

NON-ISOMETRIC PAIRS OF RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLDS WITH THE SAME GUILLEMIN-RUELLE ZETA FUNCTION

Hy P.G. Lam *

ABSTRACT. In [Su85], T. Sunada constructed a vast collection of non-isometric Laplace-isospectral pairs (M_1, g_1) , resp. (M_2, g_2) of Riemannian manifolds. He further proves that the Ruelle zeta functions $Z_g(s) := \prod_{\gamma} (1 - e^{-sL(\gamma)})^{-1}$ of (M_1, g_1) , resp. (M_2, g_2) coincide, where $\{\gamma\}$ runs over the primitive closed geodesics of (M, g) and $L(\gamma)$ is the length of γ . In this article, we use the method of intertwining operators on the unit cosphere bundle to prove that the same Sunada pairs have identical Guillemin-Ruelle dynamical L-functions $L_G(s) = \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{G}} \frac{L_{\gamma}^{\#} e^{-sL_{\gamma}}}{|\det(I - \mathbf{P}_{\gamma})|}$, where the sum runs over all closed geodesics.

1 INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this article is to use a modified Sunada construction to produce non-isometric pairs with the same Guillemin-Ruelle dynamical zeta function. To state the result, we need to introduce some background and notation. Assume that a connected compact manifold M_0 fits as the base of a diagram of finite normal covers,

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & (M, \pi^* g_0) & & & \\
 \pi_1 \swarrow & \downarrow \pi & \searrow \pi_2 & & \\
 (M_1 := H_1 \backslash M, p_1^* g_0) & & (M_2 := H_2 \backslash M, p_2^* g_0) & & \\
 & \downarrow p_1 & \downarrow p_2 & & \\
 & (M_0 = G \backslash M, g_0) & & &
 \end{array} \tag{1.0.1}$$

where the triplet (G, H_1, H_2) satisfies $L^2(G/H_1) \simeq L^2(G/H_2)$ as unitarily equivalent G -modules. Suppose that G acts freely on M . Sunada then proves that for any metric g_0 on M_0 , the induced metrics $g_i := p_i^* g_0$ ($i = 1, 2$) are Laplace-isospectral [Su85]. In addition, the pairs have the same Ruelle length L-function $L_g(s) = \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{G}} e^{-sL_{\gamma}}$, where the sum runs over all closed geodesics (see [Su94] for more geometric descriptions of L -functions).

Diagram (1.0.1) induces a diagram of finite covers of cosphere bundles,

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & S^* M & & & \\
 \tilde{\pi}_1 \swarrow & \downarrow \tilde{\pi} & \searrow \tilde{\pi}_2 & & \\
 S^* M_1 & & S^* M_2 & & \\
 & \downarrow \tilde{p}_1 & \downarrow \tilde{p}_2 & & \\
 & S^* M_0 & & &
 \end{array} \tag{1.0.2}$$

For each cosphere bundle of (1.0.2), we denote the Hamiltonian flow by G_g^t (§2.1), and the set of its periodic orbits $\gamma = \gamma(t)$ by \mathcal{G} . We denote L_{γ} and $L_{\gamma}^{\#}$ respectively the length and

*Research partially supported by NSF RTG grant DMS-1502632.

prime period of γ . Let \mathbf{P}_γ be the associated linearized Poincaré map (§2.2). G_g^t is said to be *Lefschetz* if all of its periodic orbits are non-degenerate, that is, the maps $I - \mathbf{P}_\gamma$ are invertible. Under this hypothesis, we define the Guillemin-Ruelle zeta function with respect to a given Riemannian metric g by

$$L_G(s) = \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{G}} \frac{L_\gamma^\# e^{-sL_\gamma}}{|\det(I - \mathbf{P}_\gamma)|} \quad (1.0.3)$$

More generally, a geodesic flow is said to be *clean* if its fixed point sets are Bott-Morse non-degenerate (§2.3). Let $\text{Fix}(G_g^t) := \{\zeta \in S^*M : G_g^t \zeta = \zeta\}$ be the fixed points set of G_g^t . Under the clean fixed point condition, $\text{Fix}(G_g^t) = Z_1 \cup \dots \cup Z_d$, each Z_j is a submanifold of S_g^*M and $T_\zeta Z_j = \text{Fix}((dG_g^t)_\zeta)$. The Poincaré map is replaced by the *normal* Poincaré map $P_\gamma^\#$ in (1.0.3) (see §3.4), which is simply the bijective mapping induced by dG_g^t

$$(I - P_{Z_j}^\#) := (I - dG_g^t)^\# : TS^*M/TZ_j \rightarrow TS^*M/TZ_j. \quad (1.0.4)$$

Furthermore, on each Z_j , there is a Gelfand-Leray form

$$\int_{Z_j} d\nu_{Z_j}(\zeta) = \int_{Z_j} \frac{\mu_L}{|\det(I - \mathbf{P}_{Z_j}^\#)| \hat{\beta}}$$

where μ_L is the normalized Liouville measure on S_g^*M and $\hat{\beta}$ is the volume density on the normal space NZ_j determined by the defining equation $dG_g^t \zeta = \zeta$ (§6.2, see also §3.5).

Let \mathcal{F} be the union of all component of all fixed point sets. Let L_Z be the common length of all closed geodesics in Z so that $G_g^{L_Z}$ fixes Z .

$$L_G(s) = \sum_{Z \in \mathcal{F}} e^{-sL_Z} \int_Z |\det(I - \mathbf{P}_Z^\#)|^{-1} d\mu_Z \quad (1.0.5)$$

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\pi : (M, \pi^* g_0) \rightarrow (M_0, g_0)$ be as in the Sunada diagram (1.0.1). Let $G_{g_i}^t$ be Hamiltonian flows on each cosphere bundle (S^*M_i, g_i) , $i = 1, 2$. Let L_{G_i} be the Guillemin-Ruelle L -function associated to g_i , $i = 1, 2$. If the flows $G_{g_i}^t$ are clean and the triplet (G, H_1, H_2) satisfies $L^2(G/H_1) \simeq L^2(G/H_2)$ as unitarily equivalent G -modules (\dagger), then $L_{G_1} = L_{G_2}$.*

To prove Theorem 1.1, we first show that the Koopman operators associated to the (co)-geodesic flows $G_{g_i}^t$ on the pair S^*M_i , $i = 1, 2$ from (1.0.1) are unitarily equivalent by a unitary Fourier integral operator. The Koopman operators on $L^2(S^*M_i, d\mu_{L_i})$ are defined by $V_{g_i}^t f = f \circ G_{g_i}^t$ (§3.1). The unitary Fourier integral operators are constructed via a generalization of the technique developed by S. Zelditch in [Z92]. In the article, the author provides an explicit intertwining operator defined by a finite Radon transform associated to the diagram of covers in (1.0.1). By definition, a finite Radon transform is a Fourier integral operator associated to a finitely multi-valued symplectic correspondence. In our case, we define a finite Radon transform on the unit cosphere bundle $\tilde{U}_A : L^2(S^*M_1, dx_1) \rightarrow L^2(S^*M_2, dx_2)$ associated to the induced covering diagram (1.0.2).

Here, we identify the sphere bundle to the cosphere bundle via the metric. \tilde{U}_A has the form

$$\tilde{U}_A = \frac{1}{\#H_1} \sum_{a \in G} A(a) \tilde{\pi}_{2*} \tilde{T}_a \tilde{\pi}_1^* : L^2(S_{g_1}^*M, d\mu_{L_1}) \rightarrow L^2(S_{g_2}^*M, d\mu_{L_2}) \quad (1.0.6)$$

where A is the function on $H_2 \backslash G/H_1$ inducing the intertwining operator $\mathcal{A} : L^2(G/H_1) \rightarrow L^2(G/H_2)$ (§3.2).

Theorem 1.2. *Let $\pi : (M, \pi^* g_0) \rightarrow (M_0, g_0)$ be a normal finite Riemannian covering with covering transformation group G as in (1.0.1). If the triplet (G, H_1, H_2) satisfies (\dagger) , then the Koopman operators $V_{g_i}^t : C_0^\infty S^* M_i \rightarrow C_0^\infty S^* M_i$ associated with the subcovers (M_i, g_i) for each $i = 1, 2$, are unitarily equivalent via \tilde{U}_A . Namely,*

$$\tilde{U}_A V_{g_1}^t \tilde{U}_A^* = V_{g_2}^t$$

The Schwartz kernel $k^t(\xi, \eta)$ of the Koopman operator V_g^t is a δ -function $\delta(\xi - G_g^t \eta)$. We refer to [G77] for the use of the Schwartz kernel theorem in this statement. Guillemin further defined the flat trace of V_g^t formally by

$$\mathrm{Tr}^b V_g^t = \int_{S^* M} \delta(\xi - G_g^t \xi) d\mu_L(\xi) \quad (1.0.7)$$

More precisely, the trace is defined as a pushforward-pullback operation on distributions by $\Pi_* \Delta^* \delta(\xi - G_g^t \xi)$ where $\Delta : S^* M \rightarrow S^* M \times S^* M$ is the diagonal embedding, and $\Pi : S^* M \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow S^* M$ is the natural projection.

