Interstellar nitrogen isotope ratios: New NH₃ data from the Galactic center out to the Perseus arm

J. L. CHEN,¹ J. S. ZHANG,¹ C. HENKEL,^{2,3} Y. T. YAN,^{2,1} H.Z. YU,¹ J. J. QIU,⁴ X. D. TANG,^{5,6} J. WANG,¹ W. LIU,¹ Y. X. WANG,¹ Y. H. ZHENG,^{7,8,1} J. Y. ZHAO,¹ AND Y. P. ZOU¹

¹Center for Astrophysics, Guangzhou University, Guangzhou, 510006, PR China; jszhang@gzhu.edu.cn

²Max-Planck-Institut für Radioastronomie, Auf dem Hügel 69, D-53121 Bonn, Germany

³Astronomy Department, King Abdulaziz University, P.O. Box 80203, 21589 Jeddah, Saudi Arabia

⁴School of Physics and Astronomy, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou 510275, PR China

⁵Xinjiang Astronomical Observatory, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 830011 Urumqi, PR China

⁶Key Laboratory of Radio Astronomy, Chinese Academy of Sciences, PR China

⁷University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, PR China

⁸National Astronomical Observatories, CAS, Beijing 100012, PR China

ABSTRACT

Our aim is to measure the interstellar 14 N/ 15 N ratio across the Galaxy, to establish a standard data set on interstellar ammonia isotope ratios and to provide new constraints on the Galactic chemical evolution. The (J, K) = (1, 1), (2, 2) and (3, 3) lines of 14 NH₃ and 15 NH₃ were observed with the Shanghai Tianma 65 m radio telescope (TMRT) and the Effelsberg-100 m telescope toward a large sample of 210 sources. 141 of these sources were detected by the TMRT in 14 NH₃. 8 out of them were also detected in 15 NH₃. For 10 of the 36 sources with strong NH₃ emission, the Effelsberg-100 m telescope detected successfully their 15 NH₃(1, 1) lines, including 3 sources (G081.7522, W51D and Orion-KL) with detections by the TMRT telescope. Thus, a total of 15 sources are detected in both the 14 NH₃ and 15 NH₃ lines. Line and physical parameters for these 15 sources are detived, including optical depths, rotation and kinetic temperatures, and total column densities. 14 N/ 15 N isotope ratios were determined from the 14 NH₃/ 15 NH₃ abundance ratios. Isotope ratios obtained from both telescopes agree for a given source within the uncertainties and no dependence on heliocentric distance and kinetic temperature is seen. 14 N/ 15 N ratios tend to increase with galactocentric distance, confirming a radial nitrogen isotope gradient. This is consistent with results from recent Galactic chemical model calculations, including the impact of super-AGB stars and novae.

Keywords: ISM: abundance - ISM: molecules-Galaxy: evolution - Galaxy: abundance - radio lines: ISM

1. INTRODUCTION

Galactic chemical evolution (GCE) is a powerful tool to study the stellar evolution history in the Milky Way (Milam et al. 2005). Determining isotopic ratios as a function of distance to the Galactic center (D_{GC}), it is possible to trace back the star formation history and/or initial mass function (IMF) along the Galactic plane with different D_{GC} (Wilson & Rood 1994; Zhang et al. 2018). Nitrogen is the fifth most common element in the universe (Colzi et al. 2018a). The abundance ratio of its two stable isotopes, ¹⁴N and ¹⁵N, is critical to our understanding of the GCE and the origin of the solar system. ¹⁴N/¹⁵N ratios are believed to be a good indicator of stellar nucleosynthesis and the mixing that subsequently occurs since the two isotopes are not synthesized in the same way (e.g., Audouze et al. 1975; Wilson 1999).

Both ¹⁴N and ¹⁵N can be produced in the carbon-nitrogen-oxygen (CNO) cycle, which is one of the major reaction sequences of stellar hydrogen burning (Wiescher et al. 2010). ¹⁵N is believed to enrich the interstellar medium (ISM) mainly during nova outbursts, being synthesized by the hot CNO cycle (Clayton 2003; Romano et al. 2017; Colzi et al. 2018a). ¹⁴N can be created from ¹³C or ¹⁷O in the cold CNO cycle and should mostly be a secondary product. However, a primary component of ¹⁴N can also be formed in the so-called Hot Bottom Burning (HBB) of asymptotic giant branch (AGB) stars (e.g. Izzard et al. 2004). Thus ¹⁴N may be a more primary product with respect to ¹⁵N. Different origins of the two nitrogen isotopes should lead to an increase

CHEN ET AL.

of ¹⁴N/¹⁵N ratios with galactocentric distance (Dahmen et al. 1995; Adande & Ziurys 2012), as predicted by models of Galactic chemical evolution (Romano & Matteucci 2003; Romano et al. 2017).

Previous measurements of ¹⁴N/¹⁵N ratios in the interstellar medium are based on spectral radio lines of different molecular tracers. A study of HCN from the Galactic disk by Dahmen et al. (1995) revealed ratios that slightly increase with increasing galactocentric distance, with values of ~400 in the local ISM. Subsequently, observations of CN, C¹⁵N, HN¹³C and H¹⁵NC lines reported by Adande & Ziurys (2012) led to ¹⁴N/¹⁵N ratios with increasing D_{GC} (290 ± 40 near the solar circle). For the most recent study, Colzi et al. (2018a) derived ¹⁴N/¹⁵N ratios from HN¹³C, H¹⁵NC, H¹³CN and HC¹⁵N, which also show a trend with increasing values at larger D_{GC} (375 ± 50 in the local ISM). However, the slope of the increasing ¹⁴N/¹⁵N ratio with D_{GC} is still a matter of debate. For the Galactic center region, there are only few direct observations. Based on H¹³CN and HC¹⁵N observations, Wannier et al. (1981) obtained a ¹⁴N/¹⁵N ratio >510 toward Sgr A. An even larger value of ~1000, this time from ¹⁴NH₃/¹⁵NH₃ data, was reported by Güsten & Ungerechts (1985) toward Galactic center region, Sgr B(N), Mills et al. (2018) measured a much lower ¹⁴N/¹⁵N ratio (~200) in the N2 hot core, through VLA observations of ¹⁴NH₃ and ¹⁵NH₃. This is consistent with extrapolated values in the Galactic center region of 123 ± 37 (Adande & Ziurys 2012) and 250 ± 67 (Colzi et al. 2018a), from their proposed radial Galactic trends, respectively. However, systematic errors in the results from Mills et al. (2018) (e.g. optically thick transitions) could not be excluded. Moreover, galactocentric distances lower than 4 kpc are excluded in Galactic chemical evolution models due to the peculiarity and complexity of this region (e.g., Romano et al. 2017, 2019).

From a theoretical point of view, chemical isotopic fractionation might be significant in star forming regions. This is still not well understood. Current models predict different degrees of fractionation depending on the N-bearing molecular species. However, these models have faced difficulties explaining discrepant ¹⁴N/¹⁵N ratios with large variations toward different astrophysically relevant interstellar sources (e.g., Charnley & Rodgers et al. 2002; Roueff et al. 2015; Furuya & Aikawa 2018; Colzi et al. 2019). Thus more observations from as many molecular species as possible and more modeling work, including the Galactic center region, are required.

Many observations used a double isotope ratio also including ${}^{12}C/{}^{13}C$, which may enhance uncertainties related to the abundance of ${}^{14}N/{}^{15}N$ (e.g. CN, HCN or HNC, Colzi et al. 2018a; Loison et al. 2020). With nitrogen isotope ratios usually surpassing 100, any analysis using HCN, HNC or N₂H⁺ may be hampered by the fact that either the main species is optically thick, that the rare species remains undetectable or that double isotope ratios have to be implemented. Hyperfine splitting, even if present, is in most cases not wide enough to allow for the determination of opacities in the potentially optically thick lines of the main species. In this context, CN and NH₃ are the notable exceptions, providing a direct evaluation of line opacities in the critical ${}^{14}N$ bearing main species. In the following we focus on ammonia (NH₃). Allowing for the observation of many lines in a limited frequency interval, permitting the determination of optical depths, rotational temperatures and total column densities, it is one of the best tools to directly determine ${}^{14}N/{}^{15}N$ isotope ratios. Moreover, so far proposed abundance gradients established across the body of the Milky Way may have been greatly affected by the uncertain distances of the targets (e. g., Adande & Ziurys 2012; Colzi et al. 2018a). Now, however, NH₃ can be measured in a large number of sources with well determined distances (see Sect. 2.1).

Therefore, with the Tianma-65 m (TMRT) and Effelsberg-100 m telescopes, we performed observations of the (J, K) = (1, 1), (2, 2) and (3, 3) inversion lines of NH₃ and ¹⁵NH₃ toward a large sample of star formation regions, covering D_{GC} distance bins from the Galactic center out to ~10 kpc. Based on comparisons of nitrogen isotope ratios obtained from different molecular species, i.e. NH₃, HCN and HNC (for the latter, see Colzi et al. 2018a), systematic discrepancies could be related to the choice of molecular species to quantify for the first time also chemical aspects being caused by potential fractionation (Roueff et al. 2015; Viti et al. 2019) on a Galaxy-wide scale. In Sect. 2, sample and observations are introduced. An analysis of observational data and main results are presented in Sect. 3. In Sect. 4, these results are discussed in the light of possible physical and chemical effects and are compared with previous studies. A brief summary is provided in Sect. 5.

2. TARGETS, OBSERVATIONS, AND DATA REDUCTION

2.1. Sample selection and distance

A total of 210 sources was chosen from previously studied strong NH₃ sources (e.g., Wyrowski and Walmsley 1996; Longmore et al. 2007; Rosolowsky et al. 2009; Lis et al. 2010; Cyganowski et al. 2013; Reid et al. 2014). Sources have accurate distance values, including 113 sources from trigonometric parallax measurements and 97 from the Parallax-Based Distance Calculator (Reid et al. 2014, 2019). Using a Bayesian approach, sources are assigned to arms based on their (l, b, v) coordinates with respect to arm signatures seen in CO and HI surveys. The most reasonable distance (near or far) can be derived through a full distance probability density function from the Parallax-Based Distance Calculator, considering a source's kinematic distance, displacement from the plane, and proximity to individual parallax sources. We believe that it is an important improvement to

reveal radial variations of ${}^{14}N/{}^{15}N$ in an unbiased way. The heliocentric distance was used to calculate the galacocentric distance of targets (Roman-Duval et al. 2009),

$$D_{\rm GC} = \sqrt{[R_0 \cos(l) - d]^2 + R_0^2 \sin^2(l)}.$$
(1)

l is the Galactic longitude, R_0 and *d* are the distance of the Sun from the Galactic center (8.122 ± 0.031 kpc, from the Gravity Collaboration et al. 2018) and of the targeted source from the Sun (Reid et al. 2014), respectively. The error in the distance to the Galactic center is so small that it will be neglected in the following.

The sample includes star forming regions at different evolutionary stages, including sources associated with InfraRed Dark Clouds (IRDCs), massive young stellar objects (YSOs) and H II regions ¹, which are used to better constrain radial trends of the Galactic ${}^{14}N/{}^{15}N$ isotope ratio. The source list is presented in the Appendix.

2.2. Observations

2.2.1. Tianma 65 m observations

For our sample of 210 sources, we made observations of the (J, K) = (1, 1), (2, 2) and (3, 3) lines of ¹⁴NH₃ and ¹⁵NH₃ (see Table 1), firstly by the Shanghai Tianma 65 m radio telescope (TMRT) in 2019 April, May, November and December, with a beam size of ~50^{''}. A cryogenically cooled K-band (17.9 - 26.2 GHz) receiver was employed, and the Digital Backend System, DIBAS, was used for recording (see Li et al. 2016). The DIBAS mode 22 was adopted for observations, with eight spectral windows, to cover the ¹⁴NH₃ and ¹⁵NH₃ lines simultaneously, each with a bandwidth of 23.4 MHz (16384 channels), supplying a spectral resolution of 1.43 kHz (~0.02 km s⁻¹). The active surface system of the primary dish and a subreflector were used to improve the aperture efficiency. Observations were performed in position switching mode. The system temperature was 100 – 200 K on an antenna temperature scale (T_A^*). The main beam brightness temperature (T_{mb}) can be obtained from the antenna temperature scale by $T_{mb} = T_A^*/\eta_b$, where η_b is the main beam efficiency correction factor, with a mean value of ~0.6 (Mei et al. 2020). The on-source integration time was about 0.1 – 3.0 hr for each of our sources.

2.2.2. Effelsberg 100 m observations

We used the Effelsberg 100 m telescope² to observe the (J, K) = (1, 1), (2, 2) and (3, 3) lines of ¹⁴NH₃ and ¹⁵NH₃ (see Table 1) toward 36 selected sources with strong ¹⁴NH₃ signals from previous TMRT observations in 2019 December and 2020 January. The newly installed Fast Fourier Transform Spectrometer (FFTS) was used as backend. Initially, observations were carried out in the Hi-res (olution) mode, with 2 spectral windows covering the ¹⁴NH₃ and ¹⁵NH₃ lines simultaneously, each with a bandwidth of 300 MHz (65536 channels), resulting in a spectral resolution of 4.6 kHz (~0.066 km s⁻¹). The Low-res mode was adopted for later observations, with 4 spectral windows, each with a bandwidth of 2 GHz (65536 channels), supplying a spectral resolution of 38.1 kHz (~0.6 km s⁻¹). The system temperature was 90 - 250 K on an antenna temperature scale. The beam size is close to 40" near 23 GHz. Strong continuum sources (e.g., NGC 7027 and 3C 286) were used to calibrate spectral line flux densities. Standard 23 GHz flux densities of 5.6 Jy and 2.5 Jy were adopted for NGC 7027 and 3C 286, respectively (Ott et al. 1994). The main beam brightness temperature $T_{\rm mb}$ (K) scale can be determined from the observed flux density (Jy) by a conversion factor, which is 1.7 K Jy⁻¹ at 18.5 GHz, 1.5 K Jy⁻¹ at 22 GHz, and 1.4 K Jy⁻¹ at 23.7 GHz (Gong et al. 2015). The spectra were obtained in a position switching mode. The on-source integration time was, depending on line strength, 0.3 – 2 hr for each source. The focus was checked every few hours. Pointing was obtained every two hours toward nearby pointing sources (e.g., 3C 123, or NGC 7027).

Table 1. The parameters of ¹⁴NH₃ and ¹⁵NH₃ transition lines.

Line	Frequency	$\log_{10}(A_{ij})^{\mathrm{a}}$	$E_u/k^{\rm b}$	$g_u^{\ c}$
	(MHz)		(K)	
$^{14}\text{NH}_3(1, 1)$	23694.5	-6.76650	24.35	6
$^{14}\mathrm{NH}_3(2,2)$	23722.6	-6.31125	65.34	10

Table 1 continued on next page

¹ http://simbad.u-strasbg.fr/simbad/

² The 100-m telescope at Effelsberg is operated by the Max-Planck-Institut für Radioastronomie (MPIFR) on behalf of the Max-Planck Gesellschaft (MPG).

CHEN ET AL.

Line	Frequency	$\log_{10}(A_{ij})^{\mathrm{a}}$	E_u/k^{b}	$g_u^{\ c}$
	(MHz)		(K)	
¹⁴ NH ₃ (3, 3)	23870.1	-6.25203	124.73	28
$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	22624.9	-6.83680	23.82	6
$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(2,2)$	22649.8	-6.71062	64.93	10
$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(3,3)$	22789.4	-6.65097	123.91	28

 a Einstein coefficient for spontaneous emission.

^bEnergy of the upper level above the ground state.

^cUpper state degeneracy.

NOTE—The parameters are from the JPL Molecular Spectroscopy Catalog (Pickett et al. 1998).

2.3. Data reduction

The Continuum and Line Analysis Single-dish Software (CLASS) of the Grenoble Image and Line Data Analysis Software packages ³ (GILDAS, e. g., Guilloteau & Lucas 2000) was used to reduce the spectral line data. After subtracting baselines and applying Hanning smoothing, the line parameters are obtained from Gaussian fits for detected lines (signal-to-noise S/N >3 sigma), with a spectral resolution of ~0.78 km s⁻¹ for TMRT and 0.70 km s⁻¹ for Effelsberg observations, respectively.

.

Object	Telescope	$\alpha(2000) \delta(2000)$	Total time	Molecule	r.m.s.	$\int T_{ m mb} { m d} v$	$V_{\rm LSR}$	ΔV	$T_{\rm mb}$
		$\begin{pmatrix} h & m & s \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \circ & \prime & \prime \prime \end{pmatrix}$	(min)		(mK)	$({\rm Kkms^{-1}})$	$(\mathrm{kms^{-1}})$	$(\mathrm{kms^{-1}})$	(K)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
G032.04	Т	18:49:36.3 -00:45:37.1	11	$^{14}\mathrm{NH}_{3}(1,1)$	46.9	34.9 ± 0.3	94.7 ± 0.8	3.2 ± 0.8	3.93
				$^{14}\text{NH}_3(2,2)$	65.6	6.10 ± 0.18	94.19 ± 0.06	3.16 ± 0.14	1.80
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)	62.0	3.90 ± 0.19	94.17 ± 0.12	4.7 ± 0.3	0.77
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	77.7	0.8 ± 0.2	95.6 ± 0.3	2.5 ± 0.6	0.37
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_{3}(2,2)$	77.4				
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(3,3)$	78.4				
G053.23	Т	19:29:33.2 +18:01:00.6	65	$^{14}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	25.6	14.33 ± 0.13	24.3 ± 0.8	1.2 ± 0.8	4.02
				$^{14}\mathrm{NH}_{3}(2,2)$	28.7	1.19 ± 0.04	23.7 ± 0.2	1.09 ± 0.04	1.04
				$^{14}\text{NH}_3(3,3)$	29.4				
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	72.8	0.09 ± 0.03	23.8 ± 0.2	1.0 ± 0.5	0.08
				$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$	38.1				
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(3,3)$	135				
G081.75	Т	20:39:02.0 +42:24:58.6	360	$^{14}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$	19.5	35.8 ± 1.0	-3.8 ± 0.8	2.2 ± 0.8	6.04
				$^{14}NH_3(2,2)$	18.9	9.70 ± 0.03	$\textbf{-4.29}\pm0.06$	2.23 ± 0.01	3.09
				$^{14}NH_3(3,3)$	14.4	2.98 ± 0.03	$\textbf{-4.07} \pm 0.01$	2.75 ± 0.04	1.02
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	26.3	0.14 ± 0.02	$\textbf{-4.39}\pm0.16$	2.3 ± 0.4	0.06
				$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$	19.0				
				$^{15}NH_3(3,3)$	16.2				
	Е	20:39:02.0 +42:24:58.6	235	$^{14}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	27.3	27.4 ± 0.5	-3.9 ± 0.7	2.0 ± 0.7	4.81
				$^{14}\mathrm{NH}_3(2,2)$	83.3	7.7 ± 0.2	$\textbf{-4.38} \pm 0.01$	1.94 ± 0.01	2.75
				$^{14}\mathrm{NH}_3(3,3)$	13.4	2.14 ± 0.03	$\textbf{-4.17} \pm 0.02$	2.40 ± 0.04	0.84
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	49.3	0.09 ± 0.02	$\textbf{-4.4}\pm0.3$	2.2 ± 0.8	0.06
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(2,2)$	12.1				
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(3,3)$	11.7				
G121.29	Т	00:36:47.3 +63:29:02.2	331	$^{14}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	14.7	27.02 ± 0.13	-17.6 ± 0.4	2.2 ± 0.4	4.74
				$^{14}\mathrm{NH}_3(2,2)$	16.2	6.45 ± 0.05	-18.1 ± 0.4	2.4 ± 0.4	1.85
				$^{14}\mathrm{NH}_3(3,3)$	12.6	1.74 ± 0.03	$\textbf{-18.01}\pm0.03$	3.05 ± 0.07	0.53
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	36.7	0.3 ± 0.1	-19.5 ± 0.3	1.7 ± 0.7	0.30
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(2,2)$	29.3			•••	
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(3,3)$	34.7				
G30.70	Т	18:47:36.1 -02:00:58.2	15	$^{14}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	69.9	90.9 ± 1.4	91.2 ± 0.4	4.9 ± 0.4	6.12
				$^{14}\mathrm{NH}_3(2,2)$	73.6	34.8 ± 0.7	90.6 ± 0.4	5.2 ± 0.4	4.06
				$^{14}\mathrm{NH}_3(3,3)$	67.6	21.6 ± 0.3	90.7 ± 0.4	5.0 ± 0.4	3.99
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	56.7	0.89 ± 0.08	89.5 ± 0.2	1.0 ± 0.4	0.18
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(2,2)$	54.2				
				$^{15}NH_{3}(3.3)$	58.6				

Table 2. Observational parameters of the (J, K) = (1, 1), (2, 2) and (3, 3) inversion lines of ¹⁴NH₃ and ¹⁵NH₃ obtained from Gaussian fits

CHEN ET AL.

