

Measurement of the branching fraction and search for CP violation in $D^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$ decays at Belle

Aman Sangal

University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, OH, USA, 45221

We measure the branching fraction for the Singly Cabibbo-suppressed decay $D^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$, and we search for CP violation via a measurement of the CP asymmetry A_{CP} and also the T -odd triple-product asymmetry a_{CP}^T . The later two measurements are complementary. We use 922 fb⁻¹ of data recorded by the Belle experiment, which ran at the KEKB asymmetric-energy e^+e^- collider. The branching fraction is measured relative to the Cabibbo-favored normalization channel $D^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$. Singly Cabibbo-suppressed charm decays are expected to have especially good sensitivity to new physics effects.

I. INTRODUCTION

Equal amounts of matter and antimatter existed in the early Universe [1]. For such an initial state to evolve into our current matter dominated universe [2, 3] violation of CP (charge-conjugation and parity) symmetry [4] is required. The amount of CP violation (CPV) present in the Standard Model (SM) fails to account for the observed imbalance between matter and antimatter [3, 5]. Thus, it is important to search for new sources of CPV .

In this note, we present the measurement of the branching fraction and search for CPV in the singly Cabibbo-suppressed (SCS) decay $D^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$ [6, 7]. The branching fraction measurement gives an order of magnitude improvement in the precision over PDG world average [8]. In the SM framework, CPV is expected to be very small ($\mathcal{O}(10^{-3})$ or smaller) in the charm meson decays [9]. Any significant deviation from SM expectation will probe new physics effects beyond the SM. SCS decays are expected to be especially sensitive to physics beyond the SM, as their amplitudes receive contributions from QCD ‘‘penguin’’ operators and also chromomagnetic dipole operators [9]. The SCS decays $D^0 \rightarrow K^+ K^-$ and $D^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$ [10] are the only decay modes in which CPV has been observed in the charm sector. The CP asymmetry measured,

$$A_{CP} \equiv \frac{\Gamma(D^0 \rightarrow f) - \Gamma(\bar{D}^0 \rightarrow \bar{f})}{\Gamma(D^0 \rightarrow f) + \Gamma(\bar{D}^0 \rightarrow \bar{f})}, \quad (1)$$

is small, at the level of 0.1%.

For our analysis of $D^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$ decays, we search for CPV in two complementary ways. We first measure the asymmetry A_{CP} ; a nonzero value results from interference between contributing decay amplitudes. The CP -violating interference term is proportional to $\sin(\phi) \sin(\delta)$ for D^0 decays, where ϕ and δ are the weak and strong phase differences, respectively, between the amplitudes. Thus, to observe $A_{CP} \neq 0$, δ must be nonzero.

To avoid the need for $\delta \neq 0$, we also search for CPV by measuring the asymmetry in the triple-product

$C_T = \vec{p}_{K_S^0} \cdot (\vec{p}_{\pi^+} \times \vec{p}_{\pi^-})$, where $\vec{p}_{K_S^0}$, \vec{p}_{π^+} , and \vec{p}_{π^-} are the three-momenta of the K_S^0 , π^+ , and π^- daughters, defined in the D^0 rest frame. From the two K_S^0 in final state we choose the K_S^0 with the higher momentum for this calculation. The asymmetry is defined as

$$A_T \equiv \frac{N(C_T > 0) - N(C_T < 0)}{N(C_T > 0) + N(C_T < 0)}, \quad (2)$$

where $N(C_T > 0)$ and $N(C_T < 0)$ correspond to the yields of $D^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$ decays having $C_T > 0$ and $C_T < 0$, respectively. For \bar{D}^0 decays, we define the analogous CP conjugate quantity

$$\bar{A}_T \equiv \frac{\bar{N}(-\bar{C}_T > 0) - \bar{N}(-\bar{C}_T < 0)}{\bar{N}(-\bar{C}_T > 0) + \bar{N}(-\bar{C}_T < 0)}, \quad (3)$$

The difference is a CP violating observable

$$a_{CP}^T \equiv \frac{A_T - \bar{A}_T}{2} \quad (4)$$

proportional to $\sin(\phi) \sin(\delta)$ [11–13], and, unlike A_{CP} , $\delta = 0$ results in the largest CP asymmetry. The observable a_{CP}^T is advantageous to measure experimentally, as any production or detection related non CP asymmetry contribution cancels out.

