

# A CONVEX SET WITH A RICH DIFFERENCE

OLIVER ROCHE-NEWTON AND AUDIE WARREN

ABSTRACT. We construct a convex set  $A$  with cardinality  $2n$  and with the property that an element of the difference set  $A - A$  can be represented in  $n$  different ways. We also show that this construction is optimal by proving that for any convex set  $A$ , the maximum possible number of representations an element of  $A - A$  can have is  $\lfloor |A|/2 \rfloor$ .

**Introduction.** A finite set  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$  is said to be *convex* if the consecutive differences are strictly increasing. That is, if we write  $A = \{a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_n\}$ ,  $A$  is convex if

$$a_i - a_{i-1} < a_{i+1} - a_i$$

holds for all  $2 \leq i \leq n - 1$ . One can also use the equivalent formulation that a set  $A$  is convex if we can write  $A = f(\{1, 2, \dots, n\})$  for some strictly convex function  $f$ . The convexity of  $f$  disrupts the additive structure of the pre-image  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , and this leads us to expect that a convex set cannot have much additive structure.

This principle can be quantified in different ways, and one such way is to prove that the difference set

$$A - A := \{a - b : a, b \in A\}$$

is large. The current state of the art for this problem is a result of Schoen and Shkredov [6], proving that the bound<sup>1</sup>

$$|A - A| \gg |A|^{8/5 - o(1)}$$

holds for any convex set  $A$ .

Another approach is to consider the additive energy

$$E(A) := |\{(a, b, c, d) \in A^4 : a - b = c - d\}|,$$

which can also be expressed as

$$E(A) = \sum_x r_{A-A}^2(x)$$

where  $r_{A-A}(x) := |\{(a, b) \in A \times A : a - b = x\}|$ . The bound

$$(1) \quad E(A) \ll |A|^{5/2}$$

was proven using incidence theory by Konyagin [3] and using elementary methods by Garaev [2]. See also [4] for an alternative presentation of a proof of (1). A further improvement was later given by Shkredov [7], using additional higher energy tools from additive combinatorics.

<sup>1</sup>Throughout this note, the notation  $X \gg Y$  and  $Y \ll X$ , are equivalent and mean that  $X \geq cY$  for some absolute constant  $c > 0$ .

One might even expect that a qualitatively stronger statement than (1) holds; namely that  $r_{A-A}(x)$  is guaranteed to be small for all  $x \neq 0$ . Indeed, if one knew, for instance, that  $r_{A-A}(x) \leq |A|^{1-c}$  holds for all  $x \neq 0$ , this immediately implies the non-trivial bound  $E(A) \ll |A|^{3-c}$ , which in turn implies the non-trivial bound  $|A - A| \gg |A|^{1+c}$ .

However, a construction of Schoen [5] shows that such a uniform upper bound for the representation function  $r_{A-A}(x)$  is not possible. Schoen constructed a convex set with  $n$  elements and some  $x \neq 0$  with  $r_{A-A}(x) \geq n/4$ .

The main purpose of this note is to give a construction of a convex set with a rich difference which improves the construction of Schoen. We prove the following result.

**Theorem 1.** *For every  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists a convex set  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  of size  $2m$  and a non-zero element  $d \in A - A$  such that  $r_{A-A}(d) \geq m$ .*

We also show that this construction is optimal, proving that, for any convex set with cardinality  $n$  and any  $d \neq 0$ ,

$$r_{A-A}(d) \leq \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor.$$

### The construction.

*Proof of Theorem 1.* We give a concrete construction of the set

$$A = \{a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_{2m}\},$$

which is made up of two halves. The set  $A$  begins with 0, and then has gaps  $1 + (i - 1)\delta$ , for some very small  $\delta > 0$  which will be specified later. The first half of  $A$  is filled like this. That is, for  $1 \leq k \leq m + 1$ , we define

$$a_k := (k - 1) + \delta \frac{(k - 2)(k - 1)}{2},$$

and so the first  $m + 1$  elements of  $A$  are the elements of the set

$$A_1 := \left\{ 0, 1, 2 + \delta, 3 + 3\delta, \dots, m + \delta \frac{m(m - 1)}{2} \right\}.$$

Fix

$$d := m + \delta \frac{m(m - 1)}{2} = a_{m+1}.$$

The rest of  $A$  is defined iteratively. For  $1 \leq i \leq m - 1$ , we set

$$a_{m+1+i} := a_{1+2i} + d.$$

This immediately gives rise to the system of equations

$$(2) \quad d = a_{m+1} - a_1 = a_{m+2} - a_3 = \dots = a_{2m} - a_{2m-1}.$$

We therefore have  $r_{A-A}(d) \geq m$ .

