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#### Abstract

We study functional graphs generated by several quadratic polynomials, acting simultaneously on a finite field of odd characteristic. We obtain several results about the number of leaves in such graphs. In particular, in the case of graphs generated by three polynomials, we relate the distribution of leaves to the Sato-Tate distribution of Frobenius traces of elliptic curves. We also present extensive numerical results which we hope may shed some light on the distribution of leaves for larger families of polynomials.


## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ be the finite field of $q$ elements, where $q$ is a power of some odd prime $p$. Recall that the functional graph of a polynomial $f \in \mathbb{F}_{q}[X]$ is the directed graph $\mathcal{G}(f)$ with nodes labelled by the elements of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ and with an edge from $u$ to $v, u, v \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$ if and only if $f(u)=v$. In recent years, functional graphs over finite fields and their associated dynamical systems have been extensively studied, see [2,18,22,23,31,32] and $[3,5-11,13,15,16,21,26,28,29]$ respectively.

The case of quadratic polynomials has received a special attention, see $[13,22,32]$. It is easy to see that the functional graph of a quadratic polynomial $a X^{2}+b X+c$ is isomorphic to the functional graph of some polynomial $X^{2}+d$ (see, for example, the proof of [18, Theorem 2.1]). Hence, up to isomorphism, it is enough to study functional graphs of polynomials of the form $f(X)=X^{2}+a$. In particular, we see that $(q-1) / 2$ vertices $v \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$ in the graph $\mathcal{G}\left(X^{2}+a\right)$ for which $v-a \notin \mathcal{Q}_{q}$ are leaves (that is, of in-degree 0). Here, $\mathcal{Q}_{q}=\left\{u^{2}: u \in \mathbb{F}_{q}\right\}$ is the set of $(q+1) / 2$ squares in $\mathbb{F}_{q}$.

We now generalise the construction of functional graphs to several polynomials. Namely, given $n$ polynomials $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}[X]$ we define
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the directed graph $\mathcal{G}\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}\right)$ with nodes labelled by elements of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ and with an edge from $u$ to $v, u, v \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$, if and only if $f_{i}(u)=v$ for some $i=1, \ldots, n$.

In this paper, we continue studying the case of quadratic polynomials. For simplicity, we study the graphs $\mathcal{G}\left(X^{2}+a_{1}, \ldots, X^{2}+a_{n}\right)$ with pairwise distinct $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$. In particular, we are interested in the leaves of such graphs. Note that usually a directed graph having less leaves is more likely to have a large cycle.

We remark that for a fixed $n$, the above graphs considered in this paper might not cover all the graphs generated by arbitrary $n$ pairwise distinct quadratic polynomials.

Some of our motivations also come from considering covering $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ by shifted copies $\mathcal{Q}_{q}+a=\left\{u+a: u \in \mathcal{Q}_{q}\right\}$ of the set of squares. Recall that the Paley graph of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$, denoted by $\mathcal{P}_{q}$, is defined to be the graph with nodes labelled by the elements of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ and with an edge from $u$ to $v, u, v \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$ if and only if $v-u$ is a non-zero square. It is easy to see that the domination number of the Paley graph $\mathcal{P}_{q}$ is exactly the minimal number of shifted copies $\mathcal{Q}_{q}+a$ whose union is equal to $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. When $q=p$ is prime and $q \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$, this number is between

$$
\left(\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon\right) \frac{\log q}{\log 2} \quad \text { and } \quad\left\lfloor\frac{\log (q+1)}{\log 2}\right\rfloor
$$

for any fixed $\varepsilon>0$ and sufficiently large $q$; see [19, Theorems 1 and 3]. Note that in [19] the definition of the Paley graph is different from the above, there it has an edge from $u$ to $v$ if and only if $u-v$ is a nonzero square, but this does not change the estimate we just mentioned. We also mention the recent work [24] where a related but independent question has been considered.

We remark that throughout the paper, the implied constants in the ' $O$ ' symbols are absolute.

We state the main theoretical results in Section 2 and prove them one by one in Section 4 after collecting some preliminary results in Section 3. We present the numerical results in Section 5.

## 2. Main Results

2.1. Graphs with or without leaves. First we show that if $n$ is not very large, then any graph $\mathcal{G}\left(X^{2}+a_{1}, \ldots, X^{2}+a_{n}\right)$ with pairwise distinct $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$ has a leaf.

Theorem 2.1. For any positive integer $n$ satisfying

$$
n^{2} 2^{4 n-4}<q
$$

and arbitrary pairwise distinct elements $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$, the directed graph $\mathcal{G}\left(X^{2}+a_{1}, \ldots, X^{2}+a_{n}\right)$ has a leaf.

When $n=2$ and $q \geq 64$, by Theorem 2.1, for any $a_{1} \neq a_{2} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$ the directed graph $\mathcal{G}\left(X^{2}+a_{1}, X^{2}+a_{2}\right)$ has a leaf.

We remark that the condition for $n$ in Theorem 2.1 can be reformulated as

$$
n \leq\left(\frac{1}{4}-\varepsilon\right) \frac{\log q}{\log 2}
$$

for any fixed $\varepsilon>0$ and sufficiently large $q$.
When $q \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$, we obtain the following improvement upon Theorem 2.1.

Theorem 2.2. If $q \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$, then for any positive integer $n$ satisfying

$$
n^{2} 2^{2 n-2}<q
$$

and arbitrary pairwise distinct $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$, the directed graph $\mathcal{G}\left(X^{2}+\right.$ $\left.a_{1}, \ldots, X^{2}+a_{n}\right)$ has a leaf.

Next we show that there is a positive integer $n$ which is not very large and some pairwise distinct $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$ such that the graph $\mathcal{G}\left(X^{2}+a_{1}, \ldots, X^{2}+a_{n}\right)$ has no leaf.

Theorem 2.3. There exist a positive integer $n$ satisfying

$$
n \leq\left\lceil\frac{\log q}{\log 2}\right\rceil
$$

and some pairwise distinct $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$ such that the directed graph $\mathcal{G}\left(X^{2}+a_{1}, \ldots, X^{2}+a_{n}\right)$ has no leaf.

We remark that alternatively we can phrase the conclusion of Theorem 2.3 as the existence of $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$ with

$$
\bigcup_{i=1}^{n}\left(\mathcal{Q}_{q}+a_{i}\right)=\mathbb{F}_{q} .
$$

2.2. Distribution of leaves in graphs. Given $n$ pairwise distinct elements $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$ we denote by $L_{q}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$ the number of leaves in the graph $\mathcal{G}\left(X^{2}+a_{1}, \ldots, X^{2}+a_{n}\right)$. Here we present some estimates about $L_{q}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$.

Clearly, $L_{q}(a)=(q-1) / 2$ for any $a \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$. We also obtain an explicit formula for $L_{q}(a, b)$ for any $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$, see Theorem 2.4 below.

Let $\chi$ be the quadratic character of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$, that is,

$$
\chi(u)= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } u \in \mathcal{Q}_{q}^{*}  \tag{2.1}\\ 0, & \text { if } u=0 \\ -1, & \text { if } u \notin \mathcal{Q}_{q}\end{cases}
$$

where $\mathcal{Q}_{q}^{*}=\mathcal{Q}_{q} \backslash\{0\}$ is the set of non-zero squares (that is, of quadratic residues).

