

Quasi-local study of the circular orbits of spin test body in static spherical symmetric spacetimes

Yong Song*, Kai Diao†, Leilei Tang‡, Jiabao Hu§, Xiaofeng Zhao¶, and Shunping Shi**

*College of Mathematics and Physics
Chengdu University of Technology,
Chengdu, Sichuan 610059, China*

(Dated: August 9, 2022)

In this work, we propose a new perspective on the quasi-local studies of the circular orbits in spacetime. Unlike the definition of the photon surface given by studying the geometry of the surface, we give a quasi-local definition of the pole-dipole particle surface in general static spherical symmetric spacetime based on the condition of the circular orbits in the effective potential method. From this definition, we study the circular orbits of a single-pole particle surface in static spherical symmetric spacetime and get the same result as the previous work. For pole-dipole case, we give an argumentation of the equivalence of this definition and the effective potential method.

I. INTRODUCTION

In 2019, the Event Horizon Telescope (EHT) Collaborations published the first images of a supermassive black hole at the center of the M87 galaxy [1]. Later, in 2021, the EHT Collaborations released the polarized images of the black hole [2, 3]. Very recently, the EHT announced the image of the Galactic Center Supermassive Black Hole [4]. To analysis these images, it is important to study the circular orbits of the system [5, 6]. The photon sphere, where the location of the circular photon orbits, describes the boundary of the black hole shadow which corresponding to the shaded part of the image [7, 8]. The innermost stable circular orbit (ISCO) describes the inner edge of the accretion disk which corresponding to the luminous part of the image [9, 10].

In recent years, the quasi-local studies of photon sphere, photon surface and their generalization definitions have attracted some attention. The first quasi-local definition of the photon surface is given by Claudel, Virbhadra, and Ellis which based on the umbilical hypersurface [11]. Based on this definition, they studied the photon surfaces in general spherically symmetric spacetimes. However, there are some problems in this definition [12]: (i). The definition allows that spacetime, which in the absence of gravity, exists a photon surface. (ii). From their definition, one can not to get the boundary condition when solving the equation of the photon surface. (iii). The umbilical condition, i.e., the shear tensor of a hypersurface is vanishing, is too restrictive and makes their definition does not work in an axisymmetric stationary spacetime. The problem (i) and (ii) has been solved by [12] in general spherically symmetric spacetimes which based on the codimension-2 surface of the spacetime. For the problem (iii), there are many generalized studies. Such as Yoshino et al. generalize the photon surface to be a loosely trapped surface [13] and (dynamically) transversely trapping surface [14–16]; Kobialko et al. generalize the photon surface to be a fundamental photon hypersurfaces and fundamental photon regions [17, 18].

Another important object is the spinning extended test body. How to study its circular orbit quasi-locally is a question worth studying. Here, we only focus on spinning extended test bodies up to the pole-dipole order. Unlike the point particle or the single-pole particle, it does not satisfy the geodesic equation but the Mathisson-Papapetrou-Dixon (MPD) equation [19–34]. A direct generalization of the quasi-local definition given by [11] is hard to check its correctness, and we will discuss this point in Appendix A. In this paper, inspired by the method of obtaining circular orbits from the effective potential of the system, we give the quasi-local definition of the single-pole and pole-dipole particle surface in static spherically symmetric spacetime. This definition is a direct correspondence of the condition of the circular orbits in the effective potential method.

This paper is organized as follows: In section II, we will give a brief review of the equations of motion of spinning extended test bodies, i.e., MPD equations. In section III, we will give the quasi-local definition of the pole-dipole particle surface. In section IV, we will give the quasi-local definition of the single-pole particle surface in static spherical symmetric spacetime and check its correctness. In section V we will demonstrate the equivalence of the definition and the effective potential method. Section VI is devoted to the conclusion and discussion. In Appendix A, we will give a disussion about the definition of the pole-dipole particle surface based on the geometry definition of the photon surface.

