

Ordered Covering Arrays and Upper Bounds on Covering Codes in NRT spaces

André Guerino Castoldi^{1*}, Emerson L. Monte Carmelo²,
Lucia Moura³, Daniel Panario⁴ and Brett Stevens⁴

^{1*}Departamento Acadêmico de Matemática, Universidade
Tecnológica Federal do Paraná, Via do conhecimento, Km 1,
Pato Branco, 85503-390, Paraná, Brazil.

²Departamento de Matemática, Universidade Estadual de
Maringá, Av. Colombo, 5790, Maringá, 87020-900, Paraná, Brazil.

³School of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science,
University of Ottawa, 800 King Edward St., Ottawa, K1K 6N5,
Ontario, Canada.

⁴School of Mathematics and Statistics, Carleton University,
1125 Colonel By Drive, Ottawa, K1S 5B6, Ontario, Canada.

*Corresponding author(s). E-mail(s): andrecastoldi@utfpr.edu.br;
Contributing authors: elmcarcelo@uem.br; lmoura@uottawa.ca;
daniel@math.carleton.ca; brett@math.carleton.ca;

1 Introduction

Covering codes deal with the following question: given a metric space, what is the minimum number of balls of fixed radius necessary to cover the entire space? Several applications, such as data transmission, cellular telecommunications, decoding of errors, and football pool problem, have motivated the investigation of covering codes in Hamming spaces. Covering codes also have connections with other branches of mathematics and computer science, such as finite fields, linear algebra, graph theory, combinatorial optimization, mathematical programming, and metaheuristic search. We refer the reader to the book by Cohen et al. [9] for an overview of the topic.

Rosenbloom and Tsfasman [24] introduced a metric on linear spaces over finite fields, motivated by possible applications to interference in parallel channels of communication systems. This metric, implicitly posed by Niederreiter [21], is currently known as the Niederreiter-Rosenbloom-Tsfasman (NRT) metric (or RT metric or ρ metric sometimes) and is an example of poset metric [14]. Since the NRT metric generalizes the Hamming metric, central concepts on codes in Hamming spaces have been investigated in NRT spaces, such as perfect codes, maximum distance separable (MDS) codes, linear codes, weight distribution, packing and covering problems [2, 4, 5, 21, 24, 25]. We recommend the book by Firer et al. [14] for further concepts and applications of the NRT metric to coding theory.

Covering codes in the NRT metric have been less studied than their packing code counterparts [14]. Brualdi et al. [2, Theorem 2.1] implicitly investigates covering codes in the metric space endowed with a poset metric, more specifically, when the poset is a chain. The same result is explicitly given by Yildiz et al. [25, Theorem 2.3]. The general problem of covering codes in the NRT space is proposed by Castoldi and Monte Carmelo in [4], which deals mainly with upper bounds, recursive relations and some sharp bounds as well as relations with MDS codes. More recently, the sphere covering bound in NRT spaces is improved in [5] under some conditions by generalizing the excess counting method. In the present work, we explore upper bounds and recursive relations for covering codes in NRT spaces using ordered covering arrays (OCAs).

Orthogonal arrays (OAs) play a central role in combinatorial designs with close connections to coding theory; see the book on orthogonal arrays by Hedayat et al. [15]. Covering arrays (CAs), also called t -surjective arrays, generalize orthogonal arrays and have been received a lot of attention due to their applications to software testing and interesting connections with other combinatorial designs; see the survey paper by Colbourn [10].

Ordered orthogonal arrays (OOAs) are a generalization of orthogonal arrays introduced in 1996 independently by Lawrence [18] and Mullen and Schmid [20], motivated by their applications to numerical integration. OOAs are also related to the NRT metric, see [7]. A survey of constructions of ordered orthogonal arrays is in [17, Chapter 3]; see also [13, Section VI.59.3]. For a survey of finite field constructions of OAs, CAs and OOAs, see Moura et al. [19, Section 3].

OCAs have been introduced by Krikorian in her master's thesis [17], generalizing several of the mentioned designs (OAs, CAs and OOAs). Krikorian [17] investigates recursive and Roux-type constructions of OCAs as well as other constructions using the columns of a covering array and discusses an application of OCAs to numerical integration (evaluating multi-dimensional integrals). In the present paper, we also give further results for OCAs.

In this work, we extend and build upon our results from the conference paper [6] in the following directions. We give several recursive constructions of OCAs based on projection, fusion, column augmentation, derivation, and concatenation. A new approach for recursive construction of OCAs is presented

based on column augmentation by adding a new chain to the NRT poset and adding new rows using a cartesian product of suitable arrays (Theorem 8). As a consequence, we obtain a bound for the size of OCAs with strength t , alphabet v a prime power and NRT posets with $v + 2$ chains of length t (Corollary 9). New upper bounds on covering codes in NRT spaces are obtained by modifying some of the code's codewords that give the general upper bound (Proposition 1) to reduce the size of the covering code. We apply the newly found OCA bounds and covering code bounds to obtain new upper bounds on covering codes in NRT spaces for larger alphabets.

This work is organized as follows. We review the basics of the NRT poset, the NRT metric and covering codes in NRT spaces in Section 2.1, and define OAs, CAs, OOs and OCAs in Section 2.2. Section 3 is devoted to new recursive constructions of OCAs yielding recursive relations for OCA numbers. We generalize and improve upper bounds on covering codes in Section 4. Finally, in Section 5, constructions of covering codes in NRT spaces are derived from OCAs. Tables 2 and 3 contrast some upper bounds obtained in this paper.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 The Niederreiter-Rosenbloom-Tsfasman metric and covering codes

Any poset induces a metric, according to the seminal paper by Brualdi [2]. Codes based on various poset metrics are presented in a systematic way in the book by Firer et al. [14], and we follow their notation. Here we include some basic definitions for the NRT poset and metric; we also introduce covering codes under the NRT metric, first studied in [4], which is one of the focal points of this paper.

Let P be a finite partial ordered set (poset) and denote its partial order relation by \preceq . A poset is a *chain* when any two elements are comparable; a poset is an *anti-chain* when no two distinct elements are comparable. A subset I of P is an *ideal* of P if $b \in I$ and $a \preceq b$, implies $a \in I$. The *ideal generated* by a subset A of P is the ideal of smallest cardinality that contains A , denoted by $\langle A \rangle$.

An element $a \in I$ is *maximal in I* if $a \preceq b$ implies that $b = a$. Analogously, an element $a \in I$ is *minimal in I* if $b \preceq a$ implies that $b = a$. A subset J of P is an *anti-ideal* of P when it is the complement of an ideal of P . If an ideal I has t elements, then its corresponding anti-ideal has $n - t$ elements, where n is the number of elements in P .

Given positive integers m and s , let $\mathcal{R}[m \cdot s]$ be a set of ms elements partitioned into m blocks B_i having s elements each, where $B_i = \{b_{is+1}, \dots, b_{(i+1)s}\}$ for $i = 0, \dots, m - 1$ and the elements of each block are ordered as $b_{is+1} \preceq b_{is+2} \preceq \dots \preceq b_{(i+1)s}$. The set $\mathcal{R}[m \cdot s]$ is a poset consisting of the union of m disjoint chains, each one having s elements. This poset is known as the *Niederreiter-Rosenbloom-Tsfasman poset* $\mathcal{R}[m \cdot s]$, or briefly the NRT poset $\mathcal{R}[m \cdot s]$. When $\mathcal{R}[m \cdot s] = [m \cdot s] := \{1, \dots, ms\}$, the NRT poset $\mathcal{R}[m \cdot s]$ is

denoted by NRT poset $[m \cdot s]$ and its blocks are $B_i = \{is + 1, \dots, (i + 1)s\}$, for $i = 0, \dots, m - 1$.

Given the NRT poset $[m \cdot s]$, the *NRT distance* between $x = (x_1, \dots, x_{ms})$ and $y = (y_1, \dots, y_{ms})$ in \mathbb{Z}_q^{ms} is defined in [2] as

$$d_{\mathcal{R}}(x, y) = |\langle \text{supp}(x - y) \rangle| = |\langle \{i : x_i \neq y_i\} \rangle|.$$

A set \mathbb{Z}_q^{ms} endowed with the distance $d_{\mathcal{R}}$ is a *Niederreiter-Rosenbloom-Tsfasman space*, or simply, an *NRT space*. The notation $d_{\mathcal{R}[m \cdot s]}$ can be used to emphasize the structure of the NRT poset $[m \cdot s]$.

The NRT sphere centered at x of radius R , denoted by $B_{d_{\mathcal{R}}}(x, R) = \{y \in \mathbb{Z}_q^{ms} : d_{\mathcal{R}}(x, y) \leq R\}$, has cardinality given by the formula

$$V_q^{\mathcal{R}}(m, s, R) = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^R \sum_{j=1}^{\min\{m, i\}} q^{i-j} (q-1)^j \Omega_j(i),$$

where, for $1 \leq i \leq ms$ and $1 \leq j \leq \min\{m, i\}$, the parameter $\Omega_j(i)$ denotes the number of ideals of the NRT poset $[m \cdot s]$ whose cardinality is i with exactly j maximal elements. The case $s = 1$ corresponds to the Hamming sphere $V_q(m, R) = V_q^{\mathcal{R}}(m, 1, R)$.

Definition 1 Given an NRT poset $[m \cdot s]$, a subset C of \mathbb{Z}_q^{ms} is an *R-covering* of the NRT space \mathbb{Z}_q^{ms} if for every $x \in \mathbb{Z}_q^{ms}$ there is a codeword $c \in C$ such that $d_{\mathcal{R}}(x, c) \leq R$, or equivalently,

$$\bigcup_{c \in C} B_{d_{\mathcal{R}}}(c, R) = \mathbb{Z}_q^{ms}.$$

The number $K_q^{\mathcal{R}}(m, s, R)$ is the smallest cardinality of an *R-covering* of the NRT space \mathbb{Z}_q^{ms} .

We refer the reader to [4] for more details on covering codes in NRT spaces. In [4–6] the notation $K_q^{RT}(m, s, R)$ is used instead of $K_q^{\mathcal{R}}(m, s, R)$. In this work, we choose the latter from [14] to use a more current and simplified notation.