If all periodic trajectories of the geodesics flows $G_{g_i}^t$ are non-degenerate, then the righthand side of (1.0.7) becomes [G77]

$$\mathrm{Tr}^b V_g^t = \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{G}} \frac{L_\gamma^\# \delta(t - L_\gamma)}{|\det(I - \mathbf{P}_\gamma)|}, \quad t > 0 \quad (1.0.8)$$

In §3.7, we show that (1.0.8) has a natural extension to geodesic flows with clean fixed point sets.

Proposition 1.1. *Assume all of the fixed points of G_g^t are clean, then*

$$\mathrm{Tr}^b V_g^t = \sum_{Z \in \mathcal{F}} \delta(t - L_Z) \int_Z \frac{d\mu_Z}{|\det(I - \mathbf{P}_Z^\#)|}$$

We show in §4 that the Riemannian pairs resulting from Sunada's construction have the same Guillemin flat trace, namely, $\mathrm{Tr}^b V_{g_1}^t = \mathrm{Tr}^b V_{g_2}^t$. The flat trace is not an L^2 -trace and therefore it does not follow from Theorem 1.2 that $V_{g_1}^t, V_{g_2}^t$ have the same flat trace.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $S_{g_i}^* M, i = 1, 2$ be the pair of cosphere bundles of the Sunada pair as in (1.0.2). Let $G_{g_i}^t$ be Hamiltonian flows on $S_{g_i}^* M$. For each $i = 1, 2$, if all fixed points of $G_{g_i}^t$ are clean, then $\mathrm{Tr}^b V_{g_1}^t = \mathrm{Tr}^b V_{g_2}^t$. Hence,*

$$L_{G_1}(s) = L_{G_2}(s).$$

Remark. Proposition 1.1 is the analogue for the Koopman operator of the Duistermaat-Guillemin wave trace formula. In the case of Lefschetz flows, the formula states [GuDu]

$$\mathrm{Tr} \left(\exp \left(it \sqrt{\Delta_g} \right) \right) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} e^{i\lambda_j t} = \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{G}} \frac{i^{\sigma_\gamma} L_\gamma^\# \delta(t - L_\gamma)}{|\det(I - \mathbf{P}_\gamma)|^{\frac{1}{2}}} + L_{loc}^1, \quad t \in \mathbb{R} \quad (1.0.9)$$

with respect to the Laplace-Beltrami operator $\Delta_g : C^\infty M \rightarrow C^\infty M$, where λ_j are the eigenvalues of $\sqrt{\Delta_g}$, and σ_γ is the Maslov index of γ . Laplace isospectrality is equivalent to

$$\mathrm{Tr} \left(\exp \left(it \sqrt{\Delta_{g_1}} \right) \right) = \mathrm{Tr} \left(\exp \left(it \sqrt{\Delta_{g_2}} \right) \right), \quad (1.0.10)$$

and by (1.0.9),

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{G}_{g_1}} \frac{i^{\sigma_\gamma} L_\gamma^\# \delta(t - L_\gamma)}{|\det(I - \mathbf{P}_\gamma)|^{\frac{1}{2}}} = \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{G}_{g_2}} \frac{i^{\sigma_\gamma} L_\gamma^\# \delta(t - L_\gamma)}{|\det(I - \mathbf{P}_\gamma)|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \quad (1.0.11)$$

S.Chen and A.Manning [ChMa] studied the associated L-function

$$\log Z_g(s) = \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{G}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-skL_\gamma^\#}}{k |\det(I - \mathbf{P}_\gamma^k)|^{\frac{1}{2}}}$$

where \mathbf{P}_γ^k being the linearized Poincaré map corresponding to the k -fold iterate of γ . It follows from (1.0.10) and (1.0.11) that isospectrality implies $\log Z_{g_1} = \log Z_{g_2}$. However, if there are multiplicities in the length spectrum of S^*M , one cannot determine the individual term of each length from the sum, and therefore one cannot determine L_G from (1.0.10), (1.0.11).

1.1 Convergence of the L-function. For compact negatively curved manifolds, Chen-Manning [ChMa] proved that the zeta function $Z_g(s)$ converges absolutely for $\operatorname{Re} s > P(\frac{1}{2}\alpha)$, where α is the volume growth rate in the orientable unstable foliation on the cotangent bundle of S^*M , and P is the pressure of Sinai's function on S^*M . It follows from their theorem that $L_G(s)$ converges absolutely on the right half-plane $\{\operatorname{Re} s > P(\alpha)\}$ under the same assumption. It is proven by Dyatlov-Zworski that $Z_g(s)$ has a meromorphic continuation to the whole complex plane [DyZw]. There are many articles concerned with the meromorphic continuation of the Ruelle zeta function; we refer to [DyZw] for references and background.

A natural problem is to show that there is a half-plane of convergence for $L_G(s)$ for any compact Riemannian manifold and to study its meromorphic continuation. In the forthcoming article [H22], we will use a heat kernel approach to flat traces to prove that $L_G(s)$ converges in $\{\operatorname{Re}(s) > 0\}$ at least for the cases of flat tori, spheres, compact hyperbolic manifolds and for Heisenberg nilmanifolds. In work in progress, we extend the result to general non-positively curved manifolds.

1.2 Acknowledgment. This article is part of the author's PhD thesis at Northwestern University under the guidance of Steve Zelditch.

2 PRELIMINARIES

2.1 Hamiltonian flows. Consider $G_g^t : S_g^*M \rightarrow S_g^*M$ to be the geodesic flow on the unit cosphere bundle generated by the quadratic form

$$H(x, \xi) = \frac{1}{2} |\xi_x|_g^2 = \frac{1}{2} g^{ab}(x) \xi_a \xi_b$$

with $g^{ab}(x)$ is the inverse metric tensor via $g^{ab}(x)g_{bc}(x) = \delta_c^a$, and ξ_x is a covector in S_x^*M realized under the local trivialization of S^*M restricted to a coordinate neighborhood U , in which a 1-form $\zeta = \xi_a dx^a$ in $S_x^*M|_U$ is identified with the point $(x, \xi_a) \in U \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. In local (Darboux) coordinates, G_g^t satisfies the geodesic equations

$$\dot{x}^a = \frac{\partial H}{\partial \xi_a} = g^{ab}(x) \xi_b ; \quad \dot{\xi}_a = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial x^a} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial g^{bc}(x)}{\partial x^a} \xi_a \xi_b$$

and is a Hamiltonian flow on S_g^*M , which projects to a geodesic line on M .

The Hamiltonian vector field Ξ_H is defined by

$$\Xi_H \lrcorner \omega = -dH$$

where ω is the canonical symplectic form on T^*M .

2.2 Poincaré map. Let $\gamma = \gamma(t)$ be a periodic trajectory of the Hamiltonian flow G_g^t with period τ on S^*M starting at ζ . Let Σ be a transversal local hypersurface to the flow at ζ . Let T be the first return time of an orbit starting from Σ to Σ . The first-return map is the following

$$\Phi_\Sigma : \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma; \eta \mapsto G_g^{T(\eta)}\eta.$$

At the periodic point ζ , the linear Poincare map is defined by

$$P_\gamma = (d\Phi_\Sigma)_\zeta : T_\zeta \Sigma \rightarrow T_\zeta \Sigma \quad (2.2.1)$$

It is useful to give a description of the Poincare map in terms of Jacobi fields (cf. [Su94]),

$$\mathbf{P}_\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{B} \\ \mathbf{C} & \mathbf{D} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} (\langle v_i, U_j(\tau) \rangle)_{i,j} & (\langle v_i, V_j(\tau) \rangle)_{i,j} \\ (\langle v_i, \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} U_j(\tau) \rangle)_{i,j} & (\langle v_i, \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} V_j(\tau) \rangle)_{i,j} \end{pmatrix}$$

where $U_i(t), V_i(t)$ are the basis of normal Jacobi fields along γ with $U_i(0) = v_i$, $\nabla U_i(0) = 0$, $V_i(0) = 0$, $\nabla V_i(0) = v_i$ where $\{v_i\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $T_\zeta \Sigma$ (see §3.4). By Schur's formula,

$$\det(I - \mathbf{P}_\gamma) = \det(I - \mathbf{D}) \det((I - \mathbf{A}) - \mathbf{B}(I - \mathbf{D})^{-1}\mathbf{C})$$

A periodic geodesic orbit γ is *non-degenerate* if $\det(I - \mathbf{P}_\gamma) \neq 0$. This means that the map $I - \mathbf{P}_\gamma$ does not have 1 as its eigenvalue. Examples of non-degeneracy are when the eigenvalues are of the following three types: (i) $e^{\pm i\alpha_j}$ where the α_j 's and π are independent over \mathbb{Q} (elliptic); (ii) $e^{\pm \lambda_j}$ where $\lambda_j \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ (hyperbolic); (iii) $e^{\pm \mu_j \pm i\nu_j}$ with $\mu_j, \nu_j \in \mathbb{R}$ not all zero (loxodromic). More generally, we are interested in clean fixed point sets.

2.3 Clean fixed point set of G_g^t . We denote the fixed point set of $dG_g^\tau : TS_g^*M \rightarrow TS_g^*M$ by $\text{Fix}(dG_g^\tau)$ note that $T\text{Fix}(G_g^t) \subset \text{Fix}(dG_g^\tau)$ as G_g^τ is the identity map on $\text{Fix}(G_g^t)$. In general, the fixed point set of dG_g^t can be larger than $T\text{Fix}(G_g^t)$, or equivalently, there can exist normal Jacobi fields that do not come from varying the periodic geodesics in the fixed point set.