Table 2 (continued)

Object	Telescope	$\alpha(2000) \delta(2000)$	Total time	Molecule	r.m.s.	$\int T_{\rm mb} \mathrm{d}v$	$V_{\rm LSR}$	ΔV	$T_{\rm mb}$
		$\binom{h \ m \ s}{(\circ \ ' \ '')}$	(min)		(mK)	$({\rm Kkms^{-1}})$	$({\rm km s^{-1}})$	$({\rm km s^{-1}})$	(K)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
NGC 6334 I	Т	17:20:53.3 -35:47:01.2	33	$^{14}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$	114.0	245 ± 4	-6.9 ± 0.5	5.2 ± 0.5	15.34
				$^{14}NH_3(2,2)$	49.4	68.7 ± 1.1	-7.5 ± 0.6	5.9 ± 0.6	5.68
				$^{14}NH_3(3,3)$	35.9	80.7 ± 1.4	-7.2 ± 0.6	5.8 ± 0.6	7.72
				$^{15}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$	46.6	1.70 ± 0.19	-6.7 ± 0.3	4.5 ± 1.1	0.42
				$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$	46.9	0.82 ± 0.11	-6.9 ± 0.3	4.5 ± 0.6	0.31
				$^{15}NH_3(3,3)$	42.3	2.41 ± 0.15	-6.81 ± 0.13	4.4 ± 0.4	0.48
Orion-KL	Т	05:35:14.4 -05:22:29.6	94	$^{14}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$	36.8	152.2 ± 1.1	7.7 ± 0.4	5.5 ± 0.4	8.06
				$^{14}NH_3(2,2)$	42.3	146.4 ± 1.2	7.1 ± 0.4	10.2 ± 0.4	8.26
				$^{14}NH_3(3,3)$	33.1	173.2 ± 1.4	7.0 ± 0.4	13.0 ± 0.4	9.78
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_{3}(1,1)$	54.5	2.02 ± 0.10	7.3 ± 0.4	10.7 ± 1.2	0.30
				$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$	29.1	1.58 ± 0.08	7.4 ± 0.4	6.8 ± 0.9	0.29
				¹⁵ NH ₃ (3,3)	26.5	3.11 ± 0.11	7.24 ± 0.16	8.3 ± 0.4	0.38
	Е	05:35:14.4 -05:22:29.6	40	$^{14}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$	66.5	168.2 ± 1.3	7.2 ± 0.6	7.7 ± 0.6	7.46
				$^{14}NH_3(2,2)$	51.1	160.2 ± 1.4	7.0 ± 0.6	11.8 ± 0.6	8.12
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)	49.3	170.5 ± 1.7	6.8 ± 0.6	12.4 ± 0.6	8.45
				¹⁵ NH ₃ (1,1)	16.1	2.06 ± 0.10	6.7 ± 0.2	9.6 ± 0.6	0.29
				¹⁵ NH ₃ (2,2)	31.8	1.84 ± 0.13	6.8 ± 3.9	6.6 ± 9.3	0.26
				¹⁵ NH ₃ (3,3)	23.6	2.49 ± 0.09	6.87 ± 0.12	7.8 ± 0.3	0.34
W51D	Т	19:23:40.1 14:31:07.1	72	$^{14}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$	93.0	84.9 ± 1.4	60.1 ± 0.6	5.8 ± 0.6	4.68
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (2,2)	24.2	37.1 ± 0.3	60.3 ± 0.6	6.5 ± 0.6	2.26
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)	29.7	52.3 ± 0.4	60.5 ± 0.6	7.6 ± 0.6	3.05
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	15.6	0.70 ± 0.13	59.1 ± 0.6	5.5 ± 1.5	0.17
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_{3}(2,2)$	16.9	0.42 ± 0.02	58.6 ± 0.2	6.2 ± 0.5	0.06
				¹⁵ NH ₃ (3,3)	10.7	0.76 ± 0.07	59.1 ± 0.4	6.8 ± 1.2	0.09
	Е	19:23:39.8 14:31:10.1	153	$^{14}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	13.0	34.0 ± 0.3	59.4 ± 0.6	7.7 ± 0.6	1.74
				$^{14}NH_3(2,2)$	8.54	24.1 ± 0.1	59.91 ± 0.10	7.11 ± 0.04	1.57
				$^{14}\mathrm{NH}_3(3,3)$	12.5	25.4 ± 0.1	59.8 ± 0.6	10.4 ± 0.6	1.44
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	18.4	0.49 ± 0.20	60.3 ± 0.9	7.5 ± 1.4	0.16
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_{3}(2,2)$	18.1	0.34 ± 0.04	59.0 ± 0.8	8.8 ± 1.7	0.05
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(3,3)$	15.8	1.51 ± 0.13	59.8 ± 0.4	7.4 ± 1.0	0.10
G016.92	Е	18:18:08.5 -13:45:05.7	60	$^{14}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	19.6	10.2 ± 0.1	21.0 ± 0.6	2.7 ± 0.6	1.43
				$^{14}\mathrm{NH}_{3}(2,2)$	15.6	2.17 ± 0.07	20.6 ± 0.1	2.93 ± 0.11	0.70
				$^{14}\mathrm{NH}_3(3,3)$	40.4	0.88 ± 0.05	20.34 ± 0.12	4.4 ± 0.3	0.19
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	17.0	0.51 ± 0.13	20.6 ± 0.2	2.4 ± 1.0	0.22
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_{3}(2,2)$	20.2				
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(3,3)$	18.8				
G10.47	Е	18:08:38.2 -19:51:49.6	60	$^{14}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	29.9	54.32 ± 0.13	67.55 ± 0.13	12.93 ± 0.17	2.32
				$^{14}\mathrm{NH}_3(2,2)$	34.4	38.2 ± 0.3	66.9 ± 0.5	8.8 ± 0.4	1.96
				$^{14}\mathrm{NH}_3(3,3)$	39.2	41.0 ± 0.3	67.1 ± 0.5	10.0 ± 0.5	2.20

Table 2	(continued)
---------	-------------

Object	Telescope	$\alpha(2000) \delta(2000)$	Total time	Molecule	r.m.s.	$\int T_{\rm mb} \mathrm{d}v$	$V_{\rm LSR}$	ΔV	$T_{\rm mb}$
		$\begin{pmatrix} h & m & s \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \circ & \prime & \prime \prime \end{pmatrix}$	(min)		(mK)	$({\rm Kkms^{-1}})$	$(\mathrm{kms^{-1}})$	$(\mathrm{kms^{-1}})$	(K)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
				$^{15}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$	35.8	0.68 ± 0.08	64.9 ± 0.2	2.8 ± 0.4	0.23
				$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$	61.2	2.3 ± 0.2	66.1 ± 2.2	9.1 ± 0.9	0.28
				¹⁵ NH ₃ (3,3)	34.1	2.04 ± 0.07	65.2 ± 0.2	11.4 ± 0.8	0.27
G188.79	Е	06:09:06.9 +21:50:41.4	180	$^{14}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	6.38	4.40 ± 0.02	$\textbf{-0.51} \pm \textbf{0.58}$	3.2 ± 0.6	0.61
				$^{14}\mathrm{NH}_{3}(2,2)$	8.46	1.06 ± 0.03	-0.85 ± 0.04	3.07 ± 0.09	0.33
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)	7.09	0.60 ± 0.02	$\textbf{-0.59}\pm0.06$	3.28 ± 0.13	0.17
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	7.85	0.15 ± 0.04	$\textbf{-0.53} \pm 0.23$	3.5 ± 1.1	0.09
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_{3}(2,2)$	7.58				
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(3,3)$	6.71				
G35.14	Е	18:58:07.0 01:37:11.9	24	$^{14}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	62.9	45.8 ± 0.5	33.9 ± 0.5	4.4 ± 0.5	3.98
				$^{14}NH_3(2,2)$	52.2	9.35 ± 0.03	33.5 ± 0.1	4.45 ± 0.06	1.55
				$^{14}NH_3(3,3)$	66.8	4.69 ± 0.10	33.83 ± 0.14	4.65 ± 0.13	0.91
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	59.7	0.54 ± 0.14	34.7 ± 0.2	1.9 ± 0.8	0.33
				$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$	52.7				
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(3,3)$	52.7				
NGC 1333	Е	03:29:11.6 31:13:26.0	176	$^{14}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	10.5	11.0 ± 0.2	7.49 ± 0.04	1.57 ± 0.01	2.76
				$^{14}\mathrm{NH}_3(2,2)$	11.9	1.37 ± 0.02	6.94 ± 0.01	1.69 ± 0.03	0.57
				$^{14}NH_3(3,3)$	12.0	0.67 ± 0.06	6.69 ± 0.18	3.8 ± 0.6	0.10
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	11.5	0.09 ± 0.03	7.0 ± 0.4	2.4 ± 0.8	0.03
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(2,2)$	10.3				
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(3,3)$	11.8				
SGR A	Е	17:47:52.7 -28:59:59.9	60	$^{14}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	30.9	8.71 ± 0.09	17.7 ± 0.1	2.54 ± 0.08	1.39
				$^{14}\mathrm{NH}_3(2,2)$	30.0	1.21 ± 0.11	17.34 ± 0.13	2.4 ± 0.3	0.41
				$^{14}\mathrm{NH}_3(3,3)$	24.5	0.66 ± 0.09	17.8 ± 0.3	3.2 ± 0.5	0.20
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	41.6	0.37 ± 0.14	17.9 ± 0.2	2.5 ± 0.5	0.15
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(2,2)$	28.7				
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(3,3)$	48.9				
Barnard-1b	Е	03:33:20.8 +31:07:34.0	184	$^{14}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	17.6	18.4 ± 0.1	6.68 ± 0.06	1.23 ± 0.06	4.78
				$^{14}\mathrm{NH}_3(2,2)$	16.8	1.46 ± 0.01	6.16 ± 0.01	1.04 ± 0.01	1.00
				$^{14}\mathrm{NH}_3(3,3)$	10.0	0.11 ± 0.02	6.1 ± 0.3	3.1 ± 0.7	0.03
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	11.1	0.25 ± 0.06	6.15 ± 0.10	1.2 ± 0.2	0.20
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(2,2)$	9.58				
				$^{15}NH_3(3,3)$	11.3				

NOTE—Column(1): source name; Column(2): T: TMRT - 65 m; E: Effelsberg-100 m; Column(3): Right ascension (J2000) and Declination (J2000); Column(4): total integration time; Column(5): molecular line; Column(6): the Root-Mean-Square (*rms*) noise value for channel widths of 0.78 km s⁻¹ (TMRT) or 0.70 km s⁻¹ (Effelsberg); Column(7): the integrated line intensity covering all groups of hyperfine components from Gaussian fitting. For three sources with blended components in the ¹⁴NH₃ spectra, their spectrum can not be fitted well by Gaussian fitting. Thus we determined their integrated intensities by summing line intensities over the entire velocity interval needed to cover the main and the satellite features (Orion-KL: -45 to 51 km s⁻¹; W51D: 13 to 105 km s⁻¹ and G10.47: 20 to 113 km s⁻¹) using the first moment by the "Print" command in CLASS; Column(8): LSR velocity; Column(9): line width (FWHM); Column(10): Main beam brightness peak temperature.



Figure 1. TMRT spectra of those 8 sources with detected ¹⁵NH₃ lines, after subtracting baselines and applying Hanning smoothing leading to 0.78 km s^{-1} wide channels. Green lines show Gaussian fits.



Figure 2. Effelsberg spectra of those 10 sources with detected 15 NH₃ lines, after subtracting baselines and applying Hanning smoothing leading to 0.7 km s⁻¹ wide channels. Green lines show Gaussian fits.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Detections and non-detections

Toward the TMRT 65 m 210 targets, 141 sources were detected in at least one of the ${}^{14}NH_3$ lines. Among them, 8 sources were also successfully detected in the ${}^{15}NH_3(1, 1)$ line. The ${}^{15}NH_3(2, 2)$ and (3, 3) lines are also detected in three sources (NGC 6334 I, W51D and Orion-KL, see Fig. 1).

Among the 36 targets with strong ¹⁴NH₃ emission (Tianma flux density >1.5 Jy), the Effelsberg-100 m telescope detected successfully the ¹⁵NH₃(1, 1) lines toward 10 sources. The ¹⁵NH₃(2, 2) and (3, 3) lines are also detected in three of them (W51D Orion-KL and G10.47, see Fig. 2).

Combinations of both TMRT and Effelsberg observations lead to 15 sources with detections of ${}^{15}NH_3$ lines, including 3 sources (G081.7522, W51D and Orion-KL) with detections by both telescopes. The spectral line parameters of these 15 sources are listed in Table 2. For G30.70 with the FWHM of ${}^{15}NH_3(1, 1)$ being much smaller than that of ${}^{14}NH_3(1, 1)$, the integrated line intensity of ${}^{15}NH_3(1, 1)$ was taken assuming the ratio of integrated line intensities equals the ratio of the peak values of the main beam

brightness temperature. For those sources without detection of ${}^{15}NH_3$, the upper limit of the line was estimated from the *rms* value, which will be used for later analysis (see Sect. 3.3).

3.2. Line and physical parameters of sources with detections of $^{14}NH_3$ and $^{15}NH_3$

For those 15 sources with both $^{14}NH_3$ and $^{15}NH_3$ line detections, we determine the line and physical parameters here, including the optical depth, the total column density, and the rotation and kinetic temperatures.

3.2.1. Optical depth

In view of the expected large nitrogen isotope ratios, there is reason to expect that in clouds with detected ¹⁵NH₃ emission, the ¹⁴NH₃ lines may be optically thick, leading to a non-linear correlation between integrated intensity and molecular column density. We have therefore determined the optical depth of the ¹⁴NH₃ inversion lines using two methods, the so-called intensity ratio method and the hyperfine (HF) structure line fits. Spectroscepic information on the ¹⁴NH₃(1, 1) hyperfine components is listed in Table 3.

Table 3. Spectroscopic information related to the ${}^{14}NH_3(1, 1)$ hyperfine components.

Hyperfine	HFC	$F^{'} \to F$	$F_1^{\prime} \to F_1$	Frequency ^b	Relative	Velocity
Group ^a	number			(kHz)	Intensities ^c	$(\mathrm{km}~\mathrm{s}^{-1})$
osg.1	1	1/2, 1/2	(0,1)	-1568.49	1/27	-19.84
	2	1/2, 3/2	(0,1)	-1526.96	2/37	-19.32
isg.1	3	3/2, 1/2	(2,1)	-623.31	5/108	-7.89
	4	5/2, 3/2	(2,1)	-590.92	1/12	-7.47
	5	3/2, 3/2	(2,1)	-580.92	1/108	-7.35
mg	6	1/2, 1/2	(1,1)	-36.54	1/54	-0.46
	7	3/2, 1/2	(1,1)	-25.54	1/108	-0.32
	8	5/2, 3/2	(2,2)	-24.39	1/60	-0.31
	9	3/2, 3/2	(2,2)	-14.98	3/20	-0.19
	10	1/2, 3/2	(1,1)	5.85	1/108	0.07
	11	5/2, 5/2	(2,2)	10.52	7/30	0.13
	12	3/2, 3/2	(1,1)	16.85	5/108	0.21
	13	3/2, 5/2	(2,2)	19.93	1/60	0.25
isg.2	14	1/2, 3/2	(1,2)	571.79	5/108	7.23
	15	3/2, 3/2	(1,2)	582.79	1/108	7.37
	16	3/2, 5/2	(1,2)	617.70	1/12	7.81
osg.2	17	1/2, 1/2	(1,0)	1534.05	1/27	19.41
	18	3/2, 1/2	(1,0)	1545.05	2/27	19.55

NOTE—^(a) mg = main group of hyperfine components, isg = group of inner satellite hyperfine components, osg = group of outer satellite hyperfine components; ^(b) The frequencies in column (5) are given relative to 23694.5 MHz. ^(c) The hyperfine intensities are taken from Mangum et al. (2015) and Wang et al. (2020). The sum of these intensities is 1.0.

Intensity ratio method: Assuming the same excitation temperature and beam filling factor for all transitions under conditions of local thermodynamic equilbrium (LTE), the optical depth of the $^{14}NH_3(1, 1)$ line can be estimated from the measured intensity ratio between its main and satellite components (e. g., Ho & Townes 1983; Mangum et al. 1992), respectively:

$$\frac{T_{\rm mb}(1,1,m)}{T_{\rm mb}(1,1,s)} = \frac{1 - e^{-\tau(1,1,m)}}{1 - e^{-a\tau(1,1,m)}}.$$
(2)

GALACTIC
$$^{14}NH_3/^{15}NH_3$$

. 1

where $T_{\rm mb}$ is the peak value of the main beam brightness temperature; m and s refer to the main and satellite group components, respectively; $\tau(J, K, m)$ is the optical depth of the main group of hyperfine components, a represents the expected intensity ratios of the satellite to the main group of hyperfine components, 0.278 and 0.222 for the inner and outer groups of HF features under conditions of LTE and optically thin line emission (see Ho & Townes 1983; Mangum et al. 2015; Zhou et al. 2020). For our sample, we used formula (2) to calculate the ¹⁴NH₃(1, 1) optical depth, according to the $T_{\rm mb}$ peak values of the main component and inner and outer satellite components. The mean value of the four inner and outer calculated NH₃(1, 1) optical depths was taken for each source (Table 4).

For the ¹⁴NH₃(2, 2) and (3, 3) transitions, there are only two sources with clearly separated satellite components (NGC 6334 I and G30.70). Here we also used the measured intensity ratio of the main and satellite components to determine their optical depth. For other sources, we could not use this method, since the hyperfine satellite components were too weak to be detected. However, the optical depth can be estimated from the intensity ratio of the main group of (2, 2) hyperfine components to that of the (1, 1) transition, assuming equal excitation temperatures and beam filling factors (Mangum et al. 1992):

$$\frac{T_{\rm mb}(1,1,m)}{T_{\rm mb}(2,2,m)} = \frac{1 - e^{-\tau(1,1,m)}}{1 - e^{-\tau(2,2,m)}} \tag{3}$$

HF fit method: The optical depth of the main hyperfine component can also be determined by the HF fit method in CLASS ("method" command). Here, the excitation temperature and Gaussian opacity profiles are assumed to be the same for all HF components. τ_{tot} (the opacity summed over all the hyperfine components) can also be retrieved from CLASS. The relation between τ_{tot} and $\tau(J, K, m)$ can be found in Eq. A8 by Mangum et al. (1992). Through adjusting parameters to fit the observed spectra, we derived the optical depths of the ¹⁴NH₃(1, 1), (2, 2) and (3, 3) transitions for 12 sources with ¹⁵NH₃ detections (see Table 4). For the other 3 sources among the sample (G10.47, Orion-KL, and W51D), the (1, 1), (2, 2) and (3, 3) optical depths could not be determined by the "method" fits in CLASS, due to overlap of the satellite HF components with their main group of HF components (see Table 4).

Comparisons of the opacities derived from the two different methods, the intensity ratio and HF fit methods, reveal consistency with those from the HF fits in CLASS. Therefore we chose to take the optical depth from the intensity ratio method, where results could be obtained from all sources with detected ¹⁵NH₃ emission for our analysis.

