

Localisation and Sub-Diffusive Transport in Quantum Spin Chains With Dilute Disorder

S. J. Thomson

Dahlem Centre for Complex Quantum Systems, Freie Universität, 14195 Berlin, Germany

(Dated: August 12, 2022)

It is widely believed that many-body localisation in one dimension is fragile and can be easily destroyed by thermal inclusions, however there are still many open questions regarding the stability of the localised phase and under what conditions it breaks down. Here I construct models with dilute disorder, which interpolate between translationally invariant and fully random models, in order to study the breakdown of localisation. This opens up the possibility to controllably increase the density of thermal regions and examine the breakdown of localisation as this density is increased. At strong disorder, the numerical results are consistent with commonly-used diagnostics for localisation even when the concentration of thermalising regions is high. At moderate disorder, I present evidence for slow dynamics and sub-diffusive transport across a large region of the phase diagram, suggestive of a ‘bad metal’ phase. This suggests that dilute disorder may be a useful effective model for studying Griffiths effects in many-body localisation, and perhaps also in a wider class of disordered systems.

I. INTRODUCTION

The study of many-body quantum systems which fail to thermalise is a major frontier in modern condensed matter physics, and by now there are many examples of scenarios where thermalisation may be avoided, most commonly through the addition of some form of disorder [1–9], but also even in a variety of disorder-free systems [10–18]. Similar effects can even be achieved even by preparing certain systems in finely-tuned initial states without any form of disorder, as in the case of quantum many-body scars [19].

The focus of this work is many-body localisation [4–9], the interacting variant of Anderson localisation [1, 2] where an isolated many-body quantum system can become localised at all energy scales via the addition of a random on-site chemical potential or magnetic field [3]. Recent years have seen huge progress in understanding many-body localisation – particularly from the point of view of local integrals of motion [7, 20–25] – as well as in establishing under what conditions it can and can not exist. Remarkably, there is even a class of spin chains for which an analytical proof of many-body localisation exists [6], subject to the assumption of limited level attraction, which is widely considered to be a reasonable assumption. Behaviour consistent with many-body localisation has been experimentally observed in a variety of one- and even two-dimensional systems [26–32], though its stability remains under intense discussion. In particular, it is widely believed that many-body localisation is stable only in one-dimensional systems with short-range couplings, as otherwise localisation has been argued to be unstable due to what is now known as the avalanche effect, where rare regions of anomalously low disorder can form ergodic bubbles which can grow and eventually cause the entire system to thermalise [33].

Recently, however, the stability of many-body localisation even in one dimension has come into question, with some works suggesting that the localisation transition takes place at a much higher disorder strength

than previously expected [34], and others suggesting that there may be no transition at all in the thermodynamic limit [35–37]. It is therefore of great interest to study in more detail the breakdown of many-body localisation and characterise just how robust this phase really is. Whether or not it constitutes a stable phase in the thermodynamic limit, it is clear that upon increasing the disorder strength the relaxation dynamics of the system enters a regime that either does not thermalise at all, or does so only on extremely long times. In the following I shall refer to this regime as ‘many-body localised’, and to the onset of these slow dynamics as the ‘many-body localisation transition’. In particular, there has been a great deal of work studying the transport properties close to the many-body localisation transition, with a large body of evidence pointing towards the presence of a Griffiths-like subdiffusive regime and other anomalous transport properties [38–47], and gaining further insights this region could lead to an improved understanding of the many-body localisation transition itself.

In this work I take a new approach to studying the breakdown of the many-body localised phase. I construct a model in which homogeneous thermalising regions can be controllably added, and ask what happens to many-body localisation as the density of these thermalising regions is varied. Previous work [48] has suggested that rare thermalising regions should play a key role close to the transition. These models allow us to investigate the effects of both rare thermal regions and rare impurities, which in one dimension can form large bottlenecks that can have a significant effect on the transport properties of the system, even if the majority of lattice sites are in locally ergodic regions. The results indicate that signs of localisation persist even in regimes where one would not expect the system to be truly localised (i.e. when the density of thermal regions is high), supporting recent arguments that numerical studies of many-body localisation may be vulnerable to significant finite-size and finite-time effects [49] that could give the appearance of a well-defined localised phase which may not be stable in

arXiv:2208.03234v2 [cond-mat.dis-nn] 11 Aug 2022

the thermodynamic limit. As well as providing a window onto the breakdown of many-body localisation, I expect this form of disorder to be a useful toy model for Griffiths effects [50] and rare region physics that are believed to play an important role in the many-body localisation transition in systems with random disorder [47, 51], as well as in other paradigmatic examples of disordered phases of matter such as the Bose glass [52].

II. MODEL

I will focus on the XXZ model of strongly interacting spins in one dimension with nearest-neighbour interactions, subject to two different choices of on-site potential. The Hamiltonian for a chain of length L with open boundary conditions is given by:

$$H = \sum_i h_i S_i^z + J_0 \sum_i (S_i^x S_{i+1}^x + S_i^y S_{i+1}^y) + J_z \sum_i S_i^z S_{i+1}^z. \quad (1)$$

In the following, all Hamiltonian parameters will be measured in units of $J_0 = 1$, and I will set $J_z = J_0$.

