

# A CONTINUOUS ANALOG OF THE BINARY DARBOUX TRANSFORMATION FOR THE KORTEWEG-DE VRIES EQUATION

ALEXEI RYBKIN

ABSTRACT. In the KdV context we put forward a continuous version of the binary Darboux transformation (aka the double commutation method). Our approach is based on the Riemann-Hilbert problem and yields a new explicit formula for perturbation of the negative spectrum of a wide class of step-type potentials without changing the rest of the scattering data. This extends the previously known formulas for inserting/removing finitely many bound states to arbitrary sets of negative spectrum of arbitrary nature. In the KdV context our method offers same benefits as the classical binary Darboux transformation does.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

As the title suggests, we are concerned with the *binary Darboux transformation* in the context of the *Korteweg-de Vries equation* (KdV). The literature on the Darboux transformation goes back to the nineteenth century and is immensely extensive and diverse. We only review some of what is directly related to our paper and where the interested reader may find further references. The very term appears to be introduced in 1985 by Babich-Matveev-Salle [2] in the context of the Toda lattice and then extended to the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation (see the influential 1991 book [27] by Matveev and Salle). The name owes to the fact that the *single Darboux transformation* (also known as Crum, elementary, or standard) is applied twice: to the associated AKNS system and its conjugate. We also refer the interested reader to Ling et al [25] and the extensive literature cited therein and to Cieslinski [3] where the binary Darboux transformation is revisited from a different point of view. Note that the binary Darboux transformation was originally introduced to generate explicit solutions to integrable systems by algebraic means (c.f. [18, 27]) and the *inverse scattering transform* (IST) was not directly used (while our approach is based on the IST).

It is interesting to note that what in the Darboux transformation community is referred to as the binary Darboux transformation is, in fact, also known in spectral theory of Sturm-Liouville operators as the *double commutation method* introduced in 1951 by Gelfand and Levitan in their seminal paper [8] in the context of their ground breaking study of the inverse spectral problem for Sturm-Liouville operators. The name seems however to be cast by Deift [4] in 1978 as the method rests

---

*Date:* August, 2022.

*2020 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 34L25, 37K15, 47B35.

*Key words and phrases.* KdV equation, Darboux transformation, Riemann-Hilbert problem. The author is supported in part by the NSF grant DMS-2009980.

on applying twice a commutation formula from operator theory. Note that Gelfand and Levitan did not use commutation arguments but relied on *transformation operator* techniques (see also the book [23, Section 6.6] by Levitan). The full treatment of the double commutation method is given by Gesztesy et al [9]-[13] in the 1990s (see also the extensive literature cited therein). The double commutation method was introduced to study the effect of inserting/removing eigenvalues in spectral gaps on spectral properties of the underlying 1D Schrodinger operators while the binary Darboux transformation, as we have mentioned, has been primarily a tool to produce explicit solutions. This is likely a reason why we could not find the literature where the two would be explicitly linked<sup>1</sup>.

The main feature of the Darboux transformation (both, single and binary) is that it allows us to add or remove finitely many eigenvalues of the underlying system without altering the rest of the spectrum, which offers a powerful tool to study completely integrable systems. We are concerned with altering certain types of continuous spectrum too. More specifically, in the context of the KdV equation we introduce a broad class of the initial profiles, referred below to as *step-type* (see Definition 3.3), that admits an extension of the binary Darboux transformation allowing us to perturb (in particular, add or remove) the negative spectrum in nearly unrestricted way without affecting the rest of the scattering data. This class includes, as a very particular case, initial profiles approaching different constant values at  $\pm\infty$  that recently drew renewed interest (see e.g. the recent Egorova et al [7] and Girotti et al [14] and the extensive literature cited therein). We start out from the Riemann-Hilbert version of the binary Darboux transformation put forward in our recent [29] and show that our construction is not really restricted to isolated negative eigenvalues and can be readily extended to the negative spectrum of arbitrary nature that step-type potentials can produce. As is well-known, Darboux transformations are particularly convenient in studying soliton propagation over various backgrounds and it is reasonable to expect that our approach can be used to the same effect for whole intervals of continuous negative spectrum.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we outline our notational agreements. In Section 3 we go over some basics necessary to fix our notation and terminology as well as some of our previous results needed in what follows. Section 4 is devoted to the statement and discussions of our main result, Theorem 4.1. In Section 5 we rewrite the classical formulation of the Riemann-Hilbert problem approach to the KdV equation in the form convenient for our generalizations. In Section 6 we state our Riemann-Hilbert problem with a jump matrix which entries are distributions. In Section 7 we state and prove a Riemann-Hilbert version of the continuous binary Darboux transformation. Theorem 7.1 proven therein is essentially equivalent to Theorem 4.1 but we hope it may present an independent interest, especially to the reader who prefers the Riemann-Hilbert problem framework. Section 8 is auxiliary and devoted to discretization of our main integral operator which our limiting arguments are based on. Section 9 is another auxiliary section where a convenient formula is derived for the proof of the main theorem.

---

<sup>1</sup>E.g. the book [18] pays much of attention to binary Darboux transformations but double commutation is not mentioned. The recent [31] briefly mentions [18] and [13] but without discussing connections.

In Section 10 we finally prove Theorem 4.1 which essentially amounts to combining the ingredients prepared in the previous sections. In Section 11 we consider explicit examples. The first one is a new derivation of the well-known formula for pure soliton solutions and the second one is an explicit construction of reflectionless step-type potential. Appendix is devoted to some more auxiliary statements.

## 2. NOTATION

Through the paper, we make the following notational agreement. The bar denotes the complex conjugate. Prime ' always means the (spatial)  $x$ -derivative, the temporal variable  $t$  appears only as a parameter and is frequently suppressed. Given a non-negative finite Borel measure  $\mu$  on the real line,  $L^2(d\mu)$  is the real Hilbert space with the inner product  $\langle f, g \rangle = \int f(x)g(x)d\mu(x)$ , where the integral is taken over the support  $\text{Supp } \mu$ . In particular,  $d\mu = dx$ , the Lebesgue measure on the whole real line, then we conveniently abbreviate  $L^2(dx) = L^2$ . We apply the same agreement to other Lebesgue spaces  $L^p$ . Thus, we conveniently write

$$\int \cdot d\mu = \int_{\text{Supp } \mu} \cdot d\mu, \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} = \int.$$

If a function  $f(x)$  is defined on a set larger than  $\text{Supp } \mu$  we write  $f \in L^p(d\mu)$  if its restriction to  $\text{Supp } \mu$  is in  $L^p(d\mu)$ . We adopt the following notation common in scattering theory:

$$L^1((1+|x|)dx) = L^1_1.$$

$\chi_S$  is the characteristic function of a set  $S$ . In particular,  $\chi_{\pm} = \chi_{\mathbb{R}_{\pm}}$  for the Heaviside functions. We write

$$f \in L^p(+\infty) \text{ if } f\chi_{(a,\infty)} \in L^p \text{ for any finite } a.$$

If  $S$  is not a subset of  $\text{Supp } \mu$  we write  $\mu(S) = \mu(S \cap \text{Supp } \mu)$ . We call a measure  $\mu$  symmetric if its generalized density is an even function. I.e.

$$d\mu(x) = d\mu(|x|).$$

$\delta_a(x)$  is the Dirac delta-function supported at  $a$ . Square matrices are denoted by boldface capital letters, except for the identity matrix  $I$ . We use boldface lowercase letters for vectorial (row or column) quantities. Except for  $\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ , blackboard bold letters denote operators.  $W\{f, g\} = fg' - f'g$  is the Wronskian with obvious interpretation if one of  $f$  is a vector. Less common notations and conventions will be introduced later.

## 3. BRIEF REVIEW OF THE IST METHOD

We are concerned with the Cauchy problem for the KdV equation

$$\partial_t u - 6u' + u''' = 0, \quad x, t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (3.1)$$

$$u(x, 0) = q(x), \quad (3.2)$$

the first nonlinear evolution PDE solved in 1967 by Gardner, Greene, Kruskal, and Miura [6] by the inverse scattering transform (IST). For the reader's convenience and to fix our notation we review the necessary material following [1, 26, 28]. The IST method consists, as the standard Fourier transform method, of three steps:

**Step 1.** (direct transform)

$$q(x) \longrightarrow S_q,$$

where  $S_q$  is a new set of variables which turns (3.1) into a simple first order linear ODE for  $S_q(t)$  with the initial condition  $S_q(0) = S_q$ .

**Step 2.** (time evolution)

$$S_q \longrightarrow S_q(t).$$

**Step 3.** (inverse transform)

$$S_q(t) \longrightarrow q(x, t).$$

**3.1. Classical short-range IST.** Step 1. Suppose that the initial condition  $q$  for (3.2) is real and rapidly decaying. This means that the solution  $q(x, t)$  to (3.1)-(3.2) is subject to the decay condition

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (1 + |x|) |q(x, t)| dx < \infty, \quad t \geq 0, \quad (\text{short-range}). \quad (3.3)$$

Associate with  $q(x)$  the full line *Schrödinger operator*  $\mathbb{L}_q = -\partial_x^2 + q(x)$ . Its spectrum consists of a two fold absolutely continuous part filling  $[0, \infty)$  and finitely many negative simple eigenvalues (bound states)  $(-\kappa_n^2)_{n=1}^N$ . An important feature of the short-range  $q$  is that it supports two (right/left) *Right/left Jost solutions*  $\psi_{\pm}(x, k)$ . I.e. solutions of the *Schrödinger equation*

$$\mathbb{L}_q u = -u'' + q(x)u = k^2 u, \quad (3.4)$$

having plane wave asymptotics at infinity:  $\psi_{\pm}(x, k) \sim e^{\pm ikx}$ ,  $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$ . Note that  $\psi_{\pm}(x, k)$  are determined by  $q(x)$  on  $(\pm\infty, x)$  respectively. These solutions are analytic for  $\text{Im } k > 0$ , continuous down to the real line where  $\psi_{\pm}(x, -k) = \overline{\psi_{\pm}(x, k)}$ . The pair  $\{\psi_+, \overline{\psi_+}\}$  forms a fundamental set for (3.4) and hence

$$T(k)\psi_-(x, k) = \overline{\psi_+(x, k)} + R(k)\psi_+(x, k), \quad k \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (3.5)$$

with some  $T$  and  $R$  called the transmission and (right) reflection coefficients respectively. While totally elementary, (3.5), called the *right basic scattering identity*, serves as a foundation for inverse scattering theory. It immediately follows from (3.5) that

$$T(k) = \frac{2ik}{W(\psi_-(x, k), \psi_+(x, k))}, \quad (3.6)$$

which means that  $T(k)$  can be analytically continued into the upper half plane with simple poles at  $i\kappa_n$  where  $\psi_-(x, k)$ ,  $\psi_+(x, k)$  are linearly dependent. Moreover,  $\psi_-(x, i\kappa_n)$ ,  $\psi_+(x, i\kappa_n)$  are real and decay exponentially at both  $\pm\infty$  and hence  $-\kappa_n^2$  is a (negative) bound state (eigenvalue) of  $\mathbb{L}_q$ . The number

$$c_n = \left( \int \psi_+(x, i\kappa_n)^2 dx \right)^{-1/2} \quad (3.7)$$

is called the *norming constant* of the bound state  $-\kappa_n^2$ . The reflection coefficient  $R(k)$  is continuous but need not analytically extend outside the real line. It obeys  $R(-k) = \overline{R(k)}$ ,  $|R(k)| \leq 1$ ,  $|R(k)| = 1$  only at  $k = 0$ .

