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#### Abstract

Let $\mathfrak{W}$ be the Lie algebra of vector fields on the line. Via computing extensions between all simple modules in the category $\mathcal{O}$, we give the block decomposition of $\mathcal{O}$, and show that the representation type of each block of $\mathcal{O}$ is wild using the Ext-quiver. Each block of $\mathcal{O}$ has infinite simple objects. This result is very different from that of $\mathcal{O}$ for complex semisimple Lie algebras. To find a connection between $\mathcal{O}$ and the module category over some associative algebra, we define a subalgebra $H_{1}$ of $U(\mathfrak{b})$. We give an exact functor from $\mathcal{O}$ to the category $\Omega$ of finite dimensional modules over $H_{1}$. We also construct new simple $\mathfrak{W}$-modules from Weyl modules and modules over the Borel subalgebra $\mathfrak{b}$ of $\mathfrak{W}$.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

The category $\mathcal{O}$ for complex semisimple Lie algebras was introduced by Joseph Bernstein, Israel Gelfand and Sergei Gelfand in the early 1970s, see [2], and it includes all highest weight modules. This category is very important in the representation theory. For more details on category $\mathcal{O}$, one can see the monograph [12].

The category $\mathcal{O}$ can be defined for any Lie algebra with a triangular decomposition, see the book [14]. For a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ with a triangular decomposition $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{g}^{-} \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^{+}$, there always exists an anti-involution $\sigma$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ such that $\sigma\left(\mathfrak{g}^{+}\right)=\mathfrak{g}^{-}$ and $\left.\sigma\right|_{\mathfrak{h}}=\mathrm{id}_{\mathfrak{h}}$. For example, the finite dimensional simple Lie algebras, the Virasoro algebra, the affine Kac-Moody algebras, the Heisenberg Lie algebras are all Lie algebras with triangular decompositions, see [14]. For the Kac-Moody algebras and the Virasoro algebra, categories $\mathcal{O}$ were studied in [8, 4] and references therein. For these algebras, the Hom-spaces between Verma modules determine the block decomposition of the category $\mathcal{O}$ to a great extent.

For the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{W}=\mathfrak{W}^{-} \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{W}^{+}$of vector fields on the line, $\mathfrak{W}^{-}$is one dimensional, $\mathfrak{W}^{+}$is infinite dimensional. So $\mathfrak{W}$ is not a Lie algebra with a triangular decomposition in the sense of [14]. Although we can also define the category $\mathcal{O}$ for $\mathfrak{W}$ similar as that of complex semisimple Lie algebras, however
several properties for $\mathcal{O}$ in [14] dose not hold for $\mathfrak{W}$. For example, the embeddings between Verma modules has little impact on the block decomposition of $\mathcal{O}$. Our initial motivation of the present paper was to explore the differences between the category $\mathcal{O}$ of $\mathfrak{W}$ and the categories $\mathcal{O}$ of semi-simple Lie algebras. In this paper, through giving extensions between all simple modules in $\mathcal{O}$, we obtain the block decomposition of the category $\mathcal{O}$ for $\mathfrak{W}$, and study the representation type of each block of $\mathcal{O}$. We also detect the relation between $\mathcal{O}$ and the module category for some associative algebra, and construct simple $\mathfrak{W}$-modules from modules over the Weyl algebra and modules over the Borel subalgebra $\mathfrak{b}$ of $\mathfrak{W J}$.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce the category $\mathcal{O}$ for the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{W}$. In Section 3, we first study the Verma modules and recall extensions on the $\mathfrak{W}$-modules $F_{\lambda}$ of Feigin and Fuchs defined in [9]. Then using these extensions and the duality between $F_{\lambda}$ and the Verma module $\Delta(\lambda)$, we can give all nontrivial extensions between Verma modules in $\mathcal{O}$. Consequently, we obtain $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}}^{1}(M, N)$ for all simple modules $M, N \in \mathcal{O}$, see Theorem 3.11. It should be mentioned that extensions between simple modules for the finite dimensional Witt algebra $W(1,1)$ over an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p>3$ were determined in [3]. Furthermore we give the block decomposition $\mathcal{O}=\oplus_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} / \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_{[\lambda]}$, and show that each block $\mathcal{O}_{[\lambda]}$ is wild by studying a sub-quiver of its Ext-quiver, see Theorem 3.14. Let $H_{1}=\left\{u \in U(\mathfrak{b}) \mid u\left(d_{-1}-1\right) \subset\left(d_{-1}-1\right) U(\mathfrak{W J})\right\}$ which is a subalgebra of $U(\mathfrak{b})$. Moreover $H_{1}$ is isomorphic to the endomorphism algebra of an induced right $U(\mathfrak{W J})$-module $Q_{1}^{\prime}$ which is the universal Whittaker module defined in [21]. In subsection 3.5, we construct a functor $\Gamma$ from $\mathcal{O}$ to the category $\Omega_{1}$ of finite dimensional $H_{1}$-modules. We show that $\Gamma$ is an exact functor. At the end of Section 3, we also conjecture that some non-integral block $\mathcal{O}_{[\lambda]}$ may be equivalent to some subcategory of $\Omega_{1}$. In Section 4, we construct new simple tensor $\mathfrak{W}$-modules $T(P, V)$ from modules $P$ over the Weyl algebra and $\mathfrak{b}$-modules $V$. The isomorphism criterion for $T(P, V)$ is also given.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this paper, we denote by $\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}_{>0}, \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $\mathbb{C}$ the sets of integers, positive integers, nonnegative integers and complex numbers, respectively. All vector spaces and Lie algebras are over $\mathbb{C}$. For a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ we denote by $U(\mathfrak{g})$ its universal enveloping algebra. We write $\otimes$ for $\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}$.
2.1. Witt algebra. Let $A=\mathbb{C}[x]$ be the polynomial algebra and $\mathfrak{W}$ the derivation Lie algebra of $A$, i.e., $\mathfrak{W}=\operatorname{Der}_{\mathbb{C}} A$. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{W}$ is called the Lie algebra of vector fields on the line, or the Witt algebra of rank one. Denote $\partial=\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ and $d_{i}=x^{i+1} \partial$, for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq-1}$. Then $\left\{d_{i} \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq-1}\right\}$ is a basis of $\mathfrak{W J}$. We can write the Lie bracket in $\mathfrak{W}$ as follows:

$$
\left[d_{i}, d_{j}\right]=(j-i) d_{i+j}, \text { for all } i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq-1} .
$$

Note that the subspace $\mathfrak{h}=\mathbb{C} d_{0}$ is a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{W}$, i.e., a maximal abelian subalgebra that is diagonalizable on $\mathfrak{W}$ with respect to the adjoint action. Let $\mathfrak{W}^{+}=\operatorname{span}\left\{d_{i} \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}\right\}$ and $\mathfrak{W}^{-}=\mathbb{C} d_{-1}$. Then $\mathfrak{W}=\mathfrak{W J}^{-} \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{W}^{+}$is a decomposition of $\mathfrak{W}$, and the Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{b}:=\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{W}^{+}$is called a Borel subalgebra of $\mathfrak{W}$.

One can see that $\Phi=\left\{\varepsilon_{-1}, \varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}, \cdots\right\}$ is the root system of $\mathfrak{W}$, where $\varepsilon_{i} \in \mathfrak{h}^{*}$ such that $\varepsilon_{i}\left(d_{0}\right)=i, i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq-1}$. The subalgebra $\mathbb{C} d_{-1} \oplus \mathbb{C} d_{0} \oplus \mathbb{C} d_{1} \cong \mathfrak{s l}_{2}$, and $z=-d_{1} d_{-1}+d_{0}^{2}-d_{0}$ is its Casimir element, i.e., $z$ is a central element in $U\left(\mathfrak{s l}_{2}\right)$.