I shall consider the on-site terms h_i drawn from two different distributions. The first case, which I shall call dilute random disorder, is described by a potential which is randomly chosen to be either equal to a uniform value or drawn randomly from a box distribution of width $2d$:

$$h_i = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{with probability } (1-p), \\ \in [-d, d], & \text{with probability } p. \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

In the limit $p \rightarrow 1$, this model reduces to the ‘standard model’ of MBL, namely a fully random system with a completely disordered on-site potential, which has been widely studied in the literature and its properties are by now well-established [53–56]. In the opposite limit of $p \rightarrow 0$, the model instead becomes a translationally invariant integrable system. By varying p , it is possible to interpolate between these two limits and examine at which point localisation breaks down. For a given value of p , the chance of a region of length L which is completely homogeneous decays exponentially with the length of the region, and is given by $(1-p)^L$. Conversely, the chance to find a region of length L which is entirely random is p^L , and for $p = 0.5$ at fixed L both types of region are equally likely to occur. It is important to note that by the nature of random systems, it is possible – indeed, inevitable – that these random regions can themselves include rare regions of anomalously low disorder which are approximately homogeneous and consequently favour thermalisation, however I will not consider the effect of these regions in detail here.

The second case, which I shall call dilute binary disorder, is similarly dependent on a probability p but in this

case can take only two values, denoted W_0 and d :

$$h_i = \begin{cases} W_0, & \text{with probability } (1-p), \\ d, & \text{with probability } p. \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

For $p = 0.5$, this reduces to a straightforward bimodal distribution, while in the limits $p \rightarrow 0$ and $p \rightarrow 1$ the model is translationally invariant and integrable. We can anticipate that the choice $p = 0.5$ will be the most likely value to host a stable localised phase, however we shall see later that in fact the hallmarks of localisation exist over a wider region of parameter space than one might expect. In the following I set $W_0 = 1$ throughout, and vary d .

The effects of dilute regions have been studied previously in the context of MBL in the case of both rare strongly disordered impurities [36] and small thermalising regions [57] or baths [37], however this work takes a somewhat different approach. Rather than studying the effect of a single anomalous region on the rest of the spin chain, as in previous works, here I look at the effect of changing the density of such thermalising regions in a way that aims to mimic Griffiths-type effects close to the localisation transition.

III. METHODS

I will employ full exact diagonalisation using the QuSpin package [58, 59], and will examine both the non-equilibrium dynamics and the level spacing statistics. Both are considered standard measures of localisation effects in many-body quantum systems. In all of the following, the exact diagonalisation results have been averaged over a minimum of $N_s = 512$ disorder realisations (with up to $N_s = 4096$ for the smallest system sizes used in this work).

Using exact diagonalisation, I will compute the disorder-averaged level-spacing statistics (restricting ourselves to the zero magnetisation sector), which is by now a standard measure of investigating localisation and chaos in quantum systems [60]. It is defined as:

$$\delta_\alpha = |\varepsilon_\alpha - \varepsilon_{\alpha+1}|, \quad (4)$$

$$r_\alpha = \min(\delta_\alpha, \delta_{\alpha+1}) / \max(\delta_\alpha, \delta_{\alpha+1}). \quad (5)$$

where δ_α is the difference between successive energy levels. This quantity takes the value $r \approx 0.53$ in a delocalised phase (following Wigner-Dyson statistics, indicative of level repulsion), and $r \approx 0.39$ in a localised phase (following Poisson statistics, indicative of a random distribution of energy levels).

I will investigate the behaviour of the imbalance following a quench from a Néel state of the form $|1010\dots\rangle$, where $|1\rangle = |\uparrow\rangle$ and $|0\rangle = |\downarrow\rangle$. The imbalance (staggered magnetisation) is defined as:

$$\mathcal{I}(t) = \frac{1}{L} \sum_i (-1)^i S_i^z(t). \quad (6)$$




FIG. 1. Numerical results for the case of dilute random disorder, for a system size $L = 14$ and averaged over $N_s = 512$ disorder realisations. a) The imbalance \mathcal{I} long after a quench ($tJ_0 = 10^3$) from a Néel state. b) The averaged level spacing statistics r , which vary from $r \sim 0.39$ in a localised phase to $r \sim 0.53$ in an ergodic phase. c) The long-time behaviour of the entanglement entropy \mathcal{S} , again at a time $tJ_0 = 10^3$ following a quench. In all plots, the upper left corner is chaotic, while the upper right corner is localised. The lower left corner is chaotic, except for close to $p = 0$ where integrability is approximately restored. The lower right corner exhibits a small persistent imbalance, level statistics that are close to Poisson, and an entanglement entropy that grows slower than expected in a chaotic system. All of these are suggestive of a ‘bad metal’ regime existing over an extended region of the phase diagram.

