

Normal and Quasinormal Modes of Holographic Multiquark Star

Supakchai Ponglertsakul,^{1,*} Piyabut Burikham,^{2,†} and Sittichai Pinkanjanarod^{3,‡}

¹*Strong Gravity Group, Department of Physics, Faculty of Science,
Silpakorn University, Nakhon Pathom 73000, Thailand*

²*High Energy Physics Theory Group, Department of Physics,
Faculty of Science, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok 10330, Thailand*

³*Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, Kasetsart University, Bangkok 10900, Thailand*

(Dated: August 5, 2022)

The quadrupole normal-mode oscillation frequency f_n of multiquark star are computed for $n = 1 - 5$. At the transition from low to high density multiquark in the core region, the first 2 modes jump to larger values, a distinctive signature of the presence of the high-density core. When the star oscillation couples with spacetime, gravitational waves (GW) will be generated and the star will undergo damped oscillation. The quasinormal modes (QNMs) of the oscillation are computed using two methods, direct scan and WKB, for QNMs with small and large imaginary parts respectively. The small imaginary QNMs have frequencies $1.5 - 2.6$ kHz and damping times $0.19 - 1.7$ secs for multiquark star with mass $M = 0.6 - 2.1M_\odot$ (solar mass). The WKB QNMs with large imaginary parts have frequencies $5.98 - 9.81$ kHz and damping times $0.13 - 0.46$ ms for $M \simeq 0.3 - 2.1M_\odot$. They are found to be the fluid f -modes and spacetime curvature w -modes respectively.

I. INTRODUCTION

The late-time gravitational waves signal from compact sources is prominently dominated by characteristic ring-down phase. This phase is described by a so-called quasinormal modes (QNMs). In principle, one can determine the nature of the source by measuring damping time of gravitational waves amplitude. Moreover, linear stabilities of compact objects can also be verified by the QNMs. An exponentially decay of perturbation mode indicates that a perturbed object is stable under a linear perturbation. The studies of black hole quasinormal modes can be traced back to 1970, where Vishveshwara calculates an oscillation of a gaussian wavepacket around the Schwarzschild black hole [1]. It turns out that, the frequency and damping of these oscillations are solely characterized by its mass. Since then, numerous of a similar study have been explored with various types of black holes and fields (see [2–4] for a nice review on this subject). Beyond general relativity, QNMs of black holes in modified gravity are studied in great numbers. For instance, extended analyses for black hole/string in massive gravity and generalized spherical symmetric background [5–9] demonstrate rich structure of the black hole QNMs and remarkable connections to the Strong Cosmic Censorship.

Similarly, the study of stellar pulsation in general relativity has a long history [10–17]. In Ref. [18, 19], a new family of oscillation modes of neutron stars are discovered i.e. w -modes or spacetime modes. The w -modes are much closer to black hole QNMs than the fluid modes, i.e., f, p, g and r -modes. In addition, the w -modes are oscillating with very rapid damping time. We refer an

interested reader to Ref. [3] for a classification of neutron stars oscillation modes including sub-family of the spacetime modes. Moreover, the investigation of gravitational waves signal emitted from neutron stars can prove to be useful. It is expected that gravitational radiations from neutron stars carry information about the star's internal structure such as its radius, density and properties of nuclear and quark matter [20–22].

There have been a series of investigation on the physical properties of massive NS with exotic quark-matter core in the form of multiquark phase from the holographic Sakai-Sugimoto (SS) model [23–27]. There are also other types of holographic models, e.g. top-down models D3-D7, D4/D8/D8 and a bottom-up V-QCD model considered in the study of neutron stars and hybrid stars [28–32]. In this work, we calculate normal and quasinormal modes (QNMs) of holographic multiquark (MQ) stars in the SS model, in both the fluid-oscillation (Newtonian f -modes) and spacetime (w -modes) modes. By comparison to observations, these modes can be used to identify the MQ star/core with holographic equations of state in addition to other specific physical properties studied in Ref. [25–27].

The work is organized as the following. Section II presents the equations of state (EoS) for holographic multiquark matter in the SS model and the perturbation equations of motion. Section III considers normal modes of fluid MQ star oscillation. QNMs for fluid and spacetime modes are calculated in Section IV. Section V concludes our work.