Convention of this paper: We choose the system of geometrized unit, i.e., set $G = c = 1$. We use the symbol $(\mathcal{M}, \nabla_a, g_{ab})$ to denote a manifold \mathcal{M} with metric g_{ab} and covariant derivative operator ∇_a , and (g_{ab}, ∇_a) satisfies the compatibility condition, i.e., $\nabla_a g_{bc} = 0$. The abstract index formalism has been used to clarify some formulas or calculations. The curvature R_{abcd} of

* e-mail address: syong@cdut.edu.cn

† e-mail address: kai_diao@qq.com

‡ e-mail address: hamidun2233@foxmail.com

§ e-mail address: 2463898559@qq.com

¶ e-mail address: 59403804@qq.com

** e-mail address: shishunping13@cdut.edu.cn (corresponding author)

the spacetime is defined by $R_{abcd}v^d = (\nabla_a \nabla_b - \nabla_b \nabla_a)v_c$ for an arbitrary tangent vector field v^a .

II. REVIEW THE MATHISSON-PAPAPETROUS-DIXON (MPD) EQUATIONS

In this section, we will give a brief review of the Mathisson-Papapetrous-Dixon (MPD) equations. For more details, one can find in the references [19, 20, 23, 24, 26–30].

The equations of motion of spinning extended test bodies up to the pole-dipole order are given by the MPD equations which read

$$\dot{p}^a = -\frac{1}{2}R^a{}_{bcd}u^b S^{cd}, \quad (2.1)$$

$$\dot{S}^{ab} = 2p^{[a}u^{b]}. \quad (2.2)$$

where $u^a = dx^a/ds$ is the 4-velocity of the body along its world line, and the dot denotes the covariant derivative with respect to the proper time “ s ”, i.e., “ $\dot{}$ ” = $D/ds = u^a \nabla_a$. The antisymmetric tensor S^{ab} is the spin tensor and p^a is 4-momentum of the test body.

In order to close the system of eqs. (2.1) and (2.2), a supplementary condition has to be imposed. In this work, we focus on the Tulczyjew spin-supplementary condition [21], i.e.,

$$S^{ab}p_b = 0. \quad (2.3)$$

From eq.(2.3), it turns out that the canonical momentum and the spin of the body provide two independent conserved quantities given by the relations [32, 33]

$$p^a p_a = -M^2, \quad (2.4)$$

$$\frac{1}{2}S^{ab}S_{ab} = S^2, \quad (2.5)$$

where M is the ‘dynamical’, ‘total’ or ‘effective’ rest mass of the body and S is the spin length of the body. In addition to the conserved quantities resulting from the Tulczyjew condition, there exist also the conserved quantities associated to the spacetime symmetries given by the Killing vectors ξ^μ , which can be expressed as

$$p^a \xi_a - \frac{1}{2}S^{ab} \nabla_b \xi_a = p^a \xi_a - \frac{1}{2}S^{ab} \partial_b \xi_a = \text{constant}. \quad (2.6)$$

III. DEFINITION

In this section, we will give the quasi-local definition of the pole-dipole particle surface in static spherical symmetric spacetime. The surface where the circular orbits of a pole-dipole particle located can be defined as:

Definition 1 *Let $(\mathcal{M}, \nabla_a, g_{ab})$ is a static spherical symmetric spacetime. Let \mathcal{S} be a timelike hypersurface of \mathcal{M} and be foliated by a family of non-evolved codimension-2 surfaces. Let $x^a(s)$ is the world line of a pole-dipole particle on \mathcal{S} . Let v^a be a unit normal vector to \mathcal{S} and p^a be the 4-momentum of the pole-dipole particle. Then \mathcal{S} is a pole-dipole particle surface if it satisfies*

$$p^a v^b \nabla_b p_a = 0, \quad (3.1)$$

and the codimension-2 surface can be called the pole-dipole particle sphere.