such that $\mathcal{I}(0) = 1/2$ and it decays in time. This observable gives us an idea of how much ‘memory’ of its initial state the system has. In order to further investigate the character of the transport on the delocalised side of the transition, I will also compute the dynamical correlation function:

$$\mathcal{C}_i(t) = 4\langle S_i^z(t)S_i^z(0) \rangle. \quad (7)$$

In the following, I shall set $\mathcal{C}(t) \equiv \mathcal{C}_{L/2}(t)$, such that boundary effects are minimised. In a phase with diffusive transport, one would expect to see this correlation function decay like $\mathcal{C}(t) \sim 1/\sqrt{t}$, whereas if the transport is sub-diffusive it will instead decay like $\mathcal{C}(t) \sim t^{-\alpha}$ with $\alpha < 1/2$. It has been shown in Ref. [51] that at infinite temperature the exponent α is linked to the behaviour of the optical conductivity $\sigma(\omega) \sim \omega^\beta$ via the relation $\beta + 2\alpha = 1$, therefore knowledge of this correlation function also gives us information about the optical conductivity. It was also demonstrated in Ref. [51] that it is extremely challenging to reliably extract an exponent $\alpha = 1/2$ in the diffusive regime due to significant finite-size effects, and that extrapolation to the $L \rightarrow \infty$ limit is required in order to recover the expected value of $\alpha = 1/2$. I shall make use of a similar procedure below.

A few brief comments about these observables are in order. The first is that, up to constant factors, the imbalance defined in Eq. 6 is essentially a site-averaged version of Eq. 7, and as such one might expect them to exhibit precisely the same scaling behaviour. Numerically speaking, however, they do not - this is likely due to finite-size effects stemming from the use of open

boundary conditions. It is also important to point out that strictly speaking, the link between the correlation function and the optical conductivity is only valid in the infinite-temperature regime, whereas in this work I consider the dynamics resulting from a specific choice of initial state. However, the Néel state used in this work is a commonly used proxy for the infinite-temperature behaviour of disordered systems, and as we shall see, the results in the low-disorder regime are consistent with the expectation of diffusive transport, indicating that this initial state is indeed suitable for probing the infinite-temperature behaviour of the system.

I will also compute the bipartite von Neumann entanglement entropy density $\mathcal{S}(t)$ across a cut in the centre of the chain:

$$\mathcal{S}(t) = -\frac{2}{L}\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{A}}[\rho_{\mathcal{A}}(t)\log(\rho_{\mathcal{A}}(t))]. \quad (8)$$

where $\rho_{\mathcal{A}}(t) = \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{B}}[|\psi(t)\rangle\langle\psi(t)|]$ is the reduced density matrix of one half of the chain (subsystem \mathcal{A} , with length $L/2$) after tracing out the remaining sites in subsystem \mathcal{B} . The entanglement entropy has previously been shown to increase logarithmically in time in the MBL phase [54], in contrast to the much faster growth expected in an ergodic system.




FIG. 2. The decay of the imbalance (staggered magnetisation) following a quench from an initial Néel state, for $L = 16$. All data in this work for $L = 16$ is averaged over $N_s = 1024$ disorder realisations. The four panels show four different disorder strengths, and the coloured lines each show different values of $p = 0.1, 0.2, 0.5, 0.9$. The black dashed lines are power-law fits to the long-time behaviour, shown here as guides to the eye. The translucent shaded area around each line represents the uncertainty: note that in many cases it is roughly the same as the linewidth. (The $p = 0.1$ data has been smoothed by convolution with a Gaussian filter.)

IV. RESULTS

A. Dilute Random Disorder

A summary of the results for dilute random disorder are shown in the phase diagrams of Fig. 1. Firstly, the behaviour in both limits $p \rightarrow 0$ and $p \rightarrow 1$ are as expected, yielding a vanishing imbalance in the former case (characteristic of the diffusive transport expected in the integrable XXZ model [61]) and a localisation transition in the latter case, located at approximately $d_c \approx 3.7$ [56]. As this transition has been widely studied elsewhere, I do not linger on it, nor attempt to locate it any more precisely than has already been done in other works. For our purposes, it is sufficient to note that there is a localisation transition and ask what happens to it as p is changed.

The most interesting physics occurs at disorder strengths $d > d_c$ when p is reduced from unity, and one can examine what happens to the localisation transition. Fig. 1a) shows that the transition shifts to larger values of d as more homogeneous regions are added, which makes intuitive sense as these regions act to favour thermalisa-




FIG. 3. The decay of the correlation function following a quench from an initial Néel state, for $L = 16$, again for four different disorder strengths and varying values of the dilution p , and as before the shaded area represents the uncertainty. The behaviour is qualitatively similar to the imbalance in most cases. Note the large uncertainty in places: this is due to oscillations in the sign of $C(t)$. For this reason, the curves for $p = 0.1$ are omitted, as they are highly oscillatory around zero.

tion. The smaller p becomes, the larger these thermalising regions are and the stronger their effects. Remarkably, however, signatures of a finite imbalance persist even to small values of $p \approx 0.2$. This effect is even more starkly revealed in the level spacing statistics, shown in Fig. 1b), where at strong disorder there are no areas of the phase diagram where r takes on the Wigner-Dyson value of ~ 0.53 which would be characteristic of a chaotic ergodic phase.

