vertex, we denoted this transformation by $G \xrightarrow{uv} G'$ transformation(see Fig 2.1), then $SO_\alpha(G) < SO_\alpha(G')$*




Figure 2.1 $G \xrightarrow{uv} G'$ transformation

Proof. By Lemma 1,

$$\begin{aligned} SO_\alpha(G') - SO_\alpha(G) &= \sum_{xu \in E(G) \setminus \{uv\}} \left((d_G^2(x) + (d_G(u) + d_G(v) - 1)^2)^\alpha - (d_G^2(x) + d_G^2(u))^\alpha \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{yv \in E(G) \setminus \{uv\}} \left((d_G^2(y) + (d_G(u) + d_G(v) - 1)^2)^\alpha - (d_G^2(y) + d_G^2(v))^\alpha \right) \\ &\quad + (1 + (d_G(u) + d_G(v) - 1)^2)^\alpha - (d_G^2(u) + d_G^2(v))^\alpha > 0, \end{aligned}$$

so we get $SO_\alpha(G) < SO_\alpha(G')$.

Lemma 3 $f(x) = (x^2 + 9)^\alpha - (x^2 + 4)^\alpha$ is a decreasing function for $x > 0$ and $0 < \alpha < 1$.

Proof.

$$f'(x) = 2\alpha x \left((x^2 + 9)^{\alpha-1} - (x^2 + 4)^{\alpha-1} \right) < 0,$$

for $x > 0$ and $0 < \alpha < 1$. So the conclusion holds.

Lemma 4 $f_1(x) = (x-1) \left((x+1)^2 + 1 \right)^\alpha + 2 \left((x+1)^2 + 4 \right)^\alpha - (x-1) (x^2 + 1)^\alpha - (x^2 + 4)^\alpha$ and $f_2(x) = (x-1) \left((x+1)^2 + 1 \right)^\alpha + 2 \left((x+1)^2 + 4 \right)^\alpha - (x-1) (x^2 + 1)^\alpha - (x^2 + 9)^\alpha$ are strictly increasing for $x \geq 1$ and $0 < \alpha < 1$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} f_1'(x) &= 2\alpha(x-1)(x+1) (x^2 + 2x + 2)^{\alpha-1} + 4\alpha(x+1) (x^2 + 2x + 5)^{\alpha-1} - 2\alpha x(x-1) (x^2 + 1)^{\alpha-1} \\ &\quad - 2\alpha x (x^2 + 4)^{\alpha-1} + (x^2 + 2x + 2)^\alpha - (x^2 + 1)^\alpha \\ &\geq 2\alpha \left[(x-1)(x+1) (x^2 + 2x + 2)^{\alpha-1} + 2(x+1) (x^2 + 2x + 5)^{\alpha-1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - x(x-1) (x^2 + 1)^{\alpha-1} - x (x^2 + 4)^{\alpha-1} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Let $g(x) = (x-1)(x+1) (x^2 + 2x + 2)^{\alpha-1} + 2(x+1) (x^2 + 2x + 5)^{\alpha-1} - x(x-1) (x^2 + 1)^{\alpha-1} - x (x^2 + 4)^{\alpha-1}$. $g(x) > 0$ by computer drawing(see Fig 2.2). Hence, $f_1'(x) > 0$ and $f_1(x)$ is a strictly increasing function for $0 < \alpha < 1$. Similarly, we can prove $f_2(x)$ is strictly increasing.




Figure 2.2 the function $g(x)$ for $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $x \geq 1$

Lemma 5 $f(x) = (x-2)(x^2+1)^\alpha + 2(x^2+4)^\alpha - 2((x-1)^2+4)^\alpha - (x-3)((x-1)^2+1)^\alpha$ is a strictly increasing function for $x \geq 3$ and $0 < \alpha < 1$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} f'(x) &= 2\alpha(x-2)x(x^2+1)^{\alpha-1} + 4\alpha x(x^2+4)^{\alpha-1} + (x^2+1)^\alpha - 2\alpha(x-3)(x-1)((x-1)^2+1)^{\alpha-1} \\ &\quad - ((x-1)^2+1)^\alpha - 4\alpha(x-1)((x-1)^2+4)^\alpha \\ &\geq 2\alpha \left[(x-2)x(x^2+1)^{\alpha-1} + 2x(x^2+4)^{\alpha-1} - (x-3)(x-1)((x-1)^2+1)^{\alpha-1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 2(x-1)((x-1)^2+4)^\alpha \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Let $h(x) = (x-2)x(x^2+1)^\alpha + 2x(x^2+4)^\alpha - (x-3)(x-1)((x-1)^2+1)^{\alpha-1} - 2(x-1)((x-1)^2+4)^\alpha$. $h(x) > 0$ by computer drawing. So $f'(x) > 0$ and $f(x)$ is a strictly increasing function.




