

# ON DOUBLE COSET SEPARABILITY AND THE WILSON-ZALESSKII PROPERTY

ASHOT MINASYAN

**ABSTRACT.** A residually finite group  $G$  has the Wilson-Zalesskii property if for all finitely generated subgroups  $H, K \leq G$ , one has  $\overline{H} \cap \overline{K} = \overline{H \cap K}$ , where the closures are taken in the profinite completion  $\widehat{G}$  of  $G$ . This property played an important role in several papers, and is usually combined with separability of double cosets. In the present note we show that the Wilson-Zalesskii property is actually enjoyed by every double coset separable group. We also construct an example of a LERF group that is not double coset separable and does not have the Wilson-Zalesskii property.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Every residually finite group  $G$  has a natural embedding into its profinite completion  $\widehat{G}$ , which is a compact topological group. The topology on  $\widehat{G}$  induces the *profinite topology* on  $G$ . A subset  $S \subseteq G$  is said to be *separable* if it is closed in this topology, i.e.,  $S = \overline{S} \cap G$ , where  $\overline{S}$  denotes the closure of  $S$  in  $\widehat{G}$ .

Many residual properties of  $G$  can be interpreted in terms of the profinite topology or the embedding of  $G$  into  $\widehat{G}$ . In establishing various such properties it is often useful to have control over the intersections of images of two subgroups  $H, K \in \mathcal{S}$  in finite quotients of  $G$ , where  $\mathcal{S}$  is a class of subgroups of  $G$  (e.g.,  $\mathcal{S}$  could consist of all cyclic subgroups, all abelian subgroups or all finitely generated subgroups). The best one can hope for is that for all  $H, K \in \mathcal{S}$  we have

$$(1) \quad \overline{H} \cap \overline{K} = \overline{H \cap K} \text{ in } \widehat{G}$$

(see Remark 2.2 and Proposition 2.4 below, which explain how this is related to controlling the intersection of the images of  $H$  and  $K$  in finite quotients of  $G$ ).

Condition (1) played an important role in the papers of Ribes and Zalesskii [10], Ribes, Segal and Zalesskii [9], Wilson and Zalesskii [13] and Antolín and Jaikin-Zapirain [2], to mention a few. In all of these papers, this condition was established along with (and after) the double coset separability condition, stating that for all  $H, K \in \mathcal{S}$

$$(2) \quad HK \text{ is separable in } G.$$

The purpose of this note is to demonstrate that condition (2) implies (1), provided  $\mathcal{S}$  is closed under taking finite index subgroups. More precisely, we prove the following.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $H, K$  be subgroups of a residually finite group  $G$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (a) *the double coset  $HK$  is separable in  $G$  and  $\overline{H} \cap \overline{K} = \overline{H \cap K}$  in  $\widehat{G}$ ;*
- (b) *for every finite index subgroup  $L \leq_f G$ , with  $H \cap K \subseteq L$ , the double coset  $(H \cap L)K$  is separable in  $G$ .*

The above theorem follows from Proposition 2.4 below, which restates condition (1) in terms of finite index subgroups of the group  $G$ , and Proposition 3.1, which characterises this restatement

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20E26, 20E18.

*Key words and phrases.* Double coset separability, Wilson-Zalesskii property, profinite completion.

in terms of double cosets. Both of these propositions are stated in the general situation of a pro- $\mathcal{C}$  topology, where  $\mathcal{C}$  is a formation of finite groups. In particular, analogues of Theorem 1.1 are also true for the pro- $p$  topology, the pro-soluble topology, etc.

Following [2], we say that a group  $G$  has the *Wilson-Zalesskii property* if (1) holds for arbitrary finitely generated subgroups  $H, K \leq G$ . This property is named after Wilson and Zalesskii, who established it in the case of finitely generated virtually free groups in [13]. We will call a group  $G$  *double coset separable* if (2) holds for all finitely generated subgroups  $H, K \leq G$ .

**Corollary 1.2.** *Every double coset separable group satisfies the Wilson-Zalesskii property.*

Note that for (virtually) free groups the double coset separability was first proved by Gitik and Rips [3]. This was extended by Niblo [8] to finitely generated Fuchsian groups and fundamental groups of Seifert-fibred 3-manifolds. In [7] the author and Mineh showed that all finitely generated Kleinian groups and limit groups are double coset separable. Hence, by Corollary 1.2, such groups have the Wilson-Zalesskii property. For limit groups this answers a question of Antolín and Jaikin-Zapirain from [2, Subsection 2.2].

