

An upper bound on the nullity of signed graphs*

Keming Liu[†] Xiying Yuan[‡]

Department of Mathematics, Shanghai University, Shanghai 200444, P. R. China.

Abstract: In this paper, an upper bound on the nullity of signed graphs in terms of the cyclomatic number and the number of pendant vertices is proved, and the corresponding extremal signed graphs are completely characterized.

Keywords: Signed graph; cyclomatic number; pendant vertices; nullity.

1 Introduction

All graphs considered in this paper are simple and finite. Let $G = (V(G), E(G))$ be an undirected graph with $V(G) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ be the vertex set and $E(G)$ be the edge set. We write $x \sim y$ to mean two vertices x and y of G are adjacent. For a vertex $u \in V(G)$, the degree of u , denoted by $d_G(u)$, is the number of vertices which are adjacent to u . A vertex of G is called a pendant vertex (or a leaf) if it is a vertex of degree one in G . The number of leaves in G is denoted by $p(G)$. Denote by P_n and C_n a path and cycle on n vertices, respectively. The adjacency matrix $A(G)$ of G is an $n \times n$ matrix whose (i, j) -entry equals to 1 if $v_i \sim v_j$, and 0 otherwise.

A signed graph $\Gamma = (G, \sigma)$ consists of a simple graph $G = (V(G), E(G))$, referred to as its underlying graph, and a mapping $\sigma: E(G) \rightarrow \{+, -\}$, its edge labelling. The adjacency matrix of Γ is $A(\Gamma) = (a_{ij}^\sigma)$ with $a_{ij}^\sigma = \sigma(v_i v_j) a_{ij}$, where (a_{ij}) is the adjacency matrix of the underlying graph G . An edge e is said to be positive or negative if $\sigma(e) = +$ or $\sigma(e) = -$, respectively. And a simple graph can always be viewed as a signed graph with all positive edges. Let C be a cycle of Γ , the sign of C is defined by $\sigma(C) = \prod_{e \in C} \sigma(e)$. A cycle C is said to be positive or negative if $\sigma(C) = +$ or $\sigma(C) = -$, respectively. The rank

*This work was supported by the National Nature Science Foundation of China (Nos.11871040).

[†]Corresponding author. E-mail address: 1358365098@qq.com(Keming Liu).

[‡]E-mail address: xiyingyuan@shu.edu.cn(Xiying Yuan).

and nullity of a signed graph Γ are defined to be the rank and the multiplicity of the zero eigenvalues of its adjacency matrix, respectively, written as $r(\Gamma)$ and $\eta(\Gamma)$, respectively. For $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, we denote by $m(\Gamma, \lambda)$ the algebraic multiplicity of λ in the adjacency spectrum of Γ . If λ is not an eigenvalue of Γ , we put $m(\Gamma, \lambda) = 0$. Obvious $r(\Gamma) + \eta(\Gamma) = |V(\Gamma)|$.

Let Γ be a signed graph, $U \subset V(\Gamma)$, Γ^U be the signed graph obtained from Γ by reversing the sign of each edge between a vertex in U and a vertex in $V(\Gamma) \setminus U$, and $\Gamma - U$ be the signed graph obtained from Γ by removing the vertices of U together with all edges incident to them. A block of a graph is a maximal subgraph without any cut-vertex.

The nullity is a classical topic in spectrum theory of graphs. About the nullity of simple graphs and its applications, there are many known results, see [5], [8], [10], [11], [12], [13], [14], [17] and [19] for details. For signed graph, Liu and You in [18] investigated the nullity of the bicyclic signed, obtained the nullity set of unbalanced bicyclic signed graphs, and determined the nullity set of bicyclic signed graphs. Fan characterized several classes of signed graphs according to nullity in [7]. In addition, more results of signed graphs and their applications, see [20], [24] and [25]. Let $c(G)$ be the cyclomatic number of a graph G , that is $c(G) = |E(G)| - |V(G)| + \omega(G)$, where $\omega(G)$ is the number of connected components of G . For a signed graph Γ , the cyclomatic number and the number of pendant vertices of Γ are defined to be the cyclomatic number and the number of pendant vertices of its underlying graph, respectively. An upper bound on the nullity of graph in terms of $c(G)$ and $p(G)$ was proved in [23]. Moreover, the extremal graphs have been characterized in [6], [15] and [23]. In this paper, we get an upper bound of the nullity of signed graph in terms of $c(\Gamma)$ and $p(\Gamma)$ (See Theorem 3.1), and characterize the corresponding extremal signed graphs (See Section 5).

2 Tools for the nullity of the signed graph

In this section, useful tools to study the nullity of the signed graph will be listed.

Lemma 2.1. [3] *Let (P_n, σ) be a signed path. Then $\eta(P_n, \sigma) = 1$ if n is odd, and $\eta(P_n, \sigma) = 0$ if n is even.*

Lemma 2.2. [7] *Let (C_n, σ) be a signed cycle. Then $\eta(C_n, \sigma) = 2$ if and only if (C_n, σ) is positive and $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ or (C_n, σ) is negative and $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, $\eta(C_n, \sigma) = 0$ otherwise.*

Lemma 2.3. [2] Let v be a vertex of Γ , then $\eta(\Gamma) - 1 \leq \eta(\Gamma - v) \leq \eta(\Gamma) + 1$.

For an induced subgraph Γ_1 of Γ and a vertex x outside Γ_1 , the induced subgraph of Γ with vertex set $V(\Gamma_1) \cup \{x\}$ is simply written as $\Gamma_1 + x$, and sometimes we use the notation $\Gamma - \Gamma_1$ instead of $\Gamma - V(\Gamma_1)$.

Lemma 2.4. [18] Let v be a cut-vertex of a connected signed graph Γ , and Γ_1 be a component of $\Gamma - v$.

- (1) If $\eta(\Gamma_1) = \eta(\Gamma_1 + v) - 1$, then $\eta(\Gamma) = \eta(\Gamma_1) + \eta(\Gamma - \Gamma_1)$;
- (2) If $\eta(\Gamma_1) = \eta(\Gamma_1 + v) + 1$, then $\eta(\Gamma) = \eta(\Gamma - v) - 1$.

Lemma 2.5. [26] Let G be a graph and $v \in V(G)$, then we have $c(G - v) = c(G)$ if v does not lie on a cycle of G , otherwise $c(G - v) \leq c(G) - 1$.

A matching M in G is a set of pairwise non-adjacent edges, that is, no two edges share a common vertex. A maximum matching is a matching that contains the largest possible number of edges. The cardinality of a maximum matching is called the matching number of G , denoted by $\mu(G)$. For a tree T on at least two vertices, a vertex $u \in T$ is called a covered vertex in T , if all maximum matchings of T that cover u ; otherwise, u is not a covered vertex in T .

Lemma 2.6. [7] Let Γ be a signed graph of order n and T be a signed tree. Denote by $T(u) \odot^k \Gamma$ a signed graph which is obtained from $T \cup U$ by joining $u \in V(T)$ and arbitrary $k(1 \leq k \leq n)$ vertices of Γ .

- (1) If u is a covered vertex in T , then $\eta(T(u) \odot^k \Gamma) = \eta(T) + \eta(\Gamma)$.
- (2) If u is not a covered vertex in T , then $\eta(T(u) \odot^k \Gamma) = \eta(T) - 1 + \eta(\Gamma + u)$.

