UDK 512.552+ 512.643+519.173.1+519.173.5 MSC 13A70+05C12+05C25+05C40

O.G. Styrt

Orthogonality graphs of matrices over commutative rings

The paper is devoted to studying the orthogonality graph of the matrix ring over a commutative ring. It is proved that the orthogonality graph of the ring of matrices with size greater than 1 over a commutative ring with zero-divisors is connected and has diameter 3 or 4; a criterion for each value is obtained. It is also shown that each of its vertices has distance at most 2 from some scalar matrix.

Key words: associative ring with identity, commutative ring, zero-divisor, matrix ring, zero-divisor graph, orthogonality graph.

§1. Introduction

Researching properties of associative rings in terms of graphs of some naturally occurring algebraic binary relations takes an important place in modern mathematics. Thus, *a zerodivisor graph* was first defined in 1986 by Beck [1] for a commutative ring. Its vertices were all zero-divisors, and edges connected exactly all pairs of distinct elements giving zero in product. But since 1999 one uses its more convenient interpretation introduced by Anderson and Livingston in [2] via excluding the zero element of the ring from its vertex set. It is also proved in [2] that the zero-divisor graph of a commutative ring is connected and has diameter at most three; in the former treatment of the graph these statements would be trivial. A number of further papers also studies various characteristics of the zero-divisor graph: center and radius [8], concepts of planarity [4] and uniqueness of determining the ring by the graph up to an isomorphism [3, 5]. For non-commutative rings, there are several types of graphs defined by zero-divisors:

N⁰	Name	Edge orientation	Vertices	Edge from x to y	See
1)	Directed zero-divisor graph	Yes	One- and two-sided zero-divisors	xy = 0	[6, 7]
2)	(Undirected) zero-divisor graph	No	Nonzero one- and two-sided zero-divisors	$\begin{bmatrix} xy = 0\\ yx = 0 \end{bmatrix}$	[7]
3)	Orthogonality graph	No	Nonzero two-sided zero-divisors	$\begin{cases} xy = 0\\ yx = 0 \end{cases}$	[9, 10]

The main results for orthogonality graphs of non-commutative rings found by now concern primarily matrix rings. Thus, in the case of the basic ring being a skew field, the following properties of the orthogonality graph of the $(n \times n)$ -matrix ring are obtained: once n = 2, it is disconnected and all its connected components have diameters at most 2, and, once $n \ge 3$, it is connected and has diameter 4. These statements are proved in 2014 for a field [9] and later, in 2017 — for an arbitrary skew field [10]; they can also be easily generalized to integral domains (by reducing to the field of fractions).

In this paper, there will be the orthogonality graph of the matrix ring over a commutative ring with zero-divisors studied and the following main result proved.

Theorem 1.1. Let R be a commutative ring with zero-divisor set $Z_R \neq \{0\}$. Then, for any n > 1, the orthogonality graph of the ring of $(n \times n)$ -matrices over R is connected and has diameter 3 or 4, the value 3 being equivalent to the relation

 $\forall a_0 \in Z_R \quad \exists a_1, a_2 \in R \setminus \{0\} \qquad \forall i, j \in \{0, 1, 2\}, \ i \neq j: \quad a_i a_j = 0,$ (1.1)

and each of its vertices has distance at most 2 from some scalar matrix.

Theorem 1.2. Let r be the radius of the graph under conditions of Theorem 1.1. Then 1) $2 \leq r \leq 4$;

- 2) if (1.1) holds, then $r \in \{2; 3\}$;
- $\mathbf{2}$

3) r = 2 if and only if there exists an element $c \in R \setminus \{0\}$ such that

$$\forall a \in Z_R \quad \operatorname{Ann}(c) \cap \operatorname{Ann}(a) \neq 0. \tag{1.2}$$

§ 2. Auxiliary agreements

In the paper, the following notations and agreements will be used.

1) Set-theoretical:

- While listing elements of a disordered set, figured brackets are used. As for elements of an ordered tuple, they are listed in round brackets and can be repeated.
- $D^n := \underbrace{D \times \ldots \times D}_{n}$ is the *n*-ary Cartesian power of a set *D*.

