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#### Abstract

In this paper, we explore the existence of $m$-terms arithmetic progressions in $\mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}$ with a given common difference whose terms are all primitive elements, and at least one of them is normal. We obtain asymptotic results for $m \geq 4$ and concrete results for $m \in\{2,3\}$, where the complete list of exceptions when the common difference belongs to $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}$ is obtained. The proofs combine character sums, sieve estimates, and computational arguments using the software SageMath.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $q$ be a prime power, and let $n>1$ be an integer. As usual, $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ denotes the finite field with $q$ elements, and $\mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}$ denotes the unique field extension of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ of degree $n$. The multiplicative group $\mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}^{*}$ is cyclic whose generators are called primitive elements. We say that $g \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}$ is normal if $\left\{g, g^{q}, \ldots, g^{q^{n-1}}\right\}$ is an $\mathbb{F}_{q^{-}}$basis for $\mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}$ as a vector space. The Primitive Normal Basis Theorem (see [15]) ensures that a primitive normal element in $\mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}$ always exists (see [9] for a computer-free proof). Other problems in this direction concern the existence of a set with some prescribed property whose elements are all primitives (see e.g. [1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 6, 7, 8, 12, 14]).

There is an extensive literature dealing with consecutive primitive elements, and here it is required that the amount of consecutive elements must be at most the characteristic of the finite field. For instance, Cohen (see [6, 7, 8]) proved that if $q>7$, then $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ contains two consecutive primitive elements. Later, it has been proved, in [5, Theorem 1], that if $q>169$ is odd, then there are always three consecutive primitive elements in $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. Moreover, the precise values $q \leq 169$ for

[^0]which the latter is false are $q=3,5,7,9,13,25,29,61,81,121$, and 169 . Also in [5], the similar problem with four consecutive primitive elements was studied, and it was conjectured that any finite field with more than 2401 elements contains such consecutive primitive elements. This result was recently settled in [12].

In this paper, we discuss the existence of $m$-terms arithmetic progressions in $\mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}$ with a given common difference whose terms are primitive elements, and at least one of them being normal. It is clear that the characteristic of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ must be at least $m$. We obtain asymptotic and concrete results, depending on whether $m \geq 4$ or $m \leq 3$. In the particular cases where either $m=2$ or $m=3$, we provide the complete list of exceptions when the common difference belongs to $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}$. In all these cases the numerical calculations are done using SageMath [17]. More specifically, we will prove the following results.

Theorem 1.1. Let $q$ be an odd prime power, $m, n \geq 2$, and $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}^{*}$. There exists $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}$ such that the elements $\alpha, \alpha+\beta, \alpha+2 \beta, \ldots, \alpha+(m-1) \beta$ are all primitive and at least one of them is normal provided:
(i) $m=2$, except possibly for $(q, n)$ displayed in Table 6 for $q$ odd, and in Table 8 for $q$ even.
(ii) $m=3$, except possibly for $(q, n)$ displayed in Table 3 for $n \geq 7$, in addition to $(q, n)$ as given in Lemma 5.3 for $n=6$, Lemma 5.4 for $n=5$, Lemma 5.5 for $n=4$, Lemma 5.6 for $n=3$, and Lemma 5.7 for $n=2$.
(iii) $m=4$ and $q^{n} \geq 3.31 \cdot 10^{2821}$.
(iv) $m \geq 5$ and $q$ sufficiently large.

The proof relies on character sums and sieve methods that provide an inequalitylike condition that guarantees the existence of such arithmetic progressions. The case $m=3$ extends the results of Cohen, Silva and Trudgian on three consecutive primitive elements, as well as the case $m=2$ that extends the results of Cohen on two consecutive primitive elements. For this, we combine further sieving estimates with computational arguments.

For $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}$ and $m=3$, we obtain the complete list of exceptions.

Theorem 1.2. Let $q$ be an odd prime power, $n \geq 2$, and $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}$. There exists $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}$ such that the elements $\alpha, \alpha+\beta, \alpha+2 \beta$ are all primitive and at least one of them is normal except for $(q, n, \beta)$ displayed in Table 4.

As a consequence, we obtain the following result concerning three primitive elements in arithmetic progression.

Corollary 1.3. Let $q$ be an odd prime power, $n \geq 2$, and $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}$. There exists $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}$ such that the elements $\alpha, \alpha+\beta, \alpha+2 \beta$ are all primitive except for the triples $(q, n, \beta)$ displayed in Table 5 .

The case $\beta=1$ of the corollary above was proved by Cohen, Oliveira e Silva and Trudgian in [5]. For $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}$ and $m=2$, we also obtain the complete list of exceptions.

Theorem 1.4. Let $q$ be a prime power, $n \geq 2$, and $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}$. There exists $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}$ such that the elements $\alpha$ and $\alpha+\beta$ are both primitive and at least one of them is normal except for $(q, n, \beta)=(2,4,1)$.

In a similar way than Corollary 1.3, we obtain the following result.

Corollary 1.5. Let $q$ be a prime power, $n \geq 2$, and $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}$. There exists a primitive element $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}$ such that $\alpha+\beta$ is also primitive.

The case $\beta=1$ of the corollary above was proved by Cohen in [6, 7, 8].
The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce some standard notations and results on arithmetic functions and characters. In Section 3 we prove some inequality-like conditions that guarantee the existence of arithmetic progressions formed by primitive elements such that one of its terms is normal, as well as the sieving version of these inequalities. In Section 4, we obtain some asymptotic results, including the proof of Theorem 1.1(iii),(iv). Finally, in Section 5 we present the proof of Theorems 1.1(ii) and 1.2, and in Section 6 we present the prove of Theorems 1.1(i) and 1.4, respectively.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we present some definitions and results that will be used throughout this paper. We refer the reader to [16] for basic results on finite fields.

For a positive integer $n, \varphi(n)$ denotes the Euler totient function and $\mu(n)$ denotes the Möbius function.

Definition 2.1. (a) Let $f(x) \in \mathbb{F}_{q}[x]$. The Euler totient function for polynomials over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ is given by

$$
\phi(f)=\left|\left(\frac{\mathbb{F}_{q}[x]}{\langle f\rangle}\right)^{*}\right|
$$

where $\langle f\rangle$ is the ideal generated by $f(x)$ in $\mathbb{F}_{q}[x]$.
(b) If $t$ is either a positive integer or a monic polynomial over $\mathbb{F}_{q}, W(t)$ denotes the number of squares-free or monic square-free divisors of $t$, respectively.
(c) If $f(x) \in \mathbb{F}_{q}[x]$ is a monic polynomial, the polynomial Möbius function $\mu$ is given by $\mu(f)=0$ if $f$ is not square-free, and $\mu(f)=(-1)^{r}$ if $f$ is a product of $r$ distinct monic irreducible factors over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$.

A multiplicative character $\chi$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}^{*}$ is a group homomorphism of $\mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}^{*}$ to $\mathbb{C}^{*}$. The group of multiplicative characters $\widehat{\mathbb{F}}_{q^{n}}^{*}$ becomes a $\mathbb{Z}$-module by defining $\chi^{r}(\alpha)=$ $\chi\left(\alpha^{r}\right)$ for $\chi \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}}_{q^{n}}^{*}, \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}^{*}$, and $r \in \mathbb{Z}$. The order of a multiplicative character $\chi$ is the least positive integer $d$ such that $\chi(\alpha)^{d}=1$ for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}^{*}$.

Let $e$ be a divisor of $q^{n}-1$. We say that an element $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}^{*}$ is $e$-free if for every $d \mid e$ such that $d \neq 1$, there is no element $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}$ satisfying $\alpha=\beta^{d}$. Following [11, Theorem 13.4.4 e.g.], for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}^{*}$, we get

$$
w_{e}(\alpha)=\theta(e) \sum_{d \mid e} \frac{\mu(d)}{\varphi(d)} \sum_{(d)} \chi_{d}(\alpha)= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } \alpha \text { is } e \text {-free }  \tag{1}\\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

where $\theta(e)=\frac{\varphi(e)}{e}, \sum_{d \mid e}$ runs over all the positive divisors $d$ of $e, \chi_{d}$ is a multiplicative character of $\mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}^{*}$, and the sum $\sum_{(d)} \chi_{d}$ runs over all the multiplicative characters of order $d$.

The additive group $\mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}$ is an $\mathbb{F}_{q}[x]$-module where the action is given by $f \circ \alpha=$ $\sum_{i=0}^{r} a_{i} \alpha^{q^{i}}$, for any $f=\sum_{i=0}^{r} a_{i} x^{i} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}[x]$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}$. An element $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}$ has $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-order $h \in \mathbb{F}_{q}[x]$ if $h$ is the monic polynomial of lowest degree such that $h \circ \alpha=0$. The $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-order of $\alpha$ will be denoted by $\operatorname{Ord}(\alpha)$, and clearly the $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-order of an element $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}$ divides $x^{n}-1$. An additive character $\eta$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}$ is a group homomorphism of $\mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}$ to $\mathbb{C}^{*}$. The group of additive characters $\widehat{\mathbb{F}}_{q^{n}}$ becomes an $\mathbb{F}_{q}[x]$-module by defining $f \circ \eta(\alpha)=\eta(f \circ \alpha)$ for $\eta \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}}_{q^{n}}, \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}$, and $f \in \mathbb{F}_{q}[x]$. An additive character $\eta$ has $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-order $h \in \mathbb{F}_{q}[x]$ if $h$ is the monic polynomial of smallest degree
such that $h \circ \eta=\eta_{0}$, where $\eta_{0}$ is the trivial additive character defined by $\eta_{0}(\alpha)=1$, for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}$. The $\mathbb{F}_{q^{-}}$order of $\eta$ will be denoted by $\operatorname{Ord}(\eta)$.

Let $g \in \mathbb{F}_{q}[x]$ be a divisor of $x^{n}-1$. We say that an element $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}$ is $g$-free if for every polynomial $h \in \mathbb{F}_{q}[x]$ such that $h \mid g$ and $h \neq 1$, there is no element $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}$ satisfying $\alpha=h \circ \beta$. As in the multiplicative case, from [11, Theorem 13.4.4 e.g.], for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}$, we get

$$
\Omega_{g}(\alpha)=\Theta(g) \sum_{h \mid g} \frac{\mu(h)}{\phi(h)} \sum_{(h)} \eta_{h}(\alpha)= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } \alpha \text { is } g \text {-free }  \tag{2}\\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

where $\Theta(g)=\frac{\phi(g)}{q^{\operatorname{deg}(g)}}, \sum_{h \mid g}$ runs over all the monic divisors $h \in \mathbb{F}_{q}[x]$ of $g, \eta_{h}$ is an additive character of $\mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}$, and the sum $\sum_{(h)} \eta_{h}$ runs over all additive characters of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-order $h$. It is known that there exist $\phi(h)$ of those characters.

One may check that an element $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}^{*}$ is primitive if and only if $\alpha$ is $\left(q^{n}-1\right)$-free and $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}$ is normal if and only if $\alpha$ is $\left(x^{n}-1\right)$-free.

The groups $\mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}^{*}$ and $\widehat{\mathbb{F}}_{q^{n}}^{*}$ are isomorphic as $\mathbb{Z}$-modules, and $\mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}$ and $\widehat{\mathbb{F}}_{q^{n}}$ are isomorphic as $\mathbb{F}_{q}[x]$-modules (see [11, Theorem 13.4.1.]).

To finish this section, we present some estimates that are used in the next sections.
Lemma 2.2. [16, Theorem 5.41] Let $\chi$ be a multiplicative character of $\mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}$ of order $r>1$ and $f \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}[x]$ be a monic polynomial of positive degree such that $f$ is not of the form $g(x)^{r}$ for some $g \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}[x]$ with degree at least 1 . Let $e$ be the number of distinct roots of $f$ in its splitting field over $\mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}$. For every $a \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}$,

$$
\left|\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}} \chi(a f(\alpha))\right| \leq(e-1) q^{\frac{n}{2}} .
$$

An estimate adapted from [10, Theorem 5.6] with $d=1$ will be used next.
Lemma 2.3. Let $f(x), g(x) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}(x)$ be rational functions. Write $f(x)=\prod_{j=1}^{k} f_{j}(x)^{n_{j}}$, where $f_{j}(x) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}[x]$ are irreducible polynomials and $n_{j}$ are non-zero integers. Let $D_{1}=\sum_{j=1}^{k} \operatorname{deg}\left(f_{j}\right)$, let $D_{2}=\max (\operatorname{deg}(g), 0)$, let $D_{3}$ be the degree of the denominator of $g(t)$ and let $D_{4}$ be the sum of degrees of those irreducible polynomials dividing the denominator of $g$, but distinct from $f_{j}(x)(j=1, \ldots, k)$. Let $\chi: \mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}^{*} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{*}$ be a multiplicative character of $\mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}^{*}$, and let $\eta: \mathbb{F}_{q^{n}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{*}$ be a non-trivial additive character of $\mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}$. Suppose that $g(x)$ is not of the form $r(x)^{q^{n}}-r(x)$ in $\mathbb{F}(x)$, where
$\mathbb{F}$ is the algebraic closure of $\mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}$. Then we have the estimate

$$
\left|\sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{n}} \\ f(\alpha) \neq 0, \infty, g(\alpha) \neq \infty}} \chi(f(\alpha)) \eta(g(\alpha))\right| \leq\left(D_{1}+D_{2}+D_{3}+D_{4}-1\right) q^{\frac{n}{2}}
$$

## 3. General Results

We are interested in finding conditions for the existence of $m$-terms arithmetic progressions in $\mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}$ with a given common difference whose terms are primitive elements, and at least one of them being normal. For this, the following definitions play important roles.

