

On the geometry of a $(q + 1)$ -arc of $\text{PG}(3, q)$, q even

Michela Ceria

Francesco Pavese

Abstract

In $\text{PG}(3, q)$, $q = 2^n$, $n \geq 3$, let $\mathcal{A} = \{(1, t, t^{2^h}, t^{2^h+1}) \mid t \in \mathbb{F}_q\} \cup \{(0, 0, 0, 1)\}$, with $\gcd(n, h) = 1$, be a $(q + 1)$ -arc and let $G_h \simeq \text{PGL}(2, q)$ be the stabilizer of \mathcal{A} in $\text{PGL}(4, q)$. The G_h -orbits on points, lines and planes of $\text{PG}(3, q)$, together with the point-plane incidence matrix with respect to the G_h -orbits on points and planes of $\text{PG}(3, q)$ are determined. The point-line incidence matrix with respect to the G_1 -orbits on points and lines of $\text{PG}(3, q)$ is also considered. In particular, for a line ℓ belonging to a given line G_1 -orbits, say \mathcal{L} , the point G_1 -orbit distribution of ℓ is either explicitly computed or it is shown to depend on the number of elements x in \mathbb{F}_q (or in a subset of \mathbb{F}_q) such that $\text{Tr}_{q|2}(g(x)) = 0$, where g is an \mathbb{F}_q -map determined by \mathcal{L} .

Keywords: $(q + 1)$ -arc; twisted cubic.

1 Introduction

Let $\text{PG}(3, q)$ be the three-dimensional projective space over the finite field \mathbb{F}_q with q elements. A $(q + 1)$ -arc of $\text{PG}(3, q)$ is a set of $q + 1$ points of $\text{PG}(3, q)$ no four in a plane. If $q = 2^n$, a $(q + 1)$ -arc of $\text{PG}(3, q)$ is projectively equivalent to the pointset

$$\mathcal{A} = \{P_t = (1, t, t^{2^h}, t^{2^h+1}) \mid t \in \mathbb{F}_q\} \cup \{(0, 0, 0, 1)\}, \quad (1.1)$$

where $\gcd(n, h) = 1$. If $h = 1$, then \mathcal{A} is called *twisted cubic* and if q is odd every $(q + 1)$ -arc of $\text{PG}(3, q)$ is a *twisted cubic*. Moreover \mathcal{A} and $\{(1, t, t^{2^{n-h}}, t^{2^{n-h}+1}) \mid t \in \mathbb{F}_q\} \cup \{(0, 0, 0, 1)\}$ are projectively equivalent. The subgroup of $\text{PGL}(4, q)$ stabilizing \mathcal{A} , say G_h , is isomorphic to $\text{PGL}(2, q)$ whenever $q > 4$, and contains a subgroup isomorphic to $\text{PGL}(2, q)$ if $q \leq 4$. For more details on $(q + 1)$ -arcs of $\text{PG}(3, q)$ the reader is referred to [9, Chapter 21].

Several authors dealt with the action of the group G_1 on points, lines and planes of $\text{PG}(3, q)$ and the determination of their related incidence matrices. Indeed, a comprehensive understanding of this topic is of some interest in purely geometric terms [4, 5, 6, 7]; in particular the classification of G_1 -orbits on lines of $\text{PG}(3, q)$ is equivalent to the classification of pencils of cubics in $\text{PG}(1, q)$, see [8]. Moreover aspects of these problems are related and have been applied in coding theory in order to obtain asymptotically optimal multiple covering codes [1], or to study

M. Ceria, F. Pavese: Dipartimento di Meccanica, Matematica e Management, Politecnico di Bari, Via Orabona 4, 70125 Bari, Italy; *e-mail*: {michela.ceria, francesco.pavese}@poliba.it
Mathematics Subject Classification (2020): Primary 05E18. Secondary 05B25; 51E20; 51N35; 14N10.

the weight distribution of the cosets of \mathcal{C} [3], or to compute the coset leader weight enumerator of \mathcal{C} [2], where \mathcal{C} is the generalized Reed-Solomon code associated with a twisted cubic.

In this paper we assume $q = 2^n$. In Section 3, we show that, similarly to the case $h = 1$, the group G_h has five orbits on points on $\text{PG}(3, q)$ and that the point-plane incidence matrix with respect to the G_h -orbits on points and planes of $\text{PG}(3, q)$ mirrors the case $h = 1$, which was computed in [1]. If $q \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, the group G_h fixes a symplectic polarity of $\text{PG}(3, q)$ and hence to each G_h -orbit on points there corresponds a G_h -orbit on planes of $\text{PG}(3, q)$.

In Section 4, we show that the group G_h has $2q + 7 + \xi$ orbits on lines of $\text{PG}(3, q)$, providing a proof of [7, Conjecture 8.2] in the even characteristic case. Finally the point-line incidence matrix with respect to the G_1 -orbits on points and lines of $\text{PG}(3, q)$ is considered. For a line ℓ belonging to a given line G_1 -orbits, say \mathcal{L} , the point G_1 -orbit distribution of ℓ is either explicitly computed or it is shown to depend on the number of elements x in \mathbb{F}_q (or in a subset of \mathbb{F}_q) such that $\text{Tr}_{q|2}(g(x)) = 0$, where g is an \mathbb{F}_q -map determined by \mathcal{L} .

2 Preliminaries

Let $q = 2^n$ and let \mathbb{F}_q be the finite field of order q . Let $\text{PG}(3, q)$ be the three-dimensional projective space over \mathbb{F}_q equipped with homogeneous projective coordinates (X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4) . Denote by U_i the point having 1 in the i -th position and 0 elsewhere.

Let $\mathcal{W}(3, q)$ be the symplectic polar space consisting of the subspaces of $\text{PG}(3, q)$ represented by the totally isotropic subspaces of \mathbb{F}_q^4 with respect to the non-degenerate alternating form β given by

$$x_1y_4 + x_2y_3 + x_3y_2 + x_4y_1.$$

The subspaces of $\mathcal{W}(3, q)$ of maximum dimension are lines and are called *generators*. Denote by \mathfrak{s} the symplectic polarity of $\text{PG}(3, q)$ defining $\mathcal{W}(3, q)$.

Let h be a positive integer such that $\gcd(h, n) = 1$ and consider the $(q + 1)$ -arc of $\text{PG}(3, q)$ given by (1.1). This means that \mathcal{A} consists of $q + 1$ points of $\text{PG}(3, q)$ such that no four of them are coplanar. For $h = 1$ or $h = n - 1$, \mathcal{A} is a twisted cubic of $\text{PG}(3, q)$. A line of $\text{PG}(3, q)$ joining two distinct points of \mathcal{A} is called a *real chord*. Let $\bar{\mathcal{A}}$ be given by

$$\begin{aligned} \{\bar{P}_t = (1, t, t^{2^h}, t^{2^h+1}) \mid t \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}\} \cup \{U_4\} & \quad \text{if } h \text{ is odd,} \\ \{\bar{P}_t = (1, t, t^{2^{n+h}}, t^{2^{n+h}+1}) \mid t \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}\} \cup \{U_4\} & \quad \text{if } h \text{ is even.} \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

It holds that $\bar{\mathcal{A}}$ is a $(q^2 + 1)$ -arc of $\text{PG}(3, q^2)$ and \mathcal{A} is embedded in $\bar{\mathcal{A}}$. The line of $\text{PG}(3, q^2)$ obtained by joining \bar{P}_t and \bar{P}_{tq} , with $t \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \setminus \mathbb{F}_q$, meets the canonical Baer subgeometry $\text{PG}(3, q)$ in the $q + 1$ points of a line skew to \mathcal{A} . Such a line is called an *imaginary chord*. If r is a (real or imaginary) chord, then the line $r^{\mathfrak{s}}$ is called (*real or imaginary*) *axis*. For each point $P \in \mathcal{A}$, the *tangent line* to \mathcal{A} at P is the line $\ell_P = \langle P, P' \rangle$, with $P' = (0, 1, 0, t^{2^h})$ if $P = P_t$ and $P' = U_3$ if $P = U_4$. At each point P_t (resp. U_4) of \mathcal{A} there corresponds the *osculating plane* $P_t^{\mathfrak{s}}$ (resp. $U_4^{\mathfrak{s}}$) with equation $t^{2^h+1}X_1 + t^{2^h}X_2 + tX_3 + X_4 = 0$ (resp. $X_1 = 0$), meeting \mathcal{A} only at P_t (resp. U_4) and containing the tangent line ℓ_{P_t} (resp. ℓ_{U_4}). Hence the $q + 1$ lines tangent to \mathcal{A} are generators

of $\mathcal{W}(3, q)$ and they form a regulus of the hyperbolic quadric $\mathcal{Q}^+(3, q) : X_1X_4 + X_2X_3 = 0$. There are $q(q+1)/2$ real chords and $q(q-1)/2$ imaginary chords. Tangents, real chords and imaginary chords are all the chords of \mathcal{A} .

The group G_h of projectivities of $\text{PG}(3, q)$ stabilizing \mathcal{A} is isomorphic to $\text{PGL}(2, q)$ whenever $q \geq 5$, and the elements of G_h are represented by the matrices

$$M_{a,b,c,d} = \begin{pmatrix} a^{2^h+1} & a^{2^h}b & ab^{2^h} & b^{2^h+1} \\ a^{2^h}c & a^{2^h}d & b^{2^h}c & b^{2^h}d \\ ac^{2^h} & bc^{2^h} & ad^{2^h} & bd^{2^h} \\ c^{2^h+1} & c^{2^h}d & cd^{2^h} & d^{2^h+1} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{F}_q$ and $ad+bc = 1$. This group leaves $\mathcal{W}(3, q)$ invariant, being $M_{a,b,c,d}^t J M_{a,b,c,d} = J$, where

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

is the Gram matrix of β . We will denote by \bar{G}_h or \bar{G}_{n+h} the subgroup of $\text{PGL}(4, q^2)$ fixing $\bar{\mathcal{A}}$, depending on h is odd or even. In both cases their elements are represented by the matrices $M_{a,b,c,d}$, with $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ and $ad + bc = 1$. For more properties on $(q+1)$ -arcs in even characteristic, we refer the readers to [9, Section 21.3].

3 Point orbits

In this section, we study the orbits on points and planes under the action of G_h . We start by proving that each point of $\text{PG}(3, q) \setminus \mathcal{A}$ lies on exactly one chord of \mathcal{A} , similarly to the case $h \in \{1, n-1\}$, see [9, Theorem 21.1.9].

Proposition 3.1. *Every point of $\text{PG}(3, q) \setminus \mathcal{A}$ lies on exactly one chord of \mathcal{A} .*

Proof. The points on tangent lines form the hyperbolic quadric $\mathcal{Q}^+(3, q)$ and they are $(q+1)^2$ in number.

If two real chords meet, then their intersection point belongs to \mathcal{A} . Indeed, assume by contradiction that s, s' are two real chords which meet in a point $P \notin \mathcal{A}$, then these two lines generate a plane containing four points of \mathcal{A} , contradicting the fact that \mathcal{A} is a $(q+1)$ -arc. Every real chord has $q-1$ points not in common with \mathcal{A} and a real chord meets $\mathcal{Q}^+(3, q)$ in points of \mathcal{A} . Since there are $q(q+1)/2$ real chords, it follows that there are $(q^3 - q)/2$ points of $\text{PG}(3, q) \setminus \mathcal{A}$ lying on real chords.

