

Diffusion Processes: entropy, Gibbs states and the continuous time Ruelle operator

A. O. Lopes, G. Muller, and A. Neumann
 Instituto de Matemática e Estatística, UFRGS, Porto Alegre, Brasil

August 4, 2022

Abstract

We consider a Riemannian compact manifold M , the associated Laplacian Δ and the corresponding Brownian motion X_t , $t \geq 0$. Given a Lipschitz function $V : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ we consider the operator $\frac{1}{2}\Delta + V$, which acts on differentiable functions $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ via the operator

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta f(x) + V(x)f(x),$$

for all $x \in M$.

Denote by P_t^V , $t \geq 0$, the semigroup acting on functions $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$P_t^V(f)(x) := \mathbb{E}_x[e^{\int_0^t V(X_r) dr} f(X_t)].$$

We will show that this semigroup is a continuous-time version of the discrete-time Ruelle operator.

Consider the positive differentiable eigenfunction $F : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ associated to the main eigenvalue λ for the semigroup P_t^V , $t \geq 0$. From the function F , in a procedure similar to the one used in the case of discrete-time Thermodynamic Formalism, we can associate via a coboundary procedure a certain stationary Markov semigroup. The probability on the Skorohod space obtained from this new stationary Markov semigroup can be seen as a stationary Gibbs state associated with the potential V . We define entropy, pressure, the continuous-time Ruelle operator and we present a variational principle of pressure for such a setting.

Keywords: Laplacian, Diffusions, Entropy, Gibbs states, Pressure, continuous time Ruelle operator, eigenfunction, eigenvalue, Feynman-Kac formula, Thermodynamic Formalism.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 60J25; 60J60; 60J65; 58J65; 37D35.

In the present text, we will work with $\{X_t; t \geq 0\}$ the Brownian Motion with state-space on a Riemannian compact manifold M . One particular example could be \mathbb{S}^1 , where \mathbb{S}^1 is the interval $[0, 1]$ with $0 \equiv 1$. We call M the state space.

We will denote by $\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}$ the Laplacian on the Riemannian manifold M . The Laplacian operator is selfadjoint (see [22]).

The Brownian Motion has as its infinitesimal generator, the Laplacian, which is the operator $L = \frac{1}{2}\Delta = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}$ acting on functions $f \in C^2(M)$. The trajectories of this process are \mathcal{C} , the space of all continuous functions defined in $[0, T]$ taking values in M . Denote \mathbb{P}_μ the probability in \mathcal{C} induced by $\{X_t; t \geq 0\}$ and the initial probability μ . If the initial measure is δ_x (Delta of Dirac), for some $x \in M$, we will denote \mathbb{P}_{δ_x} only by \mathbb{P}_x . And the expectation (integral) with respect to \mathbb{P}_μ or \mathbb{P}_x we will denote by \mathbb{E}_μ or \mathbb{E}_x , respectively.

For a fixed $T > 0$ we denote by $\Omega^T \subset \{w : [0, T] \rightarrow M\}$ the associated Skhorohod space (see [4]). This T can be taken as large as we want but fixed.

We denote by $\mathcal{S} \subset \{w : [0, \infty) \rightarrow M\}$ the Skhorohod space where paths $w : [0, \infty) \rightarrow M$ are càdlàg

We define for each fixed $s \in \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$ the \mathcal{B} -measurable transformation $\Theta_s : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ given by $\Theta_s(w_t) = w_{t+s}$. A stationary diffusion Process defines a probability on \mathcal{S} , which is invariant for the continuous time flow $\Theta_s : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$, $s \geq 0$.

When μ is the volume form on M the associated Markov Process $\{X_t^\mu; t \geq 0\}$ is stationary for the flow Θ_s , $s \geq 0$. The $P = P_\mu$ on the Skhorohod space obtained in this way will play the role of our *a priori* probability. Θ_s , $s \geq 0$, is called the continuous time shift.

General results for continuous-time Markov chains that were specially designed to be applicable to our setting appear on [20].

The results we describe here are inspired by the previous results presented on [16] when the state space is the Bernoulli symbolic space $\{1, 2, \dots, d\}^{\mathbb{N}}$.

Let $V : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a Lipschitz function and consider the operator $L + V$, which acts on functions $f \in C^2(M)$ by the expression

$$(L + V)(f)(x) = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}f(x) + V(x)f(x),$$

for all $x \in M$.