Figure 2.3 the function $h(x)$ for $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $x \geq 3$

3 Main results

Lemma 6 Let $n \geq 6$, the cycle $C_n \notin \mathcal{U}_{n, \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}^{\max}$.

Proof. Let $C_n = u_0 u_1 u_2 \cdots u_{n-1} u_0$.

If n is even, we use transformation $C_n \xrightarrow{u_{n-1} u_0} G'$, then $SO_\alpha(C_n) < SO_\alpha(G')$, by Lemma 2.

If n is odd, let $G' = C_n + u_1 u_{n-2} - u_0 u_{n-1}$, then

$$SO_\alpha(C_n) - SO_\alpha(G') = 2(8^\alpha - 13^\alpha) + 8^\alpha - 18^\alpha + 2(8^\alpha - 10^\alpha) < 0.$$

So $C_n \notin \mathcal{U}_{n, \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}^{\max}$. ■

Lemma 7 Let $G^* \in \mathcal{U}_{n,d}^{max}$, $3 \leq d \leq n-2$ and $0 < \alpha < 1$, C and $P = v_0v_1 \cdots v_d$ are the cycle and diametral path in G^* , respectively. If $VP(G^*) \subseteq N_{G^*}(v_1) \cup N_{G^*}(v_{d-1})$, then $|V(C) \cap V(P)| > 1$.

Proof. If $|V(C) \cap V(P)| \leq 1$, we consider the following cases.

Case 1. If $V(C) \cap V(P) = \emptyset$, then there is a path, say $v_iu_1 \cdots u_l$ and $l \geq 1$, connecting P and C in G^* . Using the transformation $G^* \xrightarrow{u_lu_{l-1}} G^{*'}$, we get the graph $G^{*'} \in \mathcal{U}_{n,d}$, by Lemma 2, $SO_\alpha(G^*) < SO_\alpha(G^{*'})$, which is a contradiction.

Case 2. If $V(C) \cap V(P) = 1$. Suppose $V(C) \cap V(P) = v_i$, $g(G^*) = k$. Denote the cycle of G^* by $C = v_iw_1w_2 \cdots w_{k-1}v_i$.

Subcase 2.1. If $k \geq 4$, we use the transformation $G^* \xrightarrow{v_iw_1} G^{*'}$, get the graph $G^{*'}$ which $SO_\alpha(G^*) < SO_\alpha(G^{*'})$ by Lemma 2, a contradiction.

Subcase 2.2. If $k = 3$, we know $d_{G^*}(v_{i+1}) \geq 2$, $d_{G^*}(v_i) \geq 4$ and $d_{G^*}(v_{i+2}) \geq 1$. Denote the cycle of G^* by $C = v_iw_1w_2v_i$. Let $G^{*'} = G^* + v_{i+1}w_1 - w_1w_2$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} SO_\alpha(G^*) - SO_\alpha(G^{*'}) &= 8^\alpha - \left(4 + (d_{G^*}(v_{i+1}) + 1)^2\right)^\alpha + (d_{G^*}^2(v_{i+1}) + 4)^\alpha - (d_{G^*}^2(v_{i+1}) + 1)^\alpha \\ &\quad + (d_{G^*}^2(v_i) + d_{G^*}^2(v_{i+1}))^\alpha - \left(d_{G^*}^2(v_i) + (d_{G^*}^2(v_{i+1}) + 1)^2\right)^\alpha \\ &\quad + (d_{G^*}^2(v_{i+1}) + d_{G^*}^2(v_{i+2}))^\alpha - \left((d_{G^*}^2(v_{i+1}) + 1)^2 + d_{G^*}^2(v_{i+2})\right)^\alpha \\ &\leq 8^\alpha - \left(4 + (d_{G^*}(v_{i+1}) + 1)^2\right)^\alpha + (d_{G^*}^2(v_{i+1}) + 4)^\alpha - (d_{G^*}^2(v_{i+1}) + 1)^\alpha \\ &\leq 8^\alpha - 13^\alpha + 20^\alpha - 17^\alpha, \end{aligned}$$

