

STATIC NEAR HORIZON GEOMETRIES AND RIGIDITY OF QUASI-EINSTEIN MANIFOLDS

ERIC BAHUAUD, SHARMILA GUNASEKARAN, HARI K KUNDURI, AND ERIC WOOLGAR

ABSTRACT. Static vacuum near horizon geometries are solutions (M, g, X) of a certain quasi-Einstein equation on a closed manifold M , where g is a Riemannian metric and X is a closed 1-form. It is known that when the cosmological constant vanishes, there is rigidity: X vanishes and consequently g is Ricci flat. We study this form of rigidity for all signs of the cosmological constant. It has been asserted that this rigidity also holds when the cosmological constant is negative, but we exhibit a counter-example. We show that for negative cosmological constant if X does not vanish identically, it must be incompressible, have constant norm, and be nontrivial in cohomology, and (M, g) must have constant scalar curvature and zero Euler characteristic. If the cosmological constant is positive, X must be exact (and vanishing if $\dim M = 2$). Our results apply more generally to a broad class of quasi-Einstein equations on closed manifolds. We extend some known results for quasi-Einstein metrics with exact 1-form X to the closed X case. We consider near horizon geometries for which the vacuum condition is relaxed somewhat to allow for the presence of a limited class of matter fields. An appendix contains a generalization of a result of Lucietti on the Yamabe type of quasi-Einstein compact metrics (with arbitrary X).

1. INTRODUCTION

A spacetime is said to possess a black hole if it has two disjoint open regions, one of which can communicate with infinity by causal signals and one of which cannot, connected by a boundary. The boundary is the event horizon. For static and, more generally, stationary black holes, the event horizon is also a Killing horizon, meaning that there is a linear combination of Killing vector fields which is null at the horizon and timelike outside it. If the Killing horizon has vanishing surface gravity (zero temperature), it is called degenerate and the black hole is called extreme.

The near horizon geometry equations describe the geometry of degenerate Killing horizons in spacetime (M, g) . Through a limiting procedure at the Killing horizon, one can construct a spacetime metric of the form

$$(1.1) \quad \mathbf{g} = 2dv \left(dr + rX_i(x)dx^i + \frac{1}{2}r^2Y(x)dv \right) + g_{ij}(x)dx^i dx^j$$

that obeys the Einstein equations. The coordinates x^i parametrize a closed Riemannian submanifold (M, g) of dimension n (then the spacetime has dimension $n + 2$). If the original spacetime is static, so that ∂_v is timelike outside the horizon and hypersurface-orthogonal, then the 1-form X is closed, so $dX = 0$, and furthermore $dY = YX$. For a review, see [6].

Rigidity results for near horizon geometries inform uniqueness results for extreme black holes of corresponding spacetimes. We will review this connection in the next section.

The static vacuum near horizon geometry problem reduces to the problem of finding a metric of constant 2-Bakry-Émery Ricci curvature with closed 1-form X . We define the m -Bakry-Émery Ricci curvature as follows.

Definition 1.1. *Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold. For $m \in (0, \infty)$ and a 1-form X , the m -Bakry-Émery Ricci curvature Ric_X^m is defined by*

$$(1.2) \quad \text{Ric}_X^m := \text{Ric} + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}_X g - \frac{1}{m}X \otimes X.$$

The quasi-Einstein equation is the equation

$$(1.3) \quad \text{Ric}_X^m = \lambda g, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}.$$

A quasi-Einstein manifold is the triplet (M, g, X) satisfying (1.3). If $m = 2$, the quasi-Einstein manifold is called a vacuum near horizon geometry and if in addition, $dX = 0$, then it is called a static vacuum near horizon geometry.

In a slight abuse of notation, we use the same symbol for the 1-form X and its metric-dual vector field (we also do not use distinct symbols for the spacetime X of (1.1) and its pullback to (M, g) in (1.2)). There is an extended definition of m -Bakry-Émery Ricci curvature for $m \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm\infty\}$, but the extension is not useful for our present purposes. We use the term *quasi-Einstein equation* in a somewhat restricted sense, in that we require m and λ to be constants (following usage in, e.g., [3]; other authors permit these quantities to be functions, e.g., [5]), with $m \in (0, \infty)$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

Many results that are true for near horizon geometries are also true for m -quasi-Einstein manifolds with m taking values on an interval containing $m = 2$. Our goal is to find solutions (M, g, X) of (1.3) for a closed manifold M and a closed 1-form X and in particular to determine the conditions under which such solutions must be Einstein. We extend some results of [3] in the case of X an exact (sometimes called gradient) 1-form and of [4] when $m = 2$ (see also [2, Theorem 1.1]). In [4], it is shown that when $\lambda = 0$ then X vanishes and (M, g) is Einstein. It was also claimed in [4] that the same result holds for $\lambda < 0$, though an explicit proof was not given. The claim became Theorem 4.1 of [6].¹ However, a counter-example to this claim exists. Lim [8] searched for solutions of the quasi-Einstein equation on homogeneous 3-manifolds. One of the solutions that she found yields a non-Einstein static vacuum near horizon geometry with $\lambda < 0$. Let $M = \mathbb{S}^1 \times \Sigma$, where Σ is a compact hyperbolic 2-manifold with metric g_Σ of sectional curvature -2 . Endow M with the metric

$$(1.4) \quad g = d\Phi^2 \oplus g_\Sigma = \frac{1}{4}(X \otimes X) \oplus g_\Sigma,$$

where $X = 2d\Phi$ in local coordinates so X is closed but not exact since Φ is multi-valued on any open patch covering \mathbb{S}^1 . It is easy to see that (M, g, X) solves the quasi-Einstein equation with $m = 2$ and $\lambda = -2$. The following 5-dimensional spacetime (1.1) possesses a degenerate Killing horizon whose near horizon geometry is (M, g, X) :

$$(1.5) \quad ds_5^2 = g_{\text{XBTZ}} \oplus g_\Sigma = \frac{2dvdr}{\sqrt{r+a}} + 8rdv d\Phi + 4(r+a)d\Phi^2 + g_\Sigma,$$

where $a > 0$ is constant. Here g_{XBTZ} is an extreme BTZ black hole metric (recall that any BTZ metric is locally isometric to AdS_3 , so the Ricci tensor of g_{XBTZ} is $\text{Ric}_{g_{\text{XBTZ}}} = -2g_{\text{XBTZ}}$). The 5-dimensional near horizon limit of (1.5) is obtained by making the replacements $r \mapsto \epsilon r$, $v \mapsto v/\epsilon$, and taking $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, and yields

$$(1.6) \quad \mathbf{g} = \frac{2dvdr}{\sqrt{a}} + 8rdv d\Phi + 4ad\Phi^2 + g_\Sigma,$$

from which we can read off (1.4) by choosing $a = \frac{1}{4}$.

We now state our main theorem, which recovers the $\lambda = 0$ rigidity result of [4], gives an extension to nonzero λ which accounts for the above example, and extends some of the results of [3] to cases where X is closed but not assumed to be exact. As our results hold for any $m \in (0, \infty)$, we have stated and proved them for quasi-Einstein manifolds from which the static near horizon geometry case can be obtained by applying $m = 2$.

¹The reader should note that [6] appears in a journal that permits articles to be updated after publication. We refer here to Theorem 4.1 of [6] as it exists at the time that we are writing.

