

FOLDED RIBBONLENGTH OF 2-BRIDGE KNOTS

HYOUNGJUN KIM, SUNGJONG NO, AND HYUNGKEE YOO

ABSTRACT. A ribbon is a two-dimensional object with one-dimensional properties which is related with geometry, robotics and molecular biology. A folded ribbon structure provides a complex structure through a series of folds. We focus on a folded ribbon with knotted core. The folded ribbonlength $Rib(K)$ of a knot K is the infimum of the quotient of length by width among the ribbons representing a knot type of K . This quantity tells how efficiently the folded ribbon is realized. Kusner conjectured that folded ribbonlength is bounded by a linear function of the minimal crossing number $c(K)$. In this paper, we confirm that the folded ribbonlength of a 2-bridge knot K is bounded above by $2c(K)+2$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Origami, a Japanese word meaning paper-folding, is the process of making a two-dimensional object into a three-dimensional object. Recently, it has been attracting attention in the fields of geometry, robotics, and molecular biology over the past two decades [1, 3, 9, 11, 21]. A ribbon is a two-dimensional object with one-dimensional properties. A folded ribbon structure provides a complex structure through a series of folds [4, 19]. For example, a folded ribbon realizes a ribosomal walking robot [20, 25]. DNA is the famous ribbon shaped object found in nature. A knotted ribbon shape is observed in many circular DNA [15, 16, 17, 22]. Recently, there is a result that it is possible to create a wider ribbon structure by connecting multiple DNA in parallel [10]. We focus on representing the knotted ribbon in a folded form.

A *knot* is a simple closed curve in \mathbb{R}^3 , and a *link* is a disjoint union of knots. For convenience, we refer to the knot and link together as a knot in the remaining part of this paper. Kauffman [13] introduced a *folded ribbon knot*, which is a representation of a knot that folds a long rectangular strip to become flat. Because of the construction of this representation, the core of the ribbon is piecewise linear as drawn in Figure 1. Since the right figure of Figure 1 is represented tighter than the left figure, the right figure can be represented with a shorter ribbon than the left figure. Moreover, the length of folded ribbon knot depends on the width of the ribbon. Thus we consider the ratio of the length and width of the ribbon to see how efficiently the knotted ribbon is represented.

Now we define a folded ribbon knot and a folded ribbonlength which are based on [8].

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 57K10.

Key words and phrases. folded ribbonlength, folded ribbon knot, 2-bridge knot.




FIGURE 1. Folded ribbon knots of the trefoil knot

Definition. Let K be the knot. Then K_w is a folded ribbon knot of K with width w that satisfies following two conditions:

- (1) The ribbon is flat and its fold lines are disjoint.
- (2) The core of K_w is a union of a finite number of consecutively straight lines with crossing information which represents the knot type of K .

Note that the trivial knot can be represented as a folded ribbon obtained by folding twice so that these folding lines are perpendicular to the core. In this case, the length of the ribbon can be made arbitrarily small. So we use infimum when defining the folded ribbonlength.

Definition. Let K be a knot (or link) and w be a positive real number. Then

$$Rib(K) = \inf_{K' \in [K]_w} \frac{\text{Len}(K')}{w}$$

is called a folded ribbonlength of K where $[K]_w$ is the set of folded ribbon knots with width w representing a knot type K , and $\text{Len}(K')$ is the length of the core of K' .

In 2005, Kauffman [13] introduced models for folded ribbon knots of the trefoil and the figure eight knot, and their folded ribbonlength. In the paper, Kusner's conjecture is reported, which proposes a linear relation between the folded ribbonlength and the crossing number of a knot K :

$$c_1 \cdot c(K) \leq Rib(K) \leq c_2 \cdot c(K)$$

In 2008, Kennedy et al [14] provided bounds for the constants c_1 and c_2 by calculating the folded ribbonlength of torus knots.