2) General algebraic:

- All rings considered are supposed to be associative and with identity.
- *R* is an arbitrary ring.
- For any subset $D \subset R$, define $D^* := D \setminus \{0\}$. In particular, by R^* denote the subset of all nonzero (not necessarily invertible as in standard interpretation) elements of R.
- An ideal in R is proper if it does not equal R.
- $M_{m \times n}(R)$ is the *R*-module of $(m \times n)$ -matrices over *R*; $M_n(R)$ is the ring $M_{n \times n}(R)$. If in the brackets the ring is replaced with some of its subsets *D*, then the subset of all matrices with entries from *D* is meant.
- 0_n^m is the zero $(m \times n)$ -matrix; $0_n := 0_n^n$; E_n is the identity $(n \times n)$ -matrix; J_r is the Jordan cell of size r with eigenvalue 0. If the matrix sizes are clear from the context, then the indices can be omitted.
- E_{kl} is the matrix unit $(a_{ij}), a_{ij} := \delta_{ki} \delta_{lj}$.
- For a square matrix A over a commutative ring: \widetilde{A} is its cofactor matrix; $\widehat{A} := (\widetilde{A})^T$.
- If $A = (a_{k_1,k_2}) \in M_{n_1 \times n_2}(R)$, $P_i \in \{1, \ldots, n_i\}^{m_i}$ (i = 1, 2), then $A_{P_2}^{P_1}$ is the matrix $(b_{l_1,l_2}) \in M_{m_1 \times m_2}(R)$, $b_{l_1,l_2} := a_{k_1(l_1),k_2(l_2)}$, where $k_i(l_i)$ is the l_i -th element of P_i . If numbers are repeated neither in P_1 , nor in P_2 , then $A_{P_2}^{P_1}$ is the submatrix of A with row and column numbers from P_1 and P_2 respectively.
- 3) On zero-divisor types:
 - An element $a \in R$ is called
 - a left (resp. right) zero-divisor if there exists an element $b \in R^*$ such that ab = 0 (resp. ba = 0);
 - a zero-divisor if it is either left or right zero-divisor;
 - a two-sided zero-divisor if it is both left and right zero-divisor.

At that,

- in a commutative ring, the concepts of all zero-divisor types are equivalent;
- zero is a two-sided zero-divisor; if there are no other zero-divisors, then R is called a ring without zero-divisors.
- An *integral domain* is a commutative ring without zero-divisors.
- 4) From general graph theory:
 - All graphs considered are assumed to be undirected.
 - $\Gamma = (V, E)$ is an arbitrary graph; V and E are its vertex and edge sets respectively. In doing so, one can (usually with more convenience) define E via a symmetric binary relation on V.
 - Two vertices are *adjacent* if they are connected with an edge.
 - A subgraph is a graph with vertex set $V' \subset V$ and, unless otherwise stated, with the same binary relation restricted on V'.
 - A *path* is a sequence of vertices where any two neighbor ones are adjacent.
 - The *length* of a path is the number of its edges.
 - The distance between vertices v and w (not. d(v, w)) is the minimum of lengths of paths between them; if they do not exist, then set $d(v, w) := +\infty$; the sign is obvious in this context and therefore will be omitted. Clearly, $(d(v, w) = 0) \Leftrightarrow (v = w)$.
 - The distance from a vertex v to a subset $W \subset V$ (not. d(v, W)) is the number^{*}

$$\min\{d(v,w)\colon w\in W\}.$$

- $d(v) := \sup \{ d(v, w) \colon w \in W \} \ (v \in V).$
- The *diameter* of Γ is the number^{*}

$$\operatorname{diam}(\Gamma) := \sup \{ d(v, w) \colon v, w \in V \} = \max \{ d(v) \colon v \in W \}.$$

•	

• The radius of Γ is the number^{*} rad(Γ) := min{ $d(v): v \in W$ }. Clearly,

$$\operatorname{rad}(\Gamma) \leq \operatorname{diam}(\Gamma) \leq 2 \cdot \operatorname{rad}(\Gamma).$$
 (2.1)

• A graph is *connected* if there exists a path between any two of its vertices.

Remark. It is easy to see that a graph with finite diameter is connected. The converse fails; an example is the set of positive integers with the neighborhood relation.