In the particular case of $s = 1$, an anti-chain $[m \cdot 1]$ induces covering codes in Hamming spaces and the numbers $K_q^{\mathcal{R}}(m, 1, R) = K_q(m, R)$. Determining these numbers is a challenging problem in combinatorial coding theory [9]. On the other hand, the numbers $K_q^{\mathcal{R}}(1, s, R)$ are induced by a chain $[1 \cdot s]$, and were completely evaluated in [2, 25]. The literature on $K_q^{\mathcal{R}}(m, s, R)$ for a general NRT poset remains short [4–6].

One upper bound which we will improve is the general upper bound given below.

Proposition 1 ([4, Proposition 6]) *Let m and s be positive integers. For every $q \geq 2$ and R such that $0 < R < ms$,*

$$K_q^{\mathcal{R}}(m, s, R) \leq q^{ms-R}.$$

2.2 Ordered covering arrays

Ordered orthogonal arrays are related to classical combinatorial designs, namely covering arrays (CAs) and orthogonal arrays (OAs). We refer the reader to a survey paper by Colbourn [10] on CAs, a book by Hedayat et al. [15] on OAs, and a survey paper by Moura et al. [19, Section 3] for their relations to ordered orthogonal arrays.

Let t, v, λ, n, N be positive integers and $N \geq \lambda v^t$. Let A be an $N \times n$ array over an alphabet V of size v . An $N \times t$ subarray of A is λ -covered if it has each t -tuple over V as a row at least λ times. A set of t columns of A is λ -covered if the $N \times t$ subarray of A formed by them is λ -covered; when $\lambda = 1$, we say the set of columns is covered and often omit λ from the notation.

Definition 2 (CA and OA) Let N, n, v and λ be positive integers such that $2 \leq t \leq n$. A covering array $CA_\lambda(N; t, n, v)$ is an $N \times n$ array A with entries from a set V of size v such that any t -set of columns of A is λ -covered. The parameter t is the strength of the covering array. The covering array number $CAN_\lambda(t, n, v)$ is the smallest positive integer N such that a $CA_\lambda(N; t, n, v)$ exists. An orthogonal array, denoted by $OA_\lambda(\lambda v^t; t, n, v)$ or simply by $OA_\lambda(t, n, v)$, has a similar definition with the additional requirement that the λ -coverage must be “exact”, and as a consequence it is the same as a covering array with $N = \lambda v^t$.

Roughly speaking, each column in a CA or OA has the same “importance” towards coverage requirements. This happens because the set of columns is implicitly labeled by the anti-chain $\mathcal{R}[n \cdot 1]$. In contrast, the importance of each column in an OCA and OOA depends on the anti-ideals of the NRT poset $\mathcal{R}[m \cdot s]$. Ordered covering arrays are precisely defined as follows.

Definition 3 (OCA and OOA) Let t, m, s, v and λ be positive integers such that $2 \leq t \leq ms$. An ordered covering array $OCA_\lambda(N; t, m, s, v)$ is an $N \times ms$ array A with entries from an alphabet V of size v , whose columns are labeled by an NRT poset $\mathcal{R}[m \cdot s]$. For each anti-ideal J of the NRT poset $\mathcal{R}[m \cdot s]$ with $|J| = t$, the set of columns of A labeled by J is λ -covered. The parameter t is the strength of the ordered covering array. The ordered covering array number $OCAN_\lambda(t, m, s, v)$ is the smallest positive integer N such that there exists an $OCA_\lambda(N; t, m, s, v)$. An ordered orthogonal array has the extra requirement of “exact” λ -coverage and thus it is the same as an ordered covering array with $N = \lambda v^t$, denoted by $OOA_\lambda(\lambda v^t; t, m, s, v)$ or simply by $OOA_\lambda(t, m, s, v)$. When $\lambda = 1$ we omit λ from the notation.

Ordered covering arrays were first studied by Krikorian [17]. Ordered covering arrays are special cases of variable strength covering arrays [22, 23], where the sets of columns covered are specified by a general hypergraph.

Example 1 An OCA of strength 2 with 5 rows:

$$OCA(5; 2, 4, 2, 2) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The columns of this array are labeled by $[4 \cdot 2] = \{1, \dots, 8\}$ and the blocks of the NRT poset $[4 \cdot 2]$ are $B_0 = \{1, 2\}$, $B_1 = \{3, 4\}$, $B_2 = \{5, 6\}$ and $B_3 = \{7, 8\}$. There are ten anti-ideals of size 2, namely,

$$\{1, 2\}, \{3, 4\}, \{5, 6\}, \{7, 8\}, \{2, 4\}, \{2, 6\}, \{2, 8\}, \{4, 6\}, \{4, 8\}, \{6, 8\}.$$

The 5×2 subarray constructed from each one of these anti-ideals covers all the pairs $(0, 0)$, $(0, 1)$, $(1, 0)$ and $(1, 1)$ at least once. The array above is not a $CA(5; 2, 8, 2)$ because many pairs of columns are not covered, $\{1, 4\}$ for example.

In an $OCA_\lambda(N; t, m, s, v)$ such that $s > t$, each one of the first $s - t$ elements of a block in the NRT poset $\mathcal{R}[m \cdot s]$ is not an element of any anti-ideal of size t . Therefore, a column labeled by one of these elements is not part of any $N \times t$ subarray that must be λ -covered in an OCA. So we assume $s \leq t$ from now on.

Two trivial relationships between the ordered covering array number and the covering array number $CAN_\lambda(t, n, v)$ are:

- (1) $\lambda v^t \leq OCAN_\lambda(t, m, s, v) \leq CAN_\lambda(t, ms, v)$;
- (2) For $1 \leq s \leq t \leq m$, $CAN_\lambda(t, m, v) \leq OCAN_\lambda(t, m, s, v)$.

We observe that if $N = \lambda v^t$, an $OCA_\lambda(\lambda v^t; t, m, s, v)$ is an ordered orthogonal array $OOA_\lambda(\lambda v^t; t, m, s, v)$. When $s = 1$, an $OCA_\lambda(N; t, m, 1, v)$ is a covering array $CA_\lambda(N; t, m, v)$.

The following OCA number is used throughout the paper. Let $s \geq 3$ and v be a prime power; then according to [7, Theorem 3], there exists an $OOA(v^s; s, v + 1, s, v)$. Therefore, for $2 \leq m \leq v + 1$,

$$OCAN(s, m, s, v) = v^s. \tag{1}$$

3 New recursive constructions for ordered covering arrays

In this section, we show new recursive relations for ordered coverings arrays. Since the proofs of Propositions 2 and 3 and their consequences have already appeared in the extended abstract [6] we only state these results. The others results in this section are new recursive constructions for OCAs.

Proposition 2 gives constructions that show the size of a chain can be extended for $s = t$ and OCA monotonicity for parameters s and m .

Proposition 2 [6, Proposition 2] *Let N, t, m, s, v be positive integers.*

- (1) The existence of an $OCA_\lambda(N; t, m, t - 1, v)$ implies the existence of an $OCA_\lambda(N; t, m, t, v)$.
- (2) The existence of an $OCA_\lambda(N; t, m, s + 1, v)$ implies the existence of an $OCA_\lambda(N; t, m, s, v)$.
- (3) The existence of an $OCA_\lambda(N; t, m + 1, s, v)$ implies the existence of an $OCA_\lambda(N; t, m, s, v)$.

By Proposition 2 items (1) and (2), there exists an $OCA_\lambda(N; t, m, t, v)$ if and only if there exists an $OCA_\lambda(N; t, m, t - 1, v)$, for $t \geq 2$. By this equivalence when $t = 2$, the right hand side OCA has $s = t - 1 = 1$ which corresponds to a covering array. This also shows us that the constraint $t > 2$ must hold in order to have ordered covering arrays essentially different from covering arrays.

To illustrate how $s = t = 2$ reduces to covering arrays, let us label the columns of a $CA_\lambda(N; 2, m, v)$ by the elements of $[m] = \{1, \dots, m\}$. Make these columns of the $CA_\lambda(N; 2, m, v)$ to correspond to columns of an $OCA_\lambda(2, m, 2, v)$ for NRT poset given in Fig. 1. We use the notation \bar{a} to duplicate $a \in [m]$ in the NRT poset $\mathcal{R}[m \cdot 2]$ in such a way that a and \bar{a} are not comparable, but the columns of $OCA_\lambda(N; 2, m, 2, v)$ labeled by a and \bar{a} are equal.




Fig. 1 Blocks of the NRT poset $\mathcal{R}[m \cdot 2]$.

This construction implies

$$OCAN_\lambda(2, m, 2, v) = CAN_\lambda(2, m, v). \tag{2}$$

In particular, since $CAN(2, m, 2)$ is determined [16], we get

$$OCAN(2, m, 2, 2) = \min \left\{ N : m \leq \binom{N-1}{\lfloor \frac{N}{2} \rfloor - 1} \right\}. \tag{3}$$

The next result shows a relationship for ordered covering arrays numbers over alphabets with different sizes. It is a generalization of [11, Lemma 3.1] and part of [12, Lemma 3.1], and indeed this is a fact that holds for the more general case of variable strength covering arrays [23].

Proposition 3 [6, Theorem 1] (Fusion) *Let t, m, s, v be positive integers. Then*

$$OCAN_\lambda(t, m, s, v) \leq OCAN_\lambda(t, m, s, v + 1) - 2.$$

Thus for $s \geq 3$, v a prime power, and $2 \leq m \leq v + 1$, Proposition 3 and Eq. (1) give the following upper bound

$$OCAN(t, v + 1, t, v - 1) \leq v^t - 2. \quad (4)$$

We now show new recursive constructions for OCAs. Chateaufeuf and Kreher [8, Construction D] develop a form of alphabet augmentation for $s = 1$ and strength 3, which yields

$$CAN(3, n, v) \leq CAN(3, n, v - 1) + n \cdot CAN(2, n - 1, v - 1) + n \cdot (v - 1).$$

Inspired by their construction, we show a form of *alphabet augmentation* for ordered covering arrays for $s = 3$ and strength 3.