As defined above, G_g^t is said to have a *clean* fixed point set for period τ if $T\text{Fix}(G_g^\tau) = \text{Fix}(dG_g^\tau)$.

Assume Z is a clean component of period τ where all points in Z share the same periodic trajectory, then a local transversal region to the geodesic flow restricted to a neighborhood about a point $\zeta \in Z$ is a hyperspace Σ with codimension strictly greater than 1.

The manifold M is said to satisfy the *Clean Intersection Hypothesis* if G_g^t has a clean fixed point set for all period $\tau \in \text{Lsp}(S_g^*M)$.

3 PROOF OF PROPOSITION 3.1

3.1 Koopman operator. Let $\{G_g^t\}_{t \geq 0}$ be a contact Hamiltonian geodesic flow on the unit copshere bundle S^*M of a compact Riemannian manifold (M, g) (§2.1). The *Koopman operator*, denoted by $\{V_g^t\}_{t \geq 0}$, is defined as the one-parameter group of unitary operators on $L^2(S^*M)$ given by

$$V_g^t : L^2(S^*M, d\mu_L) \rightarrow L^2(S^*M, d\mu_L); V_g^t(f) = f \circ G_g^t.$$

V_g^t is unitary because μ_L is preserved by G_g^t .

3.2 Unitary intertwining operator. For a Sunada pair (M_i, g_i) as in the diagram of covers (1.0.1), there exists an unitary intertwining kernel $\mathcal{A} : L^2(G/H_1) \rightarrow L^2(G/H_2)$ between the isomorphic G -modules. The intertwining operator \mathcal{A} is identified as a convolution kernel $A \in \mathbb{C}[H_2 \backslash G/H_1]$, which is a function on the double coset space satisfying the identity $A(b_2 a b_1) = \chi_2(b_2) A(a) \chi_1(b_1)$ for all $b_2 \in H_2, b_1 \in H_1$ [pg. 365, [Ma78]], where χ_1, χ_2 are respectively the 1-dimensional characters of H_1, H_2 .

For each A , S. Zelditch constructed a unitary operator given by the averaged weighted sum of Radon transforms

$$U_A = \frac{1}{\#H_1} \sum_{g \in G} A(a) \pi_{2*} T_a \pi_1^* : L^2(M_1, dx_1) \rightarrow L^2(M_2, dx_2)$$

where T_a is the translation by a group element a on the finite covering space M [pg. 709, [Z92]].

We show that U_A lifts to a unitary operator \tilde{U}_A between the space of L^2 -distributions on $S_{g_i}^* M$ associated with the diagram of finite normal covers (1.0.2) which we repeat for clarity

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & S^* M & \\ \tilde{\pi}_1 \swarrow & & \searrow \tilde{\pi}_2 \\ S^*(H_1 \backslash M) & & S^*(H_2 \backslash M) \end{array}$$

Proposition 3.1. *The finite Radon transform $\tilde{U}_A : L^2(S_{g_1}^* M, d\mu_{L_1}) \rightarrow L^2(S_{g_2}^* M, d\mu_{L_2})$ given in (1.0.6) is a unitary operator mapping the space of L^2 -distributions on $S_{g_1}^* M$ into the space of L^2 -distributions on $S_{g_2}^* M$.*

Proof. It is clear that $\pi_i : M \rightarrow M_i = H_i \backslash M$ determines the lifted cover $\tilde{\pi}_i : S^* M \rightarrow S^* M_i$ given by the dual of the differentials $d\pi_i$ restricted to the unit tangent bundle. Thus, the π_i 's are basewise covers and cover the base maps. The translations $\{T_a\}_{a \in G}$ are local isometries that lift to a map $\tilde{T}_a : S^* M \rightarrow S^* M$ where $\tilde{T}_a(x, \xi) = (a \cdot x, (a^{-1})^* \cdot \xi)$.

For each i , we regard $L^2(S_{g_i}^* M)$ as the space $L^2(S_g^* M)^{H_i}$ consisting of H_i -invariants elements of $L^2(S_g^* M)$ since $S_{g_i}^* M$ is obtained via quotient the induced action of H_i on $S_g^* M$. For $f \in L^2(S_g^* M)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\pi}_{i*} f(x', \xi') &= \sum_{h \in H_i} f(T_h(x), T_h^*(\xi)) \\ &= \sum_{h \in H_i} (\tilde{T}_h)^* f(x', \xi'). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\tilde{\pi}_{i*} = \sum_{h \in H_i} \tilde{T}_h^*$. Let us write $\tilde{\pi}_{i*} = \sum_{h \in H_i} \tilde{T}_h$. Consider the product of \tilde{U}_A with its adjoint, by change of variables,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{U}_A^* \tilde{U}_A &= \frac{1}{\#H_1 \#H_2} \sum_{a, b \in G} \overline{A(b^{-1})} A(a) (\tilde{\pi}_1^* \tilde{T}_{b^{-1}} \tilde{\pi}_2^*) (\tilde{\pi}_2^* \tilde{T}_a \tilde{\pi}_1^*) \\ &= \frac{1}{\#H_1 \#H_2} \sum_{a, b \in G} \overline{A(b^{-1})} A(a) \left[\left(\sum_{b_1 \in H_1} \tilde{T}_{b_1} \tilde{T}_{b^{-1}} \right) \left(\sum_{b_2 \in H_2} \tilde{T}_{b_2} \tilde{T}_a \right) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{\#H_1 \#H_2} \sum_{a, b \in G, b_1 \in H_1, b_2 \in H_2} \overline{A(b^{-1})} A(a) \tilde{T}_{b_1 b^{-1} b_2} \tilde{T}_a \\ &= \frac{1}{\#H_1 \#H_2} \sum_{b_1, b_2} \sum_{a, b} \overline{A(b^{-1})} A(a) \tilde{T}_{b^{-1}} \tilde{T}_a \quad (\overline{b^{-1}} := b_1 b^{-1} b_2) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{1}{\#H_1\#H_2} \sum_{b_1, b_2} \sum_{a, b} \overline{A}(b^{-1}) A(b^{-1}a) \tilde{T}_{b^{-1}\overline{b^{-1}a}} \\
 &= \frac{1}{\#H_1\#H_2} \sum_{b_2} \sum_{b_1} \sum_a A^* A(a) \tilde{T}_a & (a \mapsto b^{-1}\overline{b^{-1}a}) \\
 &= \frac{1}{\#H_2} \sum_{b_2} \frac{1}{\#H_1} \sum_a \chi_{H_1}(a) \tilde{T}_a & (A \text{ is unitary}) \\
 &= \frac{1}{\#H_1} \sum_{b_1} \tilde{T}_{b_1} = \text{Id}_{L^2(S^*M)^{H_1}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

□

3.3 Guillemin flat trace. The Schwartz kernel of the Koopman operator is given by

$$V_g^\bullet f(\xi) = \int_{S^*M} f(\eta) \delta(\eta - G_g^\bullet \xi) d\mu_L(\eta),$$

that is, the kernel is a δ -distribution in the sense of [G77] with support on the graph of G_g^t . (see Appendix §6.2). Recall the diagonal map

$$\Delta : S_g^*M \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow S_g^*M \times S_g^*M \times \mathbb{R}^+$$

$(\zeta, t) \mapsto (\zeta, \zeta, t)$ and $\Pi : S_g^*M \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow S_g^*M$ the projection map $(\zeta, t) \mapsto \zeta$. Assuming the diagonal map intersects the graph of G_g^\bullet transversally, Guillemin defines the flat trace

$$\text{Tr}^b V_g^\bullet = \Pi_* \Delta^* k^\bullet \quad (3.3.1)$$

in Theorem 6, [G77] and shows that it is a well-defined δ -distribution on \mathbb{R}^+ . The transversality hypothesis is equivalent to the Lefschetz condition imposed on the flow G_g^t on S_g^*M under which, for each $t > 0$, the left-hand side of (3.3.1) is the formula (1.0.8).

Under the general condition of clean intersection (§2.3), (3.3.1) is still a well-defined δ -distribution on \mathbb{R}^+ (§6.2).

3.4 Canonical volume density on a clean submanifold and the normal Poincare map. Suppose $Z_j^{(\tau)}$ is a clean component of $\text{Fix}(G_g^\tau)$ consisting of periodic orbits of period $\tau \in \text{Lsp}(S_g^*M)$. A periodic orbit in $Z_j^{(\tau)}$ projects under π to a closed geodesic $\bar{\gamma}(t)$ on M .

The geodesic variations coming from the family of closed geodesics on $Z_j^{(\tau)}$ form the total space of Jacobi fields on $\pi(Z_j^{(\tau)})$. Given a Jacobi field \bar{J} in this space, \bar{J} lifts to a flow-invariant field on S^*M along γ via the correspondence

$$\bar{J} \rightarrow (\bar{J}^h, \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} \bar{J}^v)$$

where $\bar{J}^h, \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} \bar{J}^v$ are respectively the horizontal and vertical lifts of \bar{J} and $\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} \bar{J}$ [Lemma 3.1.6, [Kl]].