Theorem 2.4. Write $q=4 k+1$ or $4 k+3$ for some integer $k$. For any two distinct elements $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$, we have

$$
L_{q}(a, b)=k+\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
(\chi(a-b)-1) / 2, & \text { if } q \equiv 1 \quad(\bmod 4) \\
0, & \text { if } q \equiv 3
\end{array}(\bmod 4) .\right.
$$

For $n \geq 3$ we do not have a simple and explicit formula, but we can obtain an asymptotic formula for $L_{q}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$.

Theorem 2.5. For any integer $n \geq 1$ and any $n$ pairwise distinct elements $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$, we have

$$
\left|L_{q}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)-2^{-n} q\right|<\frac{2}{3} n q^{1 / 2}
$$

and for $n<2 q^{1 / 2}$, the above factor $2 / 3$ can be replaced by $1 / 2$.
2.3. Connection with elliptic curves. For the case $n=3$, we indeed can give a formula for $L_{q}(a, b, c)$ by relating it to the elliptic curve defined by $a, b, c$.

For any pairwise distinct elements $a, b, c \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$, we define the elliptic curve over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{a, b, c}: \quad Y^{2}=(X-a)(X-b)(X-c), \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

see [30] for a general background on elliptic curves. Let $E_{a, b, c}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ be the set of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-rational poins on $E_{a, b, c}$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{a, b, c}=q+1-\# E_{a, b, c}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

be the Frobenius trace of $E_{a, b, c}$.
Then, we obtain a formula for $L_{q}(a, b, c)$ in terms of $t_{a, b, c}$.
Theorem 2.6. For any pairwise distinct elements $a, b, c \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{q}(a, b, c)-\frac{q}{8} \\
& \qquad=\frac{1}{8}\left(t_{a, b, c}-7\right)+\frac{1}{8}(1+\chi(-1))(\chi(a-b)+\chi(a-c)+\chi(b-c)) \\
& \quad-\frac{1}{8}(\chi((a-b)(a-c))+\chi((b-a)(b-c))+\chi((c-a)(c-b))) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using Theorem 2.6 and the Hasse bound (3.3), we obtain the following improvement upon Theorem 2.5 when $n=3$.

Corollary 2.7. For any pairwise distinct elements $a, b, c \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$, we have

$$
\left|L_{q}(a, b, c)-\frac{q}{8}\right| \leq \frac{1}{4} q^{1 / 2}+2
$$

Finally, for $n=3$ we have a result which in some sense interpolates between the explicit formula of Theorem 2.4 and the upper bound of Theorem 2.5. To formulate the result, we now define the relative error

$$
\Delta_{q}(a, b, c)=\frac{L_{q}(a, b, c)-q / 8}{q^{1 / 2}}
$$

and then define the distribution function

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho_{q}(\sigma, \tau)=\frac{1}{q(q-1)(q-2)} \# & \left\{(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{3}:\right. \\
& \left.a \neq b, a \neq c, b \neq c, \Delta_{q}(a, b, c) \in[\sigma, \tau]\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We present our next result about $\rho_{q}(\sigma, \tau)$ essentially through the socalled Sato-Tate density (see (3.5)), but only for primes $q=p>3$ since it is based on a work of Michel [25] which treats only this case. However we believe that the result can be extended to arbitrary $q$ with $\operatorname{gcd}(q, 6)=1$.

Theorem 2.8. Let $p>3$ be prime. Then, uniformly over $[\sigma, \tau] \subseteq$ $[-1 / 4,1 / 4]$, we have

$$
\rho_{p}(\sigma, \tau)=\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{4 \sigma}^{4 \tau}\left(1-z^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \mathrm{~d} z+O\left(p^{-1 / 4}\right)
$$

## 3. Preliminaries

3.1. Intersections of shifted squares. The questions we consider in this paper are closely related to the intersections of values sets $\left\{f(u): u \in \mathbb{F}_{q}\right\}$ of polynomials $f(X)=X^{2}+a \in \mathbb{F}_{q}[X]$ (that is, intersections between the shifted sets $\mathcal{Q}_{q}+a$ of squares). We have the following lemma on the cardinality of the intersection of shifted squares,

Lemma 3.1. For any pairwise distinct elements $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$, we have

$$
\left|\#\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{n}\left(\mathcal{Q}+a_{i}\right)\right)-\frac{q}{2^{n}}\right|<\frac{1}{2} n q^{1 / 2}
$$

Proof. The case $n=1$ is trivial. In the sequel, we assume $n \geq 2$.
Recall that $\chi$ is the quadratic character of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$, see (2.1). Note that for any $u \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{n}\left(\mathcal{Q}+a_{i}\right)$, we have that $u-a_{i}$ is a square in $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ for any $i=1, \ldots, n$. Then, by definition, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \#\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{n}\left(\mathcal{Q}+a_{i}\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2^{n}} \sum_{u \in \mathbb{F}_{q} \backslash\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\}}\left(\chi\left(u-a_{1}\right)+1\right) \cdots\left(\chi\left(u-a_{n}\right)+1\right) \\
& \quad+\frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\chi\left(a_{i}-a_{1}\right)+1\right) \cdots\left(\chi\left(a_{i}-a_{n}\right)+1\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2^{n}} \sum_{u \in \mathbb{F}_{q}}\left(\chi\left(u-a_{1}\right)+1\right) \cdots\left(\chi\left(u-a_{n}\right)+1\right) \\
& \quad+\frac{1}{2^{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\chi\left(a_{i}-a_{1}\right)+1\right) \cdots\left(\chi\left(a_{i}-a_{n}\right)+1\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By multiplying out the binomials in the first summation above and using the multiplicativity of $\chi$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\#\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{n}\left(\mathcal{Q}+a_{i}\right)\right)=\frac{q}{2^{n}} & +\frac{1}{2^{n}}\left(\sum_{u \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} \chi\left(u-a_{1}\right)+\cdots+\sum_{u \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} \chi\left(u-a_{n}\right)\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{2^{n}} \sum_{1 \leq i<j \leq n} \sum_{u \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} \chi\left(\left(u-a_{i}\right)\left(u-a_{j}\right)\right)+\cdots \\
& +\frac{1}{2^{n}} \sum_{u \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} \chi\left(\left(u-a_{1}\right) \cdots\left(u-a_{n}\right)\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{2^{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\chi\left(a_{i}-a_{1}\right)+1\right) \cdots\left(\chi\left(a_{i}-a_{n}\right)+1\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, we estimate the last summation in the above. Recall that $q$ is an odd prime power. When $q \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$, we know that -1 is not a square in $\mathbb{F}_{q}$, and so for any $i \neq j$, if $\chi\left(a_{j}-a_{i}\right)=1$ then $\chi\left(a_{i}-a_{j}\right)=-1$, and then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2^{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\chi\left(a_{i}-a_{1}\right)+1\right) \cdots\left(\chi\left(a_{i}-a_{n}\right)+1\right) \leq \frac{1}{2^{n}} \cdot 2^{n-1}=\frac{1}{2} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

since the sum on the left-hand side has at most one non-zero term. Indeed, if this sum has one non-zero term, then for some $j \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$
we have

$$
\chi\left(a_{j}-a_{1}\right)=\cdots=\chi\left(a_{j}-a_{n}\right)=1 .
$$

Then, as we have noticed, for any $i \neq j$ we have $\chi\left(a_{i}-a_{j}\right)=-1$ and the corresponding term vanishes.