Definition 2 *A pole-dipole particle surface (sphere) is called stable if $v^c \nabla_c (p^a v^b \nabla_b p_a) \geq 0$, and unstable if $v^c \nabla_c (p^a v^b \nabla_b p_a) < 0$.*

Below, we give some remarks:

(i). Here, we have assumed that v^a is out pointing. Roughly speaking, the out pointing requirement refers to a direction from the center of the system to infinity.

(ii). From the condition $v^c \nabla_c (p^a v^b \nabla_b p_a) = 0$, one can get the innermost stable circular orbits.

(iii). This definition is given by studying the condition of the circular orbits in effective potential method. For a detail argument, one can find in the section of the pole-dipole case.

(iv). The above definitions may have a widely application and they can be easily generalized to other situations, such as the circular orbits in electromagnetic systems.

IV. SINGLE-POLE PARTICLE

A. Definition

In this subsection, we will give the quasi-local definition of the circular orbits of a single-pole particle in static spherical symmetric spacetime. The equation of motion of the single-pole particle satisfies the geodesic equation [34]. It is easy reduce the pole-dipole particle surface to single-pole case as follows:

Definition 3 Let $(\mathcal{M}, \nabla_a, g_{ab})$ is a static spherical symmetric spacetime. Let \mathcal{S} be a timelike hypersurface of \mathcal{M} and be foliated by a family of non-evolved codimensional-2 spacelike surfaces. Let γ is a timelike or a null geodesic on \mathcal{S} . Let v^a be a unit normal vector to \mathcal{S} and k^a be the tangent vector of the geodesic. Then \mathcal{S} is a single-pole particle surface if it satisfies

$$k^a v^b \nabla_b k_a = 0, \quad (4.1)$$

where k^a is a timelike or a null vector, and the non-evolved codimensional-2 spacelike surface can be called the single-pole particle sphere.

Definition 4 A single-pole particle surface (sphere) is called stable if $v^c \nabla_c (k^a v^b \nabla_b k_a) \geq 0$, and unstable if $v^c \nabla_c (k^a v^b \nabla_b k_a) < 0$.

B. static spherical symmetric spacetime

Let's study the circular orbit of a single-pole particle in general static spherical symmetric spacetimes by using this definition. In the $\{t, r, \theta, \phi\}$ coordinates, the metric of the general static spherical symmetric spacetimes can be written as

$$ds^2 = -F(r)dt^2 + H(r)dr^2 + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2) \quad (4.2)$$

where F and H are functions of radial coordinate r . Considering the untrapped region, we have $F(r) > 0$ and $H(r) > 0$. Because of the spherical symmetry of the line element (4.2), we can choose the equatorial plane, i.e., $\theta = \pi/2$. And we can suppose the component of the tangent vector of the geodesic to be $k^a = \{k^t, k^r, 0, k^\phi\}$, where k^t, k^r, k^ϕ are only functions of r . From the orthogonal condition that $k^a v_a = 0$, we have

$$k^r \sqrt{H} = 0, \quad (4.3)$$

Then we have $k^r = 0$. So, we can choose $k = \{k^t, 0, 0, k^\phi\}$. Along the geodesic, there are two conserved quantities, i.e.,

$$e = k_a \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^a = -F k^t, \quad (4.4)$$

$$l = k_a \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \right)^a = r^2 k^\phi. \quad (4.5)$$

Then, we get

$$k^t = -\frac{e}{F}, \quad k^\phi = \frac{l}{r^2}. \quad (4.6)$$

From eq.(4.1), we have the following result

$$-\frac{2l^2}{r^3} + \frac{F' e^2}{F^2} = 0. \quad (4.7)$$

If the single-pole particle is a photon, the surface where the circular orbits located is usually called the photon sphere. From the normalized condition of the null geodesic, i.e., $k^a k_a = 0$, we have

$$-\frac{e^2}{F} + \frac{l^2}{r^2} = 0, \quad (4.8)$$

Then, combining eq.(4.7) and (4.8), we get the photon sphere satisfies

$$\frac{e^2}{l^2} = \frac{F}{r^2}, \quad 2F = rF', \quad (4.9)$$

which is consistent with the result in [10]¹. From definition 3, we have

$$v^c \nabla_c (k^a v^b \nabla_b k_a) = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{l^2}{r^4 F H} [2F - r^2 F''] , \quad (4.10)$$

which is proportional to the second derivative of the potential of the null geodesic in [10].