More generally, separability of double cosets of “convex” subgroups is known in many non-positively curved groups (see [6, 4, 12, 7]). By combining these results with Theorem 1.1 we gain control over the intersection of such subgroups in finite quotients. Our last corollary describes one such application.

**Corollary 1.3.** *Let  $G$  be a finitely generated group hyperbolic relative to a family of double coset separable subgroups. If every finitely generated relatively quasiconvex subgroup is separable in  $G$  then any two finitely generated relatively quasiconvex subgroups  $H, K \leq G$  satisfy (1).*

*Proof.* Let  $G$  be a group from the statement. By [7, Corollary 1.4], the product of two finitely generated relatively quasiconvex subgroups is separable in  $G$ . Since a finite index subgroup of a relatively quasiconvex subgroup is again relatively quasiconvex [7, Lemma 5.22], the claim of the corollary follows from Theorem 1.1.  $\square$

We finish this note by constructing, in Section 4, an example of a finitely presented LERF group which is not double coset separable and does not have the Wilson-Zalesskii property.

**Acknowledgements.** I am grateful to Pavel Zalesskii for fruitful discussions and for drawing my attention to the paper [2], which motivated this note.

## 2. A RESTATEMENT OF CONDITION (1)

Let us fix a formation  $\mathcal{C}$  of finite groups; in other words,  $\mathcal{C}$  is a non-empty class of finite groups which is closed under taking quotients and subdirect products (see [11, Section 2.1]).

**2.1. Pro- $\mathcal{C}$  topology and completion.** In this subsection we summarise basic definitions and properties of pro- $\mathcal{C}$  topology and pro- $\mathcal{C}$  completions. We refer the reader to [11, Sections 3.1, 3.2] for a detailed exposition.

Given a group  $G$ , we define the *pro- $\mathcal{C}$  topology* on  $G$  by taking the family of normal subgroups  $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(G) = \{N \triangleleft G \mid G/N \in \mathcal{C}\}$  as a basis of open neighborhoods of the identity element. A subset  $A \subseteq G$  will be called  *$\mathcal{C}$ -open* if it is open in the pro- $\mathcal{C}$  topology on  $G$ .  *$\mathcal{C}$ -closed* and  *$\mathcal{C}$ -clopen* subsets are defined similarly. We will write  $H \leq_o G$  and  $N \triangleleft_o G$  to indicate that  $H$  is an open subgroup of  $G$  and  $N$  is an open normal subgroup of  $G$  in the pro- $\mathcal{C}$  topology. Note that a subgroup  $H \leq G$  is  $\mathcal{C}$ -open if and only if it contains a  $\mathcal{C}$ -open normal subgroup; and  $N \triangleleft G$  is  $\mathcal{C}$ -open if and only if  $G/N \in \mathcal{C}$ . If  $H \leq_o G$  and  $X \subseteq G$  then  $XH$  and  $G \setminus XH$  are both open as unions of cosets modulo  $H$ , thus  $XH$  is a  $\mathcal{C}$ -clopen subset of  $G$ .

We will use  $G_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}$  to denote the *pro- $\mathcal{C}$  completion* of a group  $G$ . Equipped with its pro- $\mathcal{C}$  topology,  $G_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}$  is a profinite group; in particular, it is compact. The natural homomorphism  $G \rightarrow G_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}$  has dense image. This homomorphism is injective if and only if  $G$  is *residually- $\mathcal{C}$* , i.e.,  $\bigcap_{N \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(G)} N = \{1\}$ .

## 2.2. Tractable intersections.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $G$  be a group and let  $H, K \leq G$  be two subgroups. We will say that the intersection  $H \cap K$  is *pro- $\mathcal{C}$  tractable* in  $G$  if for every  $M \triangleleft_o G$  there exists  $N \triangleleft_o G$  such that  $N \subseteq M$  and

$$(3) \quad HN \cap KN \subseteq (H \cap K)M \text{ in } G.$$

*Remark 2.2.* Note that condition (3) can be restated as  $\phi(H) \cap \phi(K) \subseteq \phi(H \cap K) \phi(M)$  in the finite quotient  $G/N \in \mathcal{C}$ , where  $\phi : G \rightarrow G/N$  denotes the natural homomorphism.