we know $8^\alpha - 13^\alpha + 20^\alpha - 17^\alpha < 0$ when $0 < \alpha < 1.90056$. Hence, $SO_\alpha(G^*) < SO_\alpha(G^{*'})$, which is a contradiction. So we complete the proof. \blacksquare

Let $U(n, d, i)$ (see Fig 3.1) be a graph which consists of the path $v_1 \cdots v_d$ and the cycle $v_iu_0v_{i+2}v_{i+1}v_i$, then attach $n - d - 1$ pendant vertices to v_1 . Denote $U(n, d, 1)$ and $U(n, d, d-1)$ by $U(n, d)$. Note $d_{G^*}(v_1) \geq 2$ and $d_{G^*}(v_{i+3}) \geq 1$, we have

$$SO_\alpha(U(n, d)) = (n - d - 1) \left((n - d + 1)^2 + 1 \right)^\alpha + 2 \left((n - d + 1)^2 + 4 \right)^\alpha + \gamma,$$

where $\gamma = 2 \cdot 13^\alpha + 10^\alpha$ if $d = 4$ and $\gamma = 3 \cdot 13^\alpha + (d - 5)8^\alpha + 5^\alpha$ if $d \geq 5$.




Figure 3.1 Graph $U(n, d)$ for $4 \leq d \leq n - 2$.

Lemma 8 $SO_\alpha(U(n, d, 1)) \geq SO_\alpha(U(n, d, i))$, where $2 \leq i \leq d - 2$.

Proof. If $i = 2$ or $i = d - 2$, by Lemma 3 and Lemma 4 we have

$$\begin{aligned} SO_\alpha(U(n, d)) - SO_\alpha(U(n, d, 2)) &= (d_{G^*}(v_1) - 1) \left((d_{G^*}(v_1) + 1)^2 + 1 \right)^\alpha + 2 \left((d_{G^*}(v_1) + 1)^2 + 4 \right)^\alpha \\ &\quad - (d_{G^*}(v_1) - 1) (d_{G^*}^2(v_1) + 1)^\alpha - (d_{G^*}^2(v_1) + 9)^\alpha - 13^\alpha \\ &\quad + (4 + d_{G^*}(v_5))^\alpha - (9 + d_{G^*}(v_5))^\alpha \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

If $3 \leq i \leq d - 3$, by Lemma 3 and Lemma 4 we have

$$\begin{aligned} SO_\alpha(U(n, d)) - SO_\alpha(U(n, d, i)) &= (d_{G^*}(v_1) - 1) \left((d_{G^*}(v_1) + 1)^2 + 1 \right)^\alpha + 2 \left((d_{G^*}(v_1) + 1)^2 + 4 \right)^\alpha \\ &\quad - (d_{G^*}(v_1) - 1) (d_{G^*}^2(v_1) + 1)^\alpha - (d_{G^*}^2(v_1) + 4)^\alpha - 13^\alpha \\ &\quad + (4 + d_{G^*}(v_{i+3}))^\alpha - (9 + d_{G^*}(v_{i+3}))^\alpha \geq 13^\alpha - 8^\alpha > 0. \end{aligned}$$

So we get the conclusion.

For $n \geq 4$, Let $C_d(p, q, n - p - q) \in \mathcal{U}_{n,d}$ be a graph obtained from cycle C_p by attaching $q, n - p - q$ pendent vertices to two neighbor vertices of C_p , respectively. $\mathcal{U}_{n,d}^{max}$ is the set of unicyclic graphs with maximal general sombor index in $\mathcal{U}_{n,d}$.