It remains to check that this set is convex. Note that the first part of  $A$ , namely  $A_1 = \{a_1, \dots, a_{m+1}\}$ , is convex, since the consecutive difference increase by  $\delta$  at each step.

We will prove by induction on  $i$  that the set

$$\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{m+2+i}\}$$

is convex for  $0 \leq i \leq m-2$ .

We first check the base case  $i = 0$ . We need to verify that the difference  $a_{m+2} - a_{m+1}$  is sufficiently large, which will give a condition on  $\delta$ . We must have

$$a_{m+2} - a_{m+1} > a_{m+1} - a_m,$$

which upon plugging in the definitions yields

$$2 + \delta > 1 + \frac{\delta m(m-1)}{2} - \frac{\delta(m-2)(m-1)}{2}.$$

After simplification, this gives the condition  $\delta < \frac{1}{m-2}$ .

Now let  $1 \leq i \leq m-2$ . We must verify that

$$\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{m+2+i}\}$$

is convex, given the induction hypothesis that  $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{m+1+i}\}$  is convex. All that remains is to check that

$$a_{m+2+i} - a_{m+1+i} > a_{m+1+i} - a_{m+i}.$$

We use equations (2) to rewrite each side, as

$$a_{m+2+i} - a_{m+1+i} = a_{1+2(i+1)} - a_{1+2i}$$

$$a_{m+1+i} - a_{m+i} = a_{1+2i} - a_{1+2(i-1)}.$$

Note that, since the differences on the right hand side above are then consecutive differences of length two within a convex set, we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_{m+2+i} - a_{m+1+i} &= a_{1+2(i+1)} - a_{1+2i} \\ &> a_{1+2i} - a_{1+2(i-1)} \\ &= a_{m+1+i} - a_{m+i} \end{aligned}$$

as needed. Here we have used the inductive hypothesis that  $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{m+1+i}\}$  is convex as well as the fact that  $1 + 2(i+1) \leq m+1+i$ . The latter inequality follows from the condition that  $i \leq m-2$ .  $\square$

Note that by taking  $\delta$  to be a sufficiently small rational number, and dilating the set  $A$  through by common denominators, we can find  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$  satisfying Theorem 1.

**A matching upper bound for the representation function.** The next result shows that the construction of Theorem 1 is optimal.

**Theorem 2.** *For a convex set  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$  and any  $d \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ ,*

$$r_{A-A}(d) \leq \left\lfloor \frac{|A|}{2} \right\rfloor.$$

*Proof.* Write the elements of  $A$  in increasing order so that  $A = \{a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_n\}$ . Suppose that  $d$  can be represented in  $t$  different ways as an element of  $A - A$ . We can write

$$\begin{aligned} d &= a_{j_1+k_1} - a_{j_1} \\ &= a_{j_2+k_2} - a_{j_2} \\ &\quad \vdots \\ &= a_{j_t+k_t} - a_{j_t} \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

such that the  $k$  indices satisfy

$$k_1 > k_2 > \dots > k_t. \tag{4}$$

Indeed, because  $A$  is convex, we cannot have two of the  $k$  indices repeating in the list (3). This follows from the fact that, for fixed  $k$ , the sequence

$$(a_{j+k} - a_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \tag{5}$$

is strictly increasing. Note also that, for fixed  $j$ , the sequence

$$(a_{j+k} - a_j)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \tag{6}$$

is strictly increasing. This follows immediately from the fact that the  $a_i$  are increasing.