More information about how the system approaches its long-time state can be extracted from the evolution of these observables, rather than just their long-time limits. Fig. 2 shows the evolution of the imbalance for a variety of different disorder strengths and choices of the dilution parameter p , on a double-log scale with power-law fits to the late-time behaviour indicated by black dashed lines. At low disorder (i.e. in the delocalised phase), the imbalance decays like a power-law at long times. This persists to intermediate disorder ($d = 3.0$) although the slope of the power-law is significantly reduced, implying a slower decay. At the two highest disorder strengths considered here, the imbalance appears to saturate at late times and does not exhibit power-law decay, although it must be noted that for small values of p the imbalance does indeed saturate close to zero, which is inconsistent with a fully many-body localised phase.




FIG. 4. Decay exponents from fits to the imbalance $\mathcal{I}(t) \propto t^{-\gamma}$ and correlation function $C(t) \propto t^{-\alpha}$ respectively, shown for three different disorder strengths ($d = 1.0, 3.0, 9.0$, top to bottom) and three different values of the dilution parameter p . The dashed lines show the linear extrapolation to the infinite system size limit, where for diffusive transport I would expect to find $\alpha = 1/2$, indicated by the purple dot-dashed line. For weak disorder, the results are consistent with diffusive transport, but as the disorder is increased, the decay becomes significantly slower with $\alpha, \gamma \rightarrow 0$, suggestive of non-diffusive transport at intermediate disorder and full localisation at strong disorder. Error bars reflect the uncertainty in the fits, and are in many cases smaller than the plot markers.

This behaviour can be corroborated via the correlation function, shown in Fig. 3, which exhibits qualitatively similar behaviour. Following Ref. [51], here the fits are performed over an intermediate window in time before the correlation function saturates to its long-time value. Again, there is a power-law decay at low disorder but a clear plateau at large disorder strengths, even for small values of the dilution parameter p , indicating that the long-time behaviour of the system is strongly affected by the presence of these rare yet large bottlenecks. Note that when the dilution parameter p is small, the system is close to a clean, integrable model and both the imbalance and correlation function exhibit large oscillations that are not damped out by disorder averaging, and so all data for $p = 0.1$ in Fig. 2 has been smoothed by convolution with a Gaussian filter of width 1σ .

It is possible to extract decay exponents from the imbalance $\mathcal{I}(t) \propto t^{-\gamma}$ and correlation function $C(t) \propto t^{-\alpha}$ respectively, as shown in Fig. 4. While the decay of the imbalance is not directly related to the diffusion exponent α due to the boundary effects discussed earlier, it is nonetheless instructive as it displays the same qualitative behaviour and has better statistics (as it is averaged over sites within each sample, as well as over disorder realisations, unlike the correlation function). Also note that for odd system sizes, the system is no longer




FIG. 5. Growth of the entanglement entropy following a quench from an initial Néel state, again for four different disorder strengths and varying values of dilution p , computed for $L = 16$ using exact diagonalisation. The shaded area around each curve again represents the uncertainty (variance). Even at the strongest disorder strength and weakest value of the dilution ($d = 9.0$ and $p = 0.1$ respectively), there is still a slow logarithmic growth of the entanglement entropy at long time, characteristic of many-body localisation.

in the zero magnetisation sector, however the results are broadly consistent with those obtained for even system sizes, up to small oscillations, and so I include the data regardless. The decay exponent for the correlation function approaches the diffusive value of $\alpha = 1/2$ in the thermodynamic limit, as expected, however convergence as a function of system size is extremely slow and extracting reliable exponents is challenging. Nonetheless, the results indicate that for weak disorder ($d = 1.0$), the decay of both the imbalance and correlation function is consistent with diffusive behaviour, as expected. For intermediate disorder ($d = 3.0$) the decay is significantly and visibly slower, consistent with subdiffusive transport. At the largest disorder strength shown in Fig. 4 ($d = 9.0$), the exponents are both effectively zero for all values of p , suggestive of localisation, although it is not possible to rule out the possibility of extremely slow transport that cannot be resolved at these system sizes and evolution times [49] - in fact, it is very likely that this is the case, based upon the high density of thermalising regions which would by design overwhelm conventional perturbative arguments for the stability of MBL [6].

In addition, Fig. 5 shows the growth of the half-chain entanglement entropy $\mathcal{S}(t)$ following the quench, again for a variety of disorder strengths and values of the dilution parameter. At weak disorder, the entanglement en-




FIG. 6. Numerical results for the case of dilute binary disorder, for a system size $L = 14$ and averaged over $N_s = 512$ disorder realisations. a) The imbalance long after a quench from a Néel state ($tJ_0 = 10^3$). b) The averaged level spacing statistics, which vary from $r \sim 0.39$ in a localised phase to $r \sim 0.53$ in an ergodic phase. c) The entanglement entropy a long time after the quench. Note the singular behaviour along the integrable line $W_0 = d = 1$, clearly visible in all three panels as a vertical line.




FIG. 7. The decay of the imbalance (staggered magnetisation) following a quench from an initial Néel state in the case of dilute binary disorder, for $L = 16$. The colour schemes and quantities shown are the same as those of Fig. 2, however note the use of $d = 0.0$ rather than $d = 1.0$ in order to avoid the integrable line visible in Fig. 6. (The $p = 0.1$ and $p = 0.9$ data has been smoothed by convolution with a Gaussian filter.)