* supakchai.p@gmail.com

† piyabut@gmail.com

‡ quazact@gmail.com

II. THEORETICAL SETUP: EQUATIONS OF STATE AND EQUATION OF MOTION

II.1. Equations of State

The multiquark matter inside the star can be described by relations between pressure P and mass density ρ , written as a function of the number density n , as described in Ref. [23]. The EoS for the small n (“mq1”) multiquark can be expressed in the dimensionless form as

$$\begin{aligned} P &= an^2 + bn^4, \\ \rho &= \mu_0 n + an^2 + \frac{b}{3}n^4, \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where μ_0 is the initial value of the chemical potential for the multiquark phase, while a, b are the parameters associated with the small n holographic multiquark EoS. On the other hand, for large n (“mqh”)

$$\begin{aligned} P &= kn^{7/5}, \\ \rho &= \rho_c + \frac{5}{2}P + \mu_c(n - n_c) \\ &\quad + kn_c^{7/5} - \frac{7k}{2}n_c^{2/5}n, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where a critical mass density, chemical potential, and number density at the transition from large to small multiquark number density, represented by ρ_c , μ_c , and n_c , respectively.

n_s	a	b	μ_0	k	ρ_c	μ_c	n_c
0	1	0	0.17495	$10^{-0.4}$	0.0841077	0.564374	0.215443
0.3	0.375	180.0	0.32767	$10^{-0.4}$	0.0345996	0.490069	0.086666

TABLE I: Parameters associated with EoS expressed in Eqs.(1) and (2) in dimensionless units

Eqs.(1) and (2) are expressed in dimensionless form where all parameters are provided in Table I. For both $n_s = 0$ and 0.3 cases, the parameter $k = 10^{-0.4}$. This implies that the MQ at high density is independent of the colour charges as they have similar characteristics. The EoS for multiquark depends on two free parameters: the colour charge of the multiquark state n_s and the energy density scale ϵ_s [23, 24]. Converting to SI units, the pressure P and mass density ρ are proportional to the energy density scale ϵ_s . As a result, the pure MQ-star mass and radius have the same scaling $M, R \sim \epsilon_s^{-1/2}$. Remarkably, the compactness M/R is thus unaffected by ϵ_s . In this work, we will set $\epsilon_s = 26 \text{ GeV fm}^{-3}$.

II.2. Equations of motion

We use the convention of Ref. [19, 33]. The metric is expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= -e^\nu(1 + r^\ell H_0 Y_m^\ell e^{i\omega t})dt^2 - 2i\omega r^{\ell+1} H_1 Y_m^\ell e^{i\omega t} dt dr \\ &\quad + e^\lambda(1 - r^\ell H_0 Y_m^\ell e^{i\omega t})dr^2 \\ &\quad + r^2(1 - r^\ell K Y_m^\ell e^{i\omega t})(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2), \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where $\nu, \lambda, H_0, H_1, K$ are functions of radial coordinate r and the spherical harmonics is denoted by Y_m^ℓ . The fluid 4-velocity sourcing the spacetime perturbation for even parity modes are given by [34] (with r^ℓ rescaling),

$$\begin{aligned} u^0 &= e^{-\nu/2}(1 - \frac{1}{2}r^\ell H_0 Y_m^\ell e^{i\omega t}), \quad u^r = r^{\ell-1}e^{-(\nu+\lambda)/2}\partial_t W Y_m^\ell, \\ u^\theta &= -r^{\ell-2}e^{-\nu/2}\partial_t V \partial_\theta Y_m^\ell, \quad u^\phi = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where $W = W(t, r)$ and $V = V(t, r)$. Generally, perturbed Einstein field equations yield five first order differential equations for H_0, H_1, K, W, V . However, they are not all linear independent. In fact, the Einstein equation implies the following [18]

$$\begin{aligned} (2M + Nr + \bar{Q})H_0 &= - \left[(N+1)\bar{Q} - \omega^2 r^3 e^{-(\lambda+\nu)} \right] H_1 \\ &\quad + \left[Nr - \omega^2 r^3 e^{-\nu} - \frac{e^\lambda}{r}\bar{Q}(2M \right. \\ &\quad \left. - r + \bar{Q}) \right] K + 8\pi r^3 e^{-\frac{\nu}{2}} X, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

with $\bar{Q} = M + 4\pi r^3 P$ and $N = (\ell-1)(\ell+2)/2$. The new function X is introduced [16]

$$X \equiv \omega^2(P + \rho)e^{-\nu/2}V + \frac{(P + \rho)}{2}e^{\nu/2}H_0 - \frac{P'}{r}e^{(\nu-\lambda)/2}W. \quad (6)$$