5) On special graphs in algebraic structures:

- O(R) is the orthogonality graph of the ring R (for a commutative ring it is the same as the zero-divisor graph).
- Vertices of O(R) are all nonzero two-sided zero-divisors of R; the orthogonality relation (xy = yx = 0) is written as $(x \perp y)$; $O_R(x)$ is the set of all vertices orthogonal to x.

*Possibly ∞ .

§ 3. Proofs of the results

Consider an arbitrary commutative ring R. Denote by Ann(a) $(a \in R)$ the ideal $\{x \in A\}$ $\in R: ax = 0$ and by Z_R the set $\{a \in R: Ann(a) \neq 0\}$ of all zero-divisors. Further, let S be the ring $M_n(R)$ (n > 1). Via the natural ring embedding $R \hookrightarrow S$, $a \to aE$, identify R with the subring $RE \subset S$ (and, thus, O(R) — with a subgraph of the graph O(S)). For $A \in S$, set $I_A := \operatorname{Ann}(\det A) \triangleleft R$.

The graph O(R) is connected and has diameter at most 3 (see Theorem 2.3 in [2, §2]). Besides, if R is a skew body, then

- 1) once n = 2, the graph O(S) is disconnected and all its connected components have diameters ≤ 2 ;
- 2) once $n \ge 3$, the graph O(S) is connected and has diameter 4.

These results are obtained in $[9, \S 4]$ for fields (Lemma 4.1 and Theorem 4.5 respectively), and in $[10, \S2]$ are generalized to arbitrary skew-fields (Lemma 2.2 and Theorem 2.1) respectively). They are also shifted to integral domains (by reducing to the field of fractions).

Theorem 3.1. For any matrix $A \in S$ and proper ideal $I \triangleleft R$ containing det A, there exists a matrix $B \in S \setminus (M_n(I))$ such that $AB, BA \in M_n(I)$.

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} \square For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, set $Q_m := \{1, \ldots, m\}$ and $P_m := (1, \ldots, m) \in \mathbb{N}^m$. \\ Consider all triples (k, P', P'') $(k \ge 0, P', P'' \in (Q_n)^k$) satisfying the relation $\det(A_{P''}^{P'})$ \notin (k, P', P'') $(k \ge 0, P', P'' \in (Q_n)^k$) satisfying the relation $\det(A_{P''}^{P'})$ $(k \ge 0, P', P'')$ $(k \ge 0, P', P', P'')$ $(k \ge 0, P', P'$ $\notin I$. For each of them, numbers are repeated neither in P_1 , nor in P_2 , and, by condition, k < n. Besides, at least one of such triples exists: for k := 0 and empty tuples P', P'', the corresponding (0×0) -matrix has determinant $1 \notin I$. Hence, we can fix one of these triples with the largest possible k, and then $0 \leq k < n, m := k + 1 \in Q_n$.

Case 1). $P' = P'' = P_k$.

By construction, $\det(A_{P_k}^{P_k}) \notin I$. Further, set $C := A_{P_m}^{P_m} \in M_m(R)$,

$$B := \begin{pmatrix} \widehat{C} & 0_{n-m}^m \\ 0_m^{n-m} & 0_{n-m} \end{pmatrix} \in S.$$

Then $b_{m,m} = \det(A_{P_k}^{P_k}) \notin I$ implying $B \notin M_n(I)$. Show that $AB, A^T B^T \in M_n(I)$, i.e. that, for any $p, q \in Q_n$, the matrix entries $(AB)_{p,q}$ and $(A^T B^T)_{p,q}$ belong to I. Assume that $p \in Q_n$ and $q \in Q_m$ (otherwise $(AB)_{p,q} = (A^T B^T)_{p,q} = 0$). Let $P \in (Q_n)^m$ be the tuple obtained form P_m by changing the q-th element with p. Due to maximality of k and the inequality m > k, we have $\det(A_{P_m}^P), \det(A_P^{P_m}) \in I$,

$$(AB)_{p,q} = \sum_{i \in Q_n} (a_{p,i}b_{i,q}) = \sum_{i \in Q_m} (a_{p,i}(\widehat{C})_{i,q}) = \sum_{i \in Q_m} (a_{p,i}(\widetilde{C})_{q,i}) = \det(A_{P_m}^P) \in I;$$

$$(A^T B^T)_{p,q} = \sum_{i \in Q_n} ((A^T)_{p,i}(B^T)_{i,q}) = \sum_{i \in Q_m} (a_{i,p}(\widetilde{C})_{i,q}) = \det(A_{P}^{P_m}) \in I.$$

Thereby, it is proved that $AB, (BA)^T = A^T B^T \in M_n(I)$ implying $BA \in M_n(I)$.