II. RECONSTRUCTION AND DATA SAMPLE

To measure the branching fraction and search for CP violation, we use 922 fb⁻¹ data collected by the Belle experiment [14] running at KEKB asymmetric-energy e^+e^- collider [15]. We use Monte Carlo (MC) simulated events to optimize event selection criteria, calculate reconstruction efficiencies, and study sources of background.

We reconstruct the decay chain $D^{*+} \rightarrow D^0 \pi_s^+$, $D^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$ where the charge of slow pion (π_s) is used to tag the flavor of D meson. We start the reconstruction by selecting charged tracks that

originate near e^+e^- interaction point (IP) by requiring that the impact parameter δz of a track along the z direction (anti-parallel to the e^+ beam) satisfies $|\delta z| < 5.0$ cm, and that the impact parameter transverse to the z axis satisfies $\delta r < 2.0$ cm. Tracks are identified as π^\pm using likelihood based particle identification utilizing information from Belle subdetectors ACC (Aerogel cherenkov counter), TOF (Time of flight counter) and CDC (Central drift chamber). K_S^0 are reconstructed as $K_S^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ using standard Belle neutral network based method [7]. The invariant mass of the two pions is required to satisfy $|M(\pi^+\pi^-) - m_{K_S^0}| < 0.010$ GeV/ c^2 , where $m_{K_S^0}$ is the K_S^0 mass [8]. This range corresponds to three standard deviations in the mass resolution.

After identifying π^\pm and K_S^0 candidates, we reconstruct D^0 candidates by requiring that the four-body invariant mass $M(K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-) \equiv M$ satisfy 1.810 GeV/ $c^2 < M < 1.920$ GeV/ c^2 . We remove $D^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 K_S^0$ decays, which have the same final-state particles, by requiring $|M(\pi^+\pi^-) - m_{K_S^0}| > 0.010$ GeV/ c^2 . This criterion removes 96% of these decays. To improve the mass resolution, we apply mass-constrained vertex fits for the K_S^0 candidates. To ensure the D^0 daughters originate from a common decay vertex we perform a vertex fit using the π^\pm tracks and the momenta of the K_S^0 candidates; the resulting fit quality (χ^2) must satisfy a loose requirement to ensure that the tracks and K_S^0 candidates are consistent with originating from a common decay vertex.

To reconstruct $D^{*+} \rightarrow D^0 \pi_s^+$ decays, we combine D^0 candidates with π_s^+ candidates and require that the mass difference $M(K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^- \pi_s^+) - M \equiv \Delta M$ be less than 0.15 GeV/ c^2 . To reduce the combinatorial background and remove the D^{*+} candidates coming from B decays, we also require that the momentum of the D^{*+} candidate in the CM frame be greater than 2.5 GeV/ c ; A vertex fit is performed for D^{*+} , constraining the D^0 and π_s^+ to originate from the IP. We subsequently require $\sum(\chi^2/\text{ndf}) < 100$, where the sum runs over the two mass-constrained K_S^0 vertex fits, the D^0 vertex fit, and the IP-constrained D^{*+} vertex fit, and “ndf” is the number of degrees of freedom in each fit. After applying all selection criteria, for events with multiple $D^{*+} \rightarrow D^0 \pi_s^+$, $D^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$ signal candidates we retain a single candidate by choosing that with the lowest value of $\sum(\chi^2/\text{ndf})$.