When $q \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$, we know that -1 is a square in $\mathbb{F}_{q}$, and so

$$
\frac{1}{2^{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\chi\left(a_{i}-a_{1}\right)+1\right) \cdots\left(\chi\left(a_{i}-a_{n}\right)+1\right)=\frac{1}{2^{n}} \cdot k \cdot 2^{n-1}=\frac{k}{2}
$$

where $k$ is the number of non-vanishing terms in the sum on the lefthand side. Without loss of generality, we assume that $k \geq 2$ and the non-vanishing terms correspond to $i=1,2, \ldots, k$. Then, $a_{i}-a_{j}$ is a square for any $1 \leq i, j \leq k$, which means that $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}$ form a clique in the Paley graph $\mathcal{P}_{q}$. Using the trivial upper bound on the clique number of $\mathcal{P}_{q}$ (see [33, Lemma 1.2]), we have $k \leq q^{1 / 2}$, which implies in this case

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2^{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\chi\left(a_{i}-a_{1}\right)+1\right) \cdots\left(\chi\left(a_{i}-a_{n}\right)+1\right) \leq \frac{1}{2} q^{1 / 2} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, combining (3.1) with (3.2), we always have

$$
\frac{1}{2^{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\chi\left(a_{i}-a_{1}\right)+1\right) \cdots\left(\chi\left(a_{i}-a_{n}\right)+1\right) \leq \frac{1}{2} q^{1 / 2}
$$

In addition, note that

$$
\sum_{u \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} \chi(u)=0
$$

see [20, Equation (5.37)]. Then, these, together with the Weil bound (see [14, Theorem 11.23] or [20, Theorem 5.41]), give

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\#\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{n}\left(\mathcal{Q}+a_{i}\right)\right)-\frac{q}{2^{n}} \right\rvert\, \\
& \begin{aligned}
\leq & \frac{1}{2^{n}}\left(\binom{n}{2}+\binom{n}{3} 2+\cdots+\binom{n}{n}(n-1)\right) q^{1 / 2}+\frac{1}{2} q^{1 / 2} \\
= & \frac{1}{2^{n}}\left(\binom{n}{1}+\binom{n}{2} 2+\cdots+\binom{n}{n} n\right) q^{1 / 2} \\
& \quad-\frac{1}{2^{n}}\left(\binom{n}{1}+\binom{n}{2}+\cdots+\binom{n}{n}\right) q^{1 / 2}+\frac{1}{2} q^{1 / 2} \\
= & \frac{n 2^{n-1}}{2^{n}} q^{1 / 2}-\frac{2^{n}-1}{2^{n}} q^{1 / 2}+\frac{1}{2} q^{1 / 2} \\
= & \left(\frac{n}{2}+\frac{1}{2^{n}}-\frac{1}{2}\right) q^{1 / 2}<\frac{1}{2} n q^{1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last inequality follows from $n \geq 2$. This completes the proof.

We remark that the estimate in Lemma 3.1 is somehow well-known; see, for example, [27, Corollary 5] for the case when $q$ is a prime (the proof there extends to any odd prime power $q$ without any changes). But the error term in [27, Corollary 5] is $n\left(3+q^{1 / 2}\right)$, which is worse than the one in Lemma 3.1.
3.2. Intersections of arbitrary sets and shifted squares. One of our main technical tools is the following result which may be of independent interest.

Lemma 3.2. For any subset $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q}$ of cardinality $\# \mathcal{S}=s$, there exists some element $a \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$ such that

$$
\#\left(\mathcal{S} \cap\left(\mathcal{Q}_{q}+a\right)\right) \geq \frac{1}{2} s
$$

Proof. We recall that $\chi$ denotes the quadratic character of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$, see (2.1). Clearly

$$
\begin{aligned}
\#\left(\mathcal{S} \cap\left(\mathcal{Q}_{q}+a\right)\right) & \geq \#\left(\mathcal{S} \cap\left(\mathcal{Q}_{q}^{*}+a\right)\right) \\
& =\sum_{u \in \mathcal{S}} \frac{1}{2}(\chi(u-a)+1)=\frac{1}{2} s+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{u \in \mathcal{S}} \chi(u-a) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, changing the order of summation, we derive

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} \#\left(\mathcal{S} \cap\left(\mathcal{Q}_{q}^{*}+a\right)\right) & =\frac{1}{2} s q+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} \sum_{u \in \mathcal{S}} \chi(u-a) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} s q+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{u \in \mathcal{S}} \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} \chi(u-a)=\frac{1}{2} s q
\end{aligned}
$$

The result now follows.
3.3. Sato-Tate distributions in families of elliptic curves. Let $p>3$ be a prime number.

By a classical result of Birch [4] we know that the Frobenius traces of elliptic curves in the Weierstrass form (defined similarly to (2.3)),

$$
Y^{2}=X^{3}+a X+b
$$

is distributed in accordance with the Sato-Tate density when $a$ and $b$ vary over all elements of $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ with $4 a^{2}+27 b^{3} \neq 0$.

However here we need a similar result for the family of curves $E_{a, b, c}$ given by (2.2).

First, we recall that the famous Hasse bound (see [30, Chapter V, Theorem 1.1]) asserts that for any pairwise distinct $(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{3}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|t_{a, b, c}\right| \leq 2 q^{1 / 2} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, we can define the Frobenius angle $\psi_{a, b, c} \in[0, \pi]$ by the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cos \psi_{a, b, c}=\frac{t_{a, b, c}}{2 p^{1 / 2}} . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now recall the definition of the Sato-Tate density which for $[\alpha, \beta] \subseteq[0, \pi]$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{\mathrm{ST}}(\alpha, \beta)=\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \sin ^{2} \vartheta \mathrm{~d} \vartheta=\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{\cos \beta}^{\cos \alpha}\left(1-z^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \mathrm{~d} z \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $[\alpha, \beta] \subseteq[0, \pi]$.
Lemma 3.3. Let $p>3$ be prime. Then, uniformly over $[\alpha, \beta] \subseteq[0, \pi]$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\#\left\{(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{3}: a \neq b, a \neq c, b \neq c,\right. & \left.\psi_{a, b, c} \in[\alpha, \beta]\right\} \\
& =\mu_{\mathrm{ST}}(\alpha, \beta) p^{3}+O\left(p^{11 / 4}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Making the change of variable $X \rightarrow X+c$, we see that it is enough to show that

$$
\#\left\{(a, b) \in\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}^{*}\right)^{2}: a \neq b, \psi_{a, b} \in[\alpha, \beta]\right\}=\mu_{\mathrm{ST}}(\alpha, \beta) p^{2}+O\left(p^{7 / 4}\right)
$$

where $\psi_{a, b}$ is defined similarly as $\psi_{a, b, c}$ but with respect to the twoparametric family of elliptic curves

$$
E_{a, b}: \quad Y^{2}=X(X-a)(X-b)=X^{3}-(a+b) X^{2}+a b X
$$

Next, using $p>3$ we define $\xi \in \mathbb{F}_{p}$ by the equation $3 \xi=1$ and make yet another change of variable $X \rightarrow X+\xi(a+b)$, and thus reduce our problem to prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\#\left\{(a, b) \in\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}^{*}\right)^{2}: a \neq b, \widetilde{\psi}_{a, b} \in[\alpha, \beta]\right\}=\mu_{\mathrm{ST}}(\alpha, \beta) p^{2}+O\left(p^{7 / 4}\right) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\widetilde{\psi}_{a, b}$ is the Frobenius angle of the curve

$$
\widetilde{E}_{a, b}: \quad Y^{2}=X^{3}+f(a, b) X+g(a, b),
$$

which is now in the Weierstrass form with the coefficients

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(a, b)=-\xi(a+b)^{2}+a b, \\
& g(a, b)=\left(\xi^{3}-\xi^{2}\right)(a+b)^{3}+\xi a b(a+b)
\end{aligned}
$$