It is a classical result that there exists a positive differentiable eigenfunction $F : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ associated to an eigenvalue λ_V (the smallest) for the above operator $L + V$ (see proposition 2.9 chapter 8 in [23], [5] or [13]).

For $t \geq 0$, we consider

$$P_t^V(f)(x) := \mathbb{E}_x[e^{\int_0^t V(X_r) dr} f(X_t)], \quad (0.1)$$

for all continuous function $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $x \in M$. By Feynman-Kac, $\{P_t^V, t \geq 0\}$ defines a semigroup associated to the infinitesimal operator $L + V$ (see chapter 11 in [23]) which is not Markovian (stochastic).

Given such V we can normalize this semigroup (associated with the infinitesimal operator $L + V$) in order to get a new Markov semigroup. This is done via a kind of *coboundary procedure* using the positive eigenfunction F and the eigenvalue (for the analogous discrete-time procedure see [21]). We call the new

associated stationary Markov Process - get in this way - the Gibbs Process associated to the perturbation V . The shift-invariant probability on the Skhorohod space \mathcal{S} obtained from the Gibbs process for V will be called the Gibbs state probability associated to the potential V (see also [3], [16] and [12]).

As the infinitesimal generator we consider is selfadjoint (see [22]), one can show (see [12]) that for any x and $t > 0$

$$\mathbb{E}_x \left[e^{\int_0^t V(X_r) dr} f(X_t) \right] = \mathbb{E}_{X_t=x} \left[e^{\int_0^t V(X_r) dr} f(X_0) \right]. \quad (0.2)$$

Therefore, the right-hand side of the above expression, as a function of x and t , also satisfies the Feynman-Kac formula (see [8]). In figure 1, we show schematically the difference of looking the integration of paths by \mathbb{P}_μ via the left-hand side or the right-hand side of (0.2). It is important to notice that the Laplacian operator is selfadjoint (see [22]).

The right-hand side expression (0.2) is the natural generalization to a continuous-time setting of the classical discrete-time Ruelle operator (see also [16]). We elaborate on this claim.

Points in the symbolic space $\{1, 2, \dots, d\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ are denoted by $x = (x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \dots)$, $x_j \in \{1, 2, \dots, d\}$.

Given a Holder continuous potential $A : \{1, 2, \dots, d\}^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the discrete time Ruelle operator \mathcal{L}_A acts on continuous functions $\psi : \{1, 2, \dots, d\}^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ via

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) &= \mathcal{L}_A(\psi)(x) = \\ &= \sum_{a=1}^d e^{A(a, x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots)} \psi(a, x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) = \sum_{\sigma(y)=x} e^{A(y)} \psi(y), \end{aligned}$$

where σ is the discrete time shift acting on $\{1, 2, \dots, d\}^{\mathbb{N}}$. In this case the *a priori* measure is the counting measure on $\{1, 2, \dots, d\}$ (see [14]). Given $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\mathcal{L}_A^n(\psi)(x) = \sum_{\sigma^n(y)=x} e^{A(y)+A(\sigma(y))+\dots+A(\sigma^{n-1}(y))} \psi(y). \quad (0.3)$$

Comparing the two expressions, it is fair to say that (0.2) is a continuous time version of (0.3) in the case the function $A(x) = A(x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \dots)$ depends just on the first coordinate x_0 .

For related results and applications to Physics see [10], [11], [5], [19], [7] and [18].

1 On the continuous time Gibbs state for the potential V

General references for basic results on diffusions and semigroups that we use here appear, for example, in [23], [9], [1], [2] and [8].

Let λ_V the biggest eigenvalue of $L+V$ and F_V the differentiable eigenfunction associated to λ_V , then $F_V > 0$ (for the existence theorems see [1], [6] or [23]). To make simply the notation we will denote F_V by F . For $t \geq 0$, if one defines

$$\mathcal{P}_t^V(f)(x) = \mathbb{E}_x \left[e^{\int_0^t V(X_r) dr} \frac{F(X_t)}{e^{\lambda_V t} F(x)} f(X_t) \right] = \frac{P_t^V(Ff)(x)}{e^{\lambda_V t} F(x)}, \quad (1.1)$$

where F and λ_V are the eigenfunction and the eigenvalue, respectively. Then $\mathcal{P}_t^V(1)(x) = 1, \forall x \in M$. This defines a stochastic semigroup, which is what we were looking for. From this, we will get a new continuous-time Markov process, which will help to define the Gibbs state for V .