The equations of motion governing the perturbations of fluid in a spherically symmetric star with no rotation are

given by the Einstein field equations,

$$\begin{aligned}
H_1' &= \frac{e^\lambda}{r} \left([(\ell+1)e^{-\lambda} + 2\frac{M}{r} + 4\pi r^2(P-\rho)]H_1 + H_0 + K \right. \\
&\quad \left. - 16\pi(P+\rho)V \right), \\
K' &= \frac{1}{r} \left(H_0 + (N+1)H_1 - (\ell+1 - \frac{r\nu'}{2})K \right. \\
&\quad \left. - 8\pi(P+\rho)e^{\lambda/2}W \right), \\
W' &= -(\ell+1)Wr^{-1} + re^{\lambda/2} \left(\frac{1}{2}H_0 + K + (\gamma P)^{-1}e^{-\nu/2}X \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \ell(\ell+1)r^{-2}V \right), \\
X' &= -\frac{\ell}{r}X + \frac{1}{2r}(\rho+P)e^{\frac{\nu}{2}} \left[\left(1 - \frac{\nu'r}{2}\right)H_0 + \left(r^2\omega^2e^{-\nu} \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + (N+1)\right)H_1 + \left(\frac{3}{2}\nu'r - 1\right)K - \frac{\ell(\ell+1)\nu'}{r}V \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \left(8\pi(\rho+P)e^{\frac{\lambda}{2}} + 2\omega^2e^{\frac{\lambda}{2}-\nu} - r^2\left(\frac{\nu'}{r^2e^{\frac{\lambda}{2}}}\right)'\right)W \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Remark that, we can eliminate H_0 and V using (5) and (6) respectively from the perturbation equations (7). Thus we obtain four first order differential equations for $\{H_1, K, W, X\}$.

We will solve these perturbation equations with appropriated boundary conditions. As a result, one obtains specific frequency ω . For the calculation of normal modes, the boundary condition at the star surface $r = R$ is simply $X(R) = 0$ (zero pressure and density at the surface) and there is no need to do the matching with the outer region of the star. The normal modes correspond to real frequency. Boundary conditions and numerical procedure for obtaining QNMs and their corresponding quasinormal frequency can be found below in Sect. IV.

III. NORMAL MODES OF MULTIQUARK STAR

In this section, the normal modes quadrupole oscillations and QNMs of the multiquark star are numerically calculated. The normal mode quadrupole oscillations are computed under the assumption that the energy loss to the gravitational waves is negligible and the frequency $f = \omega/2\pi$ is purely real. These Newtonian modes are simply fluid nonradial oscillations confined by gravity of the star with boundary conditions $X = 0, r \geq R$. In Fig. 1 and Fig. 2, the 5 lowest frequency modes ($n = 1-5$) are displayed as a function of MQ star's mass and star's compactness $C \equiv M/R$ respectively. The frequencies

are found to be monotonically increasing with M and C . At high mass and compactness when the star has the high density ‘‘mqh’’ core, the behavior of the frequencies become non-trivial. The high-density core acquires its own fundamental oscillation resulting in the appearance of the lowest mode f_1 . At the same time, the second mode jumps to higher value while f_4 and f_5 start to decrease with increasing M, C at higher masses.




FIG. 1: Quadrupole oscillation frequency $f_n, n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ vs. M of the MQ star.




FIG. 2: Quadrupole oscillation frequency $f_n, n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ vs. compactness C of the MQ star.

IV. QUASINORMAL MODES OF MULTIQUARK STAR

For compact objects with extreme density, quadrupole oscillations of star can couple to the spacetime generating GWs which carry energy away. In this case, the oscillation will be damped resulting in the QNMs of the dense massive star. Depending on the physical

modes, coupling between spacetime and fluid content of the star could be drastically different. While normal-mode quadrupole oscillations are f -modes, oscillatory modes with small spacetime-fluid coupling could have small imaginary parts of ω .