Theorem 1 If $d = 2$, then for $G \in \mathcal{U}_{n,2}$, $SO_\alpha(G) \leq SO_\alpha(C_2(3, n - 3, 0))$ with equality holds if and only if $G \cong C_2(3, n - 3, 0)$ (see Fig 3.2). If $d = 3$, then for $G \in \mathcal{U}_{n,3}$, $SO_\alpha(G) \leq SO_\alpha(C_3(3, n - 4, 1))$ with equality holds if and only if $G \cong C_3(3, n - 4, 1)$ (see Fig 3.2).




Figure 3.2 The graphs $C_2(3, n-3, 0)$ and $C_3(3, n-4, 1)$

Proof. If $G \in \mathcal{U}_{n,2}^{max}$, $g(G) \leq 5$. When $g(G) = 3$, then $G \cong C_2(3, n-3, 0)$, clearly. When $g(G) = 4$, $G \cong C_2(4, n-4, 0)$ or $G \cong C_2(3, n-4, 1)$. If $G \cong C_2(4, n-4, 0)$, we denote the cycle of G by $C = w_1w_2w_3w_4w_1$ and w_1 is connected with $n-4$ pendant vertices. Use the transformation $G \xrightarrow{w_1w_2^2} G'$, then $SO_\alpha(G) < SO_\alpha(G')$ which is a contradiction. If $g(G) = 5$, G is C_5 , by Lemma 6 we can get a contradiction. So we get if $G \in \mathcal{U}_{n,2}^{max}$, $G \cong C_2(3, n-3, 0)$.

If $G \in \mathcal{U}_{n,3}^{max}$, $g(G) \leq 6$. When $g(G) = 3$, $G \cong C_3(3, n-4, 1)$. When $g(G) = 4$, $G \cong C_3(4, n-4, 0)$. Denote the cycle of G by $w_1w_2w_3w_4w_1$ and w_1 is connected with $n-4$ pendant vertices. Use the transformation $G \xrightarrow{w_3w_4^4} G'$, then $G' \in \mathcal{U}_{n,3}$ and $SO_\alpha(G) < SO_\alpha(G')$, a contradiction. When $g(G) = 5$, $G \cong C_3(5, n-5, 0)$. Denote the cycle of G by $w_1w_2w_3w_4w_5w_1$ and w_1 is connected with $n-5$ pendant vertices. Use the transformation $G \xrightarrow{w_3w_4^4} G'$, then $G' \in \mathcal{U}_{n,3}$ and $SO_\alpha(G) < SO_\alpha(G')$, a contradiction. If $g(G) = 6$, G is C_6 , which cannot have the maximal SO_α index by Lemma 6. So we get if $G \in \mathcal{U}_{n,3}^{max}$, $G \cong C_3(3, n-4, 1)$.

Proposition 1 Let $G^* \in \mathcal{U}_{n,d}^{max}$ ($3 \leq d \leq n-3$). Then there exist a vertex $v \in VP(G^*)$ and $G^* - v \in \mathcal{U}_{n-1,d}$.

Proof. Assume to the contrary that for every $v \in VP(G^*)$, $G^* - v \in \mathcal{U}_{n-1,d-1}$. Let $P = diam(G^*) = v_0v_1 \cdots v_d$. By Lemma 6, we know that $|VP(G^*)| \geq 1$, suppose $v_0 \in VP(G^*)$. Note that only v_0 or $v_d \in VP(G^*)$, if there exist other vertex $v' \in VP(G^*)$, then $G^* - v' \in \mathcal{U}_{n-1,d}$. By Lemma 7, for the cycle C in G^* , we have $|V(P) \cap V(C)| > 1$.

Denote $C = v_i v_{i+1} \cdots v_{i+j} u_{l-1} u_{l-2} \cdots u_0$, where $u_0 = v_i$. According to Lemma 7, $j \geq 1$ and $u_{l-1}, u_{l-1}, \cdots, u_0$ are not in P . Obviously, $j \leq l$. Since $n \geq d+3$, then $l \geq 3$. We discuss the following two cases.

Case 1. If $j < l$, we use the transformation $G^* \xrightarrow{v_i u_1} G^{*'}$, then $SO_\alpha(G^*) < SO_\alpha(G^{*'})$ by Lemma 2, which is a contradiction.