The main feature of the short-range case is that  $R(k)$  (continuous component) and  $\{(\kappa_n, c_n), 1 \leq n \leq N\}$  (discrete component) determine the potential  $q$  uniquely. It will be convenient for our purposes to write the discrete component as the (discrete) measure

$$d\rho(k) = \sum_{n=1}^N c_n^2 \delta_{\kappa_n}(k) dk, \quad (3.8)$$

which alone carries over all necessary information about the discrete spectrum. We can now introduce the (right) *scattering data*

$$S_q := \{R, d\rho\}.$$

We emphasize that  $S_q$  determines  $q$  uniquely (i.e.  $S_q$  is indeed data) in general only for short-range  $q$ 's. (See e.g. our recent [30] for explicit counterexamples.)

Step 2. The main reason why the IST works is that the (necessarily unique) solution  $q(x, t)$  to the problem (3.1)-(3.3) gives rise to the "time evolved" Schrödinger operator  $\mathbb{L}_{q(\cdot, t)}$  for which

$$R(k, t) = R(k) e^{8ik^3 t}, \kappa_n(t) = \kappa_n, c_n(t) = e^{4\kappa_n^3 t} c_n.$$

Since  $q(x, t)$  is short-range for  $t > 0$

$$S_q(t) = \left\{ R(k) e^{8ik^3 t}, e^{8k^3 t} d\rho(k) : k \geq 0 \right\}$$

is ("time evolved") scattering data for  $q(x, t)$ .

Step 3. Solve the inverse scattering problem for  $S_q(t)$  by any applicable method.

Note that this scheme runs for the left scattering data equally well. Another remarkable feature of the classical short-range IST is that potentials subject to (3.3) can be characterized in term of the scattering data (known as *Marchenko's characterization* [26]).

**3.2. One sided IST.** The IST was extended in [19] and [33] to step-like initial data  $q$ , i.e.  $q$ 's approaching two different values as  $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$  rapidly enough and then to  $q$ 's approaching periodic function on one end and a constant on another in [20]. The main difference from the short-range case is that the measure  $\rho$  in the scattering data gains an absolutely continuous component and the right and left ISTs are different. In fact, [19] uses the left IST and [33] does the right IST to prove that the absolutely continuous component of  $\rho$  gives rise to an infinite sequence of asymptotic solitons twice as high as the initial hydraulic jump. The latter is the main feature of such initial profiles. Another interesting feature of step-like initial data is appearance of rarefaction waves studied recently in [7] and [14].

In [16] we extended IST to initial data that approach zero at  $+\infty$  fast enough but are essentially arbitrary at  $-\infty$ . As opposed to the step-like data studied in [19, 33] such potentials support in general only the right inverse scattering. The approach is based on the notion of a Weyl solution. Recall that a real-valued locally integrable potential  $q$  is said to be Weyl limit point at  $\pm\infty$  if the equation  $\mathbb{L}_q u = k^2 u$  has a unique (up to a multiplicative constant) solution (called Weyl)  $\Psi_{\pm}(\cdot, k^2)$  that is square integrable at  $\pm\infty$  for each  $k^2 \in \mathbb{C}^+$ . Note that as apposed to Jost solutions, Weyl solutions exist under much more general conditions on  $q$ 's and no decay of any kind is required.

We consider  $q$ 's that are locally integrable, short-range at  $+\infty$  and limit point at  $-\infty$ . We call such potentials *step-type*. The spectrum of  $\mathbb{L}_q$  is absolutely continuous on  $\mathbb{R}_+$  of varying multiplicity (one or two) and simple spectrum on  $\mathbb{R}_-$  of arbitrary type. Choose the Weyl solution  $\varphi(x, k)$  (note our variable  $k$  not  $k^2$ ) at  $-\infty$  to satisfy

$$\varphi(x, k) = \overline{\psi(x, k)} + R(k)\psi(x, k), \quad (3.9)$$

where  $R(k)$  can be interpreted as a reflection coefficient. In the short-range case  $\varphi(x, k) = T(k)\psi_-(x, k)$ .

**Proposition 3.1** (On reflection coefficient). *The reflection coefficient  $R$  is well defined, symmetric  $R(-k) = \overline{R(k)}$ , and  $|R(k)| \leq 1$  a.e. Moreover, if  $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{L}_q)$  is the minimal support of the two fold a.c. spectrum of  $\mathbb{L}_q$  then  $|R(k)| < 1$  for a.e. real  $k$  such that  $k^2 \in \text{Spec}(\mathbb{L}_q)$  and  $|R(k)| = 1$  otherwise.*

This statement describes the positive spectrum of  $\mathbb{L}_q$  only. The negative spectrum is described in

**Proposition 3.2** (On norming measure). *On the imaginary line  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are related by*

$$\text{Im} \varphi(x, ik + 0) dk = \pi \psi(x, ik) d\rho(k), \quad k \geq 0, \quad (3.10)$$

for some non-negative finite measure  $\rho$ . Moreover,

$$\text{Supp} \rho = \{k \geq 0 : -k^2 \in \text{Spec}(\mathbb{L}_q)\}.$$

These propositions are proven in [15]. Note that  $\psi(x, k)$  is analytic for  $\text{Im} k > 0$  and  $\varphi(x, t)$  is analytic for  $\text{Im} k > 0$  away from  $i \text{Supp} \rho$ . If  $q$  is short-range then  $d\rho$  in (3.10) coincides with (3.8) (see below). For this reason we call  $\rho$  the *norming measure*. We mention that as apposed to the short-range case, the transmission coefficient  $T(k)$  is no longer uniquely defined.

If  $q(x)$  is a pure step function, i.e.  $q(x) = -h^2$ ,  $x < 0$ ,  $q(x) = 0$ ,  $x \geq 0$  then  $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{L}_q) = (-h^2, \infty)$  and purely absolute continuous,  $(-h^2, 0)$  and  $(0, \infty)$  being its simple and two fold components respectively. Moreover

$$R(k) = - \left( \frac{h}{\sqrt{k^2 + \sqrt{k^2 + h^2}}} \right)^2, \quad d\rho(k) = \frac{2k}{\pi h^2} \sqrt{h^2 - k^2} dk. \quad (3.11)$$

Let us now look at what happens to Step 1- Step 3 (the "time evolved" picture). Using the classical short-range IST as a pattern to follow, introduce

$$S_q = \{R(k), d\rho(k) : k \geq 0\}$$

for Step 1. The problem though is that no analog of Marchenko's characterization is known to date and, while  $S_q$  can be formed by solving right sided scattering problem we cannot claim that there is only one  $q$  that corresponds to  $S_q$ . But we move on to Step 2 and form

$$S_q(t) = \left\{ R(k) e^{8ik^3 t}, e^{8k^3 t} d\rho(k) : k \geq 0 \right\}.$$

This step is formal as there are no general well-posedness results for the KdV equation with general step-type initial data. Thus we don't know what  $S_q(t)$  actually represents and cannot go over to step 3. These problems can however be detoured by understanding a KdV solution as suitable limit. The following definition is convenient for this. It is also quite natural from the physical point of view.

**Definition 3.3** (Step-type solutions). *We call  $q(x, t)$  a step-type KdV solution with scattering data  $S_q = \{R(k), d\rho(k) : k \geq 0\}$  if*

- (1) *convergence: there exists a sequence*

$$S_{q_n} = \{R_n(k), d\rho_n(k) : k \geq 0\}$$

*weakly convergent to  $S_q$  such that  $S_{q_n}$  are the scattering data for some short-range potential  $q_n(x, t)$  which converges pointwise to  $q(x, t)$  for every  $t \geq 0$ ;*

- (2) *decay at  $+\infty$ : for any  $t \geq 0$  the potential  $q(x, t)$  is short-range at  $+\infty$ .*

(3) *essential boundedness from below*:  $\text{Spec } \mathbb{L}_{q(\cdot, t)}$  is bounded below.

Since the spectrum is invariant under the KdV flow, the lowest bound for the spectrum of  $\mathbb{L}_{q(\cdot, t)}$  is obviously independent of  $t$ . It is not obvious why step-type solutions actually exist. Sufficient conditions are studied in our [15],[16]. The third condition is satisfied if

$$\sup_{|I|=1} \int_I \max(-q(x), 0) dx < \infty. \quad (3.12)$$

The second condition holds if

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (1 + |x|)^N |q(x)| dx < \infty, \quad N \geq 5/2. \quad (3.13)$$

A particular short-range approximation of  $S_q$  required for the first condition in Definition 3.3 is merely provided by the cut-off approximation  $q_n(x) = q(x) \chi_{(-n, \infty)}(x)$  of initial data  $q(x)$ . Moreover,

$$q(x, t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_n(x, t) \quad (3.14)$$

is a classical solution to (3.1) (that is three times continuously differentiable in  $x$  and once in  $t$ ), the convergence in (3.14) being uniform on compacts in  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+$ .

Conditions (3.12)-(3.13) cover a large class of initial profiles (e.g. white-noise restricted to the left half-line). We believe the conditions (3.13) can be relaxed to at least  $3/2$ . Condition (3) guarantees that  $\mathbb{L}_q$  is in the limit point case at  $-\infty$  which is required for setting up eq (3.9), basic scattering identity.

We emphasize again that no well-posedness for step-type KdV solutions is available in general. The main issue is that it is not clear what Banach space such solutions can be included to even speak about well-posedness. For some partial results we refer the interested reader to recent [22], [24] where further literature is cited. These papers suggest that well-posedness for (3.1)-(3.2) for general step-type  $q$ 's may be out of reach.

#### 4. MAIN THEOREM

In this section we present our main statement and offer relevant discussions.

**Theorem 4.1** (Perturbation of negative spectrum). *Let  $q(x, t)$  be a step-type KdV solution (in the sense of Definition 3.3) with the scattering data*

$$S_q = \{R(k), d\rho(k) : k \geq 0\}, \quad (4.1)$$

$\psi(x, t, k)$  its right Jost solution, and

$$K(k, is; x, t) := \int_x^{\infty} \psi(z, t; k) \psi(z, t; is) dz, \quad \text{Im } k \geq 0, s \geq 0. \quad (4.2)$$

Then for any finite signed measure  $\sigma$  supported on a compact set of  $[0, \infty)$  satisfying the conditions

$$\int |\text{d}\sigma(k)|/k < \infty, \quad \text{d}\rho + \text{d}\sigma \geq 0, \quad (4.3)$$

the Fredholm integral equation

$$y(\alpha) + \int K(i\alpha, is; x, t) y(s) \text{d}\sigma(s) = \psi(x, t; i\alpha), \quad \alpha \in \text{Supp } \sigma, \quad (4.4)$$

has a unique solution  $y(s; x, t)$  in  $L^2(d\sigma)$  and

$$\psi_\sigma(x, t; k) = \psi(x, t; k) - \int K(k, is; x, t) y(s, x, t) d\sigma(s), \quad (4.5)$$

is the right Jost solution corresponding to the potential

$$q_\sigma(x, t) = q(x, t) + 2 \left[ \int \psi_\sigma(x, t; is) \psi(x, t; is) d\sigma(s) \right]^2 + 4 \int \psi_\sigma(x, t; is) \psi'(x, t; is) d\sigma(s), \quad (4.6)$$

which is a step-type KdV solution with the scattering data

$$S_{q_\sigma} = \{R(k), d\rho(k) + d\sigma(k) : k \geq 0\}.$$

Moreover,

- (1) For  $s \in \text{Supp } \sigma$

$$\psi_\sigma(x, t, is) = y(s, x, t); \quad (4.7)$$

- (2)  $q_\sigma(x, t)$  is as smooth as  $q(x, t)$ ;  
(3) If  $0 \notin \text{Supp } \sigma$  then  $q_\sigma(x, t) - q(x, t)$  decays exponentially as  $x \rightarrow \infty$  for every fixed  $t > 0$ ;  
(4) If  $\kappa_0$  is a pure point of  $\sigma$  with a positive weight then  $-\kappa_0^2$  is a (negative) bound state of  $\mathbb{L}_{q_\sigma}$  which is embedded if  $\kappa_0 \in \text{Supp } \rho$ ;  
(5) The binary Darboux transform is invertible in the following sense

$$(\psi_\sigma)_{-\sigma} = \psi, \quad (q_\sigma)_{-\sigma} = q$$

Some comments:

- Theorem 4.1 can also be named the *continuous binary Darboux transform*. Indeed, if  $\rho$  and  $\sigma$  are discrete measures with finitely many pure points then (4.5), (4.6) turns into the binary Darboux transform [29] (aka the double commutation method [13]). The formula (4.6) appears to be new even in this case. Furthermore, binary Darboux transforms are typically written differently for adding and removing eigenvalues and thus Theorem 4.1 combines the two.
- The main feature of the transformation (4.6) is that it allows us to modify the negative spectrum in a nearly unrestricted way while leaving the reflection coefficient unchanged.
- Since  $\psi(\cdot, is)$  is real, if  $d\sigma \geq 0$  then the kernel  $K(i\alpha, is; x, t)$  is positive definite and (4.4) is automatically uniquely solvable. However, if  $d\sigma < 0$  then this is no longer true in general. A counterexample is easily produced by  $R = 0$ ,  $\rho = 0$ , and  $d\sigma(k) = -c^2 \delta(k - \kappa) dk$ ,  $\kappa > 0$ . Indeed a simple formal computation gives then

$$q_\sigma(x, t) = -2\partial_x^2 \log \left( 1 - c^2 e^{8\kappa^3 t - 2\kappa x} \right),$$

which is a singular KdV solution (has moving real double pole). Thus the second condition (4.3) cannot be removed.