An imaginary chord of \mathcal{A} , when extended in $\text{PG}(3, q^2)$, meets $\bar{\mathcal{A}} \setminus \mathcal{A}$ in two points. Hence, two imaginary chords or a real chord and an imaginary one cannot meet outside \mathcal{A} , otherwise, when extended in $\text{PG}(3, q^2)$, they would span a plane of $\text{PG}(3, q^2)$ containing four points of $\bar{\mathcal{A}}$, a contradiction. Let $\mathcal{Q}^+(3, q^2)$ denote the hyperbolic quadric of $\text{PG}(3, q^2)$ such that $\mathcal{Q}^+(3, q^2) \cap \text{PG}(3, q) = \mathcal{Q}^+(3, q)$. It is easily seen that an imaginary chord meets $\mathcal{Q}^+(3, q^2)$ in points of $\bar{\mathcal{A}} \setminus \mathcal{A}$. Hence an imaginary chord has empty intersection with $\mathcal{Q}^+(3, q)$. Since there are $q(q-1)/2$ imaginary chords, there are $(q^3 - q)/2$ points of $\text{PG}(3, q) \setminus \mathcal{A}$ lying on imaginary chords. \square

Proposition 3.2. *The group G_h has five orbits on points of $\text{PG}(3, q)$:*

- \mathcal{A} of size $q + 1$;
- \mathcal{O}_0 of size $(q^3 - q)/3$, consisting of points not contained in any osculating plane;
- \mathcal{O}_1 of size $(q^3 - q)/2$, consisting of points on exactly one osculating plane;
- $\mathcal{O}_2 = \mathcal{Q}^+(3, q) \setminus \mathcal{A}$ of size $q^2 + q$, consisting of points on exactly two osculating planes;
- \mathcal{O}_3 of size $(q^3 - q)/6$, consisting of points on exactly three osculating planes.

Proof. Taking into account Proposition 3.1, it is enough to determine the orbits of G on points of $\text{PG}(3, q) \setminus \mathcal{A}$ lying on chords of \mathcal{A} . Moreover the group G_h acts transitively on tangent lines, real chords and imaginary chords of \mathcal{A} . Let ℓ be a chord of \mathcal{A} .

If ℓ is tangent we choose $\ell = \langle U_1, U_2 \rangle$ and consider the point $U_2 \in \ell \setminus \mathcal{A}$. The projectivities of G_h stabilizing U_2 are represented by the matrices $M_{d^{-1}, 0, 0, d}$, $d \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0\}$. Hence $|\text{Stab}_{G_h}(U_2)| = q - 1$ and $|U_2^{G_h}| = q^2 + q = |\mathcal{Q}^+(3, q) \setminus \mathcal{A}|$. The point U_2 belongs to U_1^s and U_4^s and to no other osculating plane.

If ℓ is a real chord, we consider $\ell = \langle U_1, U_4 \rangle$. The stabilizer of ℓ in G_h has order $2(q - 1)$ and it is generated by the projectivities represented by the matrices $M_{0, 1, 1, 0}$ and $M_{d^{-1}, 0, 0, d}$, $d \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0\}$. Let $x \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0\}$ and let $Q = U_1 + xU_4 \in \ell \setminus \mathcal{A}$. We will prove that

$$|\text{Stab}_{G_h}(Q)| = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } q \equiv -1 \pmod{3}, \\ 3 & \text{if } q \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \text{ and } x \text{ is not a cube in } \mathbb{F}_q, \\ 6 & \text{if } q \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \text{ and } x \text{ is a cube in } \mathbb{F}_q. \end{cases}$$

A projectivity represented by $M_{d^{-1}, 0, 0, d}$ fixes Q if and only if $d^{2^h+1} = 1$ which has $\gcd(2^h + 1, 2^n - 1)$ solutions. Since

$$\gcd(2^h + 1, 2^n - 1) = \begin{cases} 3 & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ 1 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

the number of these projectivities fixing Q equals three or one according as $q \equiv 1$ or $-1 \pmod{3}$, respectively. Similarly, a projectivity represented by $M_{0, d^{-1}, d, 0}$ fixes Q if and only if

$$d^{2^h+1} = x. \tag{3.1}$$

Equation (3.1) admits a solution if and only if $x^{\frac{2^n-1}{\gcd(2^h+1, 2^n-1)}} = 1$ and in that case it has $\gcd(2^h + 1, 2^n - 1)$ solutions. Therefore, if $q \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, the number of these projectivities fixing Q is three or zero according as x is a cube in \mathbb{F}_q or not, whereas if $q \equiv -1 \pmod{3}$ exactly one of these projectivities leaves Q invariant. The point Q belongs to the osculating plane P_t^s if and only if $t^{2^h+1} = x$. Hence it lies on one osculating plane if $q \equiv -1 \pmod{3}$, whereas if $q \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ on three or none osculating planes according as x is a cube or not in \mathbb{F}_q .

If ℓ is an imaginary chord, we consider the $(q^2 + 1)$ -arc $\bar{\mathcal{A}}$ of $\text{PG}(3, q^2)$ given by (2.1) and the non-canonical Baer subgeometry Σ

$$\{(\alpha, \beta, \beta^q, \alpha^q) : \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}, (\alpha, \beta) \neq (0, 0)\}.$$

Some straightforward computations show that the intersection of $\bar{\mathcal{A}}$ with Σ is the $(q + 1)$ -arc of Σ consisting of the points \bar{P}_t , $t \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$, $t^{q+1} = 1$. The projectivities fixing $\bar{\mathcal{A}} \cap \Sigma$ belong to \bar{G}_h , if h is odd, or to \bar{G}_{n+h} , if h is even, and are those represented by the matrices $M_{a,b,c,d}$ with $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$, $ad + bc = 1$, $cd^q + ab^q = 0$ and $a^{q+1} + b^{q+1} + c^{q+1} + d^{q+1} = 0$. Denote by S the group $\text{Stab}_{\bar{G}_h}(\Sigma)$ if h is odd or $\text{Stab}_{\bar{G}_{n+h}}(\Sigma)$ if h is even. Note that G_h and S are conjugated in \bar{G}_h or \bar{G}_{n+h} . In this setting, $\ell = \langle U_1, U_4 \rangle_{q^2} \cap \Sigma$ is an imaginary chord of $\bar{\mathcal{A}} \cap \Sigma$. The stabilizer of ℓ in S has order $2(q + 1)$ and it is generated by the projectivities represented by the matrices $M_{0,1,1,0}$ and $M_{d^{-1},0,0,d}$, $d \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$, with

$$d^{2^{n+1}} = 1. \quad (3.2)$$

Fix $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \setminus \{0\}$ and set $R = U_1 + \alpha^{q-1}U_4 \in \ell$. We show that

$$|\text{Stab}_S(R)| = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } q \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ 3 & \text{if } q \equiv -1 \pmod{3} \text{ and } \alpha^{q-1} \text{ is not a cube in } \mathbb{F}_{q^2}, \\ 6 & \text{if } q \equiv -1 \pmod{3} \text{ and } \alpha^{q-1} \text{ is a cube in } \mathbb{F}_{q^2}. \end{cases}$$

A projectivity represented by $M_{d^{-1},0,0,d}$ fixes R if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} d^{2^h+1} &= 1 & \text{if } h \text{ is odd,} \\ d^{2^{n+h}+1} &= 1 & \text{if } h \text{ is even.} \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Let $\bar{\omega}$ be a primitive element of \mathbb{F}_{q^2} and set $d = \bar{\omega}^i$. Since $\gcd(2^h + 1, 2^{2n} - 1) = 3$ if h is odd and $\gcd(2^{n+h} + 1, 2^{2n} - 1) = 3$ if h is even, equation (3.3) is satisfied by

$$i \equiv \frac{t(2^{2n} - 1)}{3} \pmod{2^{2n-1}}, t = 0, 1, 2.$$

If $q \equiv -1 \pmod{3}$, these three solutions satisfy (3.2), whereas if $q \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, the unique solution fulfilling (3.2) occurs for $t = 0$. Therefore there are three or one of these projectivities stabilizing R depending on $q \equiv -1$ or $1 \pmod{3}$, respectively. Similarly, a projectivity represented by $M_{0,d^{-1},d,0}$ fixes R if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} d^{-(2^h+1)} &= \alpha^{q-1} & \text{if } h \text{ is odd,} \\ d^{-(2^{n+h}+1)} &= \alpha^{q-1} & \text{if } h \text{ is even.} \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

In this case, if $\alpha = \bar{\omega}^k$, equation (3.4) admits a solution if and only if 3 divides $k(2^n - 1)$. Assume that 3 divides $k(2^n - 1)$, then (3.4) has the three solutions

$$i \equiv \frac{-k(2^n - 1)u_0 + t(2^{2n} - 1)}{3} \pmod{2^{2n-1}}, t = 0, 1, 2,$$

where u_0 is an integer such that $(2^h + 1)u_0 + (2^{2n} - 1)v_0 = 3$, if h is odd and $(2^{n+h} + 1)u_0 + (2^{2n} - 1)v_0 = 3$, if h is even. If $q \equiv -1 \pmod{3}$, these three solutions satisfy (3.2), whereas if $q \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, exactly one of these three solutions satisfies (3.2). It follows that, if $q \equiv -1 \pmod{3}$, the number of these projectivities fixing R is three or zero according as α^{q-1} is a cube in \mathbb{F}_{q^2} or not, whereas if $q \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ exactly one of these projectivities leaves invariant R . The point R belongs to the osculating plane \bar{P}_t^s if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} t^{2^h+1} &= \alpha^{q-1} && \text{if } h \text{ is odd,} \\ t^{2^{n+h}+1} &= \alpha^{q-1} && \text{if } h \text{ is even} \end{aligned}$$

for some $t \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$, with $t^{q+1} = 1$. Hence it lies on one osculating plane if $q \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, whereas if $q \equiv -1 \pmod{3}$ on three or none osculating planes according as α^{q-1} is a cube or not in \mathbb{F}_{q^2} . \square

Corollary 3.3. *If $q \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, the points of $\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{O}_0 \cup \mathcal{O}_3$ are on the real chords and those of \mathcal{O}_1 on the imaginary chords. If $q \equiv -1 \pmod{3}$, the points of $\mathcal{O}_0 \cup \mathcal{O}_3$ are on the imaginary chords and those of $\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{O}_1$ on the real chords.*

The G_h -orbits on planes of $\text{PG}(3, q)$ can be described by using the symplectic polarity \mathfrak{s} of $\text{PG}(3, q)$ defining $\mathcal{W}(3, q)$. Let $\mathcal{O}_j^s = \{P^s \mid P \in \mathcal{O}_j\}$.

Proposition 3.4. *The group G_h has five orbits on planes of $\text{PG}(3, q)$:*

- *The $q + 1$ osculating planes;*
- *\mathcal{O}_0^s of size $(q^3 - q)/3$, consisting of planes containing no point of \mathcal{A} ;*
- *\mathcal{O}_1^s of size $(q^3 - q)/2$, consisting of planes containing one point of \mathcal{A} ;*
- *\mathcal{O}_2^s of size $q^2 + q$, consisting of planes containing two points of \mathcal{A} ;*
- *\mathcal{O}_3^s of size $(q^3 - q)/6$, consisting of planes containing three point of \mathcal{A} .*

3.1 Point plane distribution

In the case when \mathcal{A} is a twisted cubic, the point plane distribution has been determined in [1]. Most of the combinatorial arguments used in [1] can be adapted to the general case of a $(q + 1)$ -arc \mathcal{A} . Set $\mathcal{O}_{q+1} = \mathcal{A}$. Denote by $r_{i,j}$ the number of planes in \mathcal{O}_j^s through a point in \mathcal{O}_i and by $k_{i,j}$ the number of points of \mathcal{O}_i in a plane of \mathcal{O}_j^s . Hence

$$|\mathcal{O}_i| r_{i,j} = |\mathcal{O}_j^s| k_{i,j}. \quad (3.5)$$

Note that

$$r_{0,q+1} = 0, \quad r_{q+1,q+1} = r_{1,q+1} = 1, \quad r_{2,q+1} = 2, \quad r_{3,q+1} = 3,$$

by Proposition 3.2. Since a plane meets \mathcal{A} in at most three points, taking into account Proposition 3.1, the following results can be easily deduced.