Proposition 1.1. *If $V : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Lipschitz function and we define the operator \mathcal{L}^V acting on $f \in C^2(M)$ as*

$$\mathcal{L}_V(f)(x) = \frac{1}{F(x)}(L+V)(Ff)(x) - f(x)\lambda_V = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} f(x) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \log(F(x)) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} f(x). \quad (1.2)$$

Then, this operator, \mathcal{L}_V , is the infinitesimal generator associated to a semigroup $\{\mathcal{P}_t^V, t \geq 0\}$ defined in (1.1).

Notice that a process induced by this kind of infinitesimal generator corresponds to a Brownian Motion with non-homogeneous drift: $\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \log(F(x))$.

Proof. It is easy to see that $\{\mathcal{P}_T^V, T \geq 0\}$ is a semigroup, see Proposition 7 in [16]. To prove that the infinitesimal generator (1.2) is associated to this semigroup, we need to observe that

$$\frac{\mathcal{P}_t^V(f)(x) - f(x)}{t} = \frac{1}{e^{\lambda_V t} F(x)} \left(\frac{P_t^V(Ff)(x) - (Ff)(x)}{t} \right) + f(x) \left(\frac{e^{-\lambda_V t} - 1}{t} \right).$$

Taking the limit as t goes to zero the expression above converges to

$$\frac{1}{F(x)}(L+V)(Ff)(x) - f(x)\lambda_V.$$

In order to find the second expression in the statement of the proposition, we can rewrite the expression above as

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{F(x)} \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} (Ff)(x) + (V(x) - \lambda_V) f = \\ & \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} f(x) + \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial x} F(x)}{F(x)} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} f + \left(V(x) - \lambda_V + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} F(x)}{F(x)} \right) f(x). \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

Using that F is an eigenfunction associated to the eigenvalue λ_V , we have that

$$V(x) - \lambda_V = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} F(x) / F(x).$$

Then the last expression in (1.3) becomes the operator $\mathcal{L}_V(f)(x)$, defined in (1.2). □

From now on, we will elaborate on the properties of initial invariant probability μ_V , for the operator \mathcal{L}_V . In other words, μ_V is a probability in M such that, for any $f \in C^2(M)$, we have for any $T \geq 0$

$$\int \mathcal{P}_t^V(f) d\mu_V = \int f d\mu_V \quad \text{or equivalently} \quad \int \mathcal{L}_V(f) d\mu_V = 0.$$

Since $\mathcal{L}_V(f)(x) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} f(x) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \log(F(x)) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} f(x)$ (see (1.2)), the following lemma will give for us the invariant measure.

Lemma 1.2. *Let $G \in C^1(M)$ and define an operator $A : C^2(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as*

$$A(f) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} f + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} G \frac{\partial}{\partial x} f,$$

for all $f \in C^2(M)$. Then a measure μ such that $\frac{d\mu}{dx} = e^{2G}$ satisfies

$$\int Af d\mu = 0,$$

for all $f \in C^2(M)$.

Proof. This proof follows from the Radon-Nikodym theorem and integration by parts. \square

Thus, taking $G = \log F$, we get that $\tilde{\mu}_V$ satisfies $\frac{d\tilde{\mu}_V}{dx} = F^2$ is the invariant measure for \mathcal{L}_V . This measure maybe is not a probability, then we will consider the normalized measure

$$d\mu_V(x) = \frac{F^2(x)}{\gamma_V} dx, \quad (1.4)$$

where $\gamma_V = \int_M F^2(x) dx$.