FIG. 8. The decay of the correlation function following a quench from an initial Néel state in the case of dilute binary disorder, for $L = 16$ and again for four different disorder strengths and varying values of the dilution p , and as before the shaded area represents the uncertainty. Note that the $p = 0.2$ and $p = 0.8$ lines overlap due to the symmetry of the phase diagram.

entropy grows rapidly and quickly saturates for all values of the dilution parameter p , while at intermediate disorder the dynamics separates into an initial transient period of fast growth followed by a slower logarithmic increase

that persists to late times. Notably, this feature is also present at strong disorder and small values of dilution, for example $d = 9.0$ and $p = 0.2$, where the impurities are strong yet rare. The long-time values of $\mathcal{S}(t = 10^3)$ are

shown in Fig. 1c), where it is clear that the entanglement in the localised region is significantly smaller than elsewhere in the phase diagram (albeit still slowly growing in time). This behaviour is consistent with conventional MBL phenomenology [54] which predicts $\mathcal{S}(t) \sim \log(t)$.

B. Dilute Binary Disorder

I now contrast the results shown in the previous section with the corresponding results for dilute binary disorder, which are summarised in Fig. 6. In the limits $p \rightarrow 0$ and $p \rightarrow 1$ the system behaves in an integrable manner, with vanishing imbalance and a level spacing parameter consistent with Poisson level statistics, as expected for an integrable system. At the maximally disordered value of $p = 0.5$, there is a transition or crossover between an ergodic and a localised phase at a critical $d_c \approx 4$, similarly to the $p \rightarrow 1$ limit of the model with dilute random disorder. Moving away from this point, as $\delta p = |0.5 - p|$ increases from zero, the localised phase is quickly destabilised, however once again the level statistics do not significantly deviate from their Poisson value of $r \sim 0.39$ despite the imbalance vanishing.

Note also the singular behaviour along the line where $W_0 = d = 1$, as here the system is integrable for all values of p and displays a rapid transition to a chaotic ergodic phase as $\delta W = |W_0 - d|$ becomes non-zero. This is not an error, and is simply a reflection that there is no ‘disorder’ to speak of along this line, as the system is translationally invariant (and integrable) regardless of the value of p .

As before, I compute the same set of observables, beginning with the imbalance following a quench from a Néel state, shown in Fig. 7. The results are qualitatively similar to the case of dilute random disorder, showing a power-law decay of the imbalance at intermediate disorder and a flat ‘frozen’ imbalance at large disorder strengths, suggestive of localisation. The value $d = 1.0$ considered in the previous section is replaced here with $d = 0.0$, as the system is integrable at $d = 1.0$ for all values of p (as $W_0 = d = 1.0$ and so the behaviour is qualitatively different here than for all other choices of d). Fig. 8 shows the decay of the dynamical correlation function, and again it exhibits familiar behaviour consistent with slow transport at intermediate disorder and localisation at higher disorder strengths. The extracted decay exponents are shown in Fig. 9, however due to the less random nature of the dilute binary potential, the results at small values of $|1 - p|$ exhibit persistent oscillations that are not damped by disorder averages, and so these fits at small values of d and small values of $|1 - p|$ are rather noisy and it is difficult to unambiguously determine the nature of the transport. It is, however, clear that the effect of disorder is qualitatively similar as in the dilute random case, with strong disorder ultimately suppressing transport regardless of the value of the dilution p . Finally, Fig. 10 shows the entanglement entropy,




FIG. 9. Decay exponents from fits to the imbalance $\mathcal{I}(t) \propto t^{-\gamma}$ and correlation function $C(t) \propto t^{-\alpha}$ respectively, shown for two different disorder strengths ($d = 3.0$ and $d = 6.0$) and three different values of the dilution parameter p . The dashed lines show the linear extrapolation to the infinite system size limit, with the diffusive value $\alpha = 1/2$ indicated by the purple dot-dashed line. For $d = 3.0$ the results are inconclusive but likely subdiffusive, whereas for $d = 6.0$ transport is almost entirely absent.

where the behaviour is qualitatively consistent with the other observables, exhibiting a slow logarithmic growth around $p = 0.5$, and a much faster growth for $\delta p \rightarrow 0.5$ with late-time behaviour that appears to saturate.

V. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

In this work I have studied two unconventional choices of disordered potentials and examined the stability of many-body localisation in both cases. The results indicate that localisation appears to be surprisingly robust towards the addition of homogeneous regions which should favour thermalisation, and that even in parameter regimes where disordered regions are much smaller (on average) than homogeneous regions, localisation effects persist to the largest system sizes and longest times studied here. Based on analytical arguments regarding the stability of many-body localisation [33], it is very likely that the localisation phenomena observed over much of the phase diagrams shown in this work will not survive in the thermodynamic limit, however it is striking that these regions appear just as stable as the conventionally studied localised regime. The indistinguishability of localisation in the dilute and fully random regimes (i.e. $p = 1$ for dilute random disorder) suggests that extremely slow