Denote the total space of periodic Jacobi fields on Z_j along γ by $\mathcal{J}_{Z_j^{(\tau)}}(\gamma)$. Given $X, Y \in \mathcal{J}_{Z_j^{(\tau)}}(\gamma)$, the Riemannian inner product is

$$\langle X, Y \rangle = \int_{\bar{\gamma}} g(d\pi(X_h(s)), d\pi(Y_h(s))) ds \quad (3.4.1)$$

where X_h, Y_h are the horizontal components of X and Y via the splitting induced from the Riemannian connection on S_g^*M .

Denote further $\mathcal{J}_{Z_j^{(\tau)}}^\parallel(\gamma)$ to be the subspace of periodic J-fields that are $Z_j^{(\tau)}$ -tangential along γ . This subspace spans $T|_\gamma Z_j^{(\tau)}$. Also, we have an orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{J}_{Z_j^{(\tau)}}^\parallel(\gamma)$ including $\dot{\gamma}$, and hence obtain a collection of 1-forms β^1, \dots, β^k on $Z_j^{(\tau)}$ corresponding to the dual basis, which yields

$$|d\text{Vol}_{can}(Z_j^{(\tau)})|(\zeta) = |\beta_\zeta^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \beta_\zeta^k| \quad (3.4.2)$$

as a natural volume element on $Z_j^{(\tau)}$. We should like to point out that there is a symplectic structure on the space of all Jacobi fields along γ given by the Wronskian

$$\omega(J, J') = g(J, \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} J') - g(\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} J, J').$$

Now denote $\mathcal{J}_{Z_j^{(\tau)}}^\perp(\gamma) := \mathcal{J}_{Z_j^{(\tau)}}(\gamma) / \mathcal{J}_{Z_j^{(\tau)}}^\parallel(\gamma)$ to be the space of periodic Jacobi fields along γ that are transversal to $Z_j^{(\tau)}$. This space spans $T|_\gamma S_g^*M / T|_\gamma Z_j^{(\tau)}$. The normal Poincare map is realized as the linear symplectic transformation on $\mathcal{J}_{Z_j^{(\tau)}}^\perp(\gamma)$, which is given by

$$(P_{Z_j^{(\tau)}}^\#)_\zeta : T_\zeta S_g^*M / T_\zeta Z_j^{(\tau)} \oplus T_\zeta S_g^*M / T_\zeta Z_j^{(\tau)} \xrightarrow{\text{translate by } t=\tau}$$

$$(J(0), \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} J(0)) \mapsto (J(\tau), \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} J(\tau))$$

where $J(t) \in \mathcal{J}_{Z_j^{(\tau)}}^\perp(\gamma)$. Let $\nu_1, \dots, \nu_{2n-1-k}$ ($k = k(j, \tau) = \dim Z_j^{(\tau)}$) be an orthonormal basis of $T_\zeta S_g^*M / T_\zeta Z_j^{(\tau)}$ along γ with respect to the metric (3.4.1). Let $U_i(t), V_i(t)$ be an orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{J}_{Z_j^{(\tau)}}^\perp(\gamma)$ with the initial conditions

$$\begin{cases} U_i(0) = \nu_i, & V_i(0) = 0 \\ \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} U_i(0) = 0, & \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} V_i(0) = \nu_i. \end{cases} \quad (3.4.3)$$

In block-matrix form, the transformation of U_i, V_i by $(P_{Z_j^{(\tau)}}^\#)_\zeta$ is

$$(\mathbf{P}_{Z_j^{(\tau)}}^\#)_\zeta = \begin{pmatrix} (\langle \nu_i, U_l(\tau) \rangle)_{i,l} & (\langle \nu_i, V_l(\tau) \rangle)_{i,l} \\ (\langle \nu_i, \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} U_l(\tau) \rangle)_{i,l} & (\langle \nu_i, \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} V_l(\tau) \rangle)_{i,l} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.4.4)$$

3.5 Stationary phase with Bott-Morse nondegenerate critical submanifold. We will need the clean version of the stationary phase formula and recall its statement in this section. For further background and proofs of the formula, we refer to [GuSc13], [GuSc90], [Hor].

Let (\mathcal{X}, μ) be an N -dimensional manifold equipped with a positive density measure. Let $a, \phi \in C^\infty(\mathcal{X}; \mathbb{R})$ and denote

$$C_\phi := \{x \in \mathcal{X} : (X\phi)(x) = 0, \forall X \in \Gamma(T\mathcal{X})\}$$

to be the set of critical points of ϕ . The function ϕ is said to be *Bott-Morse* if C_ϕ is a submanifold of \mathcal{X} and the transverse Hessian of ϕ denoted by $\text{Hess}^\perp \phi$, is non-degenerate.

Consider the integral

$$I(h) = \int_{\mathcal{X}} e^{ih\phi} ad\mu$$

with large parameter h . Let \mathcal{Z} be a $k(\mathcal{Z})$ -dimensional connected component of C_ϕ , and let y_1, \dots, y_N be a system of coordinates on the normal bundle associated with ϕ defined by $y_1 = \dots = y_{k(\mathcal{Z})} = 0$. The coordinates about a fixed point x in \mathcal{Z} are given by

$$y_1 = x_1 - \phi(x), \dots, y_{k(\mathcal{Z})} = x_{k(\mathcal{Z})} - \phi(x), y_{k(\mathcal{Z})+1} = x_{k(\mathcal{Z})+1}, \dots, y_N = x_N.$$

With respect to the basis

$$\nu_1 = \partial_{y_{k(\mathcal{Z})+1}}|_x, \dots, \nu_{N-k(\mathcal{Z})} = \partial_{y_N}|_x$$

of the fibre $T_x\mathcal{X}/T_x\mathcal{Z}$, the determinant of the transverse Hessian is

$$\begin{aligned} |\det \text{Hess}^\perp|_x \phi| &= |d_p^2 \phi(\nu_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \nu_{N-k(\mathcal{Z})}, \nu_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \nu_{N-k(\mathcal{Z})})| \\ &= |\det(\partial_{y_i, y_j}^2|_{y=x} \phi)|. \end{aligned}$$

The density measure on \mathcal{Z} is given by the quotient

$$d\nu_{\mathcal{Z}} = \frac{d\mu}{|\det \text{Hess}^\perp \phi|^{\frac{1}{2}} |dy_{k(\mathcal{Z})+1} \dots dy_N|}.$$

Lemma 3.1. *If ϕ is a Bott-Morse function, then*

$$I(h) = \sum_{\mathcal{Z} \subset C_\phi} (2\pi h^{-1})^{\frac{N-k(\mathcal{Z})}{2}} \left(e^{\frac{1}{4}i\pi \text{sgn}(\mathcal{Z})} e^{ih\phi(\mathcal{Z})} \int_{\mathcal{Z}} ad\nu_{\mathcal{Z}} + O(h^{-1}) \right), \quad (3.5.1)$$

where $\text{sgn}(\mathcal{Z})$ is the signature of the symmetric bi-linear form $d^2\phi|_{\mathcal{Z}}$.

3.6 Mollification of the flat-trace kernel. Recall the kernel of the Guillemin flat trace is the δ -function $\delta(\xi - G_g^t \xi)$ on S_g^*M . Denote $\chi^{(\tau)}(\xi) := \delta(\xi - G_g^\tau \xi)$ to be the indicating function on the clean submanifold $\text{Fix}(G_g^\tau)$. Let us fix a finite smooth atlas on S^*M with coordinate charts (Ω_i, φ_i) where the φ_i 's are local diffeomorphisms. We regularize $\chi^{(\tau)}$ as follows.

First let $\{\rho_i \in C_c^\infty(\Omega_i; [0, 1])\}$ be a corresponding partition of unity on S^*M satisfying $\sum_i \rho_i = 1$. Next we define the C^∞ -function $\psi : \mathbb{R}^{2n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$\psi(\theta) := \begin{cases} C e^{\frac{1}{|\theta|^2-1}} & , \text{ if } |\theta| < 1 \\ 0 & , \text{ if } |\theta| \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

where the constant C is chosen so that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n-1}} \psi(\theta) d\theta = 1$. Denote

$$\psi_{h^{-1}}(\theta) := h^{2n-1} \psi(h\theta); \quad h > 0.$$

The convolution of $\chi^{(\tau)}$ by ψ is defined by

$$\chi^{(\tau)} * \psi_{h^{-1}} := \sum_i (\rho_i \chi^{(\tau)}) * \psi_{h^{-1}}.$$

Lemma 3.2. *There exists a constant $C = C(n)$ such that*

$$|\chi^{(\tau)} - \chi^{(\tau)} * \psi_{h^{-1}}| \leq C h^{-2} \sum_i \int_{\Omega_i} |\rho_i \chi^{(\tau)}|^2 d\text{Vol} \Omega_i. \quad (3.6.1)$$

Proof. Let ξ be a point on S_g^*M , $\xi = \varphi_j^{-1}(\theta)$ for some j and $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{2n-1}$. Applying the Taylor expansion to $\rho_j S \varphi_j^{-1}$ about θ gives

$$\rho_j \chi^{(\tau)} \varphi_j^{-1}(\theta - \theta') = \rho_j \chi^{(\tau)} \varphi_j^{-1}(\theta) + d(\rho_j \chi^{(\tau)} \varphi_j^{-1})_\theta(\partial \theta') + R_\theta(\theta') \quad (3.6.2)$$

where there is a constant C' such that

$$R_\theta(\theta') \leq C' |\theta'|^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n-1}} |\rho_j \chi^{(\tau)} \varphi_j^{-1}|^2(\theta'') d\theta''.$$

