

Equilibrium Temperature for Black Holes with Nonextensive Entropy

Ilim Çimdiker,^{1, a} Mariusz P. Dąbrowski,^{1, 2, 3, b} and Hussain Gohar^{1, c}

¹*Institute of Physics, University of Szczecin,*

Wielkopolska 15, 70-451 Szczecin, Poland

²*National Centre for Nuclear Research, Andrzeja Sołtana 7, 05-400 Otwock, Poland*

³*Copernicus Center for Interdisciplinary Studies,*

Szczeпаńska 1/5, 31-011 Kraków, Poland

(Dated: August 10, 2022)

Hawking temperature has been widely utilized in the literature as the temperature corresponds to various nonextensive entropies. In this study, we analyze the compatibility of Hawking temperature with the nonextensive entropies. Furthermore, we demonstrate that, for every nonextensive entropy, one may define an effective temperature (which we call equilibrium temperature) by utilizing the equilibrium condition and that there is always an additive equilibrium entropy associated with this effective temperature. We focus on the equilibrium requirement for the Tsallis black hole entropy and demonstrate that the Bekenstein entropy is the related equilibrium entropy and the Hawking temperature is the associated equilibrium temperature for the Tsallis black hole entropy. The same is true for Barrow entropy, except that it has a different theoretical root and a limited range of nonextensivity parameters.

I. INTRODUCTION

The seminal works of Bekenstein [1], and Hawking [2, 3] on the thermodynamics of black holes have a wide range of applications in gravitation and cosmology. These concepts have been applied, for instance, to investigate gravity from a thermodynamic perspective [4], to derive Einstein's field equations from the first law of thermodynamics [5], to study holographic dark energy [6], and to examine the universe's accelerated expansion from a thermodynamic perspec-

tive [7–10]. Numerous studies have been made to extend these concepts from a thermodynamic and quantum perspective, including quantum gravity corrections [11–14] and thermal fluctuation corrections [15]. Quantum field theory is used to study Hawking radiation by incorporating quantum effects on the horizon [2, 3, 16, 17]. This enables the calculation of the Hawking temperature, which supports Bekenstein's idea of a black hole's entropy. This concept of entropy is somewhat geometric and relies on Hawking's area theorem. The question now is whether the definitions of Bekenstein entropy and Hawking temperature adhere to Gibbs's extensive ther-

^a ilim.cimdiker@phd.usz.edu.pl

^b mariusz.dabrowski@usz.edu.pl

^c hussain.gohar@usz.edu.pl

modynamics or statistical mechanics, and the majority of the investigations have been conducted in this framework.

The main problem with the concept of black hole entropy is a lack of a proper statistical mechanical description. Instead, it must rely on Bekenstein's definition, which asserts that black hole entropy is directly proportional to the area of the black hole's event horizon, not its volume. In statistical mechanics, a property that scales with the size of the system is called an extensive property. For example, in Gibbs's statistics, entropy scales with the system's volume; hence it is an extensive parameter. The extensiveness of entropy in standard thermodynamics yields its additivity¹. However, this is not the case in nonextensive statistical mechanics. Nonextensive entropies satisfy a general composition rule and depend on a free nonextensive parameter. The defined composition rule makes the nonextensive entropy nonadditive.

According to Bekenstein's definition, the black hole entropy is nonadditive [20]; hence, it is assumed to be nonextensive. As a result, classical thermodynamics or statistical mechanics are unsuitable for black holes and other cosmological and gravitational applications. Instead, Tsallis nonextensive thermodynamics or statistical me-

¹ It's important to note that additivity does not necessarily imply extensivity. For instance, some particular nonextensive parameter values result in extensive entropy which follows nonadditive composition rule. [18, 19].

chanics [18, 21] has been utilized to analyze black holes and other applications in cosmology. Many other definitions of nonextensive entropies have been used in cosmological settings, but still, the Hawking temperature is being used to accompany these nonextensive entropies. The question is whether Hawking temperature can be employed while studying a nonextensive entropy and whether the zeroth law of thermodynamics remains compatible with Hawking temperature in a nonextensive scenario. One may ask, what is the Legendre structure when the Hawking temperature is used with a nonextensive entropy? In order to answer these problems, in our paper, we examine the compatibility of Bekenstein entropy and Hawking temperature in the setting of nonextensive thermodynamics. It is argued that the Hawking temperature *is not an appropriate choice* to utilize with nonextensive entropies, and so there will always be an *effective equilibrium temperature* corresponding to a nonextensive entropy, which is derived from the equilibrium condition by *maximizing* the nonextensive entropy.

This article focuses on a few composition laws for different entropy definitions of black holes. We will focus on the equilibrium conditions and examine the proper equilibrium temperatures in the nonextensive setup. Additionally, we will utilize the Schwarzschild black hole as an example of a thermodynamic system. In this context, we will not explore any cosmological models, but the justification and analysis in this paper will

hold for cosmological models as well.

The structure of the paper is as follows. In section II, we discuss the issues of the zeroth law of thermodynamics related to its equilibrium temperature. In section III, we introduce the nonextensive entropy. In section IV, we analyze the equilibrium conditions for a general nonextensive entropy. Then in section V, we apply it to Rényi black holes defining their energy, temperature, and mass. In section VI, we use Bekenstein entropy and its particular composition rule to derive the equilibrium temperature and associated equilibrium entropy for Bekenstein black holes. In section VII, we investigate the Tsallis black hole entropy and equilibrium conditions. In section VIII we briefly mention the relation between the Tsallis and Barrow entropies. Finally, in section IX, we summarize our main conclusions.