Definition 2.1. A left $U(\mathfrak{W})$-module $M$ is called a weight module if $d_{0}$ acts diagonally on $M$, i.e.,

$$
M=\oplus_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}} M_{\lambda},
$$

where $M_{\lambda}:=\left\{v \in M \mid d_{0} v=\lambda v\right\}$. For a weight module $M$, denote

$$
\operatorname{Supp}(M):=\left\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid M_{\lambda} \neq 0\right\} .
$$

If $M$ is a simple weight $\mathfrak{W}$-module, then $\operatorname{Supp}(M) \subset \lambda+\mathbb{Z}$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. For a $\lambda \in \operatorname{Supp}(M)$, a nonzero vector $v \in M_{\lambda}$ is called a maximal vector if $\mathfrak{W}^{+} v=0$. A weight module is called a highest weight module if it is generated by a maximal weight vector.

We use $U(\mathfrak{W})$-Mod to denote the category of all left $U(\mathfrak{W})$-modules.
2.2. Category $\mathcal{O}$. Next we introduce the category $\mathcal{O}$ for $\mathfrak{W}$.

Definition 2.2. The category $\mathcal{O}$ for $\mathfrak{W}$ is a full subcategory of $U(\mathfrak{W})$-Mod whose objects are $\mathfrak{W}$-modules $M$ satisfying the following axioms:
(a) $M$ is a finitely generated $U(\mathfrak{W J ) - m o d u l e ; ~}$
(b) $M$ is a weight module;
(c) $M$ is locally $\mathfrak{W}^{+}$-finite: for each $v \in M$, the subspace $U\left(\mathfrak{W}^{+}\right) v$ is finite dimensional.

Let $M$ be a module in $\mathcal{O}$. By (a) and (c) in Definition 2.2, we can assume that $M$ is generated by a finite dimensional $U\left(\mathfrak{W}^{+}\right)$-module $N$. By induction on the dimension of $N$, we can show that $M$ has the following property.

Lemma 2.3. Any module $M$ in $\mathcal{O}$ has a finite filtration of submodules as follows:

$$
0=M_{0} \subset M_{1} \subset \cdots \subset M_{m}=M,
$$

where each factor $M_{j} / M_{j-1}$ for $1 \leq j \leq m$ is a highest weight module.
So highest weight modules are basic constituents of $\mathcal{O}$.

## 3. Block decomposition of $\mathcal{O}$

In this section, we study extensions between Verma modules and simple modules in $\mathcal{O}$. Using the Ext-quiver, we show that each block of $\mathcal{O}$ has wild representation type. We also construct an exact functor from $\mathcal{O}$ to the category $\Omega_{1}$ of finite dimensional modules over $H_{1}$.
3.1. The Verma modules. For a $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, denote by $\mathbb{C}_{\lambda}$ the one-dimensional $\mathfrak{b}$-module with the generator $v_{\lambda}$ and the action given by

$$
\mathfrak{W}^{+} v_{\lambda}=0, \quad d_{0} v_{\lambda}=\lambda v_{\lambda} .
$$

The Verma module over $\mathfrak{W}$ is defined as follows:

$$
\Delta(\lambda):=\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{1 1}} \mathbb{C}_{\lambda} \cong U(\mathfrak{W}) \bigotimes_{U(\mathfrak{b})} \mathbb{C}_{\lambda}
$$

The module $\Delta(\lambda)$ has the unique simple quotient module $L(\lambda)$. By Lemma 2.3, the modules $L(\lambda)$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ provide a complete set of irreducible modules in category $\mathcal{O}$.

Lemma 3.1. (1) $z v=\lambda(\lambda+1) v$ for all $v \in \Delta(\lambda)$.
(2) The module $\Delta(\lambda)$ is simple if and only if $\lambda \neq 0$. So $\Delta(\lambda)=L(\lambda)$ for $\lambda \neq 0$.
(3) The module $\Delta(0)$ is a uniserial module whose structure can be described by the following exact sequence:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \Delta(-1) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \Delta(0) \xrightarrow{\beta} L(0) \rightarrow 0 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. (1) The proof follows from $\left[z, d_{-1}\right]=0, \Delta(\lambda)=\mathbb{C}\left[d_{-1}\right] v_{\lambda}$ and $z v_{\lambda}=$ $\lambda(\lambda+1) v_{\lambda}$.
(2) For any $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, denote $v_{\lambda-i}:=d_{-1}^{i} \cdot v_{\lambda}$. We can deduce that $d_{0} \cdot v_{\lambda-i}=$ $(\lambda-i) v_{\lambda-i}$. Since $\Delta(\lambda)$ is generated by $v_{\lambda}, \Delta(\lambda)$ is reducible if and only if there is an $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that $d_{1} v_{\lambda-i}=d_{2} v_{\lambda-i}=0$.

We can compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{1} \cdot v_{\lambda-i} & =d_{1} \cdot d_{-1}^{i} \cdot v_{\lambda} \\
& =\left(\left[d_{1}, d_{-1}^{i}\right]+d_{-1}^{i} d_{1}\right) \cdot v_{\lambda} \\
& =\sum_{t=0}^{i-1} d_{-1}^{t}\left[d_{1}, d_{-1}\right] d_{-1}^{i-t-1} \cdot v_{\lambda} \\
& =-2 \sum_{t=0}^{i-1} d_{-1}^{t} d_{0} d_{-1}^{i-t-1} \cdot v_{\lambda} \\
& =-2 \sum_{t=0}^{i-1}(\lambda-i+t+1) v_{\lambda-i+1} \\
& =i(i-1-2 \lambda) v_{\lambda-i+1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly,

$$
d_{2} \cdot v_{\lambda-i}=i(i-1)(3 \lambda-i+2) v_{\lambda-i+2} .
$$

Consider $d_{1} \cdot v_{\lambda-i}=0$ and $d_{2} \cdot v_{\lambda-i}=0, i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, we have the equations

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
i(i-1-2 \lambda)=0 \\
i(i-1)(3 \lambda-i+2)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

The solution of this equation is $\lambda=0, i=1$. Moreover when $\lambda=0$, the submodule generated by $v_{-1}$ is a proper submodule which is isomorphic to $\Delta(-1)$. Thus $\Delta(\lambda)$ is simple if and only if $\lambda \neq 0$.
(3) By (2), the submodule $N$ generated by $v_{-1}$ of $\Delta(0)$ is simple and $\Delta(0) / N \cong$ $L(0)$. So $N$ is the unique nontrivial submodule of $\Delta(0)$. Hence $\Delta(0)$ is a uniserial module.

Remark 3.2. If we denote $e_{\lambda-i}:=\frac{(-1)^{i}}{i!} d_{-1}^{i} \cdot v_{\lambda}$, for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, then $\left\{e_{\lambda-i}\right.$ : $\left.i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\right\}$ is also a basis of $\Delta(\lambda)$ such that the action of $\mathfrak{W}$ on $\Delta(\lambda)$ is defined as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{k} e_{\lambda-i}=((k+1) \lambda+k-i) e_{\lambda-i+k}, \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq-1} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $e_{\lambda-i+k}=0$ when $k-i>0$.
Corollary 3.3. Any module $M$ in $\mathcal{O}$ has finite composition length.
Proof. By lemma 2.3, $M$ has a filtration

$$
0=M_{0} \subset M_{1} \subset \cdots \subset M_{m}=M
$$

such that each factor $M_{j} / M_{j-1}$ for $1 \leq j \leq m$ is a highest weight module. By Lemma 3.1, every Verma module has finite composition length, so does any highest weight module. Consequently the composition length of $M$ is finite.