*Remark 2.3.* The following observation will be used throughout this note without further justification. If  $A, B$  are subsets of a group  $G$  and  $H' \leq H \leq G$  are subgroups then

$$AH' \cap BH = (A \cap BH)H' \text{ and } H'A \cap HB = H'(A \cap HB).$$

**Proposition 2.4.** For subgroups  $H, K$  of a residually- $\mathcal{C}$  group  $G$  the following are equivalent:

- (i) the intersection  $H \cap K$  is pro- $\mathcal{C}$  tractable in  $G$ ;
- (ii)  $\overline{H} \cap \overline{K} = \overline{H \cap K}$  in  $G_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}$ , where  $\overline{H}$  denotes the closure of  $H$  in the pro- $\mathcal{C}$  completion  $G_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}$ .

*Proof.* Since  $G$  is residually- $\mathcal{C}$ , we will treat it as a subgroup of  $G_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}$ . Note that for an arbitrary  $L \triangleleft_o G$  its closure  $\overline{L}$  is a clopen subgroup of  $G_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}$  and  $\overline{L} \cap G = L$ , so that  $G_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}/\overline{L} = G/L$  (see [11, Proposition 3.2.2]). Given any  $M \triangleleft_o G$ , let  $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(M, G) = \{N \triangleleft_o G \mid N \subseteq M\}$  and observe that  $\{\overline{N} \mid N \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(M, G)\}$  is a basis of open neighborhoods of the identity element in  $G_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}$ .

Let us start with showing that (i) implies (ii). Assuming (i), we know that for every  $M \triangleleft_o G$  there exists  $N \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(M, G)$  such that (3) holds. After taking closures of both sides we obtain

$$(4) \quad \overline{HN \cap KN} \subseteq \overline{(H \cap K)M} \text{ in } G_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}.$$

Note that  $HN, KN \leq_o G$ , so, by [11, Proposition 3.2.2],  $\overline{HN \cap KN} = \overline{HN} \cap \overline{KN}$ . Clearly  $\overline{H} \cap \overline{K} \subseteq \overline{HN} \cap \overline{KN}$  and  $\overline{(H \cap K)M} = (H \cap K)\overline{M}$ , because  $\overline{M}$  is a clopen subgroup of  $G_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}$ . Hence, in view of (4), we obtain

$$(5) \quad \overline{H} \cap \overline{K} \subseteq (H \cap K)\overline{M} \text{ in } G_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}, \text{ for every } M \triangleleft_o G.$$

It is easy to see that  $\overline{H} \cap \overline{K} = \bigcap_{M \triangleleft_o G} (H \cap K)\overline{M}$ , because  $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(G_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}) = \{\overline{L} \mid L \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(G)\}$ . Therefore (5) implies that  $\overline{H} \cap \overline{K} \subseteq \overline{H \cap K}$ . The opposite inclusion is obvious, so (ii) has been established.

We will now prove that (ii) implies (i) (in the case of profinite topology this was done in [2, Corollary 10.4]). Suppose that (ii) holds and  $M \triangleleft_o G$  is arbitrary. If (i) is false, then for every  $N \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(M, G)$ , we have

$$(HN \cap KN) \setminus (H \cap K)M \neq \emptyset \text{ in } G,$$

hence

$$(6) \quad (H\overline{N} \cap K\overline{N}) \setminus (H \cap K)\overline{M} \neq \emptyset \text{ in } G_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}, \text{ for all } N \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(M, G),$$

where we used the fact that  $(H \cap K)\overline{M} \cap G = (H \cap K)(\overline{M} \cap G) = (H \cap K)M$ .

The family  $\{(H\overline{N} \cap K\overline{N}) \setminus (H \cap K)\overline{M} \mid N \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(M, G)\}$  consists of clopen sets in  $G_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}$  and has the finite intersection property by (6) (because the intersection of finitely subgroups from  $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(M, G)$  is again in  $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(M, G)$ ). Compactness of  $G_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}$  now implies that

$$(7) \quad \bigcap_{N \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(M, G)} (H\overline{N} \cap K\overline{N}) \setminus (H \cap K)\overline{M} \neq \emptyset.$$

