

# On the rational symplectic group

Yves Benoist

## Abstract

We give a short proof of an elementary classical result: any rational symplectic matrix can be put in diagonal form after right and left multiplication by integral symplectic matrices. We also give a new proof for its extension to Chevalley groups due to Steinberg by using the Cartan-Bruhat-Tits decomposition over  $p$ -adic fields.

## 1 Introduction

In this expository paper I present a short proof of a classical theorem I needed in [1]: a decomposition of the group  $\mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{Q})$  of symplectic matrices with rational coefficients that gives a parametrization of the double quotient  $\mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{Z}) \backslash \mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{Q}) / \mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{Z})$  where  $\mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{Z})$  is the subgroup of symplectic matrices with integral coefficients.

This decomposition which can already be found in [15] is a symplectic version of the “adapted basis theorem” for  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules, or of the “Smith normal form” for integral matrices.

In Section 2 we state precisely this decomposition that we call the “symplectic Smith normal form”.

In Section 3 we explain the analogy with the Cartan-Bruhat-Tits decomposition.

In Section 4 we recall the relevance of Bruhat-Tits buildings in this kind of decomposition.

---

*2020 Math. subject class.* Primary 20G30 ; Secondary 11E57

*Key words* Symplectic group, Cartan decomposition, Smith normal form.

In Section 5 we give an elementary proof of the symplectic Smith normal form.

In Section 6 we give a non-elementary proof of the symplectic Smith normal form that will be applied to other simply-connected split semisimple algebraic groups  $\mathbf{G}$  defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$  in the last section. Indeed we explain how this symplectic Smith normal form can be deduced from the Cartan-Bruhat-Tits decomposition together with the strong approximation theorem.

In Section 7 we explain the extension due to Steinberg of the Smith normal form to the simply-connected  $\mathbb{Q}$ -split groups, see Theorem 7.1.

The last two sections are a concrete illustration of a classical strategy: if you want to prove a theorem over a global field, prove it first over local fields and then use a local-global principle.

I would like to thank Hee Oh for a very helpful comment on a first draft of this note.

## 2 The symplectic Smith normal form

For any commutative ring  $R$  with unity, we denote by  $\mathrm{Sp}(n, R)$  the symplectic group with coefficients in  $R$ . This group is the stabilizer of the symplectic form  $\omega$  on  $R^{2n}$  given by, for all  $x, y$  in  $R^{2n}$ ,

$$\omega(x, y) = {}^t x J y$$

where  $J = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1}_n \\ -\mathbf{1}_n & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix}$ . Equivalently, one has

$$\mathrm{Sp}(n, R) := \{g \in \mathrm{GL}(2n, R) \mid {}^t g J g = J\},$$

If we write the elements of the symplectic group as block matrices with blocks of size  $n$ , one has

$$\mathrm{Sp}(n, R) = \left\{ g = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} \mid {}^t \alpha \gamma = {}^t \gamma \alpha, {}^t \beta \delta = {}^t \delta \beta, {}^t \alpha \delta - {}^t \gamma \beta = \mathbf{1}_n \right\}.$$

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $g \in \mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ . Then there exist two matrices  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma'$  in  $\mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{Z})$  and a positive integral diagonal matrix  $\mathbf{d} = \mathrm{diag}(d_1, \dots, d_n)$  with  $d_1 | d_2 | \dots | d_n$ , and such that*

$$g = \sigma \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{d} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{d}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \sigma'.$$

The condition that the coefficients  $d_j$  are positive integers with  $d_1$  dividing  $d_2$ , with  $d_2$  dividing  $d_3$ ,  $\dots$ , and  $d_{n-1}$  dividing  $d_n$  ensures that the diagonal matrix  $\mathbf{d}$  is unique.

I use this precise Theorem 2.1 as a key tool for an apparently completely unrelated problem in my paper [1]. This problem is the construction of functions  $f$  on the cyclic group  $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$  of odd order whose convolution square is proportional to their square. Indeed the construction relies on an auxiliary abelian variety endowed with a unitary  $\mathbb{Q}$ -endomorphism  $\nu$ , the symplectic form  $\omega$  shows up as a polarization of the abelian variety, and the rational symplectic matrix  $g$  shows up as the “holonomy” of  $\nu$ .

The first reference to Theorem 2.1 that I know is Shimura’s paper [15, Prop. 1.6]. Moreover in [16], Shimura points out the relevance of this theorem to show the commutativity of a Hecke algebra and hence to better understand the modular forms on Siegel upper halfspace. This theorem is also in [9, p.232] and is also used by Clozel, Oh and Ullmo in [8, p.23].