Definition 3.1. For $m \geq 3$, let $N_{m}$ be the set of pairs $(q, n)$ such that for every $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}^{*}$ there exists $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}$ for which the elements of $A(\alpha, \beta)=\{\alpha+(j-1) \beta \mid$ $1 \leq j \leq m\} \subset \mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}$ are primitive, and at least one of them is normal over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$.

Observation 3.2. We have $N_{m} \subset N_{m-1}$. In fact, if the $m$-terms arithmetic progression $\alpha, \alpha+\beta, \ldots, \alpha+(m-1) \beta$ comprises primitive elements and one of the terms is normal, then both $\alpha, \alpha+\beta, \ldots, \alpha+(m-2) \beta$ and $\alpha+\beta, \alpha+2 \beta, \ldots, \alpha+(m-1) \beta$ are $(m-1)$-terms arithmetic progressions formed by primitive elements and one of them contains a normal element.

Definition 3.3. Let $N\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{m}, g\right)$ denote the number of elements $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}$ such that $\alpha+(i-1) \beta$ is $e_{i}$-free for all $i \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$ and there exists $j \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$ such that $\alpha+(j-1) \beta$ is $g$-free.

Definition 3.4. Let $N_{j}\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{m}, g\right)$ denote the number of elements $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}$ such that $\alpha+(i-1) \beta$ is $e_{i}$-free for all $i \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$ and $\alpha+(j-1) \beta$ is $g$-free.

We will shorten $\bar{e}=\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{m}\right)$ and $W(\bar{e})=\prod_{i=1}^{m} W\left(e_{i}\right)$. When $\bar{e}=\overline{q^{n}-1}$, we have $e_{i}=q^{n}-1$ for all $i \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$.

Observation 3.5. From Definitions 3.3 and 3.4 we have

$$
N(\bar{e}, g) \geq \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m} N_{j}(\bar{e}, g)
$$

The main result of this section is the following sufficient inequality-like condition.

Theorem 3.6. Let $q$ be a prime power, let $n \geq 2$ be an integer, $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{m} \in \mathbb{N}$ be divisors of $q^{n}-1$, and $g \in \mathbb{F}_{q}[x]$ be a monic divisor of $x^{n}-1$. If

$$
q^{\frac{n}{2}} \geq m W(g) W(\bar{e})
$$

then $N(\bar{e}, g)>0$. In particular, if

$$
q^{\frac{n}{2}} \geq m W\left(x^{n}-1\right) W\left(\overline{q^{n}-1}\right)
$$

then $(q, n) \in N_{m}$.
Proof. Let $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{m} \in \mathbb{N}$ be divisors of $q^{n}-1, g \in \mathbb{F}_{q}[x]$ be a divisor of $x^{n}-1$, and $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}^{*}$. We will find a lower bound for $N(\bar{e}, g)$. From Equations (11) and (2), Definitions 3.3 and 3.4, and Observation 3.5, we have

$$
N(\bar{e}, g) \geq \frac{1}{m} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{n}} \backslash A}\left[\prod_{i=1}^{m} w_{e_{i}}(\alpha+(i-1) \beta) \sum_{j=1}^{m} \Omega_{g}(\alpha+(j-1) \beta)\right],
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=1}^{m} \Omega_{g}(\alpha+(j-1) \beta) & =\sum_{j=1}^{m} \Theta(j) \sum_{h \mid g} \frac{\mu(h)}{\phi(h)} \sum_{(h)} \eta_{h}(\alpha+(j-1) \beta) \\
& =\Theta(g) \sum_{h \mid g} \frac{\mu(h)}{\phi(h)} \sum_{(h)} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \eta_{h}(\alpha+(j-1) \beta) \\
\prod_{i=1}^{m} w_{e_{i}}(\alpha+(i-1) \beta) & =\prod_{i=1}^{m}\left(\theta\left(e_{i}\right) \sum_{d_{i} \mid e_{i}} \frac{\mu\left(d_{i}\right)}{\varphi\left(d_{i}\right)} \sum_{\left(d_{i}\right)} \chi_{d_{i}}(\alpha+(i-1) \beta)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and $A=\{-(i-1) \beta \mid 1 \leq i \leq m\}$. Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(\bar{e}, g) \geq \frac{1}{m} \Theta(g) \theta(\bar{e}) \sum_{\bar{d} \mid \bar{e}} \sum_{h \mid g} \frac{\mu(\bar{d})}{\varphi(\bar{d})} \frac{\mu(h)}{\phi(h)} \sum_{(\bar{d})} \sum_{(h)} S\left(\chi_{\bar{d}}, \eta_{h}\right), \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\theta(\bar{e})=\prod_{i=1}^{m} \theta\left(e_{i}\right), \mu(\bar{d})=\prod_{i=1}^{m} \mu\left(d_{i}\right), \varphi(\bar{d})=\prod_{i=1}^{m} \varphi\left(d_{i}\right), \bar{d} \mid \bar{e}$ means $d_{i} \mid e_{i}$ for all $i \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$, and

$$
S\left(\chi_{\bar{d}}, \eta_{h}\right)=\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{n}} \backslash A}\left(\chi_{d_{1}}(\alpha) \ldots \chi_{d_{m}}(\alpha+(m-1) \beta) \sum_{j=1}^{m} \eta_{h}(\alpha+(j-1) \beta)\right) .
$$

We now split into four cases according to possible values of $\bar{d}$ and $h$.

- For $\bar{d}=\overline{1}$ and $h=1$, it follows that $S\left(\chi_{\overline{1}}, \eta_{0}\right)=m\left(q^{n}-m\right)$, where $\chi_{1}$ denotes the trivial multiplicative character defined by $\chi_{1}(\alpha)=1$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}^{*}$, and $\eta_{0}$ the trivial additive character.
- For $\bar{d} \neq \overline{1}$ and $h=1$, consider $\chi_{0}$ a generator of the group of multiplicative characters of $\mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}^{*}$ (see [16, Corollary 5.9]). As consequence, for each $i \in$ $\{1, \ldots, m\}$ there exists an integer $n_{i} \in\left\{0,1, \ldots, q^{n}-2\right\}$ such that $\chi_{d_{i}}(\alpha)=$ $\chi_{0}\left(\alpha^{n_{i}}\right)$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}^{*}$. Observe that $\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{m}\right) \neq \overline{0}$, since $\bar{d} \neq \overline{1}$. So, we have

$$
\left|S\left(\chi_{\bar{d}}, \eta_{0}\right)\right|=m\left|\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{n}} \backslash A} \chi_{d_{1}}(\alpha) \ldots \chi_{d_{m}}(\alpha+(m-1) \beta)\right|=m\left|\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{n}} \backslash A} \chi_{0}(F(\alpha))\right|,
$$

where $F(\alpha)=\prod_{i=1}^{m}(\alpha+(i-1) \beta)^{n_{i}}$. It is clear that there is no $G \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}[x]$ such that $F(x)=G(x)^{q^{n}-1}$. So we may apply Lemma 2.2 and it follows that $\left|S\left(\chi_{\bar{d}}, \eta_{0}\right)\right| \leq m(m-1) q^{\frac{n}{2}}$.

- For $\bar{d}=\overline{1}$ and $h \neq 1$, from [16, Theorem 5.4] it follows that

$$
\left|S\left(\chi_{\overline{1}}, \eta_{h}\right)\right|=\left|\sum_{j=1}^{m} \eta_{h}(\beta)^{j-1}\right| \cdot\left|-\sum_{\alpha \in A} \eta_{h}(\alpha)\right| \leq m^{2} .
$$

- For $\bar{d} \neq \overline{1}$ and $h \neq 1$, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|S\left(\chi_{\bar{d}}, \eta_{h}\right)\right| & =\left|\sum_{j=1}^{m} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{n}} \backslash A} \prod_{i=1}^{m} \chi_{d_{i}}(\alpha+(i-1) \beta) \eta_{h}(\alpha+(j-1) \beta)\right| \\
& \leq \sum_{j=1}^{m}\left|\eta_{h}((j-1) \beta) \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{n}} \backslash A} \prod_{i=1}^{m} \chi_{d_{i}}(\alpha+(i-1) \beta) \eta_{h}(\alpha)\right| \\
& \leq m\left|\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{n}} \backslash A} \chi_{0}(F(\alpha)) \eta_{h}(\alpha)\right|,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $F(\alpha)=\prod_{i=1}^{m}(\alpha+(i-1) \beta)^{n_{i}}$, and $\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{m}\right)$ is the set of positive integer we defined before. Using Lemma 2.3 with $D_{1} \leq m, D_{2}=1$ and $D_{3}=D_{4}=0$, we have $\left|S\left(\chi_{\bar{d}}, \eta_{h}\right)\right| \leq m^{2} q^{\frac{n}{2}}$.

We may rewrite the right-hand side of Inequality (3) as

$$
\frac{1}{m} \Theta(g) \theta(\bar{e})\left(S_{1}+S_{2}+S_{3}+S_{4}\right)
$$

where

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
S_{1}=S\left(\chi_{\overline{1}}, \eta_{0}\right)=m\left(q^{n}-m\right), & S_{2}=\sum_{\substack{\bar{d} \mid \bar{e} \\
\bar{d} \neq \overline{1}}} \frac{\mu(\bar{d})}{\varphi(\bar{d})} \sum_{(\bar{d})} S\left(\chi_{\bar{d}}, \eta_{0}\right) \\
S_{3}=\sum_{\substack{h \mid g \\
h \neq 1}} \frac{\mu(h)}{\phi(h)} \sum_{(h)} S\left(\chi_{\overline{1}}, \eta_{h}\right), \text { and } \quad S_{4}=\sum_{\substack{\bar{d} \mid \bar{e} \\
\bar{d} \neq \overline{1}}} \sum_{\substack{h \mid g \\
h \neq 1}} \frac{\mu(\bar{d})}{\varphi(\bar{d})} \frac{\mu(h)}{\phi(h)} \sum_{(\bar{d})} \sum_{(h)} S\left(\chi_{\bar{d}}, \eta_{h}\right) .
\end{array}
$$

From the considerations above, and using that there are $\varphi(d)$ multiplicative characters of order $d$ and $\phi(h)$ additive characters of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-order $h$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{1}+S_{2}+S_{3}+S_{4} \geq & S_{1}-\left|S_{2}\right|-\left|S_{3}\right|-\left|S_{4}\right| \\
\geq & m\left(q^{n}-m\right)-m(m-1) q^{\frac{n}{2}}(W(\bar{e})-1) \\
& -m^{2}(W(g)-1)-m^{2} q^{\frac{n}{2}}(W(g)-1)(W(\bar{e})-1) \\
> & m q^{n}-m^{2} q^{\frac{n}{2}}(W(g) W(\bar{e})-1)+m q^{\frac{n}{2}}(W(\bar{e})-1)-m^{2} \\
\geq & m q^{n}-m^{2} q^{\frac{n}{2}}(W(g) W(\bar{e})-1)
\end{aligned}
$$

since $m^{2} \leq m q^{\frac{n}{2}}$. Thus, if $q^{\frac{n}{2}} \geq m W(g) W(\bar{e})$, then $N(\bar{e}, g)>0$. In particular, if $q^{\frac{n}{2}} \geq m W\left(x^{n}-1\right) W\left(q^{n}-1\right)^{m}$, then $N\left(\overline{q^{n}-1}, x^{n}-1\right)>0$.

The sieving technique from the next two results is similar to others which have appeared in previous works about primitive or normal elements.

Lemma 3.7. Let $q$ be a prime power, $n \geq 2$ be an integer, and $j \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$. Let $e$ be a divisor of $q^{n}-1$, and let $\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{r}\right\}$ be the set of all primes which divide $q^{n}-1$ but do not divide $e$. Also, let $g \in \mathbb{F}_{q}[x]$ be a divisor of $x^{n}-1$, and let $\left\{h_{1}, \ldots, h_{s}\right\} \subset \mathbb{F}_{q}[x]$ be the set of all monic irreducible polynomials which divide $x^{n}-1$ but do not divide $g$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
N_{j}\left(\overline{q^{n}-1}, x^{n}-1\right) \geq & \sum_{i=1}^{r} N_{j}\left(p_{i} e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{m}, g\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{r} N_{j}\left(e_{1}, p_{i} e_{2}, e_{3}, \ldots, e_{m}, g\right) \\
& +\cdots+\sum_{i=1}^{r} N_{j}\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{m-1}, p_{i} e_{m}, g\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{s} N_{j}\left(\bar{e}, h_{i} g\right) \\
& -(m r+s-1) N_{j}(\bar{e}, g) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. The left-hand side of (4) counts every $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}$ for which $\alpha+(i-1) \beta$ is primitive for every $i \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$ and $\alpha+(j-1) \beta$ is normal. Observe that if $\alpha$ is one of these elements, then $\alpha+(i-1) \beta$ is $e_{i}$-free, $p_{t} e_{i}$-free for all $i \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$
and all $t \in\{1, \ldots, r\}$, and $\alpha+(j-1) \beta$ is $g$-free and $h_{i} g$-free for all $i \in\{1, \ldots, s\}$, so $\alpha$ is counted $(m r+s)-(m r+s-1)=1$ times on the right-hand side of (4) . For any other $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}$, we have that either $\alpha+(i-1) \beta$ is not $p_{t} e_{i}$-free for some $i \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$ and some $t \in\{1, \ldots, r\}$, or $\alpha+(j-1) \beta$ is not $h_{i} g$-free for some $i \in\{1, \ldots, s\}$, so $\alpha$ will not be counted in at least one of the first $m+1$ sums of the right-hand side of (4).