Lemma 2.7. Let Γ be a signed graph with $V(\Gamma) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$. Denote by $\tilde{\Gamma}$ the signed graph obtained from Γ by replacing each v_i of Γ with an independent set of m_i vertices $v_i^1, v_i^2, \dots, v_i^{m_i}$ and $v_i^x \pm v_j^y$ in $\tilde{\Gamma}$ if and only if $v_i \pm v_j$ in Γ , where $x \in \{1, 2, \dots, m_i\}$ and $y \in \{1, 2, \dots, m_j\}$. Then $r(\Gamma) = r(\tilde{\Gamma})$.

Proof. Let \tilde{A} and A be the adjacency matrix of $\tilde{\Gamma}$ and Γ , respectively. It is easy to get $r(\tilde{A}) = r(A)$ by performing elementary row transformation on \tilde{A} . \square

Lemma 2.8. [7] Let v be a pendant vertex of Γ and u be the neighbour of v . Then $\eta(\Gamma) = \eta(\Gamma - v - u)$.

We have the following Lemma by Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.8.

Lemma 2.9. *Let Γ be a signed graph obtained from a signed path P_m with $m \geq 2$ and a disjoint signed graph H by identifying a pendant vertex of P_m with a vertex of H . Then $m(\Gamma, \lambda) \leq m(H, \lambda) + 1$ for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.*

Lemma 2.10. *[21] Let Γ be a signed graph obtained from a signed cycle C_s and a disjoint signed graph H which are joined by a signed path P_m with $m \geq 1$, i.e., identify one pendant vertex of P_m with a vertex of C_s and another with a vertex of H . Then $m(\Gamma, \lambda) \leq m(H, \lambda) + 2$ for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.*

Lemma 2.11. *[21] Let Γ be a simple signed graph obtained from a signed graph H and a disjoint signed path P_m with $m \geq 2$ by identifying two pendant vertices of P_m respectively with two distinct vertices of H . Then $m(\Gamma, \lambda) \leq m(H, \lambda) + 2$ for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.*

3 An upper bound on the nullity of signed graph

In this section, we mainly get an upper bound of the nullity $\eta(\Gamma)$ of signed graph Γ in terms of the cyclomatic number $c(\Gamma)$ and the number of pendant vertices $p(\Gamma)$.

If a neighbor y of $x \in V(G)$ has degree 2, i.e., $d(y) = 2$, it is called a 2-degree neighbor of x . By using the similar arguments of the proof of Lemma 2.6 in [23], we have the following result.

Lemma 3.1. *Let Γ be a connected signed graph with a vertex x . Let r, m be the number of components containing 2-degree neighbors of x and the number of 2-degree neighbors of x , respectively, and $s = \omega(\Gamma - x)$. Then*

- (1) $d(x) + r \geq m + s$;
- (2) $2d(x) + r \geq m + 2s + 1$, if x lies on a cycle of Γ ;
- (3) $c(\Gamma - x) = c(\Gamma) - d(x) + s$.

We call Γ a cycle-disjoint signed graph if any two distinct cycles of Γ (if any) have no common vertices. Now, we give an upper bound on the nullity of signed graph.

Theorem 3.1. *Let Γ be a signed graph in which every component has at least two vertices.*

Then

$$\eta(\Gamma) \leq \begin{cases} 2c(\Gamma) + p(\Gamma) - 1, & \text{if } p(\Gamma) \geq 1 ; \\ 2c(\Gamma), & \text{if } p(\Gamma) = 0 \text{ and } \Gamma \text{ is a cycle-disjoint signed graph;} \\ 2c(\Gamma) - 1, & \text{if } p(\Gamma) = 0 \text{ and some cycles have common vertices.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. We may assume that Γ is connected. We proceed by induction on n , the order of Γ to prove the inequality. If $n = 2$, the results is obvious. Now we assume that $n \geq 3$ and the inequality holds for any connected signed graph Γ with order $2 \leq |V(\Gamma)| \leq n - 1$. The proof is divided into three cases according to the parameter $p(\Gamma)$.

Case 1. $p(\Gamma) = 0$.

In this case, Γ contains cycles. Let x be a vertex lying on a cycle, and let $\Gamma - x = H_1 \cup \dots \cup H_s$ be the connected components of $\Gamma - x$.

Without loss of generality, let H_i be the components containing 2-degree neighbors of x for $i = 1, \dots, r$, and H_j be the components containing no 2-degree neighbors of x for $j = r + 1, \dots, s$. This arrangement implies that each H_i has pendant vertices, and each H_j has no pendant vertices. Since Γ has no pendant vertices, $2 \leq |V(H_i)| < |V(\Gamma)|$, then we have

$$\eta(H_i) \leq 2c(H_i) + p(H_i) - 1 \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, r;$$

$$\eta(H_j) \leq 2c(H_j) \text{ for } j = r + 1, \dots, s.$$

by applying induction hypothesis to each component of $\Gamma - x$.

By Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\eta(\Gamma) \leq 1 + \eta(\Gamma - x) = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^r \eta(H_i) + \sum_{j=r+1}^s \eta(H_j).$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} \eta(\Gamma) &\leq 1 + \sum_{i=1}^r (2c(H_i) + p(H_i) - 1) + \sum_{j=r+1}^s 2c(H_j) \\ &= 1 - r + 2 \sum_{i=1}^r c(H_i) + \sum_{i=1}^r p(H_i), \end{aligned}$$

that is

$$\eta(\Gamma) \leq 1 - r + 2c(\Gamma - x) + p(\Gamma - x).$$

As Γ has no pendant vertices, the number of pendant vertices of $\Gamma - x$ equals the number of 2-degree neighbors of x in Γ , that is

$$p(\Gamma - x) = \sum_{i=1}^r p(H_i) = m,$$

where m denotes the number of 2-degree neighbors of x , especially, if all the components of $\Gamma - x$ containing no 2-degree neighbors of x , then $m = 0$. Lemma 3.1 says that

$$c(\Gamma - x) = c(\Gamma) - d(x) + s.$$

Then we have

$$\eta(\Gamma) \leq 2c(\Gamma) - [2d(x) - 2s + r - m - 1]. \quad (1)$$

Applying (2) of Lemma 3.1, we have $\eta(\Gamma) \leq 2c(\Gamma)$.

If two distinct cycles of Γ , say C_1, C_2 , have common vertices, let x be a common vertex of C_1 and C_2 such that $N_{C_1}(x) \neq N_{C_2}(x)$, then $d(x) \geq s + 2$. By (1) of Lemma 3.1, we have

$$2d(x) + r \geq 2s + m + 2. \quad (2)$$

Substituting (2) to (1), we have $\eta(\Gamma) \leq 2c(\Gamma) - 1$.

In the following, we assume $p(\Gamma) \geq 1$.

Case 2. $p(\Gamma) = 1$.

Let x be the pendant vertex and y be the neighbour of x . By Lemma 2.8, we have $\eta(\Gamma) = \eta(\Gamma - x - y)$, and $c(\Gamma) = c(\Gamma - x - y)$,

If $d(y) = 2$, let z be the other neighbour of y . When $d(z) = 2$, we have $p(\Gamma - x - y) = p(\Gamma) = 1$ and $c(\Gamma - x - y) = c(\Gamma)$. So, $\eta(\Gamma - x - y) \leq 2c(\Gamma - x - y) + p(\Gamma - x - y) - 1$ by applying induction hypothesis to $\Gamma - x - y$ (noting that $|V(\Gamma - x - y)| \geq 2$ since Γ is assumed with at least 4 vertices). When $d(z) > 2$, we have $p(\Gamma - x - y) = p(\Gamma) - 1 = 0$. By applying induction hypothesis, we have

$$\eta(\Gamma) = \eta(\Gamma - x - y) \leq 2c(\Gamma - x - y) = 2c(\Gamma) + p(\Gamma) - 1.$$

Now, only the case when $p(\Gamma) = 1$ and $d(y) \geq 3$ is left.