If the single-pole particle is a timelike point particle, from the normalized condition of the timelike geodesic, i.e., $k^a k_a = -1$, we have

$$-\frac{e^2}{F} + \frac{l^2}{r^2} = -1 , \quad (4.11)$$

Then, combining eq.(4.7) and (4.11), we get the circular orbits of a timelike geodesic satisfy

$$e_o^2 = \frac{2F^2}{2F - rF'} , \quad l_o^2 = \frac{r^3 F'}{2F - rF'} , \quad (4.12)$$

which is consistent with the result in [10]. From definition 4, we have

$$v^c \nabla_c (k^a v^b \nabla_b k_a) = -\frac{1}{FH} \frac{-3FF'/r + 2F'^2 - FF''}{2F - rF'} , \quad (4.13)$$

which is proportional to the second derivative of the potential of the timelike geodesic in [10].

V. POLE-DIPOLE PARTICLE SURFACE

In this section, we will illustrate the equivalence between the effective potential method and the quasi-local definition to study the circular orbits of a pole-dipole particle in the general static spherical symmetric spacetimes.

Because of the spherical symmetry of the line element (4.2), we can choose the equatorial plane, i.e., $\theta = \pi/2$. And we can suppose 4-momentum of the pole-dipole particle to be $p^a = \{p^t, p^r, 0, p^\phi\}$, where p^t, p^r, p^ϕ are only functions of r . Along the world line, there are two conserved quantities for the pole-dipole particle, i.e., the energy E and the angular momentum L . From eq.(2.6), the conserved quantities can be expressed as

$$-E = p_t + \frac{1}{2} F' S^{tr} , \quad (5.1)$$

$$L = p_\phi + r S^{r\phi} . \quad (5.2)$$

where a prime denotes the derivative with respect to radial coordinate r . From the Tulczyjew spin supplementary condition (2.3), we have

$$S^{t\phi} = -\frac{p_r}{p_\phi} S^{tr} , \quad (5.3)$$

$$S^{r\phi} = \frac{p_t}{p_\phi} S^{tr} . \quad (5.4)$$

From eq.(2.4), we can get

$$(p^r)^2 = \frac{1}{FH} p_t^2 - \frac{1}{H} \left(\frac{p_\phi^2}{r^2} + \mathcal{M}^2 \right) . \quad (5.5)$$

Combining eq.(5.3), (5.4) and (5.5), from the spin conservation eq.(2.5), we have

$$S^{tr} = \frac{s p_\phi}{\sqrt{FH} r} , \quad (5.6)$$

where $s = S/\mathcal{M}$ is specific spin parameter. It should be noted that s can have both negative and positive values depending on the direction of spin with respect to direction of p_ϕ . From the conservation of energy (5.1) and angular momentum (5.2), we have

$$p_t = -\frac{2rFHE + F'\sqrt{FH}sL}{2rFH - F's^2} , \quad (5.7)$$

$$p_\phi = \frac{2r[FHL + \sqrt{FH}sE]}{2rFH - F's^2} . \quad (5.8)$$

¹ It should be noticed that the signature of the metric in [10] is “(+, -, -, -)” and the signature in this paper is “(-, +, +, +)”. So, the result between the two may differ by a minus sign.