We measure the branching fraction relative to Cabibbo favored $D^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$ decays observed in the same data set. The branching fraction for $D^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$ is calculated as

$$\mathcal{B}(D^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-) = \left(\frac{N_{K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-}}{N_{K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-}} \right) \left(\frac{\varepsilon_{K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-}}{\varepsilon_{K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-}} \right) \times \frac{\mathcal{B}(D^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-)}{\mathcal{B}(K_S^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-)}$$

(5)

where N is the fitted yield for $D^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$ or $D^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$ decays; ε is the corresponding reconstruction efficiency, given that $K_S^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$; and $\mathcal{B}(K_S^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-)$ and $\mathcal{B}(D^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-)$ are the world average branching fractions for $K_S^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$ and $D^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$ [8]. The selection criteria for $D^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$ are the same as those used for $D^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$, except that only one K_S^0 is required.

After applying all the selection criteria, the resulting reconstruction efficiencies are $\varepsilon_{K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-} = (6.92 \pm 0.02)\%$ and $\varepsilon_{K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-} = (14.97 \pm 0.03)\%$. We calculate reconstruction efficiencies using MC simulation, so we consider data-MC correction factors of 0.930 ± 0.014 for $D^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$ and 0.899 ± 0.007 for $D^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$.

III. SIGNAL EXTRACTION AND BRANCHING FRACTION MEASUREMENT

For $D^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$, we determine the signal yield via a two-dimensional unbinned extended maximum-likelihood fit to the variables M and ΔM . The fitted ranges are 1.810 GeV/ $c^2 < M < 1.920$ GeV/ c^2 and 0.140 GeV/ $c^2 < \Delta M < 0.150$ GeV/ c^2 . The total sample was divided into the following categories of events: (a) correctly reconstructed signal events; (b) mis-reconstructed signal events, i.e., one or more daughter tracks are missing; (c) “slow pion background,” i.e., a true $D^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$ decay is combined with an extraneous π_s^+ track; (d) “broken charm background,” i.e., a true $D^{*+} \rightarrow D^0 \pi_s^+$ decay is reconstructed, but the (non-signal) D^0 decay is mis-reconstructed, faking a $D^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$ decay; (e) purely combinatorial background, i.e., no true D^{*+} or D^0 decay; and (f) $D^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 K_S^0$ decays that survive the $M(\pi^+\pi^-)$ veto. The fit yields 6095 ± 98 signal events. Projections of the fit are shown in Fig. 1.

We determine $N_{K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-}$ from a two-dimensional binned fit (rather than unbinned, as the statistics are large) to the M and ΔM distributions. The fitted ranges are 1.820 GeV/ $c^2 < M < 1.910$ GeV/ c^2 and 0.143 GeV/ $c^2 < \Delta M < 0.148$ GeV/ c^2 [16]. The fit yields $1\,069\,870 \pm 1831$ $D^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$ decays. Projections of the fit are shown in Fig. 2.

Inserting all values into Eq. (5), reconstruction efficiencies along with the fitted yields and the PDG values [8] $\mathcal{B}(D^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-) = (2.80 \pm 0.18)\%$ and $\mathcal{B}(K_S^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-) = (69.20 \pm 0.05)\%$ gives $\mathcal{B}(D^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-) = [4.82 \pm 0.08$ (stat) $_{-0.11}^{+0.10}$ (syst) ± 0.31 (norm)] $\times 10^{-4}$, where the first uncertainty is statistical, the second is systematic [7], and the third is from uncertainty in the normalization channel.




FIG. 1: Projections of the fit for $D^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$ on M (upper) and ΔM (lower). The brown dashed curve consists of slow pion, broken charm, and $D^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 K_S^0$ backgrounds. The corresponding pull distributions [= (data – fit result)/(data uncertainty)] are shown below each projection. The dashed red lines correspond to $\pm 3\sigma$ values.