Case 2). $P', P'' \in (Q_n)^k$ are arbitrary tuples.

In each of the tuples P' and P'' all numbers are distinct. Hence, via suitable permutations of rows and columns, one can obtain from A a matrix A_0 satisfying Case 1) with the same k. By proved above, there exists a matrix $B_0 \in S \setminus (M_n(I))$ such that $A_0B_0, B_0A_0 \in M_n(I)$. At that, there exist monomial (therefore, invertible) matrices $C_1, C_2 \in S$ such that $A = C_1A_0C_2^{-1}$. Left (resp. right) multiplying a matrix by a monomial one permutes its rows (resp. columns), and, consequently, $B := C_2B_0C_1^{-1} \in S \setminus (M_n(I)), AB = C_1(A_0B_0)C_1^{-1} \in M_n(I), BA = C_2(B_0A_0)C_2^{-1} \in M_n(I).$

Corollary 3.1. If $A \in S$ and $c \in I_A^*$, then, in the subset $(cS)^* \subset S$, there exists an element orthogonal to A.

□ By condition, $I := \operatorname{Ann}(c) \triangleleft R$ is a proper ideal containing det A. According to Theorem 3.1, there exists a matrix $B \in S \setminus (M_n(I))$ such that $AB, BA \in M_n(I)$. Thus, $C := cB \neq 0$ and c(AB) = c(BA) = 0, i.e. $C \in (cS)^*$ and AC = CA = 0.

Lemma 3.1. For any $A \in S$, the following conditions are equivalent:

1) det $A \in Z_R$;

2) $I_A \neq 0;$

3) in S^* , there exists an element orthogonal to A;

4) A is a two-sided zero-divisor;

5) A is a zero-divisor.

 \Box The implications 1) \Leftrightarrow 2) and 3) \Rightarrow 4) \Rightarrow 5) obviously follow from definitions, and the implication 2) \Rightarrow 3) - from Corollary 3.1.

Prove the implication $5) \Rightarrow 1$). Suppose that, without loss of generality, A is a left zero-divisor, i. e. that AB = 0 for some $B \in S^*$. Then $\widehat{A}A = (\det A)E$ implying $(\det A)B = = \widehat{A}AB = 0$. It remains to use non-triviality of B.

Corollary 3.2. All zero-divisors in S are two-sided.

Let $Z_S \subset S$ be the subset of all elements $A \in S$ satisfying each of the equivalent conditions 1)-5) of Lemma 3.1, i.e. the set of all zero-divisors of the ring S. Then the vertex set of the graph O(S) is Z_S^* .

Further, we will assume that $Z_R^* \neq \emptyset$.

Statement 3.1. If $I \triangleleft R$ and $I \neq 0$, then $Z_R \cap I \neq \{0\}$.

□ Suppose that $Z_R \cap I = \{0\}$. There exist elements $b \in I^*$ and $c \in Z_R^*$; then $bc \in C_R \cap I = \{0\}$. So, $bc = 0 \neq c$ that implies $b \in Z_R \cap I^* = \emptyset$, a contradiction.

Lemma 3.2. If, for a subset $D \subset S$, the ideal $I := \bigcap_{A \in D} I_A \triangleleft R$ is nonzero, then there exist elements $b \in Z_R^*$ and $C_A \in S^*$, $A \in D$, such that $bE \perp C_A \perp A$ $(A \in D)$.

According to Statement 3.1, the ideal I contains an element $c \in Z_R^*$. Then bc = 0where $b \in Z_R^*$. Further, for any $A \in D$, we have $c \in I_A^*$ and, by Corollary 3.1, there exist an element $C_A \in (cS)^*$ orthogonal to A; at that, $bC_A \in bcS = 0$, $bE \perp C_A$.