Proposition 3.8. Let $q$ be a prime power, and let $n \geq 2$ be an integer. Let e be a divisor of $q^{n}-1$, and let $\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{r}\right\}$ be the set of all primes which divide $q^{n}-1$ but do not divide $e$. Also, let $g \in \mathbb{F}_{q}[x]$ be a divisor of $x^{n}-1$, and $\left\{h_{1}, \ldots, h_{s}\right\} \subset \mathbb{F}_{q}[x]$ be the set of all monic irreducible polynomials which divide $x^{n}-1$ but do not divide g. Suppose that $\delta=1-m \sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{1}{p_{i}}-\sum_{i=1}^{s} \frac{1}{q^{\operatorname{deg} h_{i}}}>0$ and let $\Delta=2+\frac{m r+s-1}{\delta}$. If $q^{\frac{n}{2}} \geq m \Delta W(g) W(e)^{m}$, then $N\left(\overline{q^{n}-1}, x^{n}-1\right)>0$.

Proof. We can rewrite Inequality (4) in the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
N_{j}\left(\overline{q^{n}-1}, x^{n}-1\right) \geq & \sum_{i=1}^{r}\left[N_{j}\left(p_{i} e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{m}, g\right)-\theta\left(p_{i}\right) N_{j}(\bar{e}, g)\right] \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{r}\left[N_{j}\left(e_{1}, p_{i} e_{2}, e_{3}, \ldots, e_{m}, g\right)-\theta\left(p_{i}\right) N_{j}(\bar{e}, g)\right] \\
& +\cdots+ \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{r}\left[N_{j}\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{m-1}, p_{i} e_{m}, g\right)-\theta\left(p_{i}\right) N_{j}(\bar{e}, g)\right] \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{s}\left[N_{j}\left(\bar{e}, h_{i} g\right)-\Theta\left(h_{i}\right) N_{j}(\bar{e}, g)\right]+\delta N_{j}(\bar{e}, g)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $e=e_{1}=\cdots=e_{m}, \theta(e)=\frac{\varphi(e)}{e}$, and $\Theta(g)=\frac{\phi(g)}{q^{\operatorname{deg}(g)}}$.

Let $i \in\{1, \ldots, r\}$. From the definitions of $w_{m}, \Omega_{g}$ and Definition 3.4, and taking into account that $\theta$ is a multiplicative function, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
N_{j}\left(p_{i} e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{m}, g\right)= & \Theta(g) \theta\left(p_{i}\right) \theta(\bar{e}) \sum_{\substack{d_{1}\left|p_{i} e_{1} \\
d_{t}\right| e_{t} \\
t \in\{2, \ldots, m\}}} \sum_{h \mid g} \frac{\mu(\bar{d})}{\varphi(\bar{d})} \frac{\mu(h)}{\phi(h)} \sum_{(\bar{d})} \sum_{(h)} S_{j}\left(\chi_{\bar{d}}, \eta_{h}\right) \\
= & \theta\left(p_{i}\right) N_{j}(\bar{e}, g) \\
& +\Theta(g) \theta\left(p_{i}\right) \theta(\bar{e}) \sum_{\substack{d_{1}\left|p_{i} e_{1} \\
p_{i}\right| l_{1} \\
d_{t} \mid e_{t} \\
t \in\{2, \ldots, m\}}} \sum_{h \mid g} \frac{\mu(\bar{d})}{\varphi(\bar{d})} \frac{\mu(h)}{\phi(h)} \sum_{(\bar{d})} \sum_{(h)} S_{j}\left(\chi_{\bar{d}}, \eta_{h}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
S_{j}\left(\chi_{\bar{d}}, \eta_{h}\right)=\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{n}} \backslash A} \chi_{d_{1}}(\alpha) \ldots \chi_{d_{m}}(\alpha+(m-1) \beta) \eta_{h}(\alpha+(j-1) \beta)
$$

Now, from Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3 we have $\left|S_{j}\left(\chi_{\bar{d}}, \eta_{h}\right)\right| \leq m q^{\frac{n}{2}}$. So

$$
\left|N_{j}\left(p_{i} e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{m}, g\right)-\theta\left(p_{i}\right) N_{j}(\bar{e}, g)\right| \leq \Theta(g) \theta\left(p_{i}\right) \theta(\bar{e}) m q^{\frac{n}{2}} W(g) W(\bar{e})
$$

In a similar way, for any $t \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$ we have

$$
\left|N_{j}\left(e_{1}, \ldots p_{i} e_{t}, \ldots, e_{m}, g\right)-\theta\left(p_{i}\right) N_{j}(\bar{e}, g)\right| \leq \Theta(g) \theta\left(p_{i}\right) \theta(\bar{e}) m q^{\frac{n}{2}} W(g) W(\bar{e})
$$

Let $i \in\{1, \ldots, s\}$. Once again, from the definitions of $w_{m}, \Omega_{g}$ and Definition 3.4, and taking into account that $\Theta$ is a multiplicative function, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
N_{j}\left(\bar{e}, h_{i} g\right)= & \Theta\left(h_{i}\right) \Theta(g) \theta(\bar{e}) \sum_{\bar{d} \mid \bar{e}} \sum_{h \mid h_{i} g} \frac{\mu(\bar{d})}{\varphi(\bar{d})} \frac{\mu(h)}{\phi(h)} \sum_{(\bar{d})} \sum_{(h)} S_{j}\left(\chi_{\bar{d}}, \eta_{h}\right) \\
= & \Theta\left(h_{i}\right) N_{j}\left(\bar{e}, h_{i} g\right) \\
& +\Theta\left(h_{i}\right) \Theta(g) \theta(\bar{e}) \sum_{\substack{\bar{d} \mid \bar{e}}} \sum_{\substack{h\left|h_{i} g \\
h_{i}\right| h}} \frac{\mu(\bar{d})}{\varphi(\bar{d})} \frac{\mu(h)}{\phi(h)} \sum_{(\bar{d})} \sum_{(h)} S_{j}\left(\chi_{\bar{d}}, \eta_{h}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, from Lemma 2.3 we have $\left|S_{j}\left(\chi_{\bar{d}}, \eta_{h}\right)\right| \leq m q^{\frac{n}{2}}$. So

$$
\left|N_{j}\left(\bar{e}, h_{i} g\right)-\Theta\left(h_{i}\right) N_{j}(\bar{e}, g)\right| \leq \Theta\left(h_{i}\right) \Theta(g) \theta(\bar{e}) m q^{\frac{n}{2}} W(g) W(\bar{e})
$$

Combining all previous inequalities we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
N_{j}\left(\overline{q^{n}-1}, x^{n}-1\right) \geq & \delta N_{j}(\bar{e}, g) \\
& -\Theta(g) \theta(\bar{e}) W(g) W(\bar{e}) m q^{\frac{n}{2}}\left(m \sum_{i=1}^{r} \theta\left(p_{i}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{s} \Theta\left(h_{i}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, following the ideas from the proof of Theorem 3.6, we have

$$
N_{j}(\bar{e}, g)>\Theta(g) \theta(\bar{e})\left(q^{n}-m q^{\frac{n}{2}} W(g) W(\bar{e})\right),
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
N_{j}\left(\overline{q^{n}-1}, x^{n}-1\right) & >\Theta(g) \theta(\bar{e}) q^{\frac{n}{2}}\left[\delta\left(q^{\frac{n}{2}}-m W(g) W(\bar{e})\right)\right. \\
& \left.-m W(g) W(\bar{e})\left(m \sum_{i=1}^{r} \theta\left(p_{i}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{s} \Theta\left(h_{i}\right)\right)\right] \\
& =\delta \Theta(g) \theta(\bar{e}) q^{\frac{n}{2}}\left(q^{\frac{n}{2}}-m \Delta W(g) W(\bar{e})\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituing $W(\bar{e})=W(e)^{m}$ and from Observation 3.5, we obtain the desired result.

The next result is restricted to the case $n=2$ and improves the bound given by Theorem 3.6

Proposition 3.9. For any prime power $q$, if $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}$ is primitive, then $\alpha$ is normal over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$.

Proof. Assume that $\alpha$ is not normal. Thus $\left\{\alpha, \alpha^{q}\right\}$ is linearly dependent over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$, which implies that $\frac{\alpha^{q}}{\alpha} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$. Therefore, $\alpha^{(q-1)^{2}}=\left(\alpha^{q-1}\right)^{q-1}=1$. Since $(q-1)^{2}<$ $q^{2}-1$, it follows that $\alpha$ is not primitive, a contradiction.

Observation 3.10. From Proposition 3.9 and [5, Theorem 3], it follows that if $q \geq(m-1) W\left(q^{2}-1\right)^{m}$, then $(q, 2) \in N_{m}$, and from [5, Theorem 5] if $q>(m-$ 1) $\left(\frac{m r-1}{\delta}+2\right) W(e)^{m}$, then $(q, 2) \in N_{m}$, where $e$ is a divisor of $q^{2}-1$ and $\delta=$ $1-m \sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{1}{p_{i}}>0$, where $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{r}($ for $r \geq 0)$ are the primes dividing $q^{2}-1$ but not $e$.

In fact, [5, Theorem 3] and [5, Theorem 5] deal with $\beta=1$, but if we look at the whole paper, we observe that they work for all $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}$.

## 4. Asymptotic results

To apply Theorem 3.6, in order to obtain asymptotic results, we need (among other results) an upper bound of $W(u)$. The following result was inspired by [15, Lemma 2.6].

Proposition 4.1. Let $r$ be a positive integer, $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{r}$ be the list of the first $r$ prime numbers, and $P_{r}=p_{1} \cdot \ldots \cdot p_{r}$ be its product. For every positive integer $u \geq P_{r}$ we have $W(u) \leq u^{t}$, where $t$ is a real number satisfying $t \geq \frac{r \log 2}{\log P_{r}}$.

Proof. Let $u \geq P_{r}$ be a positive integer. If $\omega(u) \leq r$, then

$$
W(u)=2^{\omega(u)} \leq 2^{r} \leq P_{r}^{t} \leq u^{t} .
$$

If $\omega(u)>r$, write $u=u_{1} u_{2}$, where the prime factors of $u_{1}$ are the first $r$ prime numbers which divide $u$, and $u_{2}$ is a positive integer such that $\operatorname{gcd}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)=1$. Then $W\left(u_{1}\right) \leq u_{1}^{t}$, since $u_{1} \geq P_{r}$ and $\omega\left(u_{1}\right)=r$. Observe also that for every prime factor $\tilde{p}$ of $u_{2}$ we have $2<\tilde{p}^{t}$, since $2^{r} \leq P_{r}^{t}<\tilde{p}^{r t}$. This implies that $W\left(u_{2}\right)=2^{\omega(u)-r} \leq u_{2}^{t}$. Thus $W(u)=W\left(u_{1}\right) W\left(u_{2}\right) \leq u_{1}^{t} u_{2}^{t}=u^{t}$.

Corollary 4.2. Let $u$ be a positive integer. If $u \geq 7.51 \cdot 10^{358}$, then $W(u) \leq u^{\frac{1}{8}}$. If $u \geq 1.39 \cdot 10^{1424}$, then $W(u) \leq u^{\frac{1}{10}}$. If $u \geq 3.31 \cdot 10^{2821}$, then $W(u) \leq u^{\frac{1}{11}}$.

Proof. If we consider $r=149$, we get $P_{149}<7.51 \cdot 10^{358}$ and $\frac{1}{8}>\frac{149 \log 2}{\log P_{149}}$. If we consider $r=473$, we get $P_{473}<1.39 \cdot 10^{1424}$ and $\frac{1}{10}>\frac{473 \log 2}{\log P_{473}}$. If we consider $r=852$, we get $P_{852}<3.31 \cdot 10^{2821}$ and $\frac{1}{11}>\frac{852 \log 2}{\log P_{852}}$. The result follows directly from Proposition 4.1.

From Corollary 4.2 we get the first asymptotic results for $m=2$ and for $m=3$. Before let us recall some results.