IV. MEASUREMENT OF A_{CP}

We measure the CP asymmetry A_{CP} from the difference in signal yields for D^0 and \bar{D}^0 decays:

$$A_{CP}^{\text{det}} = \frac{N(D^0 \rightarrow f) - N(\bar{D}^0 \rightarrow \bar{f})}{N(D^0 \rightarrow f) + N(\bar{D}^0 \rightarrow \bar{f})}. \quad (6)$$

The observable A_{CP}^{det} includes asymmetries in production and reconstruction:

$$A_{CP}^{\text{det}} = A_{CP} + A_{\text{FB}} + A_{\epsilon}^{\pi_s}, \quad (7)$$

where A_{FB} is the “forward-backward” production asymmetry [17] between D^{*+} and D^{*-} due to $\gamma^* - Z^0$ interference in $e^+e^- \rightarrow c\bar{c}$; and $A_{\epsilon}^{\pi_s}$ is the asymmetry in reconstruction efficiencies for π_s^{\pm} tracks.

We correct for $A_{\epsilon}^{\pi_s}$ in $K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$ events by separately weighting D^0 and \bar{D}^0 decays:

$$w_{D^0} = 1 - A_{\epsilon}^{\pi_s}(p_T, \cos \theta_{\pi_s}) \quad (8)$$

$$w_{\bar{D}^0} = 1 + A_{\epsilon}^{\pi_s}(p_T, \cos \theta_{\pi_s}). \quad (9)$$

where $A_{\epsilon}^{\pi_s}$ is the asymmetry in π_s detection in bins of p_T and $\cos \theta_{\pi_s}$ of the π_s^{\pm} , where p_T is the transverse




FIG. 2: Projections of the fit for $D^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$ on M (upper) and ΔM (lower). The corresponding pull distributions [= (data – fit result)/(data uncertainty)] are shown below each projection. The dashed red lines correspond to $\pm 3\sigma$ values.

momentum and θ_{π_s} is the polar angle with respect to the z -axis, both evaluated in the laboratory frame.

After correcting for $A_{\epsilon}^{\pi_s}$, we obtain $A_{CP}^{\text{cor}} = A_{CP} + A_{\text{FB}}$. The asymmetry A_{FB} is an odd function of $\cos \theta^*$, and A_{CP} is an even function, where θ^* is the polar angle between the $D^{*\pm}$ momentum and the $+z$ axis in the CM frame. We thus extract A_{CP} and A_{FB} via

$$A_{CP} = \frac{A_{CP}^{\text{cor}}(\cos \theta^*) + A_{CP}^{\text{cor}}(-\cos \theta^*)}{2} \quad (10)$$

$$A_{\text{FB}} = \frac{A_{CP}^{\text{cor}}(\cos \theta^*) - A_{CP}^{\text{cor}}(-\cos \theta^*)}{2}. \quad (11)$$

We calculate A_{CP}^{cor} in four bins of $\cos \theta^*$: $(-1.0, -0.4)$, $(-0.4, 0)$, $(0, 0.4)$ and $(0.4, 1.0)$. We determine A_{CP}^{cor} for each bin by simultaneously fitting for D^0 and \bar{D}^0 signal yields for weighted events in that bin. The results for A_{CP}^{cor} are combined according to Eqs. (10) and (11) to obtain A_{CP} and A_{FB} . Fitting the A_{CP} values in bins of $\cos \theta^*$ to a constant, we obtain $A_{CP} = [-2.51 \pm 1.44 (\text{stat})_{-0.52}^{+0.35} (\text{syst})]\%$, where the first uncertainty is statistical and second is systematic [7].