Theorem 1.2. *Let (M, g, X) be a closed manifold such that (g, X) solves (1.3) for some $m \in (0, \infty)$ and some 1-form X such that $dX = 0$.*

- (i) *If $\lambda > 0$ then X is exact and (M, g) is of positive Yamabe type.² If the scalar curvature obeys $R = \text{const}$ then $X = 0$ and (M, g) is Einstein.*
- (ii) *If $\lambda = 0$ then $X = 0$ and (M, g) is Ricci-flat.*
- (iii) *If $\lambda < 0$, then the scalar curvature is constant and either*
 - a) *X is not exact, $n := \dim M \geq 3$, and (M, g, X) obeys*

$$(1.7) \quad \text{div}_g X = 0, \quad |X|_g^2 = -m\lambda, \quad R = (n-1)\lambda \quad \text{and} \quad \chi(M) = 0$$

- or (M, g) is negative Einstein*
- b) *If $b_1(M) = 0$ and $\lambda < 0$ then (M, g) is negative Einstein.*

The statement of Theorem 1.2.(i) raises the possibility that there might be nontrivial quasi-Einstein metrics with $\lambda > 0$ on a closed manifold. The next result shows that this is not possible if M is 2-dimensional, except perhaps if $m = 1$.

Theorem 1.3. *Let (M, g, X) be a solution of (1.3) on a closed 2-manifold M with $dX = 0$, $m > 0$, and $m \neq 1$.*

- (i) *For $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, if Σ is a codimension m slice of $(m+2)$ -dimensional Riemannian Schwarzschild-de Sitter space orthogonal to the orbits of $\text{SO}(m+1)$ symmetry, then (M, g) embeds isometrically in Σ .*
- (ii) *If X is an exact form then (M, g) is Einstein.*
- (iii) *If $\lambda > 0$ then (M, g) is Einstein.*

The Riemannian Schwarzschild-de Sitter metric is an incomplete metric on the product of a cylinder with an m -sphere; see Section 4. The phrasing of Theorem 1.3.(ii) shows that it generalizes [3, Theorem 1.2] to include $0 < m < 1$. In the case of $m = 2$, Theorem 1.3.(iii) was proved in [4].

The following remarks are in order.

- (1) In the case of Theorem 1.2.(i), the assumption that M is closed is redundant, since the closure of M is guaranteed (even if X is not a closed form) by a theorem of Limoncu [9] whenever $\lambda > 0$, and the fundamental group is finite.
- (2) In every case where we obtain rigidity, we find that $X = 0$. Consequently, our conclusions provide stronger rigidity results than the conditions required for rigidity in the moduli space of metrics on a compact manifold (which would follow whenever $\mathcal{L}_X g - \frac{1}{m}X \otimes X$ is proportional to g).
- (3) It follows from Theorem 1.2.(i) and (ii) that all static locally homogeneous quasi-Einstein closed manifolds with $\lambda \geq 0$ are Einstein. But the example of [8] described above shows that this result does not extend to $\lambda < 0$.
- (4) A family of complete, inhomogeneous metrics on S^2 -bundles over Kähler-Einstein spaces constructed in [11] furnishes examples of static quasi-Einstein metrics with $\lambda > 0$ and $m > 1$ for which X is exact but does not vanish.
- (5) If $n = 2$ and $\lambda \leq 0$, it follows from these theorems that near horizon geometries ($m = 2$ and M closed) with closed 1-form X are Einstein. This corresponds to vacuum extreme black hole spacetimes with dimension 4 with negative or zero cosmological constant.
- (6) Compare Theorem 1.2.(i) to a result of Perelman [12, Sections 2.3 and 2.4], which shows that all expanding Ricci solitons ($\lambda > 0$ and $m = \infty$ in (1.3)) are gradient.

²If $n = 2$, the only closed orientable manifold of positive Yamabe type (positive Euler characteristic) is the 2-sphere \mathbb{S}^2 . If $n = 3$, the list consists of spherical spaces, $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^2$, and connected sums thereof.

- (7) The positivity of the Yamabe type for the $\lambda > 0$ case has been proved by Lucietti even when X is not closed, but only for $m = 2$ [10]. In the appendix, we will show that his proof actually works whenever $0 < m \leq \frac{4(n-1)}{(n-2)}$. We will need this fact in the proof of Theorem 1.2.(i) when m lies between 0 and 1.

These results indicate that the condition $dX = 0$ is a promising natural condition for rigidity (or at least further classification, in view of the metrics of [11] and the metric (1.4)) of quasi-Einstein metrics on closed manifolds. Without this condition, there are many examples of near horizon geometries that are not Einstein, e.g., the near horizon geometries of the extreme Kerr and Myers-Perry black holes.

In Section 2, we review the reduction of static vacuum near horizon geometries to the study of a quasi-Einstein equation for (M, g, X) with $m = 2$ together with the condition that $dX = 0$. In Section 3, we then use this reduced system (but letting $m > 0$ be arbitrary) to prove Theorem 1.2. In section 4, we find that the local geometry of $\lambda > 0$ quasi-Einstein metrics with exact 1-form X arising from Theorem 1.2.(i) takes the form of a slice of the Schwarzschild-de Sitter geometry when m is an integer greater than 1 (Lemma 4.1). The geometry of such a slice cannot be smoothly compactified, which yields the proof of Theorem 1.3. In Section 5 we turn our attention to static near horizon geometries in the presence of matter. We will describe the near horizon geometries for these equations and introduce restrictive but physically reasonable assumptions under which those equations reduce to the form of Equation (1.3). This will allow us to apply Theorem 1.2 to static near horizon geometries with some forms of matter. The appendix contains a version of Lucietti's $m = 2$ proof of the positivity of the Yamabe type for near horizon geometries (with general X) which holds for $m \leq \frac{4(n-1)}{(n-2)}$. This is used to extend the proof of Theorem 1.2.(i) from $m \geq 1$ to $m > 0$.

1.1. Conventions. Throughout, (M, g) is a connected n -manifold. We also take M to be closed (compact without boundary). We will take X to be a closed 1-form on (M, g) , except that we relax this condition in the Appendix. We take \mathbf{g} to obey the vacuum Einstein equations with cosmological constant, except that we relax the vacuum condition in Section 5. Acting on functions, Δ_g denotes the trace of the Hessian Hess_g ; on 1-forms, etc, it is the rough (or connection) Laplacian. Similarly, $\text{div}_g \omega$ is the trace of the covariant derivative of a 1-form ω . Quantities with raised indices are g -metric duals of the same quantities with lower indices, and conversely. We use the symbol \otimes to denote symmetric tensor product. We always assume that $m \in (0, \infty)$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ in equation (1.3).

1.2. Acknowledgements. The research of EB was partially supported by a Simons Foundation Grant (#426628, E. Bahuaud). The research of HK was supported by NSERC grant RGPIN-04887-2018. The research of EW was supported by NSERC grant RGPIN-2022-03440.

Data sharing not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analysed during the current study.