Lemma 3.5. *Through a real chord of \mathcal{A} there pass $q - 1$ planes of \mathcal{O}_3^5 and two planes of \mathcal{O}_2^5 , whereas through an imaginary chord of \mathcal{A} there pass $q + 1$ planes of \mathcal{O}_1^5 .*

Lemma 3.6. *The following hold.*

1) $r_{i,q+1} + r_{i,1} + 2r_{i,2} + 3r_{i,3} = (q + 1)^2, i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}.$

2) *For $q \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, it holds*

$$\begin{aligned} r_{2,2} + 3r_{2,3} &= r_{1,2} + 3r_{1,3} = \frac{q(q+1)}{2}, \\ r_{0,2} + 3r_{0,3} &= r_{3,2} + 3r_{3,3} = \frac{q(q+3)}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

3) *For $q \equiv -1 \pmod{3}$, it holds*

$$\begin{aligned} r_{2,2} + 3r_{2,3} &= r_{0,2} + 3r_{0,3} = r_{3,2} + 3r_{3,3} = \frac{q(q+1)}{2}, \\ r_{1,2} + 3r_{1,3} &= \frac{q(q+3)}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. To prove 1), let P be a point of $\text{PG}(3, q) \setminus \mathcal{A}$ and let us count in two ways the pairs (Q, σ) , where Q is a point of \mathcal{A} , σ is a plane and the line $\langle P, Q \rangle$ is contained in σ . The point Q can be chosen in $q + 1$ ways and for a fixed Q there are $q + 1$ planes containing both P and Q . Hence on the one hand there are $(q + 1)^2$ pairs. On the other hand, if $P \in \mathcal{O}_i$, where $i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$, then the number of these pairs equals $r_{i,q+1} + r_{i,1} + 2r_{i,2} + 3r_{i,3}$.

In order to show 2) and 3), by Corollary 3.3, it is enough to verify that

$$r_{i,2} + 3r_{i,3} = \begin{cases} \frac{q(q+1)}{2} & \text{if } \mathcal{O}_i \text{ consists of points not on real chords,} \\ \frac{q(q+3)}{2} & \text{if } \mathcal{O}_i \text{ consists of points off } \mathcal{A} \text{ on real chords.} \end{cases}$$

Let P be a point of $\text{PG}(3, q) \setminus \mathcal{A}$ and let us count in two ways the pairs (ℓ, σ) , where ℓ is a real chord, $P \notin \ell$ and $\sigma = \langle P, \ell \rangle$ is a plane such that $|\sigma \cap \mathcal{A}| \in \{2, 3\}$. If P does not belong to any real chord, then each of the $q(q + 1)/2$ real chords gives rise to a plane σ which contains either one or three real chords. Hence equation $r_{i,2} + 3r_{i,3} = q(q + 1)/2$ follows. If P belongs to the real chord r , then each of the $q(q + 1)/2 - 1$ real chords distinct from r spans with P a plane σ . By Lemma 3.5, among these planes there are $q - 1$ of them which contain precisely two chords distinct from r . Therefore in this case

$$(r_{i,2} - 2) + 3(r_{i,3} - (q - 1)) + 2(q - 1) = \frac{q(q+1)}{2} - 1$$

holds true and equation $r_{i,2} + 3r_{i,3} = q(q + 3)/2$ follows. \square

Proposition 3.7.

$$r_{q+1,d} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } d = q + 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } d = 0, \\ \frac{q(q-1)}{2} & \text{if } d \in \{1, 3\}, \\ 2q & \text{if } d = 2. \end{cases}$$

Proof. The value $r_{q+1,0}$, is easily determined. Through a point $Q \in \mathcal{A}$ there are q real chords. By Lemma 3.5, through a real chord there pass two planes of \mathcal{O}_2^5 and $q-1$ planes of \mathcal{O}_3^5 . Therefore $r_{q+1,2} = 2q$ and $r_{q+1,3} = q(q-1)/2$, since a plane of \mathcal{O}_3 contains two real chords through Q . Finally $r_{q+1,1} = q^2 + q + 1 - (1 - 2q - q(q-1)/2) = q(q-1)/2$. \square

Proposition 3.8. *If $q \equiv \xi \pmod{3}$, $\xi \in \{\pm 1\}$, then*

$$r_{1,d} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } d = q + 1, \\ \frac{q(q-\xi)}{3} & \text{if } d = 0, \\ \frac{q(q+\xi)}{2} & \text{if } d = 1, \\ q & \text{if } d = 2, \\ \frac{q(q-\xi)}{6} & \text{if } d = 3. \end{cases} \quad r_{2,d} = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } d = q + 1, \\ \frac{q^2-1}{3} & \text{if } d = 0, \\ \frac{q(q-1)}{2} & \text{if } d = 1, \\ 2q - 1 & \text{if } d = 2, \\ \frac{q^2-3q+2}{6} & \text{if } d = 3. \end{cases}$$

Proof. By Corollary 3.3, if $q \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ (or $q \equiv -1 \pmod{3}$), \mathcal{O}_1 consists of the points on imaginary chords (or, together with \mathcal{A} , of the points on real chords). On the other hand, by Lemma 3.5, a plane σ in \mathcal{O}_0^5 does not contain any imaginary (or real) chord and hence each of the $q(q-1)/2$ (or $q(q+1)/2$) imaginary (or real) chords of \mathcal{A} has exactly one point in common with σ . It follows that Equation 3.5 reads as $\frac{q(q^2-1)}{2}r_{1,0} = \frac{q(q^2-1)}{3} \frac{q(q-\xi)}{2}$ and therefore $r_{1,0} = q(q-\xi)/3$. As a consequence we have that $1 + r_{1,1} + r_{1,2} + r_{1,3} = q^2 + q + 1 - q(q-\xi)/3 = (2q^2 + (3+\xi)q + 3)/3$, which combined with Lemma 3.6 1), 2) (or Lemma 3.6 1), 3)), gives the required values of $r_{1,1}, r_{1,2}, r_{1,3}$.

Analogously, no tangent line is contained in a plane σ of \mathcal{O}_0^5 and hence each of the $q+1$ tangent lines has exactly one point in common with σ . In this case Equation 3.5 becomes as $q(q+1)r_{2,0} = \frac{q(q^2-1)}{3}(q+1)$ and therefore $r_{2,0} = (q^2-1)/3$. Moreover, $2 + r_{2,1} + r_{2,2} + r_{2,3} = q^2 + q + 1 - (q^2-1)/3 = (2q^2 + 3q + 4)/3$, which together with Lemma 3.6 1), 2), 3) provides the values of $r_{2,1}, r_{2,2}, r_{2,3}$. \square

Proposition 3.9. *If $q \equiv \xi \pmod{3}$, $\xi \in \{\pm 1\}$, then*

$$r_{0,d} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } d = q + 1, \\ \frac{q^2+\xi q+1}{3} & \text{if } d = 0, \\ \frac{q(q-\xi)}{2} & \text{if } d = 1, \\ q + 1 & \text{if } d = 2, \\ \frac{q^2+\xi q-2}{6} & \text{if } d = 3. \end{cases} \quad r_{3,d} = \begin{cases} 3 & \text{if } d = q + 1, \\ \frac{q^2+\xi q-2}{3} & \text{if } d = 0, \\ \frac{q(q-\xi)}{2} & \text{if } d = 1, \\ q - 2 & \text{if } d = 2, \\ \frac{q^2+\xi q+4}{6} & \text{if } d = 3. \end{cases}$$

Proof. First we determine $r_{3,3}$ and $r_{0,3}$. Fix a plane σ in \mathcal{O}_3^5 and let us count in two ways the pairs (Q, γ) , where Q is a point, γ is an osculating plane and $Q \in \sigma \cap \gamma$. Since there are $q+1$ osculating planes and for each of these planes there are $q+1$ choices for the point Q , we have $(q+1)^2$ of such pairs. On the other hand, σ contains 3 points of \mathcal{A} , $q(q-\xi)/2$ points of \mathcal{O}_1 , $q-2$ points of \mathcal{O}_2 and $k_{3,3}$ points of \mathcal{O}_3 . It follows that

$$1 \times 3 + 1 \times \frac{q(q-\xi)}{2} + 2 \times (q-2) + 3 \times k_{3,3} = (q+1)^2.$$

Therefore $k_{3,3} = (q^2 + \xi q + 4)/6$ and $k_{0,3} = q^2 + q + 1 - 3 - q(q-\xi)/2 - (q-2) - (q^2 + \xi q + 4)/6 = (q^2 + \xi q - 2)/3$. By using Equation (3.5), we get $r_{3,3} = (q^2 + \xi q + 4)/6$ and $r_{0,3} = (q^2 + \xi q - 2)/6$.

Next, the values $r_{0,2}$, $r_{3,2}$ can be recovered from Lemma 3.6 2), 3), $r_{0,1}$, $r_{3,1}$ from Lemma 3.6 1) and $r_{0,0}$, $r_{3,0}$ by difference. \square

The results so obtained are summarized in Tables 1 and 2, where $q \equiv \xi \pmod{3}$, $\xi \in \{\pm 1\}$.

Table 1: The number of planes of \mathcal{O}_j^s through a point of \mathcal{O}_i

	\mathcal{A}	\mathcal{O}_0	\mathcal{O}_1	\mathcal{O}_2	\mathcal{O}_3
\mathcal{O}_{q+1}^s	1	0	1	2	3
\mathcal{O}_0^s	0	$(q^2 + \xi q + 1)/3$	$(q^2 - \xi q)/3$	$(q^2 - 1)/3$	$(q^2 + \xi q - 2)/3$
\mathcal{O}_1^s	$(q^2 - q)/2$	$(q^2 - \xi q)/2$	$(q^2 + \xi q)/2$	$(q^2 - q)/2$	$(q^2 - \xi q)/2$
\mathcal{O}_2^s	$2q$	$q + 1$	q	$2q - 1$	$q - 2$
\mathcal{O}_3^s	$(q^2 - q)/2$	$(q^2 + \xi q - 2)/6$	$(q^2 - \xi q)/6$	$(q^2 - 3q + 2)/6$	$(q^2 + \xi q + 4)/6$

Table 2: The number of points of \mathcal{O}_i in a plane of \mathcal{O}_j^s

	\mathcal{A}	\mathcal{O}_0	\mathcal{O}_1	\mathcal{O}_2	\mathcal{O}_3
\mathcal{O}_{q+1}^s	1	0	$(q^2 - q)/2$	$2q$	$(q^2 - q)/2$
\mathcal{O}_0^s	0	$(q^2 + \xi q + 1)/3$	$(q^2 - \xi q)/2$	$q + 1$	$(q^2 + \xi q - 2)/6$
\mathcal{O}_1^s	1	$(q^2 - \xi q)/3$	$(q^2 + \xi q)/2$	q	$(q^2 - \xi q)/6$
\mathcal{O}_2^s	2	$(q^2 - 1)/3$	$(q^2 - q)/2$	$2q - 1$	$(q^2 - 3q + 2)/6$
\mathcal{O}_3^s	3	$(q^2 + \xi q - 2)/3$	$(q^2 - \xi q)/2$	$q - 2$	$(q^2 + \xi q + 4)/6$

4 Line orbits

In this section we determine the orbits of G_h on the lines of $\text{PG}(3, q)$. In order to do that we consider the Plücker correspondence, which sends the lines of $\text{PG}(3, q)$ to the points of the Klein quadric

$$\mathcal{Q}^+(5, q) : X_1X_6 + X_2X_5 + X_3X_4 = 0.$$

Under this embedding, the $q + 1$ lines tangent to \mathcal{A} are mapped to the $q + 1$ points of the conic

$$\mathcal{X} = \pi \cap \mathcal{Q}^+(5, q) = \{(1, 0, t, t, 0, t^2) \mid t \in \mathbb{F}_q\} \cup \{(0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1)\},$$

whereas the lines of the opposite regulus of $\mathcal{Q}^+(3, q)$ are mapped to the points of the conic

$$\mathcal{X}' = \pi^\perp \cap \mathcal{Q}^+(5, q) = \{(0, 1, t, t, t^2, 0) \mid t \in \mathbb{F}_q\} \cup \{(0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0)\}.$$