A. Effective potential method

In this subsection, we will review the effective potential method to get the circular orbits of the pole-dipole particles [35]. Putting eq.(5.7) and (5.8) into eq.(5.5), we get the result that

$$(p^r)^2 = A(E - V_+)(E - V_-), \quad (5.9)$$

where

$$A = \frac{4F(r^2H - s^2)}{(2F Hr - F's^2)^2} \quad (5.10)$$

and

$$V_{\pm} = \frac{(2F - rF')\sqrt{FH}sL}{2(FHr^2 - F's^2)} \pm \frac{F's^2 - 2F Hr}{FH(s^2 - Hr^2)} \sqrt{H(L^2 + M^2r^2) - M^2s^2}. \quad (5.11)$$

which is consistent with the result in [35]. According to eq.(5.9), the energy of the particle must satisfy the conditions

$$E \geq V_+, \quad \text{or} \quad E \leq V_-, \quad (5.12)$$

in order to have $(p^r)^2 \geq 0$. Below, we focus on the case of the pole-dipole particle with positive energy which coincides with the effective potential to be $V_{\text{eff}} = V_+$. The particle moves along a circular orbit when two conditions are satisfied simultaneously which has been pointed out in [35, 36]:

(1). The particle has zero radial velocity, i.e.

$$\frac{dr}{d\lambda} = 0, \quad \implies V_{\text{eff}} = E, \quad (5.13)$$

(2). The particle has zero radial acceleration, i.e.

$$\frac{d^2r}{d\lambda^2} = 0, \quad \implies \frac{dV_{\text{eff}}}{dr} = 0. \quad (5.14)$$

and the stability condition is

$$\frac{d^2V_{\text{eff}}}{dr^2} = \begin{cases} < 0 & \text{unstable,} \\ \geq 0 & \text{stable.} \end{cases}$$

When $d^2V_{\text{eff}}/dr^2 = 0$, the circular orbits correspond to the innermost stable circular orbit (ISCO).

B. Quasi-local study of the circular orbits

In this subsection, we will use the definition 1 and 2 to study the circular orbits of a pole-dipole particle in static spherical symmetric spacetime.

In static spherical symmetric spacetime, the circular orbits of the pole-dipole particles are not evolved, and they satisfy the condition that $r_o = \text{constant}$, where r_o is the location of the circular orbit. Then, the normal vector v^a of the particle surface can be written as

$$v^a = \frac{1}{\sqrt{H}} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right)^a. \quad (5.15)$$

From the orthogonal condition that $p^a v_a = 0$, we have

$$p^r \sqrt{H} = 0, \quad (5.16)$$

Then we get $p^r = 0$. So, we can choose $p^a = \{p^t, 0, 0, p^\phi\}$. From eq.(2.4), we have the following result

$$\frac{(4F H s^2 - 4F H^2 r^2)E^2 + (8F H \sqrt{F H} s L - 4H \sqrt{F H} F' r s L)E + 4F^2 H^2 L^2 - H F'^2 s^2 L^2}{(2F H r - s^2 F')^2} = -\mathcal{M}^2, \quad (5.17)$$

Organizing the above result, we get

$$B(E - V_+)(E - V_-) = 0, \quad (5.18)$$

where V_{\pm} have been given by eq.(5.11), and

$$B = \frac{4FH(s^2 - r^2H)}{(2F Hr - s^2 F')^2}. \quad (5.19)$$

From eq.(3.1), we have

$$p^a v^b \nabla_b p_a = \frac{1}{2} v^b \nabla_b (p_a p^a) = \frac{1}{2} v^b \partial_b (p_a p^a) = \frac{1}{2} v^r \partial_r (p_\mu p^\mu). \quad (5.20)$$

Considering the result in eq.(5.17) and (5.18), we can get

$$\partial_r (p_\mu p^\mu) = \partial_r (p_\mu p^\mu + \mathcal{M}^2) = \partial_r [B(E - V_+)(E - V_-)] = -B(E - V_-) \frac{\partial V_+}{\partial r} = 0, \quad (5.21)$$

Then, we have

$$\frac{\partial V_+}{\partial r} = \frac{\partial V_{\text{eff}}}{\partial r} = 0. \quad (5.22)$$

Further, it is not hard to find that the stability condition, i.e., $v^c \nabla_c (p^a v^b \nabla_b p_a) \geq 0$, is equivalent to the following condition

$$\frac{\partial^2 V_+}{\partial r^2} = \frac{\partial^2 V_{\text{eff}}}{\partial r^2} \geq 0. \quad (5.23)$$

Using the condition that $v^c \nabla_c (p^a v^b \nabla_b p_a) = 0$, one can get the ISCO of the pole-dipole particle in static spherical symmetric spacetime.