Corollary 3.3.

1) For any $A \in Z_S^*$, we have $d(A, O(R)) \leq 2$.

2) If $A_1, A_2 \in Z_S^*$ and $I_{A_1} \cap I_{A_2} \neq 0$, then $d(A_1, A_2) \leq 4$.

□ It suffices to apply Lemma 3.2 to the subsets $\{A\}, \{A_1, A_2\} \subset S$.

Lemma 3.3. If $A_i \in Z_S^*$, $c_i \in I_{A_i}^*$ (i = 1, 2) and $c_1c_2 = 0$, then $d(A_1, A_2) \leq 3$.

 \square By Corollary 3.1, for each i = 1, 2, there exists an element $C_i \in (c_i S)^*$ such that $C_i \perp A_i$. In this case, $C_1 C_2, C_2 C_1 \in c_1 c_2 S = 0, C_1 \perp C_2$.

Definition. We will say that an ideal $I \triangleleft R$ does not have zero-divisors if $I^*I^* \not\supseteq 0$, i.e. if the ring^{*} I does not have zero-divisors.

Lemma 3.4. If $A_1, A_2 \in Z_S^*$ and $d(A_1, A_2) > 3$, then I_{A_i} (i = 1, 2) is the same ideal without zero-divisors.

 \Box According to Lemma 3.3, $I_{A_1}^* I_{A_2}^* \not\supseteq 0$. It remains to prove that $I_{A_1} = I_{A_2}$.

Suppose that $I_{A_1} \neq I_{A_2}$. Without loss of generality, assume that there exists an element $c \in I_{A_1} \setminus I_{A_2}$. Setting $a := \det A_2$, we have $I_{A_2} = \operatorname{Ann}(a)$, $b := ca \in I_{A_1}^*$ and $bI_{A_2} = caI_{A_2} = 0$ implying $bI_{A_2}^* \subset \{0\} \cap (I_{A_1}^*I_{A_2}^*) = \emptyset$, $I_{A_2}^* = \emptyset$, $I_{A_2} = 0$, a contradiction.

Theorem 3.2. The graph O(S) is connected and has diameter at most 4.

Suppose that there exist elements $A_1, A_2 \in Z_S^*$ satisfying the inequality $d(A_1, A_2) >$ > 4. By Lemma 3.4, $0 \neq I_{A_1} = I_{A_2} = I_{A_1} \cap I_{A_2}$ that contradicts with Corollary 3.3.

Theorem 3.3. We have diam $(O(S)) \ge 3$, the strict inequality being equivalent to the existence of an ideal Ann $(a) \triangleleft R$ $(a \in Z_R)$ without zero-divisors.

 \square Similarly with examples from [9, 10] giving lower estimates of the diameter, for an arbitrary $a \in Z_R$, set $I := \operatorname{Ann}(a) \triangleleft R$ and $A := J_n + aE_{n1} \in S$. Note that

• $A, A^T \in Z_S^*, O_S(A) = I^* E_{1n}, O_S(A^T) = I^* E_{n1};$

•
$$a_{12} = 1 \neq a_{21}, (AA^T)_{11} = 1 \text{ and } O_S(A) \cap O_S(A^T) = \emptyset$$
, that implies $d(A, A^T) \ge 3$;

• if $I^*I^* \not\supseteq 0$, then $(O_S(A))(O_S(A^T)) = (I^*I^*)E_{11} \not\supseteq 0$ and, hence, $d(A, A^T) \ge 4$.

Due to mentioned above, diam $(O(S)) \ge 3$, the strict inequality following from the existence of an ideal Ann $(a) \lhd R$ $(a \in Z_R)$ without zero-divisors. Conversely, in the case of the strict inequality, by Lemma 3.4, for some elements $A \in Z_S$ and $a := \det A \in Z_R$, the ideal $I_A = \operatorname{Ann}(a) \lhd R$ does not have zero-divisors.

Now the main Theorem 1.1 follows from Theorems 3.2 and 3.3, and Corollary 3.3. It implies (see (2.1)) the statements 1) and 2) of Theorem 1.2. Let us prove 3).

^{*}In general, without identity.