Here π denotes the plane $X_2 = X_5 = X_3 + X_4 = 0$ and \perp is the polarity of $\text{PG}(5, q)$ associated with $\mathcal{Q}^+(5, q)$. The $(q + 1)(q^2 + 1)$ generators of $\mathcal{W}(3, q)$ are sent to the points of the parabolic quadric $\mathcal{Q}(4, q) = \mathcal{Q}^+(5, q) \cap \Pi$, where $\Pi : X_3 + X_4 = 0$. Moreover, under the Plücker map, points of $\mathcal{W}(3, q)$ correspond to lines of $\mathcal{Q}(4, q)$ and, hence, if ℓ is a line of $\text{PG}(3, q)$ that is not a generator of $\mathcal{W}(3, q)$, then ℓ and ℓ^\perp are mapped to the two reguli of a hyperbolic quadric

contained in $\mathcal{Q}(4, q)$. To the group G_h , there corresponds a group $\tilde{G}_h \leq \text{PGO}^+(6, q)$ represented by the matrices

$$\tilde{M}_{a,b,c,d} = \begin{pmatrix} a^{2^{h+1}} & 0 & a^{2^h} b^{2^h} & a^{2^h} b^{2^h} & 0 & b^{2^{h+1}} \\ 0 & a^2 & ab & ab & b^2 & 0 \\ a^{2^h} c^{2^h} & ac & b^{2^h} c^{2^h} + bc + 1 & b^{2^h} c^{2^h} + bc & bd & b^{2^h} d^{2^h} \\ a^{2^h} c^{2^h} & ac & b^{2^h} c^{2^h} + bc & b^{2^h} c^{2^h} + bc + 1 & bd & b^{2^h} d^{2^h} \\ 0 & c^2 & cd & cd & d^2 & 0 \\ c^{2^{h+1}} & 0 & c^{2^h} d^{2^h} & c^{2^h} d^{2^h} & 0 & d^{2^{h+1}} \end{pmatrix}$$

with $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{F}_q$, $ad + bc = 1$. Note that the group \tilde{G}_h acts faithfully on both planes π and π^\perp . Hence \tilde{G}_h induces on π (or π^\perp) a group isomorphic to $\text{PGL}(2, q)$ acting in its natural representation on the $q + 1$ point of \mathcal{X} (or \mathcal{X}'). Furthermore, the group \tilde{G}_h fixes the parabolic quadric $\mathcal{Q}(4, q)$, each of the two conics \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{X}' and the hypersurface \mathcal{Y} of Π :

$$\mathcal{Y} = \{P \in \Pi \mid F_h(P) = 0\},$$

where

$$F_h(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5, X_6) = X_1 X_5^{2^h} + X_2^{2^h} X_6.$$

The hypersurface \mathcal{Y} consists of $q + 1$ planes through the point $\Pi^\perp = (0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0)$. Each of these planes intersects $\mathcal{Q}(4, q)$ in exactly one of the following $q + 1$ lines

$$\langle (0, 1, x, x, x^2, 0), (1, 0, x^{2^h}, x^{2^h}, 0, x^{2^{h+1}}) \rangle, x \in \mathbb{F}_q, \langle (0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0), (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1) \rangle.$$

These $q + 1$ lines are obtained by joining a point of \mathcal{X} and a point of \mathcal{X}' ; they are pairwise disjoint and hence $|\mathcal{Y} \cap \mathcal{Q}(4, q)| = (q + 1)^2$. Taking into account Proposition 3.2, the following result holds.

Proposition 4.1. *The group \tilde{G}_h has five orbits on lines of $\mathcal{Q}(4, q)$:*

- \mathcal{L}_{q+1} of size $q + 1$, consisting of the lines of \mathcal{Y} ;
- \mathcal{L}_0 of size $(q^3 - q)/3$, consisting of lines disjoint to \mathcal{Y} ;
- \mathcal{L}_1 of size $(q^3 - q)/2$, consisting of lines meeting \mathcal{Y} in one point;
- \mathcal{L}_2 of size $q^2 + q$, consisting of lines having two points in common with \mathcal{Y} ;
- \mathcal{L}_3 of size $(q^3 - q)/6$, consisting of lines intersecting \mathcal{Y} in three point.

Remark 4.2. Note that a line of \mathcal{L}_1 and \mathcal{L}_3 has no point in common with $\mathcal{X} \cup \mathcal{X}'$, whereas each of the lines in \mathcal{L}_{q+1} and \mathcal{L}_2 meets both \mathcal{X} , \mathcal{X}' in one point.

The G_h -action on lines of $\text{PG}(3, q)$ is equivalent to the \tilde{G}_h -action on points and reguli of $\mathcal{Q}(4, q)$. Our goal is to describe these \tilde{G}_h -orbits. We first consider the \tilde{G}_h -orbits on points of $\mathcal{Q}(4, q)$.

Proposition 4.3. *Let $q \equiv \xi \pmod{3}$, $\xi \in \{\pm 1\}$. The group \tilde{G}_h has $5 + \xi$ orbits on points of $\mathcal{Q}(4, q)$:*

- 2 orbits, \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{X}' , of size $q + 1$;
- one orbit, $\mathcal{Y} \cap (\mathcal{Q}(4, q) \setminus (\mathcal{X} \cup \mathcal{X}'))$, of size $q^2 - 1$;
- $2 + \xi$ orbits on points of $\mathcal{Q}(4, q) \setminus \mathcal{Y}$ of size $\frac{q^3 - q}{2 + \xi}$.

Proof. Let $P = U_5 + U_6$. Then $P \in \mathcal{Y} \cap (\mathcal{Q}(4, q) \setminus (\mathcal{X} \cup \mathcal{X}'))$ and $Stab_{\tilde{G}_h}(P)$ is the group of order q represented by the matrices $\tilde{M}_{1,0,c,1}$, with $c \in \mathbb{F}_q$. Hence $|P^{\tilde{G}_h}| = q^2 - 1 = |\mathcal{Y} \cap (\mathcal{Q}(4, q) \setminus (\mathcal{X} \cup \mathcal{X}'))|$.

Let $P = U_1 + U_5$. In this case $Stab_{\tilde{G}_h}(P)$ is the group of order $2 + \xi$ represented by the matrices $\tilde{M}_{d-1,0,0,d}$, with $d \in \mathbb{F}_q$ and $d^{2^h+1} = 1$. Hence the group \tilde{G}_h has one or three orbits on points of $\mathcal{Q}(4, q) \setminus \mathcal{Y}$ according as ξ equals -1 or 1 , respectively. In the latter case the representatives of the orbits are $U_1 + U_5$, $U_1 + \omega U_5$ and $U_1 + \omega^2 U_5$, where ω is a primitive element of \mathbb{F}_q . \square

In order to determine the \tilde{G}_h -orbits on reguli contained in $\mathcal{Q}(4, q)$, the following results are needed.

Lemma 4.4. *Let s be a line of π secant to \mathcal{X} . The stabilizer of s in \tilde{G}_h has $(q + 2)/2$ orbits on lines of π secant to \mathcal{X} :*

- $\{s\}$;
- $(q - 2)/2$ orbits of size $q - 1$;
- one orbit of size $2(q - 1)$.

Proof. Since \tilde{G}_h is transitive on the $q(q+1)/2$ lines of π secant \mathcal{X} we may take s as the line joining U_1 and U_6 . Then $Stab_{\tilde{G}_h}(s)$ is the group of order $2(q - 1)$ represented by $\langle \tilde{M}_{0,1,1,0}, \tilde{M}_{d-1,0,0,d} \rangle$, $d \in \mathbb{F}_q$. It is easily seen that $Stab_{\tilde{G}_h}(s)$ permutes in a single orbit the $2(q - 1)$ lines of π secant to \mathcal{X} and containing U_1 or U_6 . Indeed each line containing U_1 (resp. U_6) is sent to a line containing U_6 (resp. U_1) by $\tilde{M}_{0,1,1,0}$, while it is sent to a different line containing U_1 (resp. U_6) by $\tilde{M}_{d-1,0,0,d}$.

Every line s' of π secant to \mathcal{X} such that $s \cap s' \notin \mathcal{X}$ belongs to an orbit of $Stab_{\tilde{G}_h}(s)$ of size $q - 1$; indeed the unique non-trivial element of $Stab_{\tilde{G}_h}(s)$ fixing s' is the involutory elation of π having as axis the line s and as center the point $s \cap s'$. \square

In a similar fashion, one can prove the following results.

Lemma 4.5. *Let e be a line of π external to \mathcal{X} . The stabilizer of e in \tilde{G}_h has $q/2$ orbits on lines of π external to \mathcal{X} :*

- $\{e\}$;
- $(q - 2)/2$ orbits of size $q + 1$.

Theorem 4.6. *The group \tilde{G}_h has $2(q + 1)$ orbits on reguli of $\mathcal{Q}(4, q)$:*

- 2 orbits of size $q(q-1)/2$;
- 2 orbits of size $q(q+1)/2$;
- $2(q-2)$ orbits of size $(q^3-q)/2$;
- 2 orbits of size q^3-q .

Proof. Let Σ be a solid of Π such that $\Sigma \cap \mathcal{Q}(4, q)$ is a three-dimensional hyperbolic quadric \mathcal{Q}^+ . Then Σ meets π and π^\perp in a line. Let $\Sigma \cap \pi$ and $\Sigma \cap \pi^\perp$ be denoted by ℓ and ℓ' , respectively, and $Stab_{\tilde{G}_h}(\Sigma) = Stab_{\tilde{G}_h}(\ell \cup \ell') = Stab_{\tilde{G}_h}(\ell) \cap Stab_{\tilde{G}_h}(\ell')$. The three lines ℓ , ℓ' and Σ^\perp are pairwise orthogonal. It follows that the lines ℓ , ℓ' are either both secant or both external to $\mathcal{Q}(4, q)$.

Assume that the former case occurs. Since \tilde{G}_h is transitive on the $q(q+1)/2$ lines of π^\perp secant \mathcal{X}' , we may fix ℓ' . Hence $Stab_{\tilde{G}_h}(\ell')$ is a group of order $2(q-1)$ fixing a line of π secant to \mathcal{X} . It follows that ℓ has to lie in one of the $(q+2)/2$ orbits of Lemma 4.4. Since every member of $Stab_{\tilde{G}_h}(\ell \cup \ell')$ stabilizes the reguli of \mathcal{Q}^+ , the number of \tilde{G}_h -orbits on reguli of $\mathcal{Q}(4, q)$ obtained in this way is twice the number of orbits of Lemma 4.4. Moreover their size is $q(q+1)/2$ times the size of the orbits of Lemma 4.4.

Similarly, if the latter case occurs, we may fix ℓ' to be our favorite line of π^\perp external to \mathcal{X}' . In this case $Stab_{\tilde{G}_h}(\ell')$ is a group of order $2(q+1)$ fixing a line of π external to \mathcal{X} and ℓ has to be in one of the $q/2$ orbits of Lemma 4.5. Again it can be checked that every member of $Stab_{\tilde{G}_h}(\ell \cup \ell')$ fixes the reguli of \mathcal{Q}^+ . Therefore the number of \tilde{G}_h -orbits on reguli of $\mathcal{Q}(4, q)$ obtained in this way is twice the number of orbits of Lemma 4.5 and their size is $q(q-1)/2$ times the size of the orbits of Lemma 4.5. \square

With the same notation used in the proof of Theorem 4.6, denote by \mathcal{S} one of the $2(q+1)$ orbits on reguli of $\mathcal{Q}(4, q)$ and by Σ a solid of Π such that

- i) $\Sigma \cap \mathcal{Q}(4, q)$ is a three-dimensional hyperbolic quadric \mathcal{Q}^+ ;
- ii) exactly one of the two reguli $\mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{R}_2$ of \mathcal{Q}^+ belongs to \mathcal{S} .

The next results, which can be deduced from the proof of Theorem 4.6, provides a solid Σ such that one of the two reguli $\mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{R}_2$ of $\mathcal{Q}^+ = \Sigma \cap \mathcal{Q}(4, q)$ is a representative for the orbit \mathcal{S} .

Corollary 4.7. *Let ζ be a fixed element in $\mathbb{F}_{q^2} \setminus \mathbb{F}_q$ such that $(\zeta+1)^{q+1} = 1$.*

- $|\mathcal{S}| = q(q+1)/2$, $\Sigma : X_3 = 0$, $\mathcal{Q}^+ : X_1X_6 + X_2X_5 = 0$.
- $|\mathcal{S}| = q^3 - q$, $\Sigma : X_1 = X_3$, $\mathcal{Q}^+ : X_1^2 + X_1X_6 + X_2X_5 = 0$.
- $|\mathcal{S}| = (q^3 - q)/2$ and $|\Sigma \cap \mathcal{X}| = 2$, $\Sigma : X_1 + \frac{z^4}{z^2+1}X_3 + X_6 = 0$ for some $z \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0, 1\}$, $\mathcal{Q}^+ : X_1^2 + \frac{z^4}{z^2+1}X_1X_3 + X_3^2 + X_2X_5 = 0$.
- $|\mathcal{S}| = q(q-1)/2$, $\Sigma : X_1 + \zeta^{q+1}X_2 + \zeta^{2(q+1)}X_3 + \zeta^{q+1}X_5 + X_6 = 0$, $\mathcal{Q}^+ : X_1^2 + \zeta^{q+1}X_1X_2 + \zeta^{2(q+1)}X_1X_3 + \zeta^{q+1}X_1X_5 + X_2X_5 + X_3^2 = 0$.