2. THE STATIC NEAR HORIZON GEOMETRY EQUATIONS

The vacuum Einstein equations are

$$(2.1) \quad \text{Ric}(\mathbf{g}) - \frac{1}{2}R\mathbf{g} + \Lambda\mathbf{g} = 0.$$

These impose conditions on the quantities Y , X_i , and g_{ij} appearing in (1.1). From [6, equation (12) and Section 4], these conditions are

$$(2.2) \quad \lambda = Y - \frac{1}{2}|X|_g^2 + \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{div}_g X,$$

$$(2.3) \quad \lambda g = \operatorname{Ric}_X^2(g) := \operatorname{Ric}(g) + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}_X g - \frac{1}{2}X \otimes X,$$

$$(2.4) \quad 0 = \Delta_g Y - 3\nabla_X Y - Y \operatorname{div}_g X + 2Y|X|_g^2 - \frac{1}{2}|dX|_g^2,$$

$$(2.5) \quad 0 = \nabla_i Y - Y X_i - X^j (\nabla_i X_j - \nabla_j X_i) + \frac{1}{2}\nabla^j (\nabla_i X_j - \nabla_j X_i).$$

Here ∇ is the connection of g , and g is used to raise and lower indices. If the spacetime cosmological constant is Λ then $\lambda = \frac{2\Lambda}{n}$ where $n = \dim M$ (so the spacetime dimension is $n + 2$). By $|dX|_g^2$, we mean $(\nabla_i X_j - \nabla_j X_i)(\nabla^i X^j - \nabla^j X^i)$. To obtain the *static* near horizon geometry equations, we augment this system with the condition

$$(2.6) \quad dX = 0.$$

We may use (2.6) to reduce the above system to

$$(2.7) \quad \lambda = Y - \frac{1}{2}|X|_g^2 + \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{div}_g X,$$

$$(2.8) \quad \lambda g = \operatorname{Ric}_X^2(g) := \operatorname{Ric}(g) + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}_X g - \frac{1}{2}X \otimes X,$$

$$(2.9) \quad 0 = \Delta_g Y - 3\nabla_X Y - Y \operatorname{div}_g X + 2Y|X|_g^2,$$

$$(2.10) \quad 0 = \nabla_i Y - Y X_i,$$

$$(2.11) \quad 0 = dX.$$

Lemma 2.1. *Let (M, g, X) be a solution of equations (2.8) and (2.11). If (2.7) defines $Y = Y(g, X)$ in terms of this solution, then (2.9) and (2.10) are satisfied as well.*

Proof. Applying the operator $\nabla^i - 2X^i$ to (2.10) we obtain (2.9), so (2.9) is redundant and will automatically be satisfied if (2.10) is satisfied.

To see that (2.10) is satisfied when (2.8) is (if (2.7) defines Y), let R be the scalar curvature of g . Using equation (2.11) to write $(\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}_X g)_{ij} = \nabla_i X_j$ and then taking the divergence of (2.8), applying the contracted second Bianchi identity, and using the Ricci identity, we obtain

$$(2.12) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}\nabla_i R &= \frac{1}{2}X^j \nabla_j X_i + \frac{1}{2}X_i \operatorname{div}_g X - R_{ij}X^j - \nabla_i (\operatorname{div}_g X) \\ &= \frac{1}{4}\nabla_i (|X|_g^2) + \frac{1}{2}X_i \operatorname{div}_g X - R_{ij}X^j - \nabla_i (\operatorname{div}_g X), \end{aligned}$$

using (2.11) to write $\frac{1}{2}X^j \nabla_j X_i = \frac{1}{2}X^j \nabla_i X_j = \frac{1}{4}\nabla_i (|X|_g^2)$ in the last line. Now the trace of (2.8) yields

$$(2.13) \quad R = \frac{1}{2}|X|_g^2 - \operatorname{div}_g X + n\lambda,$$

where $n = \dim M$. Using this to substitute for R in (2.12) and also using (2.8) again to replace the R_{ij} term on the right, we obtain (after some cancellations) that

$$(2.14) \quad \nabla_i (\operatorname{div}_g X - |X|_g^2 - 2\lambda) = (\operatorname{div}_g X - |X|_g^2 - 2\lambda) X_i.$$

Finally, using (2.7) to define $Y := -\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{div}_g X + \frac{1}{2}|X|_g^2 + \lambda$, then (2.14) can be written as

$$(2.15) \quad \nabla_i Y = Y X_i,$$

which is (2.10). □

3. STATIC QUASI-EINSTEIN MANIFOLDS: PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

Lemma 2.1 reduces the study of the static vacuum near horizon geometry equations to the study of the system comprising (2.8) and (2.11). Equation (2.8) is the $m = 2$ case of the quasi-Einstein equation (1.3). While our interest in Theorem 1.2 is the near horizon geometry case of $m = 2$, the theorem is just as easily proved for arbitrary $m > 0$, so in this section we replace (2.8) by (1.3) and consider the system comprising (1.3) and (2.11).

We first need the following lemma which applies when X is an exact form.

Lemma 3.1. *Let the quasi-Einstein equation (1.3) hold on a closed manifold M with $X = df$ and $m > 0$. Then*

$$(3.1) \quad \Delta_g f - |df|_g^2 - m\lambda = -m\mu e^{2f/m}$$

for some arbitrary constant μ (sometimes called the characteristic constant).

Proof. In the gradient case $X = df$, the quasi-Einstein equation is

$$(3.2) \quad \text{Ric}_g + \text{Hess}_g f - \frac{1}{m} df \otimes df = \lambda g.$$

Then

$$(3.3) \quad R + \Delta_g f - \frac{1}{m} |df|_g^2 = n\lambda,$$

where R is the scalar curvature and Δ is the trace of the Hessian. Applying the contracted second Bianchi identity to (3.2), then

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{1}{2} \nabla_i R + \nabla_j \nabla_i \nabla^j f - \frac{1}{m} \left[\frac{1}{2} \nabla_i (|df|_g^2) + (\Delta_g f) \nabla_i f \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \nabla_i R + R_{ij} \nabla^j f + \nabla_i \Delta_g f - \frac{1}{m} \left[\frac{1}{2} \nabla_i (|df|_g^2) + (\Delta_g f) \nabla_i f \right], \end{aligned}$$

using the Ricci identity in the second line. Using (3.2) and (3.3) to replace R_{ij} and R , respectively, we get

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{1}{2} \nabla_i \left[\frac{1}{m} |df|_g^2 - \Delta_g f \right] + \left[\frac{1}{m} |df|_g^2 \nabla_i f - \frac{1}{2} \nabla_i (|df|_g^2) + \lambda \nabla_i f \right] \\ &\quad + \nabla_i \Delta_g f - \frac{1}{2m} \nabla_i (|df|_g^2) - \frac{1}{m} (\Delta_g f) \nabla_i f \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \nabla_i \left[\Delta_g f - |df|_g^2 \right] - \frac{1}{m} (\Delta_g f - |df|_g^2) \nabla_i f + \lambda \nabla_i f \\ &= \frac{e^{2f/m}}{2} \nabla_i \left[e^{-2f/m} (\Delta_g f - |df|_g^2 - m\lambda) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Then the result follows. □

The above lemma will be needed in the proof of the next lemma, from which Theorem 1.2 will follow.

Lemma 3.2. *Let (X, g) solve the quasi-Einstein equation (1.3) on a compact manifold M , where X is a closed 1-form $dX = 0$ and $m > 0$.*

- (i) *If $\lambda > 0$ then X is an exact form; i.e., $X = df$ for some $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and f obeys (3.1).*
- (ii) *If $\lambda = 0$ then X vanishes and (M, g) is Ricci-flat.*

(iii) If $\lambda < 0$ then either X is exact or X obeys

$$(3.6) \quad \operatorname{div}_g X - |X|_g^2 = m\lambda.$$

Note that when X is exact and (M, g) is a closed manifold, then [3] has enumerated several circumstances for which (M, g) is Einstein, including if $n = 2$ ([3, Theorem 1.2]), or if $\lambda = 0$ and $m > 1$ ([3, Proposition 3.6.(b)]). Part (ii) of the above Lemma improves the latter result to include all $m > 0$.