Theorem 4 *For $m \geq 3$ and $v \geq 3$, $OCAN(3, m, 3, v)$ is less than or equal to $OCAN(3, m, 2, v - 1) + mCAN(2, m - 1, v - 1) + CAN(2, m, v - 1) + m(v - 1)^2 + 1$.*

Proof Let A be an $OCA(M; 3, m, 2, v - 1)$, B a $CA(M'; 2, m - 1, v - 1)$ and C a $CA(M''; 2, m, v - 1)$ over the alphabet $\{1, \dots, v - 1\}$. Let $N = M + mM' + M'' + m(v - 1)^2 + 1$. It is sufficient to construct an $OCA(N; 3, m, 2, v)$ according to Proposition 2 item (1). For this purpose, the proof is divided into two steps. We first construct an array \mathcal{B} from B , an array \mathcal{C} from C , and an new array \mathcal{D} . Second, we prove that an array \mathcal{A} constructed by vertically juxtaposing the arrays A , \mathcal{B} , \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} is an $OCA(N; 3, m, 2, v)$.

Step 1: We can construct an $OCA(M'; 2, m - 1, 2, v - 1)$ from the covering array B as shown in Eq. (2). Let B^1, \dots, B^{m-1} be the subarrays of two consecutive columns of $OCA(M'; 2, m - 1, 2, v - 1)$ such that $OCA(M'; 2, m - 1, 2, v - 1) = [B^1 \ B^2 \ \dots \ B^{m-1}]$. We consider the following $(mM') \times 2m$ array \mathcal{B} constructed by inserting $M' \times 2$ zero arrays in every possible position l , $l = 1, \dots, m$, with respect to sequence of arrays B^1, \dots, B^{m-1} , as shown below:

$$\mathcal{B} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & B^1 & B^2 & \dots & B^{m-3} & B^{m-2} & B^{m-1} \\ B^1 & 0 & B^2 & \dots & B^{m-3} & B^{m-2} & B^{m-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \\ B^1 & B^2 & B^3 & \dots & B^{m-2} & 0 & B^{m-1} \\ B^1 & B^2 & B^3 & \dots & B^{m-2} & B^{m-1} & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

For $i \in [m]$, let c_i be the column i of the covering array C . From the covering array $C = [c_1 \ c_2 \ \dots \ c_m]$, we construct the following array:

$$\mathcal{C} = [0 \ c_1 | 0 \ c_2 | 0 \ c_3 | \dots | 0 \ c_m].$$

Consider the following two arrays \mathcal{D} and \mathcal{E} of order $(v - 1)^2 \times 2$ over the alphabet $\{0, 1, \dots, v - 1\}$:

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & v-1 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & v-1 \end{bmatrix} \quad E = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ v-1 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ v-1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Observe that each nonzero element of $\{1, \dots, v-1\}$ appears exactly $v-1$ times in the nonzero column of D and E . We define the array \mathcal{D} of order $(m(v-1)^2 + 1) \times 2m$ as:

$$\mathcal{D} = \begin{bmatrix} D & E & E & \cdots & E & E \\ E & D & E & \cdots & E & E \\ E & E & D & \cdots & E & E \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ E & E & E & \cdots & D & E \\ E & E & E & \cdots & E & D \\ 00 & 00 & 00 & \cdots & 00 & 00 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Step 2: Let $N = M + mM' + M'' + m(v-1)^2 + 1$. Let \mathcal{A} be the array formed by vertically juxtaposing $A, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}$, and \mathcal{D} . It remains to prove that \mathcal{A} is an $OCA(N; 3, m, 2, v)$.

Let $B_i = \{2i+1, 2i+2\}$ be the blocks of the NRT poset $[m \cdot 2]$, for $i = 0, \dots, m-1$. An anti-ideal of size 3 can be formed by choosing the maximal element of three distinct blocks or by choosing the two elements of one block and the maximal element of another block. Let x, y , and z be nonzero elements of the alphabet $\{0, 1, \dots, v-1\}$. We can represent the two types of anti-ideals of size 3 of the NRT poset $[m \cdot 2]$ by $x|y|z$ and $xy|z$ (or $x|yz$). The patterns of the 3-tuples over $\{0, 1, \dots, v-1\}$ considering the two types of the anti-ideals of size 3 and whether 0 is a component of the 3-tuple are in Table 1.

Table 1 Patterns of the 3-tuples for $x, y, z \neq 0$

part I	$x y z$	$xy z$				
part II	$x y 0$	$xy 0$	$x 00$			
part III	$0x y$					
part IV	$x0 y$	$x 0 0$	$x0 0$	$0x 0$	$0 0 0$	$0 00$

The patterns in part I are covered by A , the patterns in part II are covered by \mathcal{B} , the pattern in part III is covered by \mathcal{C} , and the patterns in part IV are covered by \mathcal{D} . Therefore, the array \mathcal{A} is an $OCA(N; 3, m, s, v)$, where $N = M + mM' + M'' + m(v-1)^2 + 1$. \square

We generalize below the derived array bound:

$$CAN(t-1, n, v) \leq \frac{CAN(t, n+1, v)}{v}$$

established in [8]. Indeed, we construct an $OCA(M; t, m, s, v)$ such that $M \leq \lfloor \frac{N}{v} \rfloor$ by selecting rows of an $OCA(N; t+1, m+1, s, v)$ and deleting s columns labeled by one block of the NRT poset $[(m+1) \cdot s]$. We call this process a *derivation on the number of blocks*.

Proposition 5 $OCA(N; t, m, s, v) \leq \left\lfloor \frac{OCA(N; t+1, m+1, s, v)}{v} \right\rfloor$.

Proof Let A be an $OCA(N; t+1, m+1, s, v)$. For a fixed $\alpha \in \{0, 1, \dots, v-1\}$, let A_α be the array obtained by choosing the rows of A such that each entry in the last column is equal to α , and deleting the last s columns. We choose $\alpha \in \{0, 1, \dots, v-1\}$ that occurs the least number of times in the last column of A , to ensure A_α has at most $\lfloor \frac{N}{v} \rfloor$ rows. We claim that the array A_α is an $OCA(M; t, m, s, v)$ such that $M \leq \lfloor \frac{N}{v} \rfloor$. Indeed, let J be an anti-ideal of the NRT poset $[m \cdot s]$ of size t . The set $J' = J \cup \{(m+1)s\}$ is an anti-ideal of the NRT poset $[(m+1) \cdot s]$ of size $t+1$. The columns of A labeled by J' are covered. Now, looking at the rows such that the last entry is α in A , we have that the columns labeled by J cover all the t -tuples over $\{0, 1, \dots, v-1\}$ at least once. Therefore, A_α is an $OCA(M; t, m, s, v)$ such that $M \leq \lfloor \frac{N}{v} \rfloor$. \square

In contrast to Proposition 5, we construct an $OCA(M; t, m, s, v)$ such that $M \leq \lfloor \frac{N}{v} \rfloor$ by selecting rows of $OCA(N; t+1, m, s+1, v)$ and by choosing one column in each block of the NRT poset $[m \cdot (s+1)]$ to be deleted. We call this process a *derivation on the size of the blocks*, which is a specific property of ordered covering arrays.

Proposition 6 $OCA(N; t, m, s, v) \leq \left\lfloor \frac{OCA(N; t+1, m, s+1, v)}{v} \right\rfloor$.

Proof Let $I = \cup_{i=0}^{m-2} \{i(s+1) + 1\}$ be the ideal of the NRT poset $[m \cdot (s+1)]$ formed by the $m-1$ minimal elements of the first $m-1$ blocks. Let A be an $OCA(N; t+1, m, s+1, v)$. For a fixed $\beta \in \{0, 1, \dots, v-1\}$, consider the array A_β obtained by choosing the rows of A such that each entry in the last column is equal to β , and deleting the $m-1$ columns labeled by the minimal elements of the ideal I as well as the last column (i.e. the one labeled by the maximal element $m(s+1)$ of the last block). Then select $\beta \in \{0, 1, \dots, v-1\}$ that occurs the least number of times in the last column of A , to ensure A_β has at most $\lfloor \frac{N}{v} \rfloor$ rows. We claim that the array A_β is an $OCA(M; t, m, s, v)$ such that $M \leq \lfloor \frac{N}{v} \rfloor$.

Let P be the NRT poset $\mathcal{R}[m \cdot s]$ given in Fig. 2. Let J be an anti-ideal of P of size t . The set $J' = J \cup \{m(s+1)\}$ is an anti-ideal of the NRT poset $[m \cdot (s+1)]$ of size $t+1$. The columns of A labeled by J' cover all the $(t+1)$ -tuples over $\{0, 1, \dots, v-1\}$ at least once. Now, looking at the rows where the last entry is β in A , the columns labeled by J cover all the t -tuples over $\{0, 1, \dots, v-1\}$ at least once. Therefore, A_β is an $OCA(M; t, m, s, v)$ such that $M \leq \lfloor \frac{N}{v} \rfloor$. \square




Fig. 2 $P \subset [m \cdot (s + 1)]$ is an NRT poset $\mathcal{R}[m \cdot s]$.

Theorem 8 below gives a construction for an $OCA(M; t, m + 1, s, v)$ obtained by adding a block of s columns to an $OCA(N; t, m, s, v)$ and additional rows, in the same spirit as some existing constructions of covering arrays which add a column. However, in the case of ordered covering arrays this is a more complicated task. Before we prove Theorem 8, we need to construct an array and give a technical lemma showing the properties of this new array.