Remark 1.3. *There is another way to find an invariant measure for \mathcal{L}_V . Following the reasoning of [16] one can find an eigenprobability ν_V of $L + V$ associated to eigenvalue λ_V . Then consider*

$$\mu_V = F\nu_V,$$

where F is the eigenfunction associated to eigenvalue λ_V . Since $\mathcal{L}_V(f)(x) = \frac{1}{F(x)}(L + V)(Ff)(x) - f(x)\lambda_V$, we have

$$\int \mathcal{L}_V(f) d\mu_V = \int \left((L + V)(Ff) - Ff\lambda_V \right) d\nu_V = 0. \quad (1.5)$$

Definition 1.4. *Given a Lipschitz function $V : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we define a continuous-time Markov process $\{Y_t^V, t \geq 0\}$ with state-space M whose infinitesimal generator \mathcal{L}_V acts on functions $f \in C^2(M)$ by the expression (1.2) and the initial stationary probability μ_V defined in (1.4). We call this process $\{Y_t^V, t \geq 0\}$ the continuous time Gibbs state for the potential V . This process induced a probability $\mathbb{P}_{\mu_V}^V$ on the space \mathcal{C} , which we call the Gibbs probability for the potential V .*




Figure 1: The point $x \in M$ is the value at time $t = 0$ of the path obtained as the image - by the continuous time shift Θ_t - of the set of paths described above.

Remark 1.5. Suppose V is of class C^∞ and has a finite number of points with derivative zero. Let λ the biggest eigenvalue of $L + V$ and F the eigenfunction associated to λ . One can show an interesting property relating oscillations of V and the oscillations of the main eigenfunction F .

Suppose that $V : \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has only two points with derivative zero (V has a unique point of maximum and a unique point of minimum). Then, the eigenfunction F has less than four points with derivative zero.

From the hypothesis on given a value c there exist at most two values x such that $V(x) = c$. Suppose F has many values with derivative zero. Then, between each two of these points there exists another one x_1 with $F''(x_1) = 0$. From, $F''(x_1) + V(x_1)F(x_1) = \lambda F(x_1)$ we get that $V(x_1) = \lambda$. But, by hypothesis one can get at most two points with this property.

One can generalize this for V with more oscillations in a similar way. The analogous property for potentials and eigenfunctions in the setting where the state space has no differentiable structure is not so clear how to get it.

2 Relative Entropy, Pressure and the equilibrium state for the potential V

One can ask: “Does the Gibbs state (of the last section) satisfy a variational principle?” We will address this question in the present section.

Given a Lipschitz function $V : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we will consider a *variational problem in the continuous-time setting*, which is analogous to the pressure problem in the discrete-time setting (thermodynamic formalism). This requires a meaning for *entropy*. A continuous-time stationary Markov process, which maximizes our variational problem, will be the *continuous-time equilibrium state for V* . The different probabilities $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_x$ on \mathcal{C} will describe the possible candidates for being the *stationary equilibrium continuous-time Markov process for V* . These probabilities will be called admissible.

First of all, we will analyze the Radon-Nikodym derivative of \mathbb{P}_x^V with respect to the measure \mathbb{P}_x induced by the Brownian Motion and initial probability δ_x restricted to \mathcal{F}_t , where $\{\mathcal{F}_t, t \geq 0\}$ is the canonical filtration for the Brownian Motion $\{X_t, t \geq 0\}$. The Radon-Nikodym derivative will be denoted as $\left. \frac{d\mathbb{P}_x^V}{d\mathbb{P}_x} \right|_{\mathcal{F}_t}$, where δ_x is any initial probability in M . In order to find an expression to $\left. \frac{d\mathbb{P}_x^V}{d\mathbb{P}_x} \right|_{\mathcal{F}_t}$, we remember that it must satisfy

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}} G(w_{T_1}, w_{T_2}, \dots, w_{T_k}) d\mathbb{P}_x^V(w) = \int_{\mathcal{C}} G(w_{T_1}, w_{T_2}, \dots, w_{T_k}) \left. \frac{d\mathbb{P}_x^V}{d\mathbb{P}_x} \right|_{\mathcal{F}_t} d\mathbb{P}_x(w),$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 = T_0 < T_1 < \dots < T_k = t < T$ and $G : (M)^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. For this is enough to consider, for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, functions $f_i : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, a time partition as above and study the following integral:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathcal{C}} f_1(w_{T_1}) f_2(w_{T_2}) \dots f_k(w_{T_k}) d\mathbb{P}_x^V(w) \\ &= \int_M P_{T_1}(x, x_1) f_1(x_1) \int_M P_{T_2 - T_1}(x_1, x_2) f_2(x_2) \dots \int_M P_{T_k - T_{k-1}}(x_{k-1}, x_k) f_k(x_k) \\ &= \int_M P_{T_1}(x, x_1) f_1(x_1) \dots \int_M P_{T_{k-1} - T_{k-2}}(x_{k-2}, x_{k-1}) f_{k-1}(x_{k-1}) \mathcal{P}_{T_k - T_{k-1}}^V(f_k)(x_{k-1}) \\ &= \dots \\ &= \mathcal{P}_{T_1}^V(f_1 \dots (\mathcal{P}_{T_{k-1} - T_{k-2}}^V(f_{k-1} \mathcal{P}_{T_k - T_{k-1}}^V(f_k))) \dots)(x) \end{aligned}$$