Case 2. If $j = l$, let $G^{*'} = G^* + v_{i+1}u_1 + v_{i+1}u_2 - v_i u_1 - u_1 u_2$, then by Lemma 3

$$\begin{aligned} SO_\alpha(G^*) - SO_\alpha(G^{*'}) &= (d_{G^*}^2(v_{i-1}) + 9)^\alpha - (d_{G^*}^2(v_{i-1}) + 4)^\alpha + 2 \cdot 13^\alpha + 2 \cdot 8^\alpha - 3 \cdot 20^\alpha - 17^\alpha \\ &< 10^\alpha - 5^\alpha + 2 \cdot 13^\alpha + 2 \cdot 8^\alpha - 3 \cdot 20^\alpha - 17^\alpha < 0. \end{aligned}$$

In both cases, $SO_\alpha(G^*) < SO_\alpha(G^{*'})$. So $G^* \notin \mathcal{U}_{n,d}^{max}$, we get a contradiction. \blacksquare

Proposition 2 Let $G^* \in \mathcal{U}_{n,d}$. Denote $VP^*(G^*) = \{v | v \in VP(G^*), G^* - v \in \mathcal{U}_{n-1,d}\}$. Let $u \in \bigcup_{v \in VP^*} NP(u) = \{w \in N_{G^*}(u) | w \text{ is not a pendant vertex}\}$. Then there exist a vertex $v_0 \in VP(G^*)$ and $G^* - v_0 \in \mathcal{U}_{n-1,d}$, for $u_0 \in N_{G^*}(v_0)$, $NP(u_0) \geq 2$.

According to Proposition 1, $VP^*(G^*) \geq 1$. Assume to the contrary that for all $u \in N_{G^*}(v)$ (where $v \in VP^*(G^*)$), $NP(u) = 1$.

Claim 1. $VP^*(G^*) \subseteq N_{G^*}(v_1) \cup N_{G^*}(v_{d-1})$. Suppose that there exist a vertex $u_0 \in VP^*(G)$ and $u_0 \notin N_{G^*}(v_1) \cup N_{G^*}(v_{d-1})$. $N_{G^*}(u_0) = u_1$, according to the assumption, we have $NP(u_1) = 1$. Note that $u_1 \notin V(C) \cup V(P)$, otherwise $NP(u_1) = 2$. Assume $u_1 u_2$ is a non-pendant edge, we use the transformation $G^* \xrightarrow{u_2 u_1} G^{*'}$, then $SO_\alpha(G^*) < SO_\alpha(G^{*'})$ according to Lemma 2. So Claim 1 holds.

We denote $C = v_i v_{i+1} \cdots v_{i+j} u_{l-1} u_{l-2} \cdots u_0$, where $u_0 = v_i$ and $j \geq 1$ according to Lemma 7. Note that $\{u_1, u_2, \cdots, u_{l-1}\} \not\subseteq V(P)$, $l \geq 2$. It's clearly that $j \leq l$.

Claim 2. $|V(C) \setminus V(P)| = 1$. Assume to the contrary that $|V(C) \setminus V(P)| \geq 2$, we discuss the following two cases.

Case 1. If $j < l$, apply the transformation $G^* \xrightarrow{v_i u_1} G^{*'}$, then $SO_\alpha(G^*) < SO_\alpha(G^{*'})$ by Lemma 2, which is a contradiction.

Case 2. If $j = l$, let $G^{*'} = G^* - v_i u_1 - u_1 u_2 + v_{i+1} u_1 + v_{i+1} u_2$, then $G^{*'} \in \mathcal{U}_{n,d}$. We have $d_{G^*}(v_{i-1}) \geq 1$, then by Lemma 3

$$\begin{aligned} SO_\alpha(G^*) - SO_\alpha(G^{*'}) &= (d_{G^*}^2(v_{i-1}) + 9)^\alpha - (d_{G^*}^2(v_{i-1}) + 4)^\alpha + 2 \cdot 13^\alpha + 2 \cdot 8^\alpha - 3 \cdot 20^\alpha - 17^\alpha \\ &\leq 10^\alpha - 5^\alpha + 2 \cdot 13^\alpha + 2 \cdot 8^\alpha - 3 \cdot 20^\alpha - 17^\alpha < 0, \end{aligned}$$

when $0 < \alpha < 1$. So we get a contradiction.