Construction 1 Let P be an NRT poset $\mathcal{R}[2 \cdot s]$ with blocks $B_1 = \{b_1, \dots, b_s\}$ and $B_2 = \{b_{s+1}, \dots, b_{2s}\}$, where $b_1 \preceq b_2 \preceq \dots \preceq b_s$ and $b_{s+1} \preceq b_{s+2} \dots \preceq b_{2s}$. Let $j \leq 2s$, and define an array T^j with $2s$ columns labeled by b_1, \dots, b_{2s} in this order, and with rows specified as follows. Each row of T^j is indexed by each tuple $x = (x_1, \dots, x_j) \in \{0, \dots, v - 1\}^j$ such that $(x_1, \dots, x_{\lfloor \frac{j}{2} \rfloor}) \neq (x_j, x_{j-1}, \dots, x_{\lceil \frac{j}{2} \rceil + 1})$, and row $T_x^j = [a_1, a_2, \dots, a_s, a_{s+1}, a_{s+2}, \dots, a_{2s}]$ where:

1. $a_{s-i+1} = x_i$, if $1 \leq i \leq \min\{j, s\}$;
2. $a_{2s-i+1} = x_{j-i+1}$, if $1 \leq i \leq \min\{j, s\}$ and;
3. $a_1, \dots, a_{s-\min\{j, s\}}$ as well as $a_{s+1}, \dots, a_{2s-\min\{j, s\}}$ are set arbitrarily.

Lemma 7 *The array T^j given in Construction 1 has $(v^j - v^{\lceil \frac{j}{2} \rceil})$ rows. Moreover, for every anti-ideal J of P with $|J|=j$, letting $j_1 = |B_1 \cap J|$ and $j_2 = |B_2 \cap J|$ and $\bar{t} = \min\{j_1, j_2\}$, we have that the subarray of T^j labeled by J contains every tuple $(y, z) = (y_1, \dots, y_{j_1}, z_1, \dots, z_{j_2})$ of $\{0, \dots, v - 1\}^j$ such that $(y_{j_1-\bar{t}+1}, y_{j_1-\bar{t}+2}, \dots, y_{j_1}) \neq (z_{j_2-\bar{t}+1}, z_{j_2-\bar{t}+2}, \dots, z_{j_2})$ as a row.*

Proof A tuple (z_1, \dots, z_n) is palindromic if $(z_1, \dots, z_n) = (z_n, \dots, z_1)$. The array T^j has one row per $x = (x_1, \dots, x_j) \in \{0, \dots, v - 1\}^j$, such that row T_x^j is not a palindromic tuple. There are $v^{\lceil \frac{j}{2} \rceil}$ palindromic tuples, so the total number of rows in T^j is $(v^j - v^{\lceil \frac{j}{2} \rceil})$. An anti-ideal J is always formed by “right-justified” subsets of block elements. Then $J = \{b_{s-(j_1-1)}, \dots, b_s\} \cup \{b_{2s-(j_2-1)}, \dots, b_{2s}\}$, where $j_1 + j_2 = |J|$. By construction, for row T_x^j , we assign different elements from the set $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_j\}$ to these positions. Thus every j -tuple of the form $(y_1, \dots, y_{j_1}, z_1, \dots, z_{j_2})$ where $y = (y_1, \dots, y_{j_1})$ is not a suffix of $z = (z_1, \dots, z_{j_2})$ nor z is a suffix of y appear in these positions in some row of T^j . \square

We illustrate the construction from Lemma 7 in the following example.

Example 2 Take $v = 3, j = 3$, and the NRT poset $[2 \cdot 5]$ in Lemma 7. We obtain array T^3 as below, where * denotes positions of the array filled arbitrarily. The anti-ideals of cardinality $j = 3$ for the set of columns $\{1, \dots, 10\}$ of T^3 are $\{3, 4, 5\}$, $\{4, 5, 10\}$, $\{5, 9, 10\}$, and $\{8, 9, 10\}$.

Take $v = 2, j = 4$, and the NRT poset $[2 \cdot 2]$ in Lemma 7. We obtain array T^4 as below. There is only one anti-ideal of cardinality $j = 4$ corresponding to columns of T^4 , namely $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$.

$$T^3 = \left[\begin{array}{cccc|cccc} * & * & 0 & 0 & 1 & * & * & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & 0 & 0 & 2 & * & * & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & 0 & 1 & 1 & * & * & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ * & * & 0 & 1 & 2 & * & * & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ * & * & 0 & 2 & 1 & * & * & 1 & 2 & 0 \\ * & * & 0 & 2 & 2 & * & * & 2 & 2 & 0 \\ * & * & 1 & 0 & 0 & * & * & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ * & * & 1 & 0 & 2 & * & * & 2 & 0 & 1 \\ * & * & 1 & 1 & 0 & * & * & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ * & * & 1 & 1 & 2 & * & * & 2 & 1 & 1 \\ * & * & 1 & 2 & 0 & * & * & 0 & 2 & 1 \\ * & * & 1 & 2 & 2 & * & * & 2 & 2 & 1 \\ * & * & 2 & 0 & 0 & * & * & 0 & 0 & 2 \\ * & * & 2 & 0 & 1 & * & * & 1 & 0 & 2 \\ * & * & 2 & 1 & 0 & * & * & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ * & * & 2 & 1 & 1 & * & * & 1 & 1 & 2 \\ * & * & 2 & 2 & 0 & * & * & 0 & 2 & 2 \\ * & * & 2 & 2 & 1 & * & * & 1 & 2 & 2 \end{array} \right]$$

$$T^4 = \left[\begin{array}{cc|cc} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

For each of these arrays we can verify that the subarray labeled by each anti-ideal visits every tuple in the alphabet, except for those tuples where one of the two parts is a suffix of the other part. For example, columns $\{4, 5, 10\}$ does not contain tuple $(01|1)$ as a row, since 1 is a suffix of 01, but contains tuple $(01|0)$, since 0 is not a suffix of 01 and 01 is not a suffix of 0.

We are now ready to prove Theorem 8, that builds an $OCA(M; t, m + 1, s, v)$ obtained from an $OCA(N; t, m, s, v)$ by adding a block of s columns and additional rows using cartesian product.

Theorem 8 For $s \leq t$ and $k = \min\{2s, t\}$, $OCA(N; t, m + 1, s, v)$ is less than or equal to

$$OCA(N; t, m, s, v) + \sum_{j=2}^k OCA(N; t - j, m - 1, s, v) \cdot (v^j - v^{\lceil \frac{j}{2} \rceil}).$$

Proof Let $B_0, B_1, \dots, B_{m-1}, B_m$ be the blocks of the NRT poset $[(m + 1) \cdot s]$. Let A be an $OCA(N; t, m, s, v)$ over $\{0, 1, \dots, v - 1\}$. Let us consider the array A' formed by concatenating A with the subarray of A formed by the last s columns of A . We add rows of other arrays below A' as described next. Let $k = \min\{2s, t\}$. For each $j \in \{2, \dots, k\}$, we build C^j which is the cartesian product of rows of an $OCA(N_j; t - j, m - 1, s, v)$ with rows of the array T^j constructed in Lemma 7. We

note that if $j = t$, then the entries of an $OCA(1; 0, m - 1, s, v)$ can be set arbitrarily. Each array C^j has $N_j \cdot (v^j - v^{\lceil \frac{j}{2} \rceil})$ rows.

Let \mathcal{A} be the array obtained by vertically juxtaposing A', C^2, \dots, C^k , and $M = N + \sum_{j=2}^k N_j \cdot (v^j - v^{\lceil \frac{j}{2} \rceil})$. We claim that \mathcal{A} is an $OCA(M; t, m + 1, s, v)$. Let J be an anti-ideal of size t of the NRT poset $[(m + 1) \cdot s]$. We divide the proof into three cases.

1. If $J \cap B_{m-1} = \emptyset$ and $J \cap B_m = \emptyset$, then the t -tuples over $\{0, 1, \dots, v - 1\}$ are covered by the columns of A labeled by J , which are present in A' .
2. If $J \cap B_{m-1} = \emptyset$ or $J \cap B_m = \emptyset$, then the t -tuples over $\{0, 1, \dots, v - 1\}$ are covered by the columns of A' labeled by J since the last s columns of A' contain all tuples in A corresponding to block B_{m-1} which together with other blocks already satisfied coverage in A .
3. If $J \cap B_{m-1} \neq \emptyset$ and $J \cap B_m \neq \emptyset$, then $|J \cap (B_{m-1} \cup B_m)| = j$ for $j \in \{2, \dots, k\}$, where $k = \min\{2s, t\}$. For each $j \in \{2, \dots, k\}$, we show that the juxtaposition of arrays A' and C^j constructed above cover the t -tuples over $\{0, 1, \dots, v - 1\}$ in the subarray corresponding to the columns J . We regard each t -tuple over $\{0, 1, \dots, v - 1\}$ as (x, y_1, y_2) , where x is a $(t - j)$ -tuple and (y_1, y_2) is a j -tuple, with component of x corresponding to $J \setminus (B_{m-1} \cup B_m)$, the components of y_1 corresponding to $J \cap B_{m-1}$ and the components of y_2 corresponding to $J \cap B_m$. If y_1 is a suffix of y_2 , since (x, y_2) is a tuple covered in A for columns corresponding to $J \setminus (B_{m-1} \cup B_m)$ and B_{m-1} , by construction of A' we have that (x, y_1, y_2) is covered in A' for columns corresponding to $J \setminus (B_{m-1} \cup B_m)$, B_{m-1} and B_m . Similarly, if y_2 is a suffix of y_1 , we get coverage in A' . On the contrary, if $\overline{y_1}$ and $\overline{y_2}$ are suffixes of largest possible common size for y_1 and y_2 with the property that $\overline{y_1} \neq \overline{y_2}$, then (y_1, y_2) must be covered in T^j . Since x is covered in columns corresponding to $J \setminus (B_{m-1} \cup B_m)$ of the $OCA(N_j; t - j, m - 1, s, v)$, then (x, y_1, y_2) is covered in columns of C^j , which is the cartesian product of an $OCA(N_j; t - j, m - 1, s, v)$ with T^j .

Therefore, \mathcal{A} is an $OCA(M; t, m + 1, s, v)$, where $M = N + \sum_{j=2}^k N_j \cdot (v^j - v^{\lceil \frac{j}{2} \rceil})$. \square

In the next example, we illustrate the construction given by Theorem 8.