- $|\mathcal{S}| = (q^3 - q)/2$ and $|\Sigma \cap \mathcal{X}| = 0$, $\Sigma : X_1 + \frac{t^{q+1}}{\zeta^{q+1}}X_2 + t^{q+1}X_3 + \frac{t^{q+1}}{\zeta^{q+1}}X_5 + X_6 = 0$, for some $t \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \setminus \{0, \zeta^2, \zeta^{2q}\}$, $(t+1)^{q+1} = 1$, $\mathcal{Q}^+ : X_1^2 + \frac{t^{q+1}}{\zeta^{q+1}}X_1X_2 + t^{q+1}X_1X_3 + \frac{t^{q+1}}{\zeta^{q+1}}X_1X_5 + X_2X_5 + X_3^2 = 0$.

The results of Proposition 4.3 and Theorem 4.6 provide a proof of [7, Conjecture 8.2] in the even characteristic case.

Theorem 4.8. *Let q be even, with $q \equiv \xi \pmod{3}$, $\xi \in \{\pm 1\}$. The group G_h has $2q + 7 + \xi$ orbits on lines of $\text{PG}(3, q)$:*

- 2 orbits of size $q + 1$;
- 2 orbits of size $q(q - 1)/2$;
- 2 orbits of size $q(q + 1)/2$;
- one orbit of size $q^2 - 1$;
- $2 + \xi$ orbits of size $\frac{q^3 - q}{2 + \xi}$;
- $2(q - 2)$ orbits of size $(q^3 - q)/2$;
- 2 orbits of size $q^3 - q$.

4.1 Point line distribution

In this section we focus on the case when \mathcal{A} is a twisted cubic (i.e. $h = 1$) and we address the point line distribution with respect to G_1 -orbits on points and lines of $\text{PG}(3, q)$. Dually, this problem is equivalent to determine

- the number of lines of \mathcal{L}_i through a point of $\mathcal{Q}(4, q)$ of a given \tilde{G}_1 -orbit on points of $\mathcal{Q}(4, q)$;
- the number of lines of \mathcal{L}_i in a regulus of a given \tilde{G}_1 -orbit on reguli of $\mathcal{Q}(4, q)$.

As for \tilde{G}_1 -orbits on points of $\mathcal{Q}(4, q)$, recall that besides the three orbits \mathcal{X} , \mathcal{X}' and $\mathcal{Y} \setminus (\mathcal{X} \cup \mathcal{X}')$, there is one or three more orbits, according as $q \equiv -1$ or $1 \pmod{3}$. Let us call \mathcal{Z}_i , $i = 1, \dots, 2 + \xi$, these \tilde{G}_1 -orbits. If $q \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, from the proof of Proposition 4.3, it follows that $\mathcal{Z}_i = R_i^{\tilde{G}_1}$, where $R_i = U_1 + \omega^{i-1}U_5$, $i = 1, 2, 3$. In particular $|\mathcal{Z}_i| = (q^3 - q)/3$.

4.1.1 The number of lines of \mathcal{L}_i through a point of $\mathcal{Q}(4, q)$

In order to determine the number of lines of \mathcal{L}_i through a point of \mathcal{P} , where \mathcal{P} is a \tilde{G}_1 -orbit on points of $\mathcal{Q}(4, q)$, we calculate the number k of points of \mathcal{P} on a line of \mathcal{L}_i and then use the formula

$$\frac{|\mathcal{L}_i|k}{|\mathcal{P}|}$$

to derive the required result.

Let r be a line of $\mathcal{Q}(4, q)$. If $r \in \mathcal{L}_{q+1}$, then it has one point in common with both \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{X}' , $q-1$ points of $\mathcal{Y} \setminus (\mathcal{X} \cup \mathcal{X}')$ and no point of \mathcal{Z}_i . If $r \in \mathcal{L}_2$, it has one point in common with both \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{X}' , $(q-1)/(2+\xi)$ points of \mathcal{Z}_i and no point of $\mathcal{Y} \setminus (\mathcal{X} \cup \mathcal{X}')$.

Assume $q \equiv -1 \pmod{3}$. If $r \in \mathcal{L}_0$, then all its points belong to \mathcal{Z}_1 , while if $r \in \mathcal{L}_1$, then $|r \cap (\mathcal{Y} \setminus (\mathcal{X} \cup \mathcal{X}'))| = 1$ and $|r \cap \mathcal{Z}_1| = q$. If $r \in \mathcal{L}_3$, then $|r \cap (\mathcal{Y} \setminus (\mathcal{X} \cup \mathcal{X}'))| = 3$ and $|r \cap \mathcal{Z}_1| = q-2$.

In the case when $q \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, a more refined argument is needed.

Lemma 4.9. *Let $q \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$. A point P belongs to \mathcal{Z}_i if and only if $F_1(P) = \omega^{3j+i-1}$.*

Proof. First of all observe that $F_1(\lambda P) = \lambda^3 F_1(P)$. Since $\mathcal{Z}_i = R_i^{\tilde{G}_1}$, where $R_i = U_1 + \omega^{i-1}U_5$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, it is enough to see that if $g \in \tilde{G}_1$ is represented by $\tilde{M}_{a,b,c,d}$, then $F_1(R_i) = F_1(R_i^g) = \omega^{2(i-1)}$. \square

Proposition 4.10. *Let $q = 2^n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$. A line of \mathcal{L}_3 has 3 points in common with \mathcal{Y} , $(q - (-1)^{\frac{n}{2}} 2\sqrt{q} - 2)/3$ points in common with \mathcal{Z}_1 and $(q + (-1)^{\frac{n}{2}} \sqrt{q} - 2)/3$ in common with both \mathcal{Z}_2 and \mathcal{Z}_3 . A line of \mathcal{L}_0 has $(q - (-1)^{\frac{n}{2}} 2\sqrt{q} + 1)/3$ points in common with \mathcal{Z}_1 and $(q + (-1)^{\frac{n}{2}} \sqrt{q} + 1)/3$ in common with both \mathcal{Z}_2 and \mathcal{Z}_3 .*

Proof. Recall that the points of a line of $\mathcal{Q}(4, q)$ in \mathcal{L}_0 or \mathcal{L}_3 are the image under the Plücker embedding of the lines of $\mathcal{W}(3, q)$ through a point Q belonging to \mathcal{O}_0 or \mathcal{O}_3 , respectively. Since $q \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, by Proposition 3.2, we may assume $Q = (1, 0, 0, x)$, where $x \in \mathbb{F}_q$ is not a cube, or $Q = (1, 0, 0, 1)$, respectively. Therefore a representative for \mathcal{L}_0 is the line

$$r_x = \{(1, \mu, 0, 0, x, \mu x) \mid \mu \in \mathbb{F}_q\} \cup \{U_2 + xU_6\},$$

whereas for \mathcal{L}_3 is the line

$$r = \{(1, \mu, 0, 0, 1, \mu) \mid \mu \in \mathbb{F}_q\} \cup \{U_2 + U_6\}.$$

Taking into account Lemma 4.9, $|r_x \cap \mathcal{Z}_i|$ equals the number of points of r_x whose evaluation of F has the form ω^{3j+i-1} , for some j . In particular, the point $U_2 + xU_6$ belongs to \mathcal{Z}_2 or \mathcal{Z}_3 , according as x equals ω^{3l+1} or ω^{3l+2} . The point $P_\mu = (1, \mu, 0, 0, x, \mu x)$ belongs to \mathcal{Z}_1 if and only if there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q$ such that

$$x\mu^3 + \lambda^3 = x^2. \quad (4.1)$$

By [10, Theorem 1], in both cases $x = \omega^{3l+1}$ or $x = \omega^{3l+2}$, the number of pairs $(\mu, \lambda) \in \mathbb{F}_q^2$ satisfying (4.1) is $q - (-1)^{\frac{n}{2}} 2\sqrt{q} + 1$. Since for each μ , there are three distinct λ satisfying (4.1), it follows that

$$|r_x \cap \mathcal{Z}_1| = \frac{q - (-1)^{\frac{n}{2}} 2\sqrt{q} + 1}{3}.$$

Observe that $P_\mu \in r_x \cap \mathcal{Z}_2$ if and only if $P_{\mu^2} \in r_{x^2} \cap \mathcal{Z}_3$. Hence $|r_x \cap \mathcal{Z}_2| = |r_{x^2} \cap \mathcal{Z}_3|$. On the other hand $|r_x \cap \mathcal{Z}_3| = |r_{x^2} \cap \mathcal{Z}_3|$, since r_x and r_{x^2} are in the same \tilde{G}_h -orbit. Therefore

$$|r_x \cap \mathcal{Z}_2| = |r_x \cap \mathcal{Z}_3| = \frac{q + 1 - |r_0 \cap \mathcal{Z}_1|}{2} = \frac{q + (-1)^{\frac{n}{2}} \sqrt{q} + 1}{3}.$$

Similarly, the point $U_2 + U_6$ belongs to \mathcal{Z}_1 , whereas the point $P_\mu = (1, \mu, 0, 0, 1, \mu)$ belongs to \mathcal{Z}_1 if and only if $\mu^3 \neq 1$ and there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q$ such that

$$\mu^3 + \lambda^3 = 1. \quad (4.2)$$

By using again [10, Theorem 1] (or [10, Corollary 4]), we find that the number of pairs $(\mu, \lambda) \in \mathbb{F}_q^2$ satisfying (4.2) is $q - (-1)^{\frac{n}{2}} 2\sqrt{q} - 2$. Among these solutions we have to exclude $(1, 0)$, $(\omega^{\frac{q-1}{3}}, 0)$, $(\omega^{\frac{2(q-1)}{3}}, 0)$ and for each μ of the remaining pairs, there are three distinct λ satisfying (4.2), it follows that

$$|r \cap \mathcal{Z}_1| = \frac{q - (-1)^{\frac{n}{2}} 2\sqrt{q} - 2}{3}.$$

Finally $P_\mu \in r \cap \mathcal{Z}_2$ if and only if $P_{\mu^2} \in r \cap \mathcal{Z}_3$. Hence

$$|r \cap \mathcal{Z}_2| = |r \cap \mathcal{Z}_3| = \frac{q + 1 - 3 - |r_0 \cap \mathcal{Z}_1|}{2} = \frac{q + (-1)^{\frac{n}{2}} \sqrt{q} - 2}{3}.$$

□

Therefore the number of lines of \mathcal{L}_i through a point of $\mathcal{Q}(4, q)$ of a given \tilde{G}_1 -orbit on points of $\mathcal{Q}(4, q)$ can be deduced as shown in Tables 3 and 4.

Table 3: Number of lines of \mathcal{L}_i through a point of $\mathcal{Q}(4, q)$, $q \equiv -1 \pmod{3}$

	\mathcal{X}	\mathcal{X}'	$\mathcal{Y} \setminus (\mathcal{X} \cup \mathcal{X}')$	\mathcal{Z}_1
\mathcal{L}_{q+1}	1	1	1	0
\mathcal{L}_0	0	0	0	$(q+1)/3$
\mathcal{L}_1	0	0	$q/2$	$q/2$
\mathcal{L}_2	q	q	0	1
\mathcal{L}_3	0	0	$q/2$	$(q-2)/6$

Table 4: Number of lines of \mathcal{L}_i through a point of $\mathcal{Q}(4, q)$, $q \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$

	\mathcal{X}	\mathcal{X}'	$\mathcal{Y} \setminus (\mathcal{X} \cup \mathcal{X}')$	\mathcal{Z}_1	\mathcal{Z}_2	\mathcal{Z}_3
\mathcal{L}_{q+1}	1	1	1	0	0	0
\mathcal{L}_0	0	0	0	$(q - (-1)^{\frac{n}{2}} 2\sqrt{q} + 1)/3$	$(q + (-1)^{\frac{n}{2}} \sqrt{q} + 1)/3$	$(q + (-1)^{\frac{n}{2}} \sqrt{q} + 1)/3$
\mathcal{L}_1	0	0	$q/2$	$(q + (-1)^{\frac{n}{2}} 2\sqrt{q})/2$	$(q - (-1)^{\frac{n}{2}} \sqrt{q})/2$	$(q - (-1)^{\frac{n}{2}} \sqrt{q})/2$
\mathcal{L}_2	q	q	0	1	1	1
\mathcal{L}_3	0	0	$q/2$	$(q - (-1)^{\frac{n}{2}} 2\sqrt{q} - 2)/6$	$(q + (-1)^{\frac{n}{2}} \sqrt{q} - 2)/6$	$(q + (-1)^{\frac{n}{2}} \sqrt{q} - 2)/6$

4.1.2 The number of lines of \mathcal{L}_i in a regulus of $\mathcal{Q}(4, q)$

By Theorem 4.6, the group \tilde{G}_h has $2(q+1)$ orbits on reguli of $\mathcal{Q}(4, q)$. Denote by \mathcal{S} one of these $2(q+1)$ orbits and by Σ a solid of Π such that

- i) $\Sigma \cap \mathcal{Q}(4, q)$ is a three-dimensional hyperbolic quadric \mathcal{Q}^+ ;

ii) exactly one of the two reguli $\mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{R}_2$ of \mathcal{Q}^+ belongs to \mathcal{S} .