Proof. We generalize an argument made in [4] for the $\lambda = 0$ case, but which holds for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Cover M by contractible domains, so that on each such domain \mathcal{O}_α we have $X = df_\alpha$. Equation (3.1) holds for f_α in each domain \mathcal{O}_α , where from equation (3.5) there is a $\mu_\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ such that on \mathcal{O}_α we have

$$(3.7) \quad \Delta_g f_\alpha - |df_\alpha|_g^2 - m\lambda = -m\mu_\alpha e^{2f_\alpha/m}.$$

The left-hand side of this expression equals $\operatorname{div}_g X - |X|_g^2 - m\lambda$ and is defined independently of f_α . But on non-empty overlap regions $\mathcal{O}_\alpha \cap \mathcal{O}_\beta$, f_α and f_β can differ (at most) by a constant $f_\beta - f_\alpha = C_{\alpha\beta} \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus, from the right-hand side of (3.7), we have $m\mu_\alpha e^{2f_\alpha/m} = m\mu_\beta e^{2f_\beta/m}$ on $\mathcal{O}_\alpha \cap \mathcal{O}_\beta$, so all the μ_α must have the same sign (either all > 0 , all < 0 , or all $= 0$).³

Assume that the μ_α are not all zero, and so are either all positive or all negative. Then, since $m \neq 0$, we must have $\mu_\alpha e^{2f_\alpha/m} = \mu_\beta e^{2f_\beta/m} = \mu_\beta e^{2(f_\alpha + C_{\alpha\beta})/m}$ on a non-empty overlap region $\mathcal{O}_\alpha \cap \mathcal{O}_\beta$. But this implies that $\mu_\alpha = \mu_\beta e^{2C_{\alpha\beta}/m}$. Therefore, whenever the μ_α are not all zero, we can extend f_α to $\mathcal{O}_\alpha \cup \mathcal{O}_\beta$ simply by redefining μ_β to absorb $e^{2C_{\alpha\beta}/m}$. Iterating, we obtain a globally defined f , and then (3.7) implies (3.1). This proves that either X is exact or the μ_α are all zero. If the μ_α are all zero, then (3.7) yields (3.6), so (3.6) must hold whenever X is not exact. This holds for all signs of λ . For $\lambda < 0$ it proves Lemma 3.2.(iii).

Integrating (3.6) over the closed manifold M , we obtain

$$(3.8) \quad -m\lambda = \frac{\int_M |X|_g^2 dV}{\operatorname{Vol}(M)}.$$

Hence (3.6) cannot hold if $\lambda > 0$, and if $\lambda = 0$ it implies that $X \equiv 0$, so we conclude that X is necessarily exact unless $\lambda < 0$. This proves Lemma 3.2.(i).

If $\lambda = 0$, it remains to consider only the case of exact X , and then (3.1) applies globally on M . But integrating (3.1) on a compact manifold with $\lambda = 0$, we obtain $\int_M |df|_g^2 dV = \mu \int_M e^{2f/m} dV$, so $\mu \geq 0$ and if $\mu = 0$ then $f = \text{const}$, implying that $df \equiv X = 0$ and so the quasi-Einstein equation becomes simply $\operatorname{Ric} = 0$. If, on the other hand, $\mu > 0$ then both sides of (3.1) are strictly negative everywhere. This is a contradiction, since f must achieve a minimum on the closed manifold M and the left-hand side of (3.1) cannot be negative at a minimum. This proves Lemma 3.2.(ii). \square

Lemma 3.2.(i) can also be proved by noting that Myers's theorem holds for $\operatorname{Ric}_X^m \geq \lambda > 0$ [9], and in consequence the fundamental group must be finite, which in turn implies that $b_1(M) = 0$. Then all closed 1-forms are exact. Then we can again apply Lemma 3.1.

We can improve on case (iii) of Lemma 3.2, as we show in the next result.

Lemma 3.3. *Let (M, g, X) solve the quasi-Einstein equation (1.3) with $\lambda < 0$, M a closed manifold, and X a closed 1-form $dX = 0$. Further assume that equation (3.6) holds. Then X is incompressible (i.e., $\operatorname{div}_g X = 0$) and has constant norm $|X|_g^2 = -m\lambda$. The Euler characteristic of M is zero. The scalar curvature of (M, g) is $R = (n - 1)\lambda$, and therefore $\dim M := n \neq 2$.*

³It follows that $\operatorname{div}_g X - |X|_g^2 - m\lambda$ is either strictly positive, strictly negative, or identically zero on (M, g) . We will see that, for $\lambda < 0$, it is zero.

Proof. Note that by the Ricci identity we have $\nabla_i \operatorname{div}_g X = \nabla_j \nabla_i X^j - R_{ij} X^j$. Furthermore, $\nabla_j \nabla_i X^j \equiv \nabla^j \nabla_i X_j = \nabla^j \nabla_j X_i \equiv \Delta_g X_i$ since $\nabla_i X_j = \nabla_j X_i$ (i.e., since $dX = 0$). Here $\Delta_g X_i$ is the rough (or connection) Laplacian (i.e. $\operatorname{tr}_g \nabla^2$) acting on X_i . By differentiating (3.6) and commuting derivatives as we have just done, we obtain

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &= \nabla (\operatorname{div}_g X - |X|_g^2 - m\lambda) \\ &= \Delta_g X - \operatorname{Ric}(\cdot, X) - \nabla (|X|_g^2). \end{aligned}$$

Contracting against $2X$ and using that $2X^i \Delta_g X_i = \Delta_g (|X|_g^2) - 2|\nabla X|_g^2$, we obtain

$$(3.10) \quad 0 = \Delta_g (|X|_g^2) - 2|\nabla X|_g^2 - 2\operatorname{Ric}(X, X) - 2\nabla_X (|X|_g^2).$$

But from (1.3) we have that

$$(3.11) \quad \operatorname{Ric}(X, X) = -\frac{1}{2}\nabla_X (|X|_g^2) + \frac{1}{m} (|X|_g^2)^2 + \lambda|X|_g^2.$$

We insert this into (3.10) to obtain

$$(3.12) \quad 0 = \Delta_g (|X|_g^2) - \nabla_X (|X|_g^2) - 2|\nabla X|_g^2 - \frac{2}{m}|X|_g^2 (|X|_g^2 + m\lambda)$$

$$(3.13) \quad \implies \Delta_g (|X|_g^2) - \nabla_X (|X|_g^2) - 2|\nabla X|_g^2 = \frac{2}{m}|X|_g^2 (|X|_g^2 + m\lambda).$$

Since $|X|_g^2$ must achieve a maximum on the closed manifold M and since every term on the left in (3.13) is nonpositive at a maximum, the right-hand side must also be nonpositive at a maximum of $|X|_g^2$. Therefore $|X|_g^2 \leq -m\lambda$ at the maximum of $|X|_g^2$, and hence everywhere. But from (3.8) we see that the average value of $|X|_g^2$ on M is $-m\lambda$. Since the maximum of $|X|_g^2$ equals its average, it must be constant and so $|X|_g^2 \equiv -m\lambda$ on M . Then from (3.6) we have $\operatorname{div}_g X = 0$. Then from the trace of equation (1.3), we get that $R = (n-1)\lambda$. The Euler characteristic must vanish because $|X|$ is never zero. But since $\int_M R dV = (n-1)\lambda \operatorname{Vol}(M) < 0$, we have a contradiction when $n = 2$. \square

Now we prove the main result.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. To prove Theorem 1.2.(i), choose $\lambda > 0$. Then by Lemma 3.2.(i) we know that $X = df$. Then we may use equation (3.3). Multiplying this equation by $e^{-f/m}$ and integrating over the closed manifold M , we obtain $\int_M (R - n\lambda)e^{-f/m} dV = 0$. If R is constant then we must have $R = n\lambda$, so (3.3) becomes $\Delta_g f - \frac{1}{m}|df|_g^2 = 0$. Then the strong maximum principle implies that $f = \text{const}$, so $X = 0$ and then the quasi-Einstein equation (1.3) becomes simply $\operatorname{Ric} = \lambda g$.