Since  $\bigcap_{N \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(M, G)} H\overline{N} = \overline{H}$ ,  $\bigcap_{N \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(M, G)} K\overline{N} = \overline{K}$  and  $\overline{H \cap K} \subseteq (H \cap K)\overline{M}$ , (7) demonstrates that  $(\overline{H \cap K}) \setminus \overline{H \cap K} \neq \emptyset$ , contradicting (ii). Thus we have proved that (ii) implies (i).  $\square$

### 3. CHARACTERISING TRACTABLENESS OF INTERSECTIONS USING DOUBLE COSETS

As before we will work with a fixed formation of finite groups  $\mathcal{C}$ . For a subgroup  $H$  of a group  $G$  the pro- $\mathcal{C}$ -topology on  $G$  induces a topology on  $H$  (which may, in general, be different from the pro- $\mathcal{C}$  topology of  $H$ ). We will use  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(H, G)$  to denote the open subgroups of  $H$  in this induced topology. In other words,

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(H, G) = \{H \cap L \mid L \leq_o G\}.$$

Note that for every  $H' \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(H, G)$ , the index  $|H : H'|$  is finite because any  $L \leq_o G$  has finite index in  $G$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $G$  be a group with subgroups  $H, K$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) *the double coset  $HK$  is  $\mathcal{C}$ -closed and the intersection  $H \cap K$  is pro- $\mathcal{C}$  tractable in  $G$ ;*
- (ii) *for every  $H' \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(H, G)$ , with  $H \cap K \subseteq H'$ , the double coset  $H'K$  is  $\mathcal{C}$ -closed in  $G$ .*

*Proof.* Let us start with showing that (i) implies (ii). So, assume that (i) is true. Consider any  $H' \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(H, G)$ , containing  $H \cap K$ . Then  $H' = H \cap L$ , for some  $L \leq_o G$ , with  $H \cap K \subseteq L$ . Let  $M \triangleleft_o G$  denote the normal core of  $L$  (it is  $\mathcal{C}$ -open by [11, Lemma 3.1.2]). Since  $H \cap K$  is pro- $\mathcal{C}$  tractable, there exists  $N \triangleleft_o G$  such that  $N \subseteq M$  and

$$HN \cap KN \subseteq (H \cap K)M \subseteq L.$$

Since  $NK = KN$ , as  $N \triangleleft G$ , we can conclude that

$$H \cap NK = H \cap KN \subseteq H \cap L = H'.$$

Therefore, we have

$$H'K \subseteq HK \cap H'KN = H'(HK \cap NK) = H'(H \cap NK)K \subseteq H'H'K = H'K,$$

whence  $H'K = HK \cap H'KN$  in  $G$ . Note that the subset  $H'KN$  is  $\mathcal{C}$ -clopen in  $G$ , as  $N \triangleleft_o G$ , and the double coset  $HK$  is  $\mathcal{C}$ -closed by the assumption (i). Thus  $H'K$  is  $\mathcal{C}$ -closed as the intersection of closed subsets, so (ii) holds.

Now let us assume (ii) and deduce (i). Then the double coset  $HK$  is  $\mathcal{C}$ -closed in  $G$  because  $H \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(H, G)$  and  $H \cap K \subseteq H$ . Thus it remains to show that  $H \cap K$  is pro- $\mathcal{C}$  tractable in  $G$ .

Take any  $M \triangleleft_o G$  and set  $L = (H \cap K)M \leq_o G$ . Then  $H' = H \cap L \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(H, G)$  and we can write  $H = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^n H'h_i$ , where  $h_1 = 1$  and  $h_i \in H \setminus H'$ , for  $i = 2, \dots, n$ . Note that  $H \cap K \subseteq H'$ , by construction, which easily implies that  $h_i \notin H'K$ , for  $i = 2, \dots, n$  (indeed, if  $h_i = xy$ , where  $x \in H'$  and  $y \in K$ , then  $x^{-1}h_i = y \in H \cap K \subseteq H'$ , so  $h_i \in H'$ , whence and  $i = 1$ ). By the assumption (ii), the double coset  $H'K$  is  $\mathcal{C}$ -closed in  $G$ , hence there exists  $N \triangleleft_o G$  such that

$$(8) \quad h_i \notin H'KN, \text{ for } i = 2, \dots, n.$$

After replacing  $N$  with  $N \cap M$ , we can suppose that  $N \subseteq M$ . Let us show that