As we have seen, there is a version of Theorem 2.1 for the linear group  $\mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ , see for instance Proposition 5.1. More generally, there is also a version of Theorem 2.1 for any simply-connected split semisimple algebraic group  $\mathbf{G}$  defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , if one chooses suitably the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -form, see Section 7.

### 3 The symplectic group over local fields

Before going on I would like to emphasize the analogy of this theorem with two classical theorems. These two classical theorems are valid for all algebraic semisimple groups  $G$  and are due respectively to E. Cartan and to F. Bruhat and J. Tits. I will not quote here their general formulation that can be found respectively in [12] and in [5] but only the special case where  $G$  is the symplectic group.

The first theorem is a decomposition theorem over the real field  $\mathbb{R}$  due to E. Cartan which is called either the “polar decomposition” or the “Cartan decomposition”. We set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{SO}(2n) &:= \{g \in \mathrm{GL}(2n, \mathbb{R}) \mid {}^t g g = \mathbf{1}_{2n}\} \text{ and} \\ \mathrm{Sp}(n) &:= \mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{R}) \cap \mathrm{SO}(2n). \end{aligned}$$

Note that the group  $\mathrm{Sp}(n)$  is a maximal compact subgroup of the group  $\mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{R})$ .

**Theorem 3.1. (Cartan)** *Let  $g \in \mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{R})$ . Then there exist two matrices  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma'$  in  $\mathrm{Sp}(n)$  and a positive real diagonal matrix  $\mathbf{d} = \mathrm{diag}(d_1, \dots, d_n)$  with  $d_1 \leq d_2 \leq \dots \leq d_n \leq 1$  such that*

$$g = \sigma \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{d} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{d}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \sigma'.$$

The second theorem is a decomposition theorem over a local non archimedean field  $k$  due to F. Bruhat and J. Tits. We denote by  $\mathcal{O}_k$  the ring of integers of  $k$  and choose a uniformizer  $\pi$  in  $k$ , i.e. a generator of the maximal ideal of  $\mathcal{O}_k$ .

Note again that the group  $\mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathcal{O}_k)$  is a maximal compact subgroup of the group  $\mathrm{Sp}(n, k)$ .

**Theorem 3.2. (Bruhat, Tits)** *Let  $g \in \mathrm{Sp}(n, k)$ . Then there exist two matrices  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma'$  in  $\mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathcal{O}_k)$  and a diagonal matrix  $\mathbf{d} = \mathrm{diag}(\pi^{p_1}, \dots, \pi^{p_n})$  with  $p_1 \geq p_2 \geq \dots \geq p_n \geq 0$  integers such that*

$$g = \sigma \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{d} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{d}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \sigma'.$$

The analogy between these three theorems is striking. It extends the analogy between the Smith normal form of an integral matrix and the singular value decomposition of a real matrix.

In this analogy *the group of integers points of a group defined over the rational* should be handled as *the maximal compact subgroup of a group defined over the real*. This rough analogy is an equality when dealing with non archimedean local field. Indeed, when  $k$  is a non-archimedean local field, the group of integer points is an open compact subgroup.

## 4 Bruhat-Tits buildings

F. Bruhat and J. Tits have described the analog of the Cartan decomposition for semisimple groups over non-archimedean local fields, in [4], [5], [6] and [7], by introducing new geometric spaces that are nowadays called Bruhat-Tits buildings and that extend the space of  $p$ -adic norms studied by Goldman and Iwahori in [10].

As explained in the book [13], these Bruhat-Tits buildings are very useful.

One of the reason is that they are  $K(\pi, 1)$ -spaces for the lattices in semisimple  $p$ -adic groups.

Another reason is that they played the role of a model to follow in order to understand other finitely generated groups, like Coxeter groups, Artin groups, Baumslag-Solitar groups or Mapping class groups.

The relevance of the Bruhat-Tits buildings became even clearer to me when I used them with Hee Oh to prove a general polar decomposition for  $p$ -adic symmetric spaces in [2]. This polar decomposition was a key ingredient in our proof of equidistribution of  $S$ -integral points on rational symmetric spaces in [3].

## 5 The symplectic adapted basis

In this section we come back to elementary consideration and we discuss the structure of the *rational symplectic group*  $\mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ , and its relation with the *integral symplectic group*  $\mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ .

We first recall the well-known undergraduate “adapted basis theorem” for  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module or, equivalently, the “Smith normal form” for integral matrices. We denote by  $\mathcal{M}(n, \mathbb{Z})$  the ring of  $n \times n$  integral matrices.

**Proposition 5.1. (Smith)** *Let  $g \in \mathcal{M}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ . Then there exist  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma'$  in  $\mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$  and an integral diagonal matrix  $\mathbf{a} = \mathrm{diag}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$  with  $a_1 | a_2 | \dots | a_n$ , and such that*

$$g = \sigma \mathbf{a} \sigma'.$$

Theorem 2.1 follows from the following proposition which is a variation of the “adapted basis theorem” which takes into account the existence of a symplectic form. We introduce the set  $\mathcal{Mp}(n, \mathbb{Z})$  of nonzero integral matrices which are proportional to elements of  $\mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{R})$ ,

$$\mathcal{Mp}(n, \mathbb{Z}) := \{g \in \mathcal{M}(2n, \mathbb{Z}) \mid {}^t g J g = \lambda^2 J \text{ for some } \lambda \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^*\}.$$

**Proposition 5.2.** *Let  $g \in \mathcal{Mp}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ . Then there exist two matrices  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma'$  in  $\mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{Z})$  and a positive integral diagonal matrix  $\mathbf{a} = \mathrm{diag}(a_1, \dots, a_{2n})$  with  $a_1 | a_2 | \dots | a_n$ , with  $a_n | a_{2n}$  and such that*

$$g = \sigma \mathbf{a} \sigma'.$$

Note that the matrix  $\mathbf{a}$  is also in  $\mathcal{Mp}(n, \mathbb{Z})$  and hence the products  $a_j a_{n+j}$  do not depend on the positive integer  $j \leq n$ . Indeed it is equal to  $\lambda^2$ . In particular, one has  $a_{2n}|a_{2n-1}|\dots|a_{n+1}$ .

For the proof of Proposition 5.2, we need the following lemma. We recall that a nonzero vector  $v$  of  $\mathbb{Z}^k$  is primitive if it spans the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $\mathbb{R}v \cap \mathbb{Z}^k$ .

**Lemma 5.3.** *The group  $\mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{Z})$  acts transitively on the set of primitive vectors in  $\mathbb{Z}^{2n}$ .*

Denote by  $e_1, \dots, e_n, f_1, \dots, f_n$  the canonical basis of  $\mathbb{Z}^{2n}$  so that our symplectic form is  $\omega = e_1^* \wedge f_1^* + \dots + e_n^* \wedge f_n^*$ .

*Proof of Lemma 5.3.* Let  $v = (x_1, \dots, x_{2n})$  be a primitive vector in  $\mathbb{Z}^{2n}$ . We want to find  $\sigma \in \mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{Z})$  such that  $\sigma v = e_1$ .

This is true for  $n = 1$ . Using the subgroups  $\mathrm{Sp}(1, \mathbb{Z})$  for the planes  $\mathbb{Z}e_j \oplus \mathbb{Z}f_j$ , with  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , we can assume that

$$x_{n+1} = \dots = x_{2n} = 0.$$

In this case the vector  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is primitive in  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ .

Since  $\mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$  acts transitively on the set of primitive vectors in  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ , we can find a block diagonal matrix  $\sigma = \mathrm{diag}(\sigma_0, {}^t\sigma_0^{-1})$ , with  $\sigma_0 \in \mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$  such that  $\sigma v = e_1$ . This matrix  $\sigma$  belongs to  $\mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Proposition 5.2.* Set  $\Gamma := \mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ . The proof is by induction on  $n$ . It relies on a succession of steps, in the spirit of the Smith normal form, in which one multiplies on the right or on the left the matrix  $g$  by an “elementary” matrix to obtain a simpler matrix  $g' \in \Gamma g \Gamma$ . We have to pay attention that at each step the elementary matrix is symplectic.

We can assume that the gcd of the coefficients of  $g$  is equal to 1. We denote by  $\lambda$  the positive real factor such that  $g/\lambda$  belongs to  $\mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{R})$ . Note that  $\lambda^2$  is a positive integer. At the end of the proof we will see that  $a_1 = 1$  and  $a_{n+1} = \lambda^2$ .