Object	Telescope	Inten	sity ratio m	ethod		HF fitting		T_{rot}^{ir}	T_{rot}^{rd}	T_{rot}^{hf}	T_k
		$\tau(1,1)$	$\tau(2,2)$	$\tau(3,3)$	$\tau(1,1)$	$\tau(2,2)$	$\tau(3,3)$	К	Κ	К	Κ
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
G032.04	TMRT	1.73(0.06)	0.54(0.02)	0.21(0.01)	1.83(0.16)	0.2(0.4)	0.1(0.3)	22(10)	11 (6)	19(3)	21(4)
G053.23	TMRT	1.44(0.04)	0.21(0.01)		1.05(0.06)	0.11 (0.04)		16(8)	9 (3)	11(5)	12(4)
G081.75	TMRT	1.35(0.01)	0.54(0.01)	0.12(0.01)	1.56(0.15)	0.47(0.06)	0.13(0.04)	27(9)	13 (5)	19(2)	22(3)
	Effelsberg	1.47(0.01)	0.53(0.01)	0.12(0.01)	1.65(0.12)	0.42(0.08)	0.15(0.04)	29(10)	13 (7)	19(3)	22(3)
G121.29	TMRT	1.02(0.01)	0.33(0.01)	0.16(0.01)	1.1(30.01)	0.48(0.03)	0.12(0.12)	20(9)	13 (6)	17(3)	20(4)
G30.70	TMRT	2.23(0.03)	1.38(0.02)	0.43(0.01)	3.27(0.06)	2.23(0.11)	0.12(0.04)	26(8)	14 (5)	20(2)	25(2)
NGC 6334 I	TMRT	1.75(0.02)	0.42(0.01)	0.42(0.01)	2.06(0.03)	4.72(0.19)	4.3(0.4)	25(11)	12 (6)	14(1)	17(1)
Orion-KL	TMRT	2.4(0.4)	4.8(0.8)	7.4(1.0)				36(17)	42 (11)	27(3)	40(4)
	Effelsberg	2.56(0.01)	5.52(0.02)	8.56(0.02)				35(14)	46 (10)	26(3)	37(4)
W51D	TMRT	2.15(0.05)	0.51(0.01)	0.86(0.01)				21(12)	14 (10)	18(4)	22(4)
	Effelsberg	2.1(0.2)	1.56(0.02)	1.61(0.02)				30(22)	20 (16)	24(4)	34(5)
G016.92	Effelsberg	1.14(0.03)	0.41(0.01)	0.12(0.01)	1.27(0.07)	1.0(0.3)	0.1(0.3)	27(13)	12 (6)	19(8)	23(9)
G10.47	Effelsberg	2.21(0.05)	1.35(0.03)	1.80(0.03)				29(8)	18 (6)	24(5)	32(6)
G188.79	Effelsberg	0.22(0.01)	0.16(0.01)	0.13(0.01)	0.23(0.05)	0.1(0.5)	0.10(0.03)	21(10)	14 (6)	21(17)	28(22)
G35.14	Effelsberg	1.16(0.02)	0.51(0.01)	0.26(0.01)	1.42(0.02)	1.12(0.19)	0.2(0.8)	29(19)	12 (4)	20(2)	24(2)
NGC 1333	Effelsberg	1.01(0.02)	0.12(0.01)	0.15(0.01)	1.1(0.2)	0.7(0.2)	0.13(0.11)	19(7)	10 (4)	12(5)	14(5)
G000.19	Effelsberg	1.12(0.10)	0.25(0.02)	0.11(0.02)	1.22(0.16)	2.6(1.1)	0.1(0.5)	17(5)	11 (3)	16(8)	18(9)
Barnard-1b	Effelsberg	2.25(0.02)	0.22(0.01)	0.11(0.02)	2.82(0.02)	0.33(0.10)	1.16(0.10)	14(4)	8 (3)	10(3)	11(3)

Table 4. Observational parameters of NH₃ measured with the TMRT and the Efelsberg-100 m telescope.

NOTE—Column (1): source name; Column (2): telescope; Column (3) - (5): the peak optical depths of the (J, K) = (1, 1), (2, 2) and (3, 3) main group of hyperfine components of ¹⁴NH₃, from the intensity ratio method; Columns (6) – (8): peak optical depths from the HF fitting procedure provided by CLASS; Column (9): the rotational temperature T_{rot}^{ir} , from the intensity ratio method; Column (10): T_{rot}^{rd} from the intensity ratio diagram method; Column (11): T_{rot}^{hf} from the improved HF fitting method (see Sect. 3.2.2) for 11 sources, that of NGC 6334 I from RADEX calculation and that of the remaining three sources with blended spectral features (G10.47, Orion-KL and W51D) from the HyperFine Group Ratio (HFGR) method (see details in Sect. 3.2.2); Column (12): the kinetic temperature calculated from the empirical formula displayed in Appendix B of Tafalla et al. (2004).

3.2.2. Temperature

Rotational temperature: NH_3 inversion lines have been widely used as tracers of the temperature in molecular clouds (Li et al. 2003; Tafalla et al. 2004; Mangum et al. 2015; Wang et al. 2020). Three main methods, either starting from observed or modeled spectra, are used to estimate the rotational temperature, including the intensity ratio method, the rotation diagram method and the improved HF fitting method. These are outlined below:

a) Intensity ratio method: This method was described in Sect. 3.2.1 to determine the optical depth, according to the intensity ratio of main and satellite components from the observed spectra. According to the determined opacities and the measured brightness temperatures of the (1, 1) and (2, 2) main groups of hyperfine components, the rotational temperature T_{rot}^{21} can be derived (Ho & Townes 1983; Mangum et al. 1992; Ragan et al. 2011) by:

$$T_{rot} = -41.5 \left[ln \left(-\frac{0.283}{\tau(1,1,m)} ln \left[1 - \frac{T_{\rm mb}(2,2,m)}{T_{\rm mb}(1,1,m)} (1 - e^{-\tau(1,1,m)}) \right] \right) \right]^{-1}.$$
(4)

The T_{rot} results for those 15 sources with ¹⁵NH₃ detections are listed in column 9 of Table 4.

b) **Rotation diagram method**: For optically thin lines in LTE, the relation of the column density and energy above the ground state in the upper inversion doublet (the two states of a given inversion doublet are only about 1 K apart) with the corresponding

$$GALACTIC {}^{14}NH_3/{}^{15}NH_3$$
13

values for the lower inversion doublet can be determined on the basis of measured line temperatures. The rotation diagram, i.e., a plot of the upper level column density per statistical weight of a number of molecular energy levels, as a function of their energy above the ground state, is frequently used to estimate the temperature and the total column density (e. g., Mangum et al. 1992; Goldsmith & Langer 1999). For the (J, K) = (1, 1) and (2, 2) transitions of ¹⁴NH₃, the column density in the upper state N_u for both transitions can be written, assuming optically thin emission:

$$N_u(1,1) = \frac{8\pi k v_1^2 \int T_{\rm mb}^{11} dv}{hc^3 A_1} = \frac{6.37 \times 10^7}{[K^{-1} cm^{-3} s]} \times \frac{\int T_{\rm mb}^{11} dv}{[K cm s^{-1}]}$$
(5)

$$N_u(2,2) = \frac{8\pi k v_2^2 \int T_{\rm mb}^{22} dv}{h c^3 A_2} = \frac{2.24 \times 10^7}{[K^{-1} cm^{-3} s]} \times \frac{\int T_{\rm mb}^{22} dv}{[K cm s^{-1}]},\tag{6}$$

where $N_u(1, 1)$ and $N_u(2, 2)$ are the column density in the upper state for the (J, K) = (1, 1) and (2, 2), respectively; k is the Boltzmann constant, c is the speed of light and h is the Planck constant. A_{ul} is the Einstein coefficiant for spontaneous emission, which was obtained from the JPL Molecular Spectroscopy Catalog (Pickett et al. 1998) and is listed in Table 1.

Optical depths of the ¹⁴NH₃ transition lines of our sources are mostly large (≥ 1 for 14 out of 15 sources in NH₃(1, 1), see Table 4), so that the assumption of optically thin emission only provides lower limits to the ¹⁴NH₃ abundances and may underestimate the real abundance ratio ¹⁴NH₃/¹⁵NH₃. Thus an optical depth correction should be considered for the upper state column density (Goldsmith & Langer 1999; Mei et al. 2020) yielding: $N'_u = N_u \tau(J, K, m)/(1 - exp(-\tau(J, K, m)))$. For the (1, 1) and (2, 2) lines, the relation between the opacity-corrected total column density N_t and N'_u in a Boltzmann distribution should be:

$$ln\frac{N_t}{Q(T_{rot})} = ln\frac{N'_u(1,1)}{g_1} + \frac{E_1}{kT_{rot}}$$
(7)

and

$$ln\frac{N_t}{Q(T_{rot})} = ln\frac{N'_u(2,2)}{g_2} + \frac{E_2}{kT_{rot}}.$$
(8)

where g_u and E_u are the degeneracy and the energy of the upper state, respectively (see Table 1). $Q(T_{rot})$ is the partition function from the JPL Molecular Spectroscopy Catalog (Pickett et al. 1998).

Thus

$$ln\frac{N'_{u}(1,1)}{g_{1}} - ln\frac{N'_{u}(2,2)}{g_{2}} = ln\frac{\tau_{11}\int T^{11}_{\rm mb}dv}{1 - exp(-\tau_{11})} - ln\frac{\tau_{22}\int T^{22}_{\rm mb}dv}{1 - exp(-\tau_{22})} + 1.56 = \frac{E_{2}}{kT_{rot}} - \frac{E_{1}}{kT_{rot}}.$$
(9)

For the measured (1, 1) and (2, 2) line intensities of our sample, we plotted the rotation diagram, i.e., $ln(N'_u/g_u)$ against E_u/k . The rotational temperature T_{rot} depends on the reciprocal value of the slope (see Figure 3 and Equation (9)). The T_{rot} results from this method for our sample are listed in column 10 of Table 4. The uncertainties on the rotational temperatures were derived applying error propagation based on equation (9).

c) Improved HF fitting method: This is the updated version of Method in CLASS (Sect. 3.2.1), which can fit (1, 1) and (2, 2) lines simultaneously. It is included in the Python Package Pyspeckit (Ginsburg et al. 2011). Based on the model spectra produced by the radiative transfer function $(T_{mb}(v) = \eta_f [J(T_{ex}) - J(T_{bg})][1 - e^{-\tau(v)}]$), we can adjust model parameters (the excitation temperature, the line width, etc.) to fit the observed spectra and determine the rotational temperature (Rodgers & Charnley et al. 2008; Camacho et al. 2020; Keown et al. 2017). η_f is the beam filling factor assumed to be unity, $J(T_{ex})$ and $J(T_{bg})$ represent the radiation field corresponding to the excitation temperature and the cosmic microwave background temperature of 2.73 K, $\tau(v)$, is the optical depth as a function of frequency. The following assumptions are adopted for the HF fitting (Ginsburg et al. 2011):

(1) Gaussian profiles for the opacity as a function of frequency;

(2) same excitation temperature for the ${}^{14}NH_3(1, 1)$ and (2, 2) transitions;

(3) the lines all have the same width;

- (4) the multiplet components do not overlap;
- (5) LTE is prevailing.

Fixed values for the relative opacities and the frequency shift of each HF component were taken (Mangum et al. 2015). We used the package Pyspeckit to fit the spectra of sources, excluding those three sources with overlapping hyperfine components (G10.47, W51D and Orion-KL, see Sect. 3.2.1). With the exception of NGC 6334 I, the ${}^{14}NH_3(1, 1)$ and (2, 2) groups of the spectra can be fitted well simultaneously (see Figure 4). For NGC 6334 I, the two groups of spectra could not be fitted simultaneously with the



Figure 3. ¹⁴NH₃ LTE rotation diagrams for our sample with detected ¹⁵NH₃ features (see Sect. 3.2.2), accounting for opacity effects. This includes all eight sources with TMRT-¹⁵NH₃ and the 10 sources with Effelsberg-¹⁵NH₃ detections. The two additional panels in the lower right provide ¹⁵NH₃ rotation diagrams of Orion-KL from Effelsberg-100 m and TMRT - 65 m data. Three sources (G081.7522, W51D and Orion-KL) have ¹⁴NH₃ detections from both telescopes and are therefore shown twice. T_{rot} (¹⁴NH₃) and in case of the two panels in the lower right also T_{rot} (¹⁵NH₃) can be determined through fits to the data points from the (1, 1) and (2, 2) transitions.

same value of the excitation temperature. To check possible non-LTE effects, we made RADEX⁴ calculations for this source and got a T_{rot} value of ~14.3 K with excitation temperatures of 17.1 and 7.3 K for the (1, 1) and (2, 2) lines. The rotation temperature is consistent with the T_{rot} result of 12.2 ± 6.1 K by the rotation diagram method (LTE), which is used in our later analysis.

For those three sources with blended hyperfine components in the spectra (G10.47, Orion-KL and W51D), an improved method, the Hyperfine Group Ratio (HFGR) method, was used to calculate the rotational temperature. This was developed recently by Wang et al. (2020), which can effectively reduce the uncertainties related to spectral profiles, since only the integrated intensity ratios of groups of hyperfine components are utilized without spectral fitting. The T_{rot} results for these three sources by the HFGR method, NGC 6334 I by RADEX and others by the HF fitting are listed in column 11 of Table 4.

Comparing the T_{rot} results from the three different methods mentioned above, we find that T_{rot} results from the rotation diagram method are systematically lower than those from the other two methods. The T_{rot} results from the intensity ratio method

⁴ https://home.strw.leidenuniv.nl/~moldata/radex.html

GALACTIC
$${}^{14}NH_3/{}^{15}NH_3$$
 15

have systematically larger uncertainties, which may be mainly caused by uncertainties of the optical depth, estimated from the ratio of the peak of the main group of hyperfine components and the HF groups giving rise to the inner and outer satellites. Based on good fitting of the observed spectra in Figure 4, thus we took the T_{rot} values that arise from the improved HF fitting method for later analysis. For those three sources with blended components, their T_{rot} values were taken from the HFGR recipe (see Table 4).

The kinetic temperature: The conversion of the rotational temperature (T_{rot}) into the gas kinetic temperature (T_k) is a critical part of the NH₃ inversion line analysis. Tafalla et al. (2004) provided a detailed T_k analysis in their Monte Carlo models using the collision coefficients of Danby et al. (1988) and T_{rot} results from an NH₃ line analysis and derived an expression for accurate gas temperature estimates:

$$T_k = \frac{T_{rot}}{1 - \frac{T_{rot}}{\Delta E} ln[1 + 1.1exp(-\frac{16}{T_{rot}})]}.$$
(10)

Using this relation between T_k - T_{rot} and T_{rot} results derived from the above analysis, we calculated the kinetic temperature values for the sample, which are given in column 12 of Table 4.

3.2.3. Column density

As shown in the rotation diagram analysis in Sec. 3.2.2, with the assumption of LTE, the T_{rot} values were obtained from the ¹⁴NH₃(1, 1) and (2, 2) line intensities and corresponding optical depths for our sample. According to the derived T_{rot} values and formulae (7) or (8), we can further use the (1, 1) or (2, 2) line intensities and corresponding parameters of g_u , Q(T_{rot}) and E_u to determine opacity-corrected total column densities of ¹⁴NH₃.

For ${}^{15}\text{NH}_3$, we can carry out a similar analysis to determine the total column density for our sample, but in this case, with ${}^{14}\text{N}/{}^{15}\text{N}$ commonly in excess of 100, we can realistically assume that all lines are optically thin. We calculated the total column density of ${}^{15}\text{NH}_3$ from the formulae (7) or (8), assuming the same T_{rot} value for ${}^{15}\text{NH}_3$ as for ${}^{14}\text{NH}_3$ and adopting the spectroscopic parameters of the ${}^{15}\text{NH}_3$ molecular species (see Table 1). For those 2 sources (NGC 6334 I and G10.47) with detections in both ${}^{15}\text{NH}_3(1, 1)$ and ${}^{15}\text{NH}_3(2, 2)$, we took the ${}^{15}\text{NH}_3$ total column density results from the ${}^{15}\text{NH}_3(2, 2)$ line for later analysis, due to the better quality of the ${}^{15}\text{NH}_3(2, 2)$ spectra with respect to those of the (1, 1) lines. For Orion-KL with high quality spectra in both transitions, we also used the rotation diagram method to determine T_{rot} (see Figure 3) and further determined its total column density. The results of the total column density without opacity corrections and the opacity-corrected values of both ${}^{14}\text{NH}_3$ and ${}^{15}\text{NH}_3$ of 15 sources are listed in Table 5.







Figure 4. Profiles on the left hand side of each spectrum represent ${}^{14}NH_3(1, 1)$ and those on the right ${}^{14}NH_3(2, 2)$ lines of 11 targets and their fitting lines (red) through the improved HF fitting method. T_{rot} values are indicated at the top right corner of each panel. For three sources with blended hyperfine components in the spectra (G10.47, Orion-KL and W51D), we performed the fit by the HFGR method.

Object	Telescope	$N_t(^{14}NH_3)$	$N_t(^{15}NH_3)$	$N_t^{Corr}({}^{14}\mathrm{NH}_3)$	$N_t^{Corr}({}^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3)$	$\frac{{}^{14}NH_3}{{}^{15}NH_3}$	$\frac{\frac{14}{NH_3}Corr}{\frac{15}{NH_3}}$	D_{sun}	$D_{\rm GC}$	Classi-	Ref.	Notes
		cm^{-2}	cm^{-2}	cm^{-2}	cm^{-2}			(kpc)	(kpc)	fication		
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)
G000.19	Effelsberg	5.3E+14	2.2E+13	9.9E+14	2.5E+13	24 (10)	40 (13)	8.4(0.2)	0.31(0.15)	YSO	Par18	1
G10.47	Effelsberg	2.5E+15	3.2E+14	6.1E+15	4.5E+14	8 (5)	13 (6)	8.25(0.11)	1.53(0.15)	UCH II	Wyr96	2
G30.70	TMRT	4.3E+15	4.2E+13	1.1E+16	4.4E+13	102 (29)	253 (60)	5.0(0.7)	4.6(0.4)	YSO	Urq18	1
G032.04	TMRT	2.0E+15	4.3E+13	4.8E+15	5.0E+13	46 (21)	97 (31)	5.2(0.5)	4.8(0.3)	YSO	Coo13	1
W51D	TMRT	3.9E+15	3.2E+13	1.1E+16	3.6E+13	121 (46)	295 (81)	5.5(0.4)	6.2(0.3)	YSO	God15	1
			9.5E+13		2.0E+14	41 (25)	54 (27)					2
	Effelsberg	1.5E+15	2.2E+13	3.7E+15	2.2E+13	69 (42)	166 (62)					1
			4.7E+13		5.7E+13	33 (56)	64 (62)					2
G016.92	Effelsberg	5.4E+14	2.5E+13	9.8E+14	2.7E+13	21 (10)	35 (13)	1.81(0.13)	6.44(0.11)	ΗII	Urq11	1
G35.14	Effelsberg	2.5E+15	2.9E+13	4.4E+15	3.1E+13	86 (39)	143 (50)	2.2(0.2)	6.5(0.3)	IRDC	Den84	1
NGC 6334 I	I TMRT	1.2E+16	8.4E+13	2.5E+16	8.2E+13	145 (45)	301 (78)	1.34(0.11)	6.9(0.4)	IRDC	Wil13	1
			3.1E+14		6.0E+14	39 (9)	48 (11)					2
G053.23	TMRT	1.0E+15	6.2E+12	2.3E+15	7.5E+12	167 (143)	314 (172)	8.3(0.6)	7.3(0.5)	YSO	Urq18	1
G081.75	TMRT	1.8E+15	6.9E+12	3.5E+15	7.5E+12	259 (171)	467 (213)	2.35(0.12)	8.13(0.14)	YSO	Mau15	1
	Effelsberg	1.4E+15	4.2E+12	2.8E+15	4.6E+12	321 (157)	603 (213)					1
NGC 1333	Effelsberg	6.9E+14	4.8E+12	1.4E+15	5.7E+12	142 (75)	247 (96)	0.21(0.17)	8.3(0.4)	YSO	Lis10	1
Barnard-1b	Effelsberg	1.3E+15	1.7E+13	5.8E+15	2.5E+13	77 (32)	229 (62)	0.33(0.15)	8.4(0.5)	IRDC	Lis10	1
Orion-KL	TMRT	5.9E+15	5.6E+13	2.1E+16	7.7E+13	105 (39)	270 (72)	0.45(0.12)	8.5(0.6)	ΗII	Kim08	1
			1.5E+14		1.5E+14	39 (10)	136 (45)					$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3$
	Effelsberg	7.9E+15	9.8E+13	3.1E+16	1.4E+14	80 (20)	212 (46)					1
			1.4E+14		1.4E+14	55 (12)	215 (47)					$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3$
G121.29	TMRT	1.4E+15	1.4E+13	2.4E+15	1.5E+13	100 (60)	159 (72)	1.7(1.3)	9(2)	IRDC	Ryg10	1
G188.79	Effelsberg	2.3E+14	7.6E+12	2.5E+14	7.7E+12	30 (17)	33 (17)	2.14(0.12)	10.3(1.2)	YSO	Cut03	1

Table 5. Total ¹⁴NH₃ and ¹⁵NH₃ column densities without and with opacity corrections and their ratios

NOTE—Column(1): source name; Column(2): used Telescope; Columns (3) - (4): ¹⁴NH₃ and ¹⁵NH₃ column densities neglecting opacity corrections; Columns (5): column densities $N_t^{Corr}(^{14}NH_3)$ accounting for opacity effects; Columns (6): the corrected column density $N_t^{Corr}(^{15}NH_3)$ was obtained with the assumption of the same T_{rot} as $T_{rot}(^{14}NH_3)$, which can be derived taking into account the optical depth correction on ¹⁴NH₃ in the rotation diagram method; Column (7): the ratios of the column densities neglecting opacity corrections. Errors (in parentheses) include standard deviations from the line fitting procedure; Column (8): opacity corrected values of ¹⁴NH₃/¹⁵NH₃; Column (9): heliocentric distance with error, from the Parallax-Based Distance Calculator; Column (10): galactocentric distance with error from the Parallax-Based Distance Calculator; Column (10): galactocentric distance with an ultra compact H II region; Column (12): references for the classification from the literature. Par18: Parsons et al. (2018); Wyr96: Wyrowski and Walmsley (1996); Urq18: Urquhart et al. (2018); Coo13: Cooper et al. (2013); God15: Goddi et al. (2015); Urq11: Urquhart et al. (2011); Will3: Willis et al. (2003); Den84: Dent et al. (1984); Notes 1: the total column densities of ¹⁵NH₃ were obtained from the ¹⁵NH₃(2, 2) line intensity, assuming the same T_{rot} value for ¹⁵NH₃ as for ¹⁴NH₃; Notes ¹⁵NH₃; the total column densities of ¹⁵NH₃ were obtained from the ¹⁵NH₃ were obtained using the T_{rot} of ¹⁵NH₃ from its ¹⁵NH₃ (1, 1) and (2, 2) line intensity.