Example 3 For $t = s = 3$, $m = 3$, and $v = 2$,

$$OCAN(3, 4, 3, 2) \leq OCAN(3, 3, 3, 2) + OCAN(1, 2, 3, 2) \cdot 2 + OCAN(0, 2, 3, 2) \cdot 4.$$

The $OCA(16; 3, 4, 3, 2)$, \mathcal{A} , constructed in Theorem 8 is shown below. We explain each part of the array \mathcal{A} . Let $B_0 = \{1, 2, 3\}$, $B_1 = \{4, 5, 6\}$, $B_2 = \{7, 8, 9\}$, $B_3 = \{10, 11, 12\}$ be the blocks of the NRT poset $[4 \cdot 3]$. By Eq. (1), $OCAN(3, 3, 3, 2) = 8$ and its corresponding array is given by Part I in the columns labeled by the blocks B_0 , B_1 and B_2 . In Part I, we have the array A' , and the columns labeled by the blocks B_2 and B_3 are repeated in A' . For $j \in \{2, 3\}$, we build T^j as in Lemma 7. In Part II and III, we have the array C_2 which is the cartesian product of an $OCA(2; 1, 2, 3, 2) = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} 000 & 000 \\ \hline 111 & 111 \end{array} \right)$ with $T^2 = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} *10 & *01 \\ \hline *01 & *10 \end{array} \right)$. In Part IV, we have the array C_3 . The symbol

* in columns labeled by B_0 and B_1 can be set arbitrarily since an $OCA(N; 0, 2, 3, 2)$ does not need to have any special property. Finally, the columns labeled by B_2 and B_3 in Part IV give the array T^3 .

	B_0	B_1	B_2	B_3
Part I	1 0 0	1 1 1	0 1 1	0 1 1
	0 0 1	0 1 1	1 0 0	1 0 0
	0 1 1	1 0 1	1 0 1	1 0 1
	1 1 1	0 1 0	1 1 0	1 1 0
	1 1 0	0 0 1	0 1 0	0 1 0
	1 0 1	1 0 0	1 1 1	1 1 1
	0 1 0	1 1 0	0 0 1	0 0 1
	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0
Part II	0 0 0	0 0 0	* 1 0	* 0 1
	0 0 0	0 0 0	* 0 1	* 1 0
Part III	1 1 1	1 1 1	* 1 0	* 0 1
	1 1 1	1 1 1	* 0 1	* 1 0
Part IV	* * *	* * *	1 0 0	0 0 1
	* * *	* * *	1 1 0	0 1 1
	* * *	* * *	0 0 1	1 0 0
	* * *	* * *	0 1 1	1 1 0

As discussed before, for v a prime power and $t \geq 3$, Castoldi et al. [7, Theorem 3] shows a construction for an $OOA(v^t; t, v + 1, t, v)$, which yields Eq. (1). Using this result and Corollary 2 to create ingredients for Theorem 8, we establish an upper bound for $OCAN(t, v + 2, t, v)$.

Corollary 9 Let v be a prime power.

- (1) If t is odd, then $OCAN(t, v + 2, t, v) \leq v^t(t - \frac{2}{1-v}(\frac{1}{v^{(t-1)/2}} - 1))$.
- (2) If t is even, then $OCAN(t, v + 2, t, v) \leq v^t(t - \frac{2}{1-v}(\frac{1}{v^{(t-2)/2}} - 1) - \frac{1}{v^{t/2}})$.

Proof For v a prime power and $t \geq 3$, $OCAN(t, v + 1, t, v) = v^t$ by Eq. (1). For $j \in \{2, \dots, t-3\}$ and since $t-j \leq t$, Proposition 2 item (2) yields $OCAN(t-j, v, t, v) = OCAN(t-j, v, t-j, v)$. By Eq. (1), we obtain $OCAN(t-j, v, t-j, v) = v^{t-j}$. For $j = t-2$, Corollary 2 implies that $OCAN(2, v, t, v) = OCAN(2, v, 2, v) = CAN(2, v, v)$. Bush's construction [15, Theorem 3.1] gives $CAN(2, v, v) = v^2$. For $j = t-1$, $OCAN(1, v, t, v) = v$, and for $j = t$, $OCAN(0, v, t, v) = 1$. In summary, $OCAN(t-j, v, t, v) = v^{t-j}$, for all $j \in \{2, \dots, t\}$. Using Theorem 8, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 OCAN(t, v + 2, t, v) &\leq v^t + \sum_{j=2}^t v^{t-j}(v^j - v^{\lceil \frac{j}{2} \rceil}) \\
 &= tv^t - \sum_{j=2}^t v^{t-\lfloor \frac{j}{2} \rfloor}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$= v^t \left(t - \sum_{j=2}^t \frac{1}{v^{\lfloor \frac{j}{2} \rfloor}} \right).$$

We now consider two cases.

1. If t is odd, then

$$\sum_{j=2}^t \frac{1}{v^{\lfloor \frac{j}{2} \rfloor}} = 2 \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{(t-1)/2} \frac{1}{v^j} = \frac{2}{1-v} \left(\frac{1}{v^{(t-1)/2}} - 1 \right).$$

Therefore $OCAN(t, v+2, t, v) \leq v^t \left(t - \frac{2}{1-v} \left(\frac{1}{v^{(t-1)/2}} - 1 \right) \right)$.

2. If t is even, then

$$\sum_{j=2}^t \frac{1}{v^{\lfloor \frac{j}{2} \rfloor}} = \sum_{j=2}^{t-1} \frac{1}{v^{\lfloor \frac{j}{2} \rfloor}} + \frac{1}{v^{t/2}}.$$

Since $t-1$ is odd,

$$\sum_{j=2}^{t-1} \frac{1}{v^{\lfloor \frac{j}{2} \rfloor}} = \frac{2}{1-v} \left(\frac{1}{v^{(t-2)/2}} - 1 \right).$$

Therefore $OCAN(t, v+2, t, v) \leq v^t \left(t - \frac{2}{1-v} \left(\frac{1}{v^{(t-2)/2}} - 1 \right) - \frac{1}{v^{t/2}} \right)$. □

4 New upper bounds on covering codes in NRT spaces

In this section, we improve the general upper bound on $K_q^{\mathcal{R}}(m, s, R)$ given in Proposition 1 for suitable values of m and R by constructing new covering codes. For this purpose, we start from the covering code used to prove Proposition 1 and our strategy consists of modifying some of its codewords to reduce the size of the covering code. Table 2, at the end of this section, compares the upper bounds obtained in this section with those in the tables in [4].

In order to facilitate the readability of the arguments, we represent a vector $x = (x_1, \dots, x_{ms}) \in \mathbb{Z}_q^{ms}$ as a matrix:

$$x = \begin{bmatrix} x_s & x_{2s} & \cdots & x_{ms} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_2 & x_{s+2} & \cdots & x_{(m-1)s+2} \\ x_1 & x_{s+1} & \cdots & x_{(m-1)s+1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

4.1 New covering codes for m odd

Let k be a positive integer. We first focus on the case where $m \geq 3$ is odd, $m = 2k + 1$, and the radius is $(k + 1)s - j$, for $j = 1, \dots, s$.

Theorem 10 Let q, s and k be positive integers such that $q \geq 2$, $s \geq 3$ and $k \geq 1$. For $j = 1, \dots, s$, $K_q^{\mathcal{R}}(2k+1, s, (k+1)s-j) \leq q^{ks+j} - q^{k(s-2)+j}(q^k-1)$.

Proof The general upper bound for $K_q^{\mathcal{R}}(2k+1, s, (k+1)s-j)$ is q^{ks+j} , and a $((k+1)s-j)$ -covering C of the NRT space $\mathbb{Z}_q^{(2k+1)s}$ of size q^{ks+j} is formed by the codewords:

$$c = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \cdots 0 \star & c_{(k+2)s} & \cdots & c_{(2k+1)s} \\ 0 \cdots 0 \star & c_{(k+2)s-1} & \cdots & c_{(2k+1)s-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 \cdots 0 \star & c_{(k+1)s+2} & \cdots & c_{2ks+2} \\ 0 \cdots 0 \star & c_{(k+1)s+1} & \cdots & c_{2ks+1} \end{bmatrix},$$

where the column \star uses the s -tuple $(0, \dots, 0, c_{(k+1)s-(j-1)}, \dots, c_{(k+1)s})$, filled from bottom to top, according to the proof of Proposition 1. We divide the proof into three steps.

Step 1: We partition the set C into $q^{k(s-2)+j}$ parts indexed by the set $\mathbb{Z}_q^{k(s-2)+j}$. For each $z = (\mathbf{z}_0, z_1, \dots, z_{k(s-2)}) \in \mathbb{Z}_q^{k(s-2)+j}$, where \mathbf{z}_0 is a j -tuple over \mathbb{Z}_q , let C_z be the subset of C formed by the codewords:

$$c = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \cdots 0 \star & z_{s-2} & \cdots & z_{k(s-2)} \\ 0 \cdots 0 \star & z_{s-3} & \cdots & z_{k(s-2)-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 \cdots 0 \star & z_1 & \cdots & z_{(k-1)(s-2)+1} \\ 0 \cdots 0 \star & c_{(k+1)s+2} & \cdots & c_{2ks+2} \\ 0 \cdots 0 \star & c_{(k+1)s+1} & \cdots & c_{2ks+1} \end{bmatrix},$$

where the column \star uses the s -tuple $(0, \dots, 0, \mathbf{z}_0)$, filled from bottom to top. For each $z \in \mathbb{Z}_q^{k(s-2)+j}$, write

$$\mathcal{Z}_z = \mathbb{Z}_q^{ks} \times (\mathbb{Z}_q^{s-j} \times \mathbf{z}_0) \times \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} (\mathbb{Z}_q^2 \times \{(z_{i(s-2)+1}, \dots, z_{i(s-2)+s-2})\}).$$

The following properties hold:

- (a) $C_z \cap C_{z'} = \emptyset$ if and only if $z \neq z'$;
- (b) $|C_z| = q^{2k}$ for all $z \in \mathbb{Z}_q^{k(s-2)+j}$;
- (c) $C = \cup_{z \in \mathbb{Z}_q^{k(s-2)+j}} C_z$;
- (d) C_z is a $((k+1)s-j)$ -covering of the NRT space \mathcal{Z}_z over the NRT poset $[(2k+1) \cdot s]$.

We observe that (a), (b) and (c) tell us the set $\{C_z : z \in \mathbb{Z}_q^{k(s-2)+j}\}$ is a partition of C .