Lemma 4.3. Let $q$ be a prime power, and let $n$ be a positive integer. The number of monic irreducible factors of $x^{n}-1$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ is at most $\frac{n}{a}+b$, where the pair $(a, b)$ can be chosen among the following pairs:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (1,0), \quad\left(2, \frac{q-1}{2}\right), \quad\left(3, \frac{q^{2}+3 q-4}{6}\right) \\
& \left(4, \frac{q^{3}+3 q^{2}+5 q-9}{12}\right), \quad\left(5, \frac{3 q^{4}+8 q^{3}+15 q^{2}+22 q-48}{60}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Let $s_{n, t}$ be the number of distinct monic irreducible polynomials of degree at most $t$ that divide $x^{n}-1$, and let $T_{n, t}$ be the sum of their degrees. From [1, Lemma 3.7], we have $W\left(x^{n}-1\right)=2^{j}$, where

$$
j \leq \frac{n+(t+1) s_{n, t}-T_{n, t}}{t+1}
$$

and the right-hand side of the expression above maximizes when $s_{n, t}$ is maximal. Since the number of monic polynomials of degree $i$ which divide $x^{n}-1$ is at most the numbers of elements of $\mathbb{F}_{q^{i}}$, which are not in $\mathbb{F}_{q^{j}}$, for every divisor $j$ of $i$, divided by $i$, we have that for $t=0$ we may choose $a=1$ and $b=0$, for $t=1$ the maximum value of $s_{n, t}$ is $q-1$ and in this case $T_{n, t}=q-1$ (so $a=2$ and $b=\frac{q-1}{2}$ ), for $t=2$ the maximum value of $s_{n, t}$ is $q-1+\frac{q^{2}-q}{2}=\frac{q^{2}+q-2}{2}$ and in this case $T_{n, t}=q-1+q^{2}-q=q^{2}-1$ (so $a=3$ and $b=\frac{q^{2}+3 q-4}{6}$ ), for $t=3$ the maximum value of $s_{n, t}$ is $q-1+\frac{q^{2}-q}{2}+\frac{q^{3}-q}{3}=\frac{2 q^{3}+3 q^{2}+q-6}{6}$ and in this case $T_{n, t}=q-1+$ $q^{2}-q+q^{3}-q=q^{3}+q^{2}-q-1$ (so $a=4$ and $b=\frac{q^{3}+3 q^{2}+5 q-9}{12}$ ), and for $t=4$ the maximum value of $s_{n, t}$ is $q-1+\frac{q^{2}-q}{2}+\frac{q^{3}-q}{3}+\frac{q^{4}-q^{2}}{4}=\frac{3 q^{4}+4 q^{3}+3 q^{2}+2 q-12}{12}$ and in this case $T_{n, t}=q-1+q^{2}-q+q^{3}-q+q^{4}-q^{2}=q^{4}+q^{3}-q-1$ (so $a=5$ and $\left.b=\frac{3 q^{4}+8 q^{3}+15 q^{2}+22 q-48}{60}\right)$.

From the previous results, we obtain weaker versions of Theorem 1.1(i),(ii).
Proposition 4.4. Let $q$ be a prime power, and $n \geq 2$ be an integer. If $q^{n} \geq$ $7.51 \cdot 10^{358}$, then $(q, n) \in N_{2}$.

Proof. From the previous lemma, there exist non-negative integers $a, b$ depending on $q$ such that $W\left(x^{n}-1\right) \leq 2^{\frac{n}{a}+b}$. From Corollary 4.2, we have $2 W\left(x^{n}-1\right) W\left(q^{n}-1\right)^{2} \leq$ $2 \cdot 2^{\frac{n}{a}+b} \cdot q^{\frac{n}{4}}$. This proposition follows provided $q^{\frac{n}{2}} \geq 2 \cdot 2^{\frac{n}{a}+b} \cdot q^{\frac{n}{4}}$, which is equivalent to $\left(\frac{q}{2^{\frac{4}{a}}}\right)^{n} \geq 2^{4 b+4}$. Let $c$ be a positive integer, and suppose that $q \geq c=2^{\log _{2} c}$. If $1-\frac{4}{a} \log _{c} 2>0$, we get that

$$
\frac{q}{2^{\frac{4}{a}}} \geq q^{1-\frac{4}{a} \log _{c} 2} .
$$

So, let us verify if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(q^{n}\right)^{1-\frac{4}{a} \log _{c} 2} \geq 2^{4 b+4} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds, where $1-\frac{4}{a} \log _{c} 2>0$. If $c=17, a=1$ and $b=0$, Inequality (5) holds, since $q^{n} \geq 7.51 \cdot 10^{358}$. For $5 \leq q \leq 16$ Inequality (5) holds if we choose $c=q$, $a=2$ and $b=\frac{q-1}{2}$, according to Lemma 4.3. Similarly, Inequality (5) holds for $(q, a, b, c) \in\left\{\left(2,5, \frac{14}{5}, 2\right)\right\} \cup\left\{\left.\left(q, 3, \frac{q^{2}+3 q-4}{6}, q\right) \right\rvert\, q \in\{3,4\}\right\}$. We conclude the proof from Theorem 3.6.

Proposition 4.5. Let $q$ be an odd prime power and $n \geq 2$ be an integer. If $q^{n} \geq$ $1.39 \cdot 10^{1424}$, then $(q, n) \in N_{3}$.

Proof. From Lemma 4.3, there exist non-negative integers $a, b$ depending on $q$ such that $W\left(x^{n}-1\right) \leq 2^{\frac{n}{a}+b}$. From Corollary 4.2, we have $3 W\left(x^{n}-1\right) W\left(q^{n}-1\right)^{3} \leq$ $3 \cdot 2^{\frac{n}{a}+b} \cdot q^{\frac{3 n}{10}}$. This proposition follows provided $q^{\frac{n}{2}} \geq 3 \cdot 2^{\frac{n}{a}+b} \cdot q^{\frac{3 n}{10}}$, which is equivalent to $\left(\frac{q}{2^{\frac{5}{a}}}\right)^{n} \geq 3^{5} \cdot 2^{5 b}$. Let $c$ be a positive integer, and suppose that $q \geq c=2^{\log _{2} c}$. If $1-\frac{5}{a} \log _{c} 2>0$, we get that

$$
\frac{q}{2^{\frac{5}{a}}} \geq q^{1-\frac{5}{a} \log _{c} 2}
$$

So, let us verify if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(q^{n}\right)^{1-\frac{5}{a} \log _{c} 2} \geq 3^{5} \cdot 2^{5 b} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds, where $1-\frac{5}{a} \log _{c} 2>0$. If $c=37, a=1$ and $b=0$, Inequality (6) holds, since $q^{n} \geq 1.39 \cdot 10^{1424}$. Let $c=7$, and suppose that $q \leq 31$. As $q^{n} \geq 1.39 \cdot 10^{1424}$, we have $n \geq \frac{\log \left(1.39 \cdot 10^{1424}\right)}{\log 31}>954$. So, $\operatorname{gcd}(n, q-1) \leq q-1 \leq \frac{30}{954} n<\frac{1}{30} n$, and from [15, Equation (2.10)] we get $W\left(x^{n}-1\right) \leq 2^{\frac{31 n}{60}}$, which means that we may choose $a=\frac{60}{31}$ and $b=0$. In this case Inequality (6) also holds. For $q=5$, from Lemma 4.3 we may choose $c=5, a=3$ and $b=6$, and Inequality (6) holds. Finally, for $q=3$ from Lemma 4.3 we may choose $c=3, a=4$ and $b=5$, and Inequality (6) holds. We conclude the proof from Theorem 3.6.

We may also use Proposition 4.1 in order to get asymptotic result for $m=4$, which proves Theorem 1.1(iii).

Proposition 4.6. Let $q$ be an odd prime power, and $n \geq 2$ be an integer. If $q^{n} \geq 3.31 \cdot 10^{2821}$, then $(q, n) \in N_{4}$.

Proof. From Lemma 4.3, there exist non-negative integers $a, b$ depending on $q$, such that $W\left(x^{n}-1\right) \leq 2^{\frac{n}{a}+b}$. From Proposition 4.1, we have $4 W\left(x^{n}-1\right) W\left(q^{n}-1\right)^{4} \leq$ $4 \cdot 2^{\frac{n}{a}+b} \cdot q^{\frac{4 n}{11}}$. This proposition follows provided $q^{\frac{n}{2}} \geq 4 \cdot 2^{\frac{n}{a}+b} \cdot q^{\frac{4 n}{11}}$, which is equivalent to $\left(\frac{q}{2 \frac{22}{3 a}}\right)^{n} \geq 2^{\frac{22(b+2)}{3}}$. Let $c$ be a positive integer, and suppose that $q \geq c=2^{\log _{2} c}$. If $1-\frac{22}{3 a} \log _{c} 2>0$, we get that

$$
\frac{q}{2^{\frac{22}{3 a}} \geq q^{1-\frac{22}{3 a} \log _{c} 2} . . . . ~}
$$

So, let us verify if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(q^{n}\right)^{1-\frac{22}{3 a} \log _{c} 2} \geq 2^{\frac{22(b+2)}{3}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds, where $1-\frac{22}{3 a} \log _{c} 2>0$. If $c=163, a=1$ and $b=0$, Inequality (7) holds, since $q^{n} \geq 3.31 \cdot 10^{2821}$. Let $c=19$ and suppose that $q \leq 157$. As $q^{n} \geq 3.31 \cdot 10^{2821}$,
we have $n \geq \frac{3.31 \cdot 10^{2821}}{\log 157}>1284$. So, $\operatorname{gcd}(n, q-1) \leq q-1 \leq \frac{156}{1285} n<\frac{1}{8} n$, and from [15, Equation $(2.10)$ ] we get $W\left(x^{n}-1\right) \leq 2^{\frac{9 n}{16}}$, which means that we may choose $a=\frac{16}{9}$ and $b=0$. In this case, Inequality (7) also holds. For $q=17$, consider $c=17$ and from Lemma 4.3, we may choose $a=2$ and $b=8$. In this case, Inequality (7) holds. From Lemma 4.3, we may choose $a=3$ and $b=\frac{q^{2}+3 q-4}{6}$. For $c=q$ and $q \in\{7,9,11,13\}$, Inequality (7) holds. For $q=5$, again from Lemma 4.3 we may choose $c=5, a=4$ and $b=18$, and Inequality (7) holds. Finally, for $q=3$, from Lemma 4.3, we may choose $c=3, a=5$ and $b=\frac{51}{5}$, and Inequality (7) holds. We conclude the proof from Theorem 3.6.

For $m>4$ we have the following result, which proves Theorem 1.1 (iv).
Proposition 4.7. Let $q$ be an odd prime power, and $n, m$ be positive integers with $m \geq 5$. There exist positive constants $c(m)$ and $q_{0}(m)$ depending on $m$ such that if $q \geq c(m)$ and $q^{n} \geq q_{0}(m)$, then $(q, n) \in N_{m}$.

Proof. From Proposition 4.1, there exists a contant $q_{0}(m)$ such that for every $u \geq$ $q_{0}(m)$ we have $W(u) \leq u^{\frac{1}{2(m+1)}}$, and in this case we have $m W\left(x^{n}-1\right) W\left(q^{n}-1\right)^{m} \leq$ $m \cdot 2^{n} \cdot q^{\frac{m n}{2(m+1)}}$. Since $q^{\frac{n}{2}} \geq m \cdot 2^{n} \cdot q^{\frac{m n}{2(m+1)}}$ is equivalent to $\left(\frac{q}{2^{2(m+1)}}\right)^{n} \geq m^{2(m+1)}$, from Theorem [3.6, if the last inequality holds, then $(q, n) \in N_{m}$. We will prove that if $q \geq c(m)=2^{4(m+1)}$, then $\left(\frac{q}{2^{2(m+1)}}\right)^{n} \geq m^{2(m+1)}$.

From Proposition 4.1, we may choose $q_{0}(m)=P_{r}$, where $r$ is a positive integer such that $\frac{1}{2(m+1)} \geq \frac{r \log 2}{\log P_{r}}$, thus $P_{r} \geq 2^{2 r(m+1)}$. This implies that the $r$-th prime $p_{r}$ satisfies $p_{r} \geq 2^{2(m+1)}>m^{2}$. Let $\pi(x)$ be the number of prime numbers up to $x$. From [2, Theorem 4.6], we have $\pi\left(2^{r}\right)>\frac{2^{r}}{6 \log 2^{r}}>r$ since $r>8$ (in fact, from Proposition 4.6, for $m \geq 5$ we have $r \geq 852$ ). In particular $2^{r}>p_{r}>m^{2}$. Putting all this together, for $q \geq c(m)$, we get that

$$
\left(\frac{q}{2^{2(m+1)}}\right)^{n} \geq q^{\frac{n}{2}} \geq P_{r}^{\frac{1}{2}} \geq 2^{r(m+1)}>m^{2(m+1)}
$$

## 5. The case $m=3$

From now on we concentrate on the case $m=3$. We will use the sieving technique in order to decrease the bound of Proposition 4.5. Before, we need some notations. For $k, r \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\omega(k)$ be the number of primes dividing $k, P(k, r)$ be the product of
the first $r$ primes greater than or equal to $k$, and $S(k, r)$ be the sum of the inverses of these primes.

Proposition 5.1. Let $q$ be an odd prime power, and $n \geq 2$ be an integer. If $q^{n} \geq 3.422 \cdot 10^{40}$ or if $q \geq 37$ and $q^{n} \geq 7.391 \cdot 10^{38}$, then $(q, n) \in N_{3}$.

Proof. We will use the notation of Proposition 3.8. Suppose that $q^{n}<1.39 \cdot 10^{1424}$, since for $q^{n} \geq 1.39 \cdot 10^{1424}$ we already have that $(q, n) \in N_{3}$. Let $e$ be the product of the primes less than 353 which divide $q^{n}-1$, and let $r$ be the number of primes greater or equal to 353 which divide $q^{n}-1$. Since $P(353, r)<q^{n}-1<1.39 \cdot 10^{1424}$, then $r \leq 442$. If $u=\omega(e)$, then $u \leq 70$, since there are 70 primes less than 353 . Choose $r(u)=\max \left\{r \mid P_{u} \cdot P(353, r) \leq 1.39 \cdot 10^{1424}\right\}$, where $P_{u}$ is the product of the first $u$ prime numbers. Let also $\delta(353, r(u))=1-3 S(353, r(u))$ and $\Delta(353, r(u))=$ $2+\frac{3 r(u)-1}{\delta(353, r(u))}$. Let $\delta$ and $\Delta$ be as in the Proposition 3.8 with $g=x^{n}-1$. Observe that $r \leq r(u) \leq r(0)=442$ and $\delta \geq \delta(353, r(u)) \geq \delta(353, r(0))>0$, so we may apply Proposition 3.8.