To prove the assertion concerning the Yamabe type, first let $m \geq 1$. Then we may use that X is exact to invoke [3, Proposition 3.6.(a)], which states that $R \geq \frac{n(n-1)}{(n+m-1)}\lambda > 0$. Then a standard argument, using only that (M, g) is closed and $R > 0$, shows that the Yamabe constant of the conformal class of the metric g must be positive and hence so is the Yamabe invariant (the supremum over conformal classes of the Yamabe constant of each class). For $0 < m < 1$ and $n > 2$, we may invoke Proposition A.1. Finally, for $n = 2$, the Yamabe type is the sign of the Euler characteristic $\chi(M)$. We take the trace of (1.3) and integrate to get $2\pi\chi(M) = \int_M R dV_g = \lambda \operatorname{Vol}(M) + \frac{1}{m} \int_M |X|_g dV_g > 0$. This proves Theorem 1.2.(i).

Theorem 1.2.(ii) follows immediately from Lemma 3.2.(ii) so there is nothing to do.

To prove Theorem 1.2.(iii), assume that X is exact. Then equation (3.1) holds. Then at a maximum, say $f = f_{\max}$, we have $\Delta_g f \leq 0$. From (3.1) then $-m\lambda \geq -m\mu e^{2f_{\max}/m}$. At a minimum, say $f = f_{\min}$, we have $\Delta_g f \geq 0$ so $-m\lambda \leq -m\mu e^{2f_{\min}/m}$. Putting these together we have $-m\mu e^{2f_{\max}/m} \leq -m\mu e^{2f_{\min}/m}$. Now μ has the same sign as λ . [Proof: multiply (3.1)

by e^{-f} and integrate to get $-m\lambda \int e^{-f} dV = -m\mu \int e^{(2-m)f/m} dV$.] So $m\mu < 0$. Then from $-m\mu e^{2f_{\max}/m} \leq -m\mu e^{2f_{\min}/m}$ we get that $e^{2f_{\max}/m} \leq e^{2f_{\min}/m}$, so $f_{\max}/m \leq f_{\min}/m$. If $m > 0$ this yields $f_{\max} \leq f_{\min}$, so f is constant and g is Einstein. If $b_1(M) = 0$ then X is necessarily exact, so then g is Einstein. But if X is not exact, then by Lemma 3.2.(iii) we must have that $\operatorname{div}_g X - |X|_g^2 = m\lambda$ and then by Lemma 3.3 we have $\operatorname{div}_g X = 0$, $|X|_g^2 = -m\lambda$, $\chi(M) = 0$, $R = (n-1)\lambda$, and $n \neq 2$. \square

4. THE CASE OF $n = 2$ DIMENSIONS

When $\lambda > 0$ and M is closed, Theorem 1.2.(i) asserts that the closed 1-form X is exact. In [4] it is shown that if one further assumes that $n = m = 2$, the 1-form vanishes and (M, g) is Einstein. In this section we fix $\lambda > 0$ and $n = 2$, but allow m to vary, and ask whether there are quasi-Einstein metrics with exact, nonvanishing X .

In $n = 2$ dimensions we have $\operatorname{Ric} = \frac{1}{2}Rg$, and since $\lambda > 0$ then by Theorem 1.2.(i) we have $X = df$, so (1.3) becomes

$$(4.1) \quad (R - 2\lambda)g + 2 \operatorname{Hess} f - \frac{2}{m} df \otimes df = 0.$$

Defining

$$(4.2) \quad \psi := e^{-f/m} > 0,$$

we can write (4.1) as

$$(4.3) \quad 2m \operatorname{Hess} \psi = (R - 2\lambda) \psi g.$$

By [1, paragraph 9.117], if a manifold (M, g) admits a function ψ such that $\operatorname{Hess} \psi$ is pure trace, then (M, g) is a warped product, except at points where $d\psi$ vanishes (hence it may be a smooth cone or suspension). In the current case, the fibres are necessarily one-dimensional and therefore the tangent spaces to the fibres are spanned by a Killing vector field. The effect of this will be that our partial differential equations will reduce to ordinary differential equations, though we will continue to write them as partial differential equations for now.

The trace of (4.3) yields

$$(4.4) \quad m\Delta\psi - (R - 2\lambda)\psi = 0.$$

Taking the divergence of equation (4.3) and using the Ricci identity to commute derivatives (here $\nabla_i \Delta\psi = \Delta \nabla_i \psi - R_{ij} \nabla^j \psi = \Delta \nabla_i \psi - \frac{1}{2} R \nabla_i \psi$) and inserting (4.4), we may write the resulting equation as

$$(4.5) \quad \nabla_i \left(R\psi^{m+1} - \frac{2\lambda}{(m+1)} \psi^{m+1} \right) = 0,$$

so

$$(4.6) \quad R = \frac{2\lambda}{(m+1)} + C\psi^{-(m+1)}$$

for some $C \in \mathbb{R}$. Substituting this into (4.4), we obtain

$$(4.7) \quad \Delta\psi + \frac{2\lambda}{(m+1)}\psi = \frac{C}{m}\psi^{-m}.$$

Integrating over M and using that $\psi > 0$ so that the integrals are both positive, we obtain that C and λ must have the same sign, so $C > 0$. Then by (4.6), we have that $R \geq \frac{2\lambda}{(m+1)} > 0$. It follows that M , if smooth and orientable, is a 2-sphere (if non-orientable, a projective plane).

Separately from these considerations, (3.1) can be written in terms of ψ as

$$(4.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta(\psi^m) &= m(\mu\psi^{m-2} - \lambda\psi^m) \\ \implies \Delta\psi + \frac{(m-1)}{\psi}|d\psi|^2 &= \frac{\mu}{\psi} - \lambda\psi. \end{aligned}$$

We recall that $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ must also have the same sign as λ . Subtracting (4.7) from (4.8), we obtain

$$(4.9) \quad (m-1) \left(|d\psi|^2 + \frac{\lambda\psi^2}{(m+1)} \right) = \mu - \frac{C}{m}\psi^{1-m}.$$

If $m = 1$, this equation simply identifies the constants $C = \mu$. If $m \neq 1$, the constants appearing in this equation must be chosen so that $\frac{1}{(m-1)} \left(\mu - \frac{C}{m}\psi^{1-m} - \frac{(m-1)\lambda\psi^2}{(m+1)} \right) > 0$ for some open domain.