II. BLACK HOLE THERMODYNAMICS

The laws of black hole thermodynamics [22] are analogous to the laws of classical thermodynamics by defining the Bekenstein entropy S_{bh} [1] and the Hawking temperature T_{bh} [2] as ²

$$S_{bh} = \frac{A}{4}, \quad T_{bh} = \frac{\kappa}{2\pi}. \quad (1)$$

Here, $A = 4\pi r_+^2$, where r_+ is the radius of the event horizon. For the case of Schwarzschild

black hole with mass M , the Schwarzschild radius r_h becomes $r_+ = r_h = 2M$, the area $A = 16\pi M^2$ and the surface gravity κ becomes $\kappa = 1/4M$. By using the quantities in equation (1), the first law of black hole thermodynamics can be written as

$$dM = \frac{\kappa}{8\pi} dA, \quad (2)$$

which is equivalent to the first law of thermodynamics $dE = TdS - PdV$, except for the pressure-volume term PdV [23], with mass M playing the role of internal energy E , $\kappa/2\pi$ playing the role of temperature and $A/4$ playing the role of entropy, respectively. The PdV term can be introduced for an anti-de Sitter (AdS) black hole by considering the negative cosmological constant $\Lambda < 0$ as pressure P [24–26], and introducing the volume V as

$$P = -\frac{\Lambda}{8\pi}, \quad V = \frac{4}{3}\pi r_+^3, \quad (3)$$

so that the extended first law of thermodynamics reads as

$$dM = T_{bh} dS_{bh} - PdV. \quad (4)$$

In this way, the Smarr formula [27] for the mass of the black hole reads

$$M = 2T_{bh} S_{bh} - 2PV. \quad (5)$$

Taking the cosmological constant as a thermodynamic pressure provides the notion of volume for black holes, which is missing from the first law of black hole thermodynamics. In this scenario, the mass M *no longer represents* the black

² Note that here we utilize natural units by taking the speed of light c , the Newton's constant G , the reduced Planck's constant \hbar , and the Boltzmann's constant k_B equal to one.

hole's internal energy. However, it now acts similarly to the gravitational equivalent of enthalpy, which is the sum of internal energy E and the work term PV . Furthermore, there are interesting consequences in this scenario [26, 28–31]. For example, black holes act like Van der Waals fluids. In this manner, intriguing phase behavior such as the reentrant phase transition and triple points in the context of black holes have been examined. In general, black holes act like conventional thermodynamic objects in extended thermodynamics. For more details, see [32] and references therein.

One of the essential properties of Bekenstein entropy S_{bh} is that it is not additive, implying that it is nonextensive in its nature [20], unlike in Gibbs thermodynamics. Therefore, Tsallis nonextensive thermodynamics [19, 21, 33–39] should be an appropriate choice to study the thermodynamics of black holes. The extensivity and additivity of entropy serves as the primary assumption of Gibbs's statistical mechanics, which was historically established following classical thermodynamics. Taking this assumption away results in nonextensive statistical mechanics, of which Tsallis' nonextensive statistical mechanics [18] is one of several examples. Compared to how temperature and pressure are defined in Gibbs thermodynamics, the nonextensive consideration alters how physical temperature is defined in the thermal equilibrium state and how physical pressure is defined in the mechanical equilibrium. As a result, Clausius'

relation and other thermodynamic relations are modified appropriately [35].

The assumption of the extensive nature of entropy is related to disregarding long-range forces of thermodynamic systems [21]. Gibbs's statistics ignore these long-range forces since the size of the system is greater than the range of the force/interaction between the system's constituents. Because of this, the combined entropy of a composite system, which consists of two subsystems, is equal to the sum of the entropies of the constituent subsystems. Long-range forces play a significant role in several exotic thermodynamic systems [18]. For self-gravitating systems, for instance, the Gibbs entropy definition is not a suitable option to consider. For such systems, the entropy of a composite system does not add up and needs to be generalized. The Bekenstein entropy follows a specific nonadditive composition rule in nonextensive thermodynamic systems, and black holes are an important example. The nonextensive thermodynamics of black holes has been the subject of numerous investigations [19, 38–54].

Although several significant discrepancies need to be researched further, the applications of thermodynamic black hole quantities in equation (1) have been thoroughly investigated over the past fifty years. For instance, if we use the black hole quantities in equation (1), the Schwarzschild black hole possesses negative specific heat. Therefore, black holes are unstable regardless of their mass. This is due to the

nonadditive nature of Bekenstein entropy and the fact that stability in thermodynamics corresponds to a system's additive entropy. Similarly, the microcanonical ensemble for asymptotically flat Schwarzschild black holes is unstable. Hence it is impossible to incorporate it at equilibrium [15]. However, when a small negative cosmological constant is included for black holes, the specific heat becomes positive, and the canonical ensemble becomes stable. So it stays stable against small fluctuations around equilibrium at T_{bh} .

Another significant feature of thermodynamics is the thermodynamic Legendre structure [38]. When defining the entropy and temperature for a d -dimensional system (cf. our section VII), it must be satisfied. For instance, if we think of a black hole as a $d = 3$ dimensional object, then S_{bh} and T_{bh} are not the appropriate definitions to use. On the other hand, if we think of a black hole as a $d = 2$ dimensional object, then the quantities in equation (1) are consistent with the Legendre structure. However, the quantity S_{bh} violates the fundamental assumption of extensivity. In light of this, a generalized entropy for black holes in this setting has been established in [38], which is nonadditive, while Bekenstein's entropy follows the additive rule in this scenario. In this form, Bekenstein's entropy additivity is preserved, and the black hole can be viewed as a three-dimensional object. Similarly, in [55, 56], a new generalized entropy and temperature for cosmological horizons have been

presented, and entropic cosmology has been investigated using these new definitions of entropy that satisfy Legendre structure. It should be noted that in most cosmological thermodynamic models, Hawking temperature is specified on the Hubble horizon while nonextensive entropies are defined on the horizon, which is an entirely unphysical option due to the violation of Legendre structure. When employing the Hawking temperature, one must use an entropy definition that complies with the area rule [56]; Bekenstein entropy is one example. One sees in more detail that nonextensive entropy is always accompanied by additive equilibrium entropy, which can be derived from equilibrium temperature. For example, Rényi entropy, which is additive and derives from equilibrium temperature, is an equilibrium entropy in the Tsallis nonextensive setup.