**1<sup>st</sup> step:** *We find  $g' \in \Gamma g \Gamma$  such that  $g'e_1 = e_1$ .*

Since the coefficients of the integral matrix  $g$  are relatively prime, by Proposition 5.1, there exists a primitive vector  $v$  in  $\mathbb{Z}^{2n}$  such that  $gv$  is also primitive. According to lemma 5.3, there exists  $\sigma, \sigma'$  in  $\Gamma$  such that  $\sigma gv = e_1$  and  $\sigma'e_1 = v$ . Then the matrix  $g' := \sigma g \sigma'$  satisfies  $g'e_1 = e_1$ .

**2<sup>nd</sup> step:** We find  $g' \in \Gamma g \Gamma$  with  $g'e_1 = e_1$  and  $\omega(g'e_j, f_1) = 0$  for  $j > 1$ .

By the first step, we can assume that

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} \text{ with } \alpha e_1 = e_1 \text{ and } \gamma e_1 = 0$$

In particular the first column of the integral matrix  $\alpha$  is  $(1, 0, \dots, 0)$ . We would like the first row of  $\alpha$  to be also of the form  $(1, 0, \dots, 0)$ . For that we choose  $g' = g\sigma'$  where  $\sigma'$  is the symplectic transformation

$$\sigma' = \mathbf{1}_n + \sum_{1 < j \leq n} \alpha_{1,j} (f_j \otimes f_1^* - e_1 \otimes e_j^*) \in \mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{Z}),$$

where  $\alpha_{1,j}$  are the coefficients of the first row of the matrix  $\alpha$ .

**3<sup>rd</sup> step:** We find  $g' \in \Gamma g \Gamma$  such that  $g'e_1 = e_1$  and  $g'f_1 = \lambda^2 f_1$ .

By the second step, we can assume, writing  $g = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix}$  that both the first row and first column of  $\alpha$  are  $(1, 0, \dots, 0)$ , and the first column of  $\gamma$  is  $(0, \dots, 0)$ . We would also like the first row of  $\beta$  to be  $(0, \dots, 0)$ . For that we choose  $g' = g\sigma'$  where  $\sigma'$  is the symplectic transformation

$$\sigma' = \mathbf{1}_n - \beta_{1,1} e_1 \otimes f_1^* - \sum_{1 < j \leq n} \beta_{1,j} (e_j \otimes f_1^* + e_1 \otimes f_j^*) \in \mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{Z}).$$

Now by construction one has

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(g'e_j, f_1) &= 0 \quad \text{for } j < n, \\ \omega(g'e_1, f_1) &= 1 \quad \text{and} \\ \omega(g'f_j, f_1) &= 0 \quad \text{for } j \leq n. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $g'/\lambda$  is symplectic, this implies that  $g'^{-1}f_1 = \lambda^{-2}f_1$ , or equivalently,  $g'f_1 = \lambda^2 f_1$  as required.

**4<sup>th</sup> step:** *Conclusion.*

By the third step, we can assume that  $ge_1 = e_1$  and  $gf_1 = \lambda^2 f_1$ . Therefore  $g$  preserves the symplectic  $\mathbb{Z}$ -submodule of  $\mathbb{Z}^{2n}$  orthogonal of  $\mathbb{Z}e_1 \oplus \mathbb{Z}f_1$ , which admits  $e_2, \dots, e_n, f_2, \dots, f_n$  as  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis. We conclude by applying the induction hypothesis to the restriction  $g' \in \mathcal{M}p(n-1, \mathbb{Z})$  of  $g$  to this  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module.  $\square$

## 6 The strong approximation theorem

In this section, we give a non elementary proof of the decomposition theorem 2.1 for  $\mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ . We will deduce this theorem from the Bruhat-Tits decomposition theorem 3.2 for  $\mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{Q}_p)$  thanks to the strong approximation theorem.

First, I recall the strong approximation theorem. I will not quote here the general formulation for a simply-connected isotropic  $\mathbb{Q}$ -simple algebraic group defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$  that can be found in [14] but only the special case where  $G$  is the symplectic group.

For  $p = 2, 3, 5, \dots$  a prime number, we denote by  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  the  $p$ -adic local field and by  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  its ring of integers.

We denote by  $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}} = \prod'_p \mathbb{Q}_p$  the locally compact ring of finite adèles which is the restricted product of the  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  with respect to the open subrings  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . The product  $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}} := \prod_p \mathbb{Z}_p$  is then a maximal compact open subring of  $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}$ .