Now restrict to $m \neq 1$. We write the metric in Gaussian normal coordinates about a level set of ψ , presumed to be two-sided. Choose the normal 1-form to be $d\psi/|d\psi|$, so the metric takes the form

$$(4.10) \quad ds^2 = \frac{d\psi^2}{|d\psi|^2} + F^2(\psi, \phi)d\phi^2 = \frac{d\psi^2}{\left(\frac{\mu}{(m-1)} - \frac{C}{m(m-1)}\psi^{1-m} - \frac{\lambda\psi^2}{(m+1)} \right)} + F^2(\psi, \phi)d\phi^2,$$

using (4.9). Using this expression, we may expand the Laplacian in (4.7), which becomes

$$(4.11) \quad \begin{aligned} &\left(\frac{\mu}{(m-1)} - \frac{C}{m(m-1)}\psi^{1-m} - \frac{\lambda\psi^2}{(m+1)} \right) \frac{\partial \log F(\psi, \phi)}{\partial \psi} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi} \left(\frac{\mu}{(m-1)} - \frac{C}{m(m-1)}\psi^{1-m} - \frac{\lambda\psi^2}{(m+1)} \right) - \frac{2\lambda}{(m+1)}\psi + \frac{C}{m}\psi^{-m} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi} \left(\frac{\mu}{(m-1)} - \frac{C}{m(m-1)}\psi^{1-m} - \frac{\lambda\psi^2}{(m+1)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

where the first equality comes from expanding (4.7) and the final equality is an identity. Then

$$(4.12) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \log F(\psi, \phi)}{\partial \psi} &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi} \log \left(\frac{\mu}{(m-1)} - \frac{C}{m(m-1)}\psi^{1-m} - \frac{\lambda\psi^2}{(m+1)} \right) \\ \implies F(\psi, \phi) &= K(\phi) \left(\frac{\mu}{(m-1)} - \frac{C}{m(m-1)}\psi^{1-m} - \frac{\lambda\psi^2}{(m+1)} \right)^{1/2} =: K(\phi)\sqrt{V(\psi)} \end{aligned}$$

for some positive function $K(\phi)$, and so the metric (4.10) is a warped product (which we already knew to be the case). It is convenient to extract a factor of $\frac{\mu}{(m-1)}$ and to define new constants $a^{m-1} := \frac{C}{m\mu}$ and $\kappa := \frac{(m-1)\lambda}{(m+1)\mu}$. Note that $\kappa > 0$ if $m > 1$ and $\kappa < 0$ if $0 < m < 1$. Then

$$(4.13) \quad V(\psi) = 1 - \frac{a^{m-1}}{\psi^{m-1}} - \kappa\psi^2.$$

Furthermore, we define a new coordinate $\tau := \frac{\mu}{m-1} \int K(\phi)d\phi$. Then, discarding an overall factor of $\frac{m-1}{\mu}$, we may write the metric as

$$(4.14) \quad ds^2 = \frac{d\psi^2}{V(\psi)} + V(\psi)d\tau^2 = \frac{d\psi^2}{\left(1 - \frac{a^{m-1}}{\psi^{m-1}} - \kappa\psi^2 \right)} + \left(1 - \frac{a^{m-1}}{\psi^{m-1}} - \kappa\psi^2 \right) d\tau^2.$$

Lemma 4.1. *If M is a closed manifold and g solves the quasi-Einstein equation (1.3) with closed 1-form X , $\lambda > 0$, and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $m \geq 2$, then X is an exact form and (M, g) is locally isometric to*

a ' τ, r slice' of the $(m+2)$ -dimensional Riemannian-signature Schwarzschild-de Sitter metric

$$(4.15) \quad ds^2 = \frac{dr^2}{\left(1 - \frac{a^{m-1}}{r^{m-1}} - \kappa r^2\right)} + \left(1 - \frac{a^{m-1}}{r^{m-1}} - \kappa r^2\right) d\tau^2 + g(S^m, \text{can}), \quad \kappa > 0.$$

Proof. Compare (4.14) and (4.15). We note that the constants in (4.15) must be chosen so that there is a domain on which $1 - \frac{a^{m-1}}{r^{m-1}} - \kappa r^2 > 0$. \square

The metric (4.15) is an incomplete metric on a cylinder or, equivalently, the 2-sphere with its poles removed. To obtain a complete metric on a compact manifold, $V(r)$ must admit two distinct zeroes (the poles of the 2-sphere), say at $r = r_1$ and $r = r_2 > r_1$. Then the τ -circles collapse to points that serve as the poles of the sphere. This is smooth if and only if the period of τ at each pole is $\frac{4\pi}{V'(r_i)}$, $i = 1, 2$. The analysis holds whether m is an integer or not, though we exclude $m = 1$.

Lemma 4.2. *For $m > 0$ and $m \neq 1$, there is no smooth metric on S^2 which, when restricted to $S^2 \setminus \{p, q\}$ for distinct points p and q , is isometric to the cylinder with metric (4.15).*

Proof. Elementary considerations show that $V(r)$ has precisely one positive root if $\kappa < 0$, which corresponds to $0 < m < 1$, so then (M, g) cannot be a closed manifold.

Then assume that $m > 1$, so $\kappa > 0$. There are two distinct positive roots if and only if $a^2 < 2^{-\frac{m+1}{m-1}}$. Say there are two such roots, r_1 and r_2 . Smoothness at each root requires that $V'(r_1) = V'(r_2)$. Since $V'(r) = -2\kappa r + (m-1)\frac{a^{m-1}}{r^m}$ the smoothness requirement implies that

$$(4.16) \quad 2\kappa(r_2 - r_1) = (m-1)a^{m-1} \left(\frac{1}{r_2^m} - \frac{1}{r_1^m} \right).$$

But if $r_2 > r_1$ then the left-hand side of (4.16) is positive and the right-hand side is negative. If $r_1 > r_2$, both these signs are reversed. Hence $r_1 = r_2$ is the only possibility, contradicting the requirement that the roots are distinct. \square

We can now prove Theorem 1.3.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. First, Lemma 4.1 proves Theorem 1.3.(i).

Now by combining Lemmata 4.1 and 4.2 we see that that if X is an exact form and $\lambda > 0$, then there are no nontrivial (i.e., non-Einstein) quasi-Einstein metrics with $m > 0$ on a closed 2-manifold unless perhaps when $m = 1$. By Theorem 1.2.(ii) and 1.2.(iii), we know there are no such metrics with gradient X when $\lambda \leq 0$ (in any dimension if X is gradient). This proves Theorem 1.3.(ii). To prove Theorem 1.3.(iii), we simply observe that from Theorem 1.2.(i), if X is closed and $\lambda > 0$ then X is exact, and then Lemma 4.2 implies that the metric is Einstein if $m \neq 1$. \square

Finally, the $m = 1$ case reduces to the problem of finding global solutions on closed manifolds of

$$(4.17) \quad \Delta\psi = \frac{\mu}{\psi} - \lambda\psi.$$

By the change of variable $u = e^{-f}$ this problem becomes equivalent to a version of the so-called static Einstein equations (not to be confused with the static case of the $m = 2$ near horizon geometry equations), but it is not precisely the famous Fischer-Marsden problem which admits no nontrivial strictly positive solutions on closed manifolds. The Fischer-Marsden problem requires constant scalar curvature and is overdetermined, whereas in our case equation (4.6) with the free constant C governs the scalar curvature. Nonetheless, the problem remains open.