- The first condition (4.3) guarantees that 0 is not an eigenvalue of  $q_\sigma$ . If 0 were an eigenvalue then  $q_\sigma$  would not be short-range at  $+\infty$ . We believe it can be relaxed to read that  $\sigma$  is a Carleson measure (see, e.g. for the definition [21]) but cannot be completely removed.

- Equations (4.5) and (4.7) give an explicit formula for reconstruction of the Jost solution  $\psi_\sigma(x, t; k)$  for any  $\text{Im } k \geq 0$  via its values on  $\text{Supp } \sigma$ . Note that there is no such formula for a generic analytic function.
- Step-type potentials admit embedded discrete negative spectrum. We are not sure if this is a known fact. Note that there are no positive embedded bound states if  $q$  is short-range at  $+\infty$ .
- The formula (4.6) can also be written as

$$q_\sigma(x, t) = q(x, t) - 2\partial_x^2 \log \det [I + \mathbb{K}(x, t)],$$

where  $\mathbb{K}(x, t)$  is a trace class integral operator acting by the formula

$$\mathbb{K}(x, t) f = \int \left[ \int_x^\infty \psi(z, t; i\alpha) \psi(z, t; is) dz \right] e^{8s^3 t} f(s) d\sigma(s).$$

- As we have mentioned, it is proven in [19, 33] that a short-range perturbation of a pure step function, i.e.  $q(x) = -h^2$ ,  $x < 0$ ,  $q(x) = 0$ ,  $x \geq 0$  (hydraulic jump) gives rise to an infinite sequence of asymptotic solitons of height  $-2h^2$  (twice as high as the initial hydraulic jump). It can be shown that Theorem 4.1 implies that this effect is a much more general phenomenon and the fastest soliton always propogates with the asymptotic velocity  $2h^2$  where  $h^2 = -\inf \text{Spec } \mathbb{L}_q$ . The asymptotic phase is yet to be computed.

## 5. CLASSICAL MEROMORPHIC VECTOR RIEMANN-HILBERT PROBLEM

In this section we review the standard meromorphic vector Riemann-Hilbert problem that arises from the classical inverse scattering formalism for the KdV equation following [17]. It will be the starting point in our search for a suitable formulation of the corresponding Riemann-Hilbert problem for arbitrary step-type potentials. Through this section we denote

$$R(k, t) = R(k) e^{8ik^3 t}, \quad c_n(t) = c_n e^{4\kappa_n^3 t}.$$

**Meromorphic Riemann-Hilbert Problem (MRHP):** Let  $S_q = \{R, (\kappa_n, c_n)\}$  be the scattering data of a short-range potential and let

$$\mathbf{J}(k, t) := \begin{pmatrix} 1 - |R(k, t)|^2 & -\overline{R(k, t)} \\ R(k, t) & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{jump matrix}),$$

and  $t$  real parameter. Find a row function  $\mathbf{v} = (\varphi, \psi)$  meromorphic in  $\text{Im } k \neq 0$  with simple poles  $(\pm i\kappa_n)$ , such that:

- (1) *Symmetry condition:*

$$\overline{\mathbf{v}(\overline{k})} = \mathbf{v}(-k) = \mathbf{v}(k) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{Im } k \neq 0. \quad (5.1)$$

- (2) *Jump condition:* The boundary values  $\mathbf{v}(k \pm i0)$  are related by

$$\mathbf{v}(k + i0) = \mathbf{v}(k - i0) \mathbf{J}(k, t), \quad \text{Im } k = 0. \quad (5.2)$$

- (3) *Pole conditions:*

$$\text{Res}_{\pm i\kappa_n} \mathbf{v} = ic_n^2(t) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{v} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \Big|_{i\kappa_n} \\ -\mathbf{v} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \Big|_{-i\kappa_n} \end{array} \right\}, \quad 1 \leq n \leq N. \quad (5.3)$$

(4) *Asymptotic condition*<sup>2</sup>: For real  $x$

$$\mathbf{v}(k) \sim \begin{pmatrix} e^{-ikx-4ik^3t} & , & e^{ikx+4ik^3t} \end{pmatrix}, \quad k \rightarrow \infty. \quad (5.4)$$

As is well-known,

$$\mathbf{v}(k) = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi(k) & , & \psi(k) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (5.5)$$

where

$$\varphi(k) = T(k) e^{-4ik^3t} \psi_-(x, t; k), \quad \psi(k) = e^{4ik^3t} \psi_+(x, t; k).$$

solves MRHP and the potential  $q(x, t)$  is recovered from its second component. It is important that this component has no poles.

Note that the pole conditions (5.3) can be written in the scalar form

$$\operatorname{Res}_{i\kappa_n} \varphi(k) = ic_n^2(t) \psi(i\kappa_n), \quad \operatorname{Res}_{-i\kappa_n} \varphi(k) = -ic_n^2(t) \psi(i\kappa_n), \quad (5.6)$$

which we now represent in an integral form. Due to symmetry it is enough to consider only the first equation in (5.6). Let  $I$  be an open interval in  $\mathbb{R}_+$ , then one can easily see that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_I \operatorname{Im} \varphi(is - 0) ds &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow +0} \int_I \operatorname{Im} \varphi(is - \varepsilon) ds \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow +0} \int_I \frac{\varphi(is - \varepsilon) - \overline{\varphi(is - \varepsilon)}}{2i} ds \\ &= - \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow +0} \int_I \frac{\varphi(is - \varepsilon) - \varphi(is + \varepsilon)}{2} i ds \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow +0} \frac{1}{2} \int_{C_\varepsilon(I)} \varphi(z) dz = i\pi \sum_{\kappa_n \subset I} \operatorname{Res}_{i\kappa_n} \varphi. \end{aligned} \quad (5.7)$$

Here  $C_\varepsilon(I)$  is a contour in  $\mathbb{C}^+$  enclosing  $I$  and shrinking to  $I$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . It follows now from this and (5.6) that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_I \operatorname{Im} \varphi(is - 0) ds &= - \sum_{\kappa_n \subset I} \pi c_n^2 \psi(i\kappa_n) \\ &= -\pi \int_I \psi(is) d\rho(s), \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

where

$$d\rho(s) := \sum_n c_n^2(t) \delta_{\kappa_n}(s) ds, \quad (5.9)$$

and  $\delta_{\kappa_n}$  is the Dirac delta function supported at  $\kappa_n$ . Since  $I$  is arbitrary, it follows from (5.7) that

$$\operatorname{Im} \varphi(is - 0) ds = -\pi \psi(is) d\rho(s)$$

---

<sup>2</sup>It is more common to set  $\mathbf{v}(k) \sim \begin{pmatrix} 1 & , & 1 \end{pmatrix}, k \rightarrow \infty$ , to remove oscillations but then the jump matrix gains an undesirable dependence on  $(x, t)$

and, since  $\text{Im } \psi(is - 0) = 0$  ( $\psi$  is analytic away from  $\mathbb{R}$ ), we can rewrite now the pole conditions (5.3) as

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Im } \mathbf{v}(is - 0) &= \begin{cases} \mathbf{v}(is + 0) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -\pi\chi_+(s) \, d\rho(|s|)/ds & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & s > 0 \\ -\mathbf{v}(is + 0) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\pi\chi_-(s) \, d\rho(|s|)/ds \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & s < 0 \end{cases} \\ &= \mathbf{v}(is + 0) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -2i\pi\chi_-(s) \, d\rho(|s|)/ds \\ -2i\pi\chi_+(s) \, d\rho(|s|)/ds & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.10)$$

where the derivatives are understood in the sense of distributions and, as always,  $\chi_{\pm}$  is the characteristic function of  $\mathbb{R}_{\pm}$ .

Since  $2i \text{Im } \mathbf{v}(is - 0) = \mathbf{v}(is - 0) - \overline{\mathbf{v}(is - 0)} = \mathbf{v}(is - 0) - \mathbf{v}(is + 0)$ , (5.10) yields

$$\mathbf{v}(is - 0) = \mathbf{v}(is + 0) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -2i\pi\chi_-(s) \delta(s) \\ -2i\pi\chi_+(s) \delta(s) & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (5.11)$$

where

$$\delta(s) := \text{sgn}(s) \sum_n c_n^2(t) \delta_{\kappa_n}(|s|). \quad (5.12)$$

Observe that we have reformulated the pole conditions (5.3) as a jump condition (5.11) across the imaginary line, the negative spectrum data being encoded in (5.12). Note that the jump matrix in (5.11) is not a continuous function but a distribution. The main advantage of (5.11) over (5.3) is that it readily yields a generalization to an arbitrary negative spectrum once we allow  $\delta$  to be the distributional derivative of an arbitrary positive finite measure. This will be done in Section 7.

## 6. QUADRANT-ANALYTIC VECTOR RIEMANN-HILBERT PROBLEM

In this section we introduce a jump matrix with singular entries that plays the central role in our considerations.

Let  $\Sigma$  be a contour consisting of three lines: the real line  $\mathbb{R}$  oriented from left to right, the part of the imaginary line  $i\mathbb{R}_+$  in the upper half-plane oriented upwards, and the part of the imaginary line  $-i\mathbb{R}_-$  in the lower half-plane oriented downwards. Apparently,  $\Sigma$  divides the complex plane into quadrants. Given a function  $f(k)$  analytic on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma$ , call such functions *quadrant analytic*<sup>3</sup>, we denote by  $f_{\pm}(k)$  non-tangential boundary values of  $f$  from the positive/negative ( $\pm$ ) side of  $\Sigma$ . Here the positive/negative side is the one that lies to the left/right from  $\Sigma$  as we traverse the contour in the direction of orientation.

Let  $R(k)$  be as in Proposition 3.1

$$R(k, t) = R(k) e^{8ik^3 t},$$

$\rho(k)$  a nonnegative finite measure on  $\mathbb{R}_+$  and  $\delta(k, t) = e^{4k^3 t} d\rho(k) / dk$  (generalized density).

---

<sup>3</sup>In fact,  $f$  may be analytic on some sets of the imaginary line. In particular, may be analytic on both  $\mathbb{C}^{\pm}$ .