By (3.6.2) and a change of variable, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \chi^{(\tau)} - \chi^{(\tau)} * \psi_{h^{-1}} \right|(\xi) &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n-1}} \left| \psi_{h^{-1}}(\theta') \left(\rho_j \chi^{(\tau)} \varphi_j^{-1}(\theta - \theta') - \rho_j \chi^{(\tau)} \varphi_j^{-1}(\theta) \right) \right| d\theta' \\ &\leq C' \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n-1}} |\rho_j \chi^{(\tau)} \varphi_j^{-1}|^2(\theta'') d\theta'' \right) \cdot \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n-1}} h^{2n-1} |\psi(h\theta')| |\theta'|^2 d\theta' \right) \\ &\leq C h^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n-1}} |\rho_j \chi^{(\tau)} \varphi_j^{-1}|^2(\theta'') d\theta'' \end{aligned}$$

hence the desired inequality (3.6.1). \square

Let $\epsilon = h^{-1}$ be arbitrarily small. Lemma 3.2 shows that $\chi_\epsilon^{(\tau)} := \chi^{(\tau)} * \psi_\epsilon$ approximates the flat-trace kernel. In addition, we may take its Fourier transform

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\chi}_\epsilon^{(\tau)}(\theta) &:= \mathcal{F} \chi_\epsilon^{(\tau)}(\theta) = \sum_j \mathcal{F} \rho_j \chi^{(\tau)}(\theta) \\ &= \sum_j (2\pi)^{-\frac{2n-1}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n-1}} \rho_j \chi^{(\tau)} \varphi_j^{-1}(\theta') e^{-i\theta' \cdot \theta} d\theta'. \end{aligned}$$

Without loss of generality, we assume the flow-image of a neighborhood about ξ at time τ is contained in the same coordinate chart in which we have a local parametrization $G_g^\tau \xi = \varphi_j^{-1}(\tilde{\theta})$. By the Fourier integral theorem,

$$\chi_\epsilon^{(\tau)}(\xi) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{(2n-1)}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n-1}} \chi_\epsilon^{(\tau)}(\varphi_j^{-1}(\tilde{\theta})) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n-1}} e^{i(\theta - \tilde{\theta}) \cdot \theta'} d\theta' d\tilde{\theta}.$$

Let us write $(\xi - G_g^\tau \xi)^j = \theta - \tilde{\theta}$. As $\epsilon \downarrow 0+$, we obtain

$$\chi^{(\tau)}(\xi) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{(2n-1)}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n-1}} e^{i(\xi - G_g^\tau \xi)^j \cdot \theta'} d\theta'. \quad (3.6.3)$$

3.7 Proof of Proposition 1.1. We want to prove

$$\mathrm{Tr}^\flat V_g^t = \sum_{\tau \in \mathrm{Lsp}(S_g^*M)} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq d(\tau)} \delta(t - \tau) \int_{Z_j^{(\tau)}} \frac{|d\mathrm{Vol}_{\mathrm{can}}(Z_j^{(\tau)})|(\zeta)}{|\det(I - \mathbf{P}_{Z_j^{(\tau)}\zeta}^\#)|}. \quad (3.7.1)$$

We provide a proof of (3.7.1) using the method of stationary phase in §3.5. The justification of Proposition 1.1 following the same outline as in [Theorem 8, [G77]] is given in §6.2.

Proof. Let γ be a τ -periodic trajectory starting at a point ζ on a clean component $Z_j^{(\tau)}$. Suppose $\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_{2n-1}$ are coordinates about ζ on S^*M in which $Z_j^{(\tau)}$ is described by $\zeta_{k+1} = \dots = \zeta_{2n-1} = 0$ where ζ_i 's are defined by

$$\xi_1 = \zeta_1, \dots, \xi_k = \zeta_k, \quad \xi_{k+1} - (G_g^\tau \xi)_{k+1} = \zeta_{k+1}, \dots, \xi_{2n-1} - (G_g^\tau \xi)_{2n-1} = \zeta_{2n-1}.$$

The orthonormal basis for $T_\zeta S_g^* M / T_\zeta Z_j^{(\tau)}$ is given by $\{\partial_{\zeta_{k+1}}|_\zeta, \dots, \partial_{\zeta_{2n-1}}|_\zeta\}$ (*).

Consider the function $\phi(\xi, \theta) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 2n-1} \theta_i (\xi_i - (G_g^\tau \xi)_i)$. The critical submanifold of ϕ in $S_g^* M \times \mathbb{R}^{2n-1}$ is the union of product manifolds $\bigcup_{j \leq d(\tau)} (Z_j^{(\tau)} \times \mathbb{R}^{k=k(j, \tau)})$. In light of lemma 3.2, we let $\{\delta_\epsilon\}_{\epsilon > 0}$ be a net of smooth functions with compact support containing $Z_j^{(\tau)}$ and approximating the flat-trace kernel to which we have

$$\delta_\epsilon(\xi - G_g^\tau \xi) = (2\pi\epsilon)^{\frac{-(2n-1-k)}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n-1}} \hat{\delta}_\epsilon(\theta) e^{\frac{i\phi(\xi, \theta)}{\epsilon}} d\theta.$$

Combining (3.6.3) with lemma 3.1 gives

$$\int_{S^* M} \delta(\xi - G_g^\tau \xi) d\mu_L(\xi) = \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0^+} (2\pi\epsilon)^{\frac{-(2n-1-k)}{2}} \int_{S^* M \times \mathbb{R}^{2n-1}} \hat{\delta}_\epsilon(\theta) e^{i\frac{\phi(\xi, \theta)}{\epsilon}} d\mu_L(\xi) \wedge d\theta \quad (3.7.2)$$

$$= \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0^+} \sum_{j \leq d(\tau)} \int_{Z_j^{(\tau)} \times \mathbb{R}^{k(j, \tau)}} \frac{\hat{\delta}_\epsilon(\bar{\theta}) d\mu_L(\zeta)}{\left| \det \text{Hess}^\perp|_{(\zeta, \bar{\theta})}(\phi(\xi, \theta)) \right|^{\frac{1}{2}} |d\zeta_{k+1} \dots d\zeta_{2n-1}|} \wedge d\bar{\theta}. \quad (3.7.3)$$

In terms of the basis (*), the transverse Hessian of ϕ is the block-matrix

$$\text{Hess}^\perp|_{(\zeta, \bar{\theta})}(\phi(\xi, \theta)) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{d}_{\xi\xi}^\perp \phi|_{(\zeta, \bar{\theta})} & \mathbf{d}_{\xi\theta}^\perp \phi|_{(\zeta, \bar{\theta})} \\ \mathbf{d}_{\theta\xi}^\perp \phi|_{(\zeta, \bar{\theta})} & \mathbf{d}_{\theta\theta}^\perp \phi|_{(\zeta, \bar{\theta})} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{where}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{d}_{\xi\theta}^\perp \phi|_{(\zeta, \bar{\theta})} &= \mathbf{d}_{\theta\xi}^\perp \phi|_{(\zeta, \bar{\theta})} = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_{\zeta_{k+1}}|_{\xi=\zeta}(\xi - G_g^\tau \xi)_{k+1} & \dots & \partial_{\zeta_{k+1}}|_{\xi=\zeta}(\xi - G_g^\tau \xi)_{2n-1} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \partial_{\zeta_{2n-1}}|_{\xi=\zeta}(\xi - G_g^\tau \xi)_{k+1} & \dots & \partial_{\zeta_{2n-1}}|_{\xi=\zeta}(\xi - G_g^\tau \xi)_{2n-1} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \partial_{\zeta_{k+1}}|_{\xi=\zeta}(G_g^\tau \xi)_{k+1} & \dots & -\partial_{\zeta_{k+1}}|_{\xi=\zeta}(G_g^\tau \xi)_{2n-1} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ -\partial_{\zeta_{2n-1}}|_{\xi=\zeta}(G_g^\tau \xi)_{k+1} & \dots & 1 - \partial_{\zeta_{2n-1}}|_{\xi=\zeta}(G_g^\tau \xi)_{2n-1} \end{pmatrix}, \\ \mathbf{d}_{\xi\xi}^\perp \phi|_{(\zeta, \bar{\theta})} &= \begin{pmatrix} -\sum_i \theta_i \partial_{\zeta_{k+1}}^2|_{\xi=\zeta}(G_g^\tau \xi)_i & \dots & -\sum_i \theta_i \partial_{\zeta_{k+1}\zeta_{2n-1}}^2|_{\xi=\zeta}(G_g^\tau \xi)_i \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ -\sum_i \theta_i \partial_{\zeta_{2n-1}\zeta_{k+1}}^2|_{\xi=\zeta}(G_g^\tau \xi)_i & \dots & -\sum_i \theta_i \partial_{\zeta_{2n-1}\zeta_{2n-1}}^2|_{\xi=\zeta}(G_g^\tau \xi)_i \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{O}, \\ \mathbf{d}_{\theta\theta}^\perp \phi|_{(\zeta, \bar{\theta})} &= \mathbf{O}. \end{aligned}$$