To fix ideas consider $k = 2$ and analyze:

$$\mathcal{P}_{T_1}^V(f_1(\mathcal{P}_{T_2 - T_1}^V(f_2)))(x),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{P}_{T_1}^V(f_1(\mathcal{P}_{T_2-T_1}^V(f_2)))(x) \\
&= \mathbb{E}_x \left[e^{\int_0^{T_1} V(X_r) dr} \frac{F(X_{T_1})}{e^{\lambda_V T_1} F(x_0)} f_1(X_{T_1}) \mathbb{E}_{X_{T_1}} \left[e^{\int_0^{T_2-T_1} V(X_r) dr} \frac{F(X_{T_2-T_1})}{e^{\lambda_V (T_2-T_1)} F(X_{T_1})} f_2(X_{T_2-T_1}) \right] \right] \\
&= \mathbb{E}_x \left[e^{\int_0^{T_1} V(X_r) dr} \frac{1}{e^{\lambda_V T_2} F(x_0)} f_1(X_{T_1}) \mathbb{E}_{X_{T_1}} \left[e^{\int_0^{T_2-T_1} V(X_r) dr} F(X_{T_2-T_1}) f_2(X_{T_2-T_1}) \right] \right] \\
&= {}^1\mathbb{E}_x \left[e^{\int_0^{T_1} V(X_r) dr} \frac{1}{e^{\lambda_V T_1} F(x_0)} f_1(X_{T_1}) e^{\int_{T_1}^{T_2} V(X_r) dr} F(X_{T_2}) f_2(X_{T_2}) \right] \\
&= \mathbb{E}_x \left[f_1(X_{T_1}) f_2(X_{T_2}) e^{\int_0^{T_2} V(X_r) dr} \frac{F(X_{T_2})}{e^{\lambda_V T_2} F(x_0)} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

In this case,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathcal{C}} f_1(w_{T_1}) f_2(w_{T_2}) d\mathbb{P}_\mu^V(w) \\
&= \int_{\mathcal{C}} f_1(w_{T_1}) f_2(w_{T_2}) e^{\int_0^{T_2} V(w_r) dr} \frac{F(w_{T_2})}{e^{\lambda_V T_2} F(w_0)} d\mathbb{P}_\mu(w).
\end{aligned}$$

Remembering that $T_k = t$, we have that, for all $T > t \geq 0$,

$$\left. \frac{d\mathbb{P}_x^V}{d\mathbb{P}_x} \right|_{\mathcal{F}_t}(w) = \exp \left\{ \log F(w_t) - \log F(w_0) - \int_0^t (\lambda_V - V(w_r)) dr \right\}, \quad \mathbb{P}_x - a.s. \quad (2.1)$$

or, using another notation,

$$\left. \frac{d\mathbb{P}_x^V}{d\mathbb{P}_x} \right|_{\mathcal{F}_t} = \exp \left\{ \log F(X_t) - \log F(X_0) - \int_0^t (\lambda_V - V(X_r)) dr \right\},$$

where $\{X_s, s \geq 0\}$ is the Brownian Motion.

Definition 2.1. *The probability $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_\mu$ on \mathcal{C} is called admissible, if, for all $T \geq 0$,*

$$\left. \frac{d\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_x}{d\mathbb{P}_x} \right|_{\mathcal{F}_T} = \exp \left\{ g(X_T) - g(X_0) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} g(X_r) + \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} g \right)^2(X_r) \right] dr \right\}, \quad (2.2)$$

for some function $g \in C^2(M)$.