**Quadrant analytic vector Riemann-Hilbert problem (QARHP)** Let  $\mathbf{J}(k, t)$  be a  $2 \times 2$  matrix-valued function defined on  $\Sigma$  as follows

$$\mathbf{J}(k, t) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{J}_R(k, t), & \text{Im } k = 0 \\ \mathbf{J}_\rho(k, t), & \text{Re } k = 0 \end{cases}, \quad (6.1)$$

where

$$\mathbf{J}_R(k, t) := \begin{pmatrix} 1 - |R(k, t)|^2 & -\overline{R(k, t)} \\ R(k, t) & 1 \end{pmatrix}, k \in \mathbb{R},$$

$$\mathbf{J}_\rho(k, t) := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -2i\pi\chi_-(s)\delta(s, t) \\ -2i\pi\chi_+(s)\delta(s, t) & 1 \end{pmatrix}, k = is, s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Find a row function  $\mathbf{v} = (\varphi, \psi)$  analytic in each quadrant such that:

(1) *Symmetry conditions:*

$$\overline{\mathbf{v}(\overline{k})} = \mathbf{v}(-k) = \mathbf{v}(k) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (6.2)$$

(2) *Jump condition:* In the sense of distributions

$$\mathbf{v}_+(k) = \mathbf{v}_-(k)\mathbf{J}(k, t), \text{ for } k \in \Sigma. \quad (6.3)$$

**Remark 6.1.** (1) *The asymptotic condition (5.4) imposes [17] the following condition on  $\mathbf{J}$*

$$\mathbf{J}(-k, t) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{J}(k, t)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

*which our  $\mathbf{J}$  clearly obeys.*

(2) *The asymptotic condition (5.4) is clearly missing which results in non-uniqueness. Indeed, a scalar multiple of a solution satisfies conditions (6.2) and (6.3). But it is the only source of non-uniqueness as the symmetry condition (6.2) rules out any other possibility [17, Theorem 3.2]. In our setting it is more convenient to restore uniqueness by imposing a slightly different from (5.4) condition.*

The following proposition can be easily verified by inspection (also given in the Appendix).

**Proposition 6.2** (Gauge transformation). *The transformation  $\mathbf{v} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{v}}$  given by*

$$\tilde{\mathbf{v}}(k, x) = \mathbf{v}(k, x) + \int \frac{W\{\mathbf{v}(k, x), \psi(x, is)\}}{k^2 + s^2} d\mu(s, x), \quad (6.4)$$

*preserves the symmetry condition (6.2) and the jump across the real line (but not across the imaginary line) for any finite (signed) symmetric measure  $\mu(s, x)$  dependent on  $x$  as a parameter.*

## 7. CONTINUOUS BINARY DARBOUX TRANSFORMATION

In this section we state and prove a Riemann-Hilbert version of the continuous binary Darboux transformation. It is of course directly related to Theorem 4.1 but we hope it deserves special attention. Through this section all statements and proofs admit time dependent situation but since  $t$  appears as just a parameter we drop it from the list of variables emphasizing that the material of this section need not be considered in the KdV context.

**Theorem 7.1** (Continuous Darboux transformation). *Let  $\psi(x, k)$  be a right Jost solution and for all real  $x$*

$$\mathbf{v}(x, k) = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi(x, k) & , & \psi(x, k) \end{pmatrix}$$

*solve the QARHP with the jump matrix  $(\mathbf{J}_R, \mathbf{J}_\rho)$  given by (6.1). Let  $\sigma$  be a (signed) finite measure supported on  $\mathbb{R}_+$  such that the Fredholm integral equation*

$$y(\alpha, x) + \int K(\alpha, s, x) y(s, x) d\sigma(s) = \psi(x, i\alpha), \quad \alpha \in \text{Supp } \sigma, \quad (7.1)$$

*with the kernel*

$$K(\alpha, s, x) = \int_x^\infty \psi(z, i\alpha) \psi(z, is) dz$$

*has a unique solution. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathbf{v}}(x, k) &= \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\varphi}(x, k) & , & \tilde{\psi}(x, k) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \mathbf{v}(x, k) + \int W\{\mathbf{v}(x, k), \psi(x, is)\} \frac{y(s, x) d\sigma(s)}{k^2 + s^2} \end{aligned} \quad (7.2)$$

*solves the quadrant-analytic RHP with the jump matrix  $(\mathbf{J}_R, \mathbf{J}_{\rho+\sigma})$ . Moreover  $\tilde{\psi}(x, k)$  is the right Jost solution corresponding to the potential*

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{q}(x, t) &= q(x, t) \\ &+ 2 \left[ \int \tilde{\psi}(x; is) \psi(x, is) d\sigma(s) \right]^2 + 4 \int \tilde{\psi}(x, is) \psi'(x, is) d\sigma(s), \end{aligned} \quad (7.3)$$

*and*

$$\tilde{\psi}(x, i\alpha) = y(\alpha, x), \quad \alpha \in \text{Supp } \sigma. \quad (7.4)$$

Note that the definition of  $K$  is slightly different from that of Theorem 4.1. The requirement that  $\psi$  is a Jost solution plays the role of the normalization condition missing in the QARHP.

*Proof.* Consider the gauge transform (6.4). By Proposition 6.2 the reflection coefficient  $R$  is then preserved and it remains to find a measure  $d\mu(s, x)$  in (6.4) that produces the desirable jump matrix across the imaginary line. Due to the symmetry condition (6.2) we can assume that  $\text{Im } k > 0$ . For the time being we suppress the dependence on  $x$  whenever it leads to no confusion.

Rewriting (6.4) component-wise we have

$$\tilde{\varphi}(k) = \varphi(k) + \int \frac{W\{\varphi(k), \psi(is)\}}{k^2 + s^2} d\mu(s), \quad (7.5)$$

$$\tilde{\psi}(k) = \psi(k) + \int \frac{W\{\psi(k), \psi(is)\}}{k^2 + s^2} d\mu(s). \quad (7.6)$$

Since the new pair  $(\tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\psi})$  must satisfy the jump condition across  $i\mathbb{R}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\varphi}(is-0) & , & \tilde{\psi}(is-0) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\varphi}(is+0) & , & \tilde{\psi}(is+0) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -2i\pi\chi_-(s)\tilde{\delta}(s) \\ -2i\pi\chi_+(s)\tilde{\delta}(s) & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\tilde{\delta}(s)$  is the density of the perturbed measure  $\tilde{\rho} = \rho + \sigma$ , we conclude that  $\tilde{\psi}(k)$  is analytic for  $\text{Im } k > 0$  and

$$\text{Im } \tilde{\psi}(i\alpha - 0) = 0, \quad \text{Im } \tilde{\varphi}(i\alpha - 0) = -\pi \tilde{\delta}(\alpha) \tilde{\psi}(i\alpha).$$

It follows from (7.5) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Im } \tilde{\varphi}(i\alpha - 0) - \text{Im } \varphi(i\alpha - 0) \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \text{Im} \int \frac{W\{\varphi(i\alpha - \varepsilon), \psi(is)\}}{(i\alpha - \varepsilon)^2 + s^2} d\mu(s) \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int W\{\text{Im } \varphi(i\alpha - \varepsilon), \psi(is)\} \text{Re} \frac{1}{(i\alpha - \varepsilon)^2 + s^2} d\mu(s) \\ &+ \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int W\{\text{Re } \varphi(i\alpha - \varepsilon), \psi(is)\} \text{Im} \frac{1}{(i\alpha - \varepsilon)^2 + s^2} d\mu(s) \\ &= I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned} \tag{7.7}$$

It follows from the jump condition for  $(\varphi, \psi)$  that

$$\text{Im } \varphi(i\alpha - \varepsilon) = -\pi \delta(\alpha) \psi(i\alpha). \tag{7.8}$$

Therefore, for  $I_1$  we have

$$I_1 = -\pi \delta(\alpha) \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int W\{\psi(i\alpha), \psi(is)\} \text{Re} \frac{1}{(i\alpha - \varepsilon)^2 + s^2} d\mu(s).$$

Recall the following Wronskian identity: if  $f_\lambda$  is a solution to the Schrödinger equation  $-f'' + q(x)f = \lambda^2 f$  then

$$W'(f_\lambda, f_\mu) = (\lambda^2 - \mu^2) f_\lambda f_\mu \text{ for any } \lambda, \mu. \tag{7.9}$$

Observe that if  $f_\lambda, f_\mu$  decay sufficiently fast at  $+\infty$ , then (7.9) implies

$$\frac{W(f_\lambda, f_\mu)}{\lambda^2 - \mu^2} = - \int_x^\infty f_\lambda(s) f_\mu(s) ds. \tag{7.10}$$

Since due to (7.10)

$$\begin{aligned} W\{\psi(i\alpha), \psi(is)\} &= -(s^2 - \alpha^2) \int_x^\infty \psi(z, i\alpha) \psi(z, is) dz \\ &= -(s^2 - \alpha^2) K(\alpha, s), \end{aligned} \tag{7.11}$$

where we have denoted (suppressing  $x$  as before)

$$K(\alpha, s) = K(\alpha, s; x) = \int_x^\infty \psi(z, i\alpha) \psi(z, is) dz,$$

the last equation can be continued

$$I_1 = \pi \delta(\alpha) \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int (s^2 - \alpha^2) \text{Re} \frac{1}{(i\alpha - \varepsilon)^2 + s^2} K(\alpha, s) d\mu(s).$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Re} \frac{1}{(i\alpha - \varepsilon)^2 + s^2} &= \frac{1}{2s} \text{Re} \left( \frac{1}{s - \alpha - i\varepsilon} + \frac{1}{s + \alpha + i\varepsilon} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2s} \left[ \frac{s - \alpha}{(s - \alpha)^2 + \varepsilon^2} + \frac{s + \alpha}{(s + \alpha)^2 + \varepsilon^2} \right], \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} & (s^2 - \alpha^2) \operatorname{Re} \frac{1}{(i\alpha - \varepsilon)^2 + s^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{2s} \left[ \frac{(s - \alpha)^2 (s + \alpha)}{(s - \alpha)^2 + \varepsilon^2} + \frac{(s + \alpha)^2 (s - \alpha)}{(s + \alpha)^2 + \varepsilon^2} \right] \\ &\rightarrow \frac{1}{2s} [(s + \alpha) + (s - \alpha)] = 1, \quad \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{uniformly.} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$I_1 = \pi \delta(\alpha) \int K(\alpha, s) d\mu(s). \quad (7.12)$$

Turn to  $I_2$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Im} \frac{1}{(i\alpha - \varepsilon)^2 + s^2} &= \frac{1}{2s} \operatorname{Im} \left( \frac{1}{s - \alpha - i\varepsilon} + \frac{1}{s + \alpha + i\varepsilon} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2s} \left[ \frac{\varepsilon}{(s - \alpha)^2 + \varepsilon^2} - \frac{\varepsilon}{(s + \alpha)^2 + \varepsilon^2} \right] \\ &= \frac{\pi}{2s} P_{\alpha+i\varepsilon}(s) - \frac{1}{2s} \frac{\varepsilon}{(s + \alpha)^2 + \varepsilon^2}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$P_{x+iy}(t) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{y}{(t-x)^2 + y^2}$$

is the the Poisson kernel. Recall the classical fact (see, e.g. [21])

$$\int dx g(x) \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} \int P_{x+iy}(t) d\mu(t) = \int g(x) d\mu(x)$$

or

$$d\mu_y(x) = \left( \int P_{x+iy}(t) d\mu(t) \right) dx \rightarrow d\mu(x), \quad y \rightarrow 0, \quad (7.13)$$

in the weak\* topology. The second term goes to zero uniformly as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  and we get

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int W \{ \operatorname{Re} \varphi(i\alpha - \varepsilon), \psi(is) \} \operatorname{Im} \frac{1}{(i\alpha - \varepsilon)^2 + s^2} d\mu(s) \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int W \{ \operatorname{Re} \varphi(i\alpha - 0), \psi(is) \} \frac{\pi}{2s} P_{\alpha+i\varepsilon}(s) d\mu(s) \\ &= \pi \int \frac{W \{ \operatorname{Re} \varphi(i\alpha - 0), \psi(i\alpha) \}}{2\alpha} d\mu(\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used (7.13) to pass to the limit.