Here, we make a summary of this section: In this section, we illustrated the equivalence between the effective potential method and the quasi-local definition in the study of the circular orbits of a pole-dipole particle in the general static spherical symmetric spacetimes.

(1). The condition $V_{\text{eff}} = E$ is equivalent to

$$p^a v_a = 0. \quad (5.24)$$

(2). The condition $dV_{\text{eff}}/dr = 0$ is equivalent to

$$p^a v^b \nabla_b p_a = 0. \quad (5.25)$$

(3). The stability condition $d^2 V_{\text{eff}}/dr^2 \geq 0$ is equivalent to

$$v^c \nabla_c (p^a v^b \nabla_b p_a) \geq 0. \quad (5.26)$$

VI. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

In the Appendix A, we give a possible definition of the pole-dipole particle surface based on the definition of the photon surface given by [11]. If this generalized definition is right, it can be applied to quite general spacetimes. But by this definition, because of the complicated calculation of the result, it is hard to check its correctness even in Schwarzschild spacetime. So, we need to find a simple way to get the quasi-local definition of the pole-dipole particle surface.

In this paper, enlightened by the effective potential method to obtain the circular orbits of the spacetime, we give a quasi-local definition of single-pole and pole-dipole particle surface in general static spherical symmetric spacetime. These definitions provide new insights into the quasi-local study of circular orbits of the spacetime. We argued the equivalence of the definition of the pole-dipole particle surface with the effective potential method, at least in static spherically symmetric spacetime. Also, these definitions can be easily generalized to other situations, such as the circular orbits in electromagnetic systems.

Although this definition can be applied to the static spherically symmetric spacetime, it is hard to generalize to dynamical spacetime and difficult to deal with the result in real calculation. So, it is important to find a way to simplify the calculation.

Acknowledgement

This project is supported by the Open Research Fund of Computational Physics Key Laboratory of Sichuan Province, Yibin University (Grant No. YBXYJSWL-ZD-2020-005). This work is also supported by the Student's Platform for Innovation and Entrepreneurship Training Program (No. S202110616084).

Appendix A: Quasi-local definition of the pole-dipole particle surface based on the concept of the photon surface

Based on the quasi-local definition of the photon surface given by [11], one may give the following possible definition for a pole-dipole particle surface:

Definition 5 Let \mathcal{S} be a timelike hypersurface of $(\mathcal{M}, \nabla_a, g_{ab})$. Let $x^\alpha(s)$ is the world line of a pole-dipole particle on \mathcal{S} and $u^\alpha = dx^\alpha/ds$ is the 4-velocity of the body along its world line. Let v^α be a unit normal vector to \mathcal{S} and p^α be the 4-momentum of the pole-dipole particle. Then \mathcal{S} is a pole-dipole particle surface if it satisfies

$$-\frac{1}{2}R^a{}_{bcd}u^b S^{cd}v_a + p^a u^b \nabla_b v_a = 0, \quad (\text{A1})$$

where S^{ab} is the spin tensor.