The diagonal blocks vanishes due to geodesicity of γ and linearity of ϕ in θ . Furthermore, since $\{\partial_{\zeta_i} G_g^\tau\}_{k+1 \leq i \leq 2n-1}$ as derivatives of the geodesic are Jacobi fields, the off-diagonal sub-matrices with respect to an orthonormal basis U_i, V_i of $\mathcal{J}_{Z_j^{(\tau)}}^\perp(\gamma)$ corresponding to (*) as in (3.4.3) are precisely

$$\begin{pmatrix} (\delta_l^i - \langle \partial_{\zeta_i}|_\zeta, U_l(\tau) \rangle)_{i,l} & (\langle \partial_{\zeta_i}|_\zeta, V_l(\tau) \rangle)_{i,l} \\ (\langle \partial_{\zeta_i}|_\zeta, \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} U_l(\tau) \rangle)_{i,l} & (\delta_l^i - \langle \partial_{\zeta_i}|_\zeta, \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} V_l(\tau) \rangle)_{i,l} \end{pmatrix}.$$

This implies $|\det \text{Hess}^\perp|_{(\zeta, \bar{\theta})}(\phi(\xi, \theta))| = |\det(I - \mathbf{P}_{Z_j^{(\tau)}}^\#)_\zeta|^2$ for all $\bar{\theta}$. As $\epsilon \downarrow 0^+$, the left-hand

side of (3.7.2) becomes

$$\int_{S^*M} \delta(\xi - G_g^\tau \xi) d\mu_L(\xi) = \sum_{j \leq d(\tau)} \int_{Z_j^{(\tau)}} |(I - \mathbf{P}_{Z_j^{(\tau)}}^\#)_\zeta|^{-1} |d\text{Vol}_{\text{can}}(Z_j^{(\tau)})|(\zeta).$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}^b V_g^\bullet &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^+ \times S_g^* M} \delta(\xi - G_g^t \xi) d\mu_L(\xi) dt \\ &= \sum_{\tau \in \text{Lsp}(S_g^* M)} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq d(\tau)} \delta(\bullet - \tau) \int_{Z_j^{(\tau)}} \frac{|d\text{Vol}_{\text{can}}(Z_j^{(\tau)})|(\zeta)}{|\det(I - \mathbf{P}_{Z_j^{(\tau)}}^\#)_\zeta|}. \end{aligned}$$

□

4 PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

Proof of Theorem 1.3 Let u, v be Schwartz distributions on $S_{g_2}^* M$, and k_1^t, k_2^t be respectively the distributional kernel of the transfer operators $V_{g_1}^t, V_{g_2}^t$. From theorem 1.2,

$$\begin{aligned} (k_2^t | u \otimes v)_{L^2(S_{g_2}^* M \times S_{g_2}^* M, d\mu_{L_2} \times d\mu_{L_2})} &= (V_{g_2}^t v | u)_{L^2(S_{g_2}^* M, d\mu_{L_2})} \\ &= (\tilde{U} V_{g_1}^t \tilde{U}^* v | u)_{L^2(S_{g_2}^* M, d\mu_{L_2})} \\ &= (V_{g_1}^t \tilde{U}^* v | \tilde{U}^* u)_{L^2(S_{g_1}^* M, d\mu_{L_1})} \\ &= (k_1^t | \tilde{U}^*(u \otimes v))_{L^2(S_{g_1}^* M \times S_{g_1}^* M, d\mu_{L_1} \times d\mu_{L_1})}. \end{aligned}$$

In integral form, this reads

$$\int_{S_{g_2}^* M \times S_{g_2}^* M} k_2^t(\xi, \eta) u(\xi) v(\eta) d\mu_{L_2}^2(\xi, \eta) = \int_{S_{g_1}^* M \times S_{g_1}^* M} k_1^t(\xi', \eta') \tilde{U}^* u(\xi') \tilde{U}^* v(\eta') d\mu_{L_1}^2(\xi', \eta') \quad (4.0.1)$$

for every $u, v \in \mathcal{S}(S_{g_2}^* M)$. Assuming the free action of H_i on $S^* M$, the following holds

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{\{(\xi', \eta') \in S_{g_1}^* M^2 \mid \xi' = \eta'\}}(\xi', \eta') &= \chi_{\{(\tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\eta}) \in S^* M^2 \mid \tilde{\xi} \in \text{Orb}_{H_1}(\tilde{\eta})\}}(\tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\eta}) \\ &= \#H_1^2 \chi_{\{(\tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\eta}) \in S^* M^2 \mid \tilde{\xi} = \tilde{\eta}\}}(\tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\eta}) \\ &= \frac{\#H_1^2}{\#H_2^2} \chi_{\{(\tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\eta}) \in S^* M^2 \mid \tilde{\xi} \in \text{Orb}_{H_2}(\tilde{\eta})\}}(\tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\eta}) \\ &= \frac{\#H_1^2}{\#H_2^2} \chi_{\{(\xi, \eta) \in S_{g_2}^* M^2 \mid \xi = \eta\}}(\xi, \eta). \end{aligned} \quad (4.0.2)$$

Similarly, since \tilde{U} is a unitary operator mapping $L^2(S_{g_1}^* M, d\mu_{L_1})$ to $L^2(S_{g_2}^* M, d\mu_{L_2})$, f is an arbitrary Schwartz distribution on $S_{g_1}^* M$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{U}^* \chi_{S_{g_2}^* M} | f)_{L^2(S_{g_1}^* M, d\mu_{L_1})} &= (\chi_{S_{g_2}^* M} | \tilde{U} f)_{L^2(S_{g_2}^* M, d\mu_{L_2})} \\ &= \left(\frac{\#H_1}{\#H_2} \chi_{S_{g_1}^* M} \middle| f \right)_{L^2(S_{g_1}^* M, d\mu_{L_1})}. \end{aligned}$$

By density, $\tilde{U}^* \chi_{S_{g_2}^* M} = \frac{\#H_1}{\#H_2} \chi_{S_{g_1}^* M}$. Applying (4.0.1) and (4.0.2) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Tr}^b V_{g_2}^t &= \int_{S_{g_2}^* M \times S_{g_2}^* M} k_2^t(\xi, \eta) \chi_{S_{g_2}^* M}(\xi) \chi_{S_{g_2}^* M}(\eta) \chi_{\Delta(S_{g_2}^* M)}(\xi, \eta) d\mu_{L_2}^2(\xi, \eta) \\ &= \frac{\#H_2^2}{\#H_1^2} \int_{S_{g_1}^* M \times S_{g_1}^* M} k_1^t(\xi', \eta') \tilde{U}^* \chi_{S_{g_2}^* M}(\xi') \tilde{U}^* \chi_{S_{g_2}^* M}(\eta') \chi_{\Delta(S_{g_1}^* M)}(\xi', \eta') d\mu_{L_1}^2(\xi', \eta') \\ &= \int_{\Delta(S_{g_1}^* M)} k_1^t(\xi', \eta') d\mu_{L_1}^2(\xi', \eta') = \mathrm{Tr}^b V_{g_1}^t. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1.1 follows as a direct corollary of Theorem 1.3. □

5 PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

To establish Theorem 1.2, our goal is to show that \tilde{U}_A satisfies $\tilde{U}_A V_{g_1}^t = V_{g_2}^t \tilde{U}_A$.

Proof. Let Ξ_{H_i} , $i = 1, 2$, respectively, Ξ_H be the Hamiltonian fields on each $S_{g_i}^* M$ and $S_g^* M$. Observe that $V_{g_i}^t$ can be obtained via conjugating V_g^t with the pull-back $\tilde{\pi}_i^*$. Indeed, given any point $\tilde{\zeta}$ on $S_g^* M$, by uniqueness of the local geodesic flow, $f(\exp(t\Xi_H(\tilde{\pi}_i(\tilde{\zeta}))) = f(\exp(t\Xi_{H_i}(\tilde{\pi}_i(\tilde{\zeta})))$. Hence,

$$V_g^t(\tilde{\pi}_i^* f)(\tilde{\zeta}) = (\tilde{\pi}_i^* f) \circ G_g^t(\tilde{\zeta}) = f(\exp(t\Xi_{H_i}(\tilde{\pi}_i(\tilde{\zeta}))) = \tilde{\pi}_i^* V_{g_i}^t f(\tilde{\zeta})$$

for any $f \in L^2(S_g^* M)$.