It follows from (6.3) that

$$\varphi(k + i0) = \overline{\psi(k + i0)} + R(k) \psi(k + i0)$$

and hence, since  $\psi$  is the right Jost solution,

$$W \{ \varphi(k + i0), \psi(k + i0) \} = W \{ \overline{\psi(k + i0)}, \psi(k + i0) \} = 2ik.$$

Thus  $W\{\varphi(k), \psi(k)\} = 2ik$  also for  $\text{Im } k > 0$  and in particular

$$\begin{aligned} & W\{\text{Re } \varphi(i\alpha - 0), \psi(i\alpha)\} \\ &= \text{Re } W\{\varphi(i\alpha - 0), \psi(i\alpha)\} = -2\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

It follows then that in the sense of distributions

$$I_2 = -\pi\mu'(\alpha). \quad (7.14)$$

Substituting (7.12) and (7.14) into (7.7) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Im } \tilde{\varphi}(i\alpha - 0) &= \text{Im } \varphi(i\alpha - 0) + I_1 + I_2 \\ &= -\delta(\alpha)\psi(i\alpha) + \pi\delta(\alpha) \int K(\alpha, s) d\mu(s) - \pi\mu'(\alpha) \\ &= -\pi\tilde{\delta}(\alpha)\tilde{\psi}(i\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

Here we have taken (7.8) into account. It follows that

$$\delta(\alpha) \left[ \psi(i\alpha) - \int K(\alpha, s) d\mu(s) \right] + \mu'(\alpha) = \tilde{\delta}(\alpha)\tilde{\psi}(i\alpha). \quad (7.15)$$

Evaluate now  $\tilde{\psi}(i\alpha)$ . From (7.6) and (7.11) we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\psi}(k) &= \psi(k) + \int \frac{W\{\psi(k), \psi(is)\}}{k^2 + s^2} d\mu(s) \\ &= \psi(k) - \int K(\alpha, s) d\mu(s) \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\tilde{\psi}(i\alpha) = \psi(i\alpha) - \int K(\alpha, s) d\mu(s). \quad (7.16)$$

Substituting this into (7.15) one immediately obtains

$$\begin{aligned} & \delta(\alpha) \left[ \psi(i\alpha) - \int K(\alpha, s) d\mu(s) \right] + \mu'(\alpha) \\ &= \tilde{\delta}(\alpha) \left\{ \psi(i\alpha) - \int K(\alpha, s) d\mu(s) \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

which, recalling that  $\sigma = \tilde{\rho} - \rho$ , can be rearranged as

$$\sigma'(\alpha) \left\{ \psi(i\alpha) - \int K(\alpha, s) d\mu(s) \right\} = \mu'(\alpha),$$

or in terms of measures

$$\left\{ \psi(i\alpha) - \int K(\alpha, s) d\mu(s) \right\} d\sigma(s) = d\mu(\alpha),$$

which is an integral equation on the measure  $\mu$ . Take  $d\mu$  to be absolutely continuous with respect to the measure  $d\sigma$  and let  $y = d\mu/d\sigma$  be the Radon-Nikodym derivative. By the Radon-Nikodym theorem then

$$\psi(i\alpha) - \int K(\alpha, s) y(s) d\sigma(s) = y(\alpha)$$

and we finally have

$$y(\alpha, x) + \int K(\alpha, s, x) y(s, x) d\sigma(s) = \psi(x, i\alpha).$$

Thus we arrive at the Fredholm integral equation

$$y + \mathbb{K}y = \psi, \quad (7.17)$$

where  $\mathbb{K}$  is the integral operator with the kernel  $K(\alpha, s; x)$  with respect to the measure  $\sigma$ .

It remains to show (7.4). This immediately follows from (7.16) and (7.17). Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\psi}(i\alpha) &= \psi(i\alpha) - \int K(\alpha, s) d\mu(s) \\ &= \psi(i\alpha) - \int K(\alpha, s) y(s) d\sigma(s) \\ &= \psi - \mathbb{K}y = y. \end{aligned}$$

The proof that  $\tilde{\psi}$  is a right Jost solution and the representation (7.3) for  $\tilde{q}$  will be given in Section 10.  $\square$

## 8. AN INTEGRAL OPERATOR AND ITS DISCRETIZATION

In this section we study the trace class integral operator  $\mathbb{K}$  arising in the previous section and approximate it with a sequence of finite matrices. It is convenient to do so in independent terms. Let  $\mu$  be a non-negative finite Borel measure on the real line and  $L^2(d\mu)$  the real Hilbert space with the inner product  $\langle f, g \rangle = \int f(x)g(x)d\mu(x)$ . Let  $g(x, s)$  be a real continuous function for  $s \in S$ , where  $S$  is an interval (finite or infinite) such that

$$\|g\|^2 := \int_S \int g(x, s)^2 d\mu(x) ds < \infty. \quad (8.1)$$

Define a family of rank one operators  $\mathbb{G}(s)$  on  $L^2(d\mu)$  by

$$(\mathbb{G}(s)f)(x) = \langle f, g(\cdot, s) \rangle g(x, s).$$

Clearly,  $\mathbb{G}$  is positive and ( $\|\cdot\|_2$  stands for the Hilbert-Schmidt norm)

$$\text{tr } \mathbb{G}(s) = \|\mathbb{G}(s)\|_2 = \|g(\cdot, s)\|^2 = \int g(x, s)^2 d\mu(x). \quad (8.2)$$

Consider an operator defined by

$$\mathbb{K} = \int_S \mathbb{G}(s) ds.$$

It is an integral operator

$$(\mathbb{K}f)(x) = \int K(x, y) f(y) d\mu(y)$$

on  $L^2(d\mu)$  with the kernel

$$K(x, y) = \int_S g(x, s) g(y, s) ds.$$

It follows from (8.1) and (8.2) that

$$\|\mathbb{K}\|_2 \leq \int_S \|\mathbb{G}(s)\|_2 ds = \int_S \int g(x, s)^2 d\mu(x) ds = \|g\|^2 < \infty$$

and hence the operator  $\mathbb{K}$  is Hilbert-Schmidt on  $L^2(d\mu)$ , positive, it is also trace class, and

$$\mathrm{tr} \mathbb{K} = \int_S \int g(x, s)^2 d\mu(x) ds = \|g\|^2.$$

Discretize  $\mathbb{K}$  as follows. Let  $I$  be a finite interval containing  $\mathrm{Supp} \mu$  and  $(I_n)_{n=1}^N$  be a finite partition of  $I$ . In each  $I_n$  pick up an interior point  $x_n$  that is also in  $\mathrm{Supp} \mu$ . Take a piece-wise constant approximation  $g_N$  of  $g$

$$g_N(x, s) = \sum_{n=1}^N g(x_n, s) \chi_{I_n}(x)$$

and consider the integral operator

$$(\mathbb{K}_N f)(x) = \int K_N(x, y) f(y) d\mu(y)$$

on  $L^2(d\mu)$  with the kernel

$$K_N(x, y) = \int_S g_N(x, s) g_N(y, s) ds.$$

**Proposition 8.1.** *If  $\|g - g_N\| \rightarrow 0, N \rightarrow \infty$ , then  $\mathbb{K}_N \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$  in the trace norm.*

The proof is given in the Appendix. We show that  $\mathbb{K}_N$  can be realized as the  $N \times N$  matrix

$$\mathbf{K}_N = \left( c_n^2 \int_S g_m(s) g_n(s) ds \right)_{1 \leq m, n \leq N},$$

where  $g_n(s) := g(x_n, s)$  and  $c_n := \mu(I_n)^{1/2}$ , as follows. Identify a simple function

$$f_N(x) = \sum_{n=1}^N f_n \chi_{I_n}(x)$$

with an  $N$  column  $(f_n) := \mathbf{f}_N$ . We show that

$$\mathbb{K}_N f_N|_{I_n} = (\mathbf{K}_N \mathbf{f}_N)_n,$$

where the subscript  $n$  denotes the  $n$ th component of a column. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbb{K}_N f_N)(x) &= \int K_N(x, y) f_N(y) d\mu(y) \\ &= \int \left[ \int_S g_N(x, s) g_N(y, s) ds \right] f_N(y) d\mu(y) \\ &= \int_S g_N(x, s) \left[ \int g_N(y, s) f_N(y) d\mu(y) \right] ds \\ &= \int_S g_N(x, s) \left[ \sum_{m=1}^N g_N(x_m, s) f_m \mu(I_m) \right] ds \\ &= \int_S g_N(x, s) \left[ \sum_{m=1}^N c_m^2 g_m(s) f_m \right] ds \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^N c_m^2 \left[ \int_S g_N(x, s) g_m(s) ds \right] f_m. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that for the restriction to  $I_n$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{K}_N f_N|_{I_n} &= \sum_{m=1}^N c_m^2 \left[ \int_S g_n(s) g_m(s) ds \right] f_m \\ &= (\mathbf{K}_N \mathbf{f}_N)_n\end{aligned}$$

as desired.

Thus we have shown that  $(I + \mathbb{K}_N)^{-1}$  is well-defined on  $L^2(d\mu)$  and the integral equation

$$(I + \mathbb{K}_N) f = g_N$$

has a unique  $L^2(d\mu)$  solution

$$f_N = (I + \mathbb{K}_N)^{-1} g_N,$$

which is due to Proposition 8.1 converges in  $L^2(d\mu)$  to some  $f$ .

## 9. ABSTRACT LOG-DETERMINANT FORMULA

In this section we derive a log-determinant formula needed in the proof of Theorem 4.1. It is convenient to do so in independent terms.