Step 2: For each $z \in \mathbb{Z}_q^{k(s-2)+j}$, we construct a new set A_z from C_z such that A_z is a $((k+1)s-j)$ -covering of the NRT space \mathcal{Z}_z . For each $c \in C_z$ such that $(c_{(k+1)s+1}, c_{(k+2)s+1}, \dots, c_{2ks+1}) = (c_{(k+1)s+2}, c_{(k+2)s+2}, \dots, c_{2ks+2}) = (0, \dots, 0)$

or $(c_{(k+1)s+2}, c_{(k+2)s+2}, \dots, c_{2ks+2}) \neq (0, 0, \dots, 0)$, define

$$c' = \begin{bmatrix} c_{(k+1)s+2} & \cdots & c_{2ks+2} & * & z_{s-2} & \cdots & z_{k(s-2)} \\ c_{(k+1)s+1} & \cdots & c_{2ks+1} & * & z_{s-3} & \cdots & z_{k(s-2)-1} \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & * & z_{s-4} & \cdots & z_{k(s-2)-2} \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & * & z_1 & \cdots & z_{(k-1)(s-2)+1} \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & * & c_{(k+1)s+2} & \cdots & c_{2ks+2} \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & * & c_{(k+1)s+1} & \cdots & c_{2ks+1} \end{bmatrix},$$

where the column $*$ uses the s -tuple $(0, \dots, 0, \mathbf{z}_0)$, filled from bottom to top.

Let A_z be the set of codewords c' defined above. The types of codewords in C_z that we are not using to define A_z are those such that $(c_{(k+1)s+1}, c_{(k+2)s+1}, \dots, c_{2ks+1}) \neq (0, 0, \dots, 0)$ and $(c_{(k+1)s+2}, c_{(k+2)s+2}, \dots, c_{2ks+2}) = (0, 0, \dots, 0)$. There are $q^k - 1$ such codewords and A_z has size $q^{2k} - (q^k - 1)$.

Step 3: It remains to show that the set A_z is a $((k+1)s - j)$ -covering of the NRT space \mathcal{Z}_z . The proof is divided into three cases.

Indeed, for $x \in \mathcal{Z}_z$, we know that x and $c' \in A_z$ coincide in those $k(s - 2) + j$ positions that are equal to z . We highlight in bold the $2k$ positions in each codeword that coincide with the respective positions in x .

1. If $(x_{(k+1)s+2}, x_{(k+2)s+2}, \dots, x_{2ks+2}) \neq (0, 0, \dots, 0)$, then x is covered by the following element of A_z :

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_{(k+1)s+2} & \cdots & x_{2ks+2} & * & z_{s-2} & \cdots & z_{k(s-2)} \\ x_{(k+1)s+1} & \cdots & x_{2ks+1} & * & z_{s-3} & \cdots & z_{k(s-2)-1} \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & * & z_{s-4} & \cdots & z_{k(s-2)-2} \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & * & z_1 & \cdots & z_{(k-1)(s-2)+1} \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & * & \mathbf{x}_{(k+1)s+2} & \cdots & \mathbf{x}_{2ks+2} \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & * & \mathbf{x}_{(k+1)s+1} & \cdots & \mathbf{x}_{2ks+1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

2. If $(x_s, x_{2s}, \dots, x_{ks}) \neq (0, 0, \dots, 0)$, then x is covered by the following element of A_z :

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_s & \cdots & \mathbf{x}_{ks} & * & z_{s-2} & \cdots & z_{k(s-2)} \\ \mathbf{x}_{s-1} & \cdots & \mathbf{x}_{ks-1} & * & z_{s-3} & \cdots & z_{k(s-2)-1} \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & * & z_{s-4} & \cdots & z_{k(s-2)-2} \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & * & z_1 & \cdots & z_{(k-1)(s-2)+1} \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & * & \mathbf{x}_s & \cdots & \mathbf{x}_{ks} \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & * & \mathbf{x}_{s-1} & \cdots & \mathbf{x}_{ks-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

3. If $(x_{(k+1)s+2}, x_{(k+2)s+2}, \dots, x_{2ks+2}) = (x_s, x_{2s}, \dots, x_{ks}) = (0, 0, \dots, 0)$, then x is covered by the following element of A_z :

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \cdots & \mathbf{0} & * & z_{s-2} & \cdots & z_{k(s-2)} \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & * & z_{s-3} & \cdots & z_{k(s-2)-1} \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & * & z_{s-4} & \cdots & z_{k(s-2)-2} \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & * & z_1 & \cdots & z_{(k-1)(s-2)+1} \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & * & \mathbf{0} & \cdots & \mathbf{0} \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & * & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Therefore, the set $A = \bigcup_{z \in \mathbb{Z}_q^{k(s-2)+j}} A_z$ of size $q^{ks+1} - q^{k(s-2)+j}(q^k - 1)$ is a $((k+1)s - j)$ -covering of the NRT space $\mathbb{Z}_q^{(2k+1)s}$. \square

In addition to Theorem 10 being a refinement of the bound given in Proposition 1, for $k = j = 1$, Theorem 10 improves the bound $q^{s+1} - q$ given in [6, Theorem 4] item (2).

Corollary 11 For $q \geq 2$ and $s \geq 3$, $K_q^{\mathcal{R}}(3, s, 2s - 1) \leq q^{s+1} - q^{s-1}(q - 1)$.

For $j = s$, we have the following particular case of Theorem 10 which also improves upper bounds given in [4], see Table 2.

Corollary 12 For $q \geq 2$ and $s \geq 3$, $K_q^{\mathcal{R}}(2k+1, s, ks) \leq q^{(k+1)s} - q^{k(s-2)+s}(q^k - 1)$.

4.2 New covering codes for m even

We show next Theorem 13 which is a parallel result to Theorem 10 for m even, and generalizes [6, Theorem 4] item (1). The proof of Theorem 13 follows the same method applied to prove Theorem 10.

Theorem 13 For $q \geq 2$, $s \geq 3$ and $k \geq 1$, $K_q^{\mathcal{R}}(2k, s, ks) \leq q^{ks} - q^{k(s-2)}(q^k - 1)$.

Proof The general upper bound for $K_q^{\mathcal{R}}(2k, s, ks)$ is q^{ks} , and a ks -covering C of the NRT space \mathbb{Z}_q^{2ks} of size q^{ks} is formed by the codewords:

$$c = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \cdots & 0 & c_{(k+1)s} & \cdots & c_{2ks} \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & c_{ks+2} & \cdots & c_{(2k-1)s+2} \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & c_{ks+1} & \cdots & c_{(2k-1)s+1} \end{bmatrix},$$

according to the proof of Proposition 1. We divide the proof into three steps.

Step 1: We partition the set C into $q^{k(s-2)}$ parts indexed by the set $\mathbb{Z}_q^{k(s-2)}$. For each $z = (z_1, \dots, z_{k(s-2)}) \in \mathbb{Z}_q^{k(s-2)}$, let C_z be the subset of C formed by the codewords:

$$c = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \cdots & 0 & z_{s-2} & \cdots & z_{k(s-2)} \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & z_1 & \cdots & z_{(k-1)(s-2)+1} \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & c_{ks+2} & \cdots & c_{(2k-1)s+2} \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & c_{ks+1} & \cdots & c_{(2k-1)s+1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

For each $z \in \mathbb{Z}_q^{k(s-2)}$, define

$$\mathcal{Z}_z = \mathbb{Z}_q^{ks} \times (\mathbb{Z}_q^2 \times \{(z_1, \dots, z_{s-2})\}) \times \dots \times (\mathbb{Z}_q^2 \times \{(z_{(k-1)(s-2)+1}, \dots, z_{k(s-2)})\}).$$

The following properties hold:

- (a) $C_z \cap C_{z'} = \emptyset$ if and only if $z \neq z'$;
- (b) $|C_z| = q^{2k}$ for all $z \in \mathbb{Z}_q^{k(s-2)}$;
- (c) $C = \cup_{z \in \mathbb{Z}_q^{k(s-2)}} C_z$;
- (d) C_z is a ks -covering of the NRT space \mathcal{Z}_z over the NRT poset $[2k \cdot s]$.

We note that (a), (b) and (c) tell us the set $\{C_z : z \in \mathbb{Z}_q^{k(s-2)}\}$ is a partition of C .

Step 2: For each $z \in \mathbb{Z}_q^{k(s-2)}$, we construct a new set A_z from C_z such that A_z is a ks -covering of the NRT space \mathcal{Z}_z . For each $c \in C_z$ such that $(c_{ks+2}, c_{(k+1)s+2}, \dots, c_{(2k-1)s+2}) \neq (0, 0, \dots, 0)$ or $(c_{ks+1}, c_{(k+1)s+1}, \dots, c_{(2k-1)s+1}) = (c_{ks+2}, c_{(k+1)s+2}, \dots, c_{(2k-1)s+2}) = (0, 0, \dots, 0)$, define

$$c' = \begin{bmatrix} c_{ks+2} & \cdots & c_{(2k-1)s+2} & z_{s-2} & \cdots & z_{k(s-2)} \\ c_{ks+1} & \cdots & c_{(2k-1)s+1} & z_{s-3} & \cdots & z_{k(s-2)-1} \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & z_{s-4} & \cdots & z_{k(s-2)-2} \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & z_1 & \cdots & z_{(k-1)(s-2)+1} \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & c_{ks+2} & \cdots & c_{(2k-1)s+2} \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & c_{ks+1} & \cdots & c_{(2k-1)s+1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let A_z be the set of codewords c' defined above. The types of codewords in C_z that we are not using to define A_z are those such that $(c_{ks+1}, c_{(k+1)s+1}, \dots, c_{(2k-1)s+1}) \neq (0, 0, \dots, 0)$ and $(c_{ks+2}, c_{(k+1)s+2}, \dots, c_{(2k-1)s+2}) = (0, 0, \dots, 0)$, and there are $q^k - 1$ such codewords. Hence, A_z has size $q^{2k} - (q^k - 1)$.