$$HN \cap KN \subseteq L = (H \cap K)M.$$

Since  $HN \cap KN = (H \cap KN)N$  and  $N \subseteq L$ , it is enough to check that  $H \cap KN \subseteq L$ . But, in view of (8), we know that  $H'h_i \cap KN = \emptyset$ , for  $i = 2, \dots, n$ , hence  $H \cap KN \subseteq H'h_1 = H' \subseteq L$ , as required. Therefore  $H \cap K$  is pro- $\mathcal{C}$  tractable in  $G$  and (i) holds.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.2.** *If  $H, K$  are subgroups of a group  $G$  then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) *the double coset  $HK$  is  $\mathcal{C}$ -closed and the intersection  $H \cap K$  is pro- $\mathcal{C}$  tractable in  $G$ ;*
- (ii) *for every  $H' \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(H, G)$ , with  $H \cap K \subseteq H'$ , the double coset  $H'K$  is  $\mathcal{C}$ -closed in  $G$ ;*
- (iii) *for every  $K' \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(K, G)$ , with  $H \cap K \subseteq K'$ , the double coset  $HK'$  is  $\mathcal{C}$ -closed in  $G$ ;*

(iv) for all  $H' \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(H, G)$  and  $K' \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(K, G)$ , with  $H \cap K = H' \cap K'$ , the double coset  $H'K'$  is  $\mathcal{C}$ -closed in  $G$ ;

*Proof.* The equivalence between (i) and (ii) is the subject of Proposition 3.1, and the equivalence between (i) and (iii) follows by symmetry (or because  $HK' = (K'H)^{-1}$ ). Evidently (iv) implies (ii). Conversely, (iv) follows from (ii) and (iii) because

$$H'K \cap HK' = H'(K \cap HK') = H'(K \cap H)K' = H'K',$$

where the last equality is valid since  $K \cap H \subseteq H'$ .  $\square$

#### 4. A LERF GROUP WITHOUT THE WILSON-ZALESSKII PROPERTY

Throughout this section we assume that  $\mathcal{C}$  is the family of all finite groups. In this case the pro- $\mathcal{C}$  topology on a group  $G$  is the profinite topology,  $\mathcal{C}$ -open subgroups of  $G$  are precisely the finite index subgroups and the  $\mathcal{C}$ -closed subsets of  $G$  are called separable. Recall that  $G$  is said to be *ERF* if all subgroups are separable and *LERF* if all finitely generated subgroups are separable.

In this section we show that separability of a double coset  $HK$  does not necessarily yield that the intersection  $H \cap K$  is profinitely tractable even for finitely generated subgroups  $H, K$  of a LERF group  $G$ . Our construction is based on examples of Grunewald and Segal from [5].

Let  $A = M_2(\mathbb{Z})$  be the additive group of  $2 \times 2$  matrices with integer entries, and let  $H = SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  act on  $A$  by left multiplication. We define the group  $G$  as the resulting semidirect product  $A \rtimes H = M_2(\mathbb{Z}) \rtimes SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ . Recall that  $H$  is finitely generated and virtually free and  $A$  is the free abelian group of rank 4, hence  $A$  is ERF and  $H$  is LERF, so  $G$  is LERF (see [1, Theorem 4]).

Denote by  $i \in A$  the identity matrix from  $M_2(\mathbb{Z})$  and set  $K = iHi^{-1} \leq G$ . For any subgroup  $F \leq H = SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  the conjugacy class  $i^F = \{fif^{-1} \mid f \in F\} \subseteq A$  is the orbit of the identity matrix under the left action of  $F$ , so it consists of matrices from  $F$ , but now considered as a subset of  $M_2(\mathbb{Z}) = A$ . Since the determinant map  $\det : A = M_2(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  is clearly continuous with respect to the profinite topologies on  $A$  and  $\mathbb{Z}$ , the conjugacy class  $i^H = \det^{-1}(\{1\})$  is closed in the profinite topology on  $A$ .

Now let us show that the product  $i^H H$  is closed in the profinite topology on  $G$ . Indeed, suppose that  $xy \in G \setminus i^H H$ , where  $x \in A$  and  $y \in H$ . Then  $x \notin i^H$ , so there is  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for the finite index characteristic subgroup  $A' = M_2(m\mathbb{Z}) \triangleleft A$  we have  $x \notin i^H A'$ . The latter implies that  $xy \notin i^H A' H$ . Since  $A' H$  is a finite index subgroup of  $G$ , we see that  $i^H A' H$  is a clopen subset in the profinite topology on  $G$  containing  $i^H H$  but not containing  $xy$ . Thus  $i^H H$  is indeed profinitely closed in  $G$ . Note that  $i^H H = HiH$ , thus the double coset  $HK = (HiH)i^{-1}$  is separable in  $G$ .

