

Towards No.1 in CLUE Semantic Matching Challenge: Pre-trained Language Model Erlangshen with Propensity-Corrected Loss

Junjie Wang^{1,2*}, Yuxiang Zhang^{1,2*}, Ping Yang¹, Ruyi Gan¹,

1. CCNL, IDEA, Shenzhen, China

2. Waseda University, Tokyo, Japan

wjj1020181822@toki.waseda.jp

joel0495@asagi.waseda.jp

{yangping, ganruiy}@idea.edu.cn

Abstract

This report describes a pre-trained language model Erlangshen with propensity-corrected loss, the **No.1** in *CLUE Semantic Matching Challenge*¹. In the pre-training stage, we construct a dynamic masking strategy based on knowledge in Masked Language Modeling (MLM) with whole word masking. Furthermore, by observing the specific structure of the dataset, the pre-trained Erlangshen applies propensity-corrected loss (PCL) in the fine-tuning phase. Overall, we achieve 72.54 points in F1 Score and 78.90 points in Accuracy on the test set. Our code is publicly available at: https://github.com/IDEA-CCNL/Fengshenbang-LM/tree/hf-ds/fengshen/examples/clue_sim.

1 Background

1.1 Semantic Matching

With the development of data science applications, semantic matching plays a fundamental role in Natural Language Processing (NLP). These applications, such as information retrieval, often require semantic matching to combine data from multiple sources prior to further analysis (Li et al., 2021). It is natural to think of semantic matching as a binary classification issue. Given a pair of sentences, the artificial intelligent system is required to determine if two sentences provide the same meaning.

1.2 CLUE Semantic Matching Challenge

To up the challenge of this problem, the CLUE team (Xu et al., 2020) releases *CLUE Semantic Matching Challenge*. In detail, the QBQTC (QQ Browser Query Title Corpus) challenge dataset includes three labels, which are {0, irrelevant or not matching; 1, some correlation; 2, high relevant}, instead of only two. Furthermore, QBQTC dataset is

designed as a learning-to-rank (LTR) dataset that integrates relevance, authority, content quality, timeliness and other dimensional annotations, which is widely used in QQ search engine business scenarios². In addition, this challenge is included in CLUE benchmark, which is widely evaluated in Chinese NLP community.

2 Introduction

In Chinese Natural Language Understanding (NLU) tasks, Pre-trained Language Models (PLMs) have proven to be effective, such as BERT (Devlin et al., 2019), MacBERT (Cui et al., 2020) and ALBERT (Lan et al., 2020). MacBERT and RoBERTa improve the pre-training tasks of BERT and ALBERT by considering Chinese grammatical structure (Cui et al., 2020). However, those models only randomly masks tokens, which leads to a simple pre-training task. Therefore, we introduce Knowledge-based Dynamic Masking (KDM) method to mask semantically rich tokens. Moreover, we pre-train Erlangshen in a large-scale Chinese corpus and optimize the pre-training settings such as employing pre-layer normalization (Xiong et al., 2020) method. In addition, several designed prompts are assigned into surprised datasets for processing surprised pre-training tasks.

As a specific Chinese NLU task, the *CLUE Semantic Matching Challenge* has higher difficulty than binary classification semantic matching. By our observation, the QBQTC dataset has serious data imbalance problem, which includes a imbalance label distribution with severe bias. The large number of negative samples drives the model to ignore learning difficult positive samples under the effect of popular cross-entropy. Therefore, the poor prediction on positive samples directly leads to a low F1 score. This problem comes from the nature of cross-entropy, which treats each sample

*This work was performed with equal contribution when they were visiting International Digital Economy Academy (IDEA) as interns.

¹<https://www.cluebenchmarks.com/sim.html>

²<https://browser.qq.com/>

equally and tries to push them to positive or negative. An workable loss to address unbalanced samples is Dice loss (Li et al., 2020). In classification scenario, we only need to concern a probability < 0.5 instead of an extract probability 0 when it is a negative sample. Although Dice loss achieves the success of data imbalance, it only consider target categories while ignoring non-target categories. Therefore, this method is susceptible to the influence of a single sample. Inspired by Label-smoothing (Szegedy et al., 2016), we propose Propensity-Corrected Loss (PCL) to correct the propensity of the system to predict the labels.