Recall that \mathcal{Y} consists of the $q + 1$ lines

$$\ell_x = \{(1, \lambda, x^2 + \lambda x, x^2 + \lambda x, \lambda x^2, x^4) \mid \lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q\} \cup \{(0, 1, x, x, x^2, 0)\}, \quad x \in \mathbb{F}_q,$$

$$\ell_\infty = \{(0, 0, 0, 0, 1, \lambda) \mid \lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q\} \cup \{U_6\}.$$

Theorem 4.11. *Let ζ be a fixed element in $\mathbb{F}_{q^2} \setminus \mathbb{F}_q$ such that $(\zeta + 1)^{q+1} = 1$. With the above notation, one of the following cases occurs.*

1. *If \mathcal{S} is one of the two orbits of size $q(q + 1)/2$, then*

- $|\mathcal{L}_{q+1} \cap \mathcal{R}_1| = 2, |\mathcal{L}_2 \cap \mathcal{R}_1| = 0,$

$$|\mathcal{L}_0 \cap \mathcal{R}_1| = 2(q - 1)/3, |\mathcal{L}_1 \cap \mathcal{R}_1| = 0, |\mathcal{L}_3 \cap \mathcal{R}_1| = (q - 1)/3, \quad \text{if } q \equiv 1 \pmod{3},$$

$$|\mathcal{L}_0 \cap \mathcal{R}_1| = 0, |\mathcal{L}_1 \cap \mathcal{R}_1| = q - 1, |\mathcal{L}_3 \cap \mathcal{R}_1| = 0, \quad \text{if } q \equiv -1 \pmod{3}.$$

- $|\mathcal{L}_{q+1} \cap \mathcal{R}_2| = |\mathcal{L}_0 \cap \mathcal{R}_2| = |\mathcal{L}_1 \cap \mathcal{R}_2| = 0, |\mathcal{L}_2 \cap \mathcal{R}_2| = 2, |\mathcal{L}_3 \cap \mathcal{R}_2| = q - 1.$

2. *If \mathcal{S} is one of the two orbits of size $q^3 - q$, then*

- $|\mathcal{L}_{q+1} \cap \mathcal{R}_1| = 1, |\mathcal{L}_2 \cap \mathcal{R}_1| = 1,$

$$|\mathcal{L}_0 \cap \mathcal{R}_1| = (q - 1)/3, |\mathcal{L}_1 \cap \mathcal{R}_1| = q/2, |\mathcal{L}_3 \cap \mathcal{R}_1| = (q - 4)/6, \quad \text{if } q \equiv 1 \pmod{3},$$

$$|\mathcal{L}_0 \cap \mathcal{R}_1| = (q + 1)/3, |\mathcal{L}_1 \cap \mathcal{R}_1| = (q - 2)/2, |\mathcal{L}_3 \cap \mathcal{R}_1| = (q - 2)/6, \quad \text{if } q \equiv -1 \pmod{3}.$$

- $|\mathcal{L}_{q+1} \cap \mathcal{R}_2| = |\mathcal{L}_0 \cap \mathcal{R}_2| = 0, |\mathcal{L}_1 \cap \mathcal{R}_2| = q/2, |\mathcal{L}_2 \cap \mathcal{R}_2| = 2, |\mathcal{L}_3 \cap \mathcal{R}_2| = (q - 2)/2.$

3. *If \mathcal{S} is one of the $q - 2$ orbits with $|\mathcal{S}| = (q^3 - q)/2$ and $|\Sigma \cap \mathcal{X}| = 2$, let*

$$\mathbf{u}_i = |\{x \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0, z + 1, (z + 1)^{-1}\} \mid \text{Tr}_{q|2}(f_i(x)) = 0\}|,$$

where

$$f_1(x) = \frac{1}{z^2} \left(\frac{x(z + 1)}{z^2} + \frac{z + 1}{xz^2} + 1 \right), \quad f_2(x) = \frac{z^2 + 1}{z^2} \left(\frac{x(z + 1)}{z^2} + \frac{z + 1}{xz^2} + 1 \right),$$

for some $z \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0, 1\}$. Then

$$|\mathcal{L}_{q+1} \cap \mathcal{R}_i| = 0, |\mathcal{L}_0 \cap \mathcal{R}_i| = (2\mathbf{u}_i + 6)/3, |\mathcal{L}_1 \cap \mathcal{R}_i| = q - \mathbf{u}_i - 3, |\mathcal{L}_2 \cap \mathcal{R}_i| = 2, |\mathcal{L}_3 \cap \mathcal{R}_i| = \mathbf{u}_i/3, \\ i \in \{1, 2\}.$$

4. *If \mathcal{S} is one of the two orbits of size $q(q - 1)/2$, then*

- $|\mathcal{L}_{q+1} \cap \mathcal{R}_1| = |\mathcal{L}_2 \cap \mathcal{R}_1| = 0,$

$$|\mathcal{L}_0 \cap \mathcal{R}_1| = |\mathcal{L}_3 \cap \mathcal{R}_1| = 0, |\mathcal{L}_1 \cap \mathcal{R}_1| = q + 1, \quad \text{if } q \equiv 1 \pmod{3},$$

$$|\mathcal{L}_0 \cap \mathcal{R}_1| = 2(q + 1)/3, |\mathcal{L}_1 \cap \mathcal{R}_1| = 0, |\mathcal{L}_3 \cap \mathcal{R}_1| = (q + 1)/3, \quad \text{if } q \equiv -1 \pmod{3}.$$

- $|\mathcal{L}_{q+1} \cap \mathcal{R}_2| = |\mathcal{L}_0 \cap \mathcal{R}_2| = |\mathcal{L}_2 \cap \mathcal{R}_2| = |\mathcal{L}_3 \cap \mathcal{R}_2| = 0$, $|\mathcal{L}_1 \cap \mathcal{R}_2| = q + 1$.

5. If \mathcal{S} is one of the $q - 2$ orbits with $|\mathcal{S}| = (q^3 - q)/2$ and $|\Sigma \cap \mathcal{X}| = 0$, let

$$\mathbf{v}_i = |\{x \in \mathbb{F}_q \mid \text{Tr}_{q|2}(g_i(x)) = 0\}|,$$

where

$$g_1(x) = \frac{\frac{\zeta^{2(q+1)}}{t^{2(q+1)}} \left(x^2 + \frac{t^{q+1}}{\zeta^{q+1}}x + \frac{t^2}{t^{q+1}} + \frac{t^{q+1}}{\zeta^2} \right) \left(\left(\frac{t^2}{t^{q+1}} + \frac{t^{q+1}}{\zeta^2} \right) x^2 + \frac{t^{q+1}}{\zeta^{q+1}}x + 1 \right)}{(x^2 + \zeta^{q+1} + 1)^2},$$

$$g_2(x) = \frac{\frac{\zeta^{2(q+1)}}{t^{2(q+1)}} \left(x^2 + \frac{t^{q+1}}{\zeta^{q+1}}x + \frac{t^{q+1}}{t^2} + \frac{t^{q+1}}{\zeta^2} \right) \left(\left(\frac{t^{q+1}}{t^2} + \frac{t^{q+1}}{\zeta^2} \right) x^2 + \frac{t^{q+1}}{\zeta^{q+1}}x + 1 \right)}{(x^2 + \zeta^{q+1} + 1)^2},$$

for some $t \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \setminus \{0, \zeta^2, \zeta^{2q}\}$, $(t + 1)^{q+1} = 1$. Then

$$|\mathcal{L}_{q+1} \cap \mathcal{R}_i| = 0, |\mathcal{L}_0 \cap \mathcal{R}_i| = (2\mathbf{v}_i + 6)/3, |\mathcal{L}_1 \cap \mathcal{R}_i| = q - \mathbf{v}_i - 2, |\mathcal{L}_2 \cap \mathcal{R}_i| = 0, |\mathcal{L}_3 \cap \mathcal{R}_i| = (\mathbf{v}_i + 3)/3,$$

or

$$|\mathcal{L}_{q+1} \cap \mathcal{R}_i| = 0, |\mathcal{L}_0 \cap \mathcal{R}_i| = 2\mathbf{v}_i/3, |\mathcal{L}_1 \cap \mathcal{R}_i| = q - \mathbf{v}_i + 1, |\mathcal{L}_2 \cap \mathcal{R}_i| = 0, |\mathcal{L}_3 \cap \mathcal{R}_i| = \mathbf{v}_i/3,$$

$i \in \{1, 2\}$.

according as $\text{Tr}_{q|2} \left(\frac{z^2}{t^{q+1}} \left(1 + \frac{z^{2q}}{t^2} \right) \right)$ equals 0 or 1, respectively.

Proof. For each of the five cases listed in Corollary 4.7, we determine $\mathcal{Q}^+ \cap \mathcal{Y}$ and hence $|\mathcal{L}_i \cap \mathcal{R}_j|$, $i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, q + 1\}$, $j \in \{1, 2\}$.

If \mathcal{S} is one of the two orbits of size $q(q + 1)/2$ then

$$\mathcal{Q}^+ \cap \mathcal{Y} = \{(1, x, 0, 0, x^3, x^4) \mid x \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0\}\} \cup \ell_0 \cup \ell_\infty.$$

Assume that $\ell_0 \in \mathcal{R}_1$. Then $|\mathcal{L}_{q+1} \cap \mathcal{R}_1| = |\mathcal{L}_2 \cap \mathcal{R}_2| = 2$ and $|\mathcal{L}_{q+1} \cap \mathcal{R}_2| = |\mathcal{L}_2 \cap \mathcal{R}_1| = 0$. We infer that $|\mathcal{L}_3 \cap \mathcal{R}_2| = q - 1$ and $|\mathcal{L}_1 \cap \mathcal{R}_2| = |\mathcal{L}_0 \cap \mathcal{R}_2| = 0$. The two points $(1, x, 0, 0, x^3, x^4)$, $(1, y, 0, 0, y^3, y^4)$, $x, y \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0\}$, $x \neq y$, belonging to $\mathcal{Y} \setminus (\mathcal{X} \cup \mathcal{X}')$ define a line of $\mathcal{L}_3 \cap \mathcal{R}_1$ if and only if

$$\text{rk} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x & 0 & 0 & x^3 & x^4 \\ 1 & y & 0 & 0 & y^3 & y^4 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = 3,$$

which gives $xy(x^3 + y^3) = 0$. For $q \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, $|\mathcal{L}_3 \cap \mathcal{R}_1| = (q - 1)/3$, $|\mathcal{L}_1 \cap \mathcal{R}_1| = 0$ and $|\mathcal{L}_0 \cap \mathcal{R}_1| = 2(q - 1)/3$. For $q \equiv -1 \pmod{3}$, instead, it holds $|\mathcal{L}_3 \cap \mathcal{R}_1| = |\mathcal{L}_0 \cap \mathcal{R}_1| = 0$ and $|\mathcal{L}_1 \cap \mathcal{R}_1| = q - 1$.