Below, we give some discussion about this definition:

(i). The condition (A1) can be obtained by the following consideration: The condition of the photon surface in [11] can be expressed as

$$K_{ab}k^a k^b = 0, \quad (\text{A2})$$

where K_{ab} is the second fundamental form of the photon surface and k^α is the tangent vector of a null geodesic. Consider the normal vector of the particle surface is v^α , eq.(A2) can be obtained by

$$k^a \nabla_a (k^b v_b) = 0 \Rightarrow K_{ab}k^a k^b = 0, \quad (\text{A3})$$

where we have used the geodesic equation of k^α . Then, for a pole-dipole particle, following a similar consideration, one may get the following condition for the pole-dipole particle surface,

$$u^b \nabla_b (p^a v_a) = 0 \Rightarrow -\frac{1}{2}R^a{}_{bcd}u^b S^{cd}v_a + p^a u^b \nabla_b v_a = 0, \quad (\text{A4})$$

where we have used the MPD equations. Further, Combining the relation [32, 37]

$$u^\alpha = \frac{m}{M^2} \left(p^\alpha + \frac{2S_{ab}R_{bcde}p^c S^{de}}{4M^2 + R_{abcd}S^{ab}S^{cd}} \right), \quad (\text{A5})$$

where $m := -p^\alpha u_\alpha$ is a scalar parameter (the ‘kinematical’ or ‘monopole’ rest mass of a particle), one can get the equation of the pole-dipole particle surface.

(ii). This definition is a natural generalization of the condition of a photon surface. If this definition is correct, it holds not only for static spherically symmetric spacetimes, but also for arbitrary spacetimes. However, one can check that, although it can be utilized to obtain the closed equation of the pole-dipole particle surface, it is difficult to check whether the result is right or not even in Schwarzschild spacetime. So, in this paper, we used a different approach to study the pole-dipole particle surface.

-
- [1] K. Akiyama et al. [Event Horizon Telescope], *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **875**, L1 (2019) doi:10.3847/2041-8213/ab0ec7 [arXiv:1906.11238 [astro-ph.GA]].
- [2] K. Akiyama et al. [Event Horizon Telescope], *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **910**, no.1, L12 (2021) doi:10.3847/2041-8213/abe71d [arXiv:2105.01169 [astro-ph.HE]].
- [3] K. Akiyama et al. [Event Horizon Telescope], *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **910**, no.1, L13 (2021) doi:10.3847/2041-8213/abe4de [arXiv:2105.01173 [astro-ph.HE]].
- [4] K. Akiyama et al. [Event Horizon Telescope], *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **930**, no.2, L12 (2022) doi:10.3847/2041-8213/ac6674
- [5] K. Akiyama et al. [Event Horizon Telescope], *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **875**, no.1, L5 (2019) doi:10.3847/2041-8213/ab0f43 [arXiv:1906.11242 [astro-ph.GA]].
- [6] K. Akiyama et al. [Event Horizon Telescope], *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **930**, no.2, L17 (2022) doi:10.3847/2041-8213/ac6756
- [7] J. L. Synge, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **131**, no.3, 463-466 (1966) doi:10.1093/mnras/131.3.463
- [8] K. S. Virbhadra and G. F. R. Ellis, *Phys. Rev. D* **62**, 084003 (2000) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.62.084003 [arXiv:astro-ph/9904193 [astro-ph]].
- [9] M. A. Abramowicz and P. C. Fragile, *Living Rev. Rel.* **16**, 1 (2013) doi:10.12942/lrr-2013-1 [arXiv:1104.5499 [astro-ph.HE]].
- [10] V. Cardoso, A. S. Miranda, E. Berti, H. Witek and V. T. Zanchin, *Phys. Rev. D* **79**, no.6, 064016 (2009) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.79.064016 [arXiv:0812.1806 [hep-th]].
- [11] C. M. Claudel, K. S. Virbhadra and G. F. R. Ellis, *J. Math. Phys.* **42**, 818-838 (2001) doi:10.1063/1.1308507 [arXiv:gr-qc/0005050 [gr-qc]].