Many researchers have found upper bounds for the folded ribbonlength of knots. In 2017, Tian [24] found the following upper bound

$$Rib(K) \leq 2c(K)^2 + 6c(K) + 4,$$

and in 2020, Denne [6] improved the result. Furthermore, Denne et al. [7] provided linear upper bounds for the folded ribbonlength of 2-bridge knots, $(2, p)$ -torus knots and (p, q, r) -pretzel knots in terms of their crossing numbers. In particular their upper bound for 2-bridge knots is as follows.

$$Rib(K) \leq 6c(K) - 2.$$

In this paper we improve the result of the folded ribbonlength of 2-bridge knots.

Theorem 1. Let K be a 2-bridge knot or link. Then the upper bound of the folded ribbonlength of K is $2c(K) + 2$.

2. 2-BRIDGE KNOTS

Conway [5] defined a 2-tangle as a portion of knot diagram from which there emerge just 4 arcs pointing in the compass directions NW, NE, SW and SE. Figure 2 shows T_∞ , a vertical twist, a horizontal twist and a denominator closure. The tangle T_∞ is one of the simplest 2-tangles which consists of two vertical strings. A *vertical twist* is an operation of a 2-tangle which switches the location of two endpoints SW and SE by using an rotation around N-S axis counterclockwise. A *horizontal twist* is an operation of a 2-tangle which switches the location of two endpoints NE and SE by using an rotation around E-W axis clockwise. Especially, a tangle which only consists of vertical twists (or horizontal twists) is called an *integer tangle*. A 2-tangle that is obtained from T_∞ by a sequence of vertical and horizontal twists and their inverses is called a *rational tangle*. We can describe a rational tangle by using a such sequence. A rational tangle with Conway notation $T(a_1, \dots, a_m)$ means start with T_∞ , then using a_1 vertical twists, then a_2 horizontal twists, and repeat until a_m . The fraction of a rational q -tangle $T(a_1, \dots, a_m)$ is then defined as the number given by the continued fraction

$$q = a_m + \frac{1}{a_{m-1} + \frac{1}{\ddots + \frac{1}{a_2 + \frac{1}{a_1}}}}$$

where q is a rational number. Conway [5] showed that two rational tangles are equivalent if and only if the corresponding continued fractions have the same value. In [2], it is shown that for any rational q -tangle, there is a corresponding Conway notation which consists of an odd number of positive integers (or negative integers) when q is positive (or negative).




FIGURE 2. Operations for rational tangles

A 2-bridge knot is also called a *rational knot*, that is obtained from a rational tangle by taking a denominator closure which connects NE to SE, and NW to SW. Figure 3 is an example of a 2-bridge knot which is obtained from a rational tangle $T(-5, -3, -4, -1, -2)$. Since the right figure is a suitable diagram to show the process of the construction of a rational tangle, we construct a folded ribbon knot based on this diagram. Note that a diagram of a rational knot which is obtained from a rational tangle whose Conway notation consists of an odd number of positive integers (or negative integers) is a non-nugatory alternating diagram. Thus this diagram has the minimum number of crossings by [12, 18, 23].




FIGURE 3. Two diagrams of a 2-bridge knot obtained from a rational tangle $T(-5, -3, -4, -1, -2)$

To simplify notation in the proof, we define new notation related to rational tangles. Let T_i denote the i -th integer tangle in a rational tangle that corresponds to a_i in the Conway notation as drawn in Figure 3. Each integer tangle T_i has four endpoints, and notations of these endpoints are differently defined depend on the value i , since the shape of T_i is different depending on whether i is odd or even. If i is odd, then t_i^1, t_i^2, t_i^3 and t_i^4 are four endpoints NE, NW, SE and SW of T_i , respectively. If i is even, then t_i^1, t_i^2, t_i^3 and t_i^4 are four endpoints SW, NW, SE and NE of T_i , respectively. In the right figure of Figure 3, we easily check that four endpoints of T_i are labeled in order from right to left when i is odd, and from left to right when i is even. When i is odd, the shape of T_i is symmetrical to the shape when i is even. Thus, the definitions above are convenient for construction of a folded ribbon knot.

