

TRACE FORMULA FOR THE MAGNETIC LAPLACIAN AT ZERO ENERGY LEVEL

YURI A. KORDYUKOV

Dedicated to I. A. Taimanov on the occasion of his 60th birthday

ABSTRACT. The paper is devoted to the trace formula for the magnetic Laplacian associated with a magnetic system on a compact manifold. This formula is a natural generalization of the semiclassical Gutzwiller trace formula and reduces to it in the case when the magnetic field form is exact. It differs somewhat from the Guillemin-Urbe trace formula studied in the author's previous work with I.A. Taimanov. Moreover, in contrast to that work, the focus is on the trace formula at the zero energy level, which is a critical energy level. The paper gives an overview of the main notions and results related to the trace formula at the zero energy level, describes various approaches to its proof, and gives concrete examples of its computation. In addition, a brief review of the Gutzwiller trace formula for regular and critical energy levels is given.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
2. Gutzwiller's trace formula	4
2.1. The case of a regular energy level	5
2.2. The case of a critical energy level	8
2.3. Exact magnetic systems	11
3. Trace formula at zero energy level	14
3.1. Smoothed spectral density	14
3.2. Trace formula	14
3.3. Distribution of low-lying eigenvalues	17
3.4. Proof by methods of local index theory	20
4. Trace formulas and methods of microlocal analysis	23
4.1. Reduction to the case of commuting operators	23
4.2. Hamiltonian reduction and magnetic geodesic flow	25
4.3. The case of non-zero energy	28
4.4. The case of zero energy	29
5. Examples	32

Date: August 10, 2022.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 58J37; Secondary 53D50.

Key words and phrases. Magnetic Laplacian, trace formula, semiclassical asymptotics, Gutzwiller formula.

5.1. Constant magnetic field on a two-dimensional torus	32
5.2. Constant magnetic field on a three-dimensional torus	33
5.3. Two-dimensional sphere	35
5.4. Hyperbolic plane	37
References	39

1. INTRODUCTION

A magnetic system on a compact manifold M of dimension d is given by a Riemannian metric g and a closed differential 2-form of the magnetic field F on M . It is well known that if F satisfies the quantization condition

$$(1.1) \quad [F] \in H^2(M, 2\pi\mathbb{Z}),$$

then there exists a Hermitian line bundle (L, h^L) with a Hermitian connection $\nabla^L : C^\infty(M, L) \rightarrow C^\infty(M, T^*M \otimes L)$ such that the curvature form R^L of the connection ∇^L is related to the form F by the formula

$$(1.2) \quad F = iR^L.$$

The Riemannian metric on M and the Hermitian structure on L allow us to define inner products on $C^\infty(M, L)$ and $C^\infty(M, T^*M \otimes L)$ and the adjoint operator $(\nabla^L)^* : C^\infty(M, T^*M \otimes L) \rightarrow C^\infty(M, L)$. The Bochner Laplacian associated with the bundle L (or the magnetic Laplacian) is a second-order differential operator acting in the space $C^\infty(M, L)$ by the formula

$$\Delta^L = (\nabla^L)^* \nabla^L.$$

For any $N \in \mathbb{N}$ consider the N th tensor power $L^N = L^{\otimes N}$ of the line bundle L . Denote by Δ^{L^N} the corresponding magnetic Laplacian in the space $C^\infty(M, L^N)$. The parameter $\hbar = \frac{1}{N}$ can be treated as a semiclassical parameter and, accordingly, the passage to the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$ as a semiclassical limit. This point of view is well known and generally accepted in geometric quantization (see, for example, [3]).

We will study the Bochner-Schrödinger operator H_N acting on $C^\infty(M, L^N)$ by the formula

$$(1.3) \quad H_N = \Delta^{L^N} + NV,$$

where $V \in C^\infty(M)$ is a real-valued function. Additionally, one can consider an arbitrary Hermitian vector bundle (E, h^E) with a Hermitian connection ∇^E , but for the sake of simplicity, we will not consider this case in the paper. Such an operator was introduced and studied by J.-P. Demailly in connection with holomorphic Morse inequalities for Dolbeault cohomology associated with high tensor powers of a holomorphic Hermitian bundle over a compact complex manifold [29] (see also [4, 30, 54] and the references given there). This operator also has a quantum mechanical interpretation as a magnetic Schrödinger operator. It describes the motion of a charged

quantum particle on a manifold M in an external electromagnetic field given by the magnetic form NF and the electric potential NV .