FIG. 10. Growth of the entanglement entropy following a quench from an initial Néel state in the case of dilute binary disorder, again for four different disorder strengths and varying values of dilution p , computed for $L = 16$ using exact diagonalisation. Note that the results for $p = 0.1$ and $p = 0.9$ are quantitatively very similar, mirroring the symmetry of the phase diagrams shown in Fig. 6.

mechanisms for delocalisation cannot be captured by exact simulations on such small system sizes. These numerical simulations will serve as a useful roadmap for future studies examining further exotic properties of spin chains with dilute disorder. Here I have established the broad structure of the phase diagram and a few key properties, but there are many interesting open questions which dilute disorder may be able to shed some light on. In this work, I have focused on small system sizes and numerically exact methods in order to establish the broad properties of these disorder distributions in the regime most commonly studied by the many-body localisation community. Further studies using tensor network techniques to access larger system sizes may be able to contrast the finite-size scaling behaviour of dilute and fully random disorder distributions in order to see whether the behaviour in the thermodynamic limit continues to behave indistinguishably, or whether the localisation in the presence of dilute disorder will be destabilised more easily. While the latter scenario is more likely, the former would have interesting implications for the stability of MBL in the thermodynamic limit. It would also be interesting to see if future analytical studies will be able to rigorously establish some of the numerical properties observed in this work, as to date few analytical works

have considered dilute disorder.

While undoubtedly somewhat finely tuned and unlikely to exist in nature, the potentials introduced in this work offer a way to controllably add thermalising regions into an otherwise disordered sample and may offer a novel path forward for the study of Griffiths-type effects in disordered systems by allowing ‘rare regions’ to be included in a more controlled manner than in purely random systems. They are also entirely within the realm of current generation experiments, particularly ultracold atomic gas platforms, where these tailored potentials can be realised, for example using a spatial light modulator or digital mirror device. This could also allow the effects of these potentials to be experimentally investigated in two dimensions, where the existence of MBL is not yet firmly established and the fragile nature of the putative localised phase should be much more vulnerable to the controlled addition of thermal inclusions as proposed in this work. It would be interesting to see if sub-diffusive transport also emerges in this situation, or if the presence of any finite concentration of thermal inclusions is sufficient to immediately induce conventional diffusive transport in greater than one spatial dimension.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This project has received funding from the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No.101031489 (Ergodicity Breaking in Quantum Matter). I gratefully acknowledge helpful discussions with C. Bertoni, J. Eisert, A. Kshetrimayum and A. Nietner, and I thank J. Richter for useful comments regarding the dynamical correlation function. All data and code are available at [62, 63].

APPENDIX

A. Brief Note on Uncertainty Estimates

The uncertainty shown in the main text was estimated for each observable \mathcal{O} by computing the mean $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$ and the variance $\sigma^2(\mathcal{O})$, and plotting the range given by $\overline{\mathcal{O}} \pm \sigma^2(\mathcal{O})$. The reason for this is that many of the quantities of interest in this work are close to zero, while the numerical uncertainty may be larger than zero, and so standard error estimation methods (which assume $\Delta x \ll x$) are not suitable. For the dynamical correlation function in particular, which can be highly oscillatory around zero at late times, I take the variance of the *magnitude* of $\mathcal{C}(t)$ in order to have a well-defined uncertainty estimate, otherwise oscillations in the sign of the correlation function can lead to unreasonably large uncertainties close to the zeros of the function.