For every $b \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{*}$, we consider the polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_{p}[T]$ :

$$
\Delta_{b}(T)=4\left(\xi(T+b)^{2}-b T\right)^{3}-27\left(\left(\xi^{3}-\xi^{2}\right)(T+b)^{3}+\xi b T(T+b)\right)^{2}
$$

which, due to $3 \xi=1$, is in fact of degree 4 with the non-vanishing leading coefficient $b^{2}$. Now, using [1, Theorem 2.1], (in the very special case of $\mathcal{G}=\mathbb{F}_{p}^{*}$ ), which in turn is based on a result of Michel [25, Proposition 1.1] we derive that for every $b \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{*}$ we have

$$
\#\left\{a \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{*}: \Delta_{b}(a) \neq 0, \widetilde{\psi}_{a, b} \in[\alpha, \beta]\right\}=\mu_{\mathrm{ST}}(\alpha, \beta) p+O\left(p^{3 / 4}\right)
$$

which implies (3.6) and concludes the proof.
Remark 3.4. It is possible that the approach of Kaplan and Petrow [17], see in particular [17, Proposition 1], can provide an alternative approach to the proof of Lemma 3.3 and extend it to arbitrary finite fields, however with a weaker and less explicit error term just of the form $o\left(q^{3}\right)$.

## 4. Proofs of the main results

4.1. Proof of Theorem 2.1. Using the inclusion-exclusion formula, we write

$$
\#\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n}\left(\mathcal{Q}_{q}+a_{i}\right)\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{n}(-1)^{j-1} \sum_{\substack{\mathcal{J} \subseteq\{1, \ldots, n\} \\ \# \mathcal{J}=j}} \#\left(\bigcap_{i \in \mathcal{I}}\left(\mathcal{Q}_{q}+a_{i}\right)\right) .
$$

We now recall Lemma 3.1 and derive

$$
\left|\#\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n}\left(\mathcal{Q}_{q}+a_{i}\right)\right)-q \sum_{j=1}^{n}(-1)^{j+1}\binom{n}{j} 2^{-j}\right|<\frac{1}{2} q^{1 / 2} \sum_{j=1}^{n}\binom{n}{j} j,
$$

which further becomes

$$
\left|\#\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n}\left(\mathcal{Q}_{q}+a_{i}\right)\right)-q\left(1-2^{-n}\right)\right|<\frac{1}{2} n 2^{n-1} q^{1 / 2}=n 2^{n-2} q^{1 / 2}
$$

So, we obtain

$$
\#\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n}\left(\mathcal{Q}_{q}+a_{i}\right)\right)<q\left(1-2^{-n}\right)+n 2^{n-2} q^{1 / 2}
$$

Then, if $q\left(1-2^{-n}\right)+n 2^{n-2} q^{1 / 2}<q$, we equivalently have

$$
n^{2} 2^{4 n-4}<q
$$

The desired result now follows.
4.2. Proof of Theorem 2.2. Applying the same arguments as in the proof of the theorem in [12] and using the Weil bound (see [14, Theorem 11.23] or [20, Theorem 5.41]) instead of Burgess' bound as in [12] on character sums, we obtain that when $q \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$, if $q>n^{2} 2^{2 n-2}$, then for any $n$ pairwise distinct elements $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$, there exists an element $u \in \mathbb{F}_{q} \backslash\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\}$ such that $a_{i}-u \in \mathcal{Q}_{q}$ for any $i=1, \ldots, n$. This in fact refers to the Schütte property of the Paley graph $\mathcal{P}_{q}$.

Then, due to $q \equiv 3(\bmod 4),-1$ is not a square, and so $u-a_{i} \notin \mathcal{Q}_{q}$ for any $i=1, \ldots, n$. That is, $u \notin \bigcup_{i=1}^{n}\left(\mathcal{Q}_{q}+a_{i}\right)$. So, $u$ is a leaf of the directed graph $\mathcal{G}\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}\right)$ of the polynomials $f_{i}(X)=X^{2}+a_{i}$, $i=1, \ldots, n$. This completes the proof.
4.3. Proof of Theorem 2.3. We set $a_{1}=0$ and then define the subset

$$
\mathcal{S}_{1}=\mathbb{F}_{q} \backslash \mathcal{Q}_{q}
$$

Clearly, $\# \mathcal{S}_{1}=(q-1) / 2<q / 2$. By Lemma 3.2, there is an element $a_{2} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$ such that the set

$$
\mathcal{S}_{2}=\mathcal{S}_{1} \backslash\left\{u^{2}+a_{2}: u \in \mathbb{F}_{q}\right\}
$$

is of cardinality

$$
\# \mathcal{S}_{2} \leq \frac{1}{2} \# \mathcal{S}_{1}<\frac{q}{2^{2}}
$$

If $a_{2}=a_{1}$, then $\mathcal{S}_{2}=\mathcal{S}_{1}$, which contradicts with the above inequality. So, $a_{2} \neq a_{1}$.

Hence, using Lemma 3.2, step by step we can construct a list of subsets $\mathcal{S}_{k} \subseteq \ldots \subseteq \mathcal{S}_{1}$ with pairwise distinct $a_{1}=0, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{k} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$ :

$$
\mathcal{S}_{i}=\mathcal{S}_{i-1} \backslash\left\{u^{2}+a_{i}: u \in \mathbb{F}_{q}\right\}, i=2, \ldots, k,
$$

of cardinalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
\# \mathcal{S}_{i}<\frac{q}{2^{i}}, \quad i=1, \ldots, k \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, let

$$
k=\left\lceil\frac{\log q}{\log 2}\right\rceil .
$$

Then, we have $2^{k} \geq 2^{\log q / \log 2}=q$. So, noticing (4.1), in this case we must have $\mathcal{S}_{k}=\emptyset$.

Hence, by construction, there exists some positive integer $n \leq\left\lceil\frac{\log q}{\log 2}\right\rceil$ such that

$$
\bigcup_{i=1}^{n}\left(\mathcal{Q}_{q}+a_{i}\right)=\mathbb{F}_{q}
$$

which concludes the proof.
4.4. Proof of Theorem 2.4. Clearly, $a$ and $b$ are not leaves of $\mathcal{G}\left(X^{2}+\right.$ $\left.a, X^{2}+b\right)$. Furthermore, an element $u \in \mathbb{F}_{q} \backslash\{a, b\}$ is a leaf if and only if

$$
\chi(u-a)=-1 \quad \text { and } \quad \chi(u-b)=-1
$$

which is equivalent to

$$
\frac{1}{4}(1-\chi(u-a))(1-\chi(u-b))= \begin{cases}1, & u \text { is a leaf, } \\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Hence, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
L_{q}(a, b) & =\frac{1}{4} \sum_{u \in \mathbb{F}_{q} \backslash\{a, b\}}(1-\chi(u-a))(1-\chi(u-b))  \tag{4.2}\\
& =\frac{1}{4}\left(q-2+S_{a, b}-S_{a}-S_{b}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

where, using the multiplicativity of $\chi$, and the fact that $\chi(0)=0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{a, b}=\sum_{u \in \mathbb{F}_{q} \backslash\{a, b\}} \chi((u-a)(u-b))=\sum_{u \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} \chi((u-a)(u-b)), \\
& S_{a}=\sum_{u \in \mathbb{F}_{q} \backslash\{a, b\}} \chi(u-a)=\sum_{u \in \mathbb{F}_{q} \backslash\{b\}} \chi(u-a)=\sum_{u \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} \chi(u-a)-\chi(b-a), \\
& S_{b}=\sum_{u \in \mathbb{F}_{q} \backslash\{a, b\}} \chi(u-b)=\sum_{u \in \mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{F}^{\prime} \backslash\{a\}}} \chi(u-b)=\sum_{u \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} \chi(u-b)-\chi(a-b) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By [20, Theorem 5.48], since $a \neq b$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a, b}=-1 \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, since $\sum_{u \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} \chi(u)=0$ (see [20, Equation (5.37)]), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{a} & =\sum_{u \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} \chi(u-a)-\chi(b-a)  \tag{4.4}\\
& =\sum_{u \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} \chi(u)-\chi(b-a)=-\chi(b-a),
\end{align*}
$$

and similarly

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{b} & =\sum_{u \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} \chi(u-b)-\chi(a-b) \\
& =\sum_{u \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} \chi(u)-\chi(a-b)=-\chi(a-b) . \tag{4.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting (4.3), (4.4) and (4.5) into (4.2), we derive