Let $\Gamma - y = H_1 \cup \dots \cup H_s$ be the connected components of $\Gamma - y$.

Without loss of generality, let H_i be the components containing 2-degree neighbors of y for $i = 1, \dots, r$, and H_j be the components containing no 2-degree neighbors of y for

$j = r + 1, \dots, s$, and H_s contains the unique pendant vertex x . This arrangement implies that each H_i (for $i = 1, \dots, r$) has pendant vertices, and each H_j (for $j = r + 1, \dots, s - 1$) has at least two vertices and has no pendant vertices. The induction hypothesis to H_i (for $i = 1, \dots, s - 1$) allows us to assume

$$\eta(H_i) \leq 2c(H_i) + p(H_i) - 1 \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, r;$$

$$\eta(H_j) \leq 2c(H_j) \text{ for } j = r + 1, \dots, s - 1.$$

From $\eta(\Gamma) = \eta(\Gamma - x - y)$ we have

$$\eta(\Gamma) = \sum_{i=1}^r \eta(H_i) + \sum_{j=r+1}^{s-1} \eta(H_j).$$

So

$$\eta(\Gamma) \leq \sum_{i=1}^r (2c(H_i) + p(H_i) - 1) + \sum_{j=r+1}^{s-1} 2c(H_j),$$

from which we have

$$\eta(\Gamma) \leq -r + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} c(H_i) + \sum_{i=1}^r p(H_i).$$

Since x is the unique pendant vertex of Γ , the number of pendant vertices of $\Gamma - y$ equals the number of 2-degree neighbors of y in Γ . Thus

$$p(\Gamma - y) = \sum_{i=1}^r p(H_i) = m,$$

where m denotes the number of 2-degree neighbors of y in Γ , especially, if all the components of $\Gamma - y$ containing no 2-degree neighbors of y , then $m = 0$. Observing that $c(H_s) = 0$ and applying Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{s-1} c(H_i) = \sum_{i=1}^s c(H_i) = c(\Gamma - y) = c(\Gamma) - d(y) + s.$$

Then we have

$$\eta(\Gamma) \leq 2c(\Gamma) - [2d(y) + r - 2s - m]. \quad (3)$$

Lemma 3.1 says $d(y) + r - s - m \geq 0$. Clearly, $d(y) \geq s$. Thus

$$2d(y) + r - 2s - m \geq 0. \quad (4)$$

Combining (3) and (4), we have $\eta(\Gamma) \leq 2c(\Gamma)$. As $p(\Gamma) = 1$, we obtain the required inequality $\eta(\Gamma) \leq 2c(\Gamma) + p(\Gamma) - 1$.

Case 3. $p(\Gamma) \geq 2$.

Let x be a pendant vertex and y be a neighbour of x . By Lemma 2.3 we have

$$\eta(\Gamma) \leq \eta(\Gamma - x) + 1.$$

As $\Gamma - x$ has pendant vertices, the induction hypothesis to $\Gamma - x$ says

$$\eta(\Gamma - x) \leq 2c(\Gamma - x) + p(\Gamma - x) - 1.$$

Obviously, $c(\Gamma - x) = c(\Gamma)$.

If $d(y) > 2$, then

$$p(\Gamma - x) = p(\Gamma) - 1.$$

So we have $\eta(\Gamma) \leq 2c(\Gamma) + p(\Gamma) - 1$.

Now suppose $d(y) = 2$. Then we have $\eta(\Gamma) = \eta(\Gamma - x - y)$, $c(\Gamma - x - y) = c(\Gamma)$, and $1 \leq p(\Gamma) - 1 \leq p(\Gamma - x - y) \leq p(\Gamma)$. By using induction hypothesis to $\Gamma - x - y$, we have

$$\eta(\Gamma) = \eta(\Gamma - x - y) \leq 2c(\Gamma - x - y) + p(\Gamma - x - y) - 1 = 2c(\Gamma) + p(\Gamma) - 1.$$

□

4 Auxiliary results for the characterization of the extremal signed graph

An internal path of Γ is a path whose internal vertices(except end vertices) have degree 2 and a major vertex of Γ is a vertex with degree at least 3. Cycle C is called pendant in Γ if the cycle contains a unique major vertex of Γ .

Lemma 4.1. (1) Suppose $P_6 = v_1v_2v_3v_4v_5v_6$ is a path with four internal vertices of degree 2 in signed graph Γ . Let Γ' be the signed graph obtained by replacing P_6 with a new edge v_1v_6 with sign $\sigma(P_6)$. Then $\eta(\Gamma) = \eta(\Gamma')$.

(2) Suppose C_t is a pendant cycle with nullity 2 in (Γ) . Let Γ' be the signed graph obtained by replacing C_t with a quadrangle with nullity 2. Then $\eta(\Gamma) = \eta(\Gamma')$.

Proof. (1) The sign of edge v_iv_{i+1} is denoted by $\sigma_{i,i+1}$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$. Arranging the

vertices of Γ such that the adjacency matrix of Γ is

$$A(\Gamma) = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & \alpha & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \beta \\ \alpha^T & 0 & \sigma_{1,2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \mathbf{0} & \sigma_{1,2} & 0 & \sigma_{2,3} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \mathbf{0} & 0 & \sigma_{2,3} & 0 & \sigma_{3,4} & 0 & 0 \\ \mathbf{0} & 0 & 0 & \sigma_{3,4} & 0 & \sigma_{4,5} & 0 \\ \mathbf{0} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \sigma_{4,5} & 0 & \sigma_{5,6} \\ \beta^T & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \sigma_{5,6} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By performing elementary row transformation on the matrix $A(\Gamma)$, we have

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & \alpha & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \beta \\ \alpha^T & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \sigma'_{1,6} \\ \mathbf{0} & \sigma_{1,2} & 0 & \sigma_{2,3} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \mathbf{0} & 0 & \sigma_{2,3} & 0 & \sigma_{3,4} & 0 & 0 \\ \mathbf{0} & 0 & 0 & \sigma_{3,4} & 0 & \sigma_{4,5} & 0 \\ \mathbf{0} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \sigma_{4,5} & 0 & \sigma_{5,6} \\ \beta^T & \sigma'_{1,6} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\sigma'_{1,6} = \sigma_{1,2}\sigma_{2,3}\sigma_{3,4}\sigma_{4,5}\sigma_{5,6}$. Then we have $r(A(\Gamma)) = r(B)$

Write

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & \alpha & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \beta \\ \alpha^T & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \sigma'_{1,6} \\ \beta^T & \sigma'_{1,6} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & \alpha & \beta \\ \alpha^T & 0 & \sigma'_{1,6} \\ \beta^T & \sigma'_{1,6} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Then $r(B) = r(C) + 4$, $r(C) = r(D)$ and $D = A(\Gamma')$, thus (1) holds.

(2) The proof of (2) is similar to (1). □

For a signed tree T with at least two vertices, it follows from Theorem 3.1 that $\eta(T) \leq p(T) - 1$. When $p(T) = 2$, T becomes a path, then by Lemma 2.1, the equality holds if and only if T is an even path. When $p(T) \geq 3$, by Lemma 3.1 in [6] and Lemma 2.12, we have the following Lemma which gives a characterization of signed trees with nullity $p(T) - 1$.