3.3. Measured abundance ratios

As shown in Sect. 3.2.3, we obtained the column densities of 14 NH₃ and 15 NH₃ of 15 sources. Based on these results, we estimate the 14 N/ 15 N isotope ratios (see Table 5). For those 2 sources (NGC 6334 I and G10.47) with 15 NH₃ (2, 2) detection, the

results from their $NH_3(2, 2)$ lines (with higher quality than their (1, 1) lines, see Sect. 3.1) are used for later analysis because signal-to-noise ratios are higher. For those sources measured by both the Effelsberg and TMRT telescope (G081.75, W51 D and Orion-KL), the mean value of their ¹⁴NH₃/¹⁵NH₃ ratios was taken. For those sources without detection of ¹⁵NH₃, we estimate the lower limit of ¹⁴NH₃/¹⁵NH₃, according to the peak temperature of ¹⁴NH₃ and the 3 *rms* value of the ¹⁵NH₃ line (in grey points with arrows in Figure 5a). The lower limit is mostly around 11, with a mean value of 13, which is smaller than all ratios derived from our 15 detections. A comparison with previous studies is presented in Sect. 4.1 and possible contaminating effects affecting the abundance ratios are discussed in Sect. 4.2 and 4.3.

4. DISCUSSION

4.1. Comparisons with previous studies

Among 15 sources with measured ${}^{14}N/{}^{15}N$ ratio, five sources (Orion-KL, Barnard-1b, NGC 1333, W51 D and G000.19 in the Galactic center region) were also measured in previous studies, which therefore provide ${}^{14}N/{}^{15}N$ abundance ratios from a variety of molecular species, namely CN, HNC, HCN, NH₂D, N₂H⁺. Comparisons show that measured ratios considering opacity effects are basically consistent with previous results within the uncertainties (see details in Table 6).

Orion-KL: This source has been observed in different species to measure the isotope ratio ${}^{14}N/{}^{15}N$. Assuming LTE conditions, Hermsen et al. (1985) derived a ${}^{14}NH_3/{}^{15}NH_3$ ratio of 170_{-80}^{+140} . Subsequently, Adande & Ziurys (2012) performed observations of HN ${}^{13}C$, H ${}^{15}NC$, CN and C ${}^{15}N$ toward this source. They obtained a ${}^{14}N/{}^{15}N$ ratio of 234 ± 47, from the ratio of the brightness temperatures of the strongest hyperfine components of CN and C ${}^{15}N$, weighted by the hyperfine relative intensities. From their observations of HN ${}^{13}C$ and H ${}^{15}NC$ using the double isotope method, they got a reasonably consistent ratio of 159 ± 40. Recently, a relatively lower value of 100 ± 51 was reported from NH₃ observations but without considering optical depth corrections (Gong et al. 2015). The measurements of this source are, within the uncertainties, consistent with our result of 241 ± 71.

Barnard-1b, NGC 1333: The ¹⁴N/¹⁵N of Barnard-1b, NGC 1333 was measured by Gerin et al. (2009) and Lis et al. (2010), from observations of NH₂D and NH₃, respectively. The results from these two tracers are consistent within uncertainties, with ¹⁴N/¹⁵N = 334 \pm 50 and 344 \pm 173 (from NH₃, Lis et al. 2010) and 470⁺¹⁷⁰₋₁₀₀ and 360⁺²⁶⁰₋₁₁₀ (from NH₂D, Gerin et al. 2009) for Barnard-1b and NGC 1333. For Barnard-1b, the isotope ratio from NH₂D in Gerin et al. (2009) appears to be larger than that from NH₃ in Lis et al. (2010). Daniel et al. (2013) performed observations of multi-tracers (NH₃, NH₂D, CN, HCN, N₂H⁺) to investigate its ¹⁵N-fractionation. Assuming non-LTE conditions, they got similar ¹⁴N/¹⁵N abundance ratios for all the tracers, independent of the chemical family. And they found a strong dependence of the column density of ¹⁵NH₂D on the excitation temperature. Using the same observational data of NH₂D from Gerin et al. (2009), they made a model analysis to obtain the excitation temperature of ¹⁵NH₂D, instead of assuming the same excitation temperature for ¹⁵NH₂D and ¹⁴NH₂D (Gerin et al. 2009). Therefore they got a relative accurate ¹⁴N/¹⁵N value of 230⁺¹⁰⁵₋₅₅ for this source, with respect to 470⁺¹⁷⁰₋₁₀₀ in Gerin et al. (2009). This is consistent with our ratio of 229 \pm 62 from the rotation diagram method (LTE), which should reflect non-significant non-LTE effects in our analysis.

W51D: Using Effelsberg data of 13 emission lines of NH₃ for a rotation diagram analysis (LTE), Mauersberger et al. (1987) derived a ¹⁴N/¹⁵N result of 660 ± 300 toward W51D, which is larger than our result of 230 ± 102 from both Effelsberg and TMRT data using the rotation diagram method. This large difference should be caused by the fact that many NH₃ lines from levels with high energy above the ground levels were used in their analysis, instead of only the metastable (1, 1) and (2, 2) lines of NH₃ as in our analysis. Using only the metastable (1, 1) and (2, 2) lines of NH₃ and ¹⁵NH₃ in Mauersberger et al. (1987), we performed a consistent analysis and and got smaller T_{rot} and ¹⁴NH₃/¹⁵NH₃ values of 24 ± 4.1 and 95 ± 36, which are consistent with our new results. In addition, the ¹⁴NH₃/¹⁵NH₃ ratio also depends critically on the population of the NH₃ non-metastable ammonia levels (Mauersberger et al. 1987). The transition lines from high levels with different excitation conditions should trace denser regions (Goddi et al. 2015). As our other sources, the measurements from the (1, 1) and (2, 2) lines, presumably representing the bulk of the gas due to their low excitation, are taken for our later analysis.

Galactic center region: As mentioned before (see Sect. 1), the only direct measurements towards the Galactic center region obtained a very large value of 14 N/ 15 N of ~1000 (Güsten & Ungerechts 1985), while extrapolations of the trend with galactocentric distances, extrapolated from the disk, indicate much lower values (Adande & Ziurys 2012; Colzi et al. 2018a). Recently, Mills et al. (2018) performed VLA mapping on 14 NH₃ and 15 NH₃ toward Sgr B2 (N) and measured 14 N/ 15 N ratios of ~450 and a lower value of ~200 for the resolved two hot cores N1 and N2, respectively. Our observations toward G000.19, about 30'away from Sgr A, provide a 14 N/ 15 N value of ~40, which is much lower than previous results toward the Galactic center. Actually, non-uniform ratios for other isotopes were reported toward the Galactic center region. Zhang et al. (2015) mapped typical molecular clouds (6 sources including Sgr A, Sgr B2, Sgr C and Sgr D) in the J = 1 - 0 lines of C¹⁸O and C¹⁷O and obtained different ratios of 18 O/ 17 O toward those sources, while all their ratios are lower relative to molecular clouds in the





Figure 5. The 14 NH₃/ 15 NH₃ isotope ratio is plotted as a function of galactocentric distance for our measurements. Our ratios of the opacity corrected total column densities from NH₃ are reproduced by filled inverted triangles. Green, red and black inverted triangles represent sources at different stages of evolution, i.e., IRDCs, YSOs, and regions associated with HII regions, respectively. The red solid line presents the linear fit (no weighting), $\frac{{}^{14}$ NH₃ = (17.50 ± 13.14)D_{GC} + (53.91 ± 91.74). Small grey points with arrows denote lower 3σ limits of 14 NH₃/ 15 NH₃ for our sources not detected in 15 NH₃ (Fig. 5a). The magenta and yellow dash–dotted line predictions were taken from the most recent Galactic chemical evolution model, that of Romano et al. (2017, 2019). The previous results from HNC (Colzi et al. 2018a) and CN, and HCN measurements (Dahmen et al. 1995; Adande & Ziurys 2012) are shown as grey diamonds and the grey black empty circles, respectively. The linear fit is presented by the dashed line and the dotted line, respectively (Fig. 5a). Taking the most recent ${}^{12}C/{}^{13}C$ ratios (Yan et al. 2019) and updating the distances with more recent trigonometric parallax measurements (Reid et al. 2014, 2019), their modified results with the linear fit are plotted together with our results in Fig. 5b. Compared with Fig. 5a, the difference between our results and the modified ratios from other studies become smaller but does not vanish.

GALACTIC ¹⁴NH₃/¹⁵NH₃

Table 6.	Comparisons	with	$^{14}N/^{15}N$	ratios	from	the	literatur
Table 0.	Comparisons	vv I tI I	11/ 11	ratios	nom	une	meratur

Object	Species	$\alpha(2000)$	$\delta(2000)$	$\frac{\frac{14}{15}N}{15N}$	Beam size	References
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
Orion-KL	NH_3	05:35:14	-05:22:29	241 ± 71	$40^{\prime\prime}$	2, This paper
	NH_3	05:35:14	-05:22:29	100 ± 51	$40^{\prime\prime}$	25, Gong et al. (2015)
	NH_3	05:35:14	-05:22:46	170^{+140}_{-80}	$40^{\prime\prime}$	5, Hermsen et al. (1985)
	HNC	05:32:46	-05:24:23	159 ± 40	63″	Adande & Ziurys (2012)
	CN	05:32:46	-05:24:23	234 ± 47	63″	Adande & Ziurys (2012)
Barnard-1b	NH ₃	03:33:20	+31:07:34	229 ± 62	$40^{\prime\prime}$	2, This paper
	NH_3	03:33:20	+31:07:34	334 ± 50	33″	2, Lis et al. (2010)
	NH_3	03:33:20	+31:07:34	300 ± 50	33″	2, Daniel et al. (2013)
	$\mathrm{NH}_{2}\mathrm{D}$	03:33:20	+31:07:34	230^{+105}_{-55}	29''	Daniel et al. (2013)
	CN	03:33:20	+31:07:34	290^{+160}_{-80}	21"	Daniel et al. (2013)
	HCN	03:33:20	+31:07:34	330^{+60}_{-50}	29''	Daniel et al. (2013)
	N_2H^+	03:33:20	+31:07:34	400^{+100}_{-60}	27"	Daniel et al. (2013)
	HNC	03:33:20	+31:07:34	225^{+75}_{-45}	28"	Daniel et al. (2013)
	NH_2D	03:33:20	+31:07:34	470^{+170}_{-100}	29''	Gerin et al. (2009)
NGC 1333	NH_3	03:29:11	+31:13:26	247 ± 95	$40^{\prime\prime}$	2, This paper
	NH_3	03:29:11	+31:13:26	344 ± 173	33″	2, Lis et al. (2010)
	$\mathrm{NH}_{2}\mathrm{D}$	03:29:12	+31:13:25	360^{+260}_{-110}	$240^{\prime\prime}$	Gerin et al. (2009)
W51 D	NH_3	19:23:39	+14:31:07	230 ± 102	$40^{\prime\prime}$	2, This paper
	NH_3	19:23:39	+14:31:10	$660 \pm 300^{(a)}$) 40″	6, Mauersberger et al. (1987)
	NH_3	19:23:39	+14:31:10	$400 \pm 200^{(b)}$) 40''	13, Mauersberger et al. (1987)
G000.19	NH_3	17:47:52	-28:59:59	40 ± 13	$40^{\prime\prime}$	2, This paper
Sgr A	NH ₃	17:45:52	-28:59:59	$\sim \! 1000$	$40^{\prime\prime}$	2, Güsten & Ungerechts (1985)
	HCN	17:45:52	-28:59:59	~ 510	124''	Wannier et al. (1981)
Sgr B2	NH_3	17:47:19	-28:22.08	210 ± 90	33″	12, Mills et al. (2018)

NOTE—Column(1): Source name; Column(2): Species; Column(3): Right ascension (J2000); Column(4): Declination (J2000); Column(5): Resulting nitrogen isotope abundance ratio; Column (6): Applied beam size of the telescope; Column(7): References and the number of transition (in case NH₃ has been used) that were considered for the determination of the column density. (a) and (b): the 14 N/ 15 N ratio from the rotation diagram method without or with the populations of non-metastable levels.

Galactic disk. It indicates chemical differentiation of the region, either due to a different origin of the gas, due to different degrees of nuclear processing inside the central molecular zone or due to fractionation effects (Zhang et al. 2015; Loison et al. 2019). In addition, low isotope ratio values of ${}^{12}C/{}^{13}C$ (~13) were reported recently toward Orion-KL and other star formation regions from MIR observations data, which is believed to be not biased by chemical effects. As previously mentioned, the Galactic center region is not covered in current Galactic chemical evolution models (e.g., Romano et al. 2017, 2019). Unlike other isotope ratios all reporting low (though non-uniform) ratios in the Galactic center region, both high and low ${}^{14}N/{}^{15}N$ ratios may be found in this region. This makes nitrogen "special" in this sense: it could imply strong effects due to both nucleosynthesis and chemical fractionation, in spite of the rather large kinetic temperatures in the Galactic center region (e.g. Ginsburg et al. 2016), which needs more measurements and modeling work.

4.2. Observational effects

Our observations may be biased emphasizing bright sources, with possibly systematically higher ¹⁴NH₃ opacities, which could lead to uncertain opacity corrections, when trying to determine ${}^{14}NH_3/{}^{15}NH_3$ ratios. In addition, sources at different distance imply different linear beam sizes covered by the telescope. A larger linear size of sources at larger distances may include more



Figure 6. Our 14 N/ 15 N isotope ratios from 14 NH₃ and 15 NH₃ are plotted against the heliocentric distance (different colours for sources at different evolutionary stages, as in Figures 5).

relatively diffuse low-density gas of different kinetic temperature, which could affect the isotope ratio results. In order to assess possible observational effects on abundance ratios, we plot the abundance ratio against the heliocentric distance in Figure 6. It shows no systematic dependence between the ratio and the distance, which indicates that observational bias related to beam dilution is not significant. This is supported by the comparison of abundance ratios for the three sources (Orion-KL, W51D and G081.75) detected by both telescopes, which gives consistent ratio values within uncertainties (see Table 5).

4.3. Nitrogen fractionation

To determine accurate isotopic abundance ratios from observed ${}^{14}\text{NH}_3/{}^{15}\text{NH}_3$ line intensities, the possibility of chemical nitrogen fractionation should also be briefly discussed. Although there is a number of dedicated papers (Rodgers & Charnley et al. 2008; Lis et al. 2010; Roueff et al. 2015; Colzi et al. 2018b; Wirström & Charnley 2018; Viti et al. 2019; Loison et al. 2019), N-fractionation is still a matter of debate.

The main mechanism assumed to cause nitrogen fractionation are isotope-exchange reactions. N isotope exchange reactions normally occur at low temperatures, with ¹⁵N enhancement in CO-depleted dense gas at low temperatures of <10 K (Adams 1981; Terzieva & Herbst 2000; Charnley & Rodgers et al. 2002; Rodgers & Charnley et al. 2008; Fontani et al. 2015; Colzi et al. 2018b; Loison et al. 2019). However, such reactions at low temperature should be inhibited by an entrance barrier and thus the ¹⁴N/¹⁵N ratios do not change with time (Roueff et al. 2015; Wirström & Charnley 2018), which has also been demonstrated observationally by Fontani et al. (2015) and Colzi et al. (2018b).

Another possible mechanism for the N-fractionation, isotope selective photo-dissociation, was proposed by Heays et al. (2014); Visser et al. (2018); Furuya & Aikawa (2018). ${}^{14}N/{}^{15}N$ fractionation is believed to be predominantly caused by isotope-selective photodissociation of N₂ rather than isotope exchange reactions (Furuya & Aikawa 2018).

All our sources have known kinetic temperatures larger than 10 K, which may imply that ${}^{14}NH_3/{}^{15}NH_3$ ratios are not seriously affected by the N-fractionation effect. The plot of ${}^{14}NH_3/{}^{15}NH_3$ against the kinetic temperature of sources (Figure 7) shows no significant correlation, which may indicate that fractionation effects are not a decisive factor affecting measurements. However, nitrogen fractionation may be scale-dependent, possibly representing a local effect and observations with highly different beam sizes might provide different ${}^{14}N/{}^{15}N$ values (Colzi et al. 2019). Our measurements from single dish telescopes with a relatively larger beam size may include more relatively diffuse low-density gas, which could be affected by the interstellar radiation field. Observations with high resolution should be helpful to probe the N-fractionation effect in both the molecular cores and outskirts and to determine accurate ratio values of ${}^{14}N/{}^{15}N$.

4.4. A Galactic interstellar ¹⁴N/¹⁵N gradient?

Figure 5 plots measured ${}^{14}N/{}^{15}N$ isotope ratios from ${}^{14}NH_3/{}^{15}NH_3$ (inverted triangles) against galactocentric distance. Our measurement suggests that the isotope ratio increases with galactocentric distance. Our sources belong to different stages of massive star formation including 4 sources in IRDCs (green inverted triangles), 8 associated with YSOs (red inverted triangles) and 3 next to HII regions (black inverted triangles). Comparisons show that both measured ratios and the ${}^{14}N/{}^{15}N$ gradient with galactocentric radius are independent of the evolutionary stage. An unweighted linear fit was used to fit data (red solid



Figure 7. The 14 NH₃/ 15 NH₃ ratio is plotted against gas kinetic temperature of our sample (different colours for sources at different evolutionary stages, as in Figures 5 and 6)

line), in order not to bias those results toward low values with small error bars. Our data provide a weak radial gradient of $\frac{^{14}NH_3}{^{15}NH_3} = (17.50 \pm 13.14)D_{GC} + (53.91 \pm 91.74)$, with a Pearson's rank correlation coefficient of R = 0.35⁵. For comparison, previous measurements from HCN and/or HNC in Adande & Ziurys (2012) and Colzi et al. (2018a) are

For comparison, previous measurements from HCN and/or HNC in Adande & Ziurys (2012) and Colzi et al. (2018a) are added as grey empty circles and diamonds, respectively. Fits to both data sets are shown as dashed and dotted lines in Fig. 5, respectively. We find that our ratios tend to be slightly smaller than those previous results, though a similar trend can be found. However, those results may suffer from uncertainties, using relatively early measurements of ${}^{12}C/{}^{13}C$ (Milam et al. 2005). The most recent results related to carbon isotope ratios, the only ones leading to a self-consistent interpretation of sulfur isotope ratios through the use of double isotope ratios involving ${}^{12}C/{}^{13}C$ (Yu et al. 2020), is reported by Yan et al. (2019). They presented observations of the K-doublet lines of H₂CO and H₂¹³CO at the C (~5 GHz) and Ku (~15 GHz) bands toward a large sample of Galactic molecular clouds. Thus we modify those previous results (Adande & Ziurys 2012; Colzi et al. 2018a), taking the most recent ${}^{12}C/{}^{13}C$ (Yan et al. 2019) and distance values from trigonometric parallax measurements (Reid et al. 2014, 2019). The modified previous results are plotted together with our results shown in Figure 5b. We find that their ratios decrease by about 12 - 15% and the difference between their and our results becomes smaller. This is visualized by the smaller gap between the two fitted (red solid and blue-dashed) lines. However, the difference does not vanish. This may suggest that (1) our approach using exclusively the lowest metastable inversion lines of ammonia leads to too small ratios, (2) that fractionation plays a role and/or (3) that the use of double isotope ratios adds uncertainties in the determination of nitrogen isotope ratios.