Note that, thanks to the diagonal embedding,  $\mathbb{Q}$  is a dense subring in  $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}$ . This means that  $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathbb{Q} + \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$  and that  $\mathbb{Z}$  is dense in  $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$ .

By construction the symplectic group  $\mathrm{Sp}(n, \widehat{\mathbb{Q}})$  is a locally compact group that contains  $\mathrm{Sp}(n, \widehat{\mathbb{Z}})$  as a maximal compact open subgroup. It also contains the group  $\mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ .

Here is the strong approximation theorem for the symplectic group.

**Theorem 6.1.** *The group  $\mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{Q})$  is dense in  $\mathrm{Sp}(n, \widehat{\mathbb{Q}})$ .*

This means that,

$$\mathrm{Sp}(n, \widehat{\mathbb{Q}}) = \mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{Q})\mathrm{Sp}(n, \widehat{\mathbb{Z}})$$

and that

$$\mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{Z}) \text{ is dense in } \mathrm{Sp}(n, \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}).$$

If we collect together the Bruhat-Tits decomposition in Theorem 3.2 for all  $p$ -adic fields  $k = \mathbb{Q}_p$ , one gets

**Theorem 6.2.** *Let  $g \in \mathrm{Sp}(n, \widehat{\mathbb{Q}})$ . Then there exist two matrices  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma'$  in  $\mathrm{Sp}(n, \widehat{\mathbb{Z}})$  and a positive integral diagonal matrix  $\mathbf{d} = \mathrm{diag}(d_1, \dots, d_n)$  with*



$d_1|d_2|\dots|d_n$  such that

$$g = \sigma \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{d} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{d}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \sigma'.$$

We can now give the non-elementary proof of the symplectic Smith normal form.

*Proof of Theorem 2.1.* Let  $g \in \mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ .

According to the combined Bruhat-Tits decomposition theorem 6.2, one can write

$$g = \sigma \mathbf{a} \sigma'$$

with  $\sigma, \sigma'$  in  $\mathrm{Sp}(n, \widehat{\mathbb{Z}})$  and with  $\mathbf{a} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{d} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{d}^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$  where  $\mathbf{d} = \mathrm{diag}(d_1, \dots, d_n)$  is a positive integral diagonal matrix with  $d_1|d_2|\dots|d_n$ .

According to the strong approximation theorem 6.1, one can write

$$\sigma = \sigma_0 \eta$$

with  $\sigma_0$  in  $\mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{Z})$  and with  $\eta$  in an arbitrarily small neighborhood of  $\mathbf{1}$  in  $\mathrm{Sp}(n, \widehat{\mathbb{Z}})$ . More precisely we choose  $\eta$  such that the element  $\sigma'_0 := \mathbf{a}^{-1} \eta \mathbf{a} \sigma'$  belongs to  $\mathrm{Sp}(n, \widehat{\mathbb{Z}})$ . Then one has the equality

$$g = \sigma_0 \mathbf{a} \sigma'_0$$

where both  $\sigma_0$  and  $\sigma'_0 = \mathbf{a}^{-1} \sigma_0^{-1} g$  belong to  $\mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ . □

## 7 Chevalley groups

Let  $\mathbf{G}$  be a simply-connected Chevalley group. See [17] for a concrete presentation of the group  $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Z})$ , and see [11] for other nice examples of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -models of simple algebraic groups over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . This  $\mathbf{G}$  is a reductive scheme-group over  $\mathbb{Z}$  such that as a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -group  $\mathbf{G}$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -split simply connected quasi-simple algebraic group. By construction, this algebraic group contains a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -split maximal torus  $\mathbf{A}$  such that the group of integral points  $\mathbf{N}(\mathbb{Z})$  of the normalizer of  $\mathbf{A}$  surjects onto the Weyl group of  $(\mathbf{A}(\mathbb{C}), \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{C}))$ .

Since  $\mathbf{G}$  is simply connected and  $\mathbb{R}$ -isotropic, by strong approximation, the group  $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q})$  is dense in  $\mathbf{G}(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}})$ . On the other hand, for all prime integer  $p$ , one can consider the simply connected simple  $p$ -adic Lie group  $G := \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ ,

its split maximal torus  $A := \mathbf{A}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  and its normalizer  $N := \mathbf{N}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ . The maximal compact subgroup  $K := \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$  is a good compact subgroup in the sense that one has the equality  $N = (N \cap K)A$ . Hence, according to Bruhat-Tits, one has the decomposition  $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q}_p) = \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Z}_p)\mathbf{A}(\mathbb{Q}_p)\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ .

Therefore the same proof as in Chapter 6 gives the following theorem due to Steinberg in [17, Theorem 21]

**Theorem 7.1.** *Let  $\mathbf{G}$  be a simply connected Chevalley group and  $g \in \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q})$ . Then there exist two elements  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma'$  in  $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Z})$  and an element  $\mathbf{a}$  in  $\mathbf{A}(\mathbb{Q})$  such that*

$$g = \sigma \mathbf{a} \sigma'.$$

**Remark.** Such a decomposition is not true when we replace  $\mathbb{Q}$  by a number field  $\mathbb{K}$  whose ring of integer  $\mathcal{O}$  is not principal. Here is an example with

$$\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{K}) := \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{K}), \quad \mathbf{G}(\mathcal{O}) := \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathcal{O}),$$

$$\mathbf{A}(\mathbb{K}) := \left\{ \mathbf{a} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{d} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{d}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \mid \mathbf{d} \in K^* \right\}.$$

In this case the product  $\mathbf{G}(\mathcal{O}) \mathbf{A}(\mathbb{K}) \mathbf{G}(\mathcal{O})$  is not equal to  $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{K})$ . For instance, when  $K = \mathbb{Q}[i\sqrt{5}]$  and  $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{Z}[i\sqrt{5}]$ , this product does not contain the matrix

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} (1-i\sqrt{5})/2 & i\sqrt{5} \\ -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Indeed the element  $\mathbf{d} \in K^*$  should be a unit in all completion  $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$  except for the prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}_0 = 2\mathbb{Z} \oplus (1+i\sqrt{5})\mathbb{Z}$  in which case it should be a uniformizer. Such an element  $\mathbf{d}$  would be a generator of the ideal  $\mathfrak{p}_0$ . This is a contradiction, since this ideal  $\mathfrak{p}_0$  is not principal.

## References

- [1] Y. Benoist. Convolution and square in abelian groups II. hal (2022) 60 p.
- [2] Y. Benoist and H. Oh. Polar decomposition for  $p$ -adic symmetric spaces. *Int. Math. Res. Not.* 24, (2007):1–20, 2007.

- [3] Y. Benoist and H. Oh. Effective equidistribution of  $S$ -integral points on symmetric varieties. *Ann. Inst. Fourier*, 62:1889–1942, 2012.
- [4] F. Bruhat and J. Tits. Groupes réductifs sur un corps local. *Publ. Math. IHES*, 41:5–251, 1972.
- [5] F. Bruhat and J. Tits. Groupes réductifs sur un corps local. II. Schémas en groupes. Existence d’une donnée radicielle valuée. *Publ. Math. IHES*, 60:197–376, 1984.
- [6] F. Bruhat and J. Tits. Schémas en groupes et immeubles des groupes classiques sur un corps local. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 112:259–301, 1984.
- [7] F. Bruhat and J. Tits. Schémas en groupes et immeubles des groupes classiques sur un corps local. II. Groupes unitaires. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 115:141–195, 1987.
- [8] L. Clozel, H. Oh, and E. Ullmo. Hecke operators and equidistribution of Hecke points. *Invent. Math.*, 144(2):327–351, 2001.
- [9] E. Freitag. *Siegelsche Modulfunktionen*. Grundlehren Math. 254. Springer, 1983.
- [10] O. Goldman and N. Iwahori. The space of  $p$ -adic norms. *Acta Math.*, 109:137–177, 1963.
- [11] B. Gross. Groups over  $\mathbf{Z}$ . *Invent. Math.*, 124:263–279, 1996.
- [12] S. Helgason. *Differential geometry, Lie groups, and symmetric spaces*. Academic Press, 1978.
- [13] T. Kaletha and G. Prasad. *Bruhat-Tits buildings: a new approach*. Cambridge Univ. Press, 2022.
- [14] V. Platonov and A. Rapinchuk. *Algebraic groups and number theory*. Pure and Applied Mathematics. Academic Press, 1994.
- [15] G. Shimura. Arithmetic of alternating forms and quaternion hermitian forms. *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 15:33–65, 1963.
- [16] G. Shimura. On modular correspondences for  $Sp(n, \mathbf{Z})$  and their congruence relations. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 49:824–828, 1963.
- [17] R. Steinberg. *Lectures on Chevalley groups*. Yale Univ., 1968.

Y. BENOIST: CNRS, Université Paris-Saclay,

yves.benoist@u-psud.fr