Step 3: We now show that the set A_z is a ks -covering of the NRT space \mathcal{Z}_z . We divide the proof into three cases. Indeed, for $x \in \mathcal{Z}_z$, we know that x and $c' \in A_z$ coincide in those $k(s-2)$ positions that are equal to z . We highlight in bold the $2k$ positions in each codeword that coincide with the respective positions in x .

1. If $(x_{ks+2}, x_{(k+1)s+2}, \dots, x_{(2k-1)s+2}) \neq (0, 0, \dots, 0)$, then x is covered by the following element of A_z :

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_{ks+2} & \cdots & x_{(2k-1)s+2} & z_{s-2} & \cdots & z_{k(s-2)} \\ x_{ks+1} & \cdots & x_{(2k-1)s+1} & z_{s-3} & \cdots & z_{k(s-2)-1} \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & z_{s-4} & \cdots & z_{k(s-2)-2} \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & z_1 & \cdots & z_{(k-1)(s-2)+1} \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & \mathbf{X}_{ks+2} & \cdots & \mathbf{X}_{(2k-1)s+2} \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & \mathbf{X}_{ks+1} & \cdots & \mathbf{X}_{(2k-1)s+1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

2. If $(x_s, x_{2s}, \dots, x_{ks}) \neq (0, 0, \dots, 0)$, then x is covered by the following element of A_z :

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{X}_s & \cdots & \mathbf{X}_{ks} & z_{s-2} & \cdots & z_{k(s-2)} \\ \mathbf{X}_{s-1} & \cdots & \mathbf{X}_{ks-1} & z_{s-3} & \cdots & z_{k(s-2)-1} \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & z_{s-4} & \cdots & z_{k(s-2)-2} \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & z_1 & \cdots & z_{(k-1)(s-2)+1} \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & x_s & \cdots & x_{ks} \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & x_{s-1} & \cdots & x_{ks-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

3. If $(x_{ks+2}, x_{(k+1)s+2}, \dots, x_{(2k-1)s+2}) = (x_s, x_{2s}, \dots, x_{ks}) = (0, 0, \dots, 0)$, then x is covered by the following element of A_z :

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \cdots & \mathbf{0} & z_{s-2} & \cdots & z_{k(s-2)} \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & z_{s-3} & \cdots & z_{k(s-2)-1} \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & z_1 & \cdots & z_{(k-1)(s-2)+1} \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & \mathbf{0} & \cdots & \mathbf{0} \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & \mathbf{0} & \cdots & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Therefore, the set $A = \bigcup_{z \in \mathbb{Z}_q^{k(s-2)}} A_z$ of size $q^{ks} - q^{k(s-2)}(q^k - 1)$ is a ks -covering of the NRT space \mathbb{Z}_q^{2ks} . \square

The construction above is illustrated in the following example.

Example 4 Proposition 1 gives the upper bound $K_2^{\mathcal{R}}(2, 3, 3) \leq 8$. A 3-covering code of the NRT space \mathbb{Z}_2^6 (NRT poset $[2 \cdot 3]$) that gives the general upper bound for $K_2^{\mathcal{R}}(2, 3, 3)$ is $C = C_0 \cup C_1$, where

$$C_0 = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\},$$

$$C_1 = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}.$$

Following the construction in Theorem 13, we delete the third codeword of C_0 and C_1 , and using the remaining codewords, we construct A_0 and A_1 , respectively. Let $A = A_0 \cup A_1$, where

$$A_0 = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\} \text{ and } A_1 = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}.$$

Therefore, A is 3-covering code of the NRT space \mathbb{Z}_2^6 with 6 codewords improving the previous upper bound 8. We conjecture that $K_2^{\mathcal{R}}(2, 3, 3) = 6$.

For $k = 1$, Theorem 13 improves the bound $q^s - q^{s-2}$ given in [6, Theorem 4] item (1).

Corollary 14 For $q \geq 2$ and $s \geq 3$, $K_q^{\mathcal{R}}(2, s, s) \leq q^s - q^{s-2}(q - 1)$.

As a consequence of Theorem 13 and [4, Proposition 17] we obtain Theorem 10 for $j = 0$, as shown in the next corollary. This result improves upper bounds given in [4] as displayed in Table 2.

Corollary 15 For $q \geq 2$ and $s \geq 3$, $K_q^{\mathcal{R}}(2k + 1, s, (k + 1)s) \leq q^{ks} - q^{k(s-2)}(q^k - 1)$.

4.3 A table comparing new and old upper bounds

We finish this section by giving a table of upper bounds. We compare the upper bounds on covering codes in NRT spaces obtained in Subsections 4.1 and 4.2 with the upper bounds in the tables given in [4]. The column ‘‘Result’’ gives the result in this section used to get the respective bound in the column ‘‘New bounds’’. Table 2 shows that sometimes the results of this section improve upper bounds from [4]. These improvements are marked in bold.

Table 2 Some new upper bounds for $K_q^{\mathcal{R}}(m, s, R)$.

q	m	s	R	Bounds from [4]	New bounds	Result
2	2	3	3	8	6	Corollary 14
2	2	4	4	12	12	Corollary 14
2	2	5	5	32	24	Corollary 14
2	3	3	3	64	48	Corollary 12
2	3	3	4	16	24	Theorem 10
2	3	3	5	8	12	Corollary 11
2	3	3	6	8	6	Corollary 15
2	3	4	7	16	24	Corollary 11
2	3	4	8	16	12	Corollary 15
2	4	3	6	24	52	Theorem 13
2	4	4	8	112	208	Theorem 13

5 Constructions of covering codes using ordered covering arrays

In this section, ordered covering arrays are used to construct covering codes in NRT spaces improving upper bounds on their size for larger alphabets. Theorem 16 is a generalization of a result already shown for covering codes in Hamming spaces connected with surjective matrices and Maximum Distance Separable (MDS) codes [9], and its proof has already appeared in the conference paper [6].

MDS codes provide a useful tool to construct covering codes in Hamming spaces, see [1, 3, 9] for instance. MDS codes can be applied in NRT spaces as follows. Suppose that there is an MDS code in the NRT space \mathbb{Z}_v^{ms} with minimum distance $d+1$. For every $q \geq 2$, $K_{vq}^{\mathcal{R}}(m, s, d) \leq v^{ms-d} K_q^{\mathcal{R}}(m, s, d)$, [4, Theorem 30]. An extension of this result based on ordered covering arrays is described below. Indeed, this is analogous to an equivalent result relating to covering codes and covering arrays for the Hamming metric [9, Theorem 3.7.10].

Theorem 16 ([6, Theorem 3]) *Let v, q, m, s, R be positive integers such that $0 < R < ms$. Then*

$$K_{vq}^{\mathcal{R}}(m, s, R) \leq \text{OCAN}(ms - R, m, s, v) K_q^{\mathcal{R}}(m, s, R).$$

Theorem 16 is very flexible in the sense that if we know upper bounds for $\text{OCAN}(ms - R, m, s, v)$ or $K_q^{\mathcal{R}}(m, s, R)$, we might improve upper bounds for covering codes in NRT spaces for larger alphabets. Indeed, let us illustrate how the previous results on OCAs and covering codes can be applied in connection with Theorem 16.

Let m be a positive integer such that $(t-1)q + 1 \leq m \leq (t-1)vq$. Since $K_q^{\mathcal{R}}(m, s, ms - t) = q$ (see [4, Theorem 13]), Theorem 16 implies

$$K_{vq}^{\mathcal{R}}(m, s, ms - t) \leq \text{OCAN}(t, m, s, v) \cdot q. \quad (5)$$

In particular, Eq. (5) when $v = 2$ and $t = 3$ yields $K_{2q}^{\mathcal{R}}(2m, s, 2ms - 3) \leq \text{OCAN}(3, 2m, s, 2) \cdot q$. For $s = 2$ or $s = 3$, Krikorian [17, Theorem 4.2.3] shows that

$$\text{OCAN}(3, 2m, s, 2) \leq \text{OCAN}(3, m, s, 2) + \text{OCAN}(2, m, 2, 2).$$

By Eq. (2), $\text{OCAN}(2, m, 2, 2) = \text{CAN}(2, m, 2)$. The combination of the facts above produces

$$K_{2q}^{\mathcal{R}}(2m, s, 2ms - 3) \leq (\text{OCAN}(3, m, s, 2) + \text{CAN}(2, m, 2)) \cdot q.$$

Let v be a prime power, $m \leq v + 1$ and $s \leq t$. Since $\text{OCAN}(t, m, s, v) = v^t$ (Eq. (1)), Theorem 16 implies $K_{vq}^{\mathcal{R}}(m, s, ms - t) \leq v^t \cdot K_q^{\mathcal{R}}(m, s, ms - t)$.

Moreover, a combination of Eq. (4) and Theorem 16 produces $K_{(v-1)q}^{\mathcal{R}}(v+1, t, vt) \leq (v^t - 2) \cdot K_q^{\mathcal{R}}(v+1, t, vt)$.

The discussion above is based on Corollaries 5 and 6 in [6]. Further applications of Theorem 16 are available in [6].

Here we continue to explore new relationships in the same spirit as mentioned above. As the main goal, the results from Section 4 combined with Theorem 16 might improve upper bounds for covering codes in NRT spaces.

Corollary 17 Let $v \geq 2$, $q \geq 2$, $s \geq 3$ and $k \geq 1$ be positive integers.

- (1) For $j = 0, \dots, s$, $K_{vq}^{\mathcal{R}}(2k+1, s, (k+1)s-j) \leq OCAN(k+j, 2k+1, s, v) \cdot [q^{ks+j} - q^{k(s-2)+j}(q^k - 1)]$.
- (2) $K_{vq}^{\mathcal{R}}(2k, s, ks) \leq OCAN(ks, 2k, s, v) \cdot [q^{ks} - q^{k(s-2)}(q^k - 1)]$.

Proof Item (1) follows as a straightforward combination of Theorems 16 and 10. Analogously, item (2) is derived from Theorems 16 and 13. \square

For $k = 1$ and a prime power v , two consequences of Corollary 17 are described in the following result.

Corollary 18 Let v be a prime power, $q \geq 2$, and $s \geq 3$. The following bounds hold:

- (1) $K_{vq}^{\mathcal{R}}(3, s, 2s-1) \leq v^{s+1} \cdot [q^{s+1} - q^{s-1}(q-1)]$.
- (2) $K_{vq}^{\mathcal{R}}(2, s, s) \leq v^s \cdot [q^s - q^{s-2}(q-1)]$.