The zeroth law of thermodynamics, which deals with the transitivity relationship between systems and is connected to the notion of temperature, is important for thermodynamic systems in thermal equilibrium. According to the transitivity relation, there must be an empirical temperature for every system in thermal equilibrium. The system's equilibrium condition, which maximizes its overall entropy and adheres to the additive composition rule, is another approach to defining temperature. Furthermore, the internal energies must also adhere to the additive composition rule. This indicates that the underlying principle of classical thermodynamics is

that the system weakly interacts with the bath, such that the interaction energy is not included in the system's internal energy. In this respect, the temperatures obtained from the transitivity relation and the equilibrium condition are equivalent. However, considering a system's strong interaction with a thermal bath, such as for a strongly coupled system, the zeroth law's criterion is based on equilibrium conditions rather than transitivity relations [57]. It is demonstrated that, for a strongly coupled quantum system, such as quantum system 1, one could calculate an effective temperature T_1 that is in equilibrium with the bath having temperature T_B , and for another system, such as quantum system 2, one could calculate an effective temperature T_2 that is also in equilibrium with the same bath having T_B . However, unlike the zeroth law in conventional thermodynamics, the transitive relation does not satisfy this case. Specifically, $T_1 \neq T_2 \neq T_B$. Therefore, there is a clear distinction between the terms "in equilibrium" and "in thermal equilibrium.". It also implies that a system may approach equilibrium but not necessarily thermalize when it is strongly coupled to a bath. This means that the additive composition rule for both internal energy and entropy is sufficient for thermal equilibrium. Internal energy follows an additive composition rule in the context of black holes, whereas Bekenstein entropy follows a nonadditive composition rule, which violates the sufficient criteria for thermal equilibrium. As a result, we require nonextensive ther-

modynamics to account for such inconsistencies. In addition, it would be intriguing to look at the thermodynamics of black holes that are strongly coupled to a heat bath by taking into account the nonadditive composition rule for the internal energies.

The zeroth law has numerous issues in the context of nonextensive thermodynamics, as noted in [37, 58, 59]. Because long-range forces are considered, entropy does not obey the additive rule. The assumption of weak interaction between a system and a thermal bath is still valid by neglecting the interaction energy. The equilibrium condition provides an effective equilibrium temperature [35], from which one can calculate the equilibrium entropy for a system. It should be noted that the absolute temperatures defined for each subsystem differ from the equilibrium temperatures derived from the equilibrium condition. Similarly, the corresponding equilibrium entropy differs from the nonextensive one and follows the additive composition rule. We can therefore conclude that in nonextensive thermodynamics, the effective equilibrium temperature at which the system is in equilibrium can be defined by maximizing the nonextensive entropy and that the associated equilibrium entropy can be determined using the equilibrium temperature. Thus, in the context of equilibrium thermodynamics in the nonextensive setup, we can use traditional Gibbs thermodynamics or statistical mechanics. For a Schwarzschild black hole, for example, the spe-

cific heat is positive for large masses based on the equilibrium temperature and associated equilibrium entropy, and black holes are in equilibrium with the thermal heat bath in the nonextensive setup [41–44, 46–49].

III. NONEXTENSIVE ENTROPY

Tsallis entropy generalizes the Gibbs-Shannon’s entropy into [18]

$$S_q = - \sum_i [p(i)]^q \ln_q p(i), \quad (6)$$

where $p(i)$ is the probability distribution defined on a set of microstates Ω , the parameter q determines the degree of nonextensivity and we consider it positive to ensure the concavity of S_q .

The q -logarithmic function $\ln_q p$ is defined as

$$\ln_q p = \frac{p^{1-q} - 1}{1 - q}, \quad (7)$$

such that, in the limit, $q \rightarrow 1$, the equation (6) reduces to Gibbs-Shannon’s entropy

$$S_G = - \sum_i p(i) \ln p(i). \quad (8)$$

Note that Tsallis entropy (6) satisfies a nonadditive composition rule, which we shall discuss in next section, while Gibbs entropy (8) satisfies the additive composition rule. However, via “formal logarithm” approach [37], one can write a corresponding additive entropy in terms of S_q such that

$$S_R = \frac{k}{1-q} \left[\ln \left(1 + \frac{1-q}{k} S_T \right) \right], \quad (9)$$

which happens to be the Rényi entropy [60]

$$S_R = k \frac{\ln \sum_i p^q(i)}{1-q}. \quad (10)$$

Later, we shall see that S_R is related with the equilibrium condition and it will be equilibrium entropy for a nonextensive system, which will also corresponds to an equilibrium temperature defined from the equilibrium condition by maximizing the nonextensive entropy (6).

IV. GENERAL COMPOSITION RULE AND EQUILIBRIUM TEMPERATURE IN A NONEXTENSIVE SETUP

By following [39], we consider a thermodynamic system composed of two independent subsystems, 1 and 2, in contact with each other. By defining a general composition rule

$$S_{12} = f(S_1, S_2), \quad (11)$$

which tells us that any total entropy S_{12} can be expressed in terms of the entropies of subsystems S_1 and S_2 . Here, f is a bivariate function of the C^2 , and it is assumed to be symmetric. In this context, the Gibbs-Shannon entropy S_G satisfies

$$f(S_{G1}, S_{G2}) = S_{G12} = S_{G1} + S_{G2}, \quad (12)$$

and Tsallis nonextensive entropy S_q follows the following general nonadditive composition rule

$$f(S_{q1}, S_{q2}) = S_{q12} = S_{q1} + S_{q2} + \frac{\lambda}{k} S_{q1} S_{q2}, \quad (13)$$

for a thermodynamic system having total entropy S_{q12} , which is composed of two independent subsystems having entropies S_{q1} and S_{q2} , which are in contact with each other thermally.

Since we are interested in equilibrium thermodynamics, we consider fixed total internal energy $U_{q12} = U_{q1} + U_{q2}$ for a composite system,

where U_{q1} and U_{q2} are the internal energies of the indivisible subsystems, and the equilibrium condition can be found by maximizing the equation (13), i.e., $\delta S_{q12} = 0$ with $\delta U_{q12} = 0$, which gives the following condition

$$\frac{k\beta}{1 + (\lambda/k)S_{q1}} = \frac{k\beta}{1 + (\lambda/k)S_{q2}} = k\beta^*, \quad (14)$$

where $k\beta^*$ is a separation constant and the parameter β for each subsystem is defined as

$$k\beta = \frac{\partial S_{q1}}{\partial U_{q1}} = \frac{\partial S_{q2}}{\partial U_{q2}}. \quad (15)$$

Now we can easily write down the effective temperature as an equilibrium temperature by using the equilibrium condition (14) such that

$$T_{eq} = \frac{1}{k\beta^*} = \left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{k}S_q\right) \frac{1}{k\beta}. \quad (16)$$

Similarly, the equilibrium pressure P_{eq} can be defined in the state of mechanical equilibrium by maximizing the entropy (13) with fixed total volume $V = V_1 + V_2$ of the composite system and individual subsystem volumes V_1 and V_2 , which gives the following condition

$$\frac{\partial S_{q1}/\partial V_1}{1 + (\lambda/k)S_{q1}} = \frac{\partial S_{q2}/\partial V_2}{1 + (\lambda/k)S_{q2}} = \frac{P_{eq}}{T_{eq}}, \quad (17)$$

so that the physical pressure reads as

$$P_{eq} = \frac{T_{eq}}{1 + (\lambda/k)S_q} \frac{\partial S_q}{\partial V}. \quad (18)$$

We shall see that the Clausius relation modifies due to the above equilibrium temperature and the equilibrium pressure.