Notice that according to the above the Gibbs Markov process \mathbb{P}_x^V with the initial probability δ_x is admissible, it is enough take $g = \log F$ and observe that

$$\frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} g + \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} g \right)^2 \right] = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{\partial F}{F} \right) + \left(\frac{\partial F}{F} \right)^2 \right] = \frac{\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} F}{F} = \frac{LF}{F} = \lambda_V - V. \quad (2.3)$$

The last equality is due to $(L + V)F = \lambda_V F$. And, the unperturbed system with the initial measure δ_x is also admissible, just take $g = 0$.

Denote by $\{\tilde{X}_t, t \geq 0\}$ which has law in \mathcal{C} the probability $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_x$. The question is: who is the process $\{\tilde{X}_t, t \geq 0\}$? The answer is in [24, Chapter VIII.3] thanks to the Girsanov's Theorem. More specifically, by Proposition 3.4 in [24, Chapter VIII.3] the infinitesimal generator of the process $\{\tilde{X}_t, t \geq 0\}$ is $\tilde{L} = L + \Gamma(g, \cdot)$, where Γ is the *opérateur carré du champ* defined in $C^2(M) \times C^2(M)$ as

$$\Gamma(f, g) = L(fg) - fLg - gLf.$$

Since $L = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}$, the *opérateur carré du champ* is just

$$\Gamma(f, g) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} g \frac{\partial}{\partial x} f.$$

Thus the Radon-Nikodym derivative $\frac{d\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_x}{d\mathbb{P}_x}$, defined in (2.2), can be rewritten as

$$\frac{d\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_x}{d\mathbb{P}_x} \Big|_{\mathcal{F}_t} = \exp \left\{ g(X_t) - g(X_0) - \int_0^t [Lg(X_r) + \Gamma(g, g)(X_r)] dr \right\}.$$

By [24], if the process has a Radon-Nikodym derivative with respect to \mathbb{P}_x as above, the generator this process is $\tilde{L} = L + \Gamma(g, \cdot)$.

The conclusion is that in our model the generator of $\{\tilde{X}_t, t \geq 0\}$ acts on functions $f \in C^2(M)$ as

$$\tilde{L}f = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} f + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} g \frac{\partial}{\partial x} f. \quad (2.4)$$

Then, the process $\{\tilde{X}_t, t \geq 0\}$ is a Brownian Motion with drift $\frac{\partial}{\partial x} g$, i.e., this process is in the same class of the Gibbs Markov process \mathbb{P}_x^V as it should be.

To follow the same way as in [16], we should find the invariant measure for \tilde{L} , which we will denote by $\tilde{\mu}$. By Lemma 1.2, the invariant measure $\tilde{\mu}$ for \tilde{L} is such that $d\tilde{\mu}(x) = e^{2g(x)} / \tilde{\gamma} dx$, where $\tilde{\gamma} = \int_M e^{2g(x)} dx$

Now, we want to give a meaning for the relative entropy of any admissible probability $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{\tilde{\mu}}$ with respect to $\mathbb{P}_{\tilde{\mu}}$. The reason why we use the same initial measure for both processes is that we need that the associated probabilities, $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{\tilde{\mu}}$ and $\mathbb{P}_{\tilde{\mu}}$, on \mathcal{C} are absolutely continuous with respect to each other. Anyway, the final numerical result for the value of entropy will not depend on the common $\tilde{\mu}$ we chose as the initial probability.

For a fixed $T \geq 0$, we consider the relative entropy of the $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{\tilde{\mu}}$, with respect to $\mathbb{P}_{\tilde{\mu}}$ up to time $T \geq 0$ as

$$H_T(\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{\tilde{\mu}}|\mathbb{P}_{\tilde{\mu}}) = - \int_M \int_{\mathcal{C}} \log \left(\frac{d\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_x}{d\mathbb{P}_x} \Big|_{\mathcal{F}_T} \right) (\omega) d\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_x(\omega) d\tilde{\mu}(x). \quad (2.5)$$

Using the property that the logarithm is a concave function and Jensen's inequality, we obtain that for any g we have $\int \log g d\mu \leq \log \int g d\mu$. Then $H_T(\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{\tilde{\mu}}|\mathbb{P}_{\tilde{\mu}}) \leq 0$. Negative entropies appear in a natural way when one analyzes a

dynamical system with the property that each point has an uncountable number of preimages (see [14] and [19]).

Using the expression (2.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} H_T(\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{\tilde{\mu}}|\mathbb{P}_{\tilde{\mu}}) &= - \int_C \left[g(w_T) - g(w_0) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} g(w_r) + \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} g \right)^2(w_r) \right] dr \right] d\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{\tilde{\mu}}(w) \\ &= \int_M \left\{ \tilde{P}_0 g(x) - \tilde{P}_T g(x) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \tilde{P}_r \left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} g + \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} g \right)^2 \right](x) dr \right\} dv_{\tilde{\mu}}(x), \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

where \tilde{P}_t is the semigroup associated to \tilde{L} .

From the previous expression and ergodicity we get that there exists the limit $\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} H_T(\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{\tilde{\mu}}|\mathbb{P}_{\tilde{\mu}})$.

Definition 2.2. For a fixed initial probability $\tilde{\mu}$ on M , we will denote the limit

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} H_T(\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{\tilde{\mu}}|\mathbb{P}_{\tilde{\mu}})$$

as $H(\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{\tilde{\mu}}|\mathbb{P}_{\tilde{\mu}})$. Moreover, we will call $H(\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{\tilde{\mu}}|\mathbb{P}_{\tilde{\mu}})$ as the relative entropy of the measure $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{\tilde{\mu}}$ with respect to the measure $\mathbb{P}_{\tilde{\mu}}$.

By the Definition 2.2, the expression (2.6) and the Ergodic Theorem, the relative entropy

$$H(\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{\tilde{\mu}}|\mathbb{P}_{\tilde{\mu}}) = \frac{1}{2} \int_M \left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} g + \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} g \right)^2 \right] d\tilde{\mu}.$$

Definition 2.3. For a given Lipschitz potential V , we denote the Pressure (or, Free Energy) of V as the value

$$\mathbf{P}(V) := \sup_{\substack{\tilde{\mu} \\ \text{admissible}}} \left\{ H(\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{\tilde{\mu}}|\mathbb{P}_{\tilde{\mu}}) + \int_M V d\tilde{\mu} \right\},$$

where $\tilde{\mu}$ is the initial stationary probability for the infinitesimal generator \tilde{L} , defined in (2.4). Moreover, any admissible element which maximizes $\mathbf{P}(V)$ is called a continuous-time equilibrium state for V .

Finally, we can state the main result of this section:

Proposition 2.4. The pressure of the potential V is given by

$$\mathbf{P}(V) = H(\mathbb{P}_{\mu_V}^V|\mathbb{P}_{\mu_V}) + \int_M V d\mu_V = \lambda_V.$$

Therefore, the equilibrium state for V is the Gibbs state for V .

Proof. The second equality in the statement of the theorem comes from

$$H(\mathbb{P}_{\mu_V}^V | \mathbb{P}_{\mu_V}) + \int_M V d\mu_V = \int_M \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \log F + \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \log F \right)^2 \right] + V \Big] d\mu_V = \int_M \frac{LF}{F} + V d\mu_V = \lambda_V,$$

by (2.3) and $(L + V)F = \lambda_V F$.

In order to finish the proof, we need to analyze

$$H(\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{\tilde{\mu}} | \mathbb{P}_{\tilde{\mu}}) + \int_M V d\tilde{\mu}, \quad (2.7)$$

which is equal to

$$\frac{1}{\tilde{\gamma}} \frac{1}{2} \int_M \left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} g + \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} g \right)^2 \right] e^{2g} dx + \frac{1}{\tilde{\gamma}} \int_M V e^{2g} dx = \frac{1}{\tilde{\gamma}} \int_M \left[V - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} g \right)^2 \right] e^{2g} dx.$$

The last equality follows from integration by parts and the expression of $\tilde{\mu}$. Using that $V = \lambda_V - \frac{LF}{F}$, we can rewrite the last integral above as

$$\lambda_V + \frac{1}{\tilde{\gamma}} \frac{1}{2} \int_M \left[-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} F - \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} g \right)^2 \right] e^{2g} dx.$$

Applying integration by parts the integral above becomes

$$\frac{1}{2} \left[\int_M \frac{\partial}{\partial x} F \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{e^{2g}}{F} \right) dx - \int_M \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} g \right)^2 e^{2g} dx \right].$$

The expression above can be rewritten as

$$-\frac{1}{2} \int_M \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} (\log F) - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} g \right)^2 e^{2g} dx.$$

Therefore, the expression in (2.7) is less than or equal to λ_V . □

References

- [1] D. Bakry, I. Gentil and M. Ledoux, Analysis and Geometry of Markov Diffusion Operators, Springer Verlag (2014)
- [2] A. Bobrowski, Functional Analysis for Probability and Stochastic Processes. Cambridge Press (2005)
- [3] A. Baraviera, R. Exel and A. Lopes, A Ruelle Operator for continuous time Markov Chains, São Paulo Journal of Mathematical Sciences, vol 4 n. 1, pp 1-16 (2010)

- [4] S. N. Ethier and T. G. Kurtz, Markov processes - Characterization and Convergence, Wiley (2005)
- [5] M. Donsker and S.R. S. Varadhan, On a Variational Formula for the Principal Eigenvalue for Operators with Maximum Principle, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA Vol. 72, No. 3, pp. 780–783, March 1975
- [6] Yu. Egorov and M. Shubin, Foundations of the Classical Theory of Partial Differential Equations, Springer Verlag (1998)
- [7] D. A. Gomes, A stochastic analogue of Aubry-Mather theory. Nonlinearity 15, 581–603, 2002
- [8] I. Karatzas and S. Shreve, Brownian Motion and Stochastic Calculus, Springer Verlag (1991)
- [9] S. Karlin and H. Taylor, A Second course in Stochastic Processes, Academic Press.
- [10] Y. Kifer, Large Deviations in Dynamical Systems and Stochastic processes, TAMS, Vol 321, N.2, 505–524 (1990)
- [11] Y. Kifer, Principal eigenvalues, topological pressure, and stochastic stability of equilibrium states, Israel J. Math, Vol 70 N-1, 1-47 (1990)
- [12] J. Knorst, A. O. Lopes, G. Muller and A. Neumann, Thermodynamic Formalism on the Skhorohd space: the continuous time Ruelle operator, entropy, pressure, entropy production and expansiveness, preprint (2021)
- [13] B. M. Levitan and I. Sargsjan, Sturm-Liouville and Dirac Operators, Kluwer (1991)
- [14] A. Lopes, J. Mengue, J. Mohr and R. R. Souza, Entropy and Variational Principle for one-dimensional Lattice Systems with a general a-priori probability: positive and zero temperature, Erg. Theo. and Dyn. Syst. 35 (6), 1925-1961 (2015)
- [15] A. Lopes and A. Neumann, Large Deviations for stationary probabilities of a family of continuous time Markov chains via Aubry-Mather theory, Journ. of Statistical Physics. Vol. 159 - Issue 4 pp 797-822 (2015)
- [16] A. O. Lopes, A. Neumann and Ph. Thieullen, A thermodynamic formalism for continuous time Markov chains with values on the Bernoulli Space: entropy, pressure and large deviations, Journ. of Statist. Phys. Volume 152, Issue 5, Page 894-933 (2013).
- [17] A. O. Lopes, J. Mohr, R. Souza and Ph. Thieullen, Negative entropy, zero temperature and stationary Markov chains on the interval, *Bulletin of the Brazilian Mathematical Society* 40, 1-52, 2009.
- [18] A. O. Lopes and Ph. Thieullen, Transport and large deviations for Schrodinger operators and Mather measures, Modeling, Dynamics, Optimization and Bioeconomics III, Editors: Alberto Pinto and David Zilberman, Proceedings in Mathematics and Statistics, Springer Verlag, 247-255 (2018)
- [19] C. Maes, K. Netocny, and B. Shergelashvili, A selection of nonequilibrium issues, Methods of Contemporary Mathematical Statistical Physics, 247-306 (2009)
- [20] G. Muller and A. Neumann; Some general results for continuous-time Markov chain, preprint (2022).
- [21] W. Parry and M. Pollicott. Zeta functions and the periodic orbit structure of hyperbolic dynamics, *Astérisque* Vol 187-188 1990

- [22] R. Strichartz, Analysis of the Laplacian on the Complete Riemannian Manifold, Journ. of Funct. Anal., 52, 48–79 (1983)
- [23] M. Taylor, Partial Differential Equations - Volume 2, Springer Verlag
- [24] D. Revuz and M. Yor, Continuous Martingales and Brownian Motion, 3rd ed., Springer Verlag, (1999).
- [25] C. Villani, Optimal transport: old and new, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2009.