5. STATIC QUASI-EINSTEIN MANIFOLDS WITH MATTER

The Einstein equation with matter in $(n + 2)$ -dimensional spacetime (\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{g}) is written as

$$(5.1) \quad \text{Ric}(\mathbf{g}) - \frac{1}{2}R\mathbf{g} + \Lambda\mathbf{g} = \mathbf{T} ,$$

where $R := \text{tr Ric}(\mathbf{g})$ is the scalar curvature of spacetime, $\Lambda = \frac{n\lambda}{2}$, and the stress-energy tensor \mathbf{T} is a divergenceless symmetric rank-2 tensor field which characterizes the matter (up a a numerical factor which we have absorbed). Equation (1.4) may be considered to be an inhomogeneous version of the vacuum equations (2.1). In the coordinates of (1.1), the near-horizon limit of \mathbf{T} may be written as [6, equation 16]

$$(5.2) \quad \mathbf{T} \rightarrow 2dv \left[T_{+-}dr + r(\beta_i + T_{+-}X_i)dx^i + \frac{r^2}{2} \left(T_{+-}Y - \frac{1}{2}\text{div}_g\beta + X_i\beta^i \right) dv \right] + T_{ij}dx^i dx^j ,$$

where T_{+-} , β , and T are a function, 1-form, and symmetric $(0, 2)$ -tensor, respectively, on M , with β expressible in terms of T_{+-} and T through the formula

$$(5.3) \quad \beta_i := -\nabla^j T_{ij} + T_{ij}X^j - T_{+-}X_i.$$

The static condition for the ambient spacetime implies $K \wedge \mathbf{T}(K) = 0$ where $K = \mathbf{g}(\partial_v, \cdot)$ in the coordinates of (1.1) [7]. It follows using (5.2) that $\beta_i = 0$. Thus, when matter is included, the static near horizon equations (2.7)–(2.11) are then replaced by

$$(5.4) \quad \lambda = Y - \frac{1}{2}|X|_g^2 + \frac{1}{2}\text{div}_g X - \frac{(n-2)}{n}T_{+-} + \frac{\text{tr}_g T}{n},$$

$$(5.5) \quad \lambda g = \text{Ric}_X^2(g) - P := \text{Ric}(g) + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}_X g - \frac{1}{2}X \otimes X - P,$$

$$(5.6) \quad 0 = \Delta_g Y - 3\nabla_X Y - Y \text{div}_g X + 2Y|X|_g^2,$$

$$(5.7) \quad 0 = \nabla_i Y - Y X_i,$$

$$(5.8) \quad 0 = dX,$$

$$(5.9) \quad 0 = -\nabla^j T_{ij} + T_{ij}X^j - T_{+-}X_i,$$

$$(5.10) \quad P := T - \frac{1}{n}(\text{tr}_g T + 2T_{+-})g.$$

Note that (5.10) is merely a definition so we can immediately substitute this into the preceding equations to reduce the system. And similarly to the vacuum case, (5.6) can be obtained by operating with $\nabla^i - 2X^i$ on (5.7).

We now derive a version of Lemma 2.1 valid for the static near horizon geometry equations with matter.

Lemma 5.1. *Let (M, g, X) be a solution of equations (5.5) and (5.8) for a given stress-energy tensor T . If (5.4) defines $Y = Y(g, X, T)$ in terms of this solution, then (5.6) and (5.7) are satisfied as well.*

Proof. Repeat the steps of Section 2, beginning this time from (5.5). We apply the contracted Bianchi identity and Ricci identity as before. This yields a version of (2.14) valid when matter is present:

$$(5.11) \quad \nabla_i (\text{div}_g X - |X|_g^2 - 2\lambda) - (\text{div}_g X - |X|_g^2 - 2\lambda) X_i = 2\nabla^j P_{ij} - 2P_{ij}X^j - \nabla_i \text{tr}_g P.$$

Treating (5.4) as the definition of Y , and using (5.10), this reduces to

$$(5.12) \quad -2(\nabla_i Y - Y X_i) = 0,$$

which is (5.7) and then, as stated above, (5.6) follows from application of $\nabla^i - 2X^i$ to (5.7). \square

We therefore can restrict our attention to equations (5.5) and (5.8) (incorporating as well the definition (5.10)):

$$(5.13) \quad \lambda g = \text{Ric}(g) + \nabla X - \frac{1}{2}X \otimes X - \left[T - \frac{1}{n}(\text{tr}_g T)g \right] + \frac{2}{n}T_{+-}g,$$

$$(5.14) \quad 0 = (dX)_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}(\nabla_i X_j - \nabla_j X_i).$$

In the special case of $T_{+-} = \text{const}$ and $\text{tf}_g T := T - \frac{1}{n}(\text{tr}_g T)g = 0$, equation (5.13) reduces to

$$(5.15) \quad \tilde{\lambda}g = \text{Ric}(g) + \nabla X - \frac{1}{2}X \otimes X,$$

which has the same form as (2.8) with $m = 2$. Then Theorem 1.2 immediately yields the following result.

Theorem 5.2. *Let (M, g, X) be a compact static near horizon geometry (equations (5.4)–(5.10)) with stress-energy tensor given by equation (5.2) such that the tracefree part of T_{ij} vanishes and T_{+-} is constant. Let $\tilde{\lambda} = \lambda - \frac{2}{n}T_{+-}$. Then the conclusions of Theorem 1.2 hold, with $m = 2$ and $\tilde{\lambda}$ replacing λ .*

It is straightforward to construct solutions of (5.4) by considering the stress energy tensor produced by a Maxwell field (an Abelian gauge theory). Such matter is described by a closed 2-form \mathbf{F} in spacetime (\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{g}) with associated stress tensor

$$(5.16) \quad \mathbf{T}_{\mu\nu} = 2 \left(\mathbf{F}_{\mu\rho} \mathbf{F}_\nu{}^\rho - \frac{\mathbf{g}_{\mu\nu}}{4} |\mathbf{F}|^2 \right)$$

where $|\mathbf{F}|^2 = \mathbf{F}_{\mu\nu} \mathbf{F}^{\mu\nu}$. Consider $M = \mathbb{S}^n$ equipped with its canonical round metric with some radius ℓ , $X = 0$, and $\mathbf{F} = d(\text{crdv})$ in the coordinates of (1.1) for some constant c . It is easy to check that the only non-vanishing part of \mathbf{T} is $T_{+-} = -c^2$. One then easily obtains a solution with an appropriate choice of ℓ .

An example of a static quasi-Einstein metric with matter with $X \neq 0$ when $n = 3$, which generalizes (1.4), can be obtained by endowing $M = \mathbb{S}^1 \times \Sigma$ with the metric

$$(5.17) \quad g = (1 + k^2)d\Phi^2 + g_\Sigma$$

where Φ is identified with period 2π and g_Σ is a metric of constant curvature on some two-dimensional compact manifold Σ . For concreteness, fix $\lambda = -2$. If we choose $\mathbf{F} = \sqrt{3}k d\text{Vol}_\Sigma$ where $d\text{Vol}_\Sigma$ denotes the volume-form associated to g_Σ , it is easy to check that $T_{+-} = 0$ and $T = 3k^2 g_\Sigma$. Taking $X = 2(1 + k^2)d\Phi$ we arrive at a solution of the quasi-Einstein equations with sources provided g_Σ has sectional curvature $-2(1 - 2k^2)$. In particular by choosing k appropriately, we may choose (Σ, g) to be either a round 2-sphere, a flat torus, or a compact hyperbolic space, in contrast to our previous example (1.4).