As in Proposition 4.5, let $c$ be a positive integer, and suppose that $q \geq c$. There exist non-negative integers $a, b$ depending on $q$ such that $W\left(x^{n}-1\right) \leq 2^{\frac{n}{a}+b}$. So, we have $3 \Delta W\left(x^{n}-1\right) W(e)^{3} \leq 3 \cdot \Delta(353, r(u)) \cdot 2^{\frac{n}{a}+b} \cdot 2^{3 u}$. In order to apply Proposition 3.8 we need to find a lower bound of $q^{n}$. In that sense, we will study the inequality $q^{\frac{n}{2}} \geq 3 \cdot \Delta(353, r(u)) \cdot 2^{\frac{n}{a}+b+3 u}$.

As $q \geq c=2^{\log _{2} c}$, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
3 \cdot \Delta(353, r(u)) \cdot 2^{3 u+b} \cdot q^{\frac{n}{a} \log _{c} 2} \leq q^{\frac{n}{2}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $q^{\frac{n}{2}} \geq 3 \Delta W\left(x^{n}-1\right) W(e)^{3}$. If $a>\log _{c} 4$, then Inequality (8) is equivalent to

$$
q^{n} \geq\left(3 \cdot \Delta(353, r(u)) \cdot 2^{3 u+b}\right)^{\frac{2 a}{a-\log _{c} 4}}
$$

From Lemma 4.3, we may choose $(a, b, c) \in A$, where

$$
A=\{(1,0,37),(3,6,5),(4,5,3)\} \cup\left\{\left.\left(2, \frac{q-1}{2}, q\right) \right\rvert\, 7 \leq q \leq 31\right\}
$$

We get

$$
\max \left\{\left.\left(3 \cdot \Delta(353, r(u)) \cdot 2^{3 u+b}\right)^{\frac{2 a}{a-\log _{c} 4}} \right\rvert\,(a, b, c) \in A \text { and } 0 \leq u \leq 70\right\}<2.129 \cdot 10^{221}
$$

and from Proposition 3.8 we conclude that if $q^{n} \geq 2.129 \cdot 10^{221}$, then $(q, n) \in N_{3}$.
Suppose now that $q^{n}<2.129 \cdot 10^{221}$, and repeat the process with $e$ being the product of the primes less than 101 which divide $q^{n}-1$, and with $r$ being the
number of primes greater than or equal to 101 which divide $q^{n}-1$. In this case, for $u=\omega(e)$ we have $u \leq 25$. When $q \leq 31$, we observe that the characteristic of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ is less than 101 and does not divide $q^{n}-1$, hence we may suppose $u \leq 24$. We get

$$
\max \left\{\left.\left(3 \cdot \Delta(101, r(u)) \cdot 2^{3 u+b}\right)^{\frac{2 a}{a-\log _{c} 4}} \right\rvert\,(a, b, c) \in A \text { and } 0 \leq u \leq 25\right\}<7.525 \cdot 10^{85}
$$

and from Proposition 3.8 we get that if $q^{n} \geq 7.525 \cdot 10^{85}$, then $(q, n) \in N_{3}$.
Repeat the process with $e$ being the product of the primes less than 53 which divide $q^{n}-1$ and with $r$ being the number of primes greater than or equal to 53 which divide $q^{n}-1$. We get

$$
\max \left\{\left.\left(3 \cdot \Delta(53, r(u)) \cdot 2^{3 u+b}\right)^{\frac{2 a}{a-\log _{c} 4}} \right\rvert\,(a, b, c) \in A \text { and } 0 \leq u \leq 15\right\}<7.871 \cdot 10^{54}
$$

where $0 \leq u \leq 14$ if $c<37$.
Repeat this process several times. At each step we choose a prime $\tilde{p}$ such that $e$ is the product of the primes less than $\tilde{p}$ which divide $q^{n}-1$, and $r$ is the number of primes greater or equal to $\tilde{p}$ which divide $q^{n}-1$. But in all those cases the maximum value of $\left(3 \cdot \Delta(\tilde{p}, r(u)) \cdot 2^{3 u+b}\right)^{\frac{2 a}{a-\log _{c} 4}}$ is calculated for $(a, b, c) \in \tilde{A}$, where $\tilde{A}=\{(1,0,37),(1,0,31),(3,6,5),(4,5,3)\} \cup\left\{\left.\left(2, \frac{q-1}{2}, q\right) \right\rvert\, 7 \leq q \leq 29\right\}$. Table 1 summarize the process for all odd prime powers.

| $q^{n}<M$ | $\tilde{p}$ | Maximum value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $M=7.871 \cdot 10^{54}$ | 41 | $1.368 \cdot 10^{45}$ |
| $M=1.368 \cdot 10^{45}$ | 37 | $7.379 \cdot 10^{41}$ |
| $M=7.379 \cdot 10^{41}$ | 31 | $3.422 \cdot 10^{40}$ |

TABLE 1. Sieving process for all odd prime power $q$.

Table 2 shows the sieving process for $q \geq 37$.

| $q^{n}<M$ | $\tilde{p}$ | Maximum value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $M=3.422 \cdot 10^{40}$ | 37 | $1.71 \cdot 10^{39}$ |
| $M=1.71 \cdot 10^{39}$ | 31 | $7.391 \cdot 10^{38}$ |

TABLE 2. Sieving process for $q \geq 37$.
5.1. Proof of Theorem 1.1(ii). Observe that from Proposition 5.1, if $n \geq 85$, then $(q, n) \in N_{3}$ for any odd prime power $q$, since $q^{n} \geq 3^{85}>3.592 \cdot 10^{40}>3.422 \cdot 10^{40}$. In this way, from Proposition 5.1, we test Proposition 3.8 for every factorization of $q^{n}-1$ and every factorization of $x^{n}-1$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$, for all integer number $n$ between 7 and 84 , and every odd prime power $q$ between 3 and

$$
M_{n}=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\left\lceil\sqrt[n]{3.422 \cdot 10^{40}}\right\rceil & \text { if } \sqrt[n]{3.422 \cdot 10^{40}}<31 \\
\left\lceil\sqrt[n]{\left.7.391 \cdot 10^{38}\right\rceil}\right\rceil & \text { if } \sqrt[n]{7.391 \cdot 10^{38}}>31 \\
31 & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\lceil x\rceil$ denotes the ceil of $x \in \mathbb{R}$.
We get that the condition $q^{\frac{n}{2}} \geq 3 \Delta W(g) W(e)^{3}$ holds for some values of $g$ and $e$, for every pair $(q, n)$ with $n \geq 7$ except for those displayed in Table 3,

| $n$ | $q$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 7 | 3,7 |
| 8 | $3,5,7,9,11,13$ |
| 9 | 3,7 |


| $n$ | $q$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 10 | $3,5,11$ |
| 12 | $3,5,7,13$ |
| 16 | 3 |

Table 3. Possible exceptions for Theorem 1.1(ii).

In order to conclude Theorem 1.1(ii), we deal with each value of $n \in\{2,3,4,5,6\}$ separately. We will need the following result.

Lemma 5.2. [13, Lemma 4.1] Let $M$ be a positive integer, and $t$ be a positive real number. Then $W(M) \leq A_{t} \cdot M^{\frac{1}{t}}$, where

$$
A_{t}=\prod_{\substack{\wp<2, t, p l M \\ \wp \\ \text { is } p r i m e}} \frac{2}{\sqrt[t]{\boxed{\gamma}}} .
$$

Let us start with the case $n=6$.

Lemma 5.3. Let $q$ be an odd prime power and $n=6$. Then $(q, 6) \in N_{3}$ except possibly for $q \in\{3,5,7,9,11,13,17,19,23,25,29,31,37,43,61\}$.

Proof. From Proposition 5.1 we get that $(q, 6) \in N_{3}$ for $q \geq 3006888$. Suppose now that $q$ is an odd prime power such that $q<M=3006888$. We will use Proposition 3.8 with $g=1$, and either $e=\left(q^{2}-1\right)$ if $7 \nmid q^{6}-1$ or $e=7\left(q^{2}-1\right)$ if $7 \mid q^{6}-1$. Let $\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{r}\right\}$ be the set of primes from Proposition 3.8, For $i \in\{1, \ldots, r\}$, we have
$p_{i} \mid q^{6}-1$ and $p_{i} \nmid q^{2}-1$, so $3 \mid \varphi\left(p_{i}\right)=p_{i}-1$ and $p_{i} \neq 2$ since $2 \mid q^{2}-1$. This means that the set $\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{r}\right\}$ comprises primes of the form $6 j+1$. Let $S_{r}$ and $P_{r}$ be respectively the sum of the inverses and the product of the first $r$ primes of the form $6 j+1$, counting from 13. Since $\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{r}\right\}$ is a set of primes which divide $q^{4}+q^{2}+1$ and $7 \notin\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{r}\right\}$, then $P_{r} \leq \prod_{i=1}^{r} p_{i} \leq q^{4}+q^{2}+1<8.175 \cdot 10^{25}$, therefore $r \leq 14$ and $S_{r}<0.3141$. If we suppose $q>10^{4}$, then

$$
\delta=1-3 \sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{1}{p_{i}}-\sum_{i=1}^{s} \frac{1}{q^{\operatorname{deg} h_{i}}} \geq 1-3 \cdot S_{r}-\frac{6}{q}>0.0571
$$

and $\Delta=2+\frac{3 r+s-1}{\delta}<825.118$. Observe that if $q \geq\left(3 \cdot 825.118 \cdot 2^{3} \cdot A_{t}^{3}\right)^{\frac{t}{3 t-6}}$ for some real number $t>2$, then $q^{3} \geq 3 \cdot 825.118 \cdot\left(2 \cdot A_{t} \cdot q^{\frac{2}{t}}\right)^{3}>3 \Delta W(1) W(e)^{3}$, since, from Lemma 5.2, $W(e) \leq 2 W\left(q^{2}-1\right)<2 \cdot A_{t} \cdot q^{\frac{2}{t}}$. Thus, from Proposition 3.8, if $q \geq\left(3 \cdot 825.118 \cdot 2^{3} \cdot A_{t}^{3}\right)^{\frac{t}{3 t-6}}$ for some real number $t>2$, we have $(q, 6) \in N_{3}$. For $t=4.53$ the condition above becomes $q \geq 13051$.

For smaller values, we can verify directly the condition $q^{3} \geq 3 \Delta W(g) W(e)^{3}$. In fact, it holds for some values of $g$ and $e$, for every pair $(q, 6)$, where $q$ is an odd prime power between 3 and 13050, except for $q \in\{3,5,7,9,11,13,17,19,23,25$, $29,31,37,43,61\}$.

For $n=5$, we use a similar argument than case $n=6$.
Lemma 5.4. Let $q$ be an odd prime power and $n=5$. Then $(q, 5) \in N_{3}$ except possibly for $q \in\{3,5,7,9,11,13,19,31\}$.

Proof. From Proposition 5.1] we get that $(q, 5) \in N_{3}$ for $q \geq 59393736$. Suppose now that $q$ is an odd prime power such that $q<M=59393736$. We will use Proposition 3.8 with $g=1$ and $e=q-1$. Let $\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{r}\right\}$ be the set of primes from Proposition 3.8. For $i \in\{1, \ldots, r\}$, we have $p_{i} \mid q^{5}-1$ and $p_{i} \nmid q-1$, so $5 \mid \varphi\left(p_{i}\right)=p_{i}-1$ and $p_{i} \neq 2$ since $2 \mid q-1$. This means that the set $\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{r}\right\}$ comprises primes of the form $10 j+1$. Let $S_{r}$ and $P_{r}$ be respectively the sum of the inverses and the product of the first $r$ primes of the form $10 j+1$. Since $\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{r}\right\}$ is a set of primes which divide $q^{4}+q^{3}+q^{2}+q+1$, then $P_{r} \leq \prod_{i=1}^{r} p_{i} \leq q^{4}+q^{3}+q^{2}+q+1<1.2445 \cdot 10^{31}$, therefore $r \leq 15$ and $S_{r}<0.2331$. If we suppose $q>435$, then

$$
\delta=1-3 \sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{1}{p_{i}}-\sum_{i=1}^{s} \frac{1}{q^{\operatorname{deg} h_{i}}} \geq 1-3 \cdot S_{r}-\frac{5}{q}>0.2892
$$

and $\Delta=2+\frac{3 r+s-1}{\delta}<171.433$. Observe that if $q \geq\left(3 \cdot 171.433 \cdot A_{t}^{3}\right)^{\frac{2 t}{5 t-6}}$ for some real number $t>2$, then $q^{\frac{5}{2}} \geq 3 \cdot 171.433 \cdot\left(A_{t} \cdot q^{\frac{1}{t}}\right)^{3}>3 \Delta W(1) W(e)^{3}$, since, from Lemma 5.2, $W(e) \leq W(q-1)<A_{t} \cdot q^{\frac{1}{t}}$. Thus, from Proposition 3.8, if $q \geq\left(3 \cdot 171.433 \cdot A_{t}^{3}\right)^{\frac{2 t}{t-6}}$ for some real number $t>2$, we have $(q, 5) \in N_{3}$. ofr $t=3.4$ the condition above becomes $q \geq 439$.

For smaller values, we can verify directly the condition $q^{\frac{5}{2}} \geq 3 \Delta W(g) W(e)^{3}$. In fact, it holds for some values of $g$ and $e$, for every pair $(q, 5)$, where $q$ is an odd prime power between 3 and 439, except for $q \in\{3,5,7,9,11,13,19,31\}$.