**Proposition 9.1.** *Let  $\mathbb{A}(x)$  be a self-adjoint trace class operator-valued function on a real Hilbert space  $\mathfrak{H}$  such that*

$$\partial_x \mathbb{A}(x) = - \langle \cdot, a(x) \rangle a(x), \quad a \in \mathfrak{H}$$

*is rank-one. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_x^2 \log \det [I + \mathbb{A}(x)] &= - \left\langle a(x), [I + \mathbb{A}(x)]^{-1} a(x) \right\rangle^2 \\ &\quad - 2 \left\langle \partial_x a(x), [I + \mathbb{A}(x)]^{-1} a(x) \right\rangle.\end{aligned}\tag{9.1}$$

*Proof.* We suppress dependence on  $x$ . We base our proof on the followings well-known formulas (see e.g. [32])

$$\log \det (I + \mathbb{A}) = \text{tr} \log (I + \mathbb{A}),\tag{9.2}$$

$$\partial \text{tr} \log (I + \mathbb{A}) = \text{tr} (I + \mathbb{A})^{-1} \partial \mathbb{A},\tag{9.3}$$

$$\text{tr} \langle \cdot, f \rangle g = \langle g, f \rangle.\tag{9.4}$$

$$\partial (I + \mathbb{A})^{-1} = - (I + \mathbb{A})^{-1} \partial \mathbb{A} (I + \mathbb{A})^{-1}.\tag{9.5}$$

By (9.2) and (9.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\partial^2 \log \det (I + \mathbb{A}) &= \partial \text{tr} (I + \mathbb{A})^{-1} \partial \mathbb{A} \\ &= \text{tr} (I + \mathbb{A})^{-1} \partial \mathbb{A}.\end{aligned}$$

But  $(I + \mathbb{A})^{-1} \partial \mathbb{A}$  is a rank one operator and hence by (9.4)

$$\begin{aligned}\text{tr} (I + \mathbb{A})^{-1} \partial \mathbb{A} &= - \text{tr} \langle \cdot, a \rangle (I + \mathbb{A})^{-1} a \\ &= - \left\langle (I + \mathbb{A})^{-1} a, a \right\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Differentiating this equation one more time, by (9.5) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial \operatorname{tr} (I + \mathbb{A})^{-1} \partial \mathbb{A} &= -\partial \left\langle (I + \mathbb{A})^{-1} a, a \right\rangle = -\left\langle \partial (I + \mathbb{A})^{-1} a, a \right\rangle \\
&\quad - \left\langle (I + \mathbb{A})^{-1} \partial a, a \right\rangle - \left\langle (I + \mathbb{A})^{-1} a, \partial a \right\rangle \\
&= \left\langle (I + \mathbb{A})^{-1} \partial \mathbb{A} (I + \mathbb{A})^{-1}, a \right\rangle - 2 \left\langle (I + \mathbb{A})^{-1} a, \partial a \right\rangle \\
&= \left\langle \partial \mathbb{A} (I + \mathbb{A})^{-1}, (I + \mathbb{A})^{-1} a \right\rangle - 2 \left\langle (I + \mathbb{A})^{-1} a, \partial a \right\rangle.
\end{aligned} \tag{9.6}$$

But  $\partial \mathbb{A} (I + \mathbb{A})^{-1}$  is a rank one operator and therefore

$$\partial \mathbb{A} (I + \mathbb{A})^{-1} \cdot = - \left\langle \cdot, (I + \mathbb{A})^{-1} a \right\rangle a.$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\langle \partial \mathbb{A} (I + \mathbb{A})^{-1} a, (I + \mathbb{A})^{-1} a \right\rangle &= - \left\langle a, (I + \mathbb{A})^{-1} a \right\rangle \left\langle a, (I + \mathbb{A})^{-1} a \right\rangle \\
&= - \left\langle a, (I + \mathbb{A})^{-1} a \right\rangle^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting this into (9.6) finally yields (9.1).  $\square$

## 10. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

The proof of Theorem 4.1 amounts to combining the ingredients prepared above and is based in part on limiting arguments. We start out from the following statement.

**Proposition 10.1** (Adding/removing bound states). *Let  $q(x, t)$  be a step-type KdV solution with the scattering data  $S_q = \{R, d\rho\}$  and  $\psi(x, t, k)$  the right Jost solution. Fix the discrete measure*

$$d\sigma(k) = \sum_{n=1}^N c_n^2 \delta_{\kappa_n}(k) dk, \quad N < \infty,$$

with some positive  $c_n^2, \kappa_n$ , and introduce the  $N \times N$  matrix function  $\mathbf{K}(x, t)$  with entries

$$K_{mn}(x, t) = c_n^2 e^{8\kappa_n^3 t} \int_x^\infty \psi(s, t, i\kappa_m) \psi(s, t, i\kappa_n) ds.$$

Suppose that  $d\rho$  is discrete with a finitely many pure points then

- (1) the matrix  $I + \mathbf{K}(x, t)$  is nonsingular,

$$q_\sigma(x, t) = q(x, t) - 2\partial_x^2 \log \det \{I + \mathbf{K}(x, t)\} \tag{10.1}$$

is also a step-type KdV solution with the scattering data  $\{R, d\rho + d\sigma\}$ , and

$$\psi_\sigma(x, t, k) = \psi(x, t, k) \tag{10.2}$$

$$- \sum_{n=1}^N c_n^2 e^{8\kappa_n^3 t} y_n(x, t) \int_x^\infty \psi(s, t, k) \psi(s, t, i\kappa_n) ds$$

is the associated right Jost solution, where the column  $\mathbf{y} = (y_n)$  is given by

$$\mathbf{y}(x, t) = \{I + \mathbf{K}(x, t)\}^{-1} \psi(x, t), \quad \psi(x, t) := (\psi(x, t, i\kappa_n));$$

(2) If  $d\sigma \leq d\rho$ , then  $I - \mathbf{K}(x, t)$  is nonsingular,

$$q_{-\sigma}(x, t) = q(x, t) - 2\partial_x^2 \log \det \{I - \mathbf{K}(x, t)\} \quad (10.3)$$

is also a step-type KdV solution with the scattering data  $\{R, d\rho - d\sigma\}$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{-\sigma}(x, t, k) &= \psi(x, t, k) \\ &+ \sum_{n=1}^N c_n^2 e^{8\kappa_n^3 t} y_n(x, t) \int_x^\infty \psi(s, t, k) \psi(s, t, i\kappa_n) ds \end{aligned} \quad (10.4)$$

is the associated right Jost solution, where the column  $\mathbf{y} = (y_n)$  is given by

$$\mathbf{y}(x, t) = \{I - \mathbf{K}(x, t)\}^{-1} \psi(x, t), \quad \psi(x, t) := (\psi(x, t, i\kappa_n));$$

(3) The binary Darboux transform is invertible in the following sense

$$(q_\sigma)_{-\sigma} = q, \quad (\psi_\sigma)_{-\sigma} = \psi.$$

This statement is not new. Part 1 follows from [12, Theorem 4.1] where it is proven in the most general spectral situation and for arbitrary Sturm-Liouville operators but not in the IST context. Part 2 (removing eigenvalues) and 3 are not explicitly addressed therein but it can of course be done along the same lines readily suggested in [12]. For short-range  $q$ 's both parts are proven in our [29] by completely different methods and in the IST context. The main difference in the approaches is that in [12] is obtained by adding one eigenvalue at a time while in [29] all eigenvalues are added simultaneously. The formulation of Proposition 10.1 is, however, new and follows from Theorem 7.2.

Note that Proposition 10.1 can actually be independently derived from [29, Theorem 3.1] by the following limiting arguments. Consider the sequence  $q_n = q\chi_{(-n, \infty)}$ . As is proven in [15],  $S_{q_n} \rightarrow S_q$  weakly and the corresponding sequence  $q_n(x, t)$  converges pointwise to some  $q(x, t)$  for every  $t > 0$ . An explicit formula for  $q(x, t)$  via  $S_q$  is given in [15] in terms of Hankel operators. The corresponding sequence  $\psi_n(k; x, t)$  converges uniformly to  $\psi(x, t; k)$  on compact in  $\text{Im } k > 0$  (this is a general classical fact that holds even for Weyl solutions). Part 3 for  $q_n$  follows directly from Marchenko's characterization of the inverse scattering problem for short-range potentials [26]. Indeed, his characterization of the scattering data  $S_q = \{R, d\rho\}$  imposes no other condition on  $d\rho$  but  $N < \infty$ . The passage to the limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  should then be in order.

Observe that Proposition 10.1 is a particular case of Theorem 4.1. However an independent proof of Theorem 4.1 involves cumbersome technicalities which we were unable to detour but which can be avoided by taking limits in already known results.

We now proceed to the proof of Theorem 4.1. As before, since  $(x, t)$  appear as parameters, we may drop them from the list of variable whenever it is convenient and leads to no confusion. We recall that prime stands for the derivative in  $x$  (no derivatives in other variable appear). It is sufficient to show that Theorem 4.1 holds for Case 1:  $S_q = \{R, 0\}$ ,  $d\sigma \geq 0$  and Case 2:  $S_q = \{R, d\rho\}$ ,  $-d\sigma \leq 0$  where  $d\sigma$  is a restriction of the (non-negative) measure  $d\rho$ , as the general case is a combination of the two. We concentrate on the proof for Case 1 since Case 2 relies on the very same arguments.

Case 1 (adding negative spectrum). Discretize the measure  $d\rho$  in (4.1) as is done in Section 8. More specifically, take

$$d\rho_N(k) = \sum_{n=1}^N \rho(I_n) \delta_{\kappa_n}(k) dk, \quad N < \infty,$$

where the partition  $(I_n)$  is chosen the same way as in Section 8. Proposition 10.1, part 1, then applies with  $S_q = \{R, 0\}$ ,  $d\sigma = d\rho_N \geq 0$ , and  $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{K}_N$ , where the entries are given by

$$(\mathbf{K}_N)_{mn} = \rho(I_n) e^{8\kappa_n^3 t} \int_x^\infty \psi(s, t, i\kappa_m) \psi(s, t, i\kappa_n) ds.$$

Then

$$q_{\rho_N}(x, t) = q(x, t) - 2\partial_x^2 \log \det \{I + \mathbf{K}_N(x, t)\}$$

is a step-type KdV solution. By Proposition 9.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} q_{\rho_N}(x, t) &= q(x, t) \\ &+ 2 \left[ \int \psi_{\rho_N}(x, t; is) \psi(x, t; is) d\rho_N(s) \right]^2 \\ &+ 4 \int \psi_{\rho_N}(x, t; is) \psi'(x, t; is) d\rho_N(s), \end{aligned} \tag{10.5}$$

where

$$\psi_{\rho_N}(x, t, k) = \psi(x, t, k) - \sum_{n=1}^N \rho(I_n) e^{8\kappa_n^3 t} y_n(x, t) \int_x^\infty \psi(s, t, k) \psi(s, t, i\kappa_n) ds, \tag{10.6}$$

and

$$(y_n) = (I + \mathbf{K}_N)^{-1} \psi, \quad \psi := (\psi(\cdot, i\kappa_n)).$$

Note that the integrals in (10.5) are actually finite sums.

By Proposition 8.1 with  $g = \psi$ ,  $S = (x, \infty)$  we can pass in (10.5) and (10.6) to the limit as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus we have both, the weak convergence of  $\{R, d\rho_N\}$  to  $\{R, d\rho\}$  and pointwise convergence of  $q_{\rho_N}(x, t)$  to

$$\begin{aligned} q_\rho(x, t) &= q(x, t) \\ &+ 2 \left[ \int \psi_\rho(x, t; is) \psi(x, t; is) d\rho(s) \right]^2 + 4 \int \psi_\rho(x, t; is) \psi'(x, t; is) d\rho(s). \end{aligned} \tag{10.7}$$

By Definition 3.3,  $q_\rho(x, t)$  is a step-type KdV solution, which proves (4.5) and (4.6) for  $S_q = \{R, 0\}$  and  $d\sigma = d\rho \geq 0$  if we show that  $q_\rho(x, t)$  is short-range at  $+\infty$  for each  $t \geq 0$ . This will be done later. The solubility of the Fredholm integral equation (4.4), which we rewrite in the form

$$y + \mathbb{K}y = \psi, \tag{10.8}$$

where  $\mathbb{K}$  is the integral operator on  $L^2(d\rho)$  with the kernel

$$K(\alpha, s; x, t) := \int_x^\infty \psi(z, t; i\alpha) \psi(z, t; is) dz,$$

is obvious as  $\mathbb{K}$  is clearly positive (see Section 8) and hence  $I + \mathbb{K}$  is positive definite. Since the Hilbert-Schmidt norm  $\|\mathbb{K}(x, t)\|_2$  and the  $L^2$  norm  $\|\psi(x, t; \cdot)\|$  are small

for large  $x$  and any fixed  $t \geq 0$ , we immediately see from (10.8) that  $\|y(\cdot, x, t)\|$  is also small as  $x \rightarrow \infty$  and (4.5) readily yields

$$\psi_\rho(x, t; k) = \psi(x, t; k) [1 + o(1)] \rightarrow 0, \quad x \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text{Im } k \geq 0. \quad (10.9)$$

If we now show that  $\psi_\rho$  solves the the Schrödinger equation, it will be its right Jost solution. We rely on the following general fact (directly verifiable): if the Wronskian identity (7.9) holds for two functions  $f_\lambda(x)$ ,  $f_\mu(x)$  then  $f_\lambda''(x)/f_\lambda(x) + \lambda^2$  is independent of  $\lambda$  and hence is equal to some  $q(x)$ . Thus,  $f_\lambda$  solves the Schrödinger equation  $-f'' + q(x)f = \lambda^2 f$ .