Lemma 4.2. *Let T be a signed tree with $p(T) (\geq 3)$ leaves. Then $\eta(T) = p(T) - 1$ if and only if T satisfies the following two conditions:*

(i) *All internal paths from a leaf to a major vertex are odd.*

(ii) *Let $P(u, v)$ be an internal path from any leaf u of T to a major vertex v . Then $T' = T - (P(u, v) - v)$ is a tree with nullity $p(T') - 1$, and v is a covered vertex of T' .*

By slightly modifying the proofs of Lemma 3.4 in [6], we have the following Lemma for signed graph.

Lemma 4.3. *Let Γ be a connected leaf-free signed graph with $c(\Gamma) \geq 3$. If $\eta(\Gamma) = 2c(\Gamma) - 1$, then Γ contains a cut-vertex.*

Let H and K be two disjoint signed graphs, the signed graph $(H, v; K, u)$ be regarded as a coalescence of H and K , obtained by identifying a vertex v of H with a vertex u of K .

By slightly modifying the proofs of Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2 in [15], we have the following results for signed graph.

Lemma 4.4. *Let $\Gamma = (H, v; K, u)$, then $\eta(\Gamma) \leq \eta(K) + \eta(H - v) + 1$.*

Lemma 4.5. *Let H and K be two disjoint signed graphs. If Γ is obtained from H and K by connecting one vertex v of H and one vertex u of K with a path $P_m (m \geq 2)$, then $\eta(\Gamma) \leq \eta(H) + \eta(K) + 1$.*

For convenience, a signed graph Γ will be said to be 2^+ -deficient if $\eta(\Gamma) \leq 2c(\Gamma) + p(\Gamma) - 2$, and it will be said to be 1-deficient if $\eta(\Gamma) = 2c(\Gamma) + p(\Gamma) - 1$. By slightly modifying the proofs of Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 3.4 in [15], we have the following Lemmas for signed graph.

Lemma 4.6. *Let $\Gamma = (H, v; K, u)$, if K is 2^+ -deficient, then so is Γ .*

Lemma 4.7. *Let $\Gamma = (T, v; H, u)$, where v be a leaf of a 1-deficient tree T , T and H be two disjoint signed graph. Then $\eta(\Gamma) = \eta(H) + p(T) - 2$.*

1-deficient trees have been characterized by Lemma 4.2, now we characterize 1-deficient unicyclic signed graphs by referring to Lemma 3.5 in [15].

Lemma 4.8. *Let Γ be a unicyclic signed graph with a unique cycle C_m . Then Γ is 1-deficient if and only if Γ is obtained from a 1-deficient tree T with at least three vertices by attaching C_m with nullity 2 at a leaf x of T .*

By slightly modifying the proofs of Lemma 3.6 and Lemma 3.7 in [15], we give the following two lemmas to study structural properties of 1-deficient graphs.

Lemma 4.9. *Let Γ be a 1-deficient connected signed graph with C_m as a block. Then*

- (1) $\eta(C_m) = 2$;
- (2) *Either C_m is a pendant cycle of Γ , or $\Gamma = \infty(m, k, 1)$ such that the nullity of each cycle of Γ is 2.*

Lemma 4.10. *If Γ is a 1-deficient connected signed graph, then the nullity of each cycle C_m in Γ is 2.*

5 Characterization of the extremal signed graph

In this section, we characterize the corresponding extremal signed graph in Theorem 3.1.

Firstly, we characterize the signed graph with nullity $2c(\Gamma) + p(\Gamma)$.

Theorem 5.1. *Let Γ be a signed graph in which every component contains at least two vertices, then $\eta(\Gamma) = 2c(\Gamma) + p(\Gamma)$ if and only if every component of Γ is a signed cycle with nullity 2.*

Proof. The sufficiency is obvious.

Now we prove the sufficiency part.

Firstly, we have $\eta(H) \leq 2c(H) + p(H)$ for any connected component H of Γ by Theorem 3.1. According to $\eta(\Gamma) = 2c(\Gamma) + p(\Gamma)$, we have $\eta(H) = 2c(H) + p(H)$ for any component H of Γ . Next, we will prove that every component H of Γ is a signed cycle with nullity 2. For a given component H of Γ , we know H contains no pendant vertices and distinct signed cycles of H (if any) have no common vertices, otherwise if H has pendant vertices, then $\eta(H) \leq 2c(H) + p(H) - 1$ by Theorem 3.1, a contradiction with $\eta(H) = 2c(H) + p(H)$. Similarly, distinct signed cycles of H (if any) have no common vertices. If we can prove that H is just a unicyclic signed graph, then H must be a signed cycle with nullity 2 (by Lemma 2.2). For a contradiction, we assume H contains at least two signed cycles.

For $x, y \in V(H)$, we denote by $d(x, y)$ the length of a shortest path between x and y . By \mathcal{C}_H denote the set of signed cycles of H . Let $C_1 \neq C_2 \in \mathcal{C}_H$, set

$$d(C_1, C_2) = \min \{d(x, y) : x \in V(C_1), y \in V(C_2)\}$$

and set

$$d(\mathcal{C}_H) = \max \{d(C_1, C_2) : C_1 \neq C_2 \in \mathcal{C}_H\}.$$

Taking $x \in V(C_1), y \in V(C_2)$ such that $d(x, y) = d(C_1, C_2) = d(\mathcal{C}_H)$. Then x is a unique vertex of C_1 with degree 3, and x is a cut-point of H . Suppose the size of C_1 is l , that is $C_1 = C_l$, then $H - x$ has P_{l-1} as a component. Denote $H - P_{l-1}$ by H_1 . Then

$$\theta(H_1) = c(H) - 1, \quad p(H_1) = 1,$$

and it is from Theorem 3.1 that

$$\eta(H_1) \leq 2c(H_1) + p(H_1) - 1 = 2c(H_1).$$

Case 1. $l \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$

If C_l is positive, then $\eta(P_{l-1}) = 1 = \eta(C_1) - 1$, thus we have by Lemma 2.4(1) that

$$\eta(H) = \eta(P_{l-1}) + \eta(H_1),$$

from which it follows that

$$2c(H) = 2(c(H_1) + 1) \leq 1 + 2c(H_1),$$

a contradiction.

If C_l is negative, then $\eta(P_{l-1}) = 0$ and $\eta(C_1) = 1$. As $\eta(P_{l-1}) = \eta(C_1) + 1$, we have by Lemma 2.4(2) that

$$\eta(H) = \eta(H - x) - 1 = \eta(P_{l-1}) + \eta(H_2) - 1 = \eta(H_2),$$

where $H_2 = H - C_1$. Let z be the adjacent vertex of x outside C_1 . If $d(z) = 2$, then z is a pendant vertex of H_2 ; and if $d(z) \geq 3$, then z is not a pendant vertex of H_2 . In both cases, $p(H_2) \leq 1$. Applying Theorem 3.1, we have

$$\eta(H_2) \leq 2c(H_2).$$

then we have

$$2c(H) = \eta(H) = \eta(H_2) \leq 2c(H_2),$$

a contradiction to $c(H) = c(H_2) + 1$.