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{q}(a, b) & =\frac{1}{4}(q-3+\chi(b-a)+\chi(a-b)) \\
& =\frac{1}{4}(q-3+(\chi(-1)+1) \chi(a-b)) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
\chi(-1)=(-1)^{(q-1) / 2}= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } q \equiv 1 \quad(\bmod 4) \\ -1, & \text { if } q \equiv 3 \quad(\bmod 4)\end{cases}
$$

we derive the desired result.
4.5. Proof of Theorem 2.5. The case $n=1$ is trivial. Moreover, when $n=q$, that is $\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\}=\mathbb{F}_{q}$, clearly there is no leaf, and so the desired result holds in this case. In the sequel, we assume $2 \leq n \leq q-1$.

Clearly, $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$ are all not leaves of the graph $\mathcal{G}\left(X^{2}+a_{1}, \ldots, X^{2}+\right.$ $\left.a_{n}\right)$. As in the proof of Theorem 2.4, for $u \in \mathbb{F}_{q} \backslash\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\}$ we have

$$
2^{-n} \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(1-\chi\left(u-a_{i}\right)\right)= \begin{cases}1, & u \text { is a leaf } \\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Hence, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{q}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) & =2^{-n} \sum_{u \in \mathbb{F}_{q} \backslash\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\}} \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(1-\chi\left(u-a_{i}\right)\right) \\
& =2^{-n} \sum_{\mathcal{J} \subseteq\{1, \ldots, n\}}(-1)^{\# \mathcal{J}} S_{\mathcal{J}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
S_{\mathcal{J}}=\sum_{u \in \mathbb{F}_{q} \backslash\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\}} \prod_{i \in \mathcal{J}} \chi\left(u-a_{i}\right)=\sum_{u \in \mathbb{F}_{q} \backslash\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\}} \chi\left(\prod_{i \in \mathcal{J}}\left(u-a_{i}\right)\right) .
$$

For the case when $\mathcal{J}=\emptyset$, the product is equal to one for every $u$, hence the contribution from this case is

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\emptyset}=q-n . \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|L_{q}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)-2^{-n} q\right| \leq 2^{-n} n+2^{-n} \sum_{\substack{\mathcal{J} \subseteq\{1, \ldots, n\} \\ \mathcal{J} \neq \emptyset}}\left|S_{\mathcal{J}}\right| \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any non-empty subset $\mathcal{J} \subseteq\{1, \ldots, n\}$, adding back the contribution from $u \in\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\}$ which is at most $n-\# \mathcal{J}$, and using the Weil bound for sums of muliplicative characters, see [20, Theorem 5.41], we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|S_{\mathcal{J}}\right| \leq(\# \mathcal{J}-1) q^{1 / 2}+n-\# \mathcal{J} . \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (4.8) into (4.7), and collecting the subsets $\mathcal{J}$ of the same cardinality $j=\# \mathcal{J}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|L_{q}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)-2^{-n} q\right| & \leq 2^{-n} n+2^{-n} \sum_{j=1}^{n}\binom{n}{j}\left((j-1) q^{1 / 2}+n-j\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} n+2^{-n} q^{1 / 2} \sum_{j=1}^{n}\binom{n}{j}(j-1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{n}\binom{n}{j}(j-1)=\sum_{j=1}^{n}\binom{n}{j} j-2^{n}+1=n 2^{n-1}-2^{n}+1
$$

we derive

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|L_{q}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)-2^{-n} q\right| & \leq \frac{1}{2} n+2^{-n} q^{1 / 2}\left(n 2^{n-1}-2^{n}+1\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} n+\left(\frac{n}{2}+\frac{1}{2^{n}}-1\right) q^{1 / 2}  \tag{4.9}\\
& <\frac{2}{3} n q^{1 / 2},
\end{align*}
$$

where the last inequality is trivial if $q \geq 9$, and for $q=3,5,7$, one can verify it by direct computation and noticing $2 \leq n \leq q-1$. This completes the proof of the first part of Theorem 2.5.

Now, to replace the factor $2 / 3$ by $1 / 2$ in the last inequality in (4.9), it is equivalent to ensure that

$$
\frac{1}{2} n+\left(\frac{1}{2^{n}}-1\right) q^{1 / 2}<0
$$

which equivalently becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
n^{2}<4 q-\left(\frac{1}{2^{n-3}}-\frac{1}{2^{2 n-2}}\right) q \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $q$ is an odd prime power and $2 \leq n \leq q-1$, the inequality (4.10) holds when $n=2,3,4$. So, in the following we assume $5 \leq n \leq q-1$ (automatically, $q \geq 7$ ).

Since $n \geq 5$, we have

$$
4 q-\left(\frac{1}{2^{n-3}}-\frac{1}{2^{2 n-2}}\right) q \geq 4 q-\left(\frac{1}{2^{2}}-\frac{1}{2^{8}}\right) q=\frac{961}{256} q .
$$

So, if

$$
n^{2}<\frac{961}{256} q
$$

(that is, $n<\frac{31}{16} q^{1 / 2}$ ), then the inequality (4.10) automatically holds.
If

$$
n^{2} \geq \frac{961}{256} q
$$

(that is, $n \geq \frac{31}{16} q^{1 / 2}$ ), then we deduce that

$$
\frac{q}{2^{n-3}} \leq \frac{q}{2^{\frac{31}{16} \sqrt{q}-3}} \leq \frac{7}{2^{\frac{31}{16} \sqrt{7}-3}}<2
$$

which, together with the fact that $n^{2} \neq 4 q-1$ (because $n^{2} \not \equiv-1$ $(\bmod 4))$, implies that in this case the inequality $(4.10)$ is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
n^{2}<4 q \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, when $n^{2}<4 q$ (that is, $n<2 q^{1 / 2}$ ), the inequality (4.10) always holds, and so the factor $2 / 3$ in the last inequality in (4.9) can be replaced by $1 / 2$. This completes the proof.
4.6. Proof of Theorem 2.6. As in the proof of Theorem 2.4 and 2.5, we have the following analogue of (4.2), where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{q}(a, b, c)= \frac{1}{8} \sum_{u \in \mathbb{F}_{q} \backslash\{a, b, c\}}(1-\chi(u-a))(1-\chi(u-b))(1-\chi(u-c)) \\
&= \frac{1}{8}(q-3)- \\
& \frac{1}{8}\left(S_{a}+S_{b}+S_{c}\right) \\
&+\frac{1}{8}\left(S_{a, b}+S_{a, c}+S_{b, c}\right)-\frac{1}{8} S_{a, b, c}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{a} & =\sum_{u \in \mathbb{F}_{q} \backslash\{a, b, c\}} \chi(u-a)=\sum_{u \in \mathbb{F}_{q} \backslash\{b, c\}} \chi(u-a) \\
& =\sum_{u \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} \chi(u-a)-\chi(b-a)-\chi(c-a) \\
& =-\chi(b-a)-\chi(c-a), \\
S_{b} & =\sum_{u \in \mathbb{F}_{q} \backslash\{a, b, c\}} \chi(u-b)=-\chi(a-b)-\chi(c-b), \\
S_{c} & =\sum_{u \in \mathbb{F}_{q} \backslash\{a, b, c\}} \chi(u-c)=-\chi(a-c)-\chi(b-c),
\end{aligned}
$$

and (noticing (4.3))