The QNMs are calculated using two methods, the direct scan and WKB. Both methods find solution with zero incoming waves. Direct scan is used to find the QNMs with very small $\text{Im } \omega$, the ratio of incoming to outgoing waves are in the order of 10^{-6} at far distance $r = 55 \text{ Re } \omega$. For WKB, the outer wave solutions of QNMs are verified that they contain less than 10^{-3} of the incoming/outgoing waves ratio at far distance $r > 50 \text{ Re } \omega$. Fig. 3, 4 and 5 show real and imaginary parts of ω in dimensionless unit. The value of $\text{Re } \omega$ can be translated to the frequency f in the SI units by the conversion factor $f_{\text{con}} \equiv 1.73603 \sqrt{\epsilon_s / (\text{GeV fm}^{-3})} = 8.85206 \text{ kHz}$ for $\epsilon_s = 26 \text{ GeV fm}^{-3}$. The damping time $\tau \equiv 1/\text{Im } \omega$ can be translated to time unit by the conversion factor $t_{\text{con}} \equiv 0.01797943211 \text{ ms}$ (scales with $1/\sqrt{\epsilon_s}$).

IV.1. The inner solution of the star

To ensure the condition $X(R) = 0$ is satisfied, we use the same procedure as Ref. [16] to solve Eqs. (7) in the form

$$\frac{d\mathbf{Y}}{dr} = \mathbf{P}(r, \ell, \omega) \cdot \mathbf{Y}, \quad (8)$$

where $\mathbf{Y} = (H_1, K, W, X)$ and the matrix \mathbf{P} can be read off from (7) after using constraints from the equations of motion to eliminate V, H_0 . Then three independent solutions with $X(R) = 0$ are numerically solved from the surface to the radius $r = R/2$ and two independent solutions are solved from the center out to $r = R/2$. The general solutions can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Y}_{\text{in}}(R/2 \leq r \leq R) &= a_1 \mathbf{Y}_1(r) + a_2 \mathbf{Y}_2(r) + a_3 \mathbf{Y}_3(r), \\ \mathbf{Y}_{\text{in}}(0 \leq r \leq R/2) &= a_4 \mathbf{Y}_4(r) + a_5 \mathbf{Y}_5(r). \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

The physical inner solution requires the matching

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 \mathbf{Y}_1(R/2) + a_2 \mathbf{Y}_2(R/2) + a_3 \mathbf{Y}_3(R/2) \\ = a_4 \mathbf{Y}_4(R/2) + a_5 \mathbf{Y}_5(R/2). \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

IV.2. The outer solutions

At surface of the star, the inner and outer solutions are matched via the transformation related to Zerilli function $Z(r_*)$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} r^{-(\ell+1)} H_1(r) \\ r^{-\ell} K(r) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} f(r) & g(r) \\ h(r) & k(r) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Z(r_*) \\ Z'(r_*) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (11)$$

where $Z'(r_*) \equiv \frac{dZ}{dr_*}$,

$$\begin{aligned} f(r) &= \frac{N(N+1)r^2 + 3NM r + 6M^2}{r^2(Nr + 3M)}, g(r) = 1, \\ h(r) &= \frac{-Nr^2 + 3NM r + 3M^2}{(r-2M)(Nr + 3M)}, k(r) = \frac{-r^2}{r-2M}, \end{aligned}$$

and the tortoise coordinate r_* is

$$r_* = r + 2M \log \left(\frac{r}{2M} - 1 \right). \quad (12)$$

Outside the star, there is no source of matter and the spacetime resembles the Schwarzschild metric. Therefore the perturbation equations reduce to a single wave-like equation [35]. Generically, the wave equation (Zerilli's equation) in the exterior of the star is given by

$$\frac{d^2 Z}{dr_*^2} + (\omega^2 - V(r))Z = 0, \quad (13)$$

where the effective potential is

$$\begin{aligned} V(r) &= \frac{2(1 - 2M/r)}{r^2(Nr + 3M)^2} \\ &\times \left((N+1)N^2 r^3 + 3N^2 M r^2 + 9NM^2 r + 9M^3 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

For real ω , there are two linearly independent solutions to the Zerilli's equation,

$$\begin{aligned} Z_-(r_*) &= e^{-i\omega r_*} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \alpha_j r^{-j}, \\ Z_+(r_*) &= e^{i\omega r_*} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \bar{\alpha}_j r^{-j}, \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

where Z_- (Z_+) represents the purely outgoing (incoming) waves respectively. The coefficient $\bar{\alpha}_j$ is the complex conjugate of α_j , they can be found by the recursive relation (e.g. in Ref. [36]) using conventional Frobenius method. The generic solution in the outer region is then given by

$$Z_{\text{out}} = A(\omega)Z_- + B(\omega)Z_+, \quad (16)$$

where the ratio $B(\omega)/A(\omega) = 1, 0$ for normal and quasi-normal modes respectively.