Similarly, the conjugation applies with the push-forward operator π_{i*} . Indeed, let ζ' be a point on $S_{g_i}^* M$. For f an L^2 -function on $S_g^* M$, we have

$$\tilde{\pi}_{i*} V_g^t f(\zeta') = \sum_{\eta \in \tilde{\pi}_i^{-1}(\zeta')} f \circ G_g^t(\eta) = \sum_{h_i \in H_i} f \circ G_g^t(\tilde{T}_{h_i} \eta),$$

and the equality is independent of the choice of η along the fibre of ζ' by transitivity. Furthermore, for any preimage ζ of ζ' , the orbit of ζ is the preimage of the orbit of ζ' , which gives

$$V_{g_i}^t \tilde{\pi}_{i*} f(\zeta') = \sum_{h_i \in H_i} f \circ G_g^t(\tilde{T}_{h_i} \eta') = \tilde{\pi}_{i*} V_g^t f(\zeta').$$

Combining the equalities and the fact that \tilde{T}_a commutes with the Koopman operator as the deck group transformations G acts on the cotangent bundle as isometries to attain

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{U}_A V_{g_1}^t &= \frac{1}{\#H_1} \sum_{a \in G} A(a) \tilde{\pi}_{2*} \tilde{T}_a V_{g_1}^t \tilde{\pi}_1^* \\ &= \frac{1}{\#H_1} \sum_{a \in G} A(a) \tilde{\pi}_{2*} V_g^t \tilde{T}_a \tilde{\pi}_1^* \\ &= \frac{1}{\#H_1} \sum_{a \in G} A(a) V_{g_2}^t \tilde{\pi}_{2*} \tilde{T}_a \tilde{\pi}_1^* = V_{g_2}^t \tilde{U}_A. \end{aligned}$$

□

6 APPENDIX

6.1 Metric on the cosphere bundle. Instead of defining the normal space as a quotient as in (1.0.4), we can define it using as the normal bundle with respect to the Kaluza-Klein metric on S^*M . The Kaluza-Klein metric is defined by equipping a connection ∇ on the cosphere bundle S^*M , which then determines a splitting of the tangent bundle TS^*M into horizontal and vertical component

$$TS^*M = T^h S^*M \oplus T^v S^*M$$

where $T^v S^*M \cong S^{n-1}$ is given the standard metric, and the natural projection $d\pi : T^h S^*M \rightarrow TM$ is an isometry, and $T^h S^*M$ is the kernel of the connection. That is, let x be a point on M , for each fibre element $\zeta \in \pi^{-1}(x) \subset S_x^*M$, the components are identified via $T_\zeta^v S^*M = \ker(d\pi : T_\zeta S^*M \rightarrow T_x M)$ and $T_\zeta^h S^*M = \ker(\nabla_\zeta : T_\zeta S^*M \rightarrow S_x^*M)$. The metric on $T^h S^*M$ is the pullback metric induced by g on M .

We define the normal bundle $N\text{Fix}(G_g^t)$ along $\text{Fix}(G_g^t)$ to be the orthogonal complement of $T\text{Fix}(G_g^t)$. Identifying the normal bundle with the quotient induces a definition of $\mathbf{P}^\#$ as a linear map

$$\mathbf{P}_N^\# : N\text{Fix}(G_g^t) \rightarrow N\text{Fix}(G_g^t).$$

We warn that the derivative of the geodesic flow dG_g^t does not preserve the splitting via the Kaluza-Klein metric. For this reason, we do not use the Kaluza-Klein metric in this paper.

6.2 δ -distributions. The goal of this section is to describe the Schwartz kernel of the Koopman operator and its pullback $\Delta^* k^\bullet$ as distributions. We refer to [G77], [GuSc90] for further background. Let us first recall the general settings of the so-called δ -distributions.

Let X be a manifold. Denote $|T|X$ to be the density bundle of X whose fibre elements at a point $x \in X$ are the volume densities on X . Let L be a line bundle of X and L^* be its dual. A *generalized section* of the line bundle $L \rightarrow X$ is a continuous linear functional on the space of C^∞ -sections of $L^* \otimes |T|X$. These are volume forms with compact support in X taking values in the co-line bundle L^* . In particular, if $L = \mathbb{R}$, generalized sections of L are *generalized functions* on X . Namely, these are elements in $\text{Hom}(C_c^\infty(|T|X), \mathbb{R})$. If $L = |T|X$, generalized sections are now *generalized densities* on X or elements of $\text{Hom}(C_c^\infty(X), \mathbb{R})$ as $L^* \otimes L$ is canonically trivial.

Now let Z be a closed submanifold of X , the conormal bundle N^*Z is given by

$$N^*Z = \{(\zeta, \alpha) \in Z \times T^*S_g^*M \mid \alpha \in T^* : \alpha(v) = 0, \forall v \in T_\zeta Z\}.$$

Given a vector bundle $E \rightarrow X$, a δ -section along Z is a smooth generalized section u of the tensor bundle

$$E|_Z \otimes |N^*Z|$$

such that

$$\langle u, \psi \rangle = \int_Z u\psi|_Z \quad (6.2.1)$$

for any section ψ of $E^* \otimes |T|X$. Let $E = |T|X$. We have the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow T_\zeta Z \rightarrow T_\zeta X \rightarrow T_\zeta X / T_\zeta Z \rightarrow 0. \quad (6.2.2)$$

Since $|T||_Z X \otimes |N^*Z| \cong |T|Z$, the pairing in (6.2.1) implies that u is a generalized density on X with support in Z taking values in the space of densities on Z .

Next we recall pull-backs of δ -sections under the condition of transversality. Let Y be another manifold and $F \rightarrow Y$ a vector bundle. Consider a smooth map $h : X \rightarrow Y$. Let W be a submanifold of Y defined as a zero-set of a global chart on Y . If $h \pitchfork W$, it follows that $h^{-1}W$ is a submanifold of X and that $dh : T_x X \rightarrow T_y Y$ maps $T_x h^{-1}(W)$ onto $T_y W$, which induces the mapping

$$N_x^* h^{-1}W \rightarrow N_y^* W; \beta \mapsto dh^* \beta. \quad (6.2.3)$$

If we let σ to be a δ -section along W , that is, a generalized section of $F|_W \otimes |N^*W|$, and $r(y) : F_y \rightarrow E_x$ be a fiber map, then $r \otimes dh^*| \wedge| : F_y \otimes |N^*W| \rightarrow E_x \otimes |N_x^* h^{-1}W|$ maps σ to its pullback $h^* \sigma$, which is a δ -section along $h^{-1}W$.

Let p_1, p_2 be the projections of $X \times Y$ to X and respectively, to Y . Let $k = k(x, y)dy$ be a generalized density of $p_2^*|T|Y$, that is a linear functional on $C_c^\infty Y$. We obtain from k a linear operator

$$Ka = (p_1)_* p_2^* \langle a, k \rangle = (p_1)_* \langle a, p_2^* k \rangle \in C^\infty X; \quad a \in C_c^\infty Y.$$

Theorem 6.1 (Schwartz kernel theorem). *Every continuous linear operator is of this form.*

In particular, if $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a smooth map, the composition operator f^* is

$$f^* a(x) = a(f(x)) = \int_Y \delta(y - f(x)) a(y) dy.$$

$k = \delta(y - f(x))dy$ is then referred to as a δ -distribution supported on the graph of f .

Remark. This description of k is consistent with the definition of k as a δ -section of

$$p_2^*|T||_{\text{Graph}(f)} Y \otimes |N^* \text{Graph}(f)|.$$

A 1-form $\beta \in T_y^*$ pullbacks under p_2 , which satisfies the condition

$$\beta(dI_y - df_x) = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \beta_y + (-df_x)^* \beta_y = 0$$

induced by the defining equation $y = f(x)$ for the submanifold $\text{Graph}(f) \subset X \times Y$. Thus, if $(\alpha_x, \beta_y) \in p_2^* T^*|_{\text{Graph}(f)} Y$, it is necessary that $\alpha_x = (-df_x)^* \beta_y$. This is precisely the condition for (α, β) to be an element in $N^* \text{Graph}(f)$, which is

$$(\alpha \ \beta) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} V_x \\ df_x(V) \end{pmatrix} = \alpha(V) + \beta df_x(V) = 0.$$

Thereby,

$$p_2^*|T||_{\text{Graph}(f)} Y \otimes |N^* \text{Graph}(f)| \cong 1,$$

which implies that k is the canonically trivial distribution on $X \times Y$ supported on $\text{Graph}(f)$ taking values in the line of densities on $\text{Graph}(f)$.

Now let $X = Y = S_g^* M$ and $p_2 = \rho(\eta, \xi, t) \mapsto \xi$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \rho^* T^* S_g^* M & & T^* S_g^* M \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ S_g^* M \times S_g^* M \times \mathbb{R}^+ & \xrightarrow{\rho} & S_g^* M \end{array}$$

From the previous paragraphs, the Schwartz kernel of V_g^t is the δ -distribution - that is the δ -section of the tensor bundle

$$\rho^*|T|_{\text{Graph}(G_g^t)} S_g^* M \otimes |N^* \text{Graph}(G_g^t)|$$

given by

$$k^t = k^t(\xi, \eta) d\mu_L(\eta) d\mu_L(\xi) = \delta(\eta - G_g^t \xi) d\mu_L(\eta) d\mu_L(\xi).$$

Under transversality, $\Delta^* k^\bullet$ is a δ -section of the tensor bundle

$$\Delta^* \rho^* |T|_{\text{Fix}(G_g^\bullet)} S_g^* M \otimes |N^* \text{Fix}(G_g^\bullet)|.$$