In order to develop the nonextensive thermodynamic relations, we use the Legendre transformation and the first law of thermodynamics. In

[33], the free energy F_q , as the Legendre transform structure, in the context of nonextensive thermodynamics, is defined as

$$F_q = U_q - \frac{1}{k\beta}S_q. \quad (19)$$

In the above equation, the variable in front of S_q is the inverse of Lagrange multiplier β which gives the nonphysical temperature. However, all thermodynamic quantities should be written in physical variables. Therefore, the above definition of F_q is not well defined. Therefore, in [35], Abe et al. proposed the following generalized free energy

$$F_q = U_q - T_{eq} \frac{k}{\lambda} \ln \left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{k}S_q\right), \quad (20)$$

where $\beta^*(\beta)$ is introduced which gives the effective equilibrium temperature T_{eq} . In order to define the modified Clausius' relation, take the derivative of F_q and using the first law of thermodynamics $dQ_q = dU_q + P_{phy}dV$, we can write

$$\frac{k}{\lambda} d \ln \left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{k}S_q\right) = \frac{dQ_q}{T_{eq}}. \quad (21)$$

which is modified by Clausius' relation for nonextensive systems. From above equations (19) and (20), we can define a new form of entropy and we denote it by S_R

$$S_R = \frac{k}{\lambda} \ln \left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{k}S_q\right). \quad (22)$$

Furthermore, the new equilibrium entropy S_R , by using the composition rule (13) of S_q , follows the additive rule, which can be easily shown as

$$S_{R12} = S_{R1} + S_{R2}, \quad (23)$$

and, by maximizing the new equilibrium entropy, i.e. $\delta S_{R12} = 0$ with $\delta U_q = 0$, it gives the same equilibrium temperature T_{eq} . Interestingly, this new definition of entropy S_R happens to be the Rényi entropy if the Tsallis entropy S_q is given. In the following subsection, we discuss the application of the above equation for the Schwarzschild black hole.

V. RÉNYI BLACK HOLE ENTROPY, TEMPERATURE, AND MASS

As an example of the application, we assume that Bekenstein entropy (1) is the Tsallis entropy S_T in (9) and write down the corresponding equilibrium entropy S_R in terms of S_{bh} and the equilibrium temperature T_{eq} in terms of T_{bh} .

For the case of the Schwarzschild black hole, we can write the Rényi entropy S_R (9) as

$$S_R = \frac{k}{\lambda} \ln(1 + \pi \lambda r_h^2), \quad (24)$$

and the equilibrium temperature T_{eq} (16) as (cf. formula (19) of Ref. [45])

$$T_{eq} = T_R = \frac{1}{4\pi r_h} + \frac{\lambda r_h}{4}. \quad (25)$$

By using the equations (24) and (25), we write the mass M_R of the Rényi black hole by using the relation for the Smarr mass

$$M_R = 2T_R S_R. \quad (26)$$

Ignoring the higher orders of λ by considering small nonextensivity ($\lambda \ll 1$ for $q \approx 1$), we can write down equation (26) as

$$M_R = \frac{r_h}{2} + \frac{\lambda \pi r_h^3}{4} \quad (27)$$

or, explicitly in terms of the Schwarzschild radius $r_h = 2M$ as

$$M_R = M + 2\pi\lambda M^3. \quad (28)$$

This means that the mass of the Rényi black hole M_R is the sum of the mass of the Schwarzschild black hole plus some “environment mass” due to the extra term in the equation (28). That is, in equation (27), M_R is no longer internal energy due to the additional term. Let us notice that the equation (27) can be written as

$$M_R = 2T_{bh} S_{bh} + \frac{\lambda \pi r_h^3}{4}. \quad (29)$$

It is shown in [48, 49] that the nonextensive parameter λ can be taken as a thermodynamic pressure

$$P = \frac{3\lambda}{32}, \quad (30)$$

and by defining its conjugate variable as the thermodynamic volume $V = \frac{4\pi}{3} r_h^3$, we can write a consistent Smarr formula like equation [27]

$$M_R = 2T_{bh} S_{bh} + 2PV. \quad (31)$$

Thus the Rényi black hole mass M_R should be interpreted as an enthalpy instead of the internal energy of the black hole, like in the case of an AdS black hole. In this way, we can write the extended first law of thermodynamics as

$$dM_R = T_{bh} dS_{bh} - PdV. \quad (32)$$

Within this context, interesting studies have been investigated in [47–49] for different black

holes. For example, solid, liquid phase transition, and Latent heat via Rényi extended phase space have been studied, and black hole heat engines have also been investigated in this scenario. At least mathematically, we can say that there is an equivalent relation between the AdS black holes and Rényi black holes.

The authors in [47–49] introduce the nonextensive characteristic scale L_λ by taking $\pi\lambda = l_p^2/L_\lambda^2$ such that this nonextensivity length scale emerges as a result of the Rényi statistics. This means that L_λ becomes infinite when we go back to the Gibbs statistics, as λ approaches zero. For $r_h > L_\lambda$, the black holes are stable with positive specific heat, and hence they are in equilibrium with a large heat bath. For $r_h < L_\lambda$, the heat capacity is negative; hence, black holes with small masses are unstable. If we ignore the quantum gravity effects, note that $L_\lambda > l_p$ such that we have a bound on $\lambda < 1/\pi$. We want to comment on the length scale for the Rényi entropy. Considering the length scale for $\lambda = 1/L^2$, S_R scales with L^2 like for the case of Bekenstein entropy S_{bh} , which also scales with L^2 . Similarly, T_R and T_{bh} scales with L^{-1} . This means that both S_R and S_{bh} are compatible in defining a black hole's event horizon.