Claim 3. $d_{G^*}(v_d) = 1$. On the contrary, we suppose $d_{G^*}(v_d) = 2$. Note that $N(v_{d-1}) \cap VP(G^*) = \emptyset$, otherwise $|NP(v_{d-1})| \geq 2$. Note that $d_{G^*}(v_{d-3}) \geq 2$. By Claim 2, $|V(C) \setminus V(P)| = 1$, thus we consider two cases.

Case 1. $C = v_{d-1} v_d u_1 v_{d-1}$. Let $G^{*'} = G^* + u_1 v_{d-1} + u_1 v_{d-2} - u_1 v_d$, we have $G^{*'} \in \mathcal{U}_{n,d}$ and

$$(d_{G^{*'}}^2(v_{d-3}) + 4)^\alpha - (d_{G^{*'}}^2(v_{d-3}) + 9)^\alpha + 13^\alpha - 18^\alpha + 8^\alpha - 10^\alpha < 0.$$

Case 2. $C = v_{d-2} v_{d-1} v_d u_1 v_{d-2}$. Let $G^{*'} = G^* + u_1 v_{d-1} - u_1 v_d$, then $G^{*'} \in \mathcal{U}_{n,d}$ and

$$2(8^\alpha - 10^\alpha) < 0.$$

By the above two cases, we get G^* is not the graph with maximal SO_α index in $\mathcal{U}_{n,d}$.

Claim 4. $|E(C)| = 4$. Assume to the contrary that $|E(C)| = 3$. Denote $C = v_i u_1 v_{i+1} v_i$ ($2 \leq i \leq d-2$). Note that $d_{G^*}(v_1) \geq 3$ and $d_{G^*}(v_{i+2}) \geq 1$.

Case 1. $i = 2$. Let $G^{*'} = G^* + v_1u_1 - v_2u_1$, then $G^{*'} \in \mathcal{U}_{n,d}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} SO_\alpha(G^*) - SO_\alpha(G^{*'}) &= (d_{G^*}(v_1) - 1) \left((1 + d_{G^*}^2(v_1))^\alpha - (1 + (d_{G^*}(v_1 + 1)))^\alpha \right) + 18^\alpha \\ &\quad - ((d_{G^*}(v_1 + 1))^2 + 4)^\alpha \leq 18^\alpha - 20^\alpha < 0. \end{aligned}$$

Case 2. $i \geq 3$. Let $G^{*'} = G^* - u_1v_i - u_1v_{i+1} + u_1v_1 + u_1v_3$, then $G^{*'} \in \mathcal{U}_{n,d}$ and by Lemma 3 and Lemma 4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} SO_\alpha(G^*) - SO_\alpha(G^{*'}) &= (d_{G^*}(v_1) - 1) \left((d_{G^*}^2(v_1) + 1)^\alpha - \left((d_{G^*}(v_1) + 1)^2 + 1 \right)^\alpha \right) \\ &\quad + (d_{G^*}^2(v_1) + 9)^\alpha - 2 \left((d_{G^*}(v_1) + 1)^2 + 4 \right)^\alpha + 13^\alpha \\ &\quad + (d_{G^*}^2(v_{i+2}) + 9)^\alpha - (d_{G^*}^2(v_{i+2}) + 4)^\alpha \\ &\leq 2(10^\alpha - 17^\alpha) + 2(13^\alpha - 20^\alpha) + 10^\alpha - 5^\alpha < 0. \end{aligned}$$

So $SO_\alpha(G^*) < SO_\alpha(G^{*'})$ and $G^* \notin \mathcal{U}_{n,d}^{max}$. Claim 4 is proved.

By the above discussion, we get $G^* \cong U(n, d, i)$, where $2 \leq i \leq d - 3$, since $d_{G^*}(v_d) = 1$ (Claim 3). By Lemma 8, we have $SO_\alpha(G^*) < SO_\alpha(U(n, d))$, which is a contradiction and the proof is complete. \blacksquare

Theorem 2 *Let $G \in \mathcal{U}_{d+2,d}$ ($d \geq 4$). Then $SO_\alpha(G) \leq SO_\alpha(U(d+2, d))$, with equality holds if and only if $G \cong U(d+2, d)$.*

Proof. Suppose that $G^* \in \mathcal{U}_{d+2,d}^{max}$. Let $P = \text{diam}(G^*) = v_0v_1 \cdots v_d$. Since $n = d + 2$, there exists a vertex $u \notin V(P)$. Denoted the cycle of G^* by $C = v_iv_{i+1}uv_i$ or $C = v_iv_{i+1}v_{i+2}uv_i$.