-
- [1] P. W. Anderson, Absence of diffusion in certain random lattices, *Phys. Rev.* **109**, 1492 (1958).
- [2] L. Fleishman and P. W. Anderson, Interactions and the anderson transition, *Phys. Rev. B* **21**, 2366 (1980).
- [3] D. Basko, I. Aleiner, and B. Altshuler, Metal-insulator transition in a weakly interacting many-electron system with localized single-particle states, *Annals of Physics* **321**, 1126 (2006).
- [4] D. A. Huse, R. Nandkishore, V. Oganesyan, A. Pal, and S. L. Sondhi, Localization-protected quantum order, *Phys. Rev. B* **88**, 014206 (2013).
- [5] D. A. Huse, R. Nandkishore, and V. Oganesyan, Phenomenology of fully many-body-localized systems, *Phys. Rev. B* **90**, 174202 (2014).
- [6] J. Z. Imbrie, On many-body localization for quantum spin chains, *Journal of Statistical Physics* **163**, 998 (2016).
- [7] J. Z. Imbrie, V. Ros, and A. Scardicchio, Local integrals of motion in many-body localized systems, *Annalen der Physik* **529**, 1600278 (2017).
- [8] F. Alet and N. Laflorencie, Many-body localization: An introduction and selected topics, *Comptes Rendus Physique* **19**, 498 (2018), quantum simulation / Simulation quantique.
- [9] D. A. Abanin, E. Altman, I. Bloch, and M. Serbyn, Colloquium: Many-body localization, thermalization, and entanglement, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **91**, 021001 (2019).
- [10] A. Smith, J. Knolle, D. L. Kovrizhin, and R. Moessner, Disorder-free localization, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **118**, 266601 (2017).
- [11] M. Brenes, M. Dalmonte, M. Heyl, and A. Scardicchio, Many-body localization dynamics from gauge invariance, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120**, 030601 (2018).
- [12] M. Schulz, C. A. Hooley, R. Moessner, and F. Pollmann, Stark many-body localization, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **122**, 040606 (2019).
- [13] E. van Nieuwenburg, Y. Baum, and G. Refael, From bloch oscillations to many-body localization in clean interacting systems, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **116**, 9269 (2019).
- [14] P. Karpov, R. Verdel, Y.-P. Huang, M. Schmitt, and M. Heyl, Disorder-free localization in an interacting 2d lattice gauge theory, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **126**, 130401 (2021).
- [15] J. C. Halimeh, L. Homeier, H. Zhao, A. Bohrdt, F. Grusdt, P. Hauke, and J. Knolle, Enhancing disorder-free localization through dynamically emergent local symmetries, *PRX Quantum* **3**, 020345 (2022).
- [16] J. C. Halimeh, P. Hauke, J. Knolle, and F. Grusdt, Temperature-induced disorder-free localization, *arXiv:2206.11273* (2022).
- [17] H. Lang, P. Hauke, J. Knolle, F. Grusdt, and J. C. Halimeh, Disorder-free localization with stark gauge protection, *arXiv:2203.01338* (2022).
- [18] N. Chakraborty, M. Heyl, P. Karpov, and R. Moessner, Disorder-free localization transition in a two-dimensional lattice gauge theory, *arXiv:2203.06198* (2022).
- [19] C. J. Turner, A. A. Michailidis, D. A. Abanin, M. Serbyn, and Z. Papić, Weak ergodicity breaking from quantum many-body scars, *Nature Physics* **14**, 745 (2018).
- [20] V. Ros, M. Müller, and A. Scardicchio, Integrals of motion in the many-body localized phase, *Nuclear Physics B* **891**, 420 (2015).
- [21] L. Rademaker and M. Ortuño, Explicit local integrals of motion for the many-body localized state, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116**, 010404 (2016).
- [22] L. Rademaker, M. Ortuño, and A. M. Somoza, Many-body localization from the perspective of integrals of motion, *Annalen der Physik* , 1600322 (2017), 1600322.
- [23] S. J. Thomson and M. Schiró, Time evolution of many-body localized systems with the flow equation approach, *Phys. Rev. B* **97**, 060201 (2018).
- [24] S. J. Thomson and M. Schiró, Quasi-many-body localization of interacting fermions with long-range couplings, *Phys. Research* **2**, 043368 (2020).
- [25] S. J. Thomson and M. Schiró, Local integrals of motion in quasiperiodic many-body localized systems, *arXiv preprint arXiv:2110.02906* (2021).
- [26] M. Schreiber, S. S. Hodgman, P. Bordia, H. P. Lüschen, M. H. Fischer, R. Vosk, E. Altman, U. Schneider, and I. Bloch, Observation of many-body localization of interacting fermions in a quasirandom optical lattice, *Science* **349**, 842 (2015).
- [27] P. Bordia, H. P. Lüschen, S. S. Hodgman, M. Schreiber, I. Bloch, and U. Schneider, Coupling identical one-dimensional many-body localized systems, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116**, 140401 (2016).
- [28] H. P. Lüschen, P. Bordia, S. Scherg, F. Alet, E. Altman, U. Schneider, and I. Bloch, Observation of slow dynamics near the many-body localization transition in one-dimensional quasiperiodic systems, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **119**, 260401 (2017).
- [29] P. Bordia, H. Lüschen, S. Scherg, S. Gopalakrishnan, M. Knap, U. Schneider, and I. Bloch, Probing slow relaxation and many-body localization in two-dimensional quasiperiodic systems, *Phys. Rev. X* **7**, 041047 (2017).
- [30] J. Smith, A. Lee, P. Richerme, B. Neyenhuis, P. W. Hess, P. Hauke, M. Heyl, D. A. Huse, and C. Monroe, Many-body localization in a quantum simulator with programmable random disorder, *Nature Physics* **12**, 907 (2016).
- [31] J.-y. Choi, S. Hild, J. Zeiher, P. Schauß, A. Rubio-Abadal, T. Yefsah, V. Khemani, D. A. Huse, I. Bloch, and C. Gross, Exploring the many-body localization transition in two dimensions, *Science* **352**, 1547 (2016).
- [32] M. Sbroscia, K. Viebahn, E. Carter, J.-C. Yu, A. Gaunt, and U. Schneider, Observing Localization in a 2D Quasicrystalline Optical Lattice, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **125**, 200604 (2020).
- [33] T. Thiery, F. m. c. Huveneers, M. Müller, and W. De Roeck, Many-body delocalization as a quantum avalanche, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121**, 140601 (2018).
- [34] E. V. H. Doggen, F. Schindler, K. S. Tikhonov, A. D. Mirlin, T. Neupert, D. G. Polyakov, and I. V. Gornyi, Many-body localization and delocalization in large quantum chains, *Phys. Rev. B* **98**, 174202 (2018).
- [35] D. Sels and A. Polkovnikov, Thermalization through linked conducting clusters in spin chains with dilute defects, *arXiv:2105.09348* (2021).
- [36] D. Sels and A. Polkovnikov, Dynamical obstruction to localization in a disordered spin chain, *Phys. Rev. E* **104**, 054105 (2021).