Remark 3.4. In [7], the authors defined another category $\mathcal{O}^{\prime}$ for $\mathfrak{W}$. Any module $M$ in $\mathcal{O}^{\prime}$ is locally finite over $\mathbb{C} d_{-1} \oplus \mathbb{C} d_{0}$ rather than $\mathfrak{W}^{+}$. Similar as $\Delta(\lambda)$, define the $\mathfrak{W J}$-module:

$$
\Delta^{\prime}(\lambda):=U(\mathfrak{W}) \bigotimes_{U\left(\mathbb{C} d_{-1} \oplus \mathbb{C} d_{0}\right)} \mathbb{C}_{\lambda}^{\prime}
$$

where $\mathbb{C}_{\lambda}^{\prime}=\mathbb{C} v_{\lambda}^{\prime}$ is the $U\left(\mathbb{C} d_{-1} \oplus \mathbb{C} d_{0}\right)$-module defined by $d_{-1} v_{\lambda}^{\prime}=0, d_{0} v_{\lambda}^{\prime}=\lambda v_{\lambda}^{\prime}$. Since any simple weight module over $\mathfrak{W}$ has one dimensional weight spaces, see [19], $\Delta^{\prime}(\lambda)$ does not has finite composition length. So $\mathcal{O}^{\prime}$ does not satisfy Corollary 3.3.
3.2. Extension between Verma modules. Recall that for $\mathfrak{W}$-modules $M, N \in$ $\mathcal{O}$, the first cohomology space $\operatorname{Ext}_{U(\mathfrak{W H})}^{1}(M, N)$ classifies the short exact sequences: $0 \rightarrow N \xrightarrow{\alpha} K \xrightarrow{\beta} M \rightarrow 0$, also called the extension of $N$ by $M$. Generally $K$ may not lie in $\mathcal{O}$. We are only interested in that $K \in \mathcal{O}$, i.e., $K$ needs to be a weight module. So $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}}^{1}(M, N) \subset \operatorname{Ext}_{U(\mathfrak{W})}^{1}(M, N)$. Note that $\mathcal{O}$ is closed under weight module extensions. That is $M, N \in \mathcal{O}$ and $K$ is a weight module, then $K \in \mathcal{O}$. In this subsection, we will give all extensions between Verma modules in $\mathcal{O}$.

Lemma 3.5. Let $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$.
(1) If $\lambda-\mu \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $M$ is a highest weight module with the highest weight $\mu$, then $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}}^{1}(\Delta(\lambda), M)=0$;
(2) $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}}^{1}(\Delta(\lambda), \Delta(\lambda))=0$.

Proof. (1) Suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{\alpha} N \xrightarrow{\beta} \Delta(\lambda) \rightarrow 0 \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a short exact sequence in $\mathcal{O}$, where $N$ is a weight module. As $\lambda-\mu \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, $\operatorname{Supp}(N)=\operatorname{Supp}(M) \cup \operatorname{Supp}(\Delta(\lambda))$, so $\lambda$ is a maximum weight in $N$. Recall that $\Delta(\lambda)$ is generated by the highest weight vector $v_{\lambda}$. Since $\beta$ is surjective, there exists weight vector $0 \neq v \in N_{\lambda}$, such that $\beta(v)=v_{\lambda}$. Moreover, $v$ must be a maximal vector. Otherwise, there exists $d_{i} \in \mathfrak{W}^{+}, 0 \neq d_{i} \cdot v \in N_{\lambda+i}$ such that $\lambda+i \in \operatorname{Supp}(N)$, which contradicts to the maximality of $\lambda$. The map such that $v_{\lambda} \mapsto v$ can be extended to a $U(\mathfrak{W})$-module homomorphism $\beta^{\prime}: \Delta(\lambda) \rightarrow N$, and $\beta \beta^{\prime}=1_{\Delta(\lambda)}$. So the exact sequence (3.3) is split and hence $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}}^{1}(\Delta(\lambda), M)=0$.
(2) is an immediate corollary of (1).

Let us recall the $\mathfrak{W}$-modules $F_{\lambda}$ of Feigin and Fuchs defined in [9], with $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. The module $F_{\lambda}$ has a basis $\left\{f_{j} \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\right\}$ with the $\mathfrak{W}$-action defined by

$$
d_{i} f_{j}=(j-(i+1) \lambda) f_{i+j}
$$

where $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq-1}, j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. These modules are shown to be restricted dualities of Verma modules. For a weight $\mathfrak{W J}$-module $V=\oplus_{\lambda} V_{\lambda}$, the restricted duality $\mathfrak{W}$-module $V^{*}=\oplus_{\lambda} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(V_{\lambda}, \mathbb{C}\right)$ is defined by the natural action:

$$
\left(d_{i} \phi\right)(v)=\phi\left(-d_{i} v\right)
$$

for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq-1}, \phi \in V^{*}, v \in V$. By the universal property of $\Delta(\lambda)$, one can check that $F_{\lambda}^{*} \cong \Delta(\lambda)$. Feigin and Fuchs gave the classification of the extensions of $F_{\mu}$ by the modules $F_{\lambda}$.

Proposition 3.6. [9] Suppose that $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$. Then

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{U(\mathfrak{W J})}^{1}\left(F_{\lambda}, F_{\mu}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathbb{C}, \text { if } \lambda-\mu=0,2,3,4 ; \\
\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}, \text { if }(\lambda, \mu)=(0,-1) ; \\
\mathbb{C}, \text { if }(\lambda, \mu)=(0,-5) \text { or }(4,-1) ; \\
\mathbb{C}, \text { if }(\lambda, \mu)=\left(\frac{5 \pm \sqrt{19}}{2}, \frac{-7 \pm \sqrt{19}}{2}\right) ; \\
0, \text { otherwise. }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Moreover all nontrivial extensions of $F_{\mu}$ by $F_{\lambda}$ were listed in the table 1 on page 207 of [9]. We recall these extensions in a slightly different form as follows.
(1) The unique non-split extension $E\left(F_{\lambda}, F_{\lambda}\right)$ of $F_{\lambda}$ by itself has a basis $\left\{f_{j}, f_{j}^{\prime} \mid\right.$ $\left.j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\right\}$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
d_{i} f_{j} & =(j-(i+1) \lambda) f_{i+j}, \\
d_{i} f_{j}^{\prime} & =(j-(i+1) \lambda) f_{i+j}^{\prime}+(i+1) f_{i+j} . \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

(2) There are two non-split extensions: $E\left(F_{0}, F_{-1}\right), E^{\prime}\left(F_{0}, F_{-1}\right)$ of $F_{-1}$ by $F_{0}$. The module $E\left(F_{0}, F_{-1}\right)$ has a a basis $\left\{f_{j}, f_{j}^{\prime} \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\right\}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{i} f_{j} & =(j+i+1) f_{i+j}, \\
d_{i} f_{j}^{\prime} & =j f_{i+j}^{\prime}+(i+1) j f_{i+j-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The module $E^{\prime}\left(F_{0}, F_{-1}\right)$ has a a basis $\left\{f_{j}, f_{j}^{\prime} \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\right\}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{i} f_{j} & =(j+i+1) f_{i+j}, \\
d_{i} f_{j}^{\prime} & =j f_{i+j}^{\prime}+(i+1) i f_{i+j-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