Let \mathcal{S} be one of the two orbits of size $q^3 - q$, then

$$\mathcal{Q}^+ \cap \mathcal{Y} = \{(x, x^2 + 1, x, x, x^2 + x^4, x^5) \mid x \in \mathbb{F}_q\} \cup \ell_\infty.$$

Assume that $\ell_\infty \in \mathcal{R}_1$ and $\langle U_2, U_6 \rangle \in \mathcal{R}_2$. Then $|\mathcal{L}_{q+1} \cap \mathcal{R}_1| = 1$, $|\mathcal{L}_2 \cap \mathcal{R}_1| = 1$ and $|\mathcal{L}_{q+1} \cap \mathcal{R}_2| = 0$, $|\mathcal{L}_2 \cap \mathcal{R}_2| = 2$. Let s be the line defined by the two points $(x, x^2 + 1, x, x, x^2 + x^4, x^5)$,

$(y, y^2 + 1, y, y, y^2 + y^4, y^5)$, $x, y \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0, 1\}$, $x \neq y$, of $\mathcal{Y} \setminus (\mathcal{X} \cup \mathcal{X}')$. The line s belongs to $\mathcal{L}_3 \cap \mathcal{R}_1$ if and only if

$$\text{rk} \begin{pmatrix} x & x^2 + 1 & x & x & x^2 + x^4 & x^5 \\ y & y^2 + 1 & y & y & y^2 + y^4 & y^5 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = 3,$$

which gives $xy(x + y)(y^2 + xy + x^2 + 1) = 0$. Since

$$\left| \left\{ x \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0, 1\} \mid \text{Tr} \left(1 + \frac{1}{x^2} \right) = 0 \right\} \right| = \begin{cases} \frac{q}{2} - 2 & \text{if } q \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ \frac{q}{2} - 1 & \text{if } q \equiv -1 \pmod{3}, \end{cases}$$

it follows that $|\mathcal{L}_3 \cap \mathcal{R}_1| = (q - 4)/6$, $|\mathcal{L}_1 \cap \mathcal{R}_1| = q/2$ and $|\mathcal{L}_0 \cap \mathcal{R}_1| = (q - 1)/3$, if $q \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, whereas $|\mathcal{L}_3 \cap \mathcal{R}_1| = (q - 2)/6$, $|\mathcal{L}_1 \cap \mathcal{R}_1| = (q - 2)/2$ and $|\mathcal{L}_0 \cap \mathcal{R}_1| = (q + 1)/3$, if $q \equiv -1 \pmod{3}$. The line s belongs to $\mathcal{L}_3 \cap \mathcal{R}_2$ if and only if

$$\text{rk} \begin{pmatrix} x & x^2 + 1 & x & x & x^2 + x^4 & x^5 \\ y & y^2 + 1 & y & y & y^2 + y^4 & y^5 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = 3,$$

which gives $(xy + 1)(x + y) = 0$. Hence $|\mathcal{L}_3 \cap \mathcal{R}_2| = (q - 2)/2$, $|\mathcal{L}_1 \cap \mathcal{R}_2| = q/2$ and $|\mathcal{L}_0 \cap \mathcal{R}_2| = 0$.

Assume that \mathcal{S} is one of the $q - 2$ orbits with $|\mathcal{S}| = (q^3 - q)/2$ and $|\Sigma \cap \mathcal{X}| = 2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}^+ \cap \mathcal{Y} &= \{T_x \mid x \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0, z + 1, (z + 1)^{-1}\}\} \\ &\cup \{U_2, U_5, (1, 0, z^2 + 1, z^2 + 1, 0, z^4 + 1), (1, 0, (z^2 + 1)^{-1}, (z^2 + 1)^{-1}, 0, (z^4 + 1)^{-1})\}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$T_x = \frac{z^2 + 1}{z^4} \left(\frac{z^4}{z^2 + 1}, x^{-1} \left(x^4 + \frac{z^4}{z^2 + 1} x^2 + 1 \right), x^4 + 1, x^4 + 1, x \left(x^4 + \frac{z^4}{z^2 + 1} x^2 + 1 \right), \frac{z^4}{z^2 + 1} x^4 \right),$$

for some $z \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0, 1\}$. Suppose that $\langle (1, 0, z^2 + 1, z^2 + 1, 0, z^4 + 1), U_2 \rangle \in \mathcal{R}_1$ and $\langle (1, 0, (z^2 + 1)^{-1}, (z^2 + 1)^{-1}, 0, (z^4 + 1)^{-1}), U_2 \rangle \in \mathcal{R}_2$. In this case $|\mathcal{L}_{q+1} \cap \mathcal{R}_1| = |\mathcal{L}_{q+1} \cap \mathcal{R}_2| = 0$ and $|\mathcal{L}_2 \cap \mathcal{R}_1| = |\mathcal{L}_2 \cap \mathcal{R}_2| = 2$. The line $\langle T_x, T_y \rangle$, $x, y \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0, z + 1, (z + 1)^{-1}\}$, $x \neq y$, belongs to $\mathcal{L}_3 \cap \mathcal{R}_2$ or to $\mathcal{L}_3 \cap \mathcal{R}_1$ if and only if

$$(x + z + 1)^2 y^2 + \frac{xz^4}{z^2 + 1} y + (x(z + 1) + 1)^2 = 0 \text{ or} \quad (4.3)$$

$$(x(z + 1) + 1)^2 y^2 + xz^4 y + (x + z + 1)^2 = 0. \quad (4.4)$$

For a fixed x , equation (4.3) or (4.4) has a solution in y if and only if

$$\text{Tr}_{q|2} \left(\frac{z^2 + 1}{z^2} \left(\frac{x(z + 1)}{z^2} + \frac{z + 1}{xz^2} + 1 \right) \right) = 0 \text{ or } \text{Tr}_{q|2} \left(\frac{1}{z^2} \left(\frac{x(z + 1)}{z^2} + \frac{z + 1}{xz^2} + 1 \right) \right) = 0.$$

Let \mathcal{S} be one of the two orbits of size $q(q - 1)/2$. Then

$$\mathcal{Q}^+ \cap \mathcal{Y} = \{T_x \mid x \in \mathbb{F}_q\} \cup \{T_\infty = (0, 0, 0, 0, 1, \zeta^{q+1})\},$$

$$\text{where } T_x = (\zeta^{q+1}, x^2 + \zeta^{q+1}x + 1, x^3 + x, x^3 + x, x^4 + \zeta^{q+1}x^3 + x^2, \zeta^{q+1}x^4).$$

Let $\bar{\mathcal{Q}}^+$ denote the quadratic extension of \mathcal{Q}^+ , and assume that $\langle(0, 1, \zeta+1, \zeta+1, \zeta^2+1, 0), (1, 0, \zeta^2+1, \zeta^2+1, 0, \zeta^4+1)\rangle \in \bar{\mathcal{R}}_1$ and $\langle(0, 1, \zeta+1, \zeta+1, \zeta^2+1, 0), (1, 0, \zeta^{2q}+1, \zeta^{2q}+1, 0, \zeta^{4q}+1)\rangle \in \bar{\mathcal{R}}_2$, where $\bar{\mathcal{R}}_1$ and $\bar{\mathcal{R}}_2$ are the reguli of $\bar{\mathcal{Q}}^+$ obtained by extending \mathcal{R}_1 and \mathcal{R}_2 . In this case $|\mathcal{L}_{q+1} \cap \mathcal{R}_1| = |\mathcal{L}_2 \cap \mathcal{R}_1| = |\mathcal{L}_{q+1} \cap \mathcal{R}_2| = |\mathcal{L}_2 \cap \mathcal{R}_2| = 0$. Arguing as before we find that the line joining T_x and T_∞ belongs to $\mathcal{L}_3 \cap \mathcal{R}_1$ if and only if there exists $x \in \mathbb{F}_q$ such that

$$(\zeta+1)^2 x^2 + \zeta^2(\zeta+1)x + \zeta^4 + \zeta^2 + 1 = (\zeta+1)^2 ((x^2 + \zeta^{q+1}x + (\zeta^{q+1}+1)^2) = 0. \quad (4.5)$$

Since $u^2 + \zeta^{q+1}u + 1 = u^2 + (\zeta + \zeta^q)u + 1 = (u + \zeta + 1)(u + \zeta^q + 1)$, then $\text{Tr}_{q|2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\zeta^{2(q+1)}}\right) = \text{Tr}_{q|2}(1) + \text{Tr}_{q|2}(1/\zeta^{2(q+1)}) = \text{Tr}_{q|2}(1) + 1$. Hence equation (4.5) has two or none solutions according as $q \equiv -1$ or $1 \pmod{3}$. Similarly, for a fixed $x \in \mathbb{F}_q$, the line joining T_x and T_y belongs to $\mathcal{L}_3 \cap \mathcal{R}_1$ if and only if there exists $y \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{x\}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & ((\zeta+1)^2 x^2 + \zeta^2(\zeta+1)x + \zeta^4 + \zeta^2 + 1) y^2 + \zeta^2 ((\zeta+1)x^2 + \zeta^2 x + \zeta + 1) y \\ & + ((\zeta^4 + \zeta^2 + 1)x^2 + \zeta^2(\zeta+1)x + (\zeta+1)^2) = \\ & (\zeta+1)^2 ((x^2 + \zeta^{q+1}x + (\zeta^{q+1}+1)^2) y^2 + \zeta^{q+1} (x^2 + \zeta^{q+1}x + 1) y + (\zeta^{q+1}+1)^2 x^2 + \zeta^{q+1}x + 1) \\ & = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

Since $u^2 + (x^2 + \zeta^{q+1}x + 1)u + \zeta^{q+1}(x^3 + x) = u^2 + (x^2 + (\zeta + \zeta^q)x + 1)u + (\zeta + \zeta^q)(x^3 + x) = (u + (\zeta + \zeta^q)x)(u + x^2 + 1)$, then $\text{Tr}_{q|2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\zeta^{2(q+1)}} + \frac{\zeta^{q+1}(x^3+x)}{(x^2+\zeta^{q+1}x+1)^2}\right) = \text{Tr}_{q|2}(1) + \text{Tr}_{q|2}(1/\zeta^{2(q+1)}) + \text{Tr}_{q|2}(\zeta^{q+1}(x^3+x)/(x^2+\zeta^{q+1}x+1)^2) = \text{Tr}_{q|2}(1) + 1 + 0$. Therefore equation (4.6) has two or none solutions according as $q \equiv -1$ or $1 \pmod{3}$. It follows that $|\mathcal{L}_3 \cap \mathcal{R}_1| = (q+1)/3$, $|\mathcal{L}_1 \cap \mathcal{R}_1| = 0$ and $|\mathcal{L}_0 \cap \mathcal{R}_1| = 2(q+1)/3$, if $q \equiv -1 \pmod{3}$, whereas $|\mathcal{L}_3 \cap \mathcal{R}_1| = |\mathcal{L}_0 \cap \mathcal{R}_1| = 0$ and $|\mathcal{L}_1 \cap \mathcal{R}_1| = q+1$, if $q \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$. Similar calculations show that no line joining T_x and T_∞ or T_x and T_y belongs to $\mathcal{L}_3 \cap \mathcal{R}_2$. Hence $|\mathcal{L}_3 \cap \mathcal{R}_2| = 0$, $|\mathcal{L}_1 \cap \mathcal{R}_2| = q+1$ and $|\mathcal{L}_0 \cap \mathcal{R}_2| = 0$.