Case 2. $l \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$

If C_l is positive, then $\eta(P_{l-1}) = 1$ and $\eta(P_{l-1} + x) = 0$, that is $\eta(P_{l-1}) = \eta(P_{l-1} + x) + 1$, thus by Lemma 2.4(2) we have

$$\eta(H) = \eta(H - x) - 1 = \eta(P_{l-1}) + \eta(H_2) - 1 = \eta(H_2), \quad (5)$$

where $H_2 = H - C_1$. Let z be the adjacent vertex of x outside C_1 . If $d(z) = 2$, then z is a pendant vertex of H_2 ; and if $d(z) \geq 3$, then z is not a pendant vertex of H_2 . In both cases, $p(H_2) \leq 1$. Applying Theorem 3.1, we have

$$\eta(H_2) \leq 2\theta(H_2). \quad (6)$$

Combining (5) and (6), we have

$$2c(H) = \eta(H) = \eta(H_2) \leq 2c(H_2),$$

a contradiction to $c(H) = c(H_2) + 1$.

If C_l is negative, then $\eta(P_{l-1}) = 1$ and $\eta(C_1) = \eta(P_{l-1} + x) = 2$, that is $\eta(P_{l-1}) = \eta(C_1) - 1$, thus we have by Lemma 2.4(1) that

$$\eta(H) = \eta(P_{l-1}) + \eta(H_1),$$

from which it follows that

$$2c(H) = \eta(H) = \eta(P_{l-1}) + \eta(H_1) \leq 1 + 2c(H_1),$$

also a contradiction.

Case 3. l is odd.

Then by Lemma 2.3 we have

$$\eta(H) \leq \eta(H - x) + 1 = \eta(P_{l-1}) + \eta(H_2) + 1 = \eta(H_2) + 1, \quad (7)$$

substituting $\eta(H) = 2c(H) = 2c(H_2) + 2$ and $\eta(H_2) \leq 2c(H_2)$ to (7) we have

$$2c(H_2) + 2 \leq 2c(H_2) + 1,$$

so, we get a contradiction. □

By slightly modifying the proofs of Lemma 3.2 and Corollary 3.3 in [6], we have the following results.

Lemma 5.1. *Let Γ be a connected leaf-free signed graph which is obtained from two signed graphs Γ_1 and Γ_2 by identifying the unique common vertex u . If Γ_1 is a block of Γ with $\eta(\Gamma_1) \leq 2c(\Gamma_1) - 2$ and Γ_2 is a signed graph with $c(\Gamma_2) \geq 2$, then $\eta(\Gamma) \leq 2c(\Gamma) - 2$.*

Corollary 5.1. *Let Γ be a connected leaf-free signed graph with $c(\Gamma) \geq 3$ and $\eta(\Gamma) = 2c(\Gamma) - 1$. If Γ contains a pendant cycle C_t , then $\eta(C_t) = 2$.*

A bicyclic graph is a simple connected graph in which the number of edges equals the number of vertices plus one. There are two basic bicyclic graphs: ∞ -graph and θ -graph. An ∞ -graph, denoted by $\infty(p, q, l)$, is obtained from two vertex-disjoint cycles C_p and C_q by connecting one vertex of C_p and one of C_q with a path P_l of length $l - 1$ (in the case of $l = 1$, identifying the above two vertices); and a θ -graph, denoted by $\theta(p, q, l)$, is a union of three internally disjoint paths $P_{p+1}, P_{q+1}, P_{l+1}$ of length p, q, l respectively, with common end vertices, where $p, q, l \geq 1$ and at most one of them is 1. Observe that any bicyclic graph G is obtained from an ∞ -graph or a θ -graph (possibly) by attaching trees to some of its vertices.

Now we give the characterization of the leaf-free bicyclic signed graph with nullity 3.

Theorem 5.2. *Let Γ be a leaf-free bicyclic signed graph. Then $\eta(\Gamma) = 2c(\Gamma) - 1 = 3$ if and only if $\Gamma \cong \infty(l, k, x)$ or $\theta(l', x', k')$, where the nullity of each cycle of Γ is 2, and x is odd, l', x', k' are even.*

Proof. Since Γ is a leaf-free bicyclic signed graph and $\eta(\Gamma) = 2c(\Gamma) + p(\Gamma) - 1 = 3$, Γ is 1-deficient and $\Gamma \cong \infty(l, k, x)$ or $\theta(l', x', k')$. By Lemma 4.10, we know the nullity of each cycle C_t of Γ is 2.

Case 1. $\Gamma \cong \infty(l, k, x)$. (See Fig. 1)

Observe that v_1 is a cut-vertex of Γ , and $\eta(C_l) - 1 = \eta(C_l - v_1) = 1$ by Lemma 2.1 and 2.2, set $\Gamma_1 = \Gamma - (C_l - v_1)$, then we have $\eta(\Gamma) = \eta(C_l - v_1) + \eta(\Gamma_1)$ (using Lemma 2.4(1)), thus $\eta(\Gamma_1) = 2$.

If x is even, then $\eta(\Gamma_1) = \eta(\Gamma_1 - v_1 - v_2) = \cdots = \eta(\Gamma_1 - P_x) = 1$ by applying Lemma 2.9 repeatedly, a contradiction, thus x is odd.

Conversely, if $\Gamma \cong \infty(l, k, x)$, where $\eta(C_l) = \eta(C_k) = 2$ and x is odd, Observe that v_1 is a cut-vertex of Γ , and $\eta(C_l) - 1 = \eta(C_l - v_1) = 1$ by Lemma 2.1 and 2.2, set




Fig. 1 Γ

$\Gamma_1 = \Gamma - (C_l - v_1)$, then we have $\eta(\Gamma) = \eta(C_l - v_1) + \eta(\Gamma_1)$ (using Lemma 2.4(1)), further $\eta(\Gamma_1) = \eta(\Gamma_1 - v_1 - v_2) = \cdots = \eta(C_k) = 2$ by applying Lemma 2.8 repeatedly, thus $\eta(\Gamma) = 3 = 2c(\Gamma) - 1$.

Case 2. $\Gamma \cong \theta(l', x', k')$, where $\eta(C_{l'+x'}) = \eta(C_{x'+k'}) = 2$ and l', x', k' are even. (See fig. 1)

Case 2.1. $\sigma(C_{l'+x'}) = \sigma(C_{k'+x'}) = +$, then $l' + x' \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ and $k' + x' \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. If l' is odd, then k', x' are odd. By Lemma 2.3, we have $\eta(\Gamma) \leq \eta(\Gamma - u) + 1$, thus $\eta(\Gamma - u) \geq 2$, whereas $\eta(\Gamma - u) = 0$ by applying Lemma 2.8 repeatedly, a contradiction, so l', x', k' are even.

If $l' \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, then $k' = x' \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, by applying Lemma 4.1 repeatedly, we have $\eta(\Gamma) = \eta(\theta(4, 4, 4))$, where each cycle of $\theta(4, 4, 4)$ is positive. By calculations, we can easily know $\eta(\theta(4, 4, 4)) = 3$. If $l' \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, then $k' = x' \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, the proof is similar to the foregoing.

As for $\sigma(C_{l'+x'}) = \sigma(C_{k'+x'}) = -$ or $\sigma(C_{k'+x'}) = -$ and $\sigma(C_{l'+x'}) = +$, the proof is similar to case 2.1.

According to above discussion, the conclusion holds. \square

By slightly modifying the proofs of Lemma 3.8 in [15] and Lemma 3.4 in [6], we have the following Lemmas for signed graph.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $\Gamma = \theta(k, l, m)$ be a bicyclic signed graph such that the nullity of each cycle*

of Γ is 2 and k, l, m are even, then $\eta(\Gamma - v) = 2$ for any vertex v in Γ .

Lemma 5.3. *Let Γ be a connected leaf-free signed graph with $c(\Gamma) \geq 3$. If $\eta(\Gamma) = 2c(\Gamma) - 1$, then*

(1) Γ contains a cut-vertex and a pendant cycle.