Note that a rational knot whose Conway notation consists of an odd number of negative integers has an alternating diagram with the minimum number of crossings provided its rational tangle has a negative value. For the figures used in the proof, it's easy to recognize when all the numbers in the Conway notation are negative. So, we will focus on the construction of a folded ribbon knot whose Conway notation consists of negative integers. In the case of a rational knot whose rational tangle has positive value, we construct its folded ribbon knot in a precisely symmetrical way.

3. CONSTRUCTION OF 2-BRIDGE FOLDED RIBBON KNOT

In this section, we construct a 2-bridge folded ribbon knot step by step. As mentioned in the previous section, we construct a 2-bridge knot whose Conway notation consists of negative integers. To construct a 2-bridge knot, first we construct an integer tangle T_i by folded ribbons, which is called the *integer ribbon* R_i as drawn in Figure 4 (a). Assume that T_i consists of $-n$ half twists for a positive integer n . We use two ribbons with width w such that lengths of the two ribbons are $nw + 2\epsilon$ when n is even, and lengths of the two ribbons are $(n + 1)w + 2\epsilon$ and $(n - 1)w + 2\epsilon$ respectively when n is odd, for small $\epsilon > 0$. This means that the sum of the lengths of the two ribbons

is $2nw + 4\epsilon$. Here, the actual length of the ribbon used to construct the integer ribbon is $2nw$, but for visibility of the connecting process of integer ribbon, leave a margin of length ϵ at each end of the ribbons. First, place one ribbon vertically on the plane. Here, if the two ribbons are of different lengths, place the shorter one. Next, place the other ribbon horizontally such that the length of a portion of the horizontal ribbon located to the left of the vertical ribbon is $w + \epsilon$, and the length of a portion of the vertical ribbon located above the horizontal ribbon is ϵ . Then, fold the two ribbons together from the bottom right to the top left as drawn in the first part of Figure 4 (a). During the process as depicted in first row in Figure 4 (a), the positions of the two ribbons are changed and the length of each ribbon is shortened by w . Repeat this until the remaining length of one ribbon is ϵ . Finally fold up the remaining parts of the ribbon as drawn in the last row of Figure 4 (a). Remark that the resulting ribbon is embedded in an isosceles right triangle except for the four end lines of two ribbons. We label for these end lines as r_i^1, \dots, r_i^4 in order from right to left.

Note that if n is 1, then the integer ribbon is constructed by one ribbon with length $2w + 2\epsilon$ as drawn in Figure 4 (b). For the case that n is negative integer, consider the mirror symmetry of the above process. Then four end lines r_i^1, r_i^2, r_i^3 and r_i^4 correspond to the four endpoints t_i^1, t_i^2, t_i^3 and t_i^4 , respectively.




FIGURE 4. Construction of integer ribbons

Now we construct the folded ribbon knot of a 2-bridge knot K by connecting integer ribbons. First, connect R_1 and R_2 by attaching r_1^1 to r_2^2 , and r_1^3 to r_2^1 . To attach r_1^1 to r_2^2 , we put the part of R_2 containing r_2^1 between r_1^3 and r_1^4 , and the remaining part R_2 to the right of r_1^1 as drawn in Figure 5 (a).

Even though the integer ribbon R_1 has $|a_1| - 1$ crossings, one crossing is added to R_1 in the connecting process for R_1 and R_2 as drawn in Figure 5 (b). Especially, if $a_1 = -1$, then we use the labeling r_1^2 instead of r_1^1 after connecting R_1 and R_2 . Similarly, if $a_2 = -1$, then we use the labeling r_2^3 instead of r_1^1 . In Figure 5 (a), the left figures show integer ribbons, and the right figures show their cores. Figure 5 (b) shows the core of the result after connecting two integer ribbons.