- [12] L. M. Cao and Y. Song, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **81**, no.8, 714 (2021) doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-021-09502-0 [arXiv:1910.13758 [gr-qc]].
- [13] T. Shiromizu, Y. Tomikawa, K. Izumi and H. Yoshino, *PTEP* **2017**, no.3, 033E01 (2017) doi:10.1093/ptep/ptx022 [arXiv:1701.00564 [gr-qc]].
- [14] H. Yoshino, K. Izumi, T. Shiromizu and Y. Tomikawa, *PTEP* **2017**, no.6, 063E01 (2017) doi:10.1093/ptep/ptx072 [arXiv:1704.04637 [gr-qc]].
- [15] H. Yoshino, K. Izumi, T. Shiromizu and Y. Tomikawa, *PTEP* **2020**, no.2, 023E02 (2020) doi:10.1093/ptep/ptz161 [arXiv:1909.08420 [gr-qc]].
- [16] D. V. Gal'tsov and K. V. Kobialko, *Phys. Rev. D* **99**, no.8, 084043 (2019) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.99.084043 [arXiv:1901.02785 [gr-qc]].
- [17] K. V. Kobialko and D. V. Gal'tsov, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **80**, no.6, 527 (2020) doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-020-8070-z [arXiv:2002.04280 [gr-qc]].
- [18] K. Kobialko, I. Bogush and D. Gal'tsov, *Phys. Rev. D* **104**, no.4, 044009 (2021) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.104.044009 [arXiv:2104.02167 [gr-qc]].
- [19] M. Mathisson, *Acta Phys. Polon.* **6**, 163-2900 (1937)
- [20] A. Papapetrou, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A* **209**, 248-258 (1951) doi:10.1098/rspa.1951.0200
- [21] W. Tulczyjew, *Acta Phys. Pol.*, 18:393, 1959.
- [22] A. H. Taub, *J. Math. Phys. (N.Y.)*, 5:112, 1964.
- [23] W. G. Dixon, *Nuovo Cimento*, 34:317, 1964.
- [24] W. G. Dixon. PhD. thesis, University of Cambridge, 1965.
- [25] J. Madore, *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré*, A11:221, 1969.
- [26] W. G. Dixon, *Proc. R. Soc. A*, 314:499, 1970.
- [27] W. G. Dixon, *Proc. R. Soc. A*, 319:509, 1970.
- [28] W. G. Dixon, *Gen. Rel. Grav.*, 4:199, 1973.
- [29] W. G. Dixon, *Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. Lond. A*, 277:59, 1974.
- [30] W. G. Dixon, *Isolated gravitating systems in General Relativity, Proceedings of the International School of Physics "Enrico Fermi," Course LXVII*, edited by J. Ehlers, North Holland, Amsterdam, page 156, 1979.
- [31] Y. N. Obukhov and D. Puetzfeld, *Fund. Theor. Phys.* **179**, 67-119 (2015) doi:10.1007/978-3-319-18335-0_2 [arXiv:1505.01680 [gr-qc]].
- [32] O. Semerak, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **308**, 863-875 (1999) doi:10.1046/j.1365-8711.1999.02754.x
- [33] E. Hackmann, C. Lämmerzahl, Y. N. Obukhov, D. Puetzfeld and I. Schaffer, *Phys. Rev. D* **90**, no.6, 064035 (2014) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.90.064035 [arXiv:1408.1773 [gr-qc]].
- [34] J. Steinhoff and D. Puetzfeld, *Phys. Rev. D* **81**, 044019 (2010) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.81.044019 [arXiv:0909.3756 [gr-qc]].
- [35] B. Toshmatov, O. Rahimov, B. Ahmedov and D. Malafarina, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **80**, no.7, 675 (2020) doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-020-8254-6 [arXiv:2003.09227 [gr-qc]].
- [36] P. I. Jefremov, O. Y. Tsupko and G. S. Bisnovatyi-Kogan, *Phys. Rev. D* **91**, no.12, 124030 (2015) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.91.124030 [arXiv:1503.07060 [gr-qc]].
- [37] Y. N. Obukhov and D. Puetzfeld, *Phys. Rev. D* **83**, 044024 (2011) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.83.044024 [arXiv:1010.1451 [gr-qc]].