Through extensive experiments on the benchmark, we demonstrate that our approach achieve state-of-the-art (SOTA) performance. Ablation studies reveal that Erlangshen model and PCL are the key components to the success of understanding semantic matching. Our contributions are as follows.

- We apply a powerful PLM Erlangshen on a Chinese semantic matching task.
- Our proposed PCL teaches the model well in an imbalance dataset.
- Our approach win the No.1 over the existing SOTA models on *CLUE Semantic Matching Challenge*.

3 Approach

In this section, we introduce the Pre-trained Language Model (PLM) Erlangshen (Section 3.1) and a new Propensity-Corrected Loss (PCL) (Section 3.2) to address a semantic matching problem.

3.1 PLM: Erlangshen

To improve BERT (Devlin et al., 2019) in Chinese tasks, we release a new PLM, Erlangshen. Note that we **can not** explain the details of Erlangshen, such as training implementation and detailed methods. This advanced Chinese PLM follows the private policy and company agreement of IDEA³. Fortunately, we are allowed to describe the idea of the design and the basic structure. Although Erlangshen is kept under wraps, we open-source its weights in Huggingface⁴ for accessible use in custom tasks.

After the necessary declarations, we introduce our basic ideas for constructing Erlangshen. Our

Erlangshen employs the similar multi-layer transformer architecture as BERT. By following the pre-training and fine-tuning paradigm, Erlangshen is pre-trained with Masked Language Modeling (MLM) (Devlin et al., 2019) and Sentence-Order Prediction (SOP) (Cui et al., 2021) task. When considering the linguistic characteristics of Chinese, we apply Whole Word Masking (WWM) (Cui et al., 2021) to process whole Chinese words instead of individual Chinese characters in WordPiece. Furthermore, since the original MLM strategy only randomly masks tokens, the language model can easily recover common tokens such as “I am”. To address this issue, we propose a Knowledge-based Dynamic Masking (KDM) method to mask semantically rich tokens such as entities and events. Besides understanding intra-sentence information, we apply SOP task to learn inter-sentence information instead of next sentence prediction task. The main reason is that SOP is much more effective than NSP (Cui et al., 2021; Lan et al., 2020). After pre-training on large-scale unsupervised data, Erlangshen and its variants are released in Huggingface.

In fine-tuning phase, we add a classifier layer on the top of Erlangshen and predict its label. In detail, given a pair of sentences S_1 and S_2 , we generate the input (x_{inp}) with special tokens in BERT (Devlin et al., 2019) as follows:

$$x_{inp} = [\text{CLS}]S_1[\text{SEP}]S_2[\text{SEP}] \quad (1)$$

Then, we fed [CLS] as the global feature into the classifier for generating the final answer.

3.2 Propensity-Corrected Loss

By observing the QBQTC dataset with three labels, it suffer data imbalance problem (Details in Section 4.1). Since the label 1 occupies the majority of samples, we focus on the correlation between different categories. We introduce the Propensity-Corrected Loss (PCL) to encourage the model to predict the dominant categories, which corrects the predicting propensity.

We consider a label set $\{0, \text{irrelevant or not matching}; 1, \text{some correlation}; 2, \text{high relevant}\}$. Given a pair of sentences (S_1 and S_2), we argue that the model must take care of the “distance” of labels. For example, if it is a not-matching pair, the penalty for the model to misclassify it as label 2 must be larger than as label 1. Because label 1 is closer to label 0 in “distance” than label 2. If the

³<https://idea.edu.cn/>

⁴<https://huggingface.co/IDEA-CCNL>

opposite occurs, a loss bonus (which reduces the original loss) is provided. Similarly, this case also works with misclassifying labels 1 into labels 0 or 2. Note that the label 0 represents irrelevant; the penalty for assigning the label 1 to the label 0 is also greater than assigning it to the label 2.