Proof (1) For v a prime power, $OCAN(s+1, v+1, s+1, v) = v^{s+1}$ by Eq.(1). Proposition 2 item (3) implies that $OCAN(s+1, 3, s+1, v) = v^{s+1}$. This value combined with Corollary 17 item (1) (when $k = 1$ and $j = 1$) derives the desired upper bound.

(2) Since $OCAN(s, v+1, s, v) = v^s$ holds by Eq.(1), Proposition 2 item (3) implies that $OCAN(s, 2, s, v) = v^s$. An application of Corollary 17 item (2) (when $k = 1$) concludes the upper bound. \square

We now discuss the upper bounds on $K_{vq}^{\mathcal{R}}(3, s, 2s-1)$ for a prime power v . Corollary 11 gives the upper bound $(vq)^{s+1} - (vq)^{s-1}(vq-1)$. On the other hand, Corollary 18 item (1) yields the upper bound $v^{s+1} \cdot [q^{s+1} - q^{s-1}(q-1)] = (vq)^{s+1} - (vq)^{s-1}v^2(q-1)$. A closer look reveals that $v^2(q-1) > vq-1$ if and only if $q > \frac{v+1}{v}$. Since $1 < \frac{v+1}{v} < 2 \leq q$, the inequality $v^2(q-1) > vq-1$ holds. Therefore, the upper bound from Corollary 18 item (1) improves that in Corollary 11.

Similarly, let us analyze the upper bounds on $K_{vq}^{\mathcal{R}}(2, s, s)$ for v a prime power. Corollary 14 yields the upper bound $(vq)^s - (vq)^{s-2}(vq-1)$. In contrast, Corollary 18 item (2) implies the upper bound $v^s \cdot [q^s - q^{s-2}(q-1)] = (vq)^s - (vq)^{s-2}v^2(q-1)$. By comparing $vq-1$ and $v^2(q-1)$ as we did before, the upper bound from Corollary 18 item (2) improves that from Corollary 14.

Example 5 We display in Table 3 some particular instances showing how much improvement the upper bounds obtained by Corollary 18 offer when comparing with Corollaries 11 and 14 of Section 4. Given the parameters v a prime power, $q \geq 2$, $m \in \{2, 3\}$, and $s \geq 3$, the penultimate column in Table 3 presents the upper bounds on $K_{vq}^{\mathcal{R}}(m, s, R)$ obtained by Corollary 11 or Corollary 14. The last column in Table 3 indicates the upper bounds derived by Corollary 18 item (1) or item (2).

Table 3 Sample of new upper bounds on $K_{vq}^{\mathcal{R}}(m, s, R)$ for $m = 2$ or $m = 3$.

v	q	$v \cdot q$	$m = 3$	s	$R = 2s - 1$	Corollary 11	Corollary 18 (1)
2	2	4	3	3	5	208	192
2	2	4	3	4	7	832	768
2	2	4	3	5	9	3328	3072
2	3	6	3	3	5	1116	1008
2	3	6	3	4	7	6696	6048
2	3	6	3	5	9	40176	36288
3	3	9	3	3	5	5913	5103
3	3	9	3	4	7	53217	45927
3	3	9	3	5	9	478953	413343
4	2	8	3	3	5	3648	3072
4	2	8	3	4	7	29184	24576
4	2	8	3	5	9	233472	196608
4	3	12	3	3	5	19152	16128
4	3	12	3	4	7	229824	193536
4	3	12	3	5	9	2757888	2322432

v	q	$v \cdot q$	$m = 2$	s	$R = s$	Corollary 14	Corollary 18 (2)
2	2	4	2	3	3	52	48
2	2	4	2	4	4	208	192
2	2	4	2	5	5	832	768
2	3	6	2	3	3	186	168
2	3	6	2	4	4	1116	1008
2	3	6	2	5	5	6696	6048
3	3	9	2	3	3	657	567
3	3	9	2	4	4	5913	5103
3	3	9	2	5	5	53217	45927
4	2	8	2	3	3	456	384
4	2	8	2	4	4	3648	3072
4	2	8	2	5	5	29184	24576
4	3	12	2	3	3	1596	1344
4	3	12	2	4	4	19152	16128
4	3	12	2	5	5	229824	193536

6 Conclusion and further work

In this work, we obtain new recursive relations and upper bounds for two different but related combinatorial objects: ordered covering arrays (Section 3) and covering codes in NRT spaces (Section 4). In Section 5, we explore new connections between these two objects and improve several upper bounds for covering codes in NRT spaces as exemplified in Tables 2 and 3.

We believe that more results for ordered covering arrays can be explored with different approaches that build on the connections established here. In

addition, results for covering codes can be investigated for any finite poset with analogous connections to appropriate variable strength covering arrays. It is possible that known results on variable strength covering arrays [22, 23] can be used to improve upper bounds in covering codes for other poset metrics. There is a lot to explore in this area as much less is known for covering codes than for minimum distance codes under the poset metric [14].

Acknowledgments

A. G. Castoldi was supported by Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior (CAPES) of Brazil, Science without Borders Program, under Grant Agreement 99999.003758/2014-01.

E. L. Monte Carmelo was partially supported by Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq) - Ministério da Ciência, Tecnologia e Inovação (MCTI), under Grant Agreement 311703/2016-0.

L. Moura, D. Panario, and B. Stevens were supported by discovery grants from Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC).

References

[1] Blokhuis, A., Lam, C.W.H.: More coverings by rook domains. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, **36**(2), 240–244 (1984).

[2] Brualdi, R.A., Graves, J.S., Lawrence, K.M.: Codes with a poset metric. *Discrete Math.*, **147**(1-3), 57–72 (1995).

[3] Carnielli, W.A.: On covering and coloring problems for rook domains. *Discrete Math.*, **57**(1-2), 9–16 (1985).

[4] Castoldi, A.G., Monte Carmelo, E.L.: The covering problem in Rosenbloom-Tsfasman spaces. *Electron. J. Combin.*, **22**(3), paper 3.30 (2015).

[5] Castoldi, A.G., Monte Carmelo, E.L., da Silva, R.: Partial sums of binomials, intersecting numbers, and the excess bound in Rosenbloom-Tsfasman space. *Comput. Appl. Math.*, **38**(55) (2019).

[6] Castoldi, A.G., Monte Carmelo, E.L., Moura L., Panario D., Stevens B.: Bounds on Covering Codes in RT Spaces Using Ordered Covering Arrays. In: Ciric M., Droste M., Pin JÉ. (eds) *Algebraic Informatics. CAI 2019. Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, vol. **11545**, 102-111. Springer (2019).

[7] Castoldi, A.G, Moura, L., Panario, D., Stevens, B.: Ordered orthogonal array construction using LFSR sequences. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, **63**(2), 1336–1347 (2017).

[8] Chateaneuf, M., Kreher, D.L.: On the state of strength-three covering arrays. *J. Combin. Des.* **10**, 217–238 (2002).

- [9] Cohen, G., Honkala, I., Litsyn, S., Lobstein, A.: *Covering Codes*. North Holland Mathematical Library, vol. **54**. Elsevier, Amsterdam (1997).
- [10] Colbourn, C.J.: Combinatorial aspects of covering arrays. *Le Matematiche*, **59**, 125–172 (2004).
- [11] Colbourn, C.J.: Strength two covering arrays: Existence tables and projection. *Discrete Math.*, **308**(5-6), 772–786 (2008).
- [12] Colbourn, C.J., Kéri, G., Soriano, P.P.R., Schlage-Puchta, J.-C.: Covering and radius-covering arrays: Constructions and classification. *Discrete Appl. Math.*, **158**(11), 1158–1180 (2010).
- [13] Colbourn, C. J., Dinitz, J. H.: *Handbook of Combinatorial Designs*, 2nd ed., B. A. Davey and H. A Priestley, Eds. Boca Raton, FL, USA: Chapman & Hall, 2006.
- [14] Firer, M., Alves, M. M. S., Pinheiro, J. A., Panek, L.: *Poset Codes: Partial Orders, Metrics and Coding Theory*. Switzerland, SpringerBriefs in Mathematics (2018).
- [15] Hedayat, S., Sloane, N.J.A., Stufken, J.: *Orthogonal arrays: theory and applications*. New York, Springer (2012).
- [16] Kleitman, D.J., Spencer, J.: Families of k -independent sets. *Discrete Math.*, **6**(3), 255–262 (1973).
- [17] Krikorian, T.: Combinatorial constructions of ordered orthogonal arrays and ordered covering arrays. MSC thesis, Dept. Math., Ryerson Univ., Toronto, ON, Canada (2011).
- [18] Lawrence, K.M.: A combinatorial characterization of (t, m, s) -nets in base b . *J. Combin. Designs*, **4**(4), 275–293 (1996).
- [19] Moura, L., Mullen, G., Panario, D.: Finite field constructions of combinatorial arrays. *Des. Codes and Cryptogr.*, **78**, 197–219 (2016).
- [20] Mullen, G., Schmid, W.: An equivalence between (t, m, s) -nets and strongly orthogonal hypercubes. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, **76**(1), 164–174 (1996).
- [21] Niederreiter, H.: Point sets and sequences with small discrepancy. *Monatsh. Math.*, **104**(4), 273–377 (1987).
- [22] Raaphorst, S.: Variable strength covering arrays. Ph.D. thesis, School Elect. Eng. Comput. Sci., Univ. Ottawa, Ottawa, ON, Canada (2013).

- [23] Raaphorst, S., Moura, L., Stevens, B.: Variable strength covering arrays. *J. Combin. Designs*, **26**(9), 417–438 (2018).
- [24] Rosenbloom, M.Y., Tsfasman, M.A.: Codes for the m -metric. *Problems Inform. Transmission* **33**(1), 45–52 (1997).
- [25] Yildiz, B., Siap, I., Bilgin, T., Yesilot, G.: The covering problem for finite rings with respect to the RT-metric. *Appl. Math. Lett.*, **23**(9), 988–992 (2010).