If the Hermitian line bundle (L, h^L) is trivial on an open subset U of the manifold M , i.e.

$$L|_U \cong U \times \mathbb{C} \text{ and } |(x, z)|_{h^L} = |z|, \quad (x, z) \in U \times \mathbb{C},$$

and the Hermitian connection ∇^L is written as

$$(1.4) \quad \nabla^L = d - i\mathbf{A} : C^\infty(U) \rightarrow C^\infty(U, T^*U),$$

with some real 1-form \mathbf{A} (connection form or magnetic potential), then

$$R^L = -id\mathbf{A}, \quad F = d\mathbf{A}.$$

In this case, the operator H_N has the form

$$H_N = (d - iN\mathbf{A})^*(d - iN\mathbf{A}) + NV, \quad N \in \mathbb{N}.$$

It is related to the semiclassical magnetic Schrödinger operator

$$\mathcal{H}^h = (i\hbar d + \mathbf{A})^*(i\hbar d + \mathbf{A}) + \hbar V$$

by the formula

$$(1.5) \quad H_N = \hbar^{-2} \mathcal{H}^h, \quad \hbar = \frac{1}{N}, \quad N \in \mathbb{N}.$$

In particular, suppose that one can choose local coordinates (x^1, \dots, x^d) on U . We write the connection form as $\mathbf{A} = \sum_{j=1}^d A_j(x) dx^j$. Then F has the form

$$F = d\mathbf{A} = \sum_{j < k} F_{jk} dx^j \wedge dx^k, \quad F_{jk} = \frac{\partial A_k}{\partial x^j} - \frac{\partial A_j}{\partial x^k}.$$

The operator Δ^{L^N} is written as

$$(1.6) \quad H_N = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{|g(x)|}} \sum_{1 \leq j, \ell \leq d} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} - iN A_j(x) \right) \times \left[\sqrt{|g(x)|} g^{j\ell}(x) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\ell} - iN A_\ell(x) \right) \right] + NV(x),$$

where $g(x) = (g_{j\ell}(x))_{1 \leq j, \ell \leq n}$ is the matrix of the Riemannian metric g , $g(x)^{-1} = (g^{j\ell}(x))_{1 \leq j, \ell \leq n}$ is its inverse matrix and $|g(x)| = \det(g(x))$.

If the form F is exact on M , i.e., $F = d\mathbf{A}$ for some 1-form \mathbf{A} , then we say that the magnetic system is exact. In this case we will always assume that (L, h^L) is the trivial Hermitian line bundle on M and the Hermitian connection ∇^L is given by (1.4).

The operator H_N is a second-order self-adjoint elliptic differential operator on a compact manifold. Therefore, it has a discrete spectrum in $L^2(M, L^N)$ consisting of a countable set of eigenvalues of finite multiplicity.

The corresponding classical dynamics is described by the magnetic geodesic flow on the cotangent bundle T^*M (see section 4.2). The studies of dynamic and variational problems for magnetic geodesic flows, started in

the works of S.P. Novikov and I.A. Taimanov [67, 69, 80, 81, 82], have been actively continued in recent years. We are interested in the relationship of the asymptotic properties of the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of the magnetic Laplacian Δ^{L^N} (and more generally the Bochner-Schrödinger operator H_N) as $N \rightarrow \infty$ with the dynamics of the magnetic geodesic flow. These questions were discussed in recent works of the author with I.A. Taimanov [49, 50, 51]. One of the most important tools in such studies are trace formulas. In papers [49, 51] the Guillemin-Urbe trace formula for the magnetic Laplacian was studied. In this paper, we study the trace formula, which is somewhat different from the Guillemin-Urbe formula. This formula is a natural generalization of the semiclassical Gutzwiller trace formula and reduces to it in the case of an exact magnetic system. Moreover, unlike the papers [49, 51], where the trace formulas were considered at regular energy levels, in this paper the focus is on the zero energy level, which is a critical energy level.