IV.3. QNMs with small $\text{Im } \omega$

A method although laborious yet effective in finding the QNMs with small imaginary parts is to scan for solution with $B(\omega)/A(\omega) = 0$ by varying ω .

The results are shown in Fig. 3. These modes have less than 10^{-6} of the incoming/outgoing waves ratio at far distance $r = 55 \text{ Re } \omega$.




FIG. 3: QNMs with small $\text{Im } \omega$ vs. mass of the MQ star.

IV.4. WKB

For the WKB method, the approximate wave solution is given by replacement $Z_{\mp} \rightarrow Z_{\mp}^{\text{WKB}}$ where

$$Z_{\mp}^{\text{WKB}}(r) = Q(r_*)^{1/2} \exp \left[\mp i \int^{r_*} Q(y) dy \right], \quad (17)$$

and

$$Q(r_*) = (\omega^2 - V(r))^{1/2}$$

in Eq. (16) respectively. The ratio of the incoming and outgoing waves is thus [18]

$$\frac{B(\omega)}{A(\omega)} = e^{-2iQ(R)} \frac{Q(R) - i \left[\frac{Z'_{\text{in}}(R)}{Z_{\text{in}}(R)} + \frac{Q'(R)}{2Q(R)} \right]}{Q(R) + i \left[\frac{Z'_{\text{in}}(R)}{Z_{\text{in}}(R)} + \frac{Q'(R)}{2Q(R)} \right]}, \quad (18)$$

where the prime indicates derivative with respect to r_* . The QNMs can be determined by numerical evaluation of the roots of $B(\omega)/A(\omega) = 0$, by first substituting a trial value of ω and solve for the inner solution $Z_{\text{in}}(r)$ within the star. The resulting roots for ω is then fed back to the equation of motion to find a new inner solution and

repeat the process until ω converges to a single value. Fig. 3 and 4 show QNMs of multiquark star with small and large $\text{Im } \omega$ respectively, the physical value scales with $\sqrt{\epsilon_s}$.




FIG. 4: QNMs vs. mass of the MQ star.

In order to understand more on the physical origin of the two kinds of modes, we plot ω versus compactness M/R in Fig. 5. The small- $\text{Im } \omega$ modes have $\text{Re } \omega$ increasing with compactness while $\text{Im } \omega$ increases with C until a maximum around $C \simeq 0.25 - 0.26$ then it decreases. In contrast, WKB modes (with large $\text{Im } \omega$) have ω as a decreasing function of C for both real and imaginary parts. $\text{Re } \omega$ and $\text{Im } \omega$ can be converted to SI units by conversion factors f_{con} and t_{con} respectively.

From the range of numerical values of the QNMs for both kinds and the approximate linear behaviour of the frequencies shown in Fig. 6 ($\bar{\rho} = M/R^3$, average density of the star), we can conclude that the small- $\text{Im } \omega$ modes are the f -modes, and the WKB QNMs are the curvature w -modes according to the criteria in Ref. [3, 37]. Moreover, the damping time of the WKB modes is an increasing function of compactness as shown in Fig. 7, consistent with the property of the curvature w -modes.

V. CONCLUSIONS AND DISCUSSIONS

The oscillatory modes of holographic MQ star/core of massive stars in the SS model with the energy density scale $\epsilon_s = 26 \text{ GeV fm}^{-3}$ have been calculated. We obtain normal and quasinormal modes of MQ star by using direct scan and WKB methods. We find that the stars with higher mass and compactness oscillate with higher frequencies. By direct scanning of the solutions satisfying boundary condition of the quasinormal modes, i.e., zero incoming gravitational waves from infinity in the outer region of star, QNMs with very small $\text{Im } \omega$ are found with frequencies in the order of 1.5 – 2.6 kHz, and damping times 0.19 – 1.7 secs for MQ star with mass $M = (0.6 - 2.1)M_{\odot}$. By using WKB method, QNMs with larger $\text{Im } \omega$ are found with $f = 5.98 - 9.81 \text{ kHz}$, damping