As Grunewald and Segal observed in [5, Section 5],  $H$  contains a finite index free subgroup  $H'$  (in fact,  $|H : H'| = 36$ ) such that the orbit of  $i$  under the action of  $H'$  is not separable in the profinite topology on  $A$  (equivalently,  $H'$  is not closed in the congruence topology on  $H = SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ ).

Observe that  $H'iH = i^{H'}H$ , so  $H'iH \cap A = i^{H'}$ . Since  $i^{H'}$  is not separable in  $A$ , it follows that the double cosets  $H'iH$  and  $H'K = (H'iH)i^{-1}$  cannot be separable in  $G$  (this is true because the topology on the subgroup  $A$ , induced from the profinite topology on  $G$ , is always weaker than the profinite topology on  $A$ ).

Finally, we note that  $H \cap K = \{1\}$  because the  $H$ -stabiliser of  $i$  is trivial, and every finite index subgroup of  $H$  belongs to  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(H, G)$ , as  $G$  is LERF and  $H$  is finitely generated (see, for example, [7, Lemma 4.17]). Thus we have constructed the following example.

*Example 4.1.* There is a LERF group  $G$  (isomorphic to a split extension of  $\mathbb{Z}^4$  by  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ ) and finitely generated subgroups  $H, K \leq G$  such that  $H \cap K = \{1\}$  and the double coset  $HK$  is separable in  $G$ , but the double coset  $H'K$  is not separable in  $G$ , for some finite index subgroup  $H' \leq_f H$ . We deduce, from Proposition 3.1, that the intersection  $H \cap K$  is not profinitely tractable in  $G$ , so  $G$  does not have the Wilson-Zaleskii property by Proposition 2.4.

## REFERENCES

- [1] R.B.J.T. Allenby and R.J. Gregorac, On locally extended residually finite groups. *Conference on Group Theory (Univ. Wisconsin-Parkside, Kenosha, Wis., 1972)*, pp. 9–17. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 319, Springer, Berlin, 1973.
- [2] Y. Antolín and A. Jaikin-Zapirain, The Hanna Neumann conjecture for surface groups. *Compos. Math.*, to appear.
- [3] R. Gitik and E. Rips, On separability properties of groups. *Internat. J. Algebra Comput.* **5** (1995), no. 6, pp. 703–717.
- [4] D. Groves and J. Manning, Specializing cubulated relatively hyperbolic groups. *J. Topology* (to appear). [arXiv:2008.13677](#)
- [5] F. Grunewald and D. Segal, Conjugacy in polycyclic groups. *Comm. Algebra* **6** (1978), no. 8, 775–798.
- [6] A. Minasyan, Separable subsets of GFERF negatively curved groups. *J. Algebra* **304** (2006), no. 2, pp. 1090–1100.
- [7] A. Minasyan and L. Mineh, Quasiconvexity of virtual joins and separability of products in relatively hyperbolic groups. Preprint (2022). [arXiv:2207.03362](#)
- [8] G.A. Niblo, Separability properties of free groups and surface groups. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **78** (1992), no. 1, pp. 77–84.
- [9] L. Ribes, D. Segal, P.A. Zalesskii, Conjugacy separability and free products of groups with cyclic amalgamation. *J. London Math. Soc. (2)* **57** (1998), no. 3, 609–628.
- [10] L. Ribes, P.A. Zalesskii, Conjugacy separability of amalgamated free products of groups. *J. Algebra* **179** (1996), no. 3, 751–774.
- [11] L. Ribes and P. Zalesskii, Profinite groups. Second edition. *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics*, 40. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2010. xvi+464 pp.
- [12] S. Shepherd, Imitator homomorphisms for special cube complexes. Preprint (2021). [arXiv:2107.10925](#)
- [13] J.S. Wilson, P.A. Zalesskii, Conjugacy separability of certain Bianchi groups and HNN extensions. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **123** (1998), no. 2, 227–242.

CGTA, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHAMPTON, HIGHFIELD, SOUTHAMPTON, SO17 1BJ, UNITED KINGDOM.

*Email address:* [aminasyan@gmail.com](mailto:aminasyan@gmail.com)