In [50], the authors analyzed thermodynamic inconsistencies while utilizing the Rényi black hole entropy with Hawking temperature T_{bh} . For instance, by applying the first law of thermody-

namics, $dE_R = T_{bh}dS_R$, they found

$$E_R = M - \frac{4\pi\lambda M^2}{3}, \quad (33)$$

where higher order terms in λ are ignored³. The authors contend that the equation for E_R differs from the black hole mass M , i.e., $E_R \neq M$, and that there is no physical explanation for this expression. Thus, Rényi entropy is not a viable option for black holes. Additionally, they claim that it conflicts with the principle of energy conservation under the scenario of spherically symmetric dust shell collapse leading to the formation of the Schwarzschild black hole. As a result, Rényi entropy cannot be used in conjunction with the Hawking temperature for black holes. We agree with their findings. However, there is no valid reason to employ the Hawking temperature with Rényi entropy. In this article, we present the thermodynamic arguments for why using Hawking temperature with Rényi entropy is physically unsuitable, and we analyze the corresponding Rényi temperature that should be used with Rényi entropy to prevent unphysical scenarios and inconsistencies.

We contend that the assumption that uses the Hawking temperature with the Rényi entropy is incorrect because, in nonextensive thermodynamics, the Rényi temperature $T_R = T_{eq}$ is the effective temperature associated with the equilibrium condition (IV). The related equilibrium entropy is the Rényi entropy $S_{eq} = S_R$.

³ Here, parameter λ corresponds to the α in the mentioned paper.

Therefore, we must utilize the Rényi temperature when utilizing the Rényi entropy and there is no any correspondence between Hawking temperature and Rényi entropy, so there is no physical justification for utilizing the Rényi entropy while using the Hawking temperature or vice versa. It is worth noting that by using, $dE_R = T_R dS_R$, we get $E_R = M$, which gives a consistent thermodynamic relationship between the black's energy and mass.

Another key reason for not using the Hawking temperature T_{bh} with the Rényi entropy S_R is its inconsistency with the Legendre structure (19) and (20), which we shall discuss in further detail in one of the following sections. For example, to describe all thermodynamic quantities as physical variables, we must utilize physical temperature $T_{eq} = T_R$ with S_R when defining free energy F . See, for instance, equations (19) and (20). If we use $\beta = 1/T_{bh}$ instead of $\beta^* = 1/T_{eq}$ in F , then F cannot be represented in physical variables, i.e., β does not give the equilibrium condition in this case.

With the preceding arguments, we can conclude that the Rényi temperature and entropy have valid physical interpretations and that these quantities for a black hole are well defined when the black hole is in equilibrium with the surroundings. This means that T_R represents the physical temperature of the entire system containing a black hole embedded in some surroundings. This is simply demonstrated by the equation (28), where the first term is the mass

of the Schwarzschild black hole and the second term is due to work done by the environment. It is worth noting that the higher order terms in λ are disregarded in the equation (28). Additionally, the parameter λ is somewhat related to the cosmological constant, which can be related to the pressure, giving the same extended thermodynamics for black holes. For instance, compare equations (II), (4), and (5) with equations (27), (30), and (32). Note that, in [61], the author used the Padmanabhan thermodynamic approach, in which the Rényi entropy is specified on the Hubble horizon, to obtain a term similar to the cosmological constant in the Friedmann equation. This provides yet another rationale for linking the cosmological constant and the parameter λ .

VI. COMPOSITION RULE AND EQUILIBRIUM TEMPERATURE FOR BEKENSTEIN ENTROPY

In the previous section, we assumed that $S_T = S_{bh}$ ⁴ and also we assume that it follows the nonadditive composition rule (13). However, in [39], the author used a unique composition rule by using the definition of Bekenstein entropy and finding the equilibrium entropy and associated equilibrium temperature. By following [35, 41],

⁴ In most of the literature for Rényi black hole entropy, $S_T = S_{bh}$ is substituted in S_R , because S_{bh} is nonadditive. However, the problem with this assumption is that, if we rely on Bekenstein's definition, S_{bh} does not follow the same composition rule for S_T .

the composition rule for black hole entropy can be written as

$$S_{bh12} = S_{bh1} + S_{bh2} + 2\sqrt{S_{bh1}}\sqrt{S_{bh2}}, \quad (34)$$

where we consider the case of two black holes, having entropies S_{bh1} and S_{bh2} before the merger, and S_{bh12} is the entropy of a resulting black hole after the merger. By maximizing the entropy (34), We have the equilibrium condition

$$\frac{\beta_1}{\sqrt{S_{bh1}}} = \frac{\beta_2}{\sqrt{S_{bh2}}} = k\beta^* \quad (35)$$

where the parameter β^* is defined as

$$\beta^* = \frac{\beta}{\sqrt{S_{bh}}} = \frac{1}{k_B T_{eq}}, \quad (36)$$

where $\beta = 1/T_{bh}$ is the usual inverse Hawking temperature. Now we can write T_{eq}

$$T_{eq} = \frac{\sqrt{S_{bh}}}{\beta}, \quad (37)$$

and the associated equilibrium entropy can be written as

$$S_{eq} = 2\sqrt{S_{bh}}. \quad (38)$$

Interestingly, the above equilibrium entropy is additive; like in the previous section, equilibrium entropy S_R follows the additive rule for the general nonextensive case. For the case of the Schwarzschild black hole, the physical temperature becomes $T_{eq} = 1/4\sqrt{\pi}$ and associated equilibrium entropy reads as $S_{eq} = M$. This means that the equilibrium entropy is a linear function of the mass of the black hole.

In [41], the same results have been obtained by using the so-called ‘‘formal logarithm’’ approach [37], and it is shown that, within this

approach, pure isolated black holes are thermodynamically stable against spherically symmetric perturbations.