FIG. 5: QNMs with small $\text{Im } \omega$ and large (WKB) $\text{Im } \omega$ vs. compactness M/R of the MQ star.

times $0.13 - 0.46$ ms for $M \simeq (0.3 - 2.1)M_{\odot}$. These are the f -modes and curvature w -modes of MQ star respectively. Both modes can be fit with approximate empirical linear relations found in Ref. [37] as shown in Fig. 6. For MQ f -modes, since the EoS is not a single power law, the approximate linear relation can fit well only around the high mass region of the star in Fig. 6. For w -modes, the linear relation fit is quite excellent even though there appears to be a transition from one fitting linear relation to another when the MQ star changes from the star with high density “mqh” core to pure “mql” star.

Massive neutron star around and above two solar masses could have multiquark core whence further grav-




FIG. 6: Frequencies $f = \text{Re } \omega/2\pi$ of f -modes vs. $\sqrt{GM/R^3}$ (upper) and fR of WKB w -modes vs. C (lower). The red/dense dots on the high C values show MQ star with high-density “mqh” core.

itational collapse would generate fluid and spacetime excitations in the f, p, g, r and w -modes. The GW from these excitations could be detected after such collapse e.g. in aftermath of supernovae explosion and neutron stars collision. It is thus possible that these QNMs would be generated by such extreme events and subsequently detected at LIGO/Virgo and future gravitational waves detection facilities.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

SP (first author) was supported by Grant No. RGNS 64-217 from Office of the Permanent Secretary, Ministry of Higher Education, Science, Research and Innovation (OPS MHEESI), Thailand Science Research and Innovation (TSRI) and Silpakorn University.




FIG. 7: Damping time τ of WKB w -modes vs. C . The dense dots on the high C values show MQ star with high-density “mqh” core.