APPENDIX A. LUCIETTI'S ARGUMENT FOR THE YAMABE TYPE

In [10], Lucietti observed that it's easy to find circumstances under which the Yamabe invariant of a near horizon geometry is positive or nonnegative (the sign of this invariant is the *Yamabe type* of the closed manifold M). Here we extend his observation to general m . Although we use this in the proof of Theorem 1.2.(i) for closed 2-form X , Lucietti's result and our generalization holds for arbitrary X .

Let $n \geq 3$. The Yamabe functional of a closed manifold (M^n, g) , evaluated on some function $\phi \in C^\infty(M) \setminus \{0\}$, is

$$(A.1) \quad Q_g(\phi) := \frac{\int_M \left[\frac{4(n-1)}{(n-2)} |\nabla \phi|^2 + R_g \phi^2 \right] dV_g}{\left(\int_M \phi^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dV_g \right)^{\frac{n-2}{n}}},$$

where R_g is the scalar curvature of g . Taking the trace of (1.3) and multiplying by ϕ^2 , we have

$$(A.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{4(n-1)}{(n-2)} |\nabla \phi|^2 + R_g \phi^2 &= \frac{4(n-1)}{(n-2)} |\nabla \phi|^2 + \left(-\operatorname{div} X + \frac{1}{m} |X|^2 + n\lambda \right) \phi^2 \\ &= \frac{4(n-1)}{(n-2)} |\nabla \phi|^2 - \operatorname{div}(\phi^2 X) + 2\phi X \cdot \nabla \phi + \frac{1}{m} |X|^2 \phi^2 + n\lambda \phi^2 \\ &= \left(\frac{4(n-1)}{(n-2)} - k^2 \right) |\nabla \phi|^2 - \operatorname{div}(\phi^2 X) + \left| k \nabla \phi + \frac{1}{k} X \phi \right|^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{(k^2 - m)}{mk^2} |X|^2 \phi^2 + n\lambda \phi^2, \end{aligned}$$

where $k \neq 0$ is any nonzero constant. Then

$$(A.3) \quad Q_g(\phi) = \frac{\int_M \left\{ \left(\frac{4(n-1)}{(n-2)} - k^2 \right) |\nabla \phi|^2 + \left| k \nabla \phi + \frac{1}{k} X \phi \right|^2 + \left(\frac{k^2 - m}{mk^2} |X|^2 + n\lambda \right) \phi^2 \right\} dV_g}{\left(\int_M \phi^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dV_g \right)^{\frac{n-2}{n}}}.$$

Proposition A.1. *Let (M, g) be a closed n -manifold with $n \geq 3$, obeying (1.3) with $\lambda \geq 0$. If $0 < m < \frac{4(n-1)}{(n-2)}$, then the Yamabe invariant of M is positive. If as well $n = 3$, then M is diffeomorphic either to a spherical space or to $S^2 \times S^1$, or to connected sums of the these. If instead $m = \frac{4(n-1)}{(n-2)}$ then Yamabe type zero is possible.*

Proof. If $\lambda \geq 0$ and $0 < m \leq k^2 < \frac{4(n-1)}{(n-2)}$, then (A.3) yields

$$(A.4) \quad Q_g(\phi) \geq \min \left\{ \frac{4(n-1)}{(n-2)} - k^2, n\lambda \right\} \frac{\int_M |\nabla \phi|^2 + \phi^2 dV_g}{\left(\int_M \phi^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dV_g \right)^{\frac{n-2}{n}}}.$$

The Sobolev inequality on a compact manifold implies $\|\phi\|_{L^{2n/(n-2)}}^2 \leq C \|\phi\|_{L^{1,2}}^2$, and so $Q_g(\phi)$ is uniformly bounded from below by a positive constant. Then $Y(M, [g]) := \inf_{\phi \in C^\infty(M) \setminus \{0\}} Q_g(\phi) > 0$, where $Y(M, [g])$ is the *Yamabe constant* associated to the conformal class $[g]$ of g . In turn, the *Yamabe invariant* of M is the supremum of $Y(M, [g])$ over all conformal classes $[g]$, so it must be positive as well. This implies the stated topological restrictions when $n = 3$ and proves the theorem when $0 < m < \frac{4(n-1)}{(n-2)}$.

If $\lambda \geq 0$ and $m = \frac{4(n-1)}{(n-2)}$, choose $k^2 = m = \frac{4(n-1)}{(n-2)}$ in (A.3). Then (A.3) is manifestly nonnegative, proving the $m = \frac{4(n-1)}{(n-2)}$ case. \square

Proposition A.1 is unusual for quasi-Einstein metrics in that (without the further assumption that $dX = 0$) it holds only for a bounded interval of m -values.

REFERENCES

- [1] AL Besse, *Einstein manifolds*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete 3 Vol 10 (Springer, Berlin, 1987).
- [2] JS Case, *On the nonexistence of quasi-Einstein metrics*, Pac J Math 248 (2010) 277–284.
- [3] JS Case, Y-J Shu, and G Wei, *Rigidity of quasi-Einstein metrics*, Diff Geom Appl 29 (2011) 93–100.
- [4] PT Chruściel, HS Reall, and P Tod, *On non-existence of static vacuum black holes with degenerate components of the event horizon*, Class Quantum Gravit 23 (2006) 549–554.
- [5] AA Freitas Filho and K Tenenblat, *On generalized quasi-Einstein manifolds*, preprint [arxiv:2112:04301].
- [6] HK Kunduri and J Lucietti, *Classification of near-horizon geometries of extremal black holes*, Living Reviews in Relativity 16–8 (2013).
- [7] HK Kunduri and J. Lucietti, *Uniqueness of near-horizon geometries of rotating extremal AdS(4) black holes* Class. Quant. Grav. **26** (2009), 055019.
- [8] A Lim, *Locally homogeneous non-gradient quasi-Einstein 3-manifolds*, Advances in Geometry 22 (2022) 79–93.
- [9] M Limoncu, *Modifications of the Ricci tensor and applications*, Arch Math 95 (2010) 191–199.
- [10] J Lucietti, *Two remarks on near-horizon geometries*, Class Quantum Gravit 29 (2012) 235014.
- [11] H Lu, DN Page and CN Pope, *New inhomogeneous Einstein metrics on sphere bundles over Einstein-Kaehler manifolds*, Phys Lett B 593 (2004), 218–226.
- [12] G Perelman, *The entropy formula for the Ricci flow and its geometric applications*, preprint [arxiv:math.DG/0211159].

(Eric Bahuaud) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SEATTLE UNIVERSITY, SEATTLE, WA 98122, USA
Email address: bahuaude@seattleu.edu

(Sharmila Gunasekaran) THE FIELDS INSTITUTE FOR RESEARCH IN MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, 222 COLLEGE ST, TORONTO ON, CANADA M5T 3J1
Email address: gunasek1@ualberta.ca

(Hari K Kunduri) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS AND DEPARTMENT OF PHYSICS AND ASTRONOMY, MCMASTER UNIVERSITY, HAMILTON ON, CANADA L8S 4K1
Email address: kundurih@mcmaster.ca

(Eric Woolgar) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL AND STATISTICAL SCIENCES AND THEORETICAL PHYSICS INSTITUTE, UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA, EDMONTON, ALBERTA, CANADA T6G 2G1
Email address: ewoolgar@ualberta.ca