Indeed, we first note that $\Delta^{-1} \text{Graph}(G_g^\bullet) = \text{Fix}(G_g^\bullet) = \{(\zeta, \tau) : \zeta \in \text{Fix}(G_g^\tau), \tau \in \text{Lsp}(S_g^* M)\}$. Similar to the the discussion leading up to (6.2.3), letting $h = \Delta$ yields the canonical isomorphism

$$N^* \gamma \cong \Delta^* \rho^* T^*|_\gamma S_g^* M$$

if γ is a non-degenerate τ -periodic orbit of G_g^t whereby $\Delta|_\gamma \pitchfork \text{Graph} G_g^\tau$. More generally,

$$N^* Z_j^{(\tau)} \cong \Delta^* \rho^* T^*|_{Z_j^{(\tau)}} S_g^* M$$

if $Z_j^{(\tau)}$ is a clean k -dimensional component of $\text{Fix}(G_g^\tau)$. To see this, notice for any 1-form (α, β) in $\rho^* T^* S_g^* M$, we have

$$\Delta_*(\alpha, \beta) = (d\Delta)^*(\alpha, \beta) = \alpha + \beta.$$

Hence, since dG_g^τ fixes the tangent space along γ ,

$$\Delta^*((-dG_g^\tau)^* \beta, \beta) = (I - dG_g^\tau)^* \beta$$

is a 1-form in $(\Delta^* \rho^* T^*|_{Z_j^{(\tau)}} S_g^* M)_\zeta$, which vanishes on $T_\zeta Z_j^{(\tau)}$ under cleanness, hence is in the conormal space $N_\zeta^* Z_j^{(\tau)}$. Now let $\alpha_\zeta \in N_\zeta^* Z_j^{(\tau)}$, it is obvious that $\alpha|_{T_\zeta Z_j^{(\tau)}} = (I - dG_g^\tau)^* \alpha_\zeta$. Suppose $J \in \ker(\alpha_\zeta) - T_\zeta Z_j^{(\tau)}$, since $(I - dG_g^\tau)^\#$ is invertible on $T_\zeta S^* M / T_\zeta Z_j^{(\tau)}$, there exists S in the cokernel of $I - dG_g^\tau$ so that

$$\alpha_\zeta(J) = (I - dG_g^\tau)^* \alpha_\zeta(S).$$

This implies $\alpha = (I - dG_g^\tau)^* \beta$ for some $\beta \in (\Delta^* \rho^* T^*)_ \zeta$. We thus obtain an isomorphism of $(\Delta^* \rho^* T^*|_{Z_j^{(\tau)}})_\zeta$ with $N_\zeta^* Z_j^{(\tau)}$. Consequently,

$$|N^* Z_j^{(\tau)}| \otimes \Delta^* \rho^* |T|_{Z_j^{(\tau)}} = 1.$$

Moreover, since $d\Delta_\zeta + (I_\zeta, (dG_g^\tau)_\zeta^\#)$ is full-rank, this transversal condition implies that k^τ pulls back to the canonical δ -distribution $\Delta^* k^\tau$ on $S_g^* M$, which is supported on $Z_j^{(\tau)}$ taking values to densities on $Z_j^{(\tau)}$ (cf. [[G77], Theorem 6]). In both cases of Lefschetz and clean flows,

$$\Delta^* k^\bullet|_{(\zeta, \tau)} = \delta(\zeta - G_g^\tau \zeta) d\mu_L(\zeta) \cdot \delta(t - \tau) dt.$$

We now compute $\Pi_* \Delta^* k^\bullet$ for the general case of clean fixed point sets. Let ζ be a point in $Z_j^{(\tau)}$ and $\gamma \in \mathcal{G}$ be a τ -periodic orbit starting at ζ . Let $\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_{2n-1}$ be coordinates about ζ

from which the normal bundle $NZ_j^{(\tau)}$ is described by $\zeta_1 = \dots = \zeta_{k(Z_j^{(\tau)})} = 0$ and the ζ_i 's are defined by

$$\xi_1 - (G_g^\tau \xi)_1 = \zeta_1, \dots, \xi_{k(Z_j^{(\tau)})} - (G_g^\tau \xi)_{k(Z_j^{(\tau)})} = \zeta_{k(Z_j^{(\tau)})}, \xi_{k(Z_j^{(\tau)})+1} = \zeta_{k(Z_j^{(\tau)})+1}, \dots, \xi_{2n-1} = \zeta_{2n-1}.$$

Recall that the pullback under ρ of a 1-form β in $T^*S_g^*M$ supported on the graph of G_g^τ is

$$((-dG_g^\tau)^* \beta, \beta) \in \rho^* T^*|_{\text{Graph}(G_g^\tau)} S_g^* M,$$

which is further identified with

$$((-dG_g^\tau)^\#)^* \beta, \beta) \xrightarrow{d\Delta^*} ((I - dG_g^\tau)^\#)^* \beta \in \Delta^* \rho^* T^*|_{Z_j^{(\tau)}} \cong N^* Z_j^{(\tau)}.$$

Since $|NZ_j^{(\tau)}| \otimes |T|Z_j^{(\tau)} = |T|S_g^*M$, the induced δ -distribution on $Z_j^{(\tau)}$ is the Leray form

$$\begin{aligned} d\nu_{Z_j^{(\tau)}}(\zeta) &:= \frac{d\mu_L(\zeta)}{((I - dG_g^\tau)^\#)^* |d\zeta_{k(Z_j^{(\tau)})+1} \dots d\zeta_{2n-1}|(\zeta)} \\ &= \frac{d\mu_L(\zeta)}{|\det \mathbf{J}^\perp|_\zeta (I - G_g^\tau) |d\zeta_{k(Z_j^{(\tau)})+1} \dots d\zeta_{2n-1}|(\zeta)|}. \end{aligned}$$

With respect to the basis $\{\partial_{\zeta_{k(Z_j^{(\tau)})+1}}|_\zeta, \dots, \partial_{\zeta_{2n-1}}|_\zeta\}$, the transversal Jacobian is the matrix

$$\mathbf{J}^\perp|_\zeta (I - G_g^\tau) = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_{\zeta_{k(Z_j^{(\tau)})+1}}|_\zeta (I - G_g^\tau)_{k(Z_j^{(\tau)})+1} & \dots & \partial_{\zeta_{k(Z_j^{(\tau)})+1}}|_\zeta (I - G_g^\tau)_{\zeta_{2n-1}} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \partial_{\zeta_{2n-1}}|_\zeta (I - G_g^\tau)_{k(Z_j^{(\tau)})+1} & \dots & \partial_{\zeta_{2n-1}}|_\zeta (I - G_g^\tau)_{2n-1} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (6.2.4)$$

Rewriting the row vectors in (6.2.4) in terms of an orthonormal basis of the space of periodic $Z_j^{(\tau)}$ -transversal Jacobi fields along γ (§3.4) to obtain

$$d\nu_{Z_j^{(\tau)}} = \frac{d\mu_L}{|\det(I - \mathbf{P}_{Z_j^{(\tau)}}^\#)| |d\zeta_{k(Z_j^{(\tau)})+1} \dots d\zeta_{2n-1}|}.$$

By integrating along the fibre $\Pi^{-1}(\zeta)$, it follows that

$$\Pi_* \Delta^* k^\bullet = \int_{\Pi^{-1}(\text{Fix}(G_g^\tau))} |\Delta^* k^t \wedge dt| = \sum_\tau \delta(t - \tau) \sum_j \int_{Z_j^{(\tau)}} d\nu_{Z_j^{(\tau)}}.$$

Remark. If γ is a non-degenerate orbit, we note that $\frac{d\mu_L}{|\dot{\gamma}|}$ is a volume element on the local transversal hypersurface Σ to γ . Equivalently, this is the symplectic form $\dot{\gamma} \lrcorner d\mu_L$. As a result,

$$\Delta^* k^t|_\gamma = \frac{d\mu_L}{(I - dG_g^\tau)^*(\dot{\gamma} \lrcorner d\mu_L)} = \frac{|\dot{\gamma}|}{|\det(I - \mathbf{P}_\gamma)|} \in |T|\gamma.$$

In the presence of high-dimensional fixed point sets, the dimension of the normal space to the fixed point set decreases - hence the modified version of the Poincare map in the case of Bott-Morse non-degeneracy.

REFERENCES

- [ChMa] Chen, Su-shing; Manning, Anthony. The convergence of zeta functions for certain geodesic flows depends on their pressure. *Math. Z* 176 (1981), 379-382.
- [DyZw] Dyatlov, Semyon; Zworski, Maciej. Dynamical zeta functions for Anosov flows via microlocal analysis. Preprint, arXiv:1306.4203.
- [GuDu] Guillemin, Victor; Duistermaat, Johannes. The Spectrum of positive elliptic operators and periodic bicharacteristics. *Inventiones math.* 29 (1975), 39-79.
- [GuSc90] Guillemin, Victor; Sternberg, Schlomo. Geometric asymptotics. *Mathematical surveys and monographs*, no. 14. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1990.
- [GuSc13] Guillemin, Victor; Sternberg, Shlomo. Semi-classical analysis. International Press, Boston, MA, 2013.
- [G77] Guillemin, Victor. Lectures on spectral theory of elliptic operators. *Duke Math. J.* 44 (1977), no. 3, 485-517.
- [Hor] Hörmander, Lars. The analysis of linear partial differential operators. I. Distribution theory and Fourier analysis. *Classics in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003.
- [H22] Lam, Hy. Flat trace of the Koopman operator of the geodesic flow. In preparation.
- [Kl] Klingenberg, Wilhelm. Lectures on closed geodesics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1978.
- [Ma78] Mackey, George. Unitary group representations. Benjamin/Cummings; Reading, MA 1978.
- [Su85] Sunada, Toshikazu. Riemannian coverings and isospectral manifolds. *Ann. of Math.* (2) 121 (1985), no. 1, 169-186.
- [Su94] Sunada, Toshikazu. On the number-theoretic method in geometry: geometric analogue of zeta and L-functions and its applications. *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2*, 160, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994.
- [Z92] Zelditch, Steven. Isopectrality in the FIO category. *J. Differential Geom.* 35 (1992), no. 3, 689-710.

Northwestern University
 Department of Mathematics
 2033 Sheridan Road, Evanston, Illinois 60208 USA
E-mail address: hylam2023@u.northwestern.edu