VII. COMPOSITION RULE AND EQUILIBRIUM TEMPERATURE FOR TSALLIS BLACK HOLE ENTROPY

The Legendre transform is significant in classical mechanics, statistical mechanics, and thermodynamics because it describes how information is coded in functional form. It demonstrates how to write a function with the same information as $F(x)$ but as a function of dF/dx . For example, the inverse temperature $\beta = 1/T$ is the conjugate of a system’s total energy E . Despite this, we use the temperature T in the majority of the relationships. The familiar equation

$$F = E - TS \quad (39)$$

which relates the Helmholtz free energy F to the entropy S , and it hides the symmetry between β and E . However, one can write the duality between them by writing the dimensionless form of (39). In this way, Gibbs’s free energy is another example.

By following [19, 38], for a general d dimensional system, the Gibbs free energy G reads as

$$G = U - TS + pV - \mu N, \quad (40)$$

where T , p , μ , are the temperature, pressure, and chemical potential, and U , S , V , and N are the internal energy, entropy, volume, and the number of particles, respectively. Here, S ,

V , and N are the extensive variables scaling with $V = L^d$, where L is the linear dimension of d -dimensional system, and the intensive variables T , p , and μ scaling with L^θ , and finally those variables representing the energies, G and U scaling with L^ϵ . From the above equation, it follows that

$$\epsilon = \theta + d. \quad (41)$$

Schwarzschild $(3 + 1)$ -dimensional black holes have $E = M$ and M scales with L . Since $\epsilon = 1$ for this case, we obtain $\theta = 1 - d$ from the equation above. Let's take into account the Bekenstein entropy, which scales with L^2 . This indicates that the temperature for a Schwarzschild $(3 + 1)$ -dimensional black hole scales with L^{-1} , which is precisely true for Hawking temperature T_{bh} . This indicates that the quantities S_{bh} and T_{bh} satisfy the Legendre structure if we think of a black hole as a two-dimensional object. However, the extensive and additive nature of the entropy S is the foundation of the aforementioned Legendre structure, but the nonadditive nature of Bekenstein entropy makes it presumed to be nonextensive. Because of this, it deviates from the core principle of traditional Gibbs thermodynamics. In order to satisfy the fundamental principles of thermodynamics, it is necessary to modify the definitions of entropy and temperature for black holes. Additionally, if we consider black holes as three-dimensional objects based on the aforementioned Legendre structure, the definitions of entropy and temperature alter for

black holes. Tsallis proposed a new type of black hole entropy to address this issue, and it is defined as follows:

$$S_T = k_B \left(\frac{S_{bh}}{k_B} \right)^\delta, \quad (42)$$

where $\delta > 0$ and its composition rule is given by

$$S_{T12} = \left[(S_{T1})^{1/\delta} + (S_{T2})^{1/\delta} \right]^\delta. \quad (43)$$

In this context, the S_{bh} is additive, and S^T is nonadditive. For $\delta = 3/2$, S_T is proportional to the volume for the case of the Schwarzschild black hole, and so it is an extensive and additive quantity. If we consider black hole as $d = 3$ dimensional system, then $S = S_{\delta=3/2}$ and $\theta = -2$, which means that T must scale with $1/L^2$. The corresponding Tsallis temperature can be written by using S^T as

$$T_\delta = \frac{T_{bh}}{\delta} \left(\frac{S_{bh}}{k_B} \right)^{1-\delta}, \quad (44)$$

which scales with $1/L^2$ for $\delta = 3/2$, i.e., $T_\delta \propto 1/M^2$, for the case of Schwarzschild black hole. Now using the equilibrium condition, we maximize the Tsallis black hole entropy S^T , i.e., $\delta S_{12}^T = 0$ with the assumption that the total energy is fixed, then the equilibrium condition gives

$$(S_{T1})^{\frac{1-\delta}{\delta}} \frac{\partial S_{T1}}{\partial U_1} = (S_{T2})^{\frac{1-\delta}{\delta}} \frac{\partial S_{T2}}{\partial U_2} = \beta^*, \quad (45)$$

which means that the physical temperature for this case can be written as

$$T_{phys} = \frac{1}{\beta^*} = T_\delta (S^T)^{\frac{\delta-1}{\delta}}, \quad (46)$$

and corresponding equilibrium entropy can be written as

$$S_{eq} = \delta(S_T)^{1/\delta}. \quad (47)$$

Interestingly, using the values of S_T (42) and T_δ (42) in above equations (46) and (47), we get $T_{eq} = T_{bh}/\delta$ and corresponding equilibrium entropy would be $S_{eq} = \delta S_{bh}$. Again, in the context of the above composition rule (43), the equilibrium entropy for this case is also additive. Note that, now the definitions of equilibrium entropy $S_{eq} = \delta S_{bh}$ and equilibrium temperature $T_{eq} = T_{bh}/\delta$ are defined in terms of S_T and T_δ . In this regard, we can say that the Bekenstein entropy and Hawking temperatures are the equilibrium entropy and equilibrium temperature for the nonextensive Tsallis black hole entropy and the Tsallis black hole temperature.

Let us revisit the Legendre structure in this situation. Similarly to the situation of S_R , in [50], the authors utilized the argument against the Hawking temperature T_{bh} (1) associated usually with Tsallis black hole entropy (42) in numerous applications. In this context, they analyze that $E_T \neq M$ by applying the relation $dE_T = T_{bh}dS_T$, and therefore T_{bh} is not an appropriate choice to employ with S_T . The authors provided no reason for using T_{bh} with S_T . This is merely an assumption; we will always obtain nonphysical results if we make inappropriate assumptions because the relationship $dE = TdS$ between temperature and entropy is required for a well-defined Legendre structure. For example,

T_{bh} and S_T cannot be used in the thermodynamic potential G because both are incompatible. To avoid unphysical outcomes, one must utilize equivalent compatible physical quantities, such as T_δ with S_T with $dE_T = T_\delta dS_T$.

VIII. BARROW ENTROPY AND EQUILIBRIUM TEMPERATURE

Formally, Tsallis entropy as given by the formula (42) together with (1), is the same as the Barrow entropy [62] which is defined as

$$S_B = k_B \left(\frac{A}{A_{Pl}} \right)^{1+\frac{\Delta}{2}}, \quad (48)$$

where A_{Pl} is the Planck area, and $0 \leq \Delta \leq 1$. While comparing both definitions, we can see that [63]

$$1 + \frac{\Delta}{2} = \delta, \quad (49)$$

for both formulas to be (up to a factor) the same. The extensive limit of the nonextensive Barrow entropy is given for $\Delta = 1$, which corresponds to $\delta = 3/2$ (extensive) limit of the Tsallis entropy.