In detail, considering simple implementation, we apply PCL based on all samples. Let $label \in \{label_0, label_1, label_2\}$ to be the ground truth set. Since PCL considers multiple conditions, we compute PCL_+ and PCL_- as follow.

$$PCL_+ = \varepsilon \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N Label_0^i}{\sum_{i=1}^N Label_1^i + \sum_{i=1}^N Label_2^i}, \quad (2)$$

$$PCL_- = \varepsilon \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N Label_0^i + \sum_{i=1}^N Label_2^i}{\sum_{i=1}^N Label_1^i}, \quad (3)$$

where N is the sample number of the dataset and ε is a scaling ratio.

Then, the PCL (\mathcal{L}) can be calculated as:

$$\mathcal{L} = \begin{cases} LS - PCL_-, & \text{Condition 1,} \\ LS + PCL_+, & \text{Condition 2,} \\ LS, & \text{Condition 3,} \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

where LS represents the Label-smoothing loss. Condition 1 tends to encourage the model, e.g., the model misclassify label 1 into label 2, which is better than label 0. Condition 2 tends to punish the model, e.g., the model misclassifies label 2 into label 0. Condition 3 considers the cases where no correction propensity is required.

3.3 Discussion

Interestingly, PCL can be computed within a batch rather than across all samples. Therefore, as a future work, we will consider PCL in local level and global level.

4 Experiment

4.1 Experimental Setup

We summarize QBQTC dataset splits in Table 1. We further investigate the average number of words for S_1 and S_2 , average token number after organization and the label ratio. The dataset details are shown in Table 2.

In all our experiments, we apply the variant ‘‘Erlangshen-MegatronBert-1.3B-Similarity’’⁵

⁵<https://huggingface.co/IDEA-CCNL/Erlangshen-MegatronBert-1.3B-Similarity>

Train	Dev	Test_public	Test
180,000	20,000	5,000	$\geq 100,000$

Table 1: Statistics of the QBQTC dataset splits.

	Train	Dev	Test_public
Avg. S_1	9.6	8.60	9.7
Avg. S_2	25.4	25.6	25.3
Avg. Token	33.9	34.1	33.8
Label Ratio	2 : 5 : 1	2 : 5 : 1	2 : 5 : 1

Table 2: QBQTC dataset details.

as ‘‘Erlangshen’’. The detailed settings are followed the open-sourced code⁶. We tune the models in train and dev set, and evaluate them in test_public set for easy analysis.

4.2 Challenge Results

After submitting our prediction files to the CLUE official website, the comparison with existing SOTA performance is listed in Table 3. Our proposed Erlangshen with PCL outperforms all models, which achieves 72.54 points in F1 Score and 78.90 points in Accuracy.

4.3 Ablation Studies

To verify the effectiveness of our Erlangshen and Propensity-Corrected Loss (PCL), we conduct ablation studies on Test_public set, as shown in Table 4.

We first verified the effectiveness of our PLM Erlangshen. Regardless of the loss employed, our model outperform widely used MacBERT and RoBERTa-wwm. For label smoothing loss, our Erlangshen improve 3.06 points on F1 Score and 2.52 points on Accuracy over MacBERT.

Then, we explore the effectiveness of the proposed PCL. Among the models, PCL helps all models to achieve a better performance than the original label smoothing one. For MacBERT, PCL can provide up to 2.60 points improvement in F1 score, and up to 1.80 points improvement in accuracy. For Erlangshen, PCL can benefit up to 2.61 points improvement in F1 score, and up to 0.90 points improvement in accuracy. However, Erlangshen obtains less gain from PCL than other models. Our powerful Erlangen might already learn imbalance information in the dataset, so it has learned some

⁶https://github.com/IDEA-CCNL/Fengshenbang-LM/tree/hf-ds/fengshen/examples/clue_sim