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{a, b}= & \sum_{u \in \mathbb{F}_{q} \backslash\{a, b, c\}} \chi((u-a)(u-b))=\sum_{u \in \mathbb{F}_{q} \backslash\{c\}} \chi((u-a)(u-b)) \\
& =\sum_{u \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} \chi((u-a)(u-b))-\chi((c-a)(c-b)) \\
& =-1-\chi((c-a)(c-b)), \\
S_{a, c}= & \sum_{u \in \mathbb{F}_{q} \backslash\{a, b, c\}} \chi((u-a)(u-c))=-1-\chi((b-a)(b-c)), \\
S_{b, c}= & \sum_{u \in \mathbb{F}_{q} \backslash\{a, b, c\}} \chi((u-b)(u-c))=-1-\chi((a-b)(a-c)),
\end{aligned}
$$

and finally

$$
S_{a, b, c}=\sum_{u \in \mathbb{F}_{q} \backslash\{a, b, c\}} \chi((u-a)(u-b)(u-c)) .
$$

So, it remains to compute $S_{a, b, c}$.
Note that for any $u \in \mathbb{F}_{q} \backslash\{a, b, c\}$, we have that $\chi((u-a)(u-b)(u-$ $c))=1$ if and only if $(u-a)(u-b)(u-c)$ is a non-zero square in
$\mathbb{F}_{q}$, which is equivalent to that $(u, \pm y) \in E_{a, b, c}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ for some non-zero $y \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$. Since $(a, 0),(b, 0),(c, 0) \in E_{a, b, c}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$, we obtain that there are exactly

$$
\frac{\# E_{a, b, c}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)-3}{2}
$$

elements $u \in \mathbb{F}_{q} \backslash\{a, b, c\}$ such that $\chi((u-a)(u-b)(u-c))=1$. So, there are exactly

$$
q-3-\frac{\# E_{a, b, c}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)-3}{2}
$$

elements $u \in \mathbb{F}_{q} \backslash\{a, b, c\}$ such that $\chi((u-a)(u-b)(u-c))=-1$. Hence, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{a, b, c} & =\frac{\# E_{a, b, c}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)-3}{2}-\left(q-3-\frac{\# E_{a, b, c}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)-3}{2}\right) \\
& =\# E_{a, b, c}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)-q=1-t_{a, b, c} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, collecting the above computations we obtain the desired result.
4.7. Proof of Corollary 2.7. By Theorem 2.6 and using the Hasse bound (3.3), we obtain

$$
\left|L_{q}(a, b, c)-\frac{q}{8}\right|=\frac{1}{8}\left|t_{a, b, c}\right|+\frac{7}{8}+\frac{3}{4}+\frac{3}{8} \leq \frac{1}{4} q^{1 / 2}+2 .
$$

This completes the proof.
4.8. Proof of Theorem 2.8. By Theorem 2.6, we have

$$
L_{p}(a, b, c)-\frac{p}{8}=\frac{1}{8} t_{a, b, c}+O(1) .
$$

Hence

$$
\Delta_{p}(a, b, c)=\frac{1}{8 p^{1 / 2}} t_{a, b, c}+O\left(p^{-1 / 2}\right)=\frac{1}{4} \cos \psi_{a, b, c}+O\left(p^{-1 / 2}\right) .
$$

where $\psi_{a, b, c} \in[0, \pi]$ is defined by (3.4). Hence,

$$
\Delta_{p}(a, b, c) \in[\sigma, \tau]
$$

is equivalent to

$$
\psi_{a, b, c} \in[\alpha, \beta]
$$

for some $[\alpha, \beta] \subseteq[0, \pi]$ with

$$
\alpha=\arccos (4 \tau)+O\left(p^{-1 / 2}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \beta=\arccos (4 \sigma)+O\left(p^{-1 / 2}\right)
$$

Recalling Lemma 3.3 we obtain the desired result.

## 5. Numerical Results

5.1. Outline. Here we present extensive numerical results in order to provide some insights on the distribution of leaves for functional graphs of families of quadratic polynomials. These results show highly regular behaviour of several parameters associated with our functional graphs. Hence, we hope that they may lead to future conjectures and consequently to their resolutions.
5.2. Set-up. Recall that $q$ is some power of an odd prime $p$.

It is clear that naively considering graphs built from all possible combinations of $n$ polynomials in their generic forms (that is, with all possible coefficients from $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ ) leads to inefficiency and unacceptable computational delays.

In order to try large $n$ and large $q$, here we only consider the graphs $\mathcal{G}\left(X^{2}+a_{1}, \ldots, X^{2}+a_{n}\right)$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ with pairwise distinct $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n} \in$ $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. Our purpose is to numerically study the distribution of leaves for these graphs. The most natural way is to make computations for all these graphs, which we call the brute force approach. Clearly, the total number of these graphs is the binomial coefficient:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{q}{n} \sim \frac{q^{n}}{n!}, \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the asymptotic formula holds when $n$ is fixed and $q$ tends to the infinity.

In the sequel we present an approach, which we call the simplified approach, to numerically study the distribution of leaves for such graphs. We use this approach in our computations.

The following lemma enables us to design the simplified approach.
Lemma 5.1. Let $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$ be pairwise distinct. Then, for any $u \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}$ the graph $\mathcal{G}\left(X^{2}+a_{1} u^{2}, \ldots, X^{2}+a_{n} u^{2}\right)$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ has the same number of leaves as the graph $\mathcal{G}\left(X^{2}+a_{1}, \ldots, X^{2}+a_{n}\right)$.

Proof. First, we define a bijection, say $\varphi$, from $\mathcal{G}\left(X^{2}+a_{1}, \ldots, X^{2}+a_{n}\right)$ to $\mathcal{G}\left(X^{2}+a_{1} u^{2}, \ldots, X^{2}+a_{n} u^{2}\right)$ by setting $\varphi(x)=u^{2} x$ for any $x \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$. Then, it suffices to show that a vertex $y$ in $\mathcal{G}\left(X^{2}+a_{1}, \ldots, X^{2}+a_{n}\right)$ is not a leaf if and only if the vertex $u^{2} y$ in $\mathcal{G}\left(X^{2}+a_{1} u^{2}, \ldots, X^{2}+a_{n} u^{2}\right)$ is not a leaf.

If a vertex $y$ in $\mathcal{G}\left(X^{2}+a_{1}, \ldots, X^{2}+a_{n}\right)$ is not a leaf, then there are some $x \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$ and $a_{i}$ such that $y=x^{2}+a_{i}$, which gives $u^{2} y=$ $(u x)^{2}+a_{i} u^{2}$, and so the vertex $u^{2} y$ is also not a leaf in the graph $\mathcal{G}\left(X^{2}+a_{1} u^{2}, \ldots, X^{2}+a_{n} u^{2}\right)$. Similarly, we can show that if $u^{2} y$ is
not a leaf in $\mathcal{G}\left(X^{2}+a_{1} u^{2}, \ldots, X^{2}+a_{n} u^{2}\right)$, then $y$ is also not a leaf in $\mathcal{G}\left(X^{2}+a_{1}, \ldots, X^{2}+a_{n}\right)$. This completes the proof.