Claim 1. $\{v_0, v_d\} \cap V(C) = \emptyset$. Suppose $v_0 \in V(C)$, then $C = v_0v_1uv_0$ or $C = v_0v_1v_2uv_0$.

Case 1. $C = v_0v_1uv_0$. Let $G^{*'} = G^* - v_0u - v_1u + v_1u + v_2u$, then $G^{*'} \in \mathcal{U}_{d+2,d}$. We have

$$SO_\alpha(G^*) - SO_\alpha(G^{*'}) = 2 \cdot 8^\alpha - 10^\alpha - 18^\alpha < 0.$$

Case 2. $C = v_0v_1v_2uv_0$. Let $G^{*'} = G^* - v_0u + v_1u$, then $G^{*'} \in \mathcal{U}_{d+2,d}$. We have

$$SO_\alpha(G^*) - SO_\alpha(G^{*'}) = 2 \cdot 8^\alpha - 10^\alpha - 18^\alpha < 0.$$

Both of two cases contradict with the assumption, thus $v_0 \notin V(C)$. We can get $v_d \notin V(C)$ similarly.

Claim 2. $|E(C)| = 4$. If $|E(C)| = 3$, denote $C = v_iv_{i+1}u_1v_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq d - 2$). Since $d \geq 4$, we have $d_{G^*}(v_{i-1}) = 2$ or $d_{G^*}(v_{i+2}) = 2$. Suppose $d_{G^*}(v_{i+2}) = 2$, then $i \leq d - 3$ and $d_{G^*}(v_{i+3}) = 1$ or 2. Let $G^{*'} = G^* + u_1v_{i+2} - u_1v_{i+1}$, then we have $G^{*'} \in \mathcal{U}_{n,d}$ and

$$SO_\alpha(G^*) - SO_\alpha(G^{*'}) = 18^\alpha - 13^\alpha + (d_{G^*}^2(v_{i+3}) + 4)^\alpha - (d_{G^*}^2(v_{i+3}) + 9)^\alpha < 0,$$

since if $d_{G^*}(v_{i+3}) = 1$, $18^\alpha - 13^\alpha + 5^\alpha - 10^\alpha < 0$ when $0 < \alpha < 1$. And if $d_{G^*}(v_{i+3}) = 2$, $18^\alpha + 8^\alpha - 2 \cdot 13^\alpha < 0$ when $0 < \alpha < 1$. So we get $SO(G^*) < SO(G^{*'})$, which is a contradiction.

So by Claim 1 and Claim 2, we know $G^* \cong U(d+2, d, i)$. By Lemma 8, we get $SO_\alpha(G^*) \leq SO_\alpha(U(d+2, d))$, which means $G^* \notin \mathcal{U}_{d+2, d}^{max}$. We get a contradiction. The proof is complete. ■

Theorem 3 For any $G \in \mathcal{U}_{n, d} (4 \leq d \leq n-2)$, $SO_\alpha(G) \leq SO_\alpha(U(n, d))$, with equality holds iff $G \cong U(n, d)$.

Proof. If $n = d+2$, conclusion holds according to Theorem 2. Assume the conclusion holds for $G \in \mathcal{U}_{n-1, d}$.

Let $G^* \in \mathcal{U}_{n, d}^{max} (4 \leq d \leq n-3)$. By Proposition 1, exist a vertex $v^* \in VP(G^*)$, $G^* - v^* \in \mathcal{U}_{n, d}$, and the neighbor u of v^* is adjacent to at least two non-pendant vertices. Denote $N_{G^*}(u) = \{v, u_1, u_2 \cdots u_{d_{G^*}(u)-1}\}$, we have $d_{G^*}(u_1) \geq 2$, $d_{G^*}(u_2) \geq 2$ and $3 \leq d_{G^*}(u) \leq n-d+1$.