Theoretical models for Galactic chemical evolution (GCE) are important tools in understanding the isotopic ratio evolution in the Galaxy. Recently, new GCE models were developed to track the cosmic evolution of the CNO isotopes in the interstellar medium (ISM) of galaxies, yielding powerful constraints on their stellar initial mass function (IMF) (Romano et al. 2017; Zhang et al. 2018; Romano et al. 2019). The theoretical ¹⁴N/¹⁵N gradient across the Milky Way disk is shown in the magenta dash-dotted curves (Model-5 in Figure 5 in Romano et al. 2017) and the yellow dash-dotted curves (Model-11 in Figure 6 in Romano et al. 2019) in Figure 5. Nucleosynthesis prescriptions in Model 5 (Romano et al. 2017) adopted the yields for low- and intermediate-mass stars, massive stars, super-AGB stars and nova, while different initial rotation velocities for low metallicity massive stars were also considered in Model-11 (Romano et al. 2019). The trend of measured ¹⁴N/¹⁵N isotope ratios increasing with galactocentric distance is consistent with predictions of both models. And it is interesting that measurements show a "tentative indication" of the trend to decrease from 8 up to 10 kpc (but based on only 3 sources), which is similar to predictions from both models. More data from the Galactic center and the sources with large distance (>8kpc) as well as more Galactic disk values with smaller uncertainty would still be highly desirable to better constrain this gradient.

5. SUMMARY

To investigate the nitrogen abundance ratio varying across the Galaxy, we performed systematic observations of the (J, K) = (1, 1), (2, 2) and (3, 3) transitions of ¹⁴NH₃ and ¹⁵NH₃ toward a large sample of 210 sources in the Galactic disk with the TMRT - 65 m and Effelsberg - 100 m telescopes. Through TMRT observations, a total of 141 objects were detected in the ¹⁴NH₃ lines.

⁵ Pearson's rank correlation coefficient is defined in statistics as the measurement of the strength of the relationship between two variables and their association with each other. It indicates a weak correlation between 0.3 and 0.8.

CHEN ET AL.

8 out of them were detected in ¹⁵NH₃. In order to detect ¹⁵NH₃ lines in more sources, 36 sources with strong ¹⁴NH₃ signals were selected to be observed by the Effelsberg-100 m telescope. The ¹⁵NH₃(1, 1) line was detected in 10 sources, including 3 sources (NGC 6334 I, Orion-KL and W51D) also detected by the TMRT. Thus, 15 sources were detected in the ¹⁵NH₃(1, 1) line and 4 among them were also detected in ¹⁵NH₃(2, 2) and ¹⁵NH₃(3, 3). Our results include:

1) Physical parameters of the gas emitting ammonia lines for these 15 sources with detections of NH_3 and ${}^{15}NH_3$ are determined from their spectral data, including optical depths, rotation and kinetic temperatures and total column densities. The opacity-corrected total column densities of ${}^{14}NH_3$ and ${}^{15}NH_3$ are used to estimate their ${}^{14}N/{}^{15}N$ ratio.

2) An observational bias due to bright sources and/or effects related to different linear beam sizes is not found for our measured ratios of 14 N/ 15 N. This is supported by the fact that no systematic variations appear between the isotopic ratios and heliocentric distances and consistent ratios of the three sources detected by both the TMRT - 65 m and the Effelsberg-100 m telescopes. Fractionation remains insignificant for isotope ratios, as indicated by the correlation between abundance ratios and the kinetic temperature T_k . This indicates that fractionation as a temperature dependent effect does not play a dominant role for our results. Other chemical processes could include the presence of a notable UV-field and related isotope selective fractionation (e.g. Weiß et al. 2001).

3) Our measured ${}^{14}\text{N}/{}^{15}\text{N}$ isotope ratios increase with galactocentric distance, which confirms the Galactic radial gradient proposed by previous studies. An unweighted linear fit gives ${}^{14}\text{NH}_3 = (17.50 \pm 13.14)D_{\text{GC}} + (53.91 \pm 91.74)$, with a Pearson's rank correlation coefficient of R = 0.35, which matches the trend predicted by Galactic chemical evolution models. More data from the Galactic center and the sources with large galactocentric distance (>8kpc) as well as more Galactic disk values with smaller uncertainty would still be desirable to better confirm and quantify this gradient.

We wish to thank the anonymous referee for careful reading and detailed comments, which helped to improve the manuscript. We also thank the operators and staff at both the TMRT and Effelsberg stations for their assistance during our observations, and Dr. X. Chen for his TMRT data of NGC 6334 I and nice comments. This work is supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 12041302, 11590782). C.H. acknowledges support by Chinese Academy of Sciences President's International Fellowship Initiative under Grant No.2021VMA0009. Y. T. Y. is a member of the International Max Planck Resraech School (IMPRS) for Astronomy and Astrophysics at the Universities of Bonn and Cologne. Y. T. Y. would like to thank the China Scholarship Council (CSC) for support. J. J. Q. thanks for support from the NSFC (No. 12003080), the China Postdoctoral Science Foundation funded project (No. 2019M653144), the Guangdong Basic and Applied Basic Research Foundation (No. 2019A1515110588), and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities, Sun Yat-sen University (No. 191gpy284). X.D.T. acknowledges support by the Heaven Lake Hundred-Talent Program of Xinjiang Uygur Autonomous 432 Region of China and the National Natural Science Foundation of China under grant 11903070 and 11433008.

REFERENCES

Adande G. R., Ziurys L. M. 2012, ApJ, 744, 194	Dahmen G., Wilson T. L., & Matteucci F. 1995, A&A, 295, 194
Adams S. 1981, ApJ, 247, L123	Danby G., Flower D. R., Valiron P., Schilke P., & Walmsley C. M.
Audouze J., Lequeux J., & Vigroux L. 1975, A&A, 43, 71	1988, MNRAS, 235, 229
Camacho V., Vázquez-Semadeni E., Palau A., Busquet, G.,	Daniel1 F., Gérin M., Roueff E. et al. 2013, A&A, 560, A3
Zamora-Avilés, M. 2020, ApJ, 903, 46	Dent W. R. F., Little L. T., White G. J. MNRAS, 210, 173
Charnley S. B. & Rodgers S. D. 2002, ApJ, 569, 137	Fontani F., Caselli P., Palau A. et al. 2015, ApJL, 808, L46
Clayton D. D. 2003, Handbook of Isotopes in the Cosmos:	Furuya, K. & Aikawa, Y. 2018, ApJ, 857, 105
Hydrogen to Gallium. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge	Gerin M., Marcelino N., Biver N. et al. 2009, A&A, 498, L9
Colzi L., Fontani F., Rivilla V. M., et al. 2018a, MNRAS, 478, 3693	Ginsburg A., & Mirocha J. 2011, PySpecKit: Python Spectroscopic
Colzi, L., Fontani, F., Caselli, P., et al. 2018b, A&A, 609, A129	Toolkit, Astrophysics Source Code Library, ascl:1109.001
Colzi L., Fontani F., Caselli P. et al. 2019, MNRAS, 485,5543	Ginsburg, A., Henkel, C., Ao, Y., et al. 2016, A&A, 586, A50
Cooper H. D. B., Lumsden S. L., Oudmaijer R. D. et al. 2013,	Goddi C., Henkel C., Zhang Q., et al. 2015, A&A, 573, 109
MNRAS, 1125, 1157	Goldsmith P. F., & Langer W. D. 1999, ApJ, 517, 209
Cutri R. M., Skrutskie M. F., van Dyk S. et al. VizieR, 2003, 246,	Gong Y., Henkel C., Thorwirth S. et al. 2015, A&A, 581, A48
2246	Gravity Collaboration, Abuter R., Amorim A. et al. 2018, A&A,
Cyganowski C. J., Koda J., Rosolowsky E., et al. 2013, ApJ, 764,	615, L15
61	Güsten R., & Ungerechts H. 1985, A&A, 145, 241

Heays A. N., Visser R., Gredel R. et al 2014, A&A, 562, 61

Hermsen W., Wilson T. L., Walmsley C. M., and Batrla W. 1985, ApJ, 146, 134

- Ho P. T. P., & Townes C. H. 1983a, ARA&A, 21, 239
- Izzard R. G., Tout C. A., Karakas A. I., Pols O. R. 2004, MNRAS, 350, 407
- Keown J., Di Francesco J., Kirk, H. et al. 2017, ApJ, 850, 3
- Kim M. K., Hirota T., Honma M. et al. 2008, PASJ, 60, 991
- Li D., Goldsmith P. F., & Menten K. 2003, ApJ, 587, 262
- Li J., Shen Z.-Q., Wang J. Z. et al. 2016, ApJ, 824, 136
- Lis D. C., Wootten A., Gerin M., Roueff E. 2010, A&A, 52, L26
- Loison J. C., Wakelam V., Gratier P., et al. 2019, MNRAS, 484, 2747
- Loison J. C., Wakelam V., Gratier P. et al. 2020, MNRAS, 498, 4663
- Longmore S. N., Burton M. G., Barnes P. J. et al. 2007, MNRAS, 379, 535
- Mangum J. G., Wootten A., & Mundy L. G. 1992, ApJ, 388, 467
- Mangum J. G., Shirley Y. L. 2015, PASP, 127,266
- Maud L. T., Moore T. J. T., Lumsden S. L. et al. 2015, MNRAS, 645, 665
- Mauersberger R., Henkel C., Wilson T. L., 1987, A&A, 173, 352
- Mei Y., Chen X., Shen Z. Q., Li B. 2020, ApJ, 898, 157
- Milam S. N., Savage C., Brewster M. A., Ziurys L. M., & Wyckoff S. 2005, ApJ, 634, 1126
- Mills E. A. C., Corby J., Clements A.R. 2018, ApJ, 869, 121
- Ott M., Witzel A., Quirrenbach A., et al. 1994, A&A, 284, 331
- Parsons H., Dempsey J. T., Thomas H. S. et al. 2018, ApJS, 234, 22
- Pickett H. M., Poynter R. L., Cohen E. A. et al. 1998, JQSRT, 60, 883
- Ragan S. E., Bergin E. A., & Wilner D. 2011, ApJ, 736, 163
- Reid M. J., Menten K. M., Brunthaler A., et al. 2014, ApJ, 783, 130
- Reid M. J., Menten K. M., Brunthaler A. et al. 2019, ApJ, 885, 131
- Rodgers S. D., & Charnley S. B. 2008, MNRAS, 385, L48
- Roman-Duval J., Jackson J. M., Heyer M. et al. 2009, ApJ, 699, 1153
- Romano D., Matteucci F. 2003, MNRAS, 342, 185

- Romano D., Matteucci F., Zhang Z. -Y., Papadopoulos P. P., Ivison R. J. 2017, MNRAS, 470, 401
- Romano D., Matteucci F., Zhang Z. -Y. et al. 2019, MNRAS, 490, 2838
- Rosolowsky E., Dunham M. K. et al. 2009, ApJS, 188: 123
- Roueff E., Loison J. C., Hickson K. M. 2015, A&A, 576, A99
- Rygl K. L. J., Brunthaler A., Reid M. J., et al. 2010, A&A, 511, 2
- Tafalla M., Myers P. C., Caselli P., & Walmsley C. M. 2004, A&A, 416, 191
- Terzieva R., & Herbst. E. 2000, MNRAS, 317, 563
- Urquhart J. S., König C., Giannetti A. et al. 2018, MNRAS, 1059, 1102
- Urquhart J. S., Morgan L. K., Figura C. C. et al. 2011, MNRAS, 418, 1689
- Visser R., Bruderer S., Cazzoletti P. et al 2018, A&A, 615, 75
- Viti S., Fontani F., Jiménez-Serra I., Holdship J. 2019, MNRAS, 486, 4805
- Wang S., Ren Z. Y., Li D. et al. 2020 MNRAS, 1, 19
- Wannier P. G., Linke R. A., & Penzias A. A. 1981, ApJ, 247, 522
- Weiß, A., Neininger, N., Henkel, C., et al. 2001, ApJL, 554, L143. doi:10.1086/321711
- Wiescher M., Görres J., Uberseder E., Imbriani G., Pignatari M. 2010, ARNPS, 60, 381
- Willis S., Marengo M., Allen L. et al. 2013, ApJ, 96, 20
- Wilson T. L., & Rood R. T. 1994, ARA&A, 32, 191
- Wilson T. L. 1999, RPPh, 62, 143
- Wirström E. S., & Charnley S. B., 2018, MNRAS, 474,3720
- Wyrowski F. and Walmsley C. M. 1996, ApJ, 314,265
- Yan Y. T., Zhang J. S., Henkel C., Mufakharov T., 2019, ApJ, 877, 154
- Yu H. Z., Zhang J. S., Henke C., et al. 2020, ApJ, 899, 145
- Zhang J. S., Sun L. L., Riquelme D. et al. 2015, ApJS, 219, 28
- Zhang Z. Y., Romano D., Ivison R. J., Papadopoulos Padelis P., Matteucci F. 2018, Natur, 558, 260
- Zhou D. D., Wu G., Esimbek J., Henkel C., Zhou J.J. 2020, A&A, 640, 114

APPENDIX

Table 7. Our source list for $^{14}\mathrm{NH}_3$ and $^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3$ observations

Object	Telescope	$\alpha(2000) \delta(2000)$	time	Molecule	r.m.s	. Object	Telescope	$\alpha(2000) \delta(2000)$	time	Molecule	r.m.s.
		$\begin{pmatrix} h & m & s \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \circ & \prime & \prime \prime \end{pmatrix}$	(min))	(mK)		$\begin{pmatrix} h & m & s \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \circ & \prime & \prime \prime \end{pmatrix}$	(min)	1	(mK)
G032.04	TMRT	18:49:36 -00:45:37.1	11	¹⁴ NH ₃ (1,1)) 47	G192.60	TMRT	06:12:54.02 +17:59:23.3	30	¹⁴ NH ₃ (1,1)	85
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (2,2)) 66					¹⁴ NH ₃ (2,2)	68
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)	62					¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)	75
				¹⁵ NH ₃ (1,1)) 78					$^{15}NH_3(1,1)$	95
				$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$) 77					$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$	85
				$^{15}NH_3(3,3)$) 78					$^{15}NH_3(3,3)$	71
G053.23	TMRT	19:29:33 +18:01:00.6	65	14 NH ₃ (1,1)) 26	G192.60	TMRT	06:12:53.99 17:59:23.70	9	¹⁴ NH ₃ (1,1)) 71
				14 NH ₃ (2,2)) 29					14 NH ₃ (2,2)) 74
				$^{14}\text{NH}_3(3,3)$	29					¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)) 70
				¹⁵ NH ₃ (1,1)) 73					$^{15}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$	78
				$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$) 38					$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$	78
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(3,3)$) 135					$^{15}\text{NH}_3(3,3)$	71
G081.75	TMRT	20:39:02 +42:24:58.6	360	14 NH ₃ (1,1)) 19	G206.54	TMRT	05:41:44.14 -01:54:45.90	9	14 NH ₃ (1,1)) 79
				14 NH ₃ (2,2)) 19					14 NH ₃ (2,2)	83
				14 NH ₃ (3,3)) 14					14 NH ₃ (3,3)	80
				15 NH ₃ (1,1)) 26					$^{15}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$	85
				$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$) 19					$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$	75
				$^{15}NH_3(3,3)$) 16					$^{15}NH_3(3,3)$	69
G121.29	TMRT	00:36:47 +63:29:02.2	331	14 NH ₃ (1,1)) 15	G209.00	TMRT	05:35:15.80 -05:23:14.1	9	14 NH ₃ (1,1)) 146
				14 NH ₃ (2,2)) 16					14 NH ₃ (2,2)) 142
				14 NH ₃ (3,3)) 13					14 NH ₃ (3,3)) 149
				$^{15}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$) 37					$^{15}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$	298
				$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$) 29					$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$	229
				$^{15}NH_3(3,3)$) 35					$^{15}NH_3(3,3)$	102
G30.70	TMRT	18:47:36 -02:00:58.2	15	14 NH ₃ (1,1)) 70	G209.01	TMRT	05:35:13.95 -05:24:09.40	9	14 NH ₃ (1,1)	97
				14 NH ₃ (2,2)) 74					14 NH ₃ (2,2)	123
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)) 68					¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)) 11
				¹⁵ NH ₃ (1,1)) 57					$^{15}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$	98
				$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$) 54					$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$	94
				$^{15}NH_3(3,3)$) 59				_	$^{13}NH_3(3,3)$	81
NGC 63341	I TMRT	17:20:53 -35:47:01.2	33	¹⁴ NH ₃ (1,1)) 114	G21.39	TMRT	18:31:03.79 -10:22:44.77	9	¹⁴ NH ₃ (1,1)	59
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (2,2)) 49					¹⁴ NH ₃ (2,2)) 57
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)) 36					¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)	85
				¹⁵ NH ₃ (1,1)) 47					¹³ NH ₃ (1,1)) 79
				¹⁵ NH ₃ (2,2)) 47					¹³ NH ₃ (2,2)	55
				¹³ NH ₃ (3,3)) 42					¹³ NH ₃ (3,3)	86
Orion-KL	TMRT	05:35:14.4 -05:22:29.6	94	14 NH ₃ (1,1)) 37	G213.70	TMRT	06:07:47.85 -06:22:55.20	15	14 NH ₃ (1,1)	88