Now, we fix a non-square, say $\lambda$, in $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. For any integer $k \geq 0$, let $N_{k}$ be the number of the graphs $\mathcal{G}\left(X^{2}+a_{1}, \ldots, X^{2}+a_{n}\right)$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ with pairwise distinct $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$ and with (exactly) $k$ leaves. For any integer $k \geq 0$ and $a_{1} \in\{0,1, \lambda\}$, let $N_{k}^{a_{1}}$ be the number of the graphs $\mathcal{G}\left(X^{2}+a_{1}, \ldots, X^{2}+a_{n}\right)$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ with pairwise distinct $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$, $a_{2} \cdots a_{n} \neq 0$ and with $k$ leaves.
Lemma 5.2. For any integer $k \geq 0$, we have

$$
N_{k}=N_{k}^{0}+\frac{(q-1)\left(N_{k}^{1}+N_{k}^{\lambda}\right)}{2 n}
$$

Proof. First, clearly we have

$$
N_{k}=N_{k}^{0}+N_{k}^{*}
$$

where $N_{k}^{*}$ is the number of the graphs $\mathcal{G}\left(X^{2}+a_{1}, \ldots, X^{2}+a_{n}\right)$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ with pairwise distinct $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}$ and with $k$ leaves.

By Lemma 5.1, for each graph $\mathcal{G}\left(X^{2}+a_{1}, \ldots, X^{2}+a_{n}\right)$ contributing to $N_{k}^{1}$ or $N_{k}^{\lambda}$, the graph $\mathcal{G}\left(X^{2}+u^{2} a_{1}, \ldots, X^{2}+u^{2} a_{n}\right)$ contributes to $N_{k}^{*}$ for any $u \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}$. This leads to the quantity $\frac{q-1}{2}\left(N_{k}^{1}+N_{k}^{\lambda}\right)$.

In addition, each graph $\mathcal{G}\left(X^{2}+a_{1}, \ldots, X^{2}+a_{n}\right)$ contributing to $N_{k}^{*}$ is counted $n$ times in $\frac{q-1}{2}\left(N_{k}^{1}+N_{k}^{\lambda}\right)$. Indeed, each graph $\mathcal{G}\left(X^{2}+\right.$ $\left.a_{1}, \ldots, X^{2}+a_{n}\right)$ is essentially generated by the set $\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\}$. For each $i$ with $1 \leq i \leq n,\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\}=a_{i} \cdot\left\{a_{i}^{-1} a_{1}, \ldots, a_{i}^{-1} a_{n}\right\}$ corresponding to a graph counted in $\frac{q-1}{2} N_{k}^{1}$ if $a_{i}$ is a square, and otherwise, $\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\}=\lambda^{-1} a_{i} \cdot\left\{\lambda a_{i}^{-1} a_{1}, \ldots, \lambda a_{i}^{-1} a_{n}\right\}$ corresponding to a graph counted in $\frac{q-1}{2} N_{k}^{\lambda}$.

Therefore, we obtain

$$
N_{k}=N_{k}^{0}+N_{k}^{*}=N_{k}^{0}+\frac{(q-1)\left(N_{k}^{1}+N_{k}^{\lambda}\right)}{2 n}
$$

By Lemma 5.2, we directly get the simplified approach: for our purpose we only need to make computations for the graphs $\mathcal{G}\left(X^{2}+\right.$ $a_{1}, \ldots, X^{2}+a_{n}$ ) over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ with $a_{1} \in\{0,1, \lambda\}$, pairwise distinct $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n} \in$ $\mathbb{F}_{q}$, and $a_{2} \cdots a_{n} \neq 0$. Clearly, the number of these graphs is equal to the sum of three binomial coefficients:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{q-1}{n-1}+\binom{q-2}{n-1}+\binom{q-3}{n-1} \sim \frac{3 q^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the asymptotic formula holds when $n$ is fixed and $q$ tends to the infinity.

Comparing (5.2) with (5.1), we can see that the simplified approach is better than the brute force approach when $q$ is large.

In the sequel, for simplicity we only make computations for the graphs $\mathcal{G}\left(X^{2}+a_{1}, \ldots, X^{2}+a_{n}\right)$ over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ with $a_{1} \in\{0,1, \lambda\}$, pairwise distinct $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}$, and $a_{2} \cdots a_{n} \neq 0$. Then, using Lemma 5.2 we obtain the distribution of leaves for the graphs $\mathcal{G}\left(X^{2}+a_{1}, \ldots, X^{2}+a_{n}\right)$ over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ with pairwise distinct $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}$.

In addition, we always identify $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ as $\{0,1, \ldots, p-1\}$, and in our computations we choose $\lambda$ to be the smallest non-square in $\mathbb{F}_{p}^{*}$.
5.3. Algorithms. Recall that $\mathcal{Q}_{p}$ is the set of squares in $\mathbb{F}_{p}$. Formally, a vertex $b$ in $\mathcal{G}\left(X^{2}+a_{1}, \ldots, X^{2}+a_{n}\right)$ is a leaf if and only if there is no element $u \in \mathbb{F}_{p}$ and $a_{i}$ such that $u^{2}+a_{i}=b$, that is $b-a_{i} \in \mathcal{Q}_{p}$.

We first present Algorithm 1 for counting leaves in the graph $\mathcal{G}\left(X^{2}+\right.$ $\left.a_{1}, \ldots, X^{2}+a_{n}\right)$ over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$. In Algorithm 1 , since we have identified $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ as $\{0,1, \ldots, p-1\}$, the number of 0 's in each binary string $B_{i}$ is exactly the number of leaves in the graph $\mathcal{G}\left(X^{2}+a_{i}\right)$ generated by the polynomial $X^{2}+a_{i}$, and thus, the number of 0 's in the binary string $C$ is exactly the number of leaves in the graph $\mathcal{G}\left(X^{2}+a_{1}, \ldots, X^{2}+a_{n}\right)$.

```
Algorithm 1 Counting leaves in \(\mathcal{G}\left(X^{2}+a_{1}, \ldots, X^{2}+a_{n}\right)\)
Require: pairwise distinct \(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}\)
Ensure: the number of leaves in \(\mathcal{G}\left(X^{2}+a_{1}, \ldots, X^{2}+a_{n}\right)\)
    Create a zero array \(A\) of length \(p\).
    Put \(A[j]=j^{2} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}, j=0, \ldots, p-1\).
    for \(i=1, \ldots, n\) do
        Create a zero binary string \(B_{i}\) of length \(p\).
        Put the \(\left(A[j]+a_{i}\right)\)-th entry of \(B_{i}\) to be \(1, j=0, \ldots, p-1\).
    end for
    Compute the bitwise OR, say \(C\), of \(B_{1}, \ldots, B_{n}\).
    Output the number of 0 's in \(C\).
```

In Algorithm 1, although it needs much memory to store those arrays and strings, it is very suitable for implementation and fast computation in the $\mathrm{C}++$ programming. So, we use it in our computations.