(2) Let C_t be a pendant cycle and H_1 be the maximal leaf-free subgraph of $\Gamma - C_t$. Then the internal path connecting Γ and H_1 is odd.

Secondly, we give the characterization of the connected leaf-free signed graph with nullity $2c(\Gamma) - 1$ and $c(\Gamma) \geq 3$.

Theorem 5.3. *Let Γ be a connected leaf-free signed graph with $c(\Gamma) \geq 3$. Then $\eta(\Gamma) = 2c(\Gamma) - 1$ if and only if Γ is a signed graph which is obtained from a tree T with $p(T) = c(\Gamma)$ and $\eta(T) = p(T) - 1$ by attaching a cycle with nullity 2 on each leaf of T .*

Proof. We first prove the sufficiency part. Let T be a tree with $p(T) = c(\Gamma)$ and $\eta(T) = p(T) - 1$. Let $v_1, \dots, v_{p(T)}$ be all leaves of T . Γ is a signed graph obtained from T by attaching a cycle with nullity 2, say C_i , on each $v_i (i \in \{1, \dots, p(T)\})$. Firstly, we replace each C_i of Γ by a quadrangle C_4^i with nullity 2, and denote the resulting signed graph by Γ^* . Then by Lemma 4.1, we have $\eta(\Gamma) = \eta(\Gamma^*)$. Secondly, let Γ^{**} be a signed graph which is obtained from Γ^* by removing one vertex of each $C_4^i, (i \in \{1, \dots, p(T)\})$ which is adjacent to v_i . Then by Lemma 2.7, $r(\Gamma^*) = r(\Gamma^{**})$. Moreover, by applying Lemma 2.8 several times, we have $\eta(\Gamma^{**}) = \eta(T) = p(T) - 1 = c(\Gamma) - 1$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} r(\Gamma^*) &= r(\Gamma^{**}) \\ n(\Gamma^*) - \eta(\Gamma^*) &= n(\Gamma^{**}) - \eta(\Gamma^{**}) \\ n(\Gamma^*) - \eta(\Gamma) &= (n(\Gamma^*) - c(\Gamma)) - (c(\Gamma) - 1) \\ \eta(\Gamma) &= 2c(\Gamma) - 1. \end{aligned}$$

Now we will prove the necessity part. By Lemma 5.3(1) and Corollary 5.1, we know Γ contains a pendant cycle C with the nullity 2.

Next, we prove the conclusion by induction on $c(\Gamma)$.

When $c(\Gamma) = 3$, if there is only one pendant cycle in Γ , say C_1 , then Γ can be regarded as a signed graph obtained from C_1 and $\theta \cong \theta(l, x, k)$ by connecting $v_1 \in V(C_1)$ and $v_s \in V(\theta)$ with a path $P(v_1, \dots, v_s), s \geq 1$ (See Fig. 2).




Fig. 2 Leaf-free tricyclic signed graph with only one pendant cycle

By Lemma 5.3(2), $s - 1$ is odd, then we have $\eta(C_1) = \eta(C_1 + \{v_2, \dots, v_{s-1}\})$ and $\eta(C_1 - v_1) = \eta((C_1 - v_1) + \{v_1, \dots, v_s\}) = \eta(C_1 + \{v_2, \dots, v_s\})$ by applying Lemma 2.8 several times. Moreover, by Lemma 2.1 and 2.2, we have $\eta(C_1) = \eta(C_1 - v_1) + 1$. Therefore, v_s is a cut-vertex of Γ such that $\eta(C_1 + \{v_2, \dots, v_{s-1}\}) = \eta(C_1 + \{v_2, \dots, v_s\}) + 1$. By Lemma 2.4(2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \eta(\Gamma) &= \eta(\Gamma - v_s) - 1 \\
 &= \eta(C_1 + \{v_2, \dots, v_{s-1}\}) + \eta(\theta - v_s) - 1 \\
 &= \eta(C_1) + \eta(\theta - v_s) - 1 \\
 &= 2 + \eta(\theta - v_s) - 1 \\
 &= \eta(\theta - v_s) + 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

When Γ is the first graph in Fig. 2, clearly, $\theta - v_s$ is a tree with at most 3 leaves. By Theorem 3.1, we have $\eta(\theta - v_s) \leq 3 - 1 = 2$. Then we have $\eta(\Gamma) = \eta(\theta - v_s) + 1 \leq 2 + 1 \neq 5$, a contradiction.

When Γ is the second graph in Fig. 2, $\theta - v_s$ is a connected unicyclic signed graph and at most 2 leaves. By Theorem 3.1, we have $\eta(\theta - v_s) \leq 2c(\theta - v_s) + 2 - 1 = 3$. Then we have $\eta(\Gamma) = \eta(\theta - v_s) + 1 \leq 3 + 1 \neq 5$, a contradiction.

If there are exactly two pendant cycles in Γ , say C_1 and C_2 , then Γ can be regarded as a graph shown in top of Fig. 3.

By Corollary 5.1, $\eta(C_1) = \eta(C_2) = 2$. We replace $C_i (i = 1, 2)$ of Γ by a quadrangle C_4^i , and denote the resulting signed graph shown in middle of Fig. 4 by Γ^* , where $\eta(C_4^i) = 2$. Then by Lemma 4.1, we have $\eta(\Gamma) = \eta(\Gamma^*)$. Let Γ^{**} be a signed graph which is obtained from Γ^* by removing $x \in V(C_4^1)$ and $y \in V(C_4^2)$ (See third graph in Fig. 3). Then by




Fig. 3 Γ , Γ^* and Γ^{**}

Lemma 2.7, $r(\Gamma^*) = r(\Gamma^{**})$. Therefore,

$$\eta(\Gamma) = \eta(\Gamma^*) = n(\Gamma^*) - r(\Gamma^*) = (n(\Gamma^{**}) + 2) - r(\Gamma^{**}) = n(\Gamma^{**}) + 2.$$

Then, $\eta(\Gamma^{**}) = 3$. However, by Lemma 5.3(2), $P(v_1, \dots, v_s)$ and $P(u_1, \dots, u_t)$ in Γ^{**} is odd, that is, t and s are even. By applying Lemma 2.8 repeatedly, we have $\eta(\Gamma^{**}) \leq 2$, which leads to a contradiction. Therefore, all cycles of Γ are pendant cycles.

Let C_1, C_2 and C_3 be all pendant cycles of Γ . By Corollary 5.1, $\eta(C_i) = 2$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$). Similarly, we replace each C_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$) of Γ by a quadrangle C_4^i with the nullity 2, and denote the resulting graph by Γ^* . Then by Lemma 4.1, we have $\eta(\Gamma) = \eta(\Gamma^*)$. We continue to delete one vertex from each C_4^i which is adjacent to a vertex with degree 3 and denote the resulting signed graph by Γ^{**} . We know that Γ^{**} is a tree with 3 leaves. By Lemma 2.8, $r(\Gamma^*) = r(\Gamma^{**})$. Therefore,

$$\eta(\Gamma) = \eta(\Gamma^*) = n(\Gamma^*) - r(\Gamma^*) = (n(\Gamma^{**}) + 3) - r(\Gamma^{**}) = \eta(\Gamma^{**}) + 3.$$

Then, $\eta(\Gamma^{**}) = \eta(\Gamma) - 3 = 2$. It means that Γ^{**} is a tree with 3 leaves and $\eta(\Gamma^{**}) = 2$. Thus, Γ is a signed graph which is obtained from a tree T with $p(T) = 3$ and $\eta(T) = p(T) - 1 = 2$ by attaching a cycle with nullity 2 on each leaf of T .