Now, for $n=4$ we obtain the following result.
Lemma 5.5. Let $q$ be an odd prime power and $n=4$. Then $(q, 4) \in N_{3}$ except possibly for $q \in\{3,5,7,9,11,13,17,19,23,25,27,29,31,37,41,43,47,49,53$, $59,61,67,71,73,79,83,89,97,101,103,109,113,127,131,137,139,149,151$, 157, 167, 169, 173, 181, 191, 197, 211, 229, 239, 281, 307, 419, 421, 463, 659, 727\}.

Proof. From Proposition 5.1 we get that $(q, 4) \in N_{3}$ for $q \geq 5214057313$. Suppose now that $q$ is an odd prime power such that $q<M=5214057313$. We will use Proposition 3.8 with $g=1$ and either $e=\left(q^{2}-1\right)$ if $5 \nmid q^{4}-1$ or $e=5\left(q^{2}-1\right)$ if $5 \mid q^{4}-1$. Let $\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{r}\right\}$ be the set of primes from Proposition 3.8. As we have seen before, this means that the set $\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{r}\right\}$ comprises primes greater than 5 of the form $4 j+1$, since $p_{i} \mid q^{4}-1$ and $p_{i} \nmid q^{2}-1$ for all $i \in\{1, \ldots, r\}$. Let $S_{r}$ and $P_{r}$ be respectively the sum of the inverses and the product of the first $r$ primes of the form $4 j+1$, counting from 13 . Since $\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{r}\right\}$ is a set of primes which divide $q^{2}+1$ and $5 \notin\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{r}\right\}$, then $P_{r} \leq \prod_{i=1}^{r} p_{i} \leq \frac{q^{2}+1}{2}<1.36 \cdot 10^{19}$, therefore $r \leq 11$. If we suppose $q>10^{8}$, then

$$
\delta=1-3 \sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{1}{p_{i}}-\sum_{i=1}^{s} \frac{1}{q^{\operatorname{deg} h_{i}}} \geq 1-3 \cdot S_{r}-\frac{4}{q}>0.0938
$$

and $\Delta=2+\frac{3 r+s-1}{\delta}<385.796$. Observing that if $q \geq\left(3 \cdot 385.796 \cdot 2^{3} \cdot A_{t}^{3}\right)^{\frac{t}{2 t-6}}$ for some real number $t>3$, then $q^{2} \geq 3 \cdot 385.796 \cdot\left(2 \cdot A_{t} \cdot q^{\frac{2}{t}}\right)^{3}>3 \Delta W(1) W(e)^{3}$, since, from Lemma [5.2, $W(e) \leq 2 W\left(q^{2}-1\right)<2 \cdot A_{t} \cdot q^{\frac{2}{t}}$. Notice that $8 \mid q^{2}-1$, so we may replace $A_{t}$ from Lemma 5.2 by

$$
\tilde{A}_{t}=\frac{2}{\sqrt[t]{8}} \prod_{\substack{\wp<2^{t} \\ \wp \text { is odd } \\ \text { prime }}} \frac{2}{\sqrt[t]{8}}
$$

Thus, from Proposition 3.8, if $q \geq\left(3 \cdot 385.796 \cdot 2^{3} \cdot \tilde{A}_{t}^{3}\right)^{\frac{t}{2 t-6}}$ for some real number $t>3$, we have $(q, 4) \in N_{3}$. For $t=5.5$ the condition above becomes $q \geq 1.74 \cdot 10^{8}$.

We will use again Proposition 3.8 with the ideas from Proposition 5.1. Let $e$ be the product of the primes less than 29 which divide $q^{4}-1$, and let $r$ be the number of primes greater or equal to 29 which divide $q^{4}-1$. Since $16 \mid q^{4}-1$ we must choose $r$ such that $P(29, r)<\frac{q^{4}-1}{16}<5.729 \cdot 10^{31}$. Therefore $r \leq 17$. If $u=\omega(e)$, then $u \leq 9$, since there are 9 primes less than 29. Recall that $P_{u}$ is the product of the first $u$ prime numbers. Choose $r(u)=\max \left\{r \mid 8 \cdot P_{u} \cdot P(29, r) \leq 5.729 \cdot 10^{31}\right\}$, since $8 \cdot P_{u} \cdot P(29, r) \leq q^{4}-1$. Let $\delta$ and $\Delta$ be as in the Proposition 3.8 with $g=1$, and suppose that $q \geq 10^{5}$. Let also $\delta(29, r(u))=1-3 S(29, r(u))-\frac{4}{10^{5}}$ and $\Delta(29, r(u))=2+\frac{3 r(u)+4-1}{\delta(29, r(u))}$. Observe that $3 \leq s \leq 4, r \leq r(u) \leq r(0)$ and $\delta \geq \delta(29, r(u)) \geq \delta(29, r(0))>0$, so we may apply Proposition 3.8.

So, we have $3 \Delta W(g) W(e)^{3} \leq 3 \cdot \Delta(29, r(u)) \cdot 2^{3 u}$. From Proposition 3.8, if $q^{2} \geq$ $3 \cdot \Delta(29, r(u)) \cdot 2^{3 u}$, then $(q, 4) \in N_{3}$. We get

$$
\max \left\{\left.\left(3 \cdot \Delta(29, r(u)) \cdot 2^{3 u}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\rvert\, 0 \leq u \leq 9\right\}<300350
$$

and we conclude that if $q \geq 300350$, then $(q, 4) \in N_{3}$.
For smaller values, we can verify directly the condition $q^{2} \geq 3 \Delta W(g) W(e)^{3}$. In fact, it holds for some values of $g$ and $e$, for every pair $(q, 4)$, where $q$ is an odd prime power between 3 and 300350, except for the values of $q$ in the statement of this result.

For $n=3$, we get a similar result.
Lemma 5.6. Let $q$ be an odd prime power and $n=3$. Then $(q, 3) \in N_{3}$ except possibly for $q \in\{3,5,7,9,11,13,17,19,23,25,27,29,31,37,41,43,47,49,53$, $61,67,71,73,79,81,97,103,107,109,121,127,131,139,151,157,163,169,181$, 191, 193, 211, 241, 277, 289, 331, 361, 373, 421, 463, 529, 541, 571, 631, 661, 691, 751, 841, 919, 961, 991, 1171, 1381, 4951\}.

Proof. From Proposition 5.1, we get that $(q, 3) \in N_{3}$ for $q \geq 9.042 \cdot 10^{12}$. Suppose now that $q$ is an odd prime power such that $q<M=9.042 \cdot 10^{12}$. We will use Proposition 3.8 with $g=1$, and either $e=q-1$ if $7 \nmid q^{3}-1$ or $e=7(q-1)$ if $7 \mid q^{3}-1$. Let $\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{r}\right\}$ be the set of primes from Proposition 3.8. As we have seen before, this means that the set $\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{r}\right\}$ comprises primes greater than 7 of
the form $6 j+1$, since $p_{i} \mid q^{3}-1$ and $p_{i} \nmid q-1$ for all $i \in\{1, \ldots, r\}$. Let $S_{r}$ and $P_{r}$ be respectively the sum of the inverses and the product of the first $r$ primes of the form $6 j+1$, counting from 13 . Since $\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{r}\right\}$ is a set of primes which divide $q^{2}+q+1$ and $7 \notin\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{r}\right\}$, then $P_{r} \leq \prod_{i=1}^{r} p_{i} \leq q^{2}+q+1<8.1758 \cdot 10^{25}$, therefore $r \leq 14$. If we suppose $q>10^{6}$, then

$$
\delta=1-3 \sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{1}{p_{i}}-\sum_{i=1}^{s} \frac{1}{q^{\operatorname{deg} h_{i}}} \geq 1-3 \cdot S_{r}-\frac{3}{q}>0.0579
$$

and $\Delta=2+\frac{3 r+s-1}{\delta}<761.931$. Observe that if $q \geq\left(3 \cdot 761.931 \cdot 2^{3} \cdot A_{t}^{3}\right)^{\frac{2 t}{3 t-6}}$ for some real number $t>2$, then $q^{\frac{3}{2}} \geq 3 \cdot 761.931 \cdot\left(2 \cdot A_{t} \cdot q^{\frac{1}{t}}\right)^{3}>3 \Delta W(1) W(e)^{3}$, since, from Lemma 5.2, $W(e) \leq 2 W(q-1)<2 \cdot A_{t} \cdot q^{\frac{1}{t}}$.

Thus, from Proposition 3.8, if $q \geq\left(3 \cdot 761.931 \cdot 2^{3} \cdot A_{t}^{3}\right)^{\frac{2 t}{3 t-6}}$ for some real number $t>2$, we have $(q, 3) \in N_{3}$. For $t=4.6$ the condition above becomes $q \geq 1.5555 \cdot 10^{8}$.

We repeat the same process for $q \leq 1.5555 \cdot 10^{8}$. If we suppose $q \geq 10^{6}$ we get $r \leq 9, \delta>0.19068$ and $\Delta<154.0873$. As in the previous calculations, if $q \geq\left(3 \cdot 154.0873 \cdot 2^{3} \cdot A_{t}^{3}\right)^{\frac{2 t}{3 t-6}}$ for some real number $t>2$, we have $(q, 3) \in N_{3}$. For $t=4.5$ the condition above becomes $q \geq 2.301 \cdot 10^{7}$.

We will use again Proposition 3.8 with the ideas from Proposition 5.1. Let $e$ be the product of the primes less than 23 which divide $q^{3}-1$ and let $r$ be the number of primes greater or equal to 23 which divide $q^{3}-1$. We must choose $r$ such that $P(23, r)<q^{3}-1<1.219 \cdot 10^{22}$. Therefore $r \leq 13$. If $u=\omega(e)$, then $u \leq 8$, since there are 8 primes less than 23 . Recall that $P_{u}$ is the product of the first $u$ prime numbers. Choose $r(u)=\max \left\{r \mid P_{u} \cdot P(23, r) \leq 1.219 \cdot 10^{22}\right\}$. Let $\delta$ and $\Delta$ be as in the Proposition 3.8 with $g=1$, and suppose that $q \geq 10^{5}$. Let also $\delta(23, r(u))=1-3 S(23, r(u))-\frac{3}{10^{5}}$ and $\Delta(23, r(u))=2+\frac{3 r(u)+3-1}{\delta(23, r(u))}$. Observe that $2 \leq s \leq 3, r \leq r(u) \leq r(0)$ and $\delta \geq \delta(23, r(u)) \geq \delta(23, r(0))>0$, so we may apply Proposition 3.8.

So, we have $3 \Delta W(g) W(e)^{3} \leq 3 \cdot \Delta(23, r(u)) \cdot 2^{3 u}$. From Proposition 3.8, if $q^{\frac{3}{2}} \geq$ $3 \cdot \Delta(23, r(u)) \cdot 2^{3 u}$, then $(q, 3) \in N_{3}$. We get

$$
\max \left\{\left.\left(3 \cdot \Delta(23, r(u)) \cdot 2^{3 u}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}} \right\rvert\, 0 \leq u \leq 8\right\}<3.0884 \cdot 10^{6}
$$

and we conclude that if $q \geq 3.0884 \cdot 10^{6}$, then $(q, 3) \in N_{3}$.
Now, suppose that $q<3.0884 \cdot 10^{6}$. Again, let $e$ be the product of the primes less than 19 which divides $q^{3}-1$ and let $r$ be the number of primes greater or equal to

19 which divides $q^{3}-1$. We choose $r$ such that $P(19, r)<q^{3}-1<2.946 \cdot 10^{19}$. The same argument as before implies that $(q, 3) \in N_{3}$ for $q \geq 821257$.

For smaller values, we can verify directly the condition $q^{\frac{3}{2}} \geq 3 \Delta W(g) W(e)^{3}$. In fact, it holds for some values of $g$ and $e$, for every pair $(q, 3)$, where $q$ is an odd prime power between 3 and 821257, except for the values of $q$ in the statement of this result.

Finally, for $n=2$, we invoke Proposition 3.9 and Observation 3.10 to obtain the following result, which concludes the proof of Theorem 1.1(ii).

Lemma 5.7. Let $q$ be an odd prime power and $n=2$. Then $(q, 2) \in N_{3}$ except possibly for 1373 values of $q$. See Appendix A for the complete list.

Proof. From Proposition 5.1, we get that $(q, 2) \in N_{3}$ for $q \geq 2.7187 \cdot 10^{19}$. Suppose now that $q$ is an odd prime power such that $q<M=2.7187 \cdot 10^{19}$. We will use Observation 3.10 with $g=1$, and either $e=q-1$ if $5 \nmid q+1$ or $e=5(q-1)$ if $5 \mid q+1$. Let $\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{r}\right\}$ be the set of primes from Observation 3.10. As we have seen before, this means that the set $\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{r}\right\}$ comprises primes greater than 5 of the form $4 j+1$, since $p_{i} \mid q^{2}-1$ and $p_{i} \nmid q-1$ for all $i \in\{1, \ldots, r\}$. Let $S_{r}$ and $P_{r}$ be respectively the sum of the inverses and the product of the first $r$ primes of the form $4 j+1$, counting from 13 . Since $\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{r}\right\}$ is a set of primes which divides $q+1$ and $5 \notin\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{r}\right\}$, then $P_{r} \leq \prod_{i=1}^{r} p_{i} \leq q+1<2.7187 \cdot 10^{19}$, therefore $r \leq 11$. Let

$$
\delta=1-3 \sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{1}{p_{i}} \geq 1-3 \cdot S_{r}>0.0938
$$

and $\Delta=2+\frac{3 r-1}{\delta}<343.1514$. Observe that if $q \geq\left(2^{4} \cdot 343.1514 \cdot A_{t}^{3}\right)^{\frac{t}{t-3}}$ for some real number $t>3$, then $q \geq 2 \cdot 343.1514 \cdot\left(2 \cdot A_{t} \cdot q^{\frac{1}{t}}\right)^{3}>2 \Delta W(e)^{3}$, since, from Lemma 5.2, $W(e) \leq 2 W(q-1)<2 \cdot A_{t} \cdot q^{\frac{1}{t}}$. For $t=5.5$, the condition above becomes $q \geq 5.0381 \cdot 10^{16}$.