For completeness, we now present another algorithm in Algorithm 2 to counting leaves in the graph $\mathcal{G}\left(X^{2}+a_{1}, \ldots, X^{2}+a_{n}\right)$ over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$, which needs less memory than the one in Algorithm 1. In Algorithm 2, the final value of the variable $N$ is the number of leaves.

```
Algorithm 2 Counting leaves in \(\mathcal{G}\left(X^{2}+a_{1}, \ldots, X^{2}+a_{n}\right)\)
Require: pairwise distinct \(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}\)
Ensure: the number of leaves in \(\mathcal{G}\left(X^{2}+a_{1}, \ldots, X^{2}+a_{n}\right)\)
    Define an integer variable \(N\), and put \(N=0\).
    for \(b=0,1, \ldots, p-1\) do
        for \(i=1, \ldots, n\) do
            if \(b-a_{i} \in \mathcal{Q}_{p}\) then
                Go to Step 2 with next \(b\).
            else
                \(i \leftarrow i+1\).
            end if
        end for
        if \(i=n+1\) then
            \(N \leftarrow N+1\).
        end if
    end for
    Output \(N\).
```

5.4. Number of leaves. We first present the upper and lower bounds for the number of leaves of the graphs $\mathcal{G}\left(X^{2}+a_{1}, \ldots, X^{2}+a_{n}\right)$ over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ with pairwise distinct $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}$ for all primes $p$ with $p \leq 1000$. In Figure 5.1, for the sake of clarity, we limit the presentation to the cases $n=3,4,5$. It first confirms the result in Theorem 2.1 numerically that leafless graphs do not exist (that is, the lower bound is greater than zero) for a fixed $n$ as soon as $p$ is sufficiently large. For example, there is no leafless graphs for $n=3$ when $p \geq 19$, for $n=4$ when $p \geq 107$.

In addition, it shows some (non-strictly) monotonic behaviour where both bounds of the number of leaves increase with respect to $p$.


Figure 5.1. Upper and lower bounds for the number of leaves when $n=3,4,5$.
5.5. Number of graphs with particular number of leaves. Next, we consider the number of graphs with particular number of leaves for each value of $p$. We consider the cases $n=3,4,5,6$ individually, in Figures 5.2-5.5 respectively. To facilitate the presentation in the same figure, we scale the number using the natural logarithm, which is called the log-number of graphs. That is, the log-number of graphs $\mathcal{G}\left(X^{2}+a_{1}, \ldots, X^{2}+a_{n}\right)$ with $k$ leaves is equal to the natural logarithm of the number of graphs $\mathcal{G}\left(X^{2}+a_{1}, \ldots, X^{2}+a_{n}\right)$ with $k$ leaves when $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$ run over pairwise distinct elements of $\mathbb{F}_{p}$.

In Figures 5.2-5.5, each broken line represents the log-number of graphs with a particular number $k$ of leaves $(1 \leq k \leq 39)$. One can see that with respect to $p$, the number of such graphs first increases and then reach a peak and then decreases. In addition, one can also see that when $k$ increases, the first prime $p$ at which the corresponding plot of log-numbers originates also increases.


Figure 5.2. Log-number of graphs with particular number of leaves when $n=3$


Figure 5.3. Log-number of graphs with particular number of leaves when $n=4$


Figure 5.4. Log-number of graphs with particular number of leaves when $n=5$


Figure 5.5. Log-number of graphs with particular number of leaves when $n=6$
5.6. Proportion of graphs with particular number of leaves.

Finally, we present numerical results on the proportion of graphs (as a percentage) with particular number of leaves for all the graphs $\mathcal{G}\left(X^{2}+\right.$ $a_{1}, \ldots, X^{2}+a_{n}$ ), with pairwise distinct $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}$, for the first

100 primes (that is, until $p=541$ ) up to $n=6$. The numerical results reflect the trend of these proportions. In the counting computations, for simplicity we put the graphs with at least 40 leaves to the same set.

We present the cases $n=3,4,5,6$ individually, in Figures 5.6-5.9 respectively. In Figures 5.6-5.9, each stacked bar chart corresponding to a prime $p$ represents the proportions of graphs with particular numbers $k$ of leaves, and moreover, from the bottom to the top the number $k$ increases.

For example, in Figure 5.6, corresponding to $n=3$, for the prime $p=71$, the graphs with 6 leaves occupy $4.35 \%$ (the corresponding subbar is at the bottom), those with 7 leaves occupy $26.09 \%$, those with 8 leaves occupy $34.78 \%$, those with 9 leaves occupy $21.74 \%$, and those with 10 leaves occupy $13.04 \%$ (the corresponding sub-bar is at the top).

In addition, since we only use five different shades of grey to draw the bar charts, it can happen that in one stacked bar chart some sub-bars have the same appearance, but they definitely correspond to different values of $k$.

In Figure 5.6, for primes $p \geq 359$, all the graphs have at least 40 leaves (note that we put the graphs with at least 40 leaves to the same set). In addition, for primes $71 \leq p<359$, the minimal number of leaves of the graphs we consider for each prime $p$ is presented in the column 'leaves' in Table 5.1, and it also corresponds to the bottom sub-bar of the stacked bar chart of $p$ in Figure 5.6. From Table 5.1 one can see that this minimal number roughly increases when $p$ grows. This also has been suggested by Figure 5.1.

In Figures 5.7-5.9, the nearby sub-bars having the same shade of grey correspond to the same $k$ (number of leaves), and they form a strip in the figures. In addition, in each figure different strips correspond to different $k$. It is clear from Figures 5.7-5.9 that the proportion of graphs with a fixed number $k$ of leaves first increases and then decreases. This shows again a similar distribution of graphs with $k$ leaves for any $k$ as in Figures 5.2-5.5. This also suggests that an approximation of the number of graphs with $k$ leaves for given $p$ and $n$ might be predictable.

| $p$ | leaves | $p$ | leaves | $p$ | leaves | $p$ | leaves |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 71 | 6 | 137 | 14 | 199 | 21 | 277 | 30 |
| 73 | 6 | 139 | 14 | 211 | 22 | 281 | 30 |
| 79 | 7 | 149 | 14 | 223 | 24 | 283 | 31 |
| 83 | 8 | 151 | 15 | 227 | 24 | 293 | 32 |
| 89 | 8 | 157 | 16 | 229 | 24 | 307 | 34 |
| 97 | 8 | 163 | 17 | 233 | 24 | 311 | 34 |
| 101 | 10 | 167 | 17 | 239 | 26 | 313 | 34 |
| 103 | 10 | 173 | 18 | 241 | 26 | 317 | 34 |
| 107 | 10 | 179 | 19 | 251 | 27 | 331 | 36 |
| 109 | 10 | 181 | 18 | 257 | 28 | 337 | 36 |
| 113 | 10 | 191 | 20 | 263 | 28 | 347 | 38 |
| 127 | 13 | 193 | 20 | 269 | 28 | 349 | 38 |
| 131 | 13 | 197 | 20 | 271 | 29 | 353 | 38 |

Table 5.1. Minimal number of leaves when $n=3$


Figure 5.6. Proportion of number of leaves when $n=3$


Figure 5.7. Proportion of number of leaves when $n=4$


Figure 5.8. Proportion of number of leaves when $n=5$


Figure 5.9. Proportion of number of leaves when $n=6$

## 6. Comments

The bounds of Theorems 2.1 and 2.3 are of the same order of magnitude, and they essentially differ by a factor of 4 . A rather naive heuristic model suggests that Theorems 2.3 is perhaps more precise. It is certainly interesting to investigate this in more details.

In Section 5, since the number of graphs we have to examine rapidly grows with the number of polynomials $n$ and the field size $q$, we also ask about further improvements of our algorithms.
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