V. MEASUREMENT OF a_{CP}^T

To measure a_{CP}^T , we divide the data into four subsamples: D^0 decays with $C_T > 0$ (yield = N_1) and $C_T < 0$ (yield = N_2); and \bar{D}^0 decays with $-\bar{C}_T > 0$ (N_3) and $-\bar{C}_T < 0$ (N_4). Thus, $A_T = (N_1 - N_2)/(N_1 + N_2)$, $\bar{A}_T = (N_3 - N_4)/(N_3 + N_4)$, and $a_{CP}^T = (A_T - \bar{A}_T)/2$. We fit the four subsamples simultaneously and take the fitted parameters to be N_1 , N_3 , A_T , and a_{CP}^T . The fit gives $a_{CP}^T = [-1.95 \pm 1.42 \text{ (stat)}^{+0.14}_{-0.12} \text{ (syst)}]\%$, where the first uncertainty is statistical and second is systematic [7].

VI. CONCLUSION:

In summary, using 922 fb^{-1} of Belle data we report the world's most precise branching fraction measure-

ment for $D^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$ decays. The branching fraction, measured relative to that for $D^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$, is: $\mathcal{B}(D^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-)/\mathcal{B}(D^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-) = [1.72 \pm 0.03 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.04 \text{ (syst)}] \times 10^{-2}$. Inserting the world average value $\mathcal{B}(D^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-) = (2.80 \pm 0.18)\%$ [8] gives $\mathcal{B}(D^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-) = [4.82 \pm 0.08 \text{ (stat)} \pm^{+0.10}_{-0.11} \text{ (syst)} \pm 0.31 \text{ (norm)}] \times 10^{-4}$ where the last uncertainty is due to $\mathcal{B}(D^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-)$.

We report the first CP violation search for $D^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$ using A_{CP} and a_{CP}^T . The time-integrated CP asymmetry is measured to be $A_{CP}(D^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-) = [-2.51 \pm 1.44 \text{ (stat)}^{+0.35}_{-0.52} \text{ (syst)}]$

The CP -violating asymmetry a_{CP}^T is measured to be $a_{CP}^T(D^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-) = [-1.95 \pm 1.42 \text{ (stat)}^{+0.14}_{-0.12} \text{ (syst)}]$. Both A_{CP} and a_{CP}^T measurements are consistent with zero CP violation.

-
- [1] R. Allahverdi et al., *Open Jour. Astrophys.* **4** (2021).
 - [2] L. Canetti, M. Drewes, and M. Shaposhnikov, *New J. Phys.* **14**, 095012 (2012).
 - [3] G. R. Farrar and M. E. Shaposhnikov, *Phys. Rev. D* **50**, 774 (1994).
 - [4] A. D. Sakharov, *Pisma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* **5**, 32 (1967).
 - [5] P. Huet and E. Sather, *Phys. Rev. D* **51**, 379 (1995).
 - [6] Charge-conjugate modes are implicitly included unless noted otherwise.
 - [7] B. Collaboration (Belle Collaboration) (2022), arXiv:hep-ex/2207.07555.
 - [8] P. Zyla et al. (Particle Data Group), *PTEP* **2020**, 083C01 (2020).
 - [9] Y. Grossman, A. L. Kagan, and Y. Nir, *Phys. Rev. D* **75**, 036008 (2007).
 - [10] R. Aaij et al. (LHCb Collaboration), *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **122**, 211803 (2019).
 - [11] G. Durieux and Y. Grossman, *Phys. Rev. D* **92**, 076013 (2015).
 - [12] G. Valencia, *Phys. Rev. D* **39**, 3339 (1989).
 - [13] W. Bensalem and D. London, *Phys. Rev. D* **64**, 116003 (2001).
 - [14] A. Abashian et al. (Belle Collaboration), *Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A* **479**, 117 (2002), also see Section 2 in J. Brodzicka *et al.*, *Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys.* **2012**, 04D001 (2012).
 - [15] S. Kurokawa and E. Kikutani, *Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A* **499**, 1 (2003), and other papers in this volume. T. Abe *et al.*, *Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys.* **2013**, 03A001 (2013) and references therein.
 - [16] The fitted ranges are larger for the signal mode in order to more accurately model the background level.
 - [17] F. Berends, K. Gaemers, and R. Gastmans, *Nucl. Phys.* **B63**, 381 (1973).