(3) The unique non-split extension $E\left(F_{\lambda}, F_{\lambda-2}\right)$ of $F_{\lambda-2}$ by $F_{\lambda}$ has a basis $\left\{f_{j}, f_{j}^{\prime} \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\right\}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{i} f_{j} & =(j-(i+1)(\lambda-2)) f_{i+j}, \\
d_{i} f_{j}^{\prime} & =(j-(i+1) \lambda) f_{i+j}^{\prime}+((i+1) i(i-1)+2(i+1) i j) f_{i+j-2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

(4) The unique non-split extension $E\left(F_{\lambda}, F_{\lambda-3}\right)$ of $F_{\lambda-3}$ by $F_{\lambda}$ has a basis $\left\{f_{j}, f_{j}^{\prime} \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\right\}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{i} f_{j} & =(j-(i+1)(\lambda-3)) f_{i+j}, \\
d_{i} f_{j}^{\prime} & =(j-(i+1) \lambda) f_{i+j}^{\prime}+((i+1) i(i-1) j+(i+1) i j(j-1)) f_{i+j-3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

(5) The unique non-split extension $E\left(F_{\lambda}, F_{\lambda-4}\right)$ of $F_{\lambda-4}$ by $F_{\lambda}$ has a basis $\left\{f_{j}, f_{j}^{\prime} \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\right\}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{i} f_{j}= & (j-(i+1)(\lambda-4)) f_{i+j}, \\
d_{i} f_{j}^{\prime}= & (j-(i+1) \lambda) f_{i+j}^{\prime}+\left(\frac{(i+1)!}{(i-4)!} \lambda+\frac{(i+1)!j}{(i-3)!}\right. \\
& -6(i+1) i(i-1) j(j-1)-4(i+1) i j(j-1)(j-2)) f_{i+j-4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

(6) The unique non-split extension $E\left(F_{0}, F_{-5}\right)$ of $F_{-5}$ by $F_{0}$ has a basis $\left\{f_{j}, f_{j}^{\prime} \mid\right.$ $\left.j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\right\}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{i} f_{j}= & (j+5(i+1)) f_{i+j}, \\
d_{i} f_{j}^{\prime}= & j f_{i+j}^{\prime}+\left(2 \frac{(i+1)!j}{(i-4)!}-5 \frac{(i+1)!j(j-1)}{(i-3)!}\right. \\
& +10(i+1) i(i-1) j(j-1)(j-2)+5(i+1) i j(j-1)(j-2)(j-3)) f_{i+j-5} .
\end{aligned}
$$

(7) The unique non-split extension $E\left(F_{4}, F_{-1}\right)$ of $F_{-1}$ by $F_{4}$ has a basis $\left\{f_{j}, f_{j}^{\prime} \mid\right.$ $\left.j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\right\}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{i} f_{j}= & (j+i+1) f_{i+j} \\
d_{i} f_{j}^{\prime}= & (j-4(i+1)) f_{i+j}^{\prime}+\left(12 \frac{(i+1)!}{(i-5)!}+22 \frac{(i+1)!j}{(i-4)!}+5 \frac{(i+1)!j(j-1)}{(i-3)!}\right. \\
& -10(i+1) i(i-1) j(j-1)(j-2)-5(i+1) i j(j-1)(j-2)(j-3)) f_{i+j-5}
\end{aligned}
$$

(8) When $(\lambda, \mu)=\left(\frac{5 \pm \sqrt{19}}{2}, \frac{-7 \pm \sqrt{19}}{2}\right)$, the unique non-split extension $E\left(F_{\lambda}, F_{\mu}\right)$ of $F_{\mu}$ by $F_{\lambda}$ has a basis $\left\{f_{j}, f_{j}^{\prime} \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\right\}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{i} f_{j}= & (j-(i+1) \mu) f_{i+j}, \\
d_{i} f_{j}^{\prime}= & (j-(i+1) \lambda) f_{i+j}^{\prime}+\left(\frac{(i+1)!(22 \pm 5 \sqrt{19})}{(i-6)!4}-\frac{(i+1)!j(31 \pm 7 \sqrt{19})}{(i-5)!2}\right. \\
& -\frac{(i+1)!j(j-1)(25 \pm 7 \sqrt{19})}{(i-4)!2}-\frac{(i+1)!j(j-1)(j-2) 5}{(i-3)!} \\
& \left.+5(i+1) i(i-1) j(j-1)(j-2)(j-3)+\frac{j!2(i+1) i}{(j-5)!}\right) f_{i+j-6} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In the above formulas, $f_{j}=f_{j}^{\prime}=0$ if $j<0$. By the isomorphism $F_{\lambda}^{*} \cong \Delta(\lambda)$. we obtain all nontrivial extensions between Verma modules.

Proposition 3.7. Suppose that $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$. Then

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}}^{1}(\Delta(\mu), \Delta(\lambda))=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathbb{C}, \text { if } \lambda-\mu=2,3,4 ; \\
\mathbb{C}, \text { if }(\lambda, \mu)=(0,-1),(0,-5) \text { or }(4,-1) ; \\
\mathbb{C}, \text { if }(\lambda, \mu)=\left(\frac{5 \pm \sqrt{19}}{2}, \frac{-7 \pm \sqrt{19}}{2}\right) ; \\
0, \text { otherwise. }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Proof. By $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}}^{1}(\Delta(\mu), \Delta(\lambda)) \leq \operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ext}_{U(\mathfrak{W J})}^{1}(\Delta(\mu), \Delta(\lambda))$ and $F_{\lambda}^{*} \cong \Delta(\lambda)$, we have

$$
\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}}^{1}(\Delta(\mu), \Delta(\lambda)) \leq\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1, \text { if } \lambda-\mu=0,2,3,4 ; \\
2, \text { if }(\lambda, \mu)=(0,-1) ; \\
1, \text { if }(\lambda, \mu)=(0,-5) \text { or }(4,-1) ; \\
1, \text { if }(\lambda, \mu)=\left(\frac{5 \pm \sqrt{19}}{2}, \frac{-7 \pm \sqrt{19}}{2}\right) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

If $E\left(F_{\lambda}, F_{\lambda}\right)$ is a weight module, then there are nonzero $a_{j} \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $d_{0}\left(f_{j}^{\prime}+a_{j} f_{j}\right)=(j-\lambda)\left(f_{j}^{\prime}+a_{j} f_{j}\right)$ for almost all $j$. However on the other side, $d_{0}\left(f_{j}^{\prime}+a_{j} f_{j}\right)=(j-\lambda) f_{j}^{\prime}+f_{j}+a_{j}(j-\lambda) f_{j}$ by (3.4), which is a contradiction. So $E\left(F_{\lambda}, F_{\lambda}\right)$ is not a weight module, and hence $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}}^{1}(\Delta(\lambda), \Delta(\lambda))=0$. In fact, by (2) in Lemma 3.5, we can also see that $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}}^{1}(\Delta(\lambda), \Delta(\lambda))=0$.