Let $G^{*'} = G^* - v^*$, then by Lemma 5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} SO_\alpha(G^*) &= SO_\alpha(G^{*'}) + (d_{G^*}^2(u) + 1)^\alpha + \sum_{i=1}^{d_{G^*}(u)} \left((d_{G^*}^2(u) + d_{G^*}^2(u_i))^\alpha - \left((d_{G^*}^2(u) - 1)^2 + d_{G^*}^2(u_i) \right)^\alpha \right) \\ &\leq SO_\alpha(U(n, d-1)) + (d_{G^*}^2(u) + 1)^\alpha + 2 \left((d_{G^*}^2(u) + 4)^\alpha - \left((d_{G^*}^2(u) - 1)^2 + 4 \right)^\alpha \right) \\ &\quad + (d_{G^*}(u) - 3) \left((d_{G^*}^2(u) + 1)^\alpha - \left((d_{G^*}(u) - 1)^2 + 1 \right)^\alpha \right) \\ &= (n-d-2) \left((n-d)^2 + 1 \right)^\alpha + 2 \left((n-d)^2 + 4 \right)^\alpha + \gamma + (d_{G^*} - 2) (d_{G^*}^2 + 1)^\alpha + 2 (d_{G^*}^2 + 4)^\alpha \\ &\quad - 2 \left((d_{G^*} - 1)^2 + 4 \right)^\alpha - (d_{G^*}(u) - 3) \left((d_{G^*}(u) - 1)^2 + 1 \right)^\alpha \\ &\leq (n-d-2) \left((n-d)^2 + 1 \right)^\alpha + 2 \left((n-d)^2 + 4 \right)^\alpha + \gamma + (n-d-1) \left((n-d+1)^2 + 1 \right)^\alpha \\ &\quad + 2 \left((n-d+1)^2 + 4 \right)^\alpha - 2 \left((n-d)^2 + 4 \right)^\alpha - (n-d-2) \left((n-d)^2 + 1 \right)^\alpha \\ &= SO_\alpha(U(n, d)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $SO_\alpha(G) \leq SO_\alpha(U(n, d))$, with equality if and only if $G \cong U(n, d)$. ■

References

- [1] M. Alfuraidan, K. Das, T. Vetrik, B. Selvaraj, General Randić index of unicyclic graphs with given diameter, Discrete Appl. Math. **306** (2022) 7–16.
- [2] M. Alfuraidan, K. Das, T. Vetrik, B. Selvaraj, General sum-connectivity index of unicyclic graphs with given diameter, Discrete Appl. Math. **295** (2021) 39–46.
- [3] I. Gutman, Geometric approach to degree-based topological indices: Sombor indices, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. **86** (2021) 11–16.

- [4] L. Zhong, The minimum harmonic index for unicyclic graphs with given diameter, *Discuss. Math. Graph Theory* **38** (2018) 429–442.
- [5] Q. Sum, B. Ikić, R. Škrekovski, V. Vukašinović, Graphs with a given diameter that maximise the Wiener index, *Appl. Math. Comput.* **356** (2019) 438–448.
- [6] S. Li, Z. Wang, M. Zhang, On the extremal Sombor index of trees with a given diameter. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **416** (2022) #126731.
- [7] H. Liu, Extremal problems on Sombor indices of unicyclic graphs with a given diameter. *arXiv: 2107.10673v2*
- [8] X. Sun, J. Du, On Sombor index of trees with fixed domination number. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **421** (2022) #126946.
- [9] T. Zhou, Z. Lin, L. Miao, The Sombor index of trees and unicyclic graphs with given maximum degree. *Discrete Math. Lett.* **7** (2021) 24–29.
- [10] T. Zhou, Z. Lin, L. Miao, The Sombor index of trees and unicyclic graphs with given matching number. *arXiv:2103.04645v1*
- [11] Y. Jiang, M. Lu, Maximal augmented Zagreb index of trees with given diameter, *Appl. Math. Comput.* **395** (2021) #125855.
- [12] S. Hayat, A. Rehman, Y. Zhong, On Sombor index of graphs with a given number of cut-vertices. *arXiv:2203.08438v1*
- [13] J. Bondy, U. Murty, *Graph Theory*, Springer-Verlag, London, UK, 2008.