Model	Research Institute	F1 Score	Accuracy
Erlangshen	IDEA	72.54	78.94
DML RoBERTa	CMB AI Lab	72.52	78.25
roberta-large	CaiBao	72.14	78.54
bert	daydayup	71.43	77.38
T5	BoTong	67.07	74.63
first_model	nlp_tech	66.77	74.05
RoBERTa-wwm-ext-large	CLUE	66.30	73.10
BoTong	BoTong	65.60	74.17
Bert-base-chinese	CLUE	64.10	71.80
RoBERTa-wwm-ext	CLUE	64.00	71.00

Table 3: Leaderboard of CLUE Semantic Matching Challenge. The results are reported by CLUE team and the best performance is in **bold**. Besides, we have converted several Chinese terms into English for easy description and the original one presents in Figure 1. Since there is no specific meaning, it will not affect the understanding of the leaderboard and this paper.

	Label smoothing		PCL	
	F1 Score	Accuracy	F1 Score	Accuracy
RoBERTa-wwm	67.94	75.02	70.03 (+2.09)	77.02 (+2.00)
MacBERT	69.18	76.58	71.78 (+2.60)	78.38 (+1.80)
Erlangshen	72.24	79.10	72.74 (+0.50)	80.00 (+0.90)

Table 4: Ablation experiments with normal Label-smoothing Loss and Propensity-Corrected Loss on Test_public set. The best results are in **bold**. “RoBERTa-wwm”⁸ and “MacBERT”⁹ (Cui et al., 2020) are implemented by accessing Huggingface.

propensity by itself rather than loss’ help.

5 Conclusion

In this work, we introduce Erlangshen with novel KDM method in MLM. Erlangshen also benefit from large-scale unlabeled data and prompted surprised datasets. By observing QBQTC dataset, we propose a new Propensity-Corrected Loss to encourage the model to predict the dominant categories, which corrects the predicting propensity. Our approach achieve the best results on *CLUE Semantic Matching Challenge* leaderboard. The ablation studies demonstrate the effectiveness of our designs.

In future, we plan to explore the Propensity-Corrected Loss in local and global level of the dataset. On the other hand, we will investigate the capability of Erlangshen in other NLP tasks.

⁸<https://huggingface.co/hfl/chinese-roberta-wwm-ext-large>

⁹<https://huggingface.co/hfl/chinese-macbert-large>

Ethical Consideration

Natural language processing is an important technology in our society. It is necessary to discuss its ethical influence (Leidner and Plachouras, 2017). In this work, we develop a novel language model and the PCL loss. As discussed in (Schramowski et al., 2022, 2019; Blodgett et al., 2020), language models might contain human-like biases from pre-trained datasets, which might embed in both the parameters of the models and outputs. In addition, we know that Erlangshen with PCL loss can be employed in similar applications, which may have potential to be abused and caused unexpected influence. We encourage open debating on its utilization, such as the task selection and the deployment, hoping to reduce the chance of any misconduct.

语义匹配榜 项目地址 提交规则 2021年12月12日, 开始启用!						
排行	模型	研究机构	测评时间	搜索匹配(QBQC) F1 Score	搜索匹配(QBQC) Acc	
1	二郎神-similarity	IDEA研究院	22-05-20	72.542	78.940	
2	DML RoBERTa	CMB AI Lab	22-04-11	72.517	78.250	
3	roberta-large	菜包	22-03-30	72.144	78.540	
4	bert	daydayup	22-03-30	71.432	77.380	
5	T5	博通	22-03-29	67.070	74.630	
6	first_model	nlp_tech	22-03-16	66.769	74.050	
7	RoBERTa-wwm-ext-large	CLUE	21-12-12	66.300	73.100	
8	博通	博通	22-03-17	65.604	74.170	
9	RoBERTa_sim	Waseda	22-05-12	64.614	73.360	
10	Bert-base-chinese	CLUE	21-12-12	64.100	71.800	
11	RoBERTa-wwm-ext	CLUE	21-12-12	64.000	71.000	

Figure 1: A screenshot of CLUE Semantic Matching Challenge official website. Access Date: July 10, 2022.