Similarly $E\left(F_{0}, F_{-1}\right)$ is not a weight module. By the action of $d_{0}$ on $f_{j}^{\prime}$, we can see that $E^{\prime}\left(F_{0}, F_{-1}\right), E\left(F_{\lambda}, F_{\lambda-2}\right), E\left(F_{\lambda}, F_{\lambda-3}\right), E\left(F_{\lambda}, F_{\lambda-4}\right), E\left(F_{0}, F_{-5}\right)$, $E\left(F_{4}, F_{-1}\right)$, and $E\left(F_{\frac{5 \pm \sqrt{19}}{2}}, F_{\frac{-7 \pm \sqrt{19}}{2}}\right)$ are weight modules. So these modules are also no-split extensions between Verma modules in $\mathcal{O}$. Then we can complete the proof.
3.3. Extensions between simple modules. In this subsection, we compute $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}}^{1}(M, N)$ for all simple modules $M, N \in \mathcal{O}$.

Lemma 3.8. If $\lambda-\mu \notin \mathbb{Z}$, then $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}}^{1}(L(\lambda), L(\mu))=0$.
Proof. If $M \in \mathcal{O}$ such that $L(\mu) \subset M$ and $M / L(\mu) \cong L(\lambda)$, then $\operatorname{Supp}(M)=$ $\operatorname{Supp}(L(\mu)) \cup \operatorname{Supp}(L(\lambda))$. Since $\lambda-\mu \notin \mathbb{Z}, \operatorname{Supp}(L(\mu)) \cap \operatorname{Supp}(L(\lambda))=\emptyset$. So $M \cong L(\mu) \oplus L(\lambda)$.

Lemma 3.9. (1) For all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, $\operatorname{dim}_{\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}}^{1}}(L(\lambda), L(\lambda))=0$.
(2) We have $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}}^{1}(L(0), L(-1))=1$. That is, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \Delta(-1) \rightarrow M \rightarrow L(0) \rightarrow 0 \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a non-split exact sequence of $\mathfrak{W}$-modules in $\mathcal{O}$, then $M \cong \Delta(0)$.
(3) $\operatorname{dim}_{\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}}^{1}}(L(0), L(\lambda))=0$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{-1\}$.

Proof. (1) When $\lambda \neq 0$, thanks to (1) in Lemma 3.5 and $L(\lambda)=\Delta(\lambda)$, we obtain $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}(L(\lambda), L(\lambda))=0$. It is enough to prove that $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}}^{1}(L(0), L(0))=0$.

Consider the short exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \Delta(-1) \rightarrow \Delta(0) \rightarrow L(0) \rightarrow 0
$$

where $\Delta(-1)$ is the unique nonzero submodule of $\Delta(0)$. We can get a long exact sequence by using the functor $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(-, L(0))$ :

$$
\cdots \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(\Delta(-1), L(0)) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}}^{1}(L(0), L(0)) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}}^{1}(\Delta(0), L(0)) \rightarrow \cdots
$$

According to (1) in Lemma 3.5, and the fact that $L(0)$ is not a composition factor of $\Delta(-1)$, we have $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}}^{1}(\Delta(0), L(0))=0, \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(\Delta(-1), L(0))=0$, whence $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}}^{1}(L(0), L(0))=0$. Thus $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}}^{1}(L(\lambda), L(\lambda))=0$ for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.
(2) Consider the short exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \Delta(-1) \rightarrow \Delta(0) \rightarrow L(0) \rightarrow 0 \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta(-1)$ is the maximal submodule of codimension 1 of $\Delta(0)$. According to Lemma 3.5, we have $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}}^{1}(\Delta(0), L(-1))=0$. Applying $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(-, L(-1))$ to
(3.6), from $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(\Delta(0), L(-1))=0$, we can get

$$
0 \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(\Delta(-1), L(-1)) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}}^{1}(L(0), L(-1)) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}}^{1}(\Delta(0), L(-1)) \rightarrow \cdots
$$

Thus $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}}^{1}(L(0), L(-1)) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(\Delta(-1), L(-1)) \cong \mathbb{C}$.
(3) By (1) and (2), we can assume that $\lambda \neq 0,-1$. Let $M$ be a non-split extension of $L(\lambda)$ by $L(0)$ in $\mathcal{O}$. We can suppose that $L(\lambda) \subset M$ and $M / L(\lambda)=$ $L(0)$. Then $1 \leq \operatorname{dim} M_{0} \leq 2$. There must exists $e_{0}^{\prime} \in M_{0} \backslash L(\lambda)_{0}$ such that $d_{1} e_{0}^{\prime}=$ 0 . Thus $d_{1} d_{-1} e_{0}^{\prime}=0$. As $z d_{-1} e_{0}^{\prime}=\lambda(\lambda+1) d_{-1} e_{0}^{\prime}=d_{-1} z e_{0}^{\prime}=0$ and $\lambda \neq 0,-1$, we deduce that $d_{-1} e_{0}^{\prime}=0$. Since $M$ is indecomposable, $d_{2} e_{0}^{\prime}$ is a nonzero element in $L(\lambda)$. So $\left[d_{-1}, d_{2}\right] e_{0}^{\prime}=0$ implies that $d_{-1} d_{2} e_{0}^{\prime}=0$, contradicting with that $d_{-1}$ acts injectively on $L(\lambda)$.

Next we use the relative Lie algebra cohomology to compute dim $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}}^{1}(L(\lambda), L(0))$. For two weight $\mathfrak{W}$-modules $M, N$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathfrak{W J}, \mathfrak{h}}^{1}(M, N) & \cong H^{1}\left(\mathfrak{W}, \mathfrak{h} ; \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(M, N)\right) \\
& \cong C^{1}\left(\mathfrak{W}, \mathfrak{h} ; \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(M, N)\right) / B^{1}\left(\mathfrak{W}, \mathfrak{h} ; \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(M, N)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where the set of 1-cocycles $C^{1}\left(\mathfrak{W}, \mathfrak{h} ; \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(M, N)\right)$ is the the subspace of all $c \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{h}}\left(\mathfrak{W}, \mathfrak{h} ; \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(M, N)\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
c(\mathfrak{h})=0, \quad c\left(\left[g_{1}, g_{2}\right]\right)=\left[g_{1}, c\left(g_{2}\right)\right]-\left[g_{2}, c\left(g_{1}\right)\right], \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $g_{1}, g_{2} \in \mathfrak{W J}$, where $[g, \psi] \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(M, N)$ such that

$$
[g, \psi](v)=g \psi(v)-\psi(g v)
$$

for $g \in \mathfrak{W}, \psi \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(M, N), v \in M$. A 1-cocycle $c$ is a coboundary if there is a $\psi \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{h}}(M, N)$ such that $c(g)=[g, \psi]$ for any $g \in \mathfrak{W}$.