Object	Telescope	$\alpha(2000) \ \delta(2000)$	time	Molecule	r.m.s.	Object	Telescope	$\alpha(2000) \delta(2000)$	time	Molecule	r.m.s.
		$\begin{pmatrix} h & m & s \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \circ & \prime & \prime \prime \end{pmatrix}$	(min)		(mK)			$\begin{pmatrix} h & m & s \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \circ & \prime & \prime \prime \end{pmatrix}$	(min)		(mK)
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (2,2)) 42					¹⁴ NH ₃ (2,2)) 84
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)) 33					¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)) 139
				¹⁵ NH ₃ (1,1)) 54					$^{15}NH_3(1,1)$) 98
				¹⁵ NH ₃ (2,2)) 29					$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$) 93
				¹⁵ NH ₃ (3,3)) 26					$^{15}NH_3(3,3)$) 101
W51D	TMRT	19:23:40.1 14:31:07.1	72	¹⁴ NH ₃ (1,1)) 93	G22.35	TMRT	18:31:42.86 -09:22:26.45	6	¹⁴ NH ₃ (1,1)) 64
				14 NH ₃ (2,2)) 24					14 NH ₃ (2,2)) 55
				14 NH ₃ (3,3)) 30					14 NH ₃ (3,3)) 91
				¹⁵ NH ₃ (1,1)) 16					$^{15}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$) 84
				15 NH ₃ (2,2)) 17					$^{15}\text{NH}_3(2,2)$) 52
				¹⁵ NH ₃ (3,3)) 11					$^{15}NH_3(3,3)$	1 77
G016.92	Eff	18:18:08.5 -13:45:05.7	60	¹⁴ NH ₃ (1,1)) 20	G22.55	TMRT	18:34:12.7 -09:28:21.4	20	14 NH ₃ (1,1)) 60
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (2,2)) 16					¹⁴ NH ₃ (2,2)	1 58
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)) 40					¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)	1 51
				¹⁵ NH ₃ (1,1)) 17					$^{15}NH_3(1,1)$	1 48
				$^{16}NH_3(2,2)$) 20					10 NH ₃ (2,2)	1 52
C10.47	E.C.	10.00.20.0 10.51.40.6	(0)	$^{16}NH_3(3,3)$) 19	C22.01		10 24 20 72 00 00 42 50	22	10 NH ₃ (3,3)	1 61
G10.47	ЕП	18:08:38.2 -19:51:49.6	60	¹⁴ NH ₃ (1,1)) 30	G23.01	IMKI	18:34:39.73 -09:00:43.50	33	14 NH ₃ (1,1)) 48
				NH ₃ (2,2)) 54					14NIL (2.2)	1 30
				¹⁵ NII (1.1)) 39					15NH (1.1)) 42
				15NH. (2.2)) 50 \ 61					15NH ₋ (1,1)) 42) 42
				15 NH ₂ (3.3)	34					15 NH ₂ (3.3)) 42) 42
G188 79	Fff	06:09:06 9 +21:50:41 4	180	14 NH ₂ (1.1)) 6	G23 27	TMRT	18.34.36 08 -08.42.39 71	9	14 NH ₂ (1.1)	109
0100.77	En	00.09.00.9 121.50.11.1	100	14 NH ₂ (2.2)) 8	023.27	TMAC	10.51.50.00 00.12.55.71		14 NH ₂ (2.2)) 98
				¹⁴ NH ₂ (3.3)) 7					14 NH ₂ (3.3)) 104
				¹⁵ NH ₃ (1.1)) 8					$^{15}NH_3(1.1)$) 171
				$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$) 8					$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$) 206
				$^{15}NH_3(3,3)$) 7					$^{15}NH_3(3,3)$) 104
G35.14	Eff	18:58:07.0 01:37:11.9	24	¹⁴ NH ₃ (1,1)) 63	G23.36	TMRT	18:34:53.57 -08:38:21.62	6	$^{14}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$) 109
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (2,2)) 52					$^{14}\text{NH}_3(2,2)$) 108
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)) 67					$^{14}NH_3(3,3)$) 11
				¹⁵ NH ₃ (1,1)) 60					$^{15}NH_3(1,1)$) 101
				¹⁵ NH ₃ (2,2)	53					$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$) 126
				¹⁵ NH ₃ (3,3)	53					¹⁵ NH ₃ (3,3)) 91
NGC 1333	Eff	03:29:11.6 31:13:26.0	176	¹⁴ NH ₃ (1,1)) 10	G232.62	TMRT	07:32:09.78 -16:58:12.8	30	$^{14}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$) 92
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (2,2)) 12					$^{14}NH_3(2,2)$) 90
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)) 12					$^{14}NH_3(3,3)$) 84
				¹⁵ NH ₃ (1,1)) 11					$^{15}NH_3(1,1)$) 83
				$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$) 10					$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$) 79

Table 7 (continued)

Object	Telescope	$\alpha(2000) \ \delta(2000)$	time	Molecule	r.m.s	. Object	Telescope	$\alpha(2000) \delta(2000)$	time	Molecule	r.m.s.
		$\begin{pmatrix} h & m & s \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \circ & \prime & \prime \prime \end{pmatrix}$	(min)		(mK))		$\begin{pmatrix} h & m & s \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \circ & \prime & \prime \prime \end{pmatrix}$	(min)		(mK)
				¹⁵ NH ₃ (3,3)	12					¹⁵ NH ₃ (3,3)) 70
G000.19	Eff	17:47:52.7 -28:59:59.9	60	¹⁴ NH ₃ (1,1)	31	G232.62	TMRT	07:32:09.79 -16:58:12.40	16	¹⁴ NH ₃ (1,1)) 57
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (2,2)	30					¹⁴ NH ₃ (2,2)) 74
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)	25					¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)) 53
				¹⁵ NH ₃ (1,1)	42					15 NH ₃ (1,1)) 97
				$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$	29					$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$) 92
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(3,3)$	49					$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(3,3)$) 104
Barnard-1b	Eff	03:33:20.8 +31:07:34.0	184	¹⁴ NH ₃ (1,1)	18	G24.54	TMRT	18:36:56.02 -07:34:23.62	6	¹⁴ NH ₃ (1,1)) 135
				14 NH ₃ (2,2)	17					14 NH ₃ (2,2)) 13
				14 NH ₃ (3,3)	10					¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)) 126
				15 NH ₃ (1,1)	11					$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$) 133
				$^{15}\text{NH}_3(2,2)$	10					$^{15}\text{NH}_3(2,2)$) 125
				$^{15}\text{NH}_3(3,3)$	11					$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(3,3)$) 147
G032.41	TMRT	18:47:33.2 -01:12:45.8	4.5	14 NH ₃ (1,1)	73	G24.79	TMRT	18:36:12.67 -07:12:10.64	9	$^{14}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$) 91
				14 NH ₃ (2,2)	61					$^{14}\text{NH}_3(2,2)$) 87
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)	57					$^{14}NH_3(3,3)$	89
				¹⁵ NH ₃ (1,1)	52					$^{15}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$	1 93
				¹⁵ NH ₃ (2,2)	46					$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$	1 88
G111 54		22 12 15 26 61 20 10 6		$^{13}NH_3(3,3)$	34	G9(99		10 20 51 05 05 10 55 05	0	$^{13}NH_3(3,3)$	1 77
G111.54	TMRT	23:13:45.36 +61:28:10.6	37	¹⁴ NH ₃ (1,1)	28	G26.33	TMRT	18:38:51.95 -05:48:55.87	9	¹⁴ NH ₃ (1,1)	129
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (2,2)	39					¹⁴ NH ₃ (2,2)	1 139
				¹⁵ NH ₃ (3,3)	41					¹⁵ NH ₃ (3,3)	12600
				10 NH ₃ (1,1)	36					10 NH ₃ (1,1)	126
				15 NH ₃ (2,2)	43					15 NH ₃ (2,2)	13/
C007.80	тирт	18.02.22 7 22.07.45 0	0	14 NH ₃ (3,3)	44	C26 50	TMDT	19.27.07 14 05.22.50 28	0	14 NH ₃ (3,3)	1 13
G007.89	IMKI	18:05:25.7 -22:07:45.9	9	NH ₃ (1,1) ¹⁴ NH (2,2)	50	G20.50	IMKI	18:37:07.14 -05:23:39.38	9	NH ₃ (1,1)) 230
				14NH (2.2)	20 91					14NU (2.2)) 154
				15NH ₋ (1, 1)	01					15NH. (1.1)	131
				15 NH ₂ (2, 2)	55					15 NH ₂ (2.2)	127
				$^{15}NH_{2}(3,3)$	54					15 NH ₂ (3.3)	194
G007 99	TMRT	18.04.33 5 -22.10.24 3	6	14 NH ₂ (1 1)	163	G27 37	TMRT	18:41:51 15 -05:01:42 51	9	14 NH ₂ (1.1)) 61
0007.77	IMIXI	10.04.33.3 -22.10.24.3	0	14 NH ₂ (2,2)	105	027.37	TWICT	10.41.51.15 -05.01.42.51	,	14 NH ₂ (2.2)	83
				$^{14}NH_{2}(3.3)$	105					14 NH ₂ (3.3)) 70
				$^{15}NH_{2}(1.1)$	113					15 NH ₂ (1.1)) 75
				$^{15}NH_{2}(2,2)$	21					$^{15}NH_{2}(2,2)$) 65
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_{2}(3.3)$	152					$^{15}\text{NH}_{2}(3.3)$) 66
G008.40	TMRT	18:05:30.1 -21:49:42.1	4.5	¹⁴ NH ₂ (1.1)	66	G28.20	TMRT	18:43:02.68 -04:14:52.49	9	14 NH ₃ (1.1)) 122
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (2.2)	68				-	¹⁴ NH ₃ (2.2)) 125
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)	95					¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)) 133

Object	Telescope	$\alpha(2000) \delta(2000)$	time	Molecule	r.m.s.	Object	Telescope	$\alpha(2000) \delta(2000)$	time	Molecule	r.m.s.
		$\begin{pmatrix} h & m & s \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \circ & \prime & \prime \prime \end{pmatrix}$	(min)		(mK)			$\begin{pmatrix} h & m & s \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \circ & \prime & \prime \prime \end{pmatrix}$	(min)		(mK)
				¹⁵ NH ₃ (1,1)) 132					¹⁵ NH ₃ (1,1)	132
				¹⁵ NH ₃ (2,2)) 56					¹⁵ NH ₃ (2,2)	116
				¹⁵ NH ₃ (3,3)) 58					¹⁵ NH ₃ (3,3)	177
G008.67	TMRT	18:06:19.0 -21:37:30.5	7.5	¹⁴ NH ₃ (1,1) 116	G29.60	TMRT	18:47:32.9 -03:15:00.9	24	¹⁴ NH ₃ (1,1)	45
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (2,2) 113					¹⁴ NH ₃ (2,2)	37
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)) 112					¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)	37
				¹⁵ NH ₃ (1,1)) 181					$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	37
				¹⁵ NH ₃ (2,2)) 128					$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$	40
				¹⁵ NH ₃ (3,3)) 128					$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(3,3)$	38
G008.83	TMRT	18:05:25.9 -21:19:25.4	9	¹⁴ NH ₃ (1,1)) 12	G29.91	TMRT	18:46:05.48 -02:42:26.99	9	¹⁴ NH ₃ (1,1)	69
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (2,2) 133					¹⁴ NH ₃ (2,2)	70
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)) 99					¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)	65
				¹⁵ NH ₃ (1,1)) 124					$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	66
				¹⁵ NH ₃ (2,2)) 147					$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$	68
				¹⁵ NH ₃ (3,3)) 247					$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(3,3)$	66
G008.87	TMRT	18:07:15.9 -21:30:51.1	6	¹⁴ NH ₃ (1,1) 76	G30.42	TMRT	18:47:40.27 -02:20:29.02	9	$^{14}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$	81
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (2,2) 67					$^{14}\text{NH}_3(2,2)$	77
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3) 105					$^{14}\mathrm{NH}_3(3,3)$	82
				$^{15}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$) 12					$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	75
				¹⁵ NH ₃ (2,2)) 131					$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(2,2)$	67
				$^{15}NH_3(3,3)$) 119					$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(3,3)$	72
G009.03	TMRT	18:07:42.9 -21:23:14.2	3	14 NH ₃ (1,1)) 242	G30.71	TMRT	18:47:41.42 -02:00:41.63	9	$^{14}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$	472
				14 NH ₃ (2,2)) 167					$^{14}\text{NH}_3(2,2)$	506
				14 NH ₃ (3,3)) 178					$^{14}\text{NH}_3(3,3)$	292
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$) 14					$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	136
				$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$) 142					$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_{3}(2,2)$	978
				$^{15}NH_3(3,3)$) 309					$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(3,3)$	592
G009.21	TMRT	18:06:52.6 -21:04:36.9	3	14 NH ₃ (1,1)) 368	G30.72	TMRT	18:47:41.14 -02:00:26.94	9	14 NH ₃ (1,1)	108
				14 NH ₃ (2,2)) 428					14 NH ₃ (2,2)	132
				14 NH ₃ (3,3)) 102					14 NH ₃ (3,3)	2810
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$) 511					$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	142
				$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$) 461					$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(2,2)$	151
				$^{15}NH_3(3,3)$) 445					$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(3,3)$	132
G009.28	TMRT	18:06:49.2 -20:59:22.4	9	14 NH ₃ (1,1)) 14	G30.83	TMRT	18:47:48.87 -01:54:00.57	9	$^{14}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$	24
				14 NH ₃ (2,2)) 144					$^{14}\text{NH}_3(2,2)$	134
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3) 717					$^{14}\text{NH}_3(3,3)$	5570
				$^{15}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$) 144					$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	159
				¹⁵ NH ₃ (2,2)) 141					$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(2,2)$	149
				¹⁵ NH ₃ (3,3)) 147					$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(3,3)$	137
G009.620	TMRT	18:06:14.9 -20:31:40.6	6	¹⁴ NH ₃ (1,1)) 77	G34.24	TMRT	18:53:21.72 01:13:37.10	9	14 NH ₃ (1,1)	92

Table 7 (continued)

Object	Telescope	$\alpha(2000) \ \delta(2000)$	time	Molecule	r.m.s.	Object	Telescope	$\alpha(2000) \delta(2000)$	time	Molecule	r.m.s.
		$\begin{pmatrix} h & m & s \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \circ & \prime & \prime \prime \end{pmatrix}$	(min)		(mK)			$\begin{pmatrix} h & m & s \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \circ & \prime & \prime \prime \end{pmatrix}$	(min))	(mK)
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (2,2)	94					¹⁴ NH ₃ (2,2)) 115
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)	148					¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)) 93
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	135					15 NH ₃ (1,1)) 83
				$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$	82					$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$) 108
				¹⁵ NH ₃ (3,3)	132					¹⁵ NH ₃ (3,3)) 11
G010.50	TMRT	18:00:34.584 -18:45:17.64	3	¹⁴ NH ₃ (1,1)	124	G35.19	TMRT	18:58:11.96 01:40:23.87	6	¹⁴ NH ₃ (1,1)) 149
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (2,2)	121					¹⁴ NH ₃ (2,2)) 125
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)	107					¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)) 117
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	131					$^{15}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$) 331
				$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$	119					$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$) 18
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(3,3)$	122					$^{15}\text{NH}_3(3,3)$) 175
G010.62	TMRT	18:10:19.32 -19:54:12.96	9	¹⁴ NH ₃ (1,1)	215	G38.36	TMRT	19:04:45.46 04:23:16.91	27	$^{14}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$) 13
				14 NH ₃ (2,2)	64					$^{14}\text{NH}_3(2,2)$) 121
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)	75					$^{14}\text{NH}_3(3,3)$) 118
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	86					$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$) 142
				$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$	84					$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$) 139
				$^{15}NH_3(3,3)$	77					$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(3,3)$) 134
G011.42	TMRT	18:16:56.856 -19:51:07.2	3	14 NH ₃ (1,1)	109	G38.91	TMRT	19:03:38.66 05:09:42.5	6	14 NH ₃ (1,1)) 265
				14 NH ₃ (2,2)	115					14 NH ₃ (2,2)) 251
				14 NH ₃ (3,3)	107					14 NH ₃ (3,3)) 246
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	108					$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$) 292
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(2,2)$	124					$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(2,2)$) 29
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(3,3)$	109					$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(3,3)$) 24
G012.90	TMRT	18:14:39.7 -17:51:59.0	60	14 NH ₃ (1,1)	19	G48.99	TMRT	19:22:26.19 14:06:38.76	9	14 NH ₃ (1,1)) 159
				14 NH ₃ (2,2)	17					14 NH ₃ (2,2)) 127
				14 NH ₃ (3,3)	22					14 NH ₃ (3,3)) 2690
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	30					$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$) 153
				$^{15}\text{NH}_3(2,2)$	27					$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(2,2)$) 151
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(3,3)$	28					$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(3,3)$) 123
G012.95	TMRT	18:14:35.40 -17:48:03.50	9	14 NH ₃ (1,1)	148	G49.27	TMRT	19:23:06.99 14:20:15.94	8	$^{14}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$) 14
				14 NH ₃ (2,2)	162					$^{14}\text{NH}_3(2,2)$) 104
				14 NH ₃ (3,3)	111					$^{14}\text{NH}_3(3,3)$) 106
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	166					$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$) 352
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(2,2)$	162					$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(2,2)$) 209
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(3,3)$	15					$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(3,3)$) 17
G013.33	TMRT	18:14:41.7 -17:23:26.1	55	14 NH ₃ (1,1)	33	J005224.20	TMRT	00:52:24.20 56:33:43.17	9	14 NH ₃ (1,1)) 119
				14 NH ₃ (2,2)	36					14 NH ₃ (2,2)) 135
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)	24					¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)) 125
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	26					$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$) 113
				$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$	23					$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$) 152

Galactic $^{14}NH_3/^{15}NH_3$

Object	Telescope	$\alpha(2000) \ \delta(2000)$	time	Molecule	r.m.s.	Object	Telescope	$\alpha(2000) \delta(2000)$	time	Molecule	r.m.s.
		$\begin{pmatrix} h & m & s \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \circ & \prime & \prime \prime \end{pmatrix}$	(min)		(mK)			$\begin{pmatrix} h & m & s \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \circ & \prime & \prime \prime \end{pmatrix}$	(min)		(mK)
				¹⁵ NH ₃ (3,3)	30					¹⁵ NH ₃ (3,3)	122
G016.86	TMRT	18:29:24.264 -15:15:42.12	9	¹⁴ NH ₃ (1,1)	134	J053048.02	TMRT	05:30:48.02 33:47:54.61	9	¹⁴ NH ₃ (1,1)) 71
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (2,2)	139					¹⁴ NH ₃ (2,2)) 68
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)	153					¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)) 67
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_{3}(1,1)$	155					$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	78
				$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$	153					$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$	76
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(3,3)$	148					¹⁵ NH ₃ (3,3)	65
G016.92	TMRT	18:18:08.592 -13:45:05.76	6	¹⁴ NH ₃ (1,1)	143	J053913.06	TMRT	05:39:13.06 35:45:51.29	9	¹⁴ NH ₃ (1,1)	93
				14 NH ₃ (2,2)	154					¹⁴ NH ₃ (2,2)	96
				14 NH ₃ (3,3)	146					¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)	98
				¹⁵ NH ₃ (1,1)	123					$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	102
				$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$	121					$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$	92
				¹⁵ NH ₃ (3,3)	283					$^{15}NH_3(3,3)$	92
G018.30	TMRT	18:25:42.4 -13:10:20.2	118	¹⁴ NH ₃ (1,1)	15	J055111.10	TMRT	05:51:11.10 25:46:16.50	9	¹⁴ NH ₃ (1,1)	50
				14 NH ₃ (2,2)	15					14 NH ₃ (2,2)	66
				14 NH ₃ (3,3)	16					14 NH ₃ (3,3)	62
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	18					$^{15}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$	73
				$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$	16					$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$	73
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(3,3)$	16					$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(3,3)$	68
G018.82	TMRT	18:26:59.016 -12:44:47.04	9	14 NH ₃ (1,1)	113	J213958.26	TMRT	21:39:58.26 50:14:20.96	9	¹⁴ NH ₃ (1,1)) 81
				14 NH ₃ (2,2)	136					14 NH ₃ (2,2)	85
				14 NH ₃ (3,3)	164					¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)	91
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	10					$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	107
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(2,2)$	123					$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(2,2)$	106
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(3,3)$	263					$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(3,3)$	90
G018.83	TMRT	18:27:02.352 -12:44:43.08	9	14 NH ₃ (1,1)	178	J221918.30	TMRT	22:19:18.30 63:18:48.00	9	14 NH ₃ (1,1)) 101
				14 NH ₃ (2,2)	167					14 NH ₃ (2,2)) 101
				14 NH ₃ (3,3)	158					14 NH ₃ (3,3)) 88
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	21					$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	106
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(2,2)$	217					$^{15}\text{NH}_3(2,2)$	96
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(3,3)$	204					$^{15}\text{NH}_3(3,3)$	85
G019.88	TMRT	18:29:14.6 -11:50:23.8	14	14 NH ₃ (1,1)	106	J225617.90	TMRT	22:56:17.90 62:01:49.65	71	$^{14}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$	34
				14 NH ₃ (2,2)	96					$^{14}\text{NH}_3(2,2)$	27
				14 NH ₃ (3,3)	96					$^{14}\text{NH}_3(3,3)$	49
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	13					$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	26
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(2,2)$	106					$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(2,2)$	23
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(3,3)$	109					$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(3,3)$	113
G019.92	TMRT	18:28:18.84 -11:40:37.56	9	14 NH ₃ (1,1)	97	J225847.50	TMRT	22:58:47.50 58:45:01.40	9	¹⁴ NH ₃ (1,1)) 94
				14 NH ₃ (2,2)	96					14 NH ₃ (2,2)) 87
				14 NH ₃ (3,3)	106					14 NH ₃ (3,3)) 81

Table 7 (continued)