We will use again Observation 3.10 with the ideas from Proposition 5.1. Let $e$ be the product of the primes less than 29 which divide $q^{2}-1$ (including its powers) and let $r$ be the number of primes greater or equal to 29 which divide $q^{2}-1$. We must choose $r$ such that $P(29, r)<q^{2}-1<2.53825 \cdot 10^{33}$, since we may suppose $q<5.0381 \cdot 10^{16}$. Therefore $r \leq 18$. If $u=\omega(e)$, then $u \leq 9$, since there are 9 primes less than 29 . We also may suppose that $8 \mid e$ and $u \geq 1$, since $8 \mid q^{2}-1$.

Recall that $P_{u}$ is the product of the first $u$ prime numbers, so $4 P_{u} \leq e$. Choose $r(u)=\max \left\{r \mid 4 \cdot P_{u} \cdot P(29, r) \leq 2.53825 \cdot 10^{33}\right\}$. Let $\delta$ and $\Delta$ be as in the Observation 3.10. Let also $\delta(29, r(u))=1-3 S(29, r(u))$ and $\Delta(29, r(u))=2+\frac{3 r(u)-1}{\delta(29, r(u))}$. Observe that $r \leq r(u) \leq r(1)$ and $\delta \geq \delta(29, r(u)) \geq \delta(29, r(1))>0$, so we may apply Observation 3.10. Hence, we have $2 \Delta W(e)^{3} \leq 2 \cdot \Delta(29, r(u)) \cdot 2^{3 u}$. From Observation 3.10, if $q \geq 2 \cdot \Delta(29, r(u)) \cdot 2^{3 u}$ then $(q, 2) \in N_{3}$. We obtain

$$
\max \left\{2 \cdot \Delta(29, r(u)) \cdot 2^{3 u} \mid 1 \leq u \leq 9\right\}<7.245 \cdot 10^{10}
$$

and we conclude that if $q \geq 7.245 \cdot 10^{10}$, then $(q, 2) \in N_{3}$.
Now, suppose that $q<7.245 \cdot 10^{10}$. Again, let $e$ be the product of the primes less than 19 (including its powers) which divide $q^{2}-1$ and let $r$ be the number of primes greater or equal to 19 which divide $q^{2}-1$. We choose $r$ such that $P(19, r)<$ $\left(q^{2}-1\right) / 8<6.5613 \cdot 10^{20}$, since 8 is a factor of $q^{2}-1$. The same argument as before implies that $(q, 2) \in N_{3}$ for $q \geq 6.615 \cdot 10^{8}$.

Repeating this process with $q<6.615 \cdot 10^{8}$ and $e$ the product of the primes less than 19 (including its powers) which divide $q^{2}-1$, we get $(q, 2) \in N_{3}$ for $q \geq 3.0024 \cdot 10^{8}$.

For smaller values, we can verify directly the condition $q \geq 2 \Delta W(e)^{3}$. In fact, it holds for some value of $e$, for every pair $(q, 2)$, where $q$ is an odd prime power, except for a list of 1373 values of $q$. See Appendix A for this complete list.
5.2. Proof of Theorem 1.2. It only remains to verify the values of $(q, n)$ displayed in Table 3 (with $n \geq 7$ ), and those that are not covered by Lemmas 5.3, 5.4, 5.5, 5.6, and 5.7 (with $2 \leq n \leq 6$ ). We use Algorithm 1 to search $\alpha$ such that $\alpha, \alpha+\beta$, and $\alpha+2 \beta$ are primitive, and either $\alpha$, or $\alpha+\beta$, or $\alpha+2 \beta$ is normal, for all $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}$ (by switching $\beta$ and $-\beta$, this task runtime is cut in half). The genuine exceptions found in these cases are ( $q, n, \beta$ ) displayed in Table 4.
5.3. Proof of Corollary 1.3. This corollary follows from Theorem 1.2, by verifying the exceptions. We use Algorithm 11, removing lines 15 and 17, to search $\alpha$ such that $\alpha, \alpha+\beta$, and $\alpha+2 \beta$ are primitive, for all $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}$ (by switching $\beta$ and $-\beta$, this task runtime is cut in half). The genuine exceptions found in these cases are ( $q, n, \beta$ ) displayed in Table 5.

| $(q, n)$ | Values of $\beta$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(3,2)$ | $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{3}^{*}$ |
| $(5,2)$ | $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{5}^{*}$ |
| $(7,2)$ | $\beta \in\{ \pm 2, \pm 3\}$ |
| $(9,2)$ | $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{9}^{*}$ |
| $(11,2)$ | $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{11}^{*}$ |
| $(13,2)$ | $\beta \in\{ \pm 1, \pm 4, \pm 5, \pm 6\}$ |


| $(q, n)$ | Values of $\beta$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(3,3)$ | $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{3}^{*}$ |
| $(9,3)$ | $\beta$ is any root |
|  | of the polynomials <br> $x^{2}+2 x+2, x^{2}+x+2 \in \mathbb{F}_{3}[x]$ <br> $(3,4)$$\quad \beta \in \mathbb{F}_{3}^{*}$ |
| $(5,4)$ | $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{5}^{*}$ |

Table 4. Genuine exceptions for $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}$, where $\beta$ is a common difference of a 3 -terms arithmetic progression formed by primitive elements, and one of the terms is normal.

| $(q, n)$ | Values of $\beta$ | $(q, n)$ | Values of $\beta$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(3,2)$ | $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{3}^{*}$ | $(13,2)$ | $\beta \in\{ \pm 1, \pm 4, \pm 5, \pm 6\}$ |
| $(5,2)$ | $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{5}^{*}$ |  | $\beta$ is any root |
| $(7,2)$ | $\beta \in\{ \pm 2, \pm 3\}$ | $(9,3)$ | of the polynomials |
| $(9,2)$ | $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{9}^{*}$ |  | $x^{2}+2 x+2, x^{2}+x+2 \in \mathbb{F}_{3}[x]$ |
| $(11,2)$ | $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{11}^{*}$ | $(3,4)$ | $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{3}^{*}$ |

Table 5. Genuine exceptions for $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}$, where $\beta$ is a common difference of a 3 -terms arithmetic progression formed by primitive elements.

## 6. The case $m=2$

We now deal with the case $m=2$. By Observation 3.2, we have that $N_{3} \subset N_{2}$. Thus, we just need to check the values $(q, n)$ with $q$ odd that fail in Theorems 1.1(ii) and 1.2, in addition to the powers of 2. By Proposition 4.4, for $q$ even, it is only required to verify the powers of 2 up to $7.51 \cdot 10^{358}$.
6.1. Proof of Theorem 1.1(i) for $q$ odd. We verify the inequality $q^{\frac{n}{2}} \geq 2 \Delta W(g) W(e)^{2}$ (see Proposition 3.8) for the 1532 pairs $(q, n)$ that possibly fail in Theorem 1.1(ii), considering every divisor $g$ of $x^{n}-1$ and every divisor $e$ of $q^{n}-1$. From these, all but the 155 pairs displayed in Table 6 work for this test.

| $n$ | $q$ | $n$ | $q$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 | 5, 3 |  | 3191, 2729, 2311, 2029, 1871, 1861, 1849, |
| 10 | 3 |  | $1709,1429,1331,1301,1289,1259,1231$, |
| 8 | 9, 5, 3 |  | 1091, 1021, 911, 881, 859, 811, 769, 701, |
| 7 | 3 |  | 659, 631, 601, 599, 571, 529, 521, 509, |
| 6 | 11, 9, 7, 5, 3 |  | 491, 463, 461, 449, 439, 421, 419, 409, |
| 5 | 11, 7, 5, 3 |  | 389, 379, 373, 349, 337, 331, 311, 307, |
| 4 | $\begin{gathered} 43,41,29,23, \\ 19,17,13,11, \\ 9,7,5,3 \end{gathered}$ | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 293,289,281,271,269,263,251,241, \\ & 239,233,229,227,223,211,199,197, \\ & 191,181,179,173,169,167,157,151, \end{aligned}$ |
| 3 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 211,121,67, \\ 61,43,37,31, \\ 25,23,19,13, \\ 11,9,7,5,3 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 149,139,137,131,127,125,121,113 \\ 109,107,103,101,97,89,83,81,79,73 \\ 71,67,61,59,53,49,47,43,41,37,31 \\ 29,27,25,23,19,17,13,11,9,7,5,3 \end{gathered}$ |

Table 6. Possible exceptions for Theorem 1.1(i) with $q$ odd.
6.2. Proof of Theorem $\mathbf{1 . 1}(\mathbf{i})$ for $q$ even. Let $q=2^{k}$ with $1 \leq k \leq 596$, and let $n \geq 2$, such that $q^{n}<7.51 \cdot 10^{358}$. We apply the first of the following methods for the pairs $(q, n)$ and the second one for those pairs where the first method fails.

- By Lemma 4.3, it follows that for $q=2$ it holds $W\left(x^{n}-1\right) \leq 2^{\frac{n+14}{5}}$, for $q=4$ it holds $W\left(x^{n}-1\right) \leq 2^{\frac{n+41}{4}}$, for $q=8$ it holds $W\left(x^{n}-1\right) \leq 2^{\frac{n}{3}+14}$, for $q=16$ it holds $W\left(x^{n}-1\right) \leq 2^{\frac{n+15}{2}}$, and for $q \geq 32$ it holds $W\left(x^{n}-1\right) \leq 2^{n}$. Taking into account that $q^{n}-1$ is odd, the constant $A_{t}$ given by Lemma 5.2 does not consider the prime $\wp=2$. We then verify if $q^{\frac{n}{2}} \geq 2 W\left(x^{n}-1\right) A_{t}^{2} q^{\frac{2 n}{t}}$ using the bounds for $A_{t}$ and for $W\left(x^{n}-1\right)$, and apply Theorem 3.6.
- Let $p_{0}$ be a fixed prime number, $L$ be the product of primes up to $p_{0}$ that divide $q^{n}-1$, and $T=\frac{q^{n}-1}{\operatorname{lcm}\left(q^{n}-1, L\right)}$. Let $s \in \mathbb{N}$ be the largest possible so that $p_{0}<p_{1}<\cdots<p_{s}$ are consecutive primes with $p_{0} p_{1} \ldots p_{s} \leq T$, and $\delta \geq \delta_{T}:=1-2 \sum_{i=0}^{s} \frac{1}{p_{i}}>0$. We then verify if $q^{\frac{n}{2}} \geq m \Delta_{T} W\left(x^{n}-1\right) W(e)^{m}$, where $\Delta_{T}:=2+\frac{2 s-1}{\delta_{T}} \geq \Delta$, and apply Proposition 3.8.

If we choose $t=10$ and $p_{0}=61$, then at least one of the previous methods work except for the pairs displayed in Table 7

| $q$ | $n$ | $q$ | $n$ | $q$ | $n$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | $36,30,28,24,21,20$, | 8 | $8,7,6,5,4,3,2$ | 128 | 2 |
|  | 18, 16, $15,14,12,11$, | 16 | 15, 10, 9, 7, 6, 5, | 256 | 5, 3, 2 |
|  | $10,9,8,7,6,5,4,3,2$ |  | 4, 3, 2 | 512 | 2 |
| 4 | $18,15,14,12,10,9$, | 32 | 4, 3, 2 | 1024 | 2 |
|  | 8, $7,6,5,4,3,2$ | 64 | $6,4,3,2$ | 4096 | 3, 2 |

TABLE 7. Partial possible exceptions for Theorem 1.1(i) with $q$ even.