FIGURE 5. Connecting two integer ribbons R_1 and R_2

We consecutively connect the remaining integer ribbons R_i for $i \geq 3$ in the similar way. In detail, to attach r_{i-1}^3 and r_i^1 , we put the part of R_i containing r_i^1 between r_{i-1}^3 and r_{i-1}^1 . Moreover to attach r_{i-2}^4 to r_i^2 , we put the remaining part R_i to the left of r_{i-2}^4 when i is odd, and to the right otherwise as drawn in Figure 6. Especially, if $a_i = -1$, then we use the labeling r_i^3 instead of r_{i-2}^4 after connecting R_i as drawn in Figure 6 (b) and (c).

So far we have constructed a rational tangle of K in the form of a folded ribbon. Now all that remains is taking a denominator closure for this tangle to complete the 2-bridge knot K as drawn in Figure 7 (a). Note that the denominator closure consists of two arcs, one connecting t_1^2 and t_m^4 , and the other connecting t_{m-1}^4 and t_m^3 . First connect r_{m-1}^4 and r_m^3 by attaching a new integer ribbon with length $2w$. Then we can attach r_1^2 to r_m^4 directly as drawn in Figure 7 (b).

Finally, find the length of folded ribbon required to construct K . Note that the length of integer tangle R_i is at most $2nw + 4\epsilon$ when its corresponding tangle T_i has n half twists. So the length of each integer ribbon R_1, \dots, R_m is bounded above the number of half twists of the corresponding integer tangles T_1, \dots, T_m multiplied by $2w$ and then added by 4ϵ . Since the sum of the half twists of all integer tangles T_1, \dots, T_m is equal to the crossing number $c(K)$ of K , the length of the folded ribbon representing the rational tangle is bounded above $2wc(K) + 4m\epsilon$. Furthermore, the denominator closure in the form of a folded ribbon has length $2w + 2\epsilon$. Thus the length of folded ribbon knot is equal to $2(c(K) + 1)w + (4m + 2)\epsilon$. Therefore, the upper bound of a folded ribbonlength of a 2-bridge knot is $2(c(K) + 1)$ when ϵ goes to 0.




FIGURE 6. Intermediate processes of consecutive connections of integer ribbons




FIGURE 7. The final process to construct a folded ribbon 2-bridge knot

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The first author(Hyoungjun Kim) was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Korea government Ministry of Science and ICT(NRF-2021R1C1C1012299). The corresponding author(Sungjong No) was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea(NRF) grant funded by the Korea government Ministry of Science and ICT(NRF-2020R1G1A1A01101724).