References

- Su Lin Blodgett, Solon Barocas, Hal Daumé III, and Hanna M. Wallach. 2020. Language (technology) is power: A critical survey of "bias" in NLP. In *ACL*, pages 5454–5476. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Yiming Cui, Wanxiang Che, Ting Liu, Bing Qin, Shijin Wang, and Guoping Hu. 2020. Revisiting pre-trained models for chinese natural language processing. In *EMNLP (Findings)*, volume EMNLP 2020 of *Findings of ACL*, pages 657–668. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Yiming Cui, Wanxiang Che, Ting Liu, Bing Qin, and Ziqing Yang. 2021. [Pre-training with whole word masking for chinese bert](#). *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing*, 29:3504–3514.
- Jacob Devlin, Ming-Wei Chang, Kenton Lee, and Kristina Toutanova. 2019. BERT: pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding. In *NAACL-HLT (1)*, pages 4171–4186. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Zhenzhong Lan, Mingda Chen, Sebastian Goodman, Kevin Gimpel, Piyush Sharma, and Radu Soricut. 2020. ALBERT: A lite BERT for self-supervised learning of language representations. In *ICLR*. OpenReview.net.
- Jochen L. Leidner and Vassilis Plachouras. 2017. Ethical by design: Ethics best practices for natural language processing. In *EthNLP@EACL*, pages 30–40. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Han Li, Yash Govind, Sidharth Mudgal, Theodoros Rekatsinas, and AnHai Doan. 2021. Deep learning for semantic matching: A survey. *Journal of Computer Science and Cybernetics*, 37(4):365–402.
- Xiaoya Li, Xiaofei Sun, Yuxian Meng, Junjun Liang, Fei Wu, and Jiwei Li. 2020. Dice loss for data-imbalanced NLP tasks. In *ACL*, pages 465–476. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Patrick Schramowski, Cigdem Turan, Nico Andersen, Constantin A Rothkopf, and Kristian Kersting. 2022. Large pre-trained language models contain human-like biases of what is right and wrong to do. *Nature Machine Intelligence*, 4(3):258–268.
- Patrick Schramowski, Cigdem Turan, Sophie F. Jentsch, Constantin A. Rothkopf, and Kristian Kersting. 2019. BERT has a moral compass: Improvements of ethical and moral values of machines. *CoRR*, abs/1912.05238.
- Christian Szegedy, Vincent Vanhoucke, Sergey Ioffe, Jonathon Shlens, and Zbigniew Wojna. 2016. Rethinking the inception architecture for computer vision. In *CVPR*, pages 2818–2826. IEEE Computer Society.
- Ruibin Xiong, Yunchang Yang, Di He, Kai Zheng, Shuxin Zheng, Chen Xing, Huishuai Zhang, Yanyan Lan, Liwei Wang, and Tie-Yan Liu. 2020. On layer normalization in the transformer architecture. In *ICML*, volume 119 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pages 10524–10533. PMLR.
- Liang Xu, Hai Hu, Xuanwei Zhang, Lu Li, Chenjie Cao, Yudong Li, Yechen Xu, Kai Sun, Dian Yu, Cong Yu, Yin Tian, Qianqian Dong, Weitang Liu, Bo Shi, Yiming Cui, Junyi Li, Jun Zeng, Rongzhao Wang, Weijian Xie, Yanting Li, Yina Patterson, Zuoyu Tian, Yiwen Zhang, He Zhou, Shaowei Hua Liu, Zhe Zhao, Qipeng Zhao, Cong Yue, Xinrui Zhang, Zhengliang Yang, Kyle Richardson, and Zhenzhong Lan. 2020. [CLUE: A Chinese language understanding evaluation benchmark](#). In *Proceedings of the 28th International Conference on Com-*

putational Linguistics, pages 4762–4772, Barcelona, Spain (Online). International Committee on Computational Linguistics.