The work is organized as follows. We begin our presentation in Section 2 with a brief overview of the semiclassical Gutzwiller trace formula, first in general form and then in the particular case of exact magnetic systems. In Section 3 we use the connection of exact magnetic systems with the semiclassical case to define the smoothed spectral density for the Bochner-Schrödinger operator associated with the general magnetic system. We then write down the trace formula at zero energy level, which is an asymptotic expansion for the smoothed spectral density, and sketch its proof using the methods of local index theory. We also present several other results concerning the asymptotic behavior of the low-lying eigenvalues of the Bochner-Schrödinger operator. Section 4 is devoted to approaches to proving the trace formula for the Bochner-Schrödinger operator by methods of microlocal analysis. Section 5 gives concrete examples of computing the trace formula at zero energy level for two-dimensional surfaces of constant curvature with constant magnetic fields, as well as for a constant magnetic field on a three-dimensional torus.

2. GUTZWILLER'S TRACE FORMULA

A semiclassical trace formula was proposed by Gutzwiller in [38] (see also related papers by Balian and Bloch [1]). The first rigorous mathematical proofs of this formula were given by Y. Colin de Verdière [23, 24], J. Chazarain [22] and H. Duistermaat and V. Guillemin [32] for the Laplace operator on a compact Riemannian manifold without boundary in the high-energy limit (the trace formula in this case is often called the Duistermaat-Guillemin formula). Here we should also mention the studies of the Selberg formula, begun in [77]. Rigorous proofs of Gutzwiller's formula for general semiclassical operators were first given for regular energy levels by R. Brummeluis and A. Uribe [14], E. Meinrenken [61, 62], T. Paul and A. Uribe [71] (see also more recent papers [27, 72, 19, 31, 78] and for critical energy levels R.

Brummeluis, T. Paul and A. Uribe [13] and D. Khuat-Duy [43] (see also [15, 16, 17, 18]) We also mention reviews [84, 26] and more recent works [76, 79].

The Gutzwiller formula is an asymptotic (in the semiclassical limit) formula for the so-called smoothed spectral density, which describes the distribution of the eigenvalues of a quantum Hamiltonian in some neighborhood of an energy level E , in terms of periodic trajectories of the classical Hamiltonian system on the corresponding level set of the classical Hamiltonian. Here it is important to know whether the energy level E is a regular or a critical value of the classical Hamiltonian. Therefore, we will consider these two cases separately.

2.1. The case of a regular energy level. In this section, we present a geometric version of Gutzwiller's formula for differential operators on manifolds and a regular energy level, following [71]. Let \mathcal{H}^h be a differential operator on a compact manifold M depending on the semiclassical parameter $h > 0$ of the form

$$(2.1) \quad \mathcal{H}^h = \sum_{l=0}^m h^l A_l,$$

where A_l is a differential operator of order l on M , $l = 0, \dots, m$. The semiclassical principal symbol of the operator \mathcal{H}^h is a smooth function on T^*M given by the formula

$$(2.2) \quad H(x, \xi) = \sum_{l=0}^m \sigma_{A_l}(x, \xi), \quad (x, \xi) \in T^*M,$$

where σ_{A_l} is the principal symbol of the operator A_l . Suppose that there is a constant $c > 0$ such that $H(x, \xi) \geq c > 0$ for any $(x, \xi) \in T^*M$. Then suppose that the operator A_m is elliptic in the usual sense. Finally, suppose that the operator \mathcal{H}^h is formally self-adjoint in the Hilbert space $L^2(M)$ defined by some smooth positive density on M .