-
- [1] C. V. Vishveshwara, *Nature* **227** (1970), 936-938 doi:10.1038/227936a0 [2]
- [2] R. A. Konoplya and A. Zhidenko, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **83** (2011), 793-836 doi:10.1103/RevModPhys.83.793 [arXiv:1102.4014 [gr-qc]].
- [3] K. D. Kokkotas and B. G. Schmidt, *Living Rev. Rel.* **2** (1999), 2 doi:10.12942/lrr-1999-2 [arXiv:gr-qc/9909058 [gr-qc]].
- [4] V. Ferrari and L. Gualtieri, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **40** (2008), 945-970 doi:10.1007/s10714-007-0585-1 [arXiv:0709.0657 [gr-qc]].
- [5] P. Burikham, S. Ponglertsakul and L. Tannukij, *Phys. Rev. D* **96** (2017) no.12, 124001 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.96.124001 [arXiv:1709.02716 [gr-qc]].
- [6] S. Ponglertsakul, P. Burikham and L. Tannukij, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **78** (2018) no.7, 584 doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-018-6057-9 [arXiv:1803.09078 [gr-qc]].
- [7] S. Ponglertsakul, P. Burikham and T. Tangphathi, *Phys. Rev. D* **99** (2019) no.8, 084002 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.99.084002 [arXiv:1812.09838 [hep-th]].
- [8] T. Wuthicharn, S. Ponglertsakul and P. Burikham, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **31** (2022) no.01, 2150127 doi:10.1142/S0218271821501273 [arXiv:1911.11448 [gr-qc]].
- [9] P. Burikham, S. Ponglertsakul and T. Wuthicharn, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **80** (2020) no.10, 954 doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-020-08528-0 [arXiv:2010.05879 [gr-qc]].
- [10] G. Chanmugam, *Astrophys.J.* 217 (1977) p.799-808 Doi: 10.1086/155627
- [11] J. R. Ipser and R. Semenzato, *Astrophys.J.* 229 (1979) p.1098-1106 Doi: 10.1086/157045
- [12] K. S. Thorne, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **21** (1968), 320-323 doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.21.320
- [13] K. S. Thorne and A. Campolattaro, *Astrophys.J.* 149 (1967) p.591 Doi:10.1086/149288
- [14] Price, R. and Thorne, K. S. 1969, *Astrophys. J.*, 155, 163. doi:10.1086/149857
- [15] Thorne, K. S. 1969, *Astrophys. J.*, 158, 1. doi:10.1086/150168
- [16] L. Lindblom and S. L. Detweiler, *Astrophys. J. Suppl.* **53** (1983), 73-92 doi:10.1086/190884
- [17] Y. Kojima, S. Yoshida and T. Futamase, *Progress of Theoretical Physics*, Volume 86, Issue 2, August 1991, Pages 401-410 Doi: <https://doi.org/10.1143/ptp/86.2.401>
- [18] K. D. Kokkotas and B. F. Schutz, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **255** (1992), 119
- [19] N. Andersson, K. D. Kokkotas and B. F. Schutz, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **274** (1995), 1039 doi:10.1093/mnras/274.4.1039 [arXiv:gr-qc/9503014 [gr-qc]].
- [20] L. K. Tsui and P. T. Leung, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **357** (2005), 1029-1037 doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2005.08710.x [arXiv:gr-qc/0412024 [gr-qc]].
- [21] O. Benhar, E. Berti and V. Ferrari, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **310** (1999), 797-803 doi:10.1046/j.1365-8711.1999.02983.x [arXiv:gr-qc/9901037 [gr-qc]].
- [22] K. D. Kokkotas, T. A. Apostolatos and N. Andersson, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **320** (2001), 307-315 doi:10.1046/j.1365-8711.2001.03945.x [arXiv:gr-qc/9901072 [gr-qc]].
- [23] P. Burikham, E. Hirunsirisawat and S. Pinkanjanarod, "Thermodynamic properties of holographic multiquark and the multiquark star," *JHEP* **06** (2010) 040, [arXiv:1003.5470 [hep-ph]].
- [24] P. Burikham, A. Chatrabhuti and E. Hirunsirisawat, "Exotic multi-quark states in the deconfined phase from gravity dual models," *JHEP* **05** (2009) 006, [arXiv:0811.0243 [hep-ph]].
- [25] S. Pinkanjanarod and P. Burikham, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **81** (2021) no.8, 705 doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-021-09479-w [arXiv:2007.10615 [nucl-th]].
- [26] S. Pinkanjanarod, P. Burikham and S. Ponglertsakul, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **82** (2022) no.2, 141 doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-022-10106-5 [arXiv:2106.13450 [gr-qc]].
- [27] P. Burikham, S. Pinkanjanarod and S. Ponglertsakul, *Phys. Rev. D* **105** (2022) no.10, 104018 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.105.104018 [arXiv:2111.00712 [gr-qc]].
- [28] C. Hoyos, N. Jokela and A. Vuorinen, *Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys.* **126**, 103972 (2022) doi:10.1016/j.ppnp.2022.103972 [arXiv:2112.08422 [hep-th]].
- [29] M. Järvinen, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **82**, no.4, 282 (2022) doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-022-10227-x [arXiv:2110.08281 [hep-ph]].
- [30] C. Hoyos, D. Rodríguez Fernández, N. Jokela and A. Vuorinen, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **117**, no.3, 032501 (2016) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.032501 [arXiv:1603.02943 [hep-ph]].
- [31] N. Jokela, M. Järvinen and J. Remes, *Phys. Rev. D* **105**, no.8, 086005 (2022) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.105.086005 [arXiv:2111.12101 [hep-ph]].
- [32] T. Alho, J. Remes, K. Tuominen and A. Vuorinen, *Phys. Rev. D* **101**, no.10, 106025 (2020) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.101.106025 [arXiv:2002.09544 [hep-ph]].
- [33] K. D. Kokkotas and B. F. Schutz, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **225** (1992), 119
- [34] K.S. Thorne, Alfonsi Campolattaro, *Astrophysical Journal*, vol. 149, p.591 doi:10.1086/149288.
- [35] F. J. Zerilli, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **24** (1970), 737-738 doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.24.737
- [36] S. Chandrasekhar and S. L. Detweiler, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A* **344** (1975), 441-452 doi:10.1098/rspa.1975.0112
- [37] N. Andersson and K. D. Kokkotas, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **299** (1998), 1059-1068 doi:10.1046/j.1365-8711.1998.01840.x [arXiv:gr-qc/9711088 [gr-qc]].