Lemma 3.10. $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}}^{1}(L(\lambda), L(0))= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } \lambda=-1,-2 ; \\ 0, & \text { if } \lambda \neq-1,-2 .\end{cases}$
Proof. By (1) in Lemma 3.9, we can assume $\lambda \neq 0$. So $L(\lambda)=\Delta(\lambda)=U\left(\mathfrak{W J ) ~} v_{\lambda}=\right.$ $\mathbb{C}\left[d_{-1}\right] v_{\lambda}$. Suppose that $L(0)=\mathbb{C} v_{0}$

According to (3.1.2) in [17], we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}}^{1}(L(\lambda), L(0)) & \cong \operatorname{Ext}_{(\mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{h})}^{1}(\Delta(\lambda), L(0)) \\
& \cong \operatorname{Ext}_{(\mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{h})}^{1}\left(U(\mathfrak{W}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{b})} \mathbb{C}_{\lambda}, L(0)\right) \\
& \cong \operatorname{Ext}_{(\mathfrak{b} \mathfrak{h})}^{1}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\lambda}, L(0)\right) \\
& \cong H^{1}\left(\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{h}, \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\lambda}, L(0)\right)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathbb{C}_{\lambda}=\mathbb{C} v_{\lambda}$ is the one dimensional $\mathfrak{b}$-module.
For $\omega \in C^{1}\left(\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{h} ; \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\lambda}, L(0)\right)\right), k, j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
(j-k) \omega\left(d_{k+j}\right)=\left[d_{k}, \omega\left(d_{j}\right)\right]-\left[d_{j}, \omega\left(d_{k}\right)\right] . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking $k=0$, by $\omega\left(d_{0}\right)=0$, we have $j \omega\left(d_{j}\right)=\left[d_{0}, \omega\left(d_{j}\right)\right]$. After multiplying a suitable scalar, we can assume that $\omega\left(d_{j}\right)\left(v_{\lambda}\right)=\delta_{\lambda+j, 0} v_{0}$. If $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq-3}$, then $\omega\left(d_{1}\right)=\omega\left(d_{2}\right)=\omega\left(d_{-1}\right)=0$, hence $\omega=0$ and $\operatorname{dim}_{\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}}^{1}}(L(\lambda), L(0))=$ 0 . If $\lambda=-2$, then $\omega\left(d_{2}\right)\left(v_{-2}\right)=v_{0}, \omega\left(d_{j}\right)=0$ for any $j \neq 2$. So from $B^{1}\left(\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{h} ; \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\lambda}, L(0)\right)\right)=0$, we have $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}}^{1}(L(-2), L(0))=1$. Similarly $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}}^{1}(L(-1), L(0))=1$.

We can summarize the results on extensions of simple modules as follows:
Theorem 3.11. Suppose that $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$. Then

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}}^{1}(L(\mu), L(\lambda))=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathbb{C}, \text { if } \lambda-\mu=2,3,4, \lambda \mu \neq 0 \\
\mathbb{C}, \text { if }(\lambda, \mu)=(0,-1),(0,-2),(-1,0) \text { or }(4,-1) \\
\mathbb{C}, \text { if }(\lambda, \mu)=\left(\frac{5 \pm \sqrt{19}}{2}, \frac{-7 \pm \sqrt{19}}{2}\right) \\
0, \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

It should be mentioned that extensions between simple modules for the finite dimensional Witt algebra $W(1,1)$ over an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p>3$ were determined in [3].
3.4. Block decomposition of $\mathcal{O}$. We first recall the notion of blocks of an abelian category $\mathcal{C}$. We assume that any object of $\mathcal{C}$ has finite composition length. We introduce an equivalence relation on the set of isomorphism classes of simple objects of $\mathcal{C}$ as follows: two simple objects $V, V^{\prime}$ are equivalent if there exists a sequence $V=V_{1}, V_{2}, \ldots, V_{r}=V^{\prime}$ of simple objects satisfying $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}}^{1}\left(V_{i}, V_{i+1}\right) \neq 0$ or $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}}^{1}\left(V_{i+1}, V_{i}\right) \neq 0$ for all $i$. Then for each equivalence class $\chi$, we denote by $\mathcal{C}_{\chi}$ the full subcategory of $\mathcal{C}$ consisting of objects whose all composition factors belong to $\chi$. Each $\mathcal{C}_{\chi}$ is called a block of $\mathcal{C}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{C}=\oplus_{\chi} \mathcal{C}_{\chi} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, each $\mathcal{C}_{\chi}$ cannot be decomposed into a direct sum of two nontrivial abelian full subcategories. The decomposition in (3.9) is called the block decomposition of the category $\mathcal{C}$.

For any $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $\mathcal{O}_{[\lambda]}$ be the full subcategory of $\mathcal{O}$ consisting of modules $M$ such that $\operatorname{Supp} M \subset \lambda+\mathbb{Z}$.

Proposition 3.12. We have the block decomposition $\mathcal{O}=\oplus_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} / \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_{[\lambda]}$, each $\mathcal{O}_{[\lambda]}$ is indecomposable. The set $\{L(\lambda+n) \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is the set of all simple modules in $\mathcal{O}_{[\lambda]}$.

Proof. This result follows from Theorem 3.11.
Let $\mathbb{C}\left\langle x_{1}, x_{2}\right\rangle$ be the free associative algebra over $\mathbb{C}$ in two variables $x_{1}, x_{2}$. Recall that an abelian category $\mathcal{C}$ is wild if there exists an exact functor from the category of finite dimensional representations of the algebra $\mathbb{C}\left\langle x_{1}, x_{2}\right\rangle$ to $\mathcal{C}$
which preserves indecomposability and takes non-isomorphic modules to nonisomorphic ones, see Definition 2 in [18]. The following Lemma is useful for the study of representations of infinite dimensional algebras. For its proof, one can see Proposition 2.1 in [11]

Lemma 3.13. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an abelian category. If the Ext-quiver of $\mathcal{C}$ contains a finite subquiver $Q$ whose underlying unoriented graph is neither a Dynkin nor an affine diagram such that two arrows in $Q$ can not be concatenated, then $\mathcal{C}$ is wild.

Theorem 3.14. For any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, the block $\mathcal{O}_{[\lambda]}$ is wild.
Proof. By Theorem 3.11, the Ext-quiver of every block $\mathcal{O}_{[\lambda]}$ contains the following subquiver:

where $\mu \in \lambda+\mathbb{Z}$ such that $\mu(\mu-1)(\mu-2)(\mu-3)(\mu-4) \neq 0$. This subquiver is neither a Dynkin nor an affine diagram. So the block $\mathcal{O}_{[\lambda]}$ is wild by Lemma 3.13.

Remark 3.15. We can compare the category $\mathcal{O}$ of $\mathfrak{W}$ with the category $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{s l}_{2}}$ of $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}$. Each non-regular block of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{s l}_{2}}$ is semi-simple. Every regular block of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{s l}_{2}}$ is equivalent to the category of finite dimensional representations over $\mathbb{C}$ of the following quiver


So every block of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{s l}_{2}}$ is not wild. It should be mentioned that representation types of all blocks of $\mathcal{O}$ for complex simple Lie algebras were independently obtained in [6] and [10].
3.5. Relation between $\mathcal{O}$ and a subalgebra $H_{1}$ of $U(\mathfrak{b})$. In order to find the connection between $\mathcal{O}$ and finite dimensional modules over some associative algebra, we define

$$
H_{1}=\left\{u \in U(\mathfrak{b}) \mid u\left(d_{-1}-1\right) \subset\left(d_{-1}-1\right) U(\mathfrak{W})\right\}
$$

which is a subalgebra of $U(\mathfrak{b})$.
Let $N_{1}=\mathbb{C} v_{1}$ be the one dimensional right $\mathbb{C}\left[d_{-1}\right]$-module such that $v_{1} \cdot d_{-1}=$ $v_{1}$. Let $Q_{1}^{\prime}$ the induced right $U(\mathfrak{W})$-module from the right $\mathbb{C}\left[d_{-1}\right]$-module $N_{1}$,
and

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{1}^{\prime}=\operatorname{End}_{\mathfrak{W}}\left(Q_{1}^{\prime}\right) \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 3.16. The algebra $H_{1}$ is isomorphic to the algebra $H_{1}^{\prime}$.
Proof. For any $u \in H_{1}$, we define a $\psi_{u} \in H_{1}^{\prime}=\operatorname{End}_{\mathfrak{W}}\left(Q_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ such that $\psi_{u}\left(v_{1}\right)=v_{1} u$. Then one can check that the linear map

$$
\psi: H_{1} \rightarrow H_{1}^{\prime}, u \mapsto \psi_{u}
$$

is an algebra isomorphism.