By Proposition 10.1  $\psi_{\rho_N}$  is a right Jost solution and hence is subject to (7.9). But as we have shown,  $\psi_{\rho_N}$  converges in  $L^2(d\rho)$  to some  $\psi_\rho$  and hence there is a subsequence convergent almost everywhere. It follows that we can pass in (7.9) to the limit and therefore  $\psi_\rho$  is a solution to the Schrodinger equation<sup>4</sup>. By Theorem 7.1, (7.4), we can claim that  $\psi_\rho(x, t; is) = y(s, x, t)$ ,  $s \in \text{Supp } \rho$ , which also proves property (1). We are ready to show now that

$$\begin{aligned} Q(x) &:= q_\rho(x, t) - q(x, t) \\ &= 2 \left[ \int \psi_\rho(x, t; is) \psi(x, t; is) d\rho(s) \right]^2 + 4 \int \psi_\rho(x, t; is) \psi'(x, t; is) d\rho(s) \end{aligned}$$

is in  $L^1_1(+\infty)$ . This will conclude the proof that  $q_\rho$  is indeed a step-type KdV solution. But due to (10.9)  $Q \in L^1_1(+\infty)$  if

$$Q_0(x) := 2 \left[ \int \psi(x, t; is) \psi(x, t; is) d\rho(s) \right]^2 + 4 \int \psi(x, t; is) \psi'(x, t; is) d\rho(s)$$

is in  $L^1_1(+\infty)$ . The latter immediately follows from Lemma 12.2 as each term of  $Q_0$  is subject to its conditions.

It remains to prove the properties. (1) has already been proven. (2) clearly holds as each term in  $Q(x)$  is at least absolutely continuous. (3) is also obvious since both  $\psi_\rho(x, t; is)$ ,  $\psi(x, t; is)$  decay exponentially as  $x \rightarrow \infty$  for every  $s > 0$ . Thus if the sets  $\text{Supp } \rho$  and  $\{0\}$  are separated then each term in  $Q(x)$  decay exponentially. To show (5) we recall the following general representation of the diagonal Green's function

$$G(k^2, x) = \frac{f_+(x, k) f_-(x, k)}{W(f_+(x, k), f_-(x, k))}, \quad (10.10)$$

where  $f_\pm$  are Weyl solutions at  $\pm\infty$  respectively. Take  $f_\pm$  as in Theorem 7.1:  $f_+ = \psi$ ,  $f_- = \varphi$ . Then (10.10) reads

$$G(k^2, x) = -\frac{\varphi(x, k) \psi(x, k)}{2ik}. \quad (10.11)$$

As is well-known,  $G(\lambda, x)$  is a Herglotz function for each  $x$ , that is an analytic function from  $\text{Im } \lambda > 0$  into itself. Such functions may have singularities only on  $\text{Im } \lambda = 0$  of at most simple pole type. In the context of Schrodinger operators, a pole type singularity may only occur at a bound state. By Theorem 7.1 all pole type singularities on the right hand side of (10.11) come from singularities of  $\varphi$ , which, in turn, coincide with pure points of  $\rho$ . This proves (4) and Case 1 is proven now.

Case 2 (removing negative spectrum). Suppose that  $\{R, d\rho\}$  are scattering data for a step-type KdV solution and  $d\sigma = d\rho|_\Delta$ , where  $\Delta \subseteq \text{Supp } \rho$ . Let  $d\sigma_N$  be a

<sup>4</sup>Note it can also be shown by a direct but rather involved inspection.

discretization of  $d\sigma$  in Case 1. By Proposition 10.1 we construct a step-type KdV solution  $q_{-\sigma_N}$  by (10.3) and the associated Jost solution  $\psi_{-\sigma_N}$  by (10.4). As in Case 1, we can pass to the limit in Proposition 10.1 as  $d\sigma_N \rightarrow d\sigma$ , the equations

$$(\psi_\sigma)_{-\sigma} = \psi, \quad (q_\sigma)_{-\sigma} = q$$

being preserved in the limit. This completes our proof of Theorem 4.1.

We are now in the position to complete the proof of Theorem 7.1. It is just enough to notice that  $\tilde{\psi}$  and  $\tilde{q}$  in Theorem 7.1 appear as  $\psi_\sigma$  and  $q_\sigma$  in Theorem 4.1.

## 11. EXAMPLES

In this section we offer two examples. The first one is a new derivation of the classical pure soliton KdV solution and the other one is an explicit construction of a step-type potential (KdV solution) which has the same norming measure  $\rho$  as the pure step potential (3.11) but zero reflection coefficient.

**11.1. Pure soliton solution.** Take in Theorem 4.1  $S_q = 0$  (the zero background) and a discrete measure  $\rho$  given by

$$d\rho(k, t) = \sum_{n=1}^N c_n(t)^2 \delta_{\kappa_n}(k) dk, \quad c_n(t) = c_n e^{4\kappa_n^3 t}$$

Apparently, Theorem 4.1 applies. In this case  $\psi(x, k) = \exp(ikx)$  and the Fredholm integral equation (4.4) becomes a linear system,

$$y_m + \sum_{n=1}^N K_{mn}(x, t) y_n = e^{-\kappa_m x},$$

where

$$K_{mn}(x, t) = c_n^2 e^{8\kappa_n^3 t} \frac{e^{-(\kappa_m + \kappa_n)x}}{\kappa_m + \kappa_n}.$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_\rho(x, t; \kappa_m) &= e^{-\kappa_m x} - \sum_{n=1}^N c_n^2 e^{8\kappa_n^3 t} \frac{e^{-(\kappa_m + \kappa_n)x}}{\kappa_m + \kappa_n} y_n(x, t) \\ &= e^{-\kappa_m x} \left[ 1 - \sum_{n=1}^N c_n^2 e^{8\kappa_n^3 t - \kappa_n x} \frac{y_n(x, t)}{\kappa_m + \kappa_n} \right] \end{aligned}$$

and thus for the KdV solution we have

$$\begin{aligned} q_\rho(x, t) &= 2 \left[ \sum_{n=1}^N c_n^2 e^{8\kappa_n^3 t - \kappa_n x} \psi_\rho(x, t; \kappa_n) \right]^2 \\ &\quad - 4 \sum_{n=1}^N \kappa_n c_n^2 e^{8\kappa_n^3 t - \kappa_n x} \psi_\rho(x, t; \kappa_n). \end{aligned} \tag{11.1}$$

The formula (11.1) is a derivation of the well-known classical formula for pure  $N$  soliton solution [26]

$$q(x, t) = -2\partial_x^2 \log \det \left( \delta_{mn} + c_n^2 \frac{e^{-(\kappa_m + \kappa_n)x + 8(\kappa_m^3 + \kappa_n^3)t}}{\kappa_m + \kappa_n} \right).$$

In particular, if  $N = 1$  then  $\rho(k) = c^2 \delta(k - i\kappa)$  and

$$\psi_\rho(x, t; i\kappa) = e^{-\kappa x} \frac{2\kappa}{2\kappa + c^2 \exp(8\kappa^3 t - 2\kappa x)}.$$

Eq. (11.1) simplifies to read

$$\begin{aligned} q_\rho(x, t) &= 2 \left[ \frac{2c^2 \exp(8\kappa^3 t - 2\kappa x)}{2\kappa + 2c^2 \exp(8\kappa^3 t - 2\kappa x)} \right]^2 - 4\kappa \frac{2c^2 \exp(8\kappa^3 t - 2\kappa x)}{2\kappa + 2c^2 \exp(8\kappa^3 t - 2\kappa x)} \\ &= -2\kappa^2 \operatorname{sech}^2 \left( 4\kappa^3 t - \kappa x + \log \frac{c}{\sqrt{2\kappa}} \right), \end{aligned}$$

which is the well-known one soliton solution, as expected.

**11.2. Reflectionless step-type potential.** Consider now a different perturbation of the zero background. Take in Theorem 4.1  $S_q = 0$  (the zero background) and the measure  $\rho$  with density  $d\rho(k)/dk = 2k\sqrt{1-k^2}$  supported on  $[0, 1]$ . Apparently,

$$\int_0^1 d\rho(k)/k < \infty, \quad d\rho \geq 0,$$

and Theorem 4.1 applies. In this case  $\psi(x, k) = \exp(ikx)$  and

$$K(k, is, x) = \frac{e^{(ik-s)x}}{s - ik},$$

are independent of  $t$ . Then the Fredholm integral equation (4.4) turns into

$$Y(\alpha) + \int_0^1 2s\sqrt{1-s^2} \frac{e^{8s^3 t - 2sx}}{s + \alpha} Y(s) ds = 1, \quad \alpha \in [0, 1], \quad (11.2)$$

where  $Y(s) = y(s)e^{sx}$ . Eq (11.2) has a unique solution  $Y(\alpha; x, t)$  and for the potential we have

$$q_\rho(x, t) = 8 \left[ \int_0^1 s\sqrt{1-s^2} e^{-2sx} Y(s; x, t) ds \right]^2 - 8 \int_0^1 s^2 \sqrt{1-s^2} e^{-2sx} Y(s; x, t) ds, \quad (11.3)$$

which is a reflectionless step-type KdV solution with the scattering data

$$S_{q_\rho} = \{0, d\rho\}.$$

By Theorem 4.1  $q_\rho(x, t)$  decays at  $+\infty$  sufficiently fast but it cannot be readily seen how it behaves at  $-\infty$ . In fact  $q_\rho \rightarrow -1$  as  $x \rightarrow -\infty$ . To show this one needs to perform a standard transformation of the QARHP in Theorem 7.1 (see e.g. [14]). We will come back to this elsewhere.

## 12. APPENDIX

**12.1. Proof of Proposition 6.2.** Check the jump across condition (6.3) across the real line. Suppressing the variables, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_+ &= \mathbf{v}_+ + \int \frac{W\{\mathbf{v}_+, \psi\}}{k^2 + s^2} d\mu = \mathbf{v}_- \mathbf{J} + \int \frac{W\{\mathbf{v}_- \mathbf{J}, \psi\}}{k^2 + s^2} d\mu \\ &= \left( \mathbf{v}_- + \int \frac{W\{\mathbf{v}_-, \psi\}}{k^2 + s^2} d\mu \right) \mathbf{J} = \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_- \mathbf{J} \end{aligned}$$

and the jump condition follows. Check the symmetry conditions (6.2):

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}(k)} &= \overline{\mathbf{v}(k)} + \int \frac{W\{\overline{\mathbf{v}(k)}, \psi\}}{k^2 + s^2} d\mu \\
&= \mathbf{v}(-k) + \int \frac{W\{\mathbf{v}(-k), \psi\}}{k^2 + s^2} d\mu = \tilde{\mathbf{v}}(-k) \\
&= \mathbf{v}(k) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \int \frac{W\left\{\mathbf{v}(k) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \psi\right\}}{k^2 + s^2} d\mu \\
&= \tilde{\mathbf{v}}(k) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

and both symmetry conditions follow.