We verify the inequality $q^{\frac{n}{2}} \geq 2 \Delta W(g) W(e)^{2}$ (see Proposition 3.8) for the pairs ( $q, n$ ) of Table 7, considering every divisor $g$ of $x^{n}-1$ and every divisor e of $q^{n}-1$. From these, all but the pairs displayed in Table 8 work for this test.
$\left.\begin{array}{c|ccc|c}q & n \\ \hline 2 & 14,12,10,9,8, \\ & 7,6,5,4,3,2\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c}q\end{array}\right) n$

TABLE 8. Possible exceptions for Theorem 1.1(i) with $q$ even.
6.3. Proof of Theorem 1.4. It only remains to verify the values of $(q, n)$ displayed in Tables 6 and 8. We remove line 13 and adapt lines 11,14 and 15 of Algorithm 1 for $q$ odd, and we also adapt line 4 in the case where $q$ is even, to search a primitive element $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}$ such that $\alpha+\beta$ is also primitive and either $\alpha$ or $\alpha+\beta$ is normal. The only genuine exception found in this case is $(q, n, \beta)=(2,4,1)$. It completes the proof of Theorem 1.4.
6.4. Proof of Corollary 1.5. This corollary follows directly from Theorem 1.4 and [8, Theorem A], since for $\beta=1$ we deal with consecutive elements.
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## Appendix A. Possible exceptions for Theorem 1.1(ii) with $n=2$

In this appendix, it is displayed the 1373 values of $q$ that are possible exceptions for Theorem 1.1 (ii) with $n=2$; see Lemma 5.7.
$3,5,7,9,11,13,17,19,23,25,27,29,31,37,41,43,47,49,53,59,61,67,71,73,79,81,83,89,97,101,103$, $107,109,113,121,125,127,131,137,139,149,151,157,163,167,169,173,179,181,191,193,197,199$, 211, 223, 227, 229, 233, 239, 241, 251, 257, 263, 269, 271, 277, 281, 283, 289, 293, 307, 311, 313, 317, 331, $337,343,347,349,353,359,361,367,373,379,383,389,397,401,409,419,421,431,433,439,443,449$, 457, 461, 463, 467, 479, 487, 491, 499, 503, 509, 521, 523, 529, 541, 547, 557, 563, 569, 571, 587, 593, 599, $601,607,613,617,619,625,631,641,643,647,653,659,661,673,677,683,691,701,709,719,727,729$,
$733,739,743,751,757,761,769,773,787,797,809,811,821,823,827,829,839,841,853,857,859,877$, 881, 883, 887, 907, 911, 919, 929, 937, 941, 947, 953, 961, 967, 971, 991, 1009, 1013, 1019, 1021, 1031, 1033, 1039, 1049, 1051, 1061, 1063, 1069, 1091, 1093, 1103, 1109, 1117, 1123, 1129, 1151, 1163, 1171, 1181, 1201, 1217, 1223, 1229, 1231, 1249, 1259, 1277, 1279, 1289, 1291, 1301, 1303, 1319, 1321, 1327, 1331, 1361, 1369, 1373, 1381, 1399, 1409, 1427, 1429, 1439, 1451, 1459, 1471, 1481, 1483, 1489, 1499, 1511, 1531, 1549, 1553, $1559,1567,1571,1579,1583,1597,1601,1607,1609,1613,1619,1621,1627,1637,1667,1669,1681,1693$, 1699, 1709, 1721, 1723, 1741, 1747, 1759, 1777, 1789, 1801, 1811, 1831, 1847, 1849, 1861, 1871, 1877, 1879, 1889, 1901, 1913, 1931, 1933, 1949, 1951, 1973, 1979, 1987, 1999, 2003, 2011, 2029, 2039, 2069, 2081, 2087, 2089, 2099, 2111, 2113, 2129, 2131, 2141, 2143, 2161, 2179, 2197, 2209, 2213, 2221, 2239, 2243, 2267, 2269, 2281, 2287, 2297, 2309, 2311, 2339, 2341, 2351, 2371, 2381, 2389, 2393, 2399, 2411, 2437, 2441, 2459, 2521, 2531, 2539, 2549, 2551, 2579, 2591, 2609, 2617, 2621, 2659, 2671, 2687, 2689, 2699, 2711, 2719, 2729, 2731, 2741, 2749, 2789, 2791, 2801, 2809, 2819, 2851, 2857, 2861, 2909, 2927, 2939, 2969, 2971, 3001, 3011, 3037, 3041, 3049, 3061, 3067, 3079, 3089, 3109, 3119, 3121, 3163, 3169, 3181, 3191, 3221, 3229, 3251, 3299, 3301, 3319, 3329, 3331, 3359, 3361, 3389, 3391, 3433, 3449, 3457, 3469, 3481, 3499, 3511, 3529, 3539, 3541, 3571, 3613, 3631, 3659, 3671, 3691, 3697, 3709, 3719, 3739, 3761, 3769, 3779, 3821, 3851, 3877, 3911, 3919, 3989, 4001, 4003, 4019, 4049, 4079, 4091, 4129, 4159, 4201, 4211, 4219, 4229, 4231, 4241, 4271, 4289, 4339, 4409, $4421,4423,4451,4481,4489,4523,4549,4591,4621,4649,4663,4679,4691,4729,4751,4759,4789,4801$, 4817, 4831, 4861, 4871, 4889, 4931, 4951, 4969, 4999, 5011, 5039, 5041, 5059, 5081, 5167, 5171, 5179, 5209, $5237,5279,5281,5329,5381,5419,5431,5479,5501,5519,5521,5531,5591,5641,5659,5669,5711,5741$, 5839, 5849, 5851, 5879, 5881, 5939, 5981, 6007, 6029, 6089, 6091, 6131, 6203, 6221, 6229, 6241, 6269, 6271, 6299, 6301, 6329, 6359, 6379, 6421, 6449, 6469, 6481, 6491, 6551, 6553, 6571, 6581, 6599, 6679, 6689, 6691, $6709,6719,6733,6761,6791,6841,6859,6869,6889,6917,6959,6971,6991,7001,7019,7039,7069,7129$, 7151, 7211, 7229, 7237, 7253, 7309, 7321, 7331, 7349, 7351, 7369, 7411, 7459, 7481, 7489, 7541, 7547, 7549, $7559,7561,7589,7591,7639,7669,7699,7741,7789,7829,7841,7853,7879,7919,7921,7951,8009,8059$, 8161, 8171, 8191, 8219, 8231, 8269, 8329, 8429, 8431, 8501, 8513, 8527, 8539, 8581, 8609, 8669, 8681, 8689, 8737, 8741, 8761, 8779, 8819, 8821, 8839, 8849, 8861, 8929, 8969, 8971, 9001, 9029, 9041, 9043, 9049, 9059, 9109, 9151, 9199, 9239, 9241, 9281, 9283, 9311, 9349, 9371, 9409, 9421, 9437, 9439, 9461, 9463, 9479, 9491, 9521, 9547, 9619, 9631, 9661, 9689, 9769, 9791, 9811, 9829, 9857, 9859, 9871, 9931, 9941, 10009, 10039, 10061, 10079, 10099, 10139, 10141, 10151, 10259, 10271, 10289, 10321, 10331, 10429, 10459, 10499, 10501, 10529, 10601, 10609, 10639, 10709, 10711, 10739, 10781, 10789, 10891, 10949, 10979, 11059, 11131, 11159, 11171, 11299, 11311, 11329, 11351, 11369, 11411, 11491, 11549, 11551, 11579, 11593, 11621, 11681, 11689, 11719, 11731, 11779, 11789, 11801, 11831, 11881, 11941, 11959, 11969, 11971, 12011, 12041, 12109, 12167, 12211, 12239, 12391, 12401, 12409, 12451, 12479, 12511, 12539, 12541, 12641, 12671, 12689, 12739, 12769, 12781, 12791, 12809, 12919, 12959, 12979, 13001, 13049, 13109, 13159, 13259, 13331, 13339, 13397, 13399,
$13411,13421,13441,13469,13649,13679,13691,13729,13789,13831,13859,13901,13931,14029,14071$, $14249,14251,14281,14321,14419,14431,14449,14461,14489,14519,14561,14629,14741,14771,14821$, $14851,14869,14939,14951,15091,15131,15149,15161,15259,15289,15329,15331,15391,15401,15443$, $15511,15541,15569,15581,15619,15641,15679,15731,15749,15791,15809,15889,15919,15959,16141$, $16301,16339,16381,16421,16451,16519,16561,16619,16631,16661,16729,16759,16829,16831,16871$, $17029,17137,17159,17161,17291,17341,17359,17389,17401,17471,17569,17579,17599,17669,17681$, 17851, 17863, 17921, 18041, 18059, 18061, 18089, 18121, 18131, 18149, 18199, 18229, 18269, 18329, 18451, $18461,18481,18539,18661,18719,18859,18869,18899,19031,19081,19139,19141,19181,19249,19319$, 19321, 19381, 19447, 19469, 19489, 19501, 19531, 19559, 19571, 19609, 19739, 19759, 19889, 19949, 19991, 20021, 20089, 20129, 20149, 20161, 20201, 20231, 20369, 20399, 20411, 20747, 20749, 20789, 20879, 20929, $21011,21139,21169,21319,21391,21419,21559,21589,21713,21757,21839,21841,21911,22079,22133$, $22259,22441,22469,22541,22571,22639,22679,22751,22861,22961,23011,23029,23087,23099,23143$, $23189,23269,23311,23321,23561,23563,23629,23689,23827,23869,23981,24179,24359,24389,24509$, $24571,24611,24649,24683,24709,24821,24851,25117,25171,25229,25339,25409,25411,25439,25453$, 25609, 25621, 25741, 25801, 25999, 26041, 26321, 26489, 26641, 26839, 26861, 26951, 27061, 27091, 27259, 27481, 27509, 27551, 27611, 27691, 28181, 28211, 28289, 28309, 28559, 28729, 28909, 29231, 29303, 29581, 29611, 29819, 30029, 30059, 30161, 30211, 30269, 30449, 30689, 30911, 30941, 31121, 31151, 31219, 31541, $31891,32059,32299,32341,32369,32579,32719,32801,32941,32969,33151,33349,33461,33529,33769$, $33851,34033,34061,34231,34511,34649,34781,35069,35099,35111,35281,35419,35491,35531,35671$, $35729,35771,35869,36191,36541,36709,36721,36791,36821,36919,37309,37379,37619,38011,38039$, $38149,38219,38501,38569,38611,38851,39439,39521,39929,40039,40151,40459,40699,40949,41341$, 41411, 41539, 41651, 41999, 42181, 42461, 42701, 42979, 43499, 43889, 43891, 44269, 44549, 44771, 45121, $45319,45541,46171,46229,46411,46619,47059,47431,47501,47741,48049,48179,48299,48619,49279$, $49477,49531,49741,49939,50051,50231,51169,51239,51479,51869,51871,52051,52249,52361,53129$, $53299,53381,53549,53591,53899,54251,54419,54559,54601,54979,55021,55441,55691,55901,55931$, $56099,56239,56629,56671,57331,58631,59149,59669,60521,60719,60761,61879,61909,62581,62791$, $62929,63799,64091,65449,65519,65701,66221,66571,66821,67339,67759,67829,68881,69959,70379$, $70489,71059,71161,72269,73039,73529,74101,75011,75109,75991,76231,76259,76649,77141,77351$, $77419,78079,78121,78539,78541,78779,80599,80989,81509,81619,81929,82279,83579,83621,84239$, 84391, $84421,84589,84811,85331,85469,85931,88661,88969,89909,90089,90271,90481,91631,91909$, $93059,93479,93941,94249,95369,96461,97579,100129,102101,102409,102829,103291,104651,104831$, $105071,105379,106261,106721,106861,107339,108109,108289,110629,111229,111341,111539,112111$, $114269,114311,114479,115361,115499,116089,116381,116689,117571,117809,118691,118931,119659$, $120121,120889,122849,123311,123551,125399,128591,131671,132329,132859,133979,133981,134639$,
$135409,135829,136709,137941,138139,141371,141679,142969,143261,144299,145991,146299,146719$, $147629,148961,149731,150151,151579,153271,154699,154769,158201,158269,158731,161461,162889$, $164429,166739,166781,167441,167621,169049,169709,172171,173909,174019,174329,174901,175561$, 176021, 178639, 180181, 180949, 181609, 183611, 183919, 184211, 188189, 195131, 195161, 195229, 195469, 196769, 197539, 197779, 199081, 200201, 201629, 202201, 203321, 204359, 204931, 206051, 206779, 207481, 208319, 211639, 213641, 214369, 216061, 216371, 217559, 224069, 225149, 225499, 231419, 234499, 236209, $239539,242971,244399,244529,245519,251159,259531,262261,266111,268841,269179,276079,286859$, 298451, 298759, 303029, 303689, 304151, 307189, 314159, 315589, 316471, 318319, 325051, 326369, 328901, $336181,336491,339151,340339,347621,361789,366211,366521,372371,374681,410411,415141,435709$, $483209,609179,614041,620311,647219,650761,690689,786829,1044889,1624349,1729001,3847271$.

Appendix B. Algorithm for $q$ odd and $m=3$

```
Algorithm 1: Algorithm for \(q\) odd and \(m=3\)
    Input: A prime power \(q\) and a positive integer \(n \geq 2\)
    Output: The list of values \(\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{q}\) for which there is no 3 -terms in arithmetic progression of
                common difference \(\pm \beta\) with the desired property
    \(a \leftarrow\) primitive element of \(\mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}\)
    List \(\leftarrow\) empty set
    \(t \leftarrow \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} q^{i}\)
    for \(j=0\) to \(\frac{q-1}{2}-1\) do
        \(\beta \leftarrow a^{t j}\)
        \(R \leftarrow\) false
        \(u \leftarrow 1\)
        while \(u<q^{n}\) and \(R\) is false do
            if \(\operatorname{gcd}\left(u, q^{n}-1\right)=1\) then
                \(b \leftarrow a^{u}\)
                    if \(b+\beta \neq 0\) and \(b+2 \beta \neq 0\) then
                    \(m_{1} \leftarrow\) multiplicative order of \(b+\beta\)
                    \(m_{2} \leftarrow\) multiplicative order of \(b+2 \beta\)
                            if \(m_{1}=q^{n}-1\) and \(m_{2}=q^{n}-1\) then
                    if \(b\) is normal or \(b+\beta\) is normal or \(b+2 \beta\) is normal then
                        \(R \leftarrow\) true
                    end
                    end
                    end
            end
                \(u \leftarrow u+1\)
        end
        if \(R\) is false then
            append \(\beta\) to List
        end
    end
    return List
```
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