Object	Telescope	$\alpha(2000) \delta(2000)$	time	Molecule	r.m.s.	Object	Telescope	$\alpha(2000) \delta(2000)$	time	Molecule	r.m.s.
		$\begin{pmatrix} h & m & s \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \circ & \prime & \prime \prime \end{pmatrix}$	(min)		(mK)			$\begin{pmatrix} h & m & s \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \circ & \prime & \prime \prime \end{pmatrix}$	(min)	1	(mK)
				¹⁵ NH ₃ (1,1)	272					$^{15}NH_3(1,1)$	92
				¹⁵ NH ₃ (2,2)	16					$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$	9
				¹⁵ NH ₃ (3,3)	99					¹⁵ NH ₃ (3,3)	82
G019.92	TMRT	18:28:18.9 -11:40:36.6	6	¹⁴ NH ₃ (1,1)	15	J231610.00	TMRT	23:16:10.00 59:55:31.30	9	¹⁴ NH ₃ (1,1)	84
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (2,2)	131					¹⁴ NH ₃ (2,2)	79
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)	134					¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)	81
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	281					$^{15}NH_3(1,1)$	83
				$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$	173					$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$	88
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(3,3)$	162					¹⁵ NH ₃ (3,3)	78
G020.23	TMRT	18:27:44.8 -11:14:52.2	9	14 NH ₃ (1,1)	45	G121.29	TMRT	00:36:47.3 +63:29:02.2	60	¹⁴ NH ₃ (1,1)	21
				14 NH ₃ (2,2)	55					¹⁴ NH ₃ (2,2)	21
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)	87					¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)	27
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	51					$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	27
				$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$	53					$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$	18
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(3,3)$	47					$^{15}NH_3(3,3)$	23
G022.41	TMRT	18:30:57.5 -09:12:04.4	9	¹⁴ NH ₃ (1,1)	51	G31.41	TMRT	18:47:34.5 -01:12:43.0	12	¹⁴ NH ₃ (1,1)	39
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (2,2)	54					14 NH ₃ (2,2)	42
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)	75					¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)	35
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	51					$^{15}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$	45
				$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$	55					$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$	48
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(3,3)$	54					$^{15}NH_3(3,3)$	48
G024.18	TMRT	18:34:57.24 -07:43:26.76	9	¹⁴ NH ₃ (1,1)	80	SGR B	TMRT	17:47:20.8 -28:23:32.1	106	¹⁴ NH ₃ (1,1)	54
				14 NH ₃ (2,2)	78					14 NH ₃ (2,2)	38
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)	77					14 NH ₃ (3,3)	33
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	91					$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	365
				$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$	83					$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$	426
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(3,3)$	80					$^{15}NH_3(3,3)$	372
G025.46	TMRT	18:38:30.072 -06:44:29.4	9	¹⁴ NH ₃ (1,1)	68	G043.16	TMRT	19:10:13.41 +09:06:12.8	9	$^{14}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$	78
				14 NH ₃ (2,2)	65					$^{14}\text{NH}_3(2,2)$	64
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)	69					$^{14}\text{NH}_3(3,3)$	69
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	72					$^{15}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$	91
				$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$	73					$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$	68
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(3,3)$	64					$^{15}NH_3(3,3)$	63
G028.20	TMRT	18:42:58.176 -04:13:56.28	9	¹⁴ NH ₃ (1,1)	91	G000.86	TMRT	17:47:54.70 -28:14:09.93	9	14 NH ₃ (1,1)	55
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (2,2)	113					$^{14}NH_3(2,2)$	47
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)	99					$^{14}NH_3(3,3)$	47
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	121					$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	46
				$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$	111					$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$	40
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(3,3)$	11					¹⁵ NH ₃ (3,3)	38
G028.33	TMRT	18:42:37.176 -04:02:01.68	9	14 NH ₃ (1,1)	136	G019.54	TMRT	18:28:18.8 -12:06:22.7	9	$^{14}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$	68

Object	Telescope	$\alpha(2000) \ \delta(2000)$	time	Molecule	r.m.s.	Object	Telescope	$\alpha(2000) \delta(2000)$	time	Molecule	r.m.s.
		$\begin{pmatrix} h & m & s \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \circ & \prime & \prime \prime \end{pmatrix}$	(min)		(mK)			$\begin{pmatrix} h & m & s \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \circ & \prime & \prime \prime \end{pmatrix}$	(min)		(mK)
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (2,2)) 147					$^{14}NH_3(2,2)$	78
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)	551					¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)	69
				¹⁵ NH ₃ (1,1)	163					$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	64
				¹⁵ NH ₃ (2,2)) 194					$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$	87
				¹⁵ NH ₃ (3,3)	143					¹⁵ NH ₃ (3,3)	71
G028.64	TMRT	18:30:01.4 -02:03:42.8	24	¹⁴ NH ₃ (1,1)) 40	G020.23	TMRT	18:27:39.6 -11:14:28.7	9	$^{14}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	85
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (2,2)) 41					$^{14}NH_3(2,2)$	62
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)) 51					$^{14}NH_3(3,3)$	87
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$) 54					$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	68
				$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$	50					$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$	67
				$^{15}NH_3(3,3)$) 46					$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(3,3)$	85
G029.59	TMRT	18:47:31.704 -03:15:14.04	9	¹⁴ NH ₃ (1,1)) 162	G023.98	TMRT	18:33:14.8 -07:43:34.3	9	$^{14}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	89
				14 NH ₃ (2,2)) 146					$^{14}\text{NH}_3(2,2)$	61
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)) 328					$^{14}\text{NH}_3(3,3)$	74
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$) 166					$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	61
				$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$) 147					$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_{3}(2,2)$	73
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(3,3)$) 132					$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(3,3)$	81
G031.94	TMRT	18:49:22.2 -00:50:29.6	9	¹⁴ NH ₃ (1,1)) 46	G043.79	TMRT	19:11:53.99 +09:35:50.3	9	$^{14}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$	68
				14 NH ₃ (2,2)) 50					$^{14}\text{NH}_3(2,2)$	89
				14 NH ₃ (3,3)) 73					$^{14}\text{NH}_3(3,3)$	81
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$) 47					$^{15}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$	83
				$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$) 45					$^{15}\text{NH}_3(2,2)$	89
				$^{15}NH_3(3,3)$) 50					$^{15}\text{NH}_3(3,3)$	74
G032.02	TMRT	18:49:32.7 -00:46:55.4	9	¹⁴ NH ₃ (1,1)) 56	G045.07	TMRT	19:13:22.04 +10:50:53.3	9	$^{14}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$	64
				14 NH ₃ (2,2)) 54					$^{14}\text{NH}_3(2,2)$	65
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)) 81					$^{14}\text{NH}_3(3,3)$	63
				$^{15}NH_3(1,1)$) 48					$^{15}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$	79
				$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$) 48					$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$	83
				$^{15}NH_3(3,3)$) 48					$^{15}\text{NH}_3(3,3)$	70
G032.04	TMRT	18:49:36.3 -00:45:37.1	24	¹⁴ NH ₃ (1,1)) 43	G048.60	TMRT	19:20:31.18 +13:55:25.2	9	$^{14}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$	79
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (2,2)) 25					$^{14}\text{NH}_3(2,2)$	89
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)) 60					¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)	87
				$^{15}NH_3(1,1)$) 44					$^{15}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$	63
				$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$) 53					$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$	88
				$^{15}NH_3(3,3)$) 43					$^{15}NH_3(3,3)$	71
G033.91	TMRT	18:52:50.52 +00:55:28.92	30	¹⁴ NH ₃ (1,1)) 55	G049.19	TMRT	19:22:57.77 +14:16:10.0	9	$^{14}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$	71
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (2,2)) 43					$^{14}NH_3(2,2)$	84
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)) 71					¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)	89
				¹⁵ NH ₃ (1,1)) 98					$^{10}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$	73
				$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$) 45					$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$	66

Table 7 (continued)

Object	Telescope	$\alpha(2000) \delta(2000)$	time	Molecule	r.m.s.	Object	Telescope	$\alpha(2000) \ \delta(2000)$	time	Molecule	r.m.s.
		$\begin{pmatrix} h & m & s \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \circ & \prime & \prime \prime \end{pmatrix}$	(min)		(mK)	1		$\begin{pmatrix} h & m & s \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \circ & \prime & \prime \prime \end{pmatrix}$	(min)		(mK)
				¹⁵ NH ₃ (3,3)	89					¹⁵ NH ₃ (3,3)	85
G033.91	TMRT	18:52:50.52 +00:55:28.92	12	¹⁴ NH ₃ (1,1)	113	G059.47	TMRT	19:43:26.04 23:20:28.2	9	14 NH ₃ (1,1)	62
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (2,2)	116					$^{14}NH_3(2,2)$	61
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)	107					¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)	80
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	116					$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	79
				¹⁵ NH ₃ (2,2)	118					$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$	76
				¹⁵ NH ₃ (3,3)	117					¹⁵ NH ₃ (3,3)	80
G034.40	TMRT	18:53:18.6 +01:24:49.68	4.5	¹⁴ NH ₃ (1,1)	194	G059.78	TMRT	19:43:09.648 +23:44:27.96	9	14 NH ₃ (1,1)	85
				14 NH ₃ (2,2)	215					$^{14}\text{NH}_3(2,2)$	90
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)	162					$^{14}\mathrm{NH}_3(3,3)$	71
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	188					$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	74
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(2,2)$	198					$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(2,2)$	82
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(3,3)$	234					$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(3,3)$	61
G035.19	TMRT	18:58:13.08 +01:40:39.36	9	¹⁴ NH ₃ (1,1)	93	G071.52	TMRT	20:12:56.34 33:30:31.4	9	$^{14}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	83
				14 NH ₃ (2,2)	112					$^{14}\text{NH}_3(2,2)$	86
				14 NH ₃ (3,3)	109					$^{14}\text{NH}_3(3,3)$	66
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	179					$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	70
				$^{15}\text{NH}_3(2,2)$	148					$^{15}\text{NH}_3(2,2)$	77
				$^{15}NH_3(3,3)$	131					$^{15}\text{NH}_3(3,3)$	70
G035.46	TMRT	18:55:34.368 +02:19:09.48	6	14 NH ₃ (1,1)	125	G075.29	TMRT	20:16:16.01 +37:35:45.8	9	$^{14}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$	77
				14 NH ₃ (2,2)	143					$^{14}\text{NH}_3(2,2)$	61
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)	134					$^{14}\text{NH}_3(3,3)$	83
				$^{15}NH_3(1,1)$	159					$^{15}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$	75
				$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$	155					$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$	78
				$^{15}NH_3(3,3)$	173					$^{13}NH_3(3,3)$	73
G038.35	TMRT	19:04:44.8 04:23:17.8	60	¹⁴ NH ₃ (1,1)	15	G076.38	TMRT	20:27:25.48 +37:22:48.5	9	$^{14}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$	73
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (2,2)	22					¹⁴ NH ₃ (2,2)	69
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)	18					¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)	60
				¹⁵ NH ₃ (1,1)	18					¹³ NH ₃ (1,1)	86
				$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$	20					$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$	88
G0 42 20			0	$^{13}NH_3(3,3)$	19	G000 01		21 02 22 70 50 02 00 0	0	$^{13}NH_3(3,3)$	63
G043.30	TMRT	19:11:16.944 +09:07:30	9	¹⁴ NH ₃ (1,1)	209	G090.21	TMRT	21:02:22.70 +50:03:08.3	9	$^{14}NH_3(1,1)$	85
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (2,2)	217					$^{14}NH_3(2,2)$	172
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)	847					¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)	84
				$^{16}NH_3(1,1)$	239					10 NH ₃ (1,1)	- 79
				$^{16}NH_3(2,2)$	241					10 NH ₃ (2,2)	88
C042.00	TI (D T	10-14-26 20 - 00 22 26 5	0	NH ₃ (3,3)	107	C109 20	T1 (DT	20.40.21 49 50 55 42 0	0	NH ₃ (3,3)	/8
G043.89	IMRI	19:14:20.39 +09:22:36.5	9	⁻ NH ₃ (1,1)	107	G108.20	IMRT	22:49:31.48 +39:35:42.0	9	$^{-}NH_{3}(1,1)$	82
				NH ₃ (2,2)	103					$^{-1}$ NH ₃ (2,2)	84
				$^{-1}$ NH ₃ (3,3)	102					-1NH ₃ (3,3)	81

Object	Telescope	$\alpha(2000) \ \delta(2000)$	time	Molecule	r.m.s.	Object	Telescope	$\alpha(2000) \ \delta(2000)$	time	Molecule	r.m.s.
		$\begin{pmatrix} h & m & s \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \circ & \prime & \prime \prime \end{pmatrix}$	(min)		(mK)			$\begin{pmatrix} h & m & s \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \circ & \prime & \prime \prime \end{pmatrix}$	(min)		(mK)
				¹⁵ NH ₃ (1,1) 156					¹⁵ NH ₃ (1,1)	74
				¹⁵ NH ₃ (2,2) 152					¹⁵ NH ₃ (2,2)	81
				¹⁵ NH ₃ (3,3) 109					¹⁵ NH ₃ (3,3)	76
G045.45	TMRT	19:14:21.27 +11:09:15.9	9	¹⁴ NH ₃ (1,1) 156	G108.47	TMRT	23:02:32.08 +56:57:51.4	9	¹⁴ NH ₃ (1,1)	75
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (2,2) 308					$^{14}NH_3(2,2)$	83
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3) 190					¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)	69
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_{3}(1,1)$) 126					$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	90
				$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$) 136					$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$	80
				$^{15}NH_3(3,3)$) 158					$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(3,3)$	70
G045.46	TMRT	19:14:25.608 +11:09:27	3	¹⁴ NH ₃ (1,1) 206	G111.23	TMRT	23:17:20.79 +59:28:47.0	9	$^{14}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	89
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (2,2) 223					$^{14}NH_3(2,2)$	69
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3) 7460					$^{14}\mathrm{NH}_3(3,3)$	72
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_{3}(1,1)$) 28					$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	87
				$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$) 261					$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$	67
				$^{15}NH_3(3,3)$) 223					$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(3,3)$	77
G048.98	TMRT	19:22:26.2 +14:06:37.8	43	¹⁴ NH ₃ (1,1) 24	G111.25	TMRT	23:16:10.36 +59:55:28.5	9	$^{14}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$	90
				14 NH ₃ (2,2) 12					$^{14}\text{NH}_3(2,2)$	84
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3) 24					$^{14}\text{NH}_3(3,3)$	76
				$^{15}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$) 23					$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	72
				$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$) 24					$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$	61
				$^{15}NH_3(3,3)$) 29					$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(3,3)$	71
G049.48	TMRT	19:23:39.82 +14:31:05.0	9	¹⁴ NH ₃ (1,1) 10	G122.01	TMRT	00:44:58.40 +55:46:47.6	9	$^{14}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$	76
				14 NH ₃ (2,2) 92					$^{14}\text{NH}_3(2,2)$	62
				14 NH ₃ (3,3) 79					$^{14}\text{NH}_3(3,3)$	83
				$^{15}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$) 95					$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	75
				$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$) 106					$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_{3}(2,2)$	82
				$^{15}\text{NH}_3(3,3)$) 74					$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(3,3)$	63
G049.4883	TMRT	19:23:36.576 +14:31:26.04	9	14 NH ₃ (1,1) 88	G123.06	TMRT	00:52:24.70 +56:33:50.5	8	$^{14}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$	67
				14 NH ₃ (2,2) 95					$^{14}\text{NH}_3(2,2)$	61
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3) 78					$^{14}\text{NH}_3(3,3)$	72
				$^{15}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$) 91					$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	62
				$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$) 86					$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$	79
				$^{15}NH_3(3,3)$) 78					$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(3,3)$	76
G053.259	TMRT	19:29:39.2 +18:01:34.5	6	¹⁴ NH ₃ (1,1) 99	G134.62	TMRT	02:22:51.71 +58:35:11.4	9	$^{14}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$	71
				14 NH ₃ (2,2) 115					14 NH ₃ (2,2)	77
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3) 86					$^{14}\text{NH}_3(3,3)$	62
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_{3}(1,1)$) 104					$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	93
				¹⁵ NH ₃ (2,2) 17					$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_{3}(2,2)$	73
				¹⁵ NH ₃ (3,3) 11					$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(3,3)$	63
G053.81	TMRT	19:30:55.1 +18:30:00.8	9	¹⁴ NH ₃ (1,1) 104	G135.27	TMRT	02:43:28.57 +62:57:08.4	9	$^{14}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	70

Table 7 (continued)

Object	Telescope	$\alpha(2000) \delta(2000)$	time	Molecule	r.m.s.	Object	Telescope	$\alpha(2000) \delta(2000)$	time	Molecule	r.m.s.
		$\begin{pmatrix} h & m & s \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \circ & \prime & \prime \prime \end{pmatrix}$	(min)		(mK)			$\begin{pmatrix} h & m & s \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \circ & \prime & \prime \prime \end{pmatrix}$	(min)		(mK)
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (2,2)	134					¹⁴ NH ₃ (2,2)) 70
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)	118					¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)) 82
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	139					$^{15}NH_3(1,1)$) 87
				$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$	135					¹⁵ NH ₃ (2,2)) 62
				¹⁵ NH ₃ (3,3)	135					¹⁵ NH ₃ (3,3)) 64
G054.10	TMRT	19:31:47.34 18:42:28.1	18	¹⁴ NH ₃ (1,1)	65	G150.52	TMRT	04:03:01.5 51:22:31.7	8	$^{14}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$) 69
				14 NH ₃ (2,2)	62					14 NH ₃ (2,2)) 82
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)	64					$^{14}\text{NH}_3(3,3)$) 62
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	65					$^{15}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$) 83
				$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$	66					$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$) 99
				$^{15}NH_3(3,3)$	59					$^{15}NH_3(3,3)$) 91
G058.77	TMRT	19:38:49.47 23:08:17.8	18	¹⁴ NH ₃ (1,1)	124	G160.14	TMRT	05:01:40.24 +47:07:19.0	12	$^{14}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$) 99
				14 NH ₃ (2,2)	101					$^{14}\text{NH}_3(2,2)$) 68
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)	99					$^{14}\text{NH}_3(3,3)$) 86
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	10					$^{15}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$) 92
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(2,2)$	173					$^{15}\text{NH}_3(2,2)$) 65
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(3,3)$	61					$^{15}\text{NH}_3(3,3)$) 72
G059.78	TMRT	19:43:11.25 +23:44:03.3	9	14 NH ₃ (1,1)	327	G168.06	TMRT	05:17:13.74 +39:22:19.9	9	$^{14}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$) 96
				14 NH ₃ (2,2)	262					$^{14}\text{NH}_3(2,2)$) 70
				14 NH ₃ (3,3)	242					$^{14}\text{NH}_3(3,3)$) 74
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	463					$^{15}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$) 94
				$^{15}\text{NH}_3(2,2)$	41					$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$) 87
				$^{15}\text{NH}_3(3,3)$	391					$^{15}NH_3(3,3)$) 71
G059.83	TMRT	19:40:59.41 24:04:31.6	18	14 NH ₃ (1,1)	68	G18.91	TMRT	18:27:43.24 -12:44:54.34	9	$^{14}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$) 79
				14 NH ₃ (2,2)	68					$^{14}NH_3(2,2)$) 92
				14 NH ₃ (3,3)	57					$^{14}NH_3(3,3)$	95
				$^{15}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$	65					$^{15}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$	91
				$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$	69					$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$	99
				$^{15}\text{NH}_3(3,3)$	70					$^{15}NH_3(3,3)$) 94
G069.54	TMRT	20:10:09.07 +31:31:36.0	9	14 NH ₃ (1,1)	148	G182.67	TMRT	05:39:28.42 +24:56:32.1	9	$^{14}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$) 86
				14 NH ₃ (2,2)	177					$^{14}NH_3(2,2)$) 82
				14 NH ₃ (3,3)	2380					$^{14}NH_3(3,3)$) 83
				$^{15}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$	123					$^{15}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$	91
				$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$	125					$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$) 71
				$^{15}\text{NH}_3(3,3)$	13					$^{15}NH_3(3,3)$) 77
G074.03	TMRT	20:25:07.11 +34:49:57.6	26	14 NH ₃ (1,1)	63	G19.01	TMRT	18:25:44.48 -12:22:42.20	8	$^{14}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$) 70
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (2,2)	88					$^{14}NH_3(2,2)$	62
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)	90					$^{14}NH_3(3,3)$) 89
				$^{15}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$	97					$^{15}NH_3(1,1)$) 70
				$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$	97					$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$) 87