We define a functor $\Gamma$ from $\mathcal{O}$ to the category of finite dimensional $H_{1}$-modules. For any module $M \in \mathcal{O}$, let

$$
\Gamma(M)=M /\left(d_{-1}-1\right) M
$$

Clearly $\Gamma(M)$ is an $H_{1}$-module. The following result is immediate.
Lemma 3.17. $\operatorname{dim} \Gamma(L(\lambda))= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } \lambda \neq 0, \\ 0, & \text { if } \lambda=0 .\end{cases}$
Let $\Omega$ be the category of finite dimensional $H_{1}$-modules.
Theorem 3.18. The functor $\Gamma: \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \Omega$ is exact.
Proof. Suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow N \xrightarrow{\alpha} M \xrightarrow{\beta} L \rightarrow 0 \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

is an exact sequence in $\mathcal{O}$. We will show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \Gamma(N) \xrightarrow{\Gamma(\alpha)} \Gamma(M) \xrightarrow{\Gamma(\beta)} \Gamma(L) \rightarrow 0, \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

is exact.
From the sujectivity of $\beta$ and $\Gamma(\beta) \Gamma(\alpha)=0$, we see that $\Gamma(\beta)$ is surjective and $\operatorname{Im} \Gamma(\alpha) \subset \operatorname{Ker} \Gamma(\beta)$. We need to show that $\Gamma(\alpha)$ is injective and $\operatorname{Ker} \Gamma(\beta) \subset$ $\operatorname{Im} \Gamma(\alpha)$.

For $n+\left(d_{-1}-1\right) N \in \operatorname{Ker} \Gamma(\alpha)$, there exists some $m \in M$ such that $\alpha(n)=$ $\left(d_{-1}-1\right) m$. Then $\left(d_{-1}-1\right) \beta(m)=\beta \alpha(n)=0$. Since $L$ is a weight module, $d_{-1}-1$ acts injectively on $L$. Thus $\beta(m)=0$ and there is an $n_{1} \in N$ such that $m=\alpha\left(n_{1}\right)$. Consequently, $\alpha(n)=\left(d_{-1}-1\right) \alpha\left(n_{1}\right)$. Then the injectivity of $\alpha$ implies that $n=\left(d_{-1}-1\right) n_{1}$, i.e., $n \in\left(d_{-1}-1\right) N$. So $\Gamma(\alpha)$ is injective.

For $m+\left(d_{-1}-1\right) M \in \operatorname{Ker} \Gamma(\beta)$, we have that $\beta(m)=\left(d_{-1}-1\right) l$ for some $l \in L$. As $\beta$ is surjective, $\beta(m)=\left(d_{-1}-1\right) \beta\left(m^{\prime}\right)$ for some $m^{\prime} \in M$, i.e., $m-\left(d_{-1}-1\right) m^{\prime} \in \operatorname{ker} \beta=\operatorname{Im} \alpha$. So $m-\left(d_{-1}-1\right) m^{\prime}=\alpha(n)$ for some $n \in N$, hence $\operatorname{Ker} \Gamma(\beta) \subset \operatorname{Im} \Gamma(\alpha)$. Therefore $\Gamma: \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \Omega$ is exact.

Denote the restriction of $\Gamma$ to $\mathcal{O}_{[\lambda]}$ by $\Gamma^{[\lambda]}$, and by $\Omega_{[\lambda]}$ the subcategory of $\Omega$ consisting of the $H_{1}$-modules isomorphic to $\Gamma^{[\lambda]}(M)$ for $M \in \mathcal{O}_{[\lambda]}$. Finally we give a conjecture on $\mathcal{O}_{[\lambda]}$.

Conjecture 3.1. There is a $\lambda \notin \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\mathcal{O}_{[\lambda]}$ is equivalent to $\Omega_{[\lambda]}$.

## 4. Tensor $\mathfrak{W}$-modules from $\mathfrak{b}$-modules and Weyl modules

Let $\mathfrak{D}$ be the Weyl algebra of rank one, that is, $\mathfrak{D}$ is the associative algebra over $\mathbb{C}$ generated by $x, \partial$ subject to the relation $[\partial, x]=1$. In this section, we construct simple $\mathfrak{W}$-modules from $\mathfrak{D}$-modules and $\mathfrak{b}$-modules.
4.1. Tensor module $T(P, V)$. The following interesting algebra homomorphism was given in [20] and [5] independently, which plays an important role in the classification of simple weight modules for $\mathfrak{W}_{n}$, see $[20,16]$.

Lemma 4.1. There is an algebra monomorphism $\phi$ from $U(\mathfrak{W})$ to $\mathfrak{D} \otimes U(\mathfrak{b})$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d_{-1} \mapsto \partial \otimes 1, \\
& d_{m} \mapsto x^{m+1} \partial \otimes 1+\sum_{r=0}^{m}\binom{m+1}{r+1} x^{m-r} \otimes d_{r}, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 4.1, for any $\mathfrak{D}$-module $P$, any $\mathfrak{b}$-module $V$, the tensor product $P \otimes V$ can be made to be a $\mathfrak{W}$-module denoted by $T(P, V)$.
Remark 4.2. Let $S=\mathbb{C}\left[x^{ \pm 1}\right] / \mathbb{C}[x]$ which is a simple $\mathfrak{D}$-module. Then we have a functor $T(S,-)$ from the category $\mathfrak{b}$-mod of finite dimensional $\mathfrak{b}$-modules to the category $\mathcal{O}$. We originally intended to use the functor $T(S,-)$ to study $\mathcal{O}$. However, in view of extensions between simple modules in $\mathcal{O}, T(S, V)$ may be decomposable for some indecomposable $\mathfrak{b}$-module $V$. Nevertheless, we can still use the bifunctor $T(-,-)$ to construct simple $\mathfrak{W J}$-modules.

In the following theorem, we will give the simplicity of $T(P, V)$ under some natural conditions.

Theorem 4.3. Suppose that $P$ is a simple $\mathfrak{D}$-module, and $V$ is a simple $\mathfrak{b}$-module such that there is an $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ satisfying
(1) $d_{l}$ acts injectively on $V$;
(2) $d_{i} V=0$ for any $i>l$,
then $T(P, V)$ is a simple $\mathfrak{W J}$-module.
Proof. Let $N$ be a nonzero submodule of $T(P, V)$. Suppose that $u=\sum_{n=0}^{q} p_{n} \otimes v_{n}$ is a nonzero element in $N$, where $p_{0}, \ldots, p_{q}$ are linearly independent.

Claim 1. For any $X \in \mathfrak{D}$, we have that $\sum_{n=0}^{q} X p_{n} \otimes d_{l}^{2} v_{n} \in N$.

For any $k$ with $k \geq 2 l$ and any $m$ with $-1 \leq m \leq 2 l+1$, we can compute that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d_{k-m} d_{m}(p \otimes v)=d_{k-m}\left(d_{m} p \otimes v+\sum_{r=0}^{m}\binom{m+1}{r+1} x^{m-r} p \otimes d_{r} v\right) \\
= & \left(d_{k-m} d_{m} p\right) \otimes v+\sum_{r=0}^{m}\binom{m+1}{r+1}\left(d_{k-m} x^{m-r} p\right) \otimes d_{r} v \\
& +\sum_{s=0}^{k-m}\binom{k-m+1}{s+1} x^{k-m-s} d_{m} p \otimes d_{s} v \\
& +\sum_{s=0}^{k-m} \sum_{r=0}^{m}\binom{k-m+1}{s+1}\binom{m+1}{r+1} x^{k-r-s} p \otimes d_{s} d_{r} v \\
= & m^{2 l+2} x^{k-2 l} p \otimes \frac{d_{l}^{2} v}{((l+1)!)^{2}}+g(m),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $g(m)$ is the term with degree of $m$ smaller than $2 l+2$. Consider the coefficient of $m^{2 l+2}$ in $d_{k-m} d_{m} u$. By letting $m=-1,0,1, \ldots, 2 l+1$, using the Vandermonde matrix, we deduce that $\sum_{n=0}^{q} x^{i} p_{n} \otimes d_{l}^{2} v_{n} \in N$, for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. From the action of $d_{-1}$ on $N$, we see that $\sum_{n=0}^{q} \partial^{j} x^{i} p_{n} \otimes d_{l}^{2} v_{n} \in N$ for all $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. So $\sum_{n=0}^{q} X p_{n} \otimes d_{l}^{2} v_{n} \in N$ for any $X \in \mathfrak{D}$. Then Claim 1 follows.

Claim 2. $P \otimes d_{l}^{2} v_{n} \subset N$, for any $0 \leq n \leq q$.
Since $P$ is a simple $\mathfrak{D}$-module, by the Jacobson density theorem, for any $p \in P$, there is a $X_{n}$ such that

$$
X_{n} p_{i}=\delta_{n, i} p, \quad i=0, \ldots, q
$$

By Claim 1, we obtain that $P \otimes d_{l}^{2} v_{n} \subset N$, for any $0 \leq n \leq q$. Claim 2 follows.
Claim 3. $N=T(P, V)$. Hence $T(P, V)$ is simple.
Let $V_{1}=\{v \in V \mid P \otimes v \subset N\}$. By Claim 2 and that $d_{l}$ acts injectively on $V$, $V_{1} \neq 0$. For any $v \in V_{1}, p \in P$, taking $m=0,1 \ldots, l$ in

$$
d_{m}(p \otimes v)=d_{m} p \otimes v+\sum_{r=0}^{m}\binom{m+1}{r+1} x^{m-r} p \otimes d_{r} v
$$

we can see that $p \otimes d_{0} v, p \otimes d_{1} v, \ldots, p \otimes d_{l} v \in N$. So $V_{1}$ is a nonzero $\mathfrak{b}$-submodule of $V$. The simplicity of $V$ forces that $V_{1}=V$. Then Claim 3 follows.
4.2. Isomorphism criterion for $T(P, V)$. Next we give the following isomorphism criterion for $T(P, V)$.

Theorem 4.4. Suppose that $P, P^{\prime}$ are simple $\mathfrak{D}$-modules, $V, V^{\prime}$ are simple $\mathfrak{b}$ modules such that there are $l, s \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ satisfying $d_{l}$ (resp. $d_{s}$ ) acts injectively on
$V$ (resp. $V^{\prime}$ ) and $d_{i} V=0$ (resp. $d_{i} V^{\prime}=0$ ) for any $i>l($ resp. $i>s)$. Then $T(P, V) \cong T\left(P^{\prime}, V^{\prime}\right)$ if and only if $P \cong P^{\prime}, l=s$ and $V \cong V^{\prime}$.

Proof. The sufficiency is obvious. Now suppose that

$$
\psi: T(P, V) \rightarrow T\left(P^{\prime}, V^{\prime}\right)
$$

is an isomorphism of $\mathfrak{W}$-modules. Let $p \otimes v$ be a nonzero element in $T(P, V)$. Write

$$
\psi(p \otimes v)=\sum_{n=0}^{q} p_{i}^{\prime} \otimes v_{i}^{\prime} \in T\left(P^{\prime}, V^{\prime}\right)
$$

where $p_{0}^{\prime}, \ldots, p_{q}^{\prime}$ are linearly independent. Similar to the proof of Claim 1 of Theorem 4.3, comparing the the highest degree of $m$ on both sides of

$$
\psi\left(d_{k-m} d_{m}(p \otimes v)\right)=d_{k-m} d_{m} \psi(p \otimes v)
$$

we have that $l=s$ and

$$
\psi(X p \otimes v)=\sum_{n=0}^{q} X p_{n} \otimes d_{l}^{2} v_{n}, \quad \forall X \in \mathfrak{D} .
$$

By the Jacobson density theorem, there exists $Y \in \mathfrak{D}$ such that $Y p_{i}=\delta_{i 0} p_{0}$. Then

$$
\psi(Y p \otimes v)=p_{0} \otimes d_{l}^{2} v_{n}
$$

Replacing $Y p$ by $p, p_{0}$ by $p^{\prime}$ and $d_{l}^{4} v_{n}$ by $v^{\prime}$, we have

$$
\psi(X p \otimes v)=X p^{\prime} \otimes v^{\prime}, \quad \forall X \in \mathfrak{D}
$$

Consequently $\psi_{1}: P \rightarrow P^{\prime}$ satisfying $\psi_{1}(X p)=X p^{\prime}$ is a well-defined map. Since $P, P^{\prime}$ are simple $\mathfrak{D}$-modules,

$$
\operatorname{Ann}_{\mathfrak{D}}(p)=\operatorname{Ann}_{\mathfrak{D}}\left(p^{\prime}\right), \text { and } P \cong \mathfrak{D} / \operatorname{Ann}_{\mathfrak{D}}(p) \cong P^{\prime}
$$

Thus $\psi_{1}$ is a $\mathfrak{D}$-module isomorphism and

$$
\psi(p \otimes v)=\psi_{1}(p) \otimes v^{\prime}, \quad \forall p \in P .
$$

Then from $\psi\left(d_{m}(p \otimes v)\right)=d_{m} \psi(p \otimes v)$, we obtain that

$$
\psi\left(p \otimes d_{r} v\right)=\psi_{1}(p) \otimes d_{r} v^{\prime}, \quad \forall p \in P, r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}
$$

So

$$
\psi(p \otimes y v)=\psi_{1}(p) \otimes y v^{\prime}, \quad \forall p \in P, \forall y \in U(\mathfrak{b}) .
$$

Therefore we have $\operatorname{Ann}_{U(\mathfrak{b})}(v)=\operatorname{Ann}_{U(\mathfrak{b})}\left(v^{\prime}\right)$. The simplicity of $V$ and $V^{\prime}$ implies that $V \cong U(\mathfrak{b}) / \operatorname{Ann}_{U(\mathfrak{b})}(v) \cong V^{\prime}$.

Remark 4.5. For each $r>0$, denote the quotient algebra $\mathfrak{b} /\left\langle d_{r+i}: i>0\right\rangle$ by $\mathfrak{a}_{r}$. From Theorem 4.3, we know that, to obtain new simple $\mathfrak{W}$-modules $T(P, V)$, it is enough to construct infinite dimensional simple modules $V$ over $\mathfrak{a}_{r}$ for $r>0$ such that the action of $d_{r}$ on $V$ is injective. Simple modules over $\mathfrak{a}_{1}$ and $\mathfrak{a}_{2}$ were classified in [15].
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