**Claim 1.** For all  $1 \leq i \leq t-1$

$$j_{i+1} \geq j_i + 2$$

*Proof of Claim.* Suppose for a contradiction that  $j_{i+1} \leq j_i + 1$ . We also have  $k_{i+1} \leq k_i - 1$ , and so  $j_{i+1} + k_{i+1} \leq j_i + k_i$ . Therefore

$$a_{j_{i+1}+k_{i+1}} \leq a_{j_i+k_i}.$$

But then it follows from (3) that

$$0 \leq a_{j_i+k_i} - a_{j_{i+1}+k_{i+1}} = a_{j_i} - a_{j_{i+1}},$$

and so

$$j_i \geq j_{i+1}. \tag{7}$$

However, since the sequences (5) and (6) are strictly increasing, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} a_{j_{i+1}+k_{i+1}} - a_{j_{i+1}} &\leq a_{j_i+k_{i+1}} - a_{j_i} \\ &< a_{j_i+k_i} - a_{j_i}. \end{aligned}$$

This contradicts (3). □

Applying the claim iteratively yields

$$j_t \geq j_{t-1} + 2 \geq j_{t-2} + 4 \geq \dots \geq j_1 + 2(t-1) \geq 1 + 2(t-1) = 2t-1. \tag{8}$$

We also know that  $j_t + k_t \leq n$  and  $k_t \geq 1$ . Therefore,

$$j_t \leq n-1.$$

Combining this with (8) gives

$$t \leq n/2.$$

Finally, since  $t$  is an integer, this is equivalent to the bound

$$t \leq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor.$$

□

**Concluding remarks.** Interestingly, the construction cannot be modified to give a rich sum in a convex set. For  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , we use the notation

$$r_{A+A}(x) := |\{(a, b) \in A \times A : a + b = x\}|.$$

In sharp contrast with Theorem 1, the bound

$$(9) \quad r_{A+A}(C) \ll |A|^{2/3}.$$

holds for any convex set  $A$  and  $C \in \mathbb{R}$ . The inequality (9) was also observed by Schoen [5], and can be proved using the Szemerédi-Trotter Theorem.

Another interesting direction is to determine how many  $k$ -rich representations can occur. A well-known application of the Szemerédi-Trotter Theorem (see for instance [4]) gives the bound

$$(10) \quad |\{d : r_{A-A}(d) \geq t\}| \ll \frac{n^3}{t^3}$$

for any convex set  $A$  with cardinality  $n$ . On the other hand, one can glue together  $n/t$  copies of the construction in Theorem 1 with  $t$  elements in order to obtain a convex set  $A$  with  $n$  elements and

$$(11) \quad |\{d : r_{A-A}(d) \geq t\}| \gg \frac{n}{t}.$$

There is a considerable gap between the upper and lower bounds of (10) and (11) respectively, although the bounds converge as  $t$  gets close to  $n$ .

**Acknowledgements.** The authors were supported by the Austrian Science Fund FWF Project P 34180. We are grateful to Brandon Hanson, Misha Rudnev and Dmitrii Zhelezov for helpfully sharing their insights. We are particularly grateful to Ilya Shkredov for informing us about the reference [5].

## REFERENCES

- [1] G. Elekes, M. Nathanson and I. Ruzsa, *Convexity and sumsets*, *J Number Theory*. 83 (1999), 194-201.
- [2] M. Z. Garaev, ‘On lower bounds for the  $L_1$ -norm of some exponential sums’, *Mat. Zametki* 68 (2000), no. 6, 842-850.
- [3] S. V. Konyagin, ‘An estimate for the  $L_1$ -norm of an exponential sums’, (in Russian) *In The Theory of Approximations of Functions and Operators, Abstracts of Papers of the International Conference, Dedicated to Stechkin’s 80th Anniversary*, Ekaterinbourg (2000), 88-89.
- [4] O. Raz, O. Roche-Newton and M. Sharir, ‘Sets with few distinct distances do not have heavy lines’, *Discrete Math.* 338 (2015), no. 8, 1484–1492.

- [5] T. Schoen, 'On Convolutions of Convex Sets and Related Problems', *Canad. Math. Bull.* 57 (2014), no. 4, 877-883.
- [6] T. Schoen and I. Shkredov, 'On sumsets of convex sets', *Combin. Probab. Comput.* 20 (2011), no. 5, 793-798.
- [7] I. Shkredov, 'Some new results on higher energies', *Trans. Moscow Math. Soc.* (2013), 31-63.

INSTITUTE FOR ALGEBRA, JOHANNES KEPLER UNIVERSITÄT, LINZ, AUSTRIA

*Email address:* `o.rochenewton@gmail.com`

JOHANN RADON INSTITUTE FOR COMPUTATIONAL AND APPLIED MATHEMATICS, LINZ, AUSTRIA

*Email address:* `audie.warren@oeaw.ac.at`