Object	Telescope	$\alpha(2000) \delta(2000)$	time	Molecule	r.m.s	. Object	Telescope	$\alpha(2000) \delta(2000)$	time	Molecule	r.m.s.
		$\begin{pmatrix} h & m & s \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \circ & \prime & \prime \prime \end{pmatrix}$	(min)		(mK))		$\begin{pmatrix} h & m & s \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \circ & \prime & \prime \prime \end{pmatrix}$	(min)		(mK)
				¹⁵ NH ₃ (3,3)	98					¹⁵ NH ₃ (3,3)	81
G074.03	TMRT	20:25:07.3 +34:50:05.6	60	¹⁴ NH ₃ (1,1)	13	G192.16	TMRT	05:58:13.53 +16:31:58.9	9	¹⁴ NH ₃ (1,1)	71
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (2,2)	12					$^{14}NH_3(2,2)$	91
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)	15					¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)	86
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	17					$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_{3}(1,1)$	80
				$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$	18					$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$	70
				¹⁵ NH ₃ (3,3)	18					$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_{3}(3,3)$	87
G075.76	TMRT	20:21:41.09 +37:25:29.3	9	¹⁴ NH ₃ (1,1)	124	G196.45	TMRT	06:14:37.08 +13:49:36.7	9	$^{14}\mathrm{NH}_{3}(1,1)$	78
				14 NH ₃ (2,2)	131					$^{14}\text{NH}_3(2,2)$	83
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)	5830)				$^{14}\text{NH}_3(3,3)$	68
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	133					$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	68
				$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$	143					$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$	92
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(3,3)$	13					$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(3,3)$	74
G075.78	TMRT	20:21:44.01 +37:26:37.5	9	¹⁴ NH ₃ (1,1)	141	G196.45	TMRT	06:14:37.03 13:49:36.60	9	$^{14}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$	80
				14 NH ₃ (2,2)	13					$^{14}\text{NH}_3(2,2)$	92
				14 NH ₃ (3,3)	154					$^{14}\text{NH}_3(3,3)$	95
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	142					$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	85
				$^{15}\text{NH}_3(2,2)$	134					$^{15}\text{NH}_3(2,2)$	81
				$^{15}\text{NH}_3(3,3)$	136					$^{15}\text{NH}_3(3,3)$	66
G077.92	TMRT	20:25:49.1 +39:30:14.7	176	14 NH ₃ (1,1)	97	G202.92	TMRT	06:38:24.90 09:32:28.00	6	$^{14}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$	83
				14 NH ₃ (2,2)	15					$^{14}NH_3(2,2)$	71
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)	85					$^{14}NH_3(3,3)$	86
				$^{15}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$	12					$^{15}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$	78
				$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$	11					$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$	88
				$^{13}NH_3(3,3)$	12					$^{13}NH_3(3,3)$	73
G078.12	TMRT	20:14:26.07 +41:13:32.7	18	¹⁴ NH ₃ (1,1)	47	G208.79	TMRT	05:35:24.2 -05:08:30.7	9	¹⁴ NH ₃ (1,1)	64
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (2,2)	59					¹⁴ NH ₃ (2,2)	85
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)	58					¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)	100
				$^{13}NH_3(1,1)$	72					¹⁵ NH ₃ (1,1)	64
				$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$	93					$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$	99
G050 10		20.14.26.204 41.12.21.4	10	$^{13}NH_3(3,3)$	47	G011 50		04 50 45 00 01 40 00 1	0	$^{13}NH_3(3,3)$	84
G0/8.12	TMRT	20:14:26.304 +41:13:31.44	- 18	¹⁴ NH ₃ (1,1)	73	G211.59	TMRT	06:52:45.32 +01:40:23.1	9	¹⁴ NH ₃ (1,1)	63
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (2,2)	73					$^{14}NH_3(2,2)$	91
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)	63					¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)	79
				$^{10}NH_3(1,1)$	71					$^{16}NH_3(1,1)$	80
				10 NH ₃ (2,2)	68					$^{16}NH_3(2,2)$	95
C001 72	TL (D T	20.20.01 202 - 42 22 47 22	. 17	NH ₃ (3,3)	46	C010.0C		06.47.10.00.00.06.07.07.00	0	$^{-5}$ NH ₃ (3,3)	8/
G081.72	IMRI	20:39:01.392 +42:22:47.28	5 15	NH ₃ (1,1)	/3	6212.06	IMRI	06:47:12.90 00:26:07.00	9	$^{-1}NH_3(1,1)$	89
				NH ₃ (2,2)	90					$^{-1}NH_3(2,2)$	/8
				$^{-1}$ NH ₃ (3,3)	92					$^{+}NH_{3}(3,3)$	65

Table 7 (continued)

Object	Telescope	$\alpha(2000) \delta(2000)$	time	Molecule	r.m.s.	Object	Telescope	$\alpha(2000) \delta(2000)$	time	Molecule	r.m.s.
		$\begin{pmatrix} h & m & s \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \circ & \prime & \prime \prime \end{pmatrix}$	(min)		(mK)	1		$\begin{pmatrix} h & m & s \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \circ & \prime & \prime \prime \end{pmatrix}$	(min)		(mK)
				$^{15}NH_3(1,1)$) 10					¹⁵ NH ₃ (1,1)) 87
				$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$	90					¹⁵ NH ₃ (2,2)) 93
				¹⁵ NH ₃ (3,3)	78					¹⁵ NH ₃ (3,3)) 79
G105.41	TMRT	21:43:06.48 +66:06:55.3	72	¹⁴ NH ₃ (1,1)) 56	G213.75	TMRT	06:07:48.7 -06:25:55.3	12	¹⁴ NH ₃ (1,1)) 73
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (2,2)) 78					$^{14}NH_3(2,2)$) 99
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)) 68					¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)) 68
				$^{15}NH_3(1,1)$	89					¹⁵ NH ₃ (1,1)) 61
				$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$) 94					¹⁵ NH ₃ (2,2)) 82
				$^{15}NH_3(3,3)$) 37					$^{15}NH_3(3,3)$) 73
G107.29	TMRT	22:21:26.73 +63:51:37.9	74	¹⁴ NH ₃ (1,1)) 34	G22.41	TMRT	18:30:56.60 -09:12:16.11	9	$^{14}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$) 97
				14 NH ₃ (2,2)) 75					$^{14}NH_3(2,2)$) 97
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)	91					$^{14}NH_3(3,3)$) 81
				$^{15}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$	53					$^{15}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$) 83
				$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$) 49					$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$) 74
				$^{15}NH_3(3,3)$) 35					$^{15}NH_3(3,3)$) 99
G108.18	TMRT	22:28:51.41 +64:13:41.3	36	¹⁴ NH ₃ (1,1)) 78	G229.57	TMRT	07:23:01.84 -14:41:32.8	9	$^{14}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$) 90
				14 NH ₃ (2,2)) 75					$^{14}\text{NH}_3(2,2)$) 71
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)) 83					$^{14}\text{NH}_3(3,3)$) 96
				$^{15}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$) 115					$^{15}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$) 85
				$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$	97					$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$) 76
				$^{15}NH_3(3,3)$) 42					$^{15}NH_3(3,3)$) 74
G108.59	TMRT	22:52:38.30 +60:00:52.0	9	14 NH ₃ (1,1)) 87	G23.21	TMRT	18:34:54.90 -08:49:18.68	9	$^{14}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$) 88
				14 NH ₃ (2,2)) 84					$^{14}\text{NH}_3(2,2)$) 93
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)) 79					$^{14}\text{NH}_3(3,3)$) 80
				$^{15}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$) 89					$^{15}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$) 64
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(2,2)$) 94					$^{15}\text{NH}_3(2,2)$) 72
				$^{15}NH_3(3,3)$) 50					$^{15}NH_3(3,3)$) 81
G109.87	TMRT	22:56:18.10 +62:01:49.5	35	14 NH ₃ (1,1)) 40	G236.81	TMRT	07:44:28.24 -20:08:30.2	6	$^{14}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$) 65
				14 NH ₃ (2,2)) 38					$^{14}\text{NH}_3(2,2)$) 68
				14 NH ₃ (3,3)) 41					$^{14}\text{NH}_3(3,3)$) 74
				$^{15}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$) 37					$^{15}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$) 94
				$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$) 39					$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$) 79
				$^{15}NH_3(3,3)$) 42					$^{15}NH_3(3,3)$) 89
G111.532	TMRT	23:13:43.896 +61:26:58.2	9	¹⁴ NH ₃ (1,1)) 79	G239.35	TMRT	07:22:58.33 -25:46:03.1	9	$^{14}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$) 87
				14 NH ₃ (2,2)) 87					$^{14}\text{NH}_3(2,2)$) 80
				14 NH ₃ (3,3)) 89					$^{14}\text{NH}_3(3,3)$) 77
				$^{15}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$) 94					$^{15}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$) 70
				$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$) 85					$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$) 88
				$^{15}NH_3(3,3)$) 78					$^{15}NH_3(3,3)$) 64
G111.53	TMRT	23:13:43.8 +61:26:58.2	118	¹⁴ NH ₃ (1,1)) 97	G24.67	TMRT	18:36:50.27 -07:24:50.05	8	$^{14}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$) 95

Object	Telescope	α (2000) δ (2000)	time	Molecule	r.m.s.	Object	Telescope	$\alpha(2000) \delta(2000)$	time	Molecule	r.m.s.
		$\begin{pmatrix} h & m & s \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \circ & \prime & \prime \prime \end{pmatrix}$	(min)		(mK)			$\begin{pmatrix} h & m & s \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \circ & \prime & \prime \prime \end{pmatrix}$	(min)		(mK)
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (2,2)	14					$^{14}NH_3(2,2)$	66
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)	14					¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)	68
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	11					$^{15}NH_3(1,1)$	92
				$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$	11					$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$	92
				¹⁵ NH ₃ (3,3)	11					¹⁵ NH ₃ (3,3)	65
G133.94	TMRT	02:27:03.82 +61:52:25.2	18	¹⁴ NH ₃ (1,1)	112	G240.31	TMRT	07:44:51.92 -24:07:41.5	9	14 NH ₃ (1,1)	85
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (2,2)	101					$^{14}NH_3(2,2)$	83
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)	124					$^{14}\text{NH}_3(3,3)$	97
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	14					$^{15}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$	69
				$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$	109					$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$	96
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(3,3)$	75					$^{15}NH_3(3,3)$	84
G176.51	TMRT	05:37:52.14 +32:00:03.9	57	¹⁴ NH ₃ (1,1)	32	G25.920	TMRT	18:39:06.0 -06:18:04.7	15	$^{14}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$	85
				14 NH ₃ (2,2)	51					$^{14}\text{NH}_3(2,2)$	94
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)	27					$^{14}\text{NH}_3(3,3)$	98
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	37					$^{15}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$	91
				$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$	36					$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$	87
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(3,3)$	35					$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(3,3)$	80
G18.21	TMRT	18:25:21.5 -13:13:39.5	4	14 NH ₃ (1,1)	75	G27.221	TMRT	18:40:30.5 -05:01:05.4	9	$^{14}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$	98
				14 NH ₃ (2,2)	72					$^{14}\text{NH}_3(2,2)$	61
				14 NH ₃ (3,3)	61					$^{14}\text{NH}_3(3,3)$	62
				$^{15}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$	71					$^{15}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$	70
				$^{15}\text{NH}_3(2,2)$	87					$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$	69
				$^{15}\text{NH}_3(3,3)$	77					$^{15}NH_3(3,3)$	75
G18.26	TMRT	18:25:05.5 -13:08:19.8	60	14 NH ₃ (1,1)	36	G28.83	TMRT	18:44:51.00 -03:45:53.53	9	$^{14}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$	93
				14 NH ₃ (2,2)	21					$^{14}NH_3(2,2)$	69
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)	20					$^{14}NH_3(3,3)$	75
				$^{15}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$	29					$^{15}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$	66
				$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$	27					$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$	69
				$^{15}NH_3(3,3)$	25					$^{15}\text{NH}_3(3,3)$	74
G18.28	TMRT	18:25:11.25 -13:08:04.44	8	¹⁴ NH ₃ (1,1)	121	G30.90	TMRT	18:47:08.66 -01:44:14.36	15	$^{14}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$	98
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (2,2)	92					$^{14}NH_3(2,2)$	100
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)	92					¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)	79
				$^{15}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$	189					$^{15}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$	77
				$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$	147					$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$	98
				$^{13}NH_3(3,3)$	138					$^{13}NH_3(3,3)$	61
G18.79	TMRT	18:26:15.14 -12:41:36.44	9	¹⁴ NH ₃ (1,1)	113	G33.486	TMRT	18:52:18.3 00:30:40.2	9	$^{14}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$	79
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (2,2)	107					$^{14}NH_3(2,2)$	74
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)	88					¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)	68
				¹³ NH ₃ (1,1)	169					¹⁰ NH ₃ (1,1)	83
				$^{13}NH_3(2,2)$	136					$^{13}NH_3(2,2)$	82

Table 7 (continued)

Object	Telescope	$\alpha(2000) \delta(2000)$	time	Molecule	r.m.s.	Object	Telescope	$\alpha(2000) \delta(2000)$	time	Molecule	r.m.s.
		$\begin{pmatrix} h & m & s \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \circ & \prime & \prime \prime \end{pmatrix}$	(min)		(mK)			$\begin{pmatrix} h & m & s \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \circ & \prime & \prime \prime \end{pmatrix}$	(min)		(mK)
				¹⁵ NH ₃ (3,3)	105					¹⁵ NH ₃ (3,3)) 98
G18.83	TMRT	18:26:23.47 -12:39:42.22	9	¹⁴ NH ₃ (1,1)	153	G84.638	TMRT	20:56:18.8 43:34:25.1	9	14 NH ₃ (1,1)) 72
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (2,2)	169					$^{14}NH_3(2,2)$) 67
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)	167					¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)) 76
				¹⁵ NH ₃ (1,1)	197					¹⁵ NH ₃ (1,1)) 61
				¹⁵ NH ₃ (2,2)	188					$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$) 78
				¹⁵ NH ₃ (3,3)	161					¹⁵ NH ₃ (3,3)) 62
G18.89	TMRT	18:27:07.49 -12:41:41.68	21	¹⁴ NH ₃ (1,1)	53	J010055.42	TMRT	01:00:55.42 62:49:29.50	9	$^{14}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$) 67
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (2,2)	52					$^{14}NH_3(2,2)$) 85
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)	52					$^{14}NH_3(3,3)$) 70
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	56					$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$) 66
				¹⁵ NH ₃ (2,2)	54					$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$) 98
				¹⁵ NH ₃ (3,3)	54					$^{15}NH_3(3,3)$) 70
G183.72	TMRT	05:40:24.23 +23:50:54.7	39	¹⁴ NH ₃ (1,1)	68	J024929.80	TMRT	02:49:29.80 60:47:29.50	8	$^{14}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$) 79
				14 NH ₃ (2,2)	88					$^{14}NH_3(2,2)$) 98
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)	80					$^{14}\text{NH}_3(3,3)$) 83
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	104					$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$) 82
				$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$	99					$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$) 85
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(3,3)$	100					$^{15}NH_3(3,3)$) 77
G188.79	TMRT	06:09:06.97 +21:50:41.4	30	¹⁴ NH ₃ (1,1)	63	J040136.49	TMRT	04:01:36.49 53:19:41.40	9	$^{14}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$) 60
				14 NH ₃ (2,2)	63					$^{14}NH_3(2,2)$) 73
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)	62					$^{14}\text{NH}_3(3,3)$) 66
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	85					$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$) 81
				$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$	75					$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$) 77
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(3,3)$	74					$^{15}NH_3(3,3)$) 69
G188.79	TMRT	06:09:06.96 21:50:41.30	9	14 NH ₃ (1,1)	76	J051713.30	TMRT	05:17:13.30 39:22:14.00	9	$^{14}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$) 85
				14 NH ₃ (2,2)	65					$^{14}\text{NH}_3(2,2)$) 85
				14 NH ₃ (3,3)	88					$^{14}\text{NH}_3(3,3)$) 100
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	82					$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$) 73
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(2,2)$	81					$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(2,2)$) 98
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(3,3)$	78					$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(3,3)$) 98
G188.94	TMRT	06:08:53.3 +21:38:28.7	176	¹⁴ NH ₃ (1,1)	84	J053927.60	TMRT	05:39:27.60 35:30:58.00	9	$^{14}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$) 68
				14 NH ₃ (2,2)	12					$^{14}\text{NH}_3(2,2)$) 88
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)	11					$^{14}\text{NH}_3(3,3)$) 69
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	97					$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$) 62
				$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$	12					$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$) 91
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(3,3)$	12					$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(3,3)$) 90
G188.94	TMRT	06:08:53.32 21:38:29.10	9	¹⁴ NH ₃ (1,1)	75	J054137.40	TMRT	05:41:37.40 35:48:49.00	9	$^{14}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$) 70
				14 NH ₃ (2,2)	76					$^{14}NH_3(2,2)$) 83
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)	74					$^{14}\text{NH}_3(3,3)$) 97

GALACTIC ¹⁴NH₃/¹⁵NH₃

Object	Telescope	$\alpha(2000) \ \delta(2000)$	time	Molecule	r.m.s.	Object	Telescope	$\alpha(2000) \delta(2000)$	time	Molecule	r.m.s.
		$\begin{pmatrix} h & m & s \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \circ & \prime & \prime \prime \end{pmatrix}$	(min)		(mK)			$\begin{pmatrix} h & m & s \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \circ & \prime & \prime \prime \end{pmatrix}$	(min))	(mK)
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	67					$^{15}NH_3(1,1)$	80
				$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$	75					$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$	99
				¹⁵ NH ₃ (3,3)	67					¹⁵ NH ₃ (3,3)	70
G189.03	TMRT	06:08:40.65 21:31:07.00	9	¹⁴ NH ₃ (1,1)	64	J213213.00	TMRT	21:32:13.00 55:52:56.00	9	14 NH ₃ (1,1)	88
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (2,2)	65					$^{14}NH_3(2,2)$	85
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)	64					$^{14}NH_3(3,3)$	84
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	70					15 NH ₃ (1,1)	90
				$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$	70					$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$	77
				$^{15}NH_3(3,3)$	66					$^{15}NH_3(3,3)$	73
G189.47	TMRT	06:02:08.37 20:09:20.10	9	¹⁴ NH ₃ (1,1)	56	J214301.50	TMRT	21:43:01.50 54:56:18.50	9	$^{14}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$	67
				14 NH ₃ (2,2)	70					$^{14}\text{NH}_3(2,2)$	73
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)	66					$^{14}\text{NH}_3(3,3)$	88
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	74					$^{15}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$	73
				$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$	65					$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$	77
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(3,3)$	73					$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(3,3)$	71
G189.77	TMRT	06:08:35.28 20:39:06.70	9	¹⁴ NH ₃ (1,1)	68	J214940.65	TMRT	21:49:40.65 55:24:51.80	9	$^{14}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	62
				14 NH ₃ (2,2)	65					$^{14}\text{NH}_3(2,2)$	91
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)	69					$^{14}\text{NH}_3(3,3)$	77
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	74					$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	96
				$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$	75					$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$	94
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(3,3)$	72					$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(3,3)$	95
G19.88	TMRT	18:29:14.25 -11:50:25.55	9	¹⁴ NH ₃ (1,1)	273	J222122.50	TMRT	22:21:22.50 63:51:13.00	9	$^{14}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$	98
				14 NH ₃ (2,2)	292					$^{14}\text{NH}_3(2,2)$	88
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)	4090					$^{14}\text{NH}_3(3,3)$	84
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	405					$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	71
				$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$	356					$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$	68
				$^{15}NH_3(3,3)$	264					$^{15}\text{NH}_3(3,3)$	85
G19.92	TMRT	18:28:19.17 -11:40:36.38	9	¹⁴ NH ₃ (1,1)	70	J222851.41	TMRT	22:28:51.41 64:13:41.31	9	$^{14}\text{NH}_3(1,1)$	68
				14 NH ₃ (2,2)	74					$^{14}\text{NH}_3(2,2)$	63
				¹⁴ NH ₃ (3,3)	71					$^{14}\text{NH}_3(3,3)$	98
				$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	70					$^{15}\mathrm{NH}_3(1,1)$	79
				$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$	77					$^{15}NH_3(2,2)$	78
				¹⁵ NH ₃ (3,3)	60					$^{15}NH_3(3,3)$	95

NOTE—Column (1): source name; Column(2): used Telescope; Column(3): Right ascension (J2000) and Declination (J2000); Column(4): total integration time; Column(5): molecular line detections in boldface; Column(6): the Root-Mean-Square (*rms*) noise value.