We assume that the result holds when $c(\Gamma) < c$. Now we consider the case $c(\Gamma) = c$ ($c \geq 4$).

Let H be the maximal leaf-free subgraph of $\Gamma - C$. Then Γ can be regarded as a signed graph which is obtained from C and H by connecting $v_1 \in V(C)$ and $v_l \in V(H)$ with a path $P(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_l)$ ($l \geq 2$), by Lemma 5.3(2), l is even (See Fig. 4).




Fig. 4 Γ

Firstly, we have $\eta(H) \leq 2c(H) - 1$ by Theorem 3.1. Secondly, we have $\eta(C) = \eta(C + \{v_2, \dots, v_{l-1}\})$ and $\eta(C - v_1) = \eta((C - v_1) + \{v_1, \dots, v_l\}) = \eta(C + \{v_2, \dots, v_l\})$ by applying Lemma 2.8 several times. Therefore, v_l is a cut-vertex of Γ such that $\eta(C + \{v_2, \dots, v_{l-1}\}) = \eta(C) = \eta(C - v_1) + 1 = \eta(C + \{v_2, \dots, v_l\}) + 1$. If $\eta(H) \leq 2c(H) - 2$, by Lemma 2.4(2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \eta(\Gamma) &= \eta(\Gamma - v_l) - 1 \\ &= \eta(C + \{v_2, \dots, v_{l-1}\}) + \eta(H - v_l) - 1 \\ &\leq \eta(C) + (\eta(H) + 1) - 1 \\ &\leq 2 + (2c(H) - 2) + 1 - 1 \\ &= 2(c(\Gamma) - 1) = 2c(\Gamma) - 2 \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. Thus $\eta(H) \leq 2c(H) - 1$.

Since $c(H) = c(\Gamma) - 1 = c - 1 < c$, by the induction assumption, H is a signed graph obtained from a tree T' with $p(T') = c - 1$ and $\eta(T') = p(T') - 1$ by attaching a cycle with nullity 2 on each leaf of T' (See Fig. 5). It means that all $c - 1$ cycles of H are pendant cycles. Therefore, Γ has at least $c - 1$ pendant cycles.

If there are exactly $c - 1$ pendant cycles in Γ , then Γ can be regarded as a signed graph in Fig. 5. Similarly, we replace $C_i (i = 1, \dots, c - 1)$ of Γ by a quadrangle with nullity 2, the resulting signed graph is denoted by Γ^* , then by Lemma 4.1, we have $\eta(\Gamma^*) = \eta(\Gamma)$. Removing one vertex from each quadrangle which is adjacent to a vertex with degree 3, the resulting graph is denoted by Γ^{**} , then by Lemma 2.7, we have $r(\Gamma^*) = r(\Gamma^{**})$. Then,




Fig. 5 Γ and Γ^{**}

$\eta(\Gamma^{**}) = c$ by calculations. However, $\eta(\Gamma^{**}) < c$. In fact, by Lemma 4.2(2), v is a covered vertex in T'' and $\eta(T'') = p(T'') - 1$. It follows from Lemma 2.6(1), we have $\eta(\Gamma^{**}) = \eta(T'') + \eta(\Gamma^{**} - T'')$. By Lemma 5.3(2), P_1 and P_2 are odd in Γ^{**} . Then we have $\eta(\Gamma^{**} - T'') = 1$ by applying Lemma 2.8 repeatedly. Thus, $\eta(\Gamma^{**}) = \eta(T'') + \eta(\Gamma^{**} - T'') = (p(T'') - 1) + 1 = p(T'') = c - 2 < c$.

Therefore, all cycles of Γ are pendant cycles, and the nullity of each cycle is 2. Similarly, we replace each cycle of Γ by a quadrangle with nullity 2, and denote the resulting signed graph by Γ^* . Then by Lemma 4.1, we have $\eta(\Gamma) = \eta(\Gamma^*)$. We continue to delete one vertex from each cycle which is adjacent to a vertex with degree 3 and the resulting graph is denoted by Γ^{**} . Clearly, Γ^{**} is a tree with c leaves. By Lemma 2.7, $r(\Gamma^*) = r(\Gamma^{**})$. Therefore,

$$\eta(\Gamma) = \eta(\Gamma^*) = n(\Gamma^*) - r(\Gamma^*) = (n(\Gamma^{**}) + c) - r(\Gamma^{**}) = \eta(\Gamma^{**}) + c.$$

Then, $\eta(\Gamma^{**}) = \eta(\Gamma) - c = (2c - 1) - c = c - 1$. It means that Γ^{**} is a tree with c leaves and $\eta(\Gamma^{**}) = c - 1$. Thus, Γ is a signed graph which is obtained from a tree T with $p(T) = c$ and $\eta(T) = p(T) - 1 = c - 1$ by attaching a cycle with nullity 2 on each leaf of T . \square

Lastly, we give the characterization of the connected signed graph with nullity $2c(\Gamma) + p(\Gamma) - 1$ and $c(\Gamma) \geq 1$.

Theorem 5.4. *Let Γ be a connected signed graph with $c(\Gamma) \geq 1$. Then $\eta(\Gamma) = 2c(\Gamma) + p(\Gamma) - 1$ if and only if Γ has one of the following forms.*

- (1) *A signed graph obtained from a tree T with nullity $p(T) - 1$ by attaching $c(\Gamma)$ cycles with nullity 2 on $c(\Gamma)$ leaves of T , where $p(T) \geq c(\Gamma)$.*
- (2) *An ∞ -graph $\infty(p, q, 1)$, where the nullity of each cycle of $\infty(p, q, 1)$ is 2,*
- (3) *A θ -graph $\theta(p, q, l)$, where the nullity of each cycle of $\theta(p, q, l)$ is 2.*

Proof. We first prove the sufficiency part. Signed graphs of form (2) or (3) have been proved in Theorem 5.2. As for signed graphs of form (1), we proceed by induction on $c(\Gamma)$ to prove Γ is 1-deficient. When $c(\Gamma) = 1$, it has been proved by Lemma 4.8. Suppose the result holds for connected signed graphs with k elementary cycles, while $c(\Gamma) = k + 1$. Let C_m be a cycle with nullity 2 attached at a leaf of T . Let v be the vertex lying on C_m and $d_\Gamma(v) = 3$, so we have $1 = \eta(C_m - v) = \eta(C_m) - 1$ by Lemma 2.1 and 2.2, further by Lemma 2.4(1), $\eta(\Gamma) = \eta(\Gamma') + 1$, where Γ' is the signed graph by shrinking C_m into a vertex. Now Γ' is obtained by attaching k cycles with nullity 2 at k leaves of T , the induction hypothesis implies that Γ' is 1-deficient. Observe that $p(\Gamma') = p(\Gamma) + 1$, we have

$$\eta(\Gamma) = \eta(\Gamma') + 1 = [2c(\Gamma') + p(\Gamma') - 1] + 1 = 2c(\Gamma) + p(\Gamma) - 1,$$

which proves that Γ is 1-deficient.

Now we will prove the necessity part. Let B be a block of Γ such that $c(B) \geq c(B')$ for any block B' of Γ , then B is 1-deficient, otherwise, Γ is 2^+ -deficient by Lemma 4.6. Since B is 1-deficient and is a block, so $\eta(B) = 2c(B) - 1$, then by Lemma 5.3, $1 \leq c(B) \leq 2$. We consider the following two cases depending on this.

Case 1. $c(B) = 2$.