Suppose that $\operatorname{rad}(O(S)) = 2$. There exist elements $C \in Z_S^*$, $c \in R^*$ and $k, l \in Q_n$ such that $d(C, A) \leq 2$ $(A \in Z_S^*)$ and $c_{kl} = c$. Further, there exists a permutation $\sigma \in S_n$ such that $m := \sigma(k) \neq l$.

Let $a \in Z_R$ be an arbitrary element.

Set
$$I := \operatorname{Ann}(a) \triangleleft R$$
 and $A := \left(\sum_{i \neq k} E_{i,\sigma(i)}\right) + aE_{km} \in S$. Note that

- $A \in Z_S^*, O_S(A) = I^* E_{mk};$
- $A \neq C$ (otherwise $a_{kl} = c \neq 0, m = l$);

• $(m,k) \neq (k,l)$ (otherwise m = k = l), that implies $C \notin O_S(A)$.

Thus, d(C, A) = 2, so, there exists an element $B \in Z_S^*$ orthogonal to C and A. We have $B = bE_{mk}$ where $b \in I^*$. Meanwhile, BC = 0, $0 = (BC)_{ml} = bc$, $b \in Ann(c) \cap I^*$.

Due to arbitrariness of $a \in Z_R$, the element $c \in R^*$ satisfies (1.2).

Conversely, assume that (1.2) holds for some $c \in R^*$. Show that the element $C := cE \in S^*$ satisfies, for each $A \in Z_S^*$, the inequality $d(C, A) \leq 2$.

Let $A \in Z_S^*$ be an arbitrary element. Then det $A \in Z_R$, and, by (1.2), there exists an element $b \in I_A^*$ such that cb = 0. Further, according to Corollary 3.1, there exists an element $B \in (bS)^*$ orthogonal to A; in this case, $cB \in cbS = 0$, $C \in Z_S^*$, $C \perp B \perp A$, $d(C, A) \leq 2$.

So, Theorem 1.2 is completely proved.

Acknowledgements

The author is grateful to Prof. E. B. Vinberg for exciting interest to algebra. The author dedicates the article to E. N. Troshina.

References

- [1] Beck I. Coloring of commutative rings // J. Algebra. 1988. Vol. 116. Pp. 208–226.
- [2] Anderson D. F., Livingston P. S. The zero-divisor graph of a commutative ring // J. Algebra. 1999. Vol. 217. Pp. 434–447.
- [3] Anderson D. F., Frazier A., Lauve A., Livingston P. S. The zero-divisor graph of a commutative ring, II // Lect. Notes Pure Appl. Math. 2001. Vol. 220, Marcel Dekker, New York. Pp. 61–72.
- [4] Akbari S., Maimani H. R., Yassemi S. When zero-divisor graph is planar or a complete r-partite graph // J. Algebra. 2003. Vol. 270. Pp. 169–180.

- [5] Akbari S., Mohammadian A. On the zero-divisor graph of a commutative ring // J. Algebra. 2004. Vol. 274. Pp. 847–855.
- [6] Akbari S., Mohammadian A. Zero-divisor graphs of non-commutative rings // J. Algebra. 2006. Vol. 296. Pp. 462–479.
- [7] Akbari S., Mohammadian A. On zero-divisor graphs of finite rings // J. Algebra. 2007. Vol. 314. Pp. 168–184.
- [8] Redmond S. P. Central sets and radii of the zero-divisor graphs of commutative rings // Comm. in Algebra. 2006. Vol. 34 (is. 7). Pp. 2389–2401.
- [9] Bakhadly B. R., Guterman A. E., Markova O. V. Graphs defined by orthogonality // Computational methods and algorithms. Part XXVII, Zap. Nauchn. Sem. POMI, St. Petersburg. 2014. Vol. 428. Pp. 49–80; J. Math. Sci. 2015. Vol. 207 (is. 5). Pp. 698–717.
- [10] Guterman A. E., Markova O. V. Orthogonality graphs of matrices over skew fields // Computational methods and algorithms. Part XXX, Zap. Nauchn. Sem. POMI, St. Petersburg. 2017. Vol. 463. Pp. 81–93; J. Math. Sci. 2018. Vol. 232 (is. 6). Pp. 797–804.