It is easy to see that an h -differential operator \mathcal{H}^h in the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^d of the form

$$\mathcal{H}^h = \sum_{j=0}^k h^j a_j(x, hD_x),$$

where $a_j(x, D_x)$ is a differential operator of order D :

$$a_j(x, D_x) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq D} a_{j\alpha}(x) D_x^\alpha, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, k,$$

can be written in the form (2.1) with $m = k + D$ and

$$A_l = \sum_{j+|\alpha|=l} a_{j\alpha}(x) D_x^\alpha, \quad l = 0, 1, \dots, m.$$

Moreover, the standard definition of the principal symbol of a \hbar -differential operator is consistent with the formula (2.2):

$$H(x, \xi) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq D} a_{0\alpha}(x) \xi^\alpha = a_0(x, \xi).$$

As an example of an operator of the form (2.1), we can consider the Schrödinger operator

$$\mathcal{H}^\hbar = -\hbar^2 \Delta + V,$$

where Δ is the Laplace-Beltrami operator associated with a Riemannian metric on M and $V \in C^\infty(M)$ is a strictly positive potential.

Under these conditions, the operator \mathcal{H}^\hbar has discrete spectrum consisting of eigenvalues $\{\lambda_j(\hbar), j = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ of finite multiplicity. Given a function $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ and an energy level E , we define the smoothed spectral density $Y_\hbar(\varphi)$ by the formula

$$(2.3) \quad Y_\hbar(\varphi) = \text{tr } \varphi \left(\frac{\mathcal{H}^\hbar - E}{\hbar} \right) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \varphi \left(\frac{\lambda_j(\hbar) - E}{\hbar} \right).$$

Denote by ϕ^t the Hamiltonian flow with the Hamiltonian H on the phase space $X = T^*M$ with the canonical symplectic structure. The Gutzwiller trace formula under certain conditions on the flow ϕ^t gives an expression for the function $Y_\hbar(\varphi)$ as an asymptotic (in the semiclassical limit) series, the terms of which are expressed in terms of the geometric characteristics of the restriction of ϕ^t to the level set $X_E := H^{-1}(E) \subset T^*M$ of H .

Suppose that E is a regular value of the principal symbol H , i.e. $dH(x, \xi) \neq 0$ for any $(x, \xi) \in X_E$. Then X_E is a smooth submanifold of T^*M of dimension $2d - 1$.

We say that the flow ϕ^t is clean on X_E if the set

$$\mathcal{P} = \{(T, (x, \xi)) \in \mathbb{R} \times X_E : \phi^T(x, \xi) = (x, \xi)\}$$

is a submanifold of $\mathbb{R} \times X_E$ and for any $(T, (x, \xi)) \in \mathcal{P}$ we have

$$T_{(T, (x, \xi))} \mathcal{P} = \{(\tau, v) \in T_{(T, (x, \xi))}(\mathbb{R} \times X_E) : d\phi_{(T, (x, \xi))}(\tau, v) = v\},$$

where $\phi : \mathbb{R} \times X_E \rightarrow X_E, (t, (x, \xi)) \mapsto \phi^t(x, \xi)$.

The action of S_γ of a closed curve γ in T^*M is given by

$$S_\gamma = \int_\gamma \eta,$$

where η is the canonical 1-form on T^*M :

$$\eta = \sum_{j=1}^d \xi_j dx^j.$$

It follows from the cleanness condition of the flow that the action is locally constant on \mathcal{P} . Let us denote its value on a connected component \mathcal{P}_ν of \mathcal{P} by α_ν . Moreover, a canonical smooth density $d\mu_\nu$ is defined on each connected component \mathcal{P}_ν .

The subprincipal symbol of the operator \mathcal{H}^{\hbar} is a smooth function on T^*M defined by the formula

$$H_{sub}(x, \xi) = \sum_{l=0}^m \sigma_{A_l, sub}(x, \xi),$$

where $\sigma_{A_l, sub}$ denotes the subprincipal symbol of the operator A_l . We define the function β on \mathcal{P} by the formula

$$\beta(T, (x, \xi)) = \int_0^T H_{sub}(\phi^t(x, \xi)) dt.$$

Denote by $\hat{\varphi}$ the Fourier transform of the function $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$:

$$\hat{\varphi}(k) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(\lambda) \exp(-ik\lambda) