arXiv:2208.02420v2 [gr-qc] 10 Aug 2022

New self-consistent effective one-body theory for spinless binaries based on the post-Minkowskian approximation

Jiliang Jing*,[1,2] Sheng Long,[1] Weike Deng,[1] Mengjie Wang†,[1] and Jieci Wang‡[1]

[1]*Department of Physics, Key Laboratory of Low Dimensional Quantum Structures and Quantum Control of Ministry of Education, and Synergetic Innovation Center for Quantum Effects and Applications, Hunan Normal University, Changsha, Hunan 410081, P. R. China*

[2]*Center for Gravitation and Cosmology, College of Physical Science and Technology, Yangzhou University, Yangzhou 225009, P. R. China*

Abstract

The effective one-body theories, introduced by Buonanno and Damour, are novel approaches to constructing a gravitational waveform template. By taking a gauge in which ψ_1^B and ψ_3^B vanish, we find a decoupled equation with separable variables for ψ_4^B for gravitational perturbation in the effective metric obtained in the post-Minkowskian approximation. Furthermore, we set up a new self-consistent effective one-body theory for spinless binaries, which can be applicable to any post-Minkowskian orders. This theory not only releases the assumption that v/c should be a small quantity but also resolves the contradiction that the Hamiltonian, radiation-reaction force, and waveform are constructed from different physical models in the effective one-body theory with the post-Newtonian approximation. Compared with our previous theory (Science China, 65, 260411, (2022)), the computational effort for the radiation-reaction force and waveform in this new theory will be tremendously reduced.

PACS numbers: 04.25.Nx, 04.30.Db, 04.20.Cv

Keywords: Hamilton equations, coalescing compact object binary system, self-consistent effective one-body theory

* jljing@hunnu.edu.cn

† mjwang@hunnu.edu.cn

‡ jcwang@hunnu.edu.cn

I. INTRODUCTION

The investigation on gravitational waves (GWs) has attracted much attention since 1918 [1–8], and many direct detections of GWs have been recently announced by LIGO, Virgo, and KAGRA [9–18]. The success of direct detections is based on the development of technology and theoretical research. In theoretical studies, a gravitational waveform template (GWT) plays a central role. The basis of the GWT is to study the late dynamical evolution of a coalescing compact object binary system.

The effective one-body (EOB) theory based on the post-Newtonian (PN) approximation introduced by Buonanno and Damour [19] is a novel approach to studying the late dynamical evolution of a coalescing binary system. The EOB theory can provide an estimate of the gravitational waveform emitted throughout the inspiral, plunge, and coalescence phases for spinless and spin binaries [20–23]. By calibrating the EOB model to numerical relativity simulations, EOB waveforms were improved, which are applied to the GW data analysis [24–41].

After the great success of the EOB theory based on PN approximation, in 2016, Damour [42] developed the EOB theory with post-Minkowskian (PM) approximation, in which the assumption that v/c should be a small quantity was released. Since then, the correlational research based on the EOB theory with PM approximation has attracted great attention [43–59].

The Hamilton equations [20] of an EOB system based on the PN/PM approximation show that, for a self-consistent effective one-body (SCEOB) theory, all quantities appearing in equations should be constructed from the same physical model. Moreover, as we will show in this paper, the waveform should also be based on the same physical model. That is, the Hamiltonian, radiation-reaction force (RRF), and waveform should be constructed in terms of the same effective spacetime.

To determine the expressions of the RRF and waveform for the "plus" and "cross" modes of GWs, we should first find the decoupled and variable separable equation for ψ_4^B in the effective spacetime. Recently, we [60] have successfully derived the decoupled equations of ψ_4^B for even and odd parities in the Regge–Wheeler gauge [61] by dividing the perturbation part of the metric into odd and even parities. The decoupled equations can be used to study the RRF and waveform in the effective spacetime and to set up an SCEOB theory.

The explicit calculations for this model, however, are arduous tasks because we have to simultaneously solve two equations for the odd and even parities. Moreover, the equation for the odd parity is a third-order differential equation.

In this study, we derive another new decoupled and variable separable equation for ψ_4^B in the effective spacetime and obtain the corresponding formal solution. To do so, we first take a gauge in which ψ_1^B and ψ_3^B vanish. This task can be done because, in a linear perturbation theory, ψ_0^B and ψ_4^B are gauge invariant, whereas ψ_1^B and ψ_3^B are not [62]. In this gauge, the decoupled equation for ψ_4^B can be obtained. Then, we separate variables for the decoupled equation of ψ_4^B and obtain a formal solution. Based on this solution, we present the formulas for the RRF and waveform. Then, we set up an SCEOB theory for the spinless binaries, which is appropriate for any PM order. The theory not only releases the assumption that v/c should be a small quantity but also resolves the contradiction that the Hamiltonian, RRF, and waveform are constructed from different physical models in the EOB theory with PN approximation. Compared with our previous SCEOB theory [60], the computational effort for the RRF and waveform in the new SCEOB theory was tremendously reduced.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: In Sec. II, we derive a decoupled and variable separable equation for ψ_4^B and determine the corresponding formal solution in the effective spacetime. In Sec. III, we set up the SCEOB theory for spinless binaries based on PM approximation. In Sec. IV, the final conclusions are presented.

II. FORMAL SOLUTION FOR ψ_4^B

In an SCEOB theory, ψ_4^B plays a central role in determining the RRF and waveform for the "plus" and "cross" modes of GWs. Therefore, we will first derive the decoupled and variables separable equation of motion and then present the corresponding formal solution for ψ_4^B in the effective spacetime, which is described by [60]:

$$ds^2_{\rm eff} = g^{\rm eff}_{\mu\nu}dx^\mu dx^\nu = \frac{\Delta_r}{r^2}dt^2 - \frac{r^2}{\Delta_r}dr^2 - r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\varphi^2), \tag{2.1}$$

with

$$\Delta_r = r^2 - 2GM_0 r + \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} a_i \frac{(GM_0)^i}{r^{i-2}}, \tag{2.2}$$

where the definition for all parameters can be found in Ref. [60]. In particular, the effective spacetime (2.1) is type D [63].

A. Decoupled equation for ψ_4^B

To decouple ψ_4^B in the effective spacetime, we take the null tetrads for the spacetime (2.1) as

$$\begin{aligned} l^\mu &= \Big\{\frac{r^2}{\Delta_r}, 1, 0, 0\Big\}, \\ n^\mu &= \Big\{\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{\Delta_r}{2r^2}, 0, 0\Big\}, \\ m^\mu &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}r}\Big\{0, 0, 1, \frac{i}{\sin\theta}\Big\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, we have

$$\rho = -\frac{1}{r}, \quad \mu = -\frac{\Delta_r}{2r^3}, \quad \gamma = \frac{\Delta_r'}{4r^2} + \mu, \quad \alpha = -\frac{\cot\theta}{2\sqrt{2}r}, \quad \beta = \frac{\cot\theta}{2\sqrt{2}r}, \tag{2.3}$$

here and hereafter, $'$ represents a derivative with respect to r, and all other spin coefficients are equal to zero.

Ref. [63] shows that there are three equations related to ψ_4:

$$\Delta\lambda - \overline{\delta}\nu = -(\mu + \overline{\mu})\lambda - (3\gamma - \overline{\gamma})\lambda + (3\alpha + \overline{\beta})\nu - \psi_4, \tag{2.4}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\delta}\psi_3 - D\psi_4 + \overline{\delta}\phi_{21} - \Delta\phi_{20} &= 3\lambda\psi_2 - 2\alpha\psi_3 - \rho\psi_4 - 2\nu\phi_{10} + 2\lambda\phi_{11} \\ &\quad + (2\gamma - 2\overline{\gamma} + \overline{\mu})\phi_{20} - 2\alpha\phi_{21} - \overline{\sigma}\phi_{22}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\psi_3 - \delta\psi_4 + \overline{\delta}\phi_{22} - \Delta\phi_{21} &= 3\nu\psi_2 - 2(\gamma + 2\mu)\psi_3 + 4\beta\psi_4 - 2\nu\phi_{11} - \overline{\nu}\phi_{20} \\ &\quad + 2\lambda\phi_{12} + 2(\gamma + \overline{\mu})\phi_{21} - 2(\overline{\beta} + \alpha)\phi_{22}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

We [60] have shown that, in the effective background spacetime, the gravitational perturbation described by

$$g_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu}^{\rm eff} + \varepsilon h_{\mu\nu}^B \,, \tag{2.7}$$

can be achieved by perturbing all null tetrad quantities. Then, from Eqs. (2.4), (2.5) and

(2.6), we derive the following perturbation equations:

$$\psi_4^B + (\Delta + 3\gamma - \overline{\gamma} + \mu + \overline{\mu})\lambda^B - (\overline{\delta} + 3\alpha + \overline{\beta})\nu^B = 0, \tag{2.8}$$

$$\nu^B(3\psi_2 - 2\phi_{11}) - (\Delta + 2\gamma + 4\mu)\psi_3^B + (\delta + 4\beta)\psi_4^B + (\Delta + 2\gamma + 2\overline{\mu})\phi_{21}^B - (\overline{\delta} + 2\alpha + 2\overline{\beta})\phi_{22}^B = 0, \tag{2.9}$$

$$\lambda^B(3\psi_2 + 2\phi_{11}) - (\delta + 2\alpha)\psi_3^B + (D - \rho)\psi_4^B - (\overline{\delta} + 2\alpha)\phi_{21}^B + (\Delta + 2\gamma - 2\overline{\gamma} + \overline{\mu})\phi_{20}^B = 0, \tag{2.10}$$

where all quantities without and with the superscript B represent the background and perturbation quantities, respectively.

Chandrasekhar pointed out that, for a linear perturbation given by (2.7), ψ_0^B and ψ_4^B are gauge invariant, whereas ψ_1^B and ψ_3^B are not [62]. Thus, we can choose a gauge in which ψ_1^B and ψ_3^B vanish without affecting ψ_0^B and ψ_4^B. In this gauge, from Eqs. (2.9) and (2.10), we get ν^B and λ^B:

$$\nu^B = \frac{-(\Delta + 2\gamma + 2\overline{\mu})\phi_{21}^B - (\delta + 4\beta)\psi_4^B + (\overline{\delta} + 2\alpha + 2\overline{\beta})\phi_{22}^B}{3\psi_2 - 2\phi_{11}}, \tag{2.11}$$

$$\lambda^B = \frac{(\overline{\delta} + 2\alpha)\phi_{21}^B - (D - \rho)\psi_4^B - (\Delta + 2\gamma - 2\overline{\gamma} + \overline{\mu})\phi_{20}^B}{3\psi_2 + 2\phi_{11}}. \tag{2.12}$$

Substituting Eqs. (2.11) and (2.12) into Eq. (2.8), we obtain the decoupled equation for ψ_4^B:

$$\Big[(\Delta + 3\gamma - \overline{\gamma} + \mu + \overline{\mu} - F_1)(D - \rho) - F_2(\overline{\delta} + 3\alpha + \overline{\beta} - F_3)(\delta + 4\beta) - F_4\Big]\psi_4^B = T_4^B, \tag{2.13}$$

with

$$T_4^B = \Big((\Delta + 3\gamma + \mu - \overline{\gamma} + \overline{\mu}) - F_1\Big)\Big[(\overline{\delta} + 2\alpha - 2\overline{\tau})\phi_{21}^B - (\Delta + 2\gamma - 2\overline{\gamma} + \overline{\mu})\phi_{20}^B\Big] - F_2\Big((\overline{\delta} + 3\alpha + \overline{\beta}) - F_3\Big)\Big[(\overline{\delta} + 2\alpha + 2\overline{\beta})\phi_{22}^B - (\Delta + 2\gamma + 2\overline{\mu})\phi_{21}^B\Big], \tag{2.14}$$

where the functions F_i $(i = 1, 2, 3, 4)$ are defined as

$$F_1 = -\frac{\Delta_r}{2r^2(3\psi_2 + 2\phi_{11})}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\big(3\psi_2 + 2\phi_{11}\big), \qquad F_2 = \frac{3\psi_2 + 2\phi_{11}}{3\psi_2 - 2\phi_{11}},$$
$$F_3 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}r(3\psi_2 - 2\phi_{11})}\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}\big(3\psi_2 - 2\phi_{11}\big), \qquad F_4 = 3\psi_2 + 2\phi_{11}. \tag{2.15}$$

We aim to study a general perturbation as a superposition of waves with different frequencies ($\sigma^\dagger$) and periods ($2m\pi$, $m = 0, 1, 2, ...$) in φ, so the perturbation in the t and φ directions may be written as $e^{i(\sigma^\dagger t + m\varphi)}$.

To solve Eq. (2.13), we first introduce new derivative operators:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{D}_n &= \partial_r + \frac{ir^2\sigma^\dagger}{\Delta_r} + n\frac{\Delta_r'}{\Delta_r}, \qquad \mathscr{D}_n^\dagger = \partial_r - \frac{ir^2\sigma^\dagger}{\Delta_r} + n\frac{\Delta_r'}{\Delta_r}, \\
\mathscr{L}_n &= \partial_\theta + \frac{m}{\sin\theta} + n\cot\theta \qquad \mathscr{L}_n^\dagger = \partial_\theta - \frac{m}{\sin\theta} + n\cot\theta.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

Then, the intrinsic derivatives, appearing in Eqs. (2.13) and (2.14), may be rewritten as

$$D = \mathscr{D}_0, \qquad \Delta = -\frac{\Delta_r}{2r^2}\mathscr{D}_0^\dagger, \qquad \delta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}r}\mathscr{L}_0^\dagger, \qquad \overline{\delta} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}r}\mathscr{L}_0. \tag{2.17}$$

From Eqs. (2.3) and (2.17) and by taking $\psi_4^B = r^{-4}\phi_4^B$, the decoupled GW equation (2.13) becomes

$$\Big[\Delta_r\Big(\mathscr{D}_{-1}^\dagger + \frac{2r^2}{\Delta_r}F_1\Big)\Big(\mathscr{D}_0 - \frac{3}{r}\Big) + F_2\Big(\mathscr{L}_{-1} - \sqrt{2}rF_3\Big)\mathscr{L}_2^\dagger + 2r^2F_4\Big]\phi_4^B = \mathscr{T}_4, \tag{2.18}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{T}_4 &= -2r^6T_4^B \\
&= F_2r^4\Big(\mathscr{L}_{-1} - \sqrt{2}rF_3\Big)\mathscr{L}_0\phi_{22}^B + \frac{\Delta_r^2r^2}{2}\Big(\mathscr{D}_0^\dagger + \frac{2}{r} + \frac{2r^2}{\Delta_r}F_1\Big)\Big(\mathscr{D}_0^\dagger + \frac{1}{r}\Big)\phi_{20}^B \\
&+ \frac{\Delta_r r^3}{\sqrt{2}}\Big[\Big(\mathscr{D}_{-1}^\dagger + \frac{3}{r} + \frac{2r^2}{\Delta_r}F_1\Big)\mathscr{L}_{-1} + F_2\Big(\mathscr{L}_{-1} - \sqrt{2}rF_3\Big)\Big(\mathscr{D}_{-1}^\dagger + \frac{4}{r}\Big)\Big]\phi_{21}^B.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

Of note, although we decouple ψ_4^B by taking the gauge in which ψ_1^B and ψ_3^B vanish, Eq. (2.18) with $a_i = 0$ ($i \geq 2$) is the same as that of the Schwarzschild spacetime obtained in Ref. [64].

B. Separation of the variables for ψ_4^B

For the spinless case, the non-vanishing trace-free Ricci and Weyl tensors in the null tetrads (2.3) are

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_{11} &= \frac{1}{8r^4}\Big[4\Delta_r - 4r\Delta_r' + r^2\Delta_r'' + 2r^2\Big], \\
\psi_2 &= \frac{1}{12r^4}\Big[12\Delta_r - 6r\Delta_r' + r^2\Delta_r'' - 2r^2\Big],
\end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

which indicate that, from Eq. (2.15), $F_3 = 0$ and all other functions F_j ($j = 1, 2, 4$) are only r dependent, so the variables of ϕ_4^B may be separated. To achieve this goal, we expand ϕ_4^B in terms of ${}_{-2}Y_{lm}(\theta)$:

$$\phi_4^B = \sum_{lm}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int d\omega e^{-i(\omega t - m\varphi)}\,{}_{-2}Y_{lm}(\theta)R_{lm\omega}(r), \tag{2.21}$$

where we have taken $\sigma^\dagger = -\omega$, and the functions ${}_{-2}Y_{lm}(\theta)$ may be normalized as

$$\int_0^\pi {}_{-2}Y^*_{\ell m}(\theta)\,{}_{-2}Y_{\ell m}(\theta)\sin\theta \mathrm{d}\theta = 1. \tag{2.22}$$

To compare our results with those obtained by Sasaki and Tagoshi [65], we take the normalized (2.22) instead of the usually normalized $\int {}_{-2}Y^{u*}_{\ell m}(\theta)\,{}_{-2}Y^{u}_{\ell m}(\theta)d\Omega = 1$, which shows that ${}_{-2}Y^{u}_{\ell m}(\theta) = {}_{-2}Y_{\ell m}(\theta)/\sqrt{2\pi}$. Then, we obtain, from Eqs. (2.18) and (2.19), the separated radial equation:

$$\left[r^3F_4\Delta_r^2\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r}\Big(\frac{1}{r^3F_4\Delta_r}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r}\Big) + V(r)\right]R_{lm\omega}(r) = T_{\ell m\omega}(r), \tag{2.23}$$

with

$$V(r) = \frac{r^2\omega(r^2\omega + 2i\Delta_r')}{\Delta_r} - ir\omega\Big(5 + \frac{2r^3F_1}{\Delta_r}\Big) + \frac{3(\Delta_r + r\Delta_r')}{r^2} - 6rF_1 + 2r^2F_4 - \lambda F_2, \tag{2.24}$$

$$T_{lm\omega}(r) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}dt\int d\Omega\ \mathscr{T}_4\ e^{i(\omega t - m\varphi)}\frac{{}_{-2}Y^*_{lm}(\theta)}{\sqrt{2\pi}}, \tag{2.25}$$

where λ is the eigenvalue of ${}_{-2}Y_{lm}(\theta)$, and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathscr{T}_4 = 4\pi\Bigg\{&r^4F_2\ \mathscr{L}_{-1}\Big[\mathscr{L}_0\Big(T_{nn}\Big)\Big] + \frac{\Delta_r^2}{2}F_4\ \mathscr{D}_0^\dagger\Big[\frac{r}{F_4}\mathscr{D}_0^\dagger\Big(rT_{\overline{mm}}\Big)\Big]\\ &+ \frac{\Delta_r^2}{\sqrt{2}}\ F_4\ \mathscr{D}_0^\dagger\Big[\frac{r^3}{\Delta_rF_4}\mathscr{L}_{-1}\Big(T_{\overline{m}n}\Big)\Big] + \frac{\Delta_r^2}{\sqrt{2}}\ \frac{F_2}{r}\mathscr{L}_{-1}\Big[\mathscr{D}_0^\dagger\Big(\frac{r^4}{\Delta_r}T_{\overline{m}n}\Big)\Big]\Bigg\}.\end{aligned} \tag{2.26}$$

In Eq. (2.26), we introduce T_{nn}, $T_{\overline{mm}}$ and $T_{\overline{m}n}$, which are

$$T_{nn} = \frac{1}{4\pi}\phi^B_{22}, \qquad T_{\overline{mm}} = \frac{1}{4\pi}\phi^B_{20}, \qquad T_{\overline{m}n} = \frac{1}{4\pi}\phi^B_{21}.$$

C. Formal solution for ψ_4^B in the effective spacetime

In this subsection, we solve Eq. (2.23) using the Green function method. The homogeneous solutions for Eq. (2.23) can be expressed as

$$R^{\rm in}_{\ell m\omega} \to \begin{cases} B^{\rm trans}_{\ell m\omega}\Delta_r^2e^{-i\omega r^*}, & \text{for}\ \ r\to r_+,\\ r^3B^{\rm ref}_{\ell m\omega}e^{i\omega r^*} + r^{-1}B^{\rm inc}_{\ell m\omega}e^{-i\omega r^*}, & \text{for}\ \ r\to+\infty,\end{cases} \tag{2.27}$$

$$R^{\rm up}_{\ell m\omega} \to \begin{cases} C^{\rm up}_{\ell m\omega}e^{i\omega r^*} + \Delta_r^2C^{\rm ref}_{\ell m\omega}e^{-i\omega r^*}, & \text{for}\ \ r\to r_+,\\ C^{\rm trans}_{\ell m\omega}r^3e^{i\omega r^*}, & \text{for}\ \ r\to+\infty,\end{cases}, \tag{2.28}$$

where r^* is the standard tortoise coordinate defined by $r^* = \int \frac{r^2}{\Delta_r} dr$. Then, the inhomogeneous solution of the radial equation (2.23), based on the causality property of waves generated by a source, may be constructed as

$$R_{\ell m\omega} = \frac{1}{2i\omega C^{\rm trans}_{\ell m\omega} B^{\rm inc}_{\ell m\omega}} \left\{ R^{\rm up}_{\ell m\omega} \int_{r_+}^{r} d\tilde{r} \frac{R^{\rm in}_{\ell m\omega}(\tilde{r}) T_{\ell m\omega}(\tilde{r})}{\tilde{r}^3 F_4(\tilde{r}) \Delta_r^2(\tilde{r})} + R^{\rm in}_{\ell m\omega} \int_{r}^{\infty} d\tilde{r} \frac{R^{\rm up}_{\ell m\omega}(\tilde{r}) T_{\ell m\omega}(\tilde{r})}{\tilde{r}^3 F_4(\tilde{r}) \Delta_r^2(\tilde{r})} \right\}. \tag{2.29}$$

Therefore, the solution for the radial equation (2.23) at the horizon is

$$R_{\ell m\omega}(r \to r_+) \to \frac{B^{\rm trans}_{\ell m\omega} \Delta_r^2 e^{-i\omega r^*}}{2i\omega C^{\rm trans}_{\ell m\omega} B^{\rm inc}_{\ell m\omega}} \int_{r_+}^{\infty} d\tilde{r} \frac{R^{\rm up}_{\ell m\omega}(\tilde{r}) T_{\ell m\omega}(\tilde{r})}{\tilde{r}^3 F_4(\tilde{r}) \Delta_r^2(\tilde{r})} \equiv \tilde{Z}^{\rm H}_{\ell m\omega} \Delta_r^2 e^{-i\omega r^*}, \tag{2.30}$$

whereas the counterpart at the infinity is

$$R_{\ell m\omega}(r \to \infty) \to \frac{r^3 e^{i\omega r^*}}{2i\omega B^{\rm inc}_{\ell m\omega}} \int_{r_+}^{\infty} d\tilde{r} \frac{T_{\ell m\omega}(\tilde{r}) R^{\rm in}_{\ell m\omega}(\tilde{r})}{\tilde{r}^3 F_4(\tilde{r}) \Delta_r^2(\tilde{r})} \equiv \tilde{Z}_{\ell m\omega} r^3 e^{i\omega r^*}. \tag{2.31}$$

The energy momentum tensor for the EOB theory, i.e., a particle with the mass m_0 orbits around a massive black hole described by the effective metric, takes the form [66]

$$T^{\mu\nu} = \frac{m_0}{r^2 \sin\theta dt/d\tau} \frac{dx^\mu}{d\tau} \frac{dx^\nu}{d\tau} \delta(r - r(t)) \delta(\theta - \theta(t)) \delta(\varphi - \varphi(t)), \tag{2.32}$$

where $x^\mu = \big(t,\, r(t),\, \theta(t),\, \varphi(t)\big)$ is a geodesic trajectory and $\tau = \tau(t)$ is the proper time along the geodesic. By means of Eqs. (2.26), (2.32), and (2.25) and performing the integration by parts, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
T_{lm\omega}(r) = & -\frac{4m_0}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \int d\theta e^{i\omega t - im\varphi(t)} \\
& \times \Bigg\{ -\frac{1}{2} \mathscr{L}_1^\dagger \Big(\mathscr{L}_2^\dagger \, {}_{-2}Y_{\ell m}(\theta) \Big) C_{nn} r^4 F_2 \, \delta(r - r(t)) \delta(\theta - \theta(t)) \\
& + \frac{\Delta_r^2}{\sqrt{2} r} \Big((1 + F_2) \mathscr{L}_2^\dagger \, {}_{-2}Y_{\ell m}(\theta) \Big) \mathscr{D}_0^\dagger \Big[\frac{C_{\overline{m}n} r^4}{\Delta_r} \delta(r - r(t)) \delta(\theta - \theta(t)) \Big] \\
& + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \Big[F_4 \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \Big(\frac{1}{r F_4} \Big) \Big] \Big(\mathscr{L}_2^\dagger \, {}_{-2}Y_{\ell m}(\theta) \Big) C_{\overline{m}n} \Delta_r \, r^4 \, \delta(r - r(t)) \delta(\theta - \theta(t)) \\
& - \frac{1}{4} \Delta_r^2 \, {}_{-2}Y_{\ell m}(\theta) F_4 \mathscr{D}_0^\dagger \Big[\frac{r}{F_4} \mathscr{D}_0^\dagger \Big(r C_{\overline{m}\overline{m}} \delta(r - r(t)) \delta(\theta - \theta(t)) \Big) \Big] \Bigg\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.33}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
C_{nn} &= \frac{\Delta_r}{4r^4 E} \left(E + \frac{dr}{d\tau} \right)^2, \\
C_{\overline{m}n} &= \frac{\Delta_r}{2\sqrt{2} r^5 E} \left(E + \frac{dr}{d\tau} \right) \left(\frac{iL}{\sin\theta} - r^2 \frac{d\theta}{d\tau} \right), \\
C_{\overline{m}\overline{m}} &= \frac{\Delta_r}{2r^6 E} \left(r^2 \frac{d\theta}{d\tau} - \frac{iL}{\sin\theta} \right)^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.34}$$

Eq. (2.33) can be rewritten as

$$T_{\ell m\omega}(r) \;=\; -m_0\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt e^{i\omega t - im\varphi(t)}\Delta_r^2\Big\{A_0\delta(r-r(t)) + \Big[A_1\delta(r-r(t))\Big]' \\ +\; \Big[A_2\delta(r-r(t))\Big]''\Big\}, \tag{2.35}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} A_0 \;&=\; A_{nn\,0} + A_{\overline{m}n\,0} + A_{\overline{mm}\,0},\\ A_1 \;&=\; A_{\overline{m}n\,1} + A_{\overline{mm}\,1},\\ A_2 \;&=\; A_{\overline{mm}\,2}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.36}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_{nn\,0} \;&=\; -\frac{2\,r^4}{\sqrt{2\pi}\,\Delta_r^2}\,C_{nn}\,F_2\,\mathscr{L}_1^+\Big[\mathscr{L}_2^+\Big(\;{}_{-2}Y_{\ell m}(\theta)\Big)\Big],\\ A_{\overline{m}n\,0} \;&=\; \frac{r^3}{\sqrt{\pi}\Delta_r}\,C_{\overline{m}n}\Big[\big(1+F_2\big)\frac{ir^2\omega}{\Delta_r} + \frac{F_2}{r} - F_2' - \frac{F_4'}{F_4}\Big]\mathscr{L}_2^\dagger\Big(\;{}_{-2}Y_{\ell m}(\theta)\Big),\\ A_{\overline{mm}\,0} \;&=\; \frac{r^2}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\,C_{\overline{mm}}\;{}_{-2}Y_{\ell m}(\theta)\Big[i\Big(\frac{r^2\omega}{\Delta_r}\Big)' + \frac{r^4\omega^2}{\Delta_r^2} + \frac{ir^2\omega}{\Delta_r}\Big(\frac{1}{r}+\frac{F_4'}{F_4}\Big) + \frac{F_4'}{rF_4} + \Big(\frac{F_4'}{F_4}\Big)'\Big],\\ A_{\overline{m}n\,1} \;&=\; \frac{r^3}{\sqrt{\pi}\Delta_r}\,C_{\overline{m}n}\big(1+F_2\big)\mathscr{L}_2^\dagger\Big(\;{}_{-2}Y_{\ell m}(\theta)\Big),\\ A_{\overline{mm}\,1} \;&=\; \frac{r^2}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\,C_{\overline{mm}}\;{}_{-2}Y_{\ell m}(\theta)\Big(-2i\frac{r^2\omega}{\Delta_r} + \frac{1}{r} + \frac{F_4'}{F_4}\Big),\\ A_{\overline{mm}\,2} \;&=\; -\frac{r^2}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\,C_{\overline{mm}}\;{}_{-2}Y_{\ell m}(\theta), \end{aligned} \tag{2.37}$$

Inserting Eq. (2.35) into Eq. (2.31), we obtain

$$\tilde{Z}_{\ell m\omega} = \frac{m_0}{2i\omega B^{\rm inc}_{\ell m\omega}}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt e^{i\omega t - im\varphi(t)}\Big[A_0\frac{R^{\rm in}_{\ell m\omega}}{r^3F_4} - A_1\Big(\frac{R^{\rm in}_{\ell m\omega}}{r^3F_4}\Big)' + A_2\Big(\frac{R^{\rm in}_{\ell m\omega}}{r^3F_4}\Big)''\Big]_{r=r(t),\theta=\theta(t)}. \tag{2.38}$$

Because we focus on circular orbits, $r(t)$ in Eq. (2.38) is not related to the time, so we can take $r(t)=r_0$. On the geodesic trajectory, we also have $\theta(t)=\theta_0$ and $\varphi(t)=\Omega\,t$, where Ω is the angular velocity. Then, carrying out the integration for Eq. (2.38), we find

$$\tilde{Z}_{\ell m\omega} \;=\; \frac{\pi m_0}{i\omega B^{\rm inc}_{\ell m\omega}}\Big[A_0\frac{R^{\rm in}_{\ell m\omega}}{r^3F_4} - A_1\Big(\frac{R^{\rm in}_{\ell m\omega}}{r^3F_4}\Big)' + A_2\Big(\frac{R^{\rm in}_{\ell m\omega}}{r^3F_4}\Big)''\Big]_{r_0,\theta_0}\delta(\omega-\omega_n)\,, \tag{2.39}$$

where $\omega_n = m\,\Omega$. Then, noting the definition $\psi_4^B = \phi_4^B/r^4$ and using Eqs. (2.21), (2.31) and (2.39), we find that the formal solution for ψ_4^B is given by

$$\psi_4^B \;=\; \frac{1}{r}\sum_{\ell mn}\frac{\pi m_0}{i\omega_n B^{\rm inc}_{\ell m\omega_n}}\Big[A_0\frac{R^{\rm in}_{\ell m\omega_n}}{r^3F_4} - A_1\Big(\frac{R^{\rm in}_{\ell m\omega_n}}{r^3F_4}\Big)' + A_2\Big(\frac{R^{\rm in}_{\ell m\omega_n}}{r^3F_4}\Big)''\Big]_{r_0,\theta_0}\frac{{}_{-2}Y_{\ell m}}{\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{i\omega_n(r^*-t)+im\varphi}. \tag{2.40}$$

III. FRAMEWORK OF THE SELF-CONSISTENT EOB THEORY FOR SPINLESS BINARIES

The basis of GWT is the late dynamical evolution of a coalescing compact object binary system. The dynamical evolution of the system is described by [20, 60]

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{dr}{dt}-\frac{\partial H}{\partial P_r}=0, \qquad \frac{d\varphi}{dt}-\frac{\partial H}{\partial P_\varphi}=0,\\ &\frac{dP_r}{dt}+\frac{\partial H}{\partial r}=\mathcal{F}_r, \quad \frac{dP_\varphi}{dt}=\mathcal{F}_\varphi. \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

Eq. (3.1) shows that, for an SCEOB theory, H, $\mathcal{F}_r$, and $\mathcal{F}_\varphi$ and the waveform should be based on the same physical model.

With the effective line element (2.1), energy map, and solution for ψ_4^B (2.40) at hand, we now set up an SCEOB theory for a spinless coalescing compact object binary system. That is, we present the expressions of the Hamiltonian, RRF, and waveform for the system in the following.

First, using Buonanno and Damour's proposals [19, 20] and the energy map Eq. (7) in Ref. [60], we know that the Hamiltonian in Eq. (3.1) is still described by Eq. (10) in Ref. [60], which shows that the Hamiltonian is related to the effective spacetime described by Eq. (2.1).

Second, the general relation between the flux of the GW energy emitted to infinity and the RRF is described by $\frac{dE}{dt}=\dot{R}\,\mathcal{F}_R+\dot{\varphi}\,\mathcal{F}_\varphi$. Buonanno and Damour [20] showed that, for quasi-circular orbits, $\mathcal{F}_R$ turns into zero, so we have

$$\mathcal{F}_\varphi=\frac{1}{\dot{\varphi}}\frac{dE}{dt}. \tag{3.2}$$

Furthermore, the flux can be shown by [67, 68]:

$$\frac{dE}{dt}=\frac{1}{16\pi G}\int(\dot{h}_+^2+\dot{h}_\times^2)r^2d\Omega. \tag{3.3}$$

Noting $\psi_4^B=\frac{1}{2}(\ddot{h}_+-i\ddot{h}_\times)$ at infinity and using Eq. (2.40), we can get the gravitational waveform $h_+-ih_\times$ at infinity. By substituting $h_+-ih_\times$ into Eq. (3.3), we can obtain the expression for the flux of the GW energy emitted to infinity. Then, using Eq. (3.2), we find that the RRF appearing in Eq. (3.1) is given by

$$\mathcal{F}_\varphi=\frac{1}{\dot{\varphi}}\sum_{\ell=2}^{\infty}\sum_{m=1}^{\ell}\frac{2\pi m_0^2}{G\omega_n^4}\left|\frac{1}{B^{\rm inc}_{\ell m\omega_n}}\Big[A_0\frac{R^{\rm in}_{\ell m\omega_n}}{r^3F_4}-A_2\Big(\frac{R^{\rm in}_{\ell m\omega_n}}{r^3F_4}\Big)'+A_2\Big(\frac{R^{\rm in}_{\ell m\omega_n}}{r^3F_4}\Big)''\Big]_{r_0,\theta_0}\right|^2, \tag{3.4}$$

which indicates that the RRF is constructed in terms of the effective spacetime.

Finally, by comparing ψ_4^B with the waveform described by [69]

$$h_+ - ih_\times = \sum_{l=2}^{\infty}\sum_{m=-l}^{l} h^{lm}\frac{{}_{-2}Y^{lm}(\theta,\varphi)}{\sqrt{2\pi}}, \tag{3.5}$$

we find

$$h^{lm} = \frac{1}{r}\frac{2\pi m_0}{i\omega_n^3 B^{\rm inc}_{\ell m\omega_n}}\Big[A_0\frac{R^{\rm in}_{\ell m\omega_n}}{r^3F_4} - A_1\Big(\frac{R^{\rm in}_{\ell m\omega_n}}{r^3F_4}\Big)' + A_2\Big(\frac{R^{\rm in}_{\ell m\omega_n}}{r^3F_4}\Big)''\Big]_{r_0,\theta_0} e^{i\omega_n(r^*-t)}. \tag{3.6}$$

Clearly, the calculation for the waveform h^{lm} is also based on the effective spacetime.

To improve the waveform, Damour and Nagar proposed [32] that, based on the waveforms obtained using the PN perturbation, multipolar waveforms should be built as

$$h_{\ell m} = h_{\ell m}^{(N,\epsilon_p)}\,\hat{S}_{\rm eff}^{(\epsilon_p)}\,T_{\ell m}\,e^{i\delta_{\ell m}}\,f_{\ell m}\,, \tag{3.7}$$

where $h_{\ell m}^{(N,\epsilon_p)}$ is the leading Newtonian order term, $\hat{S}_{\rm eff}^{(\epsilon_p)}$ is the relativistic conserved energy or angular momentum of the effective moving source, $T_{\ell m}$ resumes an infinite number of leading logarithms entering the tail effect, $e^{i\delta_{\ell m}}$ is an additional phase correction, and $f_{\ell m}$ is the remaining (essentially nonlinear) PN effects. Furthermore, to reproduce effects in the numerical simulations that go beyond the quasi-circular motion assumption, Pan et al [34] introduced a non-quasicircular (NQC) effect in h_{lm}.

We believe that the waveform Eq. (3.6) can be improved using Damour–Nagar–Pan's proposals [32–35].

IV. CONCLUSIONS AND DISCUSSION

The basis of GWT is the late dynamical evolution of a coalescing compact object binary system. To study the dynamical evolution, Buonanno et al. presented, based on the PN/PM approximation, a novel approach to map the two-body problem onto an EOB problem. Based on the Hamilton equations describing the dynamical evolution, in an SCEOB theory, the Hamiltonian H, RRF $\mathcal{F}_\varphi$, and waveform should be constructed from the same physical model.

To build such an SCEOB theory, the key step is to find the decoupled equation with separable variables for ψ_4^B in the effective background spacetime. Chandrasekhar pointed

out [62] that, for a linear gravitational perturbation described by Eq. (2.7), ψ_0^B and ψ_4^B are gauge invariant, whereas ψ_1^B and ψ_3^B are not. Therefore, we can take a gauge in which ψ_1^B and ψ_3^B vanish without affecting ψ_0^B and ψ_4^B. In this gauge, we obtained the decoupled equation for ψ_4^B in the effective spacetime. Then, we separated variables for the decoupled equation and obtained a formal solution of ψ_4^B. Based on the solution, we presented the formulas for the RRF and waveform.

With the Hamiltonian Eq. (10) in Ref. [60], RRF (3.4) and waveform (3.6), which are based on the same effective spacetime, at hand, we set up an SCEOB theory for spinless binaries, which can be applicable to any PM orders. The theory not only releases the assumption that v/c should be a small quantity but also resolves the contradiction that the Hamiltonian, RRF, and waveform are constructed from different physical models in the EOB theory based on PN approximation. Compared with our previous SCEOB theory [60], the computational effort for the RRF and waveform in the new SCEOB theory will be tremendously reduced.

Generally, the usefulness of an EOB model critically depends on the accuracy of the waveform template. Next, we will construct the GWT based on the SCEOB theory for the spinless binaries and compare it with that of the numerical relativity. We expect that the advantages of the SCEOB theory can improve the accuracy of the GWT. Furthermore, we will extend the study to spin binaries.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank professors S. Chen and Q. Pan for useful discussions on the manuscript. This work was supported by the Grant of NSFC Nos. 12035005, 12122504 and 11875025, and National Key Research and Development Program of China No. 2020YFC2201400.

[1] A. Einstein, Ü*ber gravitationswellen,* Sitzungsberichte der Königlich Preussischen Akademie der Wissenschaften Berlin. part 1: 154-167, (1918).

[2] H. Bondi, M. G. J. van der Burg and A. W. K. Metzner, *Gravitational waves in general relativity VII. Waves from axi-symmetric isolated systems,* Proc. R. Soc. London **A269**, 21 (1962).

[3] R. K. Sachs, *Gravitational waves in general relativity VIII. Waves in asymptotically flat space-time,* Proc. R. Soc. London **A270**, 103 (1962).

[4] P. de Bernardis, P. A. R. Ade, J. J. Bock, J. R. Bond, J. Borrill, A. Boscaleri, K. Coble, B. P. Crill, G. De Gasperis, P. C. Farese et al., *A flat universe from high-resolution maps of the cosmic microwave background radiation,* Nature **404**, 955 (2000).

[5] E. Komatsu, K. M. Smith, J. Dunkley, C. L. Bennett, B. Gold, G. Hinshaw, N. Jarosik, D. Larson, M. R. Nolta, L. Page et al., *Seven-year wilkinson microwave anisotropy probe observations: Cosmological interpretation,* Astrophys. J. Suppl. S **192**, 18 (2011).

[6] S. Perlmutter, G. Aldering, M. Della Valle, S. Deustua, R. S. Ellis, S. Fabbro, A. Fruchter, G. Goldhaber, A. Goobar, D. E. Groom, I. M. Hook et al., *Discovery of a supernova explosion at half the age of the universe and its cosmological implications,* Nature **391**, 51 (1998).

[7] Y. H. Zou, M. J. Wang and J. L Jing, *Test of a model coupling of electromagnetic and gravitational fields by using high-frequency gravitational waves,* Sci. China, Phys. Mech. Astron. **64**, 250411 (2021).

[8] X. K. He, J. L. Jing and Z. J. Cao, *Generalized gravitomagnetic field and gravitational waves,* Sci. China, Phys. Mech. Astron. **62**, 110422 (2019).

[9] B. P. Abbott et al. (LIGO Scientific and Virgo Collaborations), Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**, 061102 (2016).

[10] B. P. Abbott et al. (LIGO Scientific and Virgo Collaborations), Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**, 241103 (2016).

[11] B. P. Abbott et al. (LIGO Scientific and Virgo Collaborations), Phys. Rev. Lett. **118**, 221101 (2017).

[12] B. P. Abbott et al. (LIGO Scientific and Virgo Collaborations), Phys. Rev. Lett. **119**, 141101 (2017).

[13] B. P. Abbott et al. (LIGO Scientific and Virgo Collaborations), Phys. Rev. Lett. **11**9, 161101 (2017).

[14] B. P. Abbott et al. (LIGO Scientific and Virgo Collaborations), Phys. Rev. X **9**, 031040 (2019), doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.9.031040.

[15] R. Abbott et al. (LIGO Scientific Collaboration and Virgo Collaboration), Phys. Rev. X 11, 021053 (2021), doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.11.021053.

[16] R. Abbott et al. (LIGO Scientific Collaboration, Virgo Collaboration and KAGRA Collaboration), arXiv e-prints (2021), arXiv: 2105.15120 [astro-ph].

[17] R. Abbott et al. (LIGO Scientific Collaboration and Virgo Collaboration), arXiv e-prints (2021), arXiv: 2110.09834.

[18] A. H. Nitz, C. D. Capano, S. Kumar, Y. F. Wang, S. Kastha, M. Schafer, R. Dhurkunde, and M. Cabero, *Catalog of gravitational waves from compact-binary mergers,* arXiv e-prints (2021), arXiv:2105.09151.

[19] A. Buonanno and T. Damour, *Effective one-body approach to general relativistic two-body dynamics,* Phys. Rev. D **59**, 084006 (1999).

[20] A. Buonanno and T. Damour, *Transition from inspiral to plunge in binary black hole coalescences,* Phys. Rev. D **62**, 064015 (2000).

[21] T. Damour, P. Jaranowski and G. Schafer, *On the determination of the last stable orbit for circular general relativistic binaries at the third post-Newtonian approximation,* Phys. Rev. D **62**, 084011 (2000).

[22] T. Damour, *Coalescence of Two Spinning Black Holes: An Effective One-Body Approach,* Phys. Rev. D **64**, 124013 (2001).

[23] A. Buonanno, Y. Chen and T. Damour, *Transition from inspiral to plunge in precessing binaries of spinning black holes,* Phys. Rev. D **74**, 104005 (2006).

[24] T. Damour, P. Jaranowski and G. Schafer, *Fourth post-Newtonian effective one-body dynamics,* Phys. Rev. D **91**, 084024 (2015).

[25] A. Buonanno, G. B. Cook, and F. Pretorius, *Inspiral, merger, and ring-down of equal-mass black-hole binaries, Phys. Rev. D* **75**, 124018 (2007).

[26] Y. Pan, A. Buonanno, J. G. Baker, J. Centrella, B. J. Kelly et al., *Data-analysis driven comparison of analytic and numerical coalescing binary waveforms: Nonspinning case,* Phys. Rev. D **77**, 024014 (2008).

[27] A. Buonanno, Y. Pan, J. G. Baker, J. Centrella, B. J. Kelly, S. T. McWilliams, and J. R. van Meter, *Approaching faithful templates for nonspinning binary black holes using the effective-one-body approach,* Phys. Rev. D **76**, 104049 (2007).

[28] T. Damour and A. Nagar, *Comparing effective-one-body gravitational waveforms to accurate*

numerical data, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 024043 (2008).

[29] T. Damour, A. Nagar, E. N. Dorband, D. Pollney, and L. Rezzolla, *Faithful effective-one-body waveforms of equal-mass coalescing black-hole binaries,* Phys. Rev. D **77**, 084017 (2008).

[30] M. Boyle, A. Buonanno, L. E. Kidder, A. H. Mroue, Y. Pan et al., *High-accuracy numerical simulation of black-hole binaries: Computation of the gravitational-wave energy flux and comparisons with post-Newtonian approximates,* Phys. Rev. D **78**, 104020 (2008).

[31] T. Damour, A. Nagar, M. Hannam, S. Husa, and B. Brugmann, *Accurate effective-one-body waveforms of inspiralling and coalescing black-hole binaries,* Phys. Rev. D **78**, 044039 (2008).

[32] T. Damour and A. Nagar, *Faithful effective-one-body waveforms of small-mass-ratio coalescing black hole binaries,* Phys. Rev. D 76, 064028 (2007).

[33] A. Buonanno, Y. Pan, H. P. Pfeiffer, M. A. Scheel, L. T. Buchman, L. E. Kidder, Effective-one-body waveforms calibrated to numerical relativity simulations: Coalescence of nonspinning, equal-mass black holes, Phys. Rev. D **79**, 124028 (2009).

[34] Y. Pan, A. Buonanno, L. T. Buchman, T. Chu, L. E. Kidder, H. P. Pfeiffer, M. A. Scheel, *Effective-one-body waveforms calibrated to numerical relativity simulations: Coalescence of nonprecessing, spinning, equal-mass black holes,* Phys. Rev. D **81**, 084041 (2010).

[35] T. Damour and A. Nagar, *Improved analytical description of inspiralling and coalescing black-hole binaries,* Phys. Rev. D **79**, 081503(R) (2009).

[36] Y. Pan, A. Buonanno, M. Boyle, L. T. Buchman, L. E. Kidder et al., *Inspiral-merger-ringdown multipolar waveforms of nonspinning black-hole binaries using the effective-one-body formalism,* Phys. Rev. D **84**, 124052 (2011).

[37] E. Barausse, A. Buonanno, S. A. Hughes, G. Khanna, S. Sullivan et al., *Modeling multipolar gravitational-wave emission from small mass-ratio mergers,* Phys. Rev. D **85**, 024046 (2012).

[38] A. Taracchini, Y. Pan, A. Buonanno, E. Barausse, M. Boyle, T. Chu, G. Lovelace, H. P. Pfeiffer, and M. A. Scheel, *Prototype effective-one-body model for nonprecessing spinning inspiral-merger-ringdown waveforms,* Phys. Rev. D 86, 024011 (2012).

[39] A. Taracchini, A. Buonanno, Y. Pan, T. Hinderer, M. Boyle, D. A. Hemberger, L. E. Kidder, G. Lovelace, A. H. Mroue, H. P. Pfeiffer, et al., *Effective-one-body model for black-hole binaries with generic mass ratios and spins,* Phys. Rev. D **89**, 061502 (2014).

[40] A. Bohé, L. Shao, A. Taracchini, A. Buonanno, S. Babak, et al, *Improved effective-one-body model of spinning, nonprecessing binary black holes for the era of gravitational-wave*

astrophysics with advanced detectors, Phys. Rev. D **95**, 044028 (2017).

[41] Z. Cao and B. Han, *Waveform model for an eccentric binary black hole based on the effective-one-body-numerical-relativity formalism,* Phys. Rev. D **96**, 044028 (2017).

[42] T. Damour, *Gravitational scattering, post-Minkowskian approximation and Effective One-Body theory,* Phys. Rev. D **94**, 104015 (2016).

[43] D. Bini and T. Damour, *Gravitational spin-orbit coupling in binary systems, post - Minkowskian approximation, and effective-one-body theory,* Phys. Rev. D **96**, 104038 (2017).

[44] D. Bini and T. Damour, *Gravitational spin-orbit coupling in binary systems at the second post-Minkowskian approximation,* Phys. Rev. D **98**, 044036 (2018).

[45] T. Damour, *High-energy gravitational scattering and the general relativistic two-body problem,* Phys. Rev. D **97**, 044038 (2018).

[46] A. Antonelli, A. Buonanno, J. Steinhoff, M. van de Meent and J. Vines, *Energetics of two-body Hamiltonians in post-Minkowskian gravity,* Phys. Rev. D **99**, 104004 (2019)

[47] T. Damour, *Classical and quantum scattering in post-Minkowskian gravity,* Phys. Rev. D 102, 024060 (2020)

[48] D. Bini, T. Damour and A. Geralico, *Scattering of tidally interacting bodies in post-Minkowskian gravity,* Phys. Rev. D **101**, 044039 (2020)

[49] G. He and W. Lin, *Second-order time delay by a radially moving Kerr-Newman black hole,* Phys. Rev. D **94**, 063011(2016)

[50] L. Blanchet and A. Fokas, *Equations of motion of self-gravitating N-body systems in the first post-Minkowskian approximation,* Phys. Rev. D **98**, 084005 (2018)

[51] C. Cheung, I. Rothstein and M. Solon, *From Scattering Amplitudes to Classical Potentials in the Post-Minkowskian Expansion,* Phys. Rev. Lett. **121** , 251101 (2018)

[52] J. Vines, J. Steinhoff and A. Buonnanno, *Spinning-black-hole scattering and the test-black-hole limit at second post-Minkowskian order,* Phys. Rev. D **99**, 064054 (2019)

[53] A. Cristofoli, N. Bjerrum-Bohr, P. Damgaard and P. Vanhove, *Post-Minkowskian Hamiltonians in general relativity,* Phys. Rev. D **100**, 084040 (2019)

[54] A. Collado, P. Vecchia and R. Russo, *Revisiting the second post-Minkowskian eikonal and the dynamics of binary black holes,* Phys. Rev. D **100**, 066028 (2019)

[55] J. Plefka, C. Shi, J.Steinhoff and T. Wang, *Breakdown of the classical double copy for the effective action of dilaton-gravity at NNLO,* Phys. Rev. D **100**, 086006 (2019)

[56] D. Bini, T. Damour and A. Geralico, *Scattering of tidally interacting bodies in post-Minkowskian gravity,* Phys. Rev. D **101**, 044039 (2020)

[57] C. Cheung and M. Solon, *Tidal Effects in the Post-Minkowskian Expansion,* Phys. Rev. Lett. **125** , 191601 (2020)

[58] Z. Bern, C. Cheung, R. Roiban, C. H. Shen, M. P. Solon and M. Zeng, *Scattering Amplitudes and the Conservative Hamiltonian for Binary Systems at Third Post-Minkowskian Order,* Phys.Rev. Lett. **122**, 201603 (2019).

[59] Z. Bern, C. Cheung, R. Robin, C. H. Shen, M. P. Solon and M. Zeng, *Black Hole Binary Dynamics from the Double Copy and Effective Theory,* JHEP,**10**,206(2019).

[60] Jiliang Jing, Shuai Chen, Manman Sun, Xiaokai He, and Mengjie Wang, Jieci Wang, *Self-consistent Effective-one-body theory for spinless binaries based on post-Minkowskian approximation I: Hamiltonian and decoupled equation for* ψ_4^B. Sci. China, Phys. Mech. Astron. **65**, 260411 (2022), ArXiv: 2112.09838.

[61] J. E. Thompson, H. Chen and B. F. Whiting, *Gauge invariant perturbations of the Schwarzschild spacetime,* Class. Quantum Grav. 34 (2017) 174001.

[62] S. Chandrasekhar, *The mathematical theory of black hole, Oxford University Press,* New York, Oxford, 1993.

[63] M. Carmeli, *Classical fields: general relativity and gauge theory,* A Wiley-intersclence Publication, New York, 1982.

[64] S. A. Teukolsky, *Perturbations of a Rotating Black Hole. I. Fundamental Equations for Gravitational, Electromagnetic, and Neutrino-Field Perturbations,* Astrophys. J. 185, 635 (1973).

[65] M. Sasaki and H. Tagoshi, *Analytic black hole perturbation approach to gravitational radiation,* Living Rev. Relativity, 6, 6 (2003).

[66] M. Sasaki and T. Nakamura, *The Regge-Wheeler equation with sources for both even and odd parity perturbations of the Schwarzschild geometry,* Phys. Lett. A 87, 85 (1981).

[67] E. Poisson, *Gravitational radiation from a particle in circular orbit around a black hole. I. Analytical results for the nonrotating case,* Phys. Rev. D 47, 1497 (1993).

[68] H. Tagoshi and M. Sasaki, *Post-Newtonian expansion of gravitational waves from a particle in circular orbit around a Schwarzschild black hole,* Prog. Theor. Phys. **92**, 745 (1994).

[69] L. E. Kidder, *Using full information computing modes of post-Newtonian waveforms from inspiralling compact binaries in circular orbit,* Phys. Rev. D 77, 044016 (2008).