However, Barrow's entropy comes from the purely geometrical or rather fully non-thermodynamical motivation. Shortly, the idea is to replace a black hole smooth spherical horizon with the fractal structure of spheres attached infinitely to the spherical horizon forming the so-called sphere flake, characterized by a fractal dimension D_f falling into the interval $2 \leq D_f \leq 3$. This leads to an effective horizon sphere radius to be

$$r_{eff} = r^{1+\Delta/2}, \quad (50)$$

where r is the radius of the non-fractal horizon. The horizon area is then modified accordingly

$$A_{eff} = 4\pi r_{eff}^2 \quad (51)$$

and so is the (area) entropy.

Despite that, it seems that Tsallis thermodynamics can fully be applied to the Barrow entropy within the range of Tsallis nonextensivity parameter $1 < \delta < 3/2$. This also means that the equilibrium temperature for Barrow entropy can also be defined, and it falls into the same formula (up to some factors) as for the Tsallis entropy as given by (44). Barrow entropy has recently been used in many cosmological horizon applications claiming to serve as holographic dark energy [64–69].

IX. CONCLUSIONS

We have explored several aspects of nonextensive thermodynamics of black holes. In particular, by maximizing various nonextensive entropies defined on the event horizon, we have studied the equilibrium temperature for a Schwarzschild black hole and obtained the equilibrium conditions in the nonextensive setting. We have come to the conclusion that there is always an equilibrium temperature in the nonextensive setup which is different from the absolute temperature and corresponds to an additive equilibrium entropy that is different from the nonextensive one.

The primary purpose of our study has been

to determine whether the Hawking temperature was appropriate for black holes and other cosmological applications in the nonextensive scenario. In this respect, we have shown that Hawking temperature is not a relevant thermodynamic quantity to take into account while studying nonextensive entropy of black hole and cosmological horizons. For instance, we have shown that the Legendre structure is not valid when one associates the Hawking temperature with the Rényi black hole entropy and Tsallis black hole entropy, and therefore the Hawking temperature is not the appropriate temperature in this situation. Furthermore, we have found that by considering Bekenstein entropy as a nonextensive entropy, the Rényi temperature was the equilibrium temperature and Rényi entropy is the equilibrium entropy for black holes in the nonextensive setup.

In the nonextensive setup, the assumption of Bekenstein entropy as Tsallis entropy is unclear. The nonadditive nature of Bekenstein entropy provides the basis of this supposition. Bekenstein entropy, on the other hand, follows a specific composition rule based on the entropy-area relation rather than the generic nonextensive composition rule. In this context, we have explored the equilibrium temperature by maximizing the Bekenstein entropy, which is simply a constant independent of the mass of the black hole, and the associated equilibrium entropy for this case is proportional to the mass of the black hole.

Finally, by maximizing the Tsallis black hole entropy, we have investigated the equilibrium temperature and have demonstrated that the Hawking temperature is the equilibrium temperature, and the Bekenstein entropy is the corresponding equilibrium entropy for such a case. Similar result is true for the case of Barrow en-

tropy, too.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The work of I.C. and M.P.D. was supported by the Polish National Science Centre grant No. DEC-2020/39/O/ST2/02323.

-
- [1] J. D. Bekenstein, *Phys. Rev. D* **7**, 2333 (1973).
 [2] S. W. Hawking, *Nature* **248**, 30 (1974).
 [3] S. W. Hawking, *Phys. Rev. D* **14**, 2460 (1976).
 [4] E. P. Verlinde, *JHEP* **04**, 029 (2011), [arXiv:1001.0785 \[hep-th\]](#).
 [5] T. Jacobson, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **75**, 1260 (1995), [arXiv:gr-qc/9504004](#).
 [6] S. Wang, Y. Wang, and M. Li, *Phys. Rept.* **696**, 1 (2017), [arXiv:1612.00345 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#).
 [7] T. Padmanabhan, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **25**, 1129 (2010), [arXiv:0912.3165 \[gr-qc\]](#).
 [8] R.-G. Cai, L.-M. Cao, and N. Ohta, *Phys. Rev. D* **81**, 061501 (2010), [arXiv:1001.3470 \[hep-th\]](#).
 [9] R.-G. Cai and S. P. Kim, *JHEP* **02**, 050 (2005), [arXiv:hep-th/0501055](#).
 [10] D. A. Easson, P. H. Frampton, and G. F. Smoot, *Phys. Lett. B* **696**, 273 (2011), [arXiv:1002.4278 \[hep-th\]](#).
 [11] F. Scardigli, *Phys. Lett. B* **452**, 39 (1999), [arXiv:hep-th/9904025](#).
 [12] A. Alonso-Serrano, M. P. Dabrowski, and H. Gohar, *Phys. Rev. D* **97**, 044029 (2018), [arXiv:1801.09660 \[gr-qc\]](#).
 [13] K. A. Meissner, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **21**, 5245 (2004), [arXiv:gr-qc/0407052](#).
 [14] C. Rovelli, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **77**, 3288 (1996), [arXiv:gr-qc/9603063](#).
 [15] S. Das, P. Majumdar, and R. K. Bhaduri, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **19**, 2355 (2002), [arXiv:hep-th/0111001](#).
 [16] M. K. Parikh and F. Wilczek, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **85**, 5042 (2000), [arXiv:hep-th/9907001](#).
 [17] A. Ejaz, H. Gohar, H. Lin, K. Saifullah, and S.-T. Yau, *Phys. Lett. B* **726**, 827 (2013), [arXiv:1306.6380 \[hep-th\]](#).
 [18] C. Tsallis, *Introduction to Nonextensive Statistical Mechanics: A* (Springer New York, NY, 2009).
 [19] C. Tsallis and L. J. L. Cirto, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **73**, 2487 (2013), [arXiv:1202.2154 \[cond-mat.stat-mech\]](#).
 [20] P. C. W. Davies, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A* **353**, 499 (1977).
 [21] C. Tsallis, *J. Statist. Phys.* **52**, 479 (1988).
 [22] J. M. Bardeen, B. Carter, and S. W. Hawking, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **31**, 161 (1973).
 [23] B. P. Dolan, “Where Is the PdV in the First Law of Black Hole Thermodynamics?” (INTECH, 2012) [arXiv:1209.1272 \[gr-qc\]](#).