### 12.2. Proof of Proposition 8.1.

**Lemma 12.1.** *Let  $a_1(x, t)$  and  $a_2(x, t)$  be real continuous functions and*

$$A(x, y) = \int_S a(x, s) a(y, s) ds, B(x, y) = \int_S b(x, s) b(y, s) ds.$$

*Then for the Hilbert-Schmidt norm of the integral operators*

$$(\mathbb{A}f)(x) = \int A(x, y, s) f(y) d\mu(y)$$

*we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathbb{A} - \mathbb{B}\|_2 &= \|\mathbb{A} - \mathbb{B}\| \\
&\leq (\|a\| + \|b\|) \|a - b\|.
\end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Rewrite

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_S [a(x, s) a(y, s) - b(x, s) b(y, s)] ds \\
&= \int_S [a(x, s) - b(x, s)] a(y, s) ds + \int_S [a(y, s) - b(y, s)] b(x, s) ds \\
&= A_1(x, y) + A_2(x, y).
\end{aligned}$$

Consider the  $L^2(d\mu \times d\mu)$  norm of each  $A_1, A_2$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int A_1(x, y)^2 d\mu(x) d\mu(y) \\
&\leq \int \int \left\{ \int_S |a(x, s) - b(x, s)| a(y, s) ds \right\}^2 d\mu(x) d\mu(y) \\
&\leq \int \int \left[ \int_S |a(x, s) - b(x, s)|^2 ds \right] \left\{ \int_S a(y, s)^2 ds \right\} d\mu(x) d\mu(y) \\
&= \int \left[ \int_S |a(x, s) - b(x, s)|^2 ds \right] d\mu(x) \int \left\{ \int_S a(y, s)^2 ds \right\} d\mu(y) \\
&= \|a - b\| \cdot \|a\|.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\int A_2(x, y)^2 d\mu(x) d\mu(y) \leq \|a - b\| \cdot \|b\|.$$

□

**12.3. Auxiliary estimates for Jost solutions.** Let  $m(x, k) = e^{-ikx} f(x, k)$ , where  $f(x, k)$  is the right Jost solution. Then [5, page 130]  $m(x, k)$  is uniformly bounded in  $\text{Im } k \geq 0$  for every real  $x$  and for  $x \geq 0$

$$|m(x, k) - 1| \leq \text{const} \cdot \frac{\int_x^\infty (1 + |s|) |q(s)| ds}{1 + |k|}, \quad (12.1)$$

$$|m'(x, k)| \leq \text{const} \cdot \frac{\int_x^\infty |q(s)| ds}{1 + |k|}, \quad (12.2)$$

uniformly for  $\text{Im } k \geq 0$ .

**Lemma 12.2.** Let  $q \in L^1_1(+\infty)$  and  $f(x, is) = e^{-sx} m(x, is)$ ,  $s \geq 0$ , where  $m$  is subject to (12.1) and (12.2). Suppose that a finite measure  $\sigma$  supported on a finite subset of  $\mathbb{R}_+$  satisfies

$$\int d\sigma(s)/s < \infty.$$

Then

$$F(x) := \int f(x, is)^2 d\sigma(s) \in L^1(+\infty)$$

and  $F(x)^2$ ,  $F'(x)$  are both in  $L^1_1(+\infty)$ .

*Proof.* Without loss of generality we may assume that  $d\sigma \geq 0$ . Observe that (12.1) and (12.2) imply  $m(x, k) = 1 + o(1)$ ,  $m'(x, k) = o(1/x)$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$  uniformly in  $\text{Im } k \geq 0$ . Therefore

$$F(x) = \int e^{-2sx} m(x, is)^2 d\sigma(s) = F_0(x) \cdot (1 + o(1)), \quad x \rightarrow \infty, \quad (12.3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} F'(x) &= -2 \int e^{-2sx} m(x, is)^2 s d\sigma(s) + 2 \int e^{-2sx} m(x, is) m'(x, is) d\sigma(s) \\ &= F'_0(x) \cdot (1 + o(1)) + F_0(x) \cdot o(1/x), \quad x \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned} \quad (12.4)$$

where

$$F_0(x) := \int e^{-2sx} d\sigma(s).$$

We show first that  $F_0 \in L^1(+\infty)$ . For any finite  $a$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^\infty F_0(x) dx &= \int \left[ \int_a^\infty e^{-2sx} dx \right] d\sigma(s) \\ &= \int e^{-2as} \frac{d\sigma(s)}{2s} \leq \max_{s \in \text{Supp } \sigma} e^{-2as} \cdot \int \frac{d\sigma(s)}{2s} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\text{Supp } \sigma \subset \mathbb{R}_+$  and  $\sigma$  is finite, it immediately follows that (1)  $F_0 \in L^1(+\infty)$  and (2) in the computations below we can set for simplicity  $a = 0$ . Due to (12.3), we conclude that  $F \in L^1(+\infty)$ . Let us show that  $F^2 \in L^1_1(+\infty)$ . Indeed, due to (12.3) again, it is enough to show that  $F_0^2 \in L^1_1(+\infty)$ :

$$\int_0^\infty x F_0^2(x) dx \leq \max_{x \geq 0} [x F_0(x)] \int_0^\infty F_0(x) dx < \infty,$$

as  $F_0$  is monotonic function from  $L^1(+\infty)$ .

We now show that

$$F'_0(x) = -2 \int e^{-2sx} s d\sigma(s) \in L^1_1(+\infty).$$

Indeed, integrating by parts, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty x |F'_0(x)| dx &= 2 \int_0^\infty \left[ \int e^{-2sx} s d\sigma(s) \right] x dx \\ &= 2 \int \left[ \int_0^\infty e^{-2sx} x dx \right] s d\sigma(s) \\ &= 2 \int \left( \frac{1}{2s} \right)^2 s d\sigma(s) = \int \frac{d\sigma(s)}{2s} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, it follows from (12.4) that  $F'_0 \in L^1_1(+\infty)$ .  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Ablowitz, M. J.; Clarkson, P. A. *Solitons, nonlinear evolution equations and inverse scattering*. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 149. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1991. xii+516 pp.
- [2] Babich, M. V.; Matveev, V. B.; Salle, M. A. *The binary Darboux transform for the Toda lattice*. (Russian) Zap. Nauchn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (LOMI) 145 (1985), Voprosy Kvant. Teor. Polya i Statist. Fiz. 5, 34–45, 189, 193.
- [3] Cieslinski, J.L. *Algebraic construction of the Darboux matrix revisited*, J. Phys. A 42 (2009) (404003), 40 p.
- [4] Deift, P. *Applications of a commutation formula*. Duke Math. J. 45 (1978), (2): 267–310.
- [5] Deift, P.; Trubowitz, E. *Inverse scattering on the line*. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 32 (1979), no. 2, 121–251.
- [6] Gardner, C. S.; Greene, J. M.; Kruskal, M. D.; and Miura, R. M. *Method for Solving the Korteweg-de Vries Equation*. Phys. Rev. Lett. 19 (1967), 1095–1097.
- [7] Egorova, Iryna; Michor, Johanna; Teschl, Gerald *Soliton asymptotics for the KdV shock problem via classical inverse scattering*. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 514 (2022), no. 1, Paper No. 126251, 24 pp.
- [8] Gelfand, I. M.; Levitan, B. M. *On the determination of a differential equation from its spectral function*. Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. (2) 1 (1955), 253–304.
- [9] Gesztesy, F.; Schweiger, W.; Simon, B. *Commutation methods applied to the mKdV-equation*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 324 (1991), 465–525.
- [10] Gesztesy, F. *A complete spectral characterization of the double commutation method*, J. Funct. Anal. 117 (1993), 401–446.
- [11] Gesztesy, F.; Svirsky, R. *(m)KdV-solitons on the background of quasi-periodic finite-gap solutions*, Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc. 118 (1995), No. 563.
- [12] Gesztesy, F.; Simon, B.; Teschl, G. *Spectral deformations of one-dimensional Schrödinger operators*, J. Analyse Math. 70 (1996), 267–324.
- [13] Gesztesy, F.; Teschl, G. *On the double commutation method*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 124 (1996), 1831–1840.
- [14] Girotti, M.; Grava, T.; Jenkins, R.; McLaughlin, K. D. T.-R. *Rigorous asymptotics of a KdV soliton gas*. Comm. Math. Phys. 384 (2021), no. 2, 733–784.
- [15] Grudsky, S.; Rybkin, A. *Soliton theory and Hakel operators*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 47 (2015), no. 3, 2283–2323.
- [16] Grudsky, S.; Rybkin, A. *On classical solution to the KdV equation*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 121 (2020), 354–371.
- [17] Grunert, Katrin; Teschl, Gerald *Long-time asymptotics for the Korteweg-de Vries equation via nonlinear steepest descent*. Math. Phys. Anal. Geom. 12 (2009), no. 3, 287–324.
- [18] Gu, Chaohao; Hu, Hesheng; Zhou, Zixiang. *Darboux transformations in integrable systems*. Theory and their applications to geometry. Mathematical Physics Studies, 26. Springer, Dordrecht, 2005. x+308 pp. ISBN: 1-4020-3087-8.

- [19] Hruslov, E. Ja. *Asymptotic behavior of the solution of the Cauchy problem for the Korteweg-de Vries equation with steplike initial data*. Mat. Sb. (N.S.) 99(141) (1976), no. 2, 261–281, 296.
- [20] Khruslov, E. Ya.; Kotlyarov, V. P. *Soliton asymptotics of nondecreasing solutions of nonlinear completely integrable evolution equations*. Spectral operator theory and related topics, 129–180, Adv. Soviet Math., 19, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994.
- [21] Koosis, Paul *Introduction to  $H_p$  spaces*. Second edition. With two appendices by V. P. Havin [Viktor Petrovich Khavin]. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, 115. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998. xiv+289 pp. ISBN: 0-521-45521-9.
- [22] Laurens, Thierry *KdV on an incoming tide*. Nonlinearity 35 (2022), no. 1, 343–387.
- [23] Levitan, B.M. *Inverse Sturm-Liouville Problems*. VNU Science Press, Utrecht, The Netherlands, 1987.
- [24] Li, Ze *Analytic smoothing estimates for the Korteweg–de Vries equation with steplike data*. Nonlinearity 34 (2021), no. 7, 5070–5118.
- [25] Ling, Liming; Zhao, Li-Chen and Guo, Boling. *Darboux transformation and multi-dark soliton for  $N$ -component nonlinear Schrödinger equations*, Nonlinearity 28 (2015), 3243–3261
- [26] Marchenko, Vladimir A. *Sturm-Liouville operators and applications. Revised edition*. AMS Chelsea Publishing, Providence, RI, 2011. xiv+396 pp.
- [27] Matveev, V. B.; Salle, M. A. *Darboux transformations and solitons*. Springer Series in Nonlinear Dynamics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1991. x+120 pp. ISBN: 3-540-50660-8
- [28] Novikov, S.; Manakov, S. V.; Pitaevskii, L. P.; Zakharov, V. E. *Theory of solitons. The inverse scattering method*. Translated from the Russian. Contemporary Soviet Mathematics. Consultants Bureau [Plenum], New York, 1984.
- [29] Rybkin, Alexei; *The binary Darboux transformation revisited and KdV solitons on arbitrary short-range backgrounds*. Stud. Appl. Math. 148 (2022), no. 1, 141–153.
- [30] Rybkin, Alexei *The effect of a positive bound state on the KdV solution: a case study*, Nonlinearity 34 (2021), vol.2, 1238–1261.
- [31] Sakhnovich, Alexander *Hamiltonian systems and Sturm-Liouville equations: Darboux transformation and applications*. Integral Equations Operator Theory 88 (2017), no. 4, 535–557.
- [32] Simon, Barry *Trace ideals and their applications*. Second edition. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 120. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2005. viii+150 pp. ISBN: 0-8218-3581-5.
- [33] Venakides, Stephanos *Long time asymptotics of the Korteweg - de Vries equation*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 293 (1986), no. 1, 411–419.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF ALASKA FAIRBANKS, PO BOX 756660, FAIRBANKS, AK 99775

*Email address:* [arybkin@alaska.edu](mailto:arybkin@alaska.edu)