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Balkcom and M. Mason, *Introducing Robotic Origami Folding*, in Proc. 2004 IEEE Int. Conf. Robotics and Automation, New Orleans, 3245–3250.
- [2] G. Burde and H. Zieschang, *Knots*, Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin (1985).
- [3] S. Callens, N. Tümer, and A. Zadpoor *Hyperbolic origami-inspired folding of triply periodic minimal surface structures*, Appl. Mater. Today **15** (2019) 453–461
- [4] K. Cheung, E. Demaine, J. Bachrach, and S. Griffith, *Programmable Assembly With Universally Foldable Strings (Moteins)*, IEEE Transactions on Robotics. **27** (2011) 718–729.
- [5] J. Conway, *An enumeration of knots and links, and some of their algebraic properties*, Computational Problems in Abstract Algebra, Pergamon Press, New York (1970) 329–358.
- [6] E. Denne, *Ribbonlength and crossing number for folded ribbon knots*, J. Knot Theory Ramifications **30** (2021) No.2150028.
- [7] E. Denne, J.C. Haden, T. Larsen, and E. Meehan, *Ribbonlength of families of folded ribbon knots*, Involve, in press.
- [8] E. Denne, M. Kamp, R. Terry, and X. Zhu, *Ribbonlength of folded ribbon unknots in the plane*, Knots, Links, Spatial Graphs and Algebraic Invariants. Contemporary Mathematics, **689** (2017) 37–51.
- [9] K. Dill and J. Maccallum, *The Protein-Folding Problem, 50 Years On*, Science (New York, N.Y.) **338** (2012) 1042–1046.
- [10] D. Han, S. Pal, Y. Liu, and H. Yan, *Folding and cutting DNA into reconfigurable topological nanostructures*, Nat. Nanotechnol. **36** (2010) 712–717.
- [11] E. Hawkes, B. An, N. Benbernou, H. Tanaka, S. Kim, E. Demaine, D. Rus, and R. Wood, *Programmable matter by folding*, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. **107** (2010) 12441–12445.
- [12] L. Kauffman, *State models and the Jones polynomial*, Topology **26** (1987) 395–407.
- [13] L. Kauffman, *Minimal flat knotted ribbons*, Physical and numerical models in knot theory. **36** (2005) Ser. Knots Everything 495–506.
- [14] B. Kennedy, T.W. Mattman, R. Raya, D. Tating, *Ribbonlength of torus knots*, J. Knot Theory Ramifications **17** (2008) 13–23.
- [15] N. Lim and S. Jackson, *Molecular knots in biology and chemistry*, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **27** (2015) 354101.
- [16] L. Liu, C. Liu, and B. Alberts, *Type II DNA Topoisomerases: Enzymes That Can Unknot a Topologically Knotted DNA Molecule via a Reversible Double-Strand Break*, Cell **19** (1980) 697–707.
- [17] L. Liu, L. Perkocha, R. Calendar, and J. Wang, *Knotted DNA from bacteriophage capsids*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. **78** (1981) 5498–5502.
- [18] K. Murasugi, *Jones polynomials and classical conjectures in knot theory*, Topology **26** (1987) 187–194.
- [19] A. Reid, F. Lechenault, S. Rica, M. Adda-Bedia, *Geometry and design of origami bellows with tunable response*, Phys. Rev. E. **95** (2017) 013002
- [20] S. Risi, D. Cellucci, and H. Lipson, *Ribosomal robots: Evolved designs inspired by protein folding*, in Proc. 15th Annu. Conf. Genetic and Evolutionary Computation (2013) 263–270.
- [21] E. Shechter, A. Arumbakkam, P. Lamoureux, X. Tang, M. Shima, and S. Akella, *Towards batch fabrication and assembly of 3D microstructures: A sequential assembly planner with new hard magnet configuration*, IEEE/RSJ Int. Conf. Intelligent Robots and Systems (2007) 584–589.
- [22] J. Stray, N. Crisona, B. Belotserkovskii, J. Lindsley, and N. Cozzarelli *The Saccharomyces cerevisiae Smc2/4 Condensin Compacts DNA into (+) Chiral Structures without Net Supercoiling*, J. Biol. Chem. **280** (2005) 34723–34734.
- [23] M. Thistlethwaite, *A spanning tree expansion of the Jones polynomial*, Topology **26** (1987) 297–309.
- [24] G. Tian, *Linear upper bound on the ribbonlength of torus knots and twist knots*, Preprint, arXiv:1809.02095v1 [math.GT]

- [25] L. Wang, M. Plecnik, and R. Fearing, *Robotic folding of 2D and 3D structures from a ribbon*, 2016 IEEE Int. Conf. Robotics and Automation(ICRA) (2016) 3655–3660.

COLLEGE OF GENERAL EDUCATION, KOOKMIN UNIVERSITY, SEOUL 02707, KOREA
Email address: `kimhjun@kookmin.ac.kr`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KYONGGI UNIVERSITY, SUWON 16227, KOREA
Email address: `sungjongno@kgu.ac.kr`

RESEARCH INSTITUTE FOR NATURAL SCIENCE, HANYANG UNIVERSITY, SEOUL 04763,
KOREA
Email address: `hyungkee@hanyang.ac.kr`