If \mathcal{S} is one of the $q-2$ orbits with $|\mathcal{S}| = (q^3 - q)/2$ and $|\Sigma \cap \mathcal{X}| = 0$, then

$$\mathcal{Q}^+ \cap \mathcal{Y} = \{T_x \mid x \in \mathbb{F}_q\} \cup \{T_\infty = (0, 0, 0, 0, 1, t^{q+1}/\zeta^{q+1})\},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} T_x = & ((t^{q+1}(x^2 + \zeta^{q+1}x + 1), \zeta^{q+1}(x^4 + t^{q+1}x^2 + 1), (x^3 + x)(\zeta^{q+1}x^2 + t^{q+1}x + \zeta^{q+1}), \\ & (x^3 + x)(\zeta^{q+1}x^2 + t^{q+1}x + \zeta^{q+1}), \zeta^{q+1}x^2(x^4 + t^{q+1}x^2 + 1), t^{q+1}x^4(x^2 + \zeta^{q+1}x + 1)), \end{aligned}$$

for some $t \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \setminus \{0, \zeta^2, \zeta^{2q}\}$, $(t+1)^{q+1} = 1$. Let $\bar{\mathcal{Q}}^+$ denote the quadratic extension of \mathcal{Q}^+ , and assume that $\langle(0, 1, \zeta+1, \zeta+1, \zeta^2+1, 0), (1, 0, t+1, t+1, 0, t^2+1)\rangle \in \bar{\mathcal{R}}_1$ and $\langle(0, 1, \zeta+1, \zeta+1, \zeta^2+1, 0), (1, 0, t^q+1, t^q+1, 0, t^{2q}+1)\rangle \in \bar{\mathcal{R}}_2$, where $\bar{\mathcal{R}}_1$ and $\bar{\mathcal{R}}_2$ are the reguli of $\bar{\mathcal{Q}}^+$ obtained by extending \mathcal{R}_1 and \mathcal{R}_2 . In this case $|\mathcal{L}_{q+1} \cap \mathcal{R}_1| = |\mathcal{L}_2 \cap \mathcal{R}_1| = |\mathcal{L}_{q+1} \cap \mathcal{R}_2| = |\mathcal{L}_2 \cap \mathcal{R}_2| = 0$. The line joining T_x and T_∞ belongs to $\mathcal{L}_3 \cap \mathcal{R}_1$ if and only if there exists $x \in \mathbb{F}_q$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta^2(t+1)x^2 + t^2(\zeta+1)x + \zeta^2 t^2 + \zeta^2 + t^2 &= \zeta^2(t+1) \left(x^2 + \frac{t^{q+1}}{\zeta^{q+1}}x + \frac{t^2}{t^{q+1}} + \frac{t^{q+1}}{\zeta^2} \right) \\ &= \zeta^2(t+1) \left(x^2 + \frac{t^{q+1}}{\zeta^{q+1}}x + \frac{t^{2q}}{t^{q+1}} + \frac{t^{q+1}}{\zeta^{2q}} \right) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

Equation (4.7) has two or none solutions according as $\text{Tr}_{q|2} \left(\frac{\zeta^2}{t^{q+1}} \left(1 + \frac{\zeta^{2q}}{t^2} \right) \right)$ equals 0 or 1, respectively. Analogously, for a fixed $x \in \mathbb{F}_q$, the line joining T_x and T_y belongs to $\mathcal{L}_3 \cap \mathcal{R}_1$ if and only if there exists $y \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{x\}$ such that

$$\left(x^2 + \frac{t^{q+1}}{\zeta^{q+1}}x + \frac{t^2}{t^{q+1}} + \frac{t^{q+1}}{\zeta^2} \right) y^2 + \frac{t^{q+1}}{\zeta^{q+1}} (x^2 + \zeta^{q+1}x + 1) y + \left(\left(\frac{t^2}{t^{q+1}} + \frac{t^{q+1}}{\zeta^2} \right) x^2 + \frac{t^{q+1}}{\zeta^{q+1}}x + 1 \right) = 0. \quad (4.8)$$

Equation (4.8) admits a solution if and only if

$$\text{Tr}_{q|2} \left(\frac{\frac{\zeta^{2(q+1)}}{t^{2(q+1)}} \left(x^2 + \frac{t^{q+1}}{\zeta^{q+1}}x + \frac{t^2}{t^{q+1}} + \frac{t^{q+1}}{\zeta^2} \right) \left(\left(\frac{t^2}{t^{q+1}} + \frac{t^{q+1}}{\zeta^2} \right) x^2 + \frac{t^{q+1}}{\zeta^{q+1}}x + 1 \right)}{(x^2 + \zeta^{q+1} + 1)^2} \right) = 0.$$

The line joining T_x and T_∞ belongs to $\mathcal{L}_3 \cap \mathcal{R}_2$ if and only if there exists $x \in \mathbb{F}_q$ such that

$$x^2 + \frac{t^{q+1}}{\zeta^{q+1}}x + \frac{t^{q+1}}{\zeta^2} + \frac{t^{q+1}}{t^2} = 0$$

which has solutions if and only if $\text{Tr}_{q|2} \left(\frac{\zeta^{2(q+1)}}{t^{q+1}} \left(\frac{1}{\zeta^2} + \frac{1}{t^2} \right) \right)$ equals 0, whereas, for a fixed $x \in \mathbb{F}_q$, the line joining T_x and T_y belongs to $\mathcal{L}_3 \cap \mathcal{R}_2$ if and only if there exists $y \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{x\}$ such that

$$\left(x^2 + \frac{t^{q+1}}{\zeta^{q+1}}x + \frac{t^{q+1}}{t^2} + \frac{t^{q+1}}{\zeta^2} \right) y^2 + \frac{t^{q+1}}{\zeta^{q+1}} (x^2 + \zeta^{q+1}x + 1) y + \left(\left(\frac{t^{q+1}}{t^2} + \frac{t^{q+1}}{\zeta^2} \right) x^2 + \frac{t^{q+1}}{\zeta^{q+1}}x + 1 \right) = 0.$$

which has solutions if and only if

$$\text{Tr}_{q|2} \left(\frac{\frac{\zeta^{2(q+1)}}{t^{2(q+1)}} \left(x^2 + \frac{t^{q+1}}{\zeta^{q+1}}x + \frac{t^{q+1}}{t^2} + \frac{t^{q+1}}{\zeta^2} \right) \left(\left(\frac{t^{q+1}}{t^2} + \frac{t^{q+1}}{\zeta^2} \right) x^2 + \frac{t^{q+1}}{\zeta^{q+1}}x + 1 \right)}{(x^2 + \zeta^{q+1} + 1)^2} \right) = 0.$$

Finally observe that

$$\text{Tr}_{q|2} \left(\frac{\zeta^2}{t^{q+1}} \left(1 + \frac{\zeta^{2q}}{t^2} \right) \right) = 0 \iff \text{Tr}_{q|2} \left(\frac{\zeta^{2(q+1)}}{t^{q+1}} \left(\frac{1}{\zeta^2} + \frac{1}{t^2} \right) \right) = 0.$$

□

The content of Theorem 4.11 is summarized in Tables 5, 6, 8, 7, 10, 11, 9 and 12.

	\mathcal{R}_1	\mathcal{R}_2
\mathcal{L}_{q+1}	2	0
\mathcal{L}_0	0	0
\mathcal{L}_1	$q-1$	0
\mathcal{L}_2	0	2
\mathcal{L}_3	0	$q-1$

Table 5: $|\mathcal{S}| = q(q+1)/2$, $q \equiv -1 \pmod{3}$

	\mathcal{R}_1	\mathcal{R}_2
\mathcal{L}_{q+1}	2	0
\mathcal{L}_0	$2(q-1)/3$	0
\mathcal{L}_1	0	0
\mathcal{L}_2	0	2
\mathcal{L}_3	$(q-1)/3$	$q-1$

Table 6: $|\mathcal{S}| = q(q+1)/2$, $q \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$

	\mathcal{R}_1	\mathcal{R}_2
\mathcal{L}_{q+1}	1	0
\mathcal{L}_0	$(q+1)/3$	0
\mathcal{L}_1	$(q-2)/2$	$q/2$
\mathcal{L}_2	1	2
\mathcal{L}_3	$(q-2)/6$	$(q-2)/2$

Table 7: $|\mathcal{S}| = q^3 - q$, in the case $q \equiv -1 \pmod{3}$

	\mathcal{R}_1	\mathcal{R}_2
\mathcal{L}_{q+1}	1	0
\mathcal{L}_0	$(q-1)/3$	0
\mathcal{L}_1	$q/2$	$q/2$
\mathcal{L}_2	1	2
\mathcal{L}_3	$(q-4)/6$	$(q-2)/2$

Table 8: $|\mathcal{S}| = q^3 - q$, in the case $q \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$

	$\mathcal{R}_i, i \in \{1, 2\}$
\mathcal{L}_{q+1}	0
\mathcal{L}_0	$(2\mathbf{u}_i + 6)/3$
\mathcal{L}_1	$q - \mathbf{u}_i - 3$
\mathcal{L}_2	2
\mathcal{L}_3	$\mathbf{u}_i/3$

Table 9: $|\mathcal{S}| = (q^3 - q)/2$ in the case $|\Sigma \cap \mathcal{X}| = 2$

	\mathcal{R}_1	\mathcal{R}_2
\mathcal{L}_{q+1}	0	0
\mathcal{L}_0	$2(q+1)/3$	0
\mathcal{L}_1	0	$q+1$
\mathcal{L}_2	0	0
\mathcal{L}_3	$(q+1)/3$	0

Table 10: $|\mathcal{S}| = q(q-1)/2$ in the case $q \equiv -1 \pmod{3}$

	\mathcal{R}_1	\mathcal{R}_2
\mathcal{L}_{q+1}	0	0
\mathcal{L}_0	0	0
\mathcal{L}_1	$q+1$	$q+1$
\mathcal{L}_2	0	0
\mathcal{L}_3	0	0

Table 11: $|\mathcal{S}| = q(q-1)/2$ in the case $q \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$

	$\text{Tr}_{q 2} \left(\frac{z^2}{t^{q+1}} \left(1 + \frac{z^{2q}}{t^2} \right) \right) = 0$ $\mathcal{R}_i, i \in \{1, 2\}$	$\text{Tr}_{q 2} \left(\frac{z^2}{t^{q+1}} \left(1 + \frac{z^{2q}}{t^2} \right) \right) = 1$ $\mathcal{R}_i, i \in \{1, 2\}$
\mathcal{L}_{q+1}	0	0
\mathcal{L}_0	$2(\mathbf{v}_i + 3)/3$	$2\mathbf{v}_i/3$
\mathcal{L}_1	$q - \mathbf{v}_i - 2$	$q - \mathbf{v}_i + 1$
\mathcal{L}_2	0	0
\mathcal{L}_3	$(\mathbf{v}_i + 3)/3$	$\mathbf{v}_i/3$

Table 12: $|\mathcal{S}| = (q^3 - q)/2$ in the case $|\Sigma \cap \mathcal{X}| = 0$

Acknowledgments. This work was supported by the Italian National Group for Algebraic and Geometric Structures and their Applications (GNSAGA–INdAM).

References

- [1] D. Bartoli, A.A. Davydov, S. Marcugini, F. Pambianco, On planes through points off the twisted cubic in $\text{PG}(3, q)$ and multiple covering codes, *Finite Fields Appl.*, 67 (2020), 101710.

- [2] A. Blokhuis, R. Pellikaan, T. Szönyi, The extended coset leader weight enumerator of a twisted cubic code, *Des. Codes Cryptogr.*, (2022). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10623-022-01060-0>
- [3] A.A. Davydov, S. Marcugini, F. Pambianco, On cosets weight distribution of doubly-extended Reed-Solomon codes of codimension 4, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 67 (2021), no. 8, 5088–5096.
- [4] A.A. Davydov, S. Marcugini, F. Pambianco, Twisted cubic and point-line incidence matrix in $\text{PG}(3, q)$, *Des. Codes Cryptogr.*, 89 (2021), no. 10, 2211–2233.
- [5] A.A. Davydov, S. Marcugini, F. Pambianco, Twisted cubic and plane-line incidence matrix in $\text{PG}(3, q)$, *J. Geom.*, 113 (2022). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00022-022-00644-4>
- [6] A.A. Davydov, S. Marcugini, F. Pambianco, Twisted cubic and orbits of lines in $\text{PG}(3, q)$, *arXiv:2103.12655*, preprint, 2021.
- [7] A.A. Davydov, S. Marcugini, F. Pambianco, Twisted cubic and orbits of lines in $\text{PG}(3, q)$, II, *arXiv 2112.14803v1*, preprint, 2021.
- [8] G. Günay, M. Lavrauw, On pencils of cubics on the projective line over finite fields of characteristic > 3 , *Finite Fields Appl.*, 78 (2022), Paper No. 101960.
- [9] J.W.P. Hirschfeld, *Finite Projective Spaces of Three Dimensions*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Oxford Science Publications, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1985.
- [10] J. Wolfmann, The number of solutions of certain diagonal equations over finite fields, *J. Number Theory*, 42 (1992), no. 3, 247–257.