Since B is a block of Γ , $B = \theta(k, l, m)$. By Lemma 4.10, the nullity of each cycle is 2. Now we will prove $\Gamma = B$. Indeed, if B is a proper subgraph of Γ , Γ has a cut-vertex x which lies on B . Note that we have $\eta(B - x) = 2$ by Lemma 5.2. Let Q' be a component of $\Gamma - x$ such that $V(Q') \cap V(B) = \emptyset$ and let $Q = Q' + x$. Now, let Γ' be the subgraph induced by the vertices of B and Q . If we can prove Γ' is 2^+ -deficient, then so is Γ (by Lemma 4.6), and thus we derive a contradiction. Since $2 = \eta(B - x) = \eta(B) - 1$ and x is a cut-vertex of

Γ' , by Lemma 2.4(1), we have $\eta(\Gamma') = \eta(Q) + \eta(B - x) = \eta(Q) + 2$. If Q is a cycle, then $\eta(Q) \leq 2$ by Lemma 2.2 and $c(\Gamma') = 3$, thus $\eta(\Gamma') \leq 4 = 2c(\Gamma') + p(\Gamma') - 2$. Otherwise, according to $\eta(Q) \leq 2c(Q) + p(Q) - 1$, $c(Q) = c(\Gamma') - 2$, and $p(Q) \leq p(\Gamma') + 1$ we have

$$\eta(\Gamma') = \eta(Q) + 2 \leq [2c(Q) + p(Q) - 1] + 2 \leq 2c(\Gamma') + p(\Gamma') - 2.$$

Hence, $\Gamma = B = \theta(k, l, m)$, and the nullity of each cycle is 2.

Case 2. $c(B) = 1$.

In this case, each block of Γ contains at most one cycle. Therefore any two cycles share at most one common vertex, otherwise there exists a block with at least 2 cycles. If there are two cycles sharing a common vertex, then by Lemma 4.9 we know Γ has form (2).

Next, we assume that Γ contains $c(\Gamma)$ vertex-disjoint cycles. By Lemma 4.10, the nullity of each cycle of Γ is 2. Now we proceed by induction on $c(\Gamma)$ to prove that Γ has form (1). If $c(\Gamma) = 1$, the result has been proved by Lemma 4.8. Suppose the result holds for signed graphs with $k(\geq 1)$ disjoint cycles, while $c(\Gamma) = k + 1$. Let C_m be one of the cycles with nullity 2 and Γ' be the signed graph obtained by shrinking the cycle into a vertex. Since $\eta(\Gamma') = \eta(\Gamma) - 1$ (by Lemma 2.4(1)), $c(\Gamma') = c(\Gamma) - 1$, and $p(\Gamma') = p(\Gamma) + 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \eta(\Gamma') &= \eta(\Gamma) - 1 \\ &= 2c(\Gamma) + p(\Gamma) - 1 - 1 \\ &= 2(c(\Gamma') + 1) + (p(\Gamma') - 1) - 2 \\ &= 2c(\Gamma') + p(\Gamma') - 1, \end{aligned}$$

that is, Γ' is also 1-deficient. The induction hypothesis implies that Γ' is obtained from a tree T with $\eta(T) = p(T) - 1$ by attaching k pendant cycles with nullity 2 at k leaves of T . Thus Γ is obtained from T by attaching $k + 1$ pendant cycles with nullity 2 at $k + 1$ leaves of T , where $c(\Gamma) = k + 1 \leq p(T)$. \square

References

- [1] P. W. Atkins, J. de Paula, Physical Chemistry, eighth ed.. Oxford University Press. 2006.
- [2] J. Bevis, K. Blount, G. Davis, The rank of a graph after vertex addition, Linear Algebra Appl. 265 (1997): 55-69.

- [3] D. Cvetković, I. Gutman, The algebraic multiplicity of the number zero in the spectrum of a bipartite graph, *Mat. Vesnik (Beograd)*. 9 (1972): 141-150.
- [4] D. Cvetković, M. Doob, H. Sachs, *Spectra of Graphs*, third ed.. Johann Ambrosius Barth, Heidelberg. 1995.
- [5] B. Cheng, B. Liu, On the nullity of graphs, *Electron. J. Linear Algebra*. 16 (2007): 60-67.
- [6] S. Chang, A. Chang, Y. R. Zheng, The leaf-free graphs with nullity $2c(G) - 1$, *Discrete Appl. Math.* 277 (2020): 44-54.
- [7] Y. Z. Fan, Y. Wang, Y. Wang, A note on the the nullity of unicyclic signed graphs, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 438 (3) (2013): 1193-1200.
- [8] Y. Z. Fan, K. S. Qian, On the nullity of bipartite graphs, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 430 (2009): 2943-2949.
- [9] Y. Fan, W. Du, C. Dong, The nullity of bicyclic signed graphs, *Linear Multilinear Algebra*. 62 (2) (2014): 242-251.
- [10] S. C. Gong, Y. Z. Fan, Z. X. Yin, On the nullity of graphs with pendant trees, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 433 (7) (2010): 1374-1380.
- [11] S. C. Gong, G. H. Xu, On the nullity of a graph with cut-points, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 436 (2012): 135-142.
- [12] I. Gutman, I. Sciriha, On the nullity of line graphs of trees, *Discrete Math.* 232 (2001): 35-45.
- [13] I. Gutman, B. Borovicanin, Nullity of Graphs: An Updated Survey, *Zbornik Radova* 14 (22) (2011): 137-154.
- [14] J. M. Guo, W. Yan, Y. N. Yeh, On the nullity and the matching number of unicyclic graphs, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 431 (8) (2009): 1293-1301.
- [15] L. Wang, X.W. Fang, X.Y. Geng, Graphs with nullity $2c(G) + p(G) - 1$, *Discrete Math.* 345 (2022) 112786.

- [16] Y. Hou, Z. Tang, D. Wang, On signed graphs with just two distinct Laplacian eigenvalues, *Applied Mathematics and Computation*. 321 (2019): 1-7.
- [17] S. Hu, X. Tan, B. Liu, On the nullity of bicyclic graphs, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 429 (7) (2008): 1387-1391.
- [18] Y. Liu, L. You, Further results on the nullity of signed graphs, *Applied Math.* (1) 2014.
- [19] S. Li, On the nullity of graphs with pendant vertices, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 429 (7) (2008): 1619-1628.
- [20] S. L. Lee, C. Li, Chemical signed graph theory, *Int. J. Quantum Chem.* 49 (1994): 639-648.
- [21] S. C. Li, W. Wei, The multiplicity of an A_α -eigenvalue: A unified approach for mixed graphs and complex unit gain graphs, *Discrete Math.* 343 (8) (2020): 111916.
- [22] J. Li, A. Chang, W.C. Shiu, On the nullity of bicyclic graphs, *Match Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* 60 (2008): 21-36.
- [23] X. B. Ma, D.Wang, F. L. Tian, Nullity of a graph in terms of the dimension of cycle space and the number of pendant vertices, *Discrete Applied Math.* 215 (2016): 171-176.
- [24] F. S. Roberts, On balanced signed graphs and consistent marked graphs, *Electron. Notes Discrete Math.* 2 (1999): 94-105.
- [25] P. K. Sahu, S. L. Lee, Net-sign identity information index: a novel approach towards numerical characterization of chemical signed graph theory, *Chem, Phys. Lett.* 454 (2008): 133-138.
- [26] Y. Z. Song, X. Q. Song, B.S. Tam, A characterization of graphs G with nullity $|V(G)| - 2m(G) - c(G)$, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 465 (2015): 363-375.