- [37] D. Sels, Bath-induced delocalization in interacting disordered spin chains, *Phys. Rev. B* **106**, L020202 (2022).
- [38] Y. Bar Lev, G. Cohen, and D. R. Reichman, Absence of diffusion in an interacting system of spinless fermions on a one-dimensional disordered lattice, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **114**, 100601 (2015).
- [39] G. De Tomasi, S. Bera, A. Scardicchio, and I. M. Khaymovich, Subdiffusion in the anderson model on the random regular graph, *Phys. Rev. B* **101**, 100201 (2020).
- [40] W. De Roeck, F. Huveneers, and S. Olla, Subdiffusion in one-dimensional hamiltonian chains with sparse interactions, *Journal of Statistical Physics* **180**, 678 (2020).
- [41] D. J. Luitz and Y. Bar Lev, Anomalous thermalization in ergodic systems, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **117**, 170404 (2016).
- [42] D. J. Luitz, N. Laflorencie, and F. Alet, Extended slow dynamical regime close to the many-body localization transition, *Phys. Rev. B* **93**, 060201(R) (2016).
- [43] V. K. Varma, A. Lerose, F. Pietracaprina, J. Gould, and A. Scardicchio, Energy diffusion in the ergodic phase of a many body localizable spin chain, *Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment* **2017**, 053101 (2017).
- [44] P. Prelovšek and J. Herbrych, Self-consistent approach to many-body localization and subdiffusion, *Phys. Rev. B* **96**, 035130 (2017).
- [45] M. Žnidarič, A. Scardicchio, and V. K. Varma, Diffusive and subdiffusive spin transport in the ergodic phase of a many-body localizable system, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **117**, 040601 (2016).
- [46] S. Bera, G. De Tomasi, F. Weiner, and F. Evers, Density propagator for many-body localization: Finite-size effects, transient subdiffusion, and exponential decay, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **118**, 196801 (2017).
- [47] M. Schiró and M. Tarzia, Toy model for anomalous transport and griffiths effects near the many-body localization transition, *Phys. Rev. B* **101**, 014203 (2020).
- [48] S. Gopalakrishnan, M. Müller, V. Khemani, M. Knap, E. Demler, and D. A. Huse, Low-frequency conductivity in many-body localized systems, *Phys. Rev. B* **92**, 104202 (2015).
- [49] R. K. Panda, A. Scardicchio, M. Schulz, S. R. Taylor, and M. Žnidarič, Can we study the many-body localisation transition?, *EPL (Europhysics Letters)* **128**, 67003 (2020).
- [50] T. Vojta, Quantum griffiths effects and smeared phase transitions in metals: Theory and experiment, *J. Low Temp. Phys.* **161**, 299 (2010).
- [51] K. Agarwal, S. Gopalakrishnan, M. Knap, M. Müller, and E. Demler, Anomalous diffusion and griffiths effects near the many-body localization transition, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **114**, 160401 (2015).
- [52] M. P. A. Fisher, P. B. Weichman, G. Grinstein, and D. S. Fisher, Boson localization and the superfluid-insulator transition, *Phys. Rev. B* **40**, 546 (1989).
- [53] A. Pal and D. A. Huse, Many-body localization phase transition, *Phys. Rev. B* **82**, 174411 (2010).
- [54] J. H. Bardarson, F. Pollmann, and J. E. Moore, Unbounded growth of entanglement in models of many-body localization, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109**, 017202 (2012).
- [55] A. Nanduri, H. Kim, and D. A. Huse, Entanglement spreading in a many-body localized system, *Phys. Rev. B* **90**, 064201 (2014).
- [56] D. J. Luitz, N. Laflorencie, and F. Alet, Many-body localization edge in the random-field heisenberg chain, *Phys. Rev. B* **91**, 081103 (2015).
- [57] M. Goihl, J. Eisert, and C. Krumnow, Exploration of the stability of many-body localized systems in the presence of a small bath, *Phys. Rev. B* **99**, 195145 (2019).
- [58] P. Weinberg and M. Bukov, QuSpin: a Python Package for Dynamics and Exact Diagonalisation of Quantum Many Body Systems part I: spin chains, *SciPost Phys.* **2**, 003 (2017).
- [59] P. Weinberg and M. Bukov, QuSpin: a Python Package for Dynamics and Exact Diagonalisation of Quantum Many Body Systems. Part II: bosons, fermions and higher spins, *SciPost Phys.* **7**, 20 (2019).
- [60] V. Oganesyan and D. A. Huse, Localization of interacting fermions at high temperature, *Phys. Rev. B* **75**, 155111 (2007).
- [61] S. Mukerjee, V. Oganesyan, and D. Huse, Statistical theory of transport by strongly interacting lattice fermions, *Phys. Rev. B* **73**, 035113 (2006).
- [62] S. J. Thomson, <https://github.com/sjt48/DiluteDisorder> (2022).
- [63] S. J. Thomson, <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.6973878> (2022).