- [24] D. Kastor, S. Ray, and J. Traschen, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **26**, 195011 (2009), [arXiv:0904.2765 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [25] D. Kubiznak and R. B. Mann, *JHEP* **07**, 033 (2012), [arXiv:1205.0559 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [26] D. Kubiznak and R. B. Mann, *Can. J. Phys.* **93**, 999 (2015), [arXiv:1404.2126 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [27] L. Smarr, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **30**, 71 (1973), [Erratum: *Phys.Rev.Lett.* 30, 521–521 (1973)].
- [28] S.-W. Wei and Y.-X. Liu, *Phys. Rev. D* **90**, 044057 (2014), [arXiv:1402.2837 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [29] M. Cvetič, G. W. Gibbons, D. Kubiznak, and C. N. Pope, *Phys. Rev. D* **84**, 024037 (2011), [arXiv:1012.2888 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [30] N. Altamirano, D. Kubiznak, and R. B. Mann, *Phys. Rev. D* **88**, 101502 (2013), [arXiv:1306.5756 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [31] N. Altamirano, D. Kubizňák, R. B. Mann, and Z. Sherkatghanad, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **31**, 042001 (2014), [arXiv:1308.2672 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [32] D. Kubizňák, R. B. Mann, and M. Teo, *Classical and Quantum Gravity* **34**, 063001 (2017).
- [33] C. Tsallis, R. Mendes, and A. Plastino, *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications* **161**, 271 (1989).
- [34] A. S. Parvan and T. S. Biro, *Phys. Lett. A* **340**, 375 (2005), [arXiv:hep-ph/0407131](#).
- [35] S. Abe, S. Martinez, F. Pennini, and A. Plastino, *Physics Letters A* **281**, 126 (2001).
- [36] S. Abe and A. K. Rajagopal, *Europhysics Letters (EPL)* **55**, 6 (2001).
- [37] T. S. Biró and P. Ván, *Physical Review E* **83** (2011), 10.1103/physreve.83.061147.
- [38] C. Tsallis, *Entropy* **22**, 17 (2019).
- [39] S. Abe, *Physical Review E* **63** (2001), 10.1103/physreve.63.061147.
- [40] T. S. Biró and V. G. Czin-
ner, *Phys. Lett. B* **726**, 861 (2013), [arXiv:1309.4261 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [41] V. G. Czin-
ner, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **24**, 1542015 (2015).
- [42] V. G. Czin-
ner and H. Iguchi, *Phys. Lett. B* **752**, 306 (2016), [arXiv:1511.06963 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [43] V. G. Czin-
ner and H. Iguchi, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **77**, 892 (2017), [arXiv:1702.05341 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [44] V. G. Czin-
ner and H. Iguchi, *Universe* **3**, 14 (2017).
- [45] A. Alonso-Serrano, M. P. Dabrowski, and H. Gohar, *Phys. Rev. D* **103**, 026021 (2021), [arXiv:2009.02129 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [46] E. Hirunsirisawat, R. Nakarachinda, and C. Promsiri, (2022), [arXiv:2204.13023 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [47] R. Nakarachinda, E. Hirunsirisawat, L. Tannukij, and P. Wongjun, *Phys. Rev. D* **104**, 064003 (2021), [arXiv:2106.02838 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [48] C. Promsiri, E. Hirunsirisawat, and W. Liewrian, *Phys. Rev. D* **102**, 064014 (2020), [arXiv:2003.12986 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [49] C. Promsiri, E. Hirunsirisawat, and W. Liewrian, *Phys. Rev. D* **104**, 064004 (2021), [arXiv:2106.02406 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [50] S. Nojiri, S. D. Odintsov, and V. Faraoni, *Phys. Rev. D* **104**, 084030 (2021), [arXiv:2109.05315 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [51] Y. Liu, (2022), [arXiv:2203.01814 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [52] K. Mejrhit and S.-E. Ennadifi, *Physics Letters B* **794**, 45 (2019).

- [53] A. Majhi, *Phys. Lett. B* **775**, 32 (2017), [arXiv:1703.09355 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [54] G. G. Luciano and M. Blasone, *Phys. Rev. D* **104**, 045004 (2021), [arXiv:2104.00395 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [55] D. J. Zamora and C. Tsallis, *Phys. Lett. B* **827**, 136967 (2022), [arXiv:2201.01835 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [56] D. J. Zamora and C. Tsallis, (2022), [arXiv:2201.03385 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [57] J.-T. Hsiang and B.-L. Hu, *Phys. Rev. D* **103**, 085004 (2021), [arXiv:2012.15607 \[cond-mat.stat-mech\]](#).
- [58] M. Nauenberg, *Phys. Rev. E* **67**, 036114 (2003).
- [59] C. Ou and J. Chen, *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications* **370**, 23251 (2006), [arXiv:2010.2090 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [60] A. Renyi, *Acta Mathematica Academiae Scientiarum Hungarica* **10**, 1 (1950).
- [61] N. Komatsu, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **77**, 229 (2017), [arXiv:1611.04084 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [62] J. D. Barrow, *Physics Letters B* **808**, 135643 (2020).
- [63] E. M. Abreu and J. Ananias Neto, *Physics Letters B* **810**, 135805 (2020).
- [64] E. N. Saridakis, *Phys. Rev. D* **102**, 123525 (2020), [arXiv:2005.04115 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [65] M. P. Dabrowski and V. Salzano, *Phys. Rev. D* **102**, 064047 (2020), [arXiv:2009.08306 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#).
- [66] L.-H. Wang and M.-S. Ma, *Phys. Lett. B* **831**, 137181 (2022), [arXiv:2205.13208 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [67] S. Di Gennaro, H. Xu, and Y. C. Ong, (2022), [arXiv:2207.09271 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [68] G. G. Luciano and E. N. Saridakis, *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications* **370**, 23251 (2006), [arXiv:2010.2090 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [69] S. Di Gennaro and Y. C. Ong, (2022), [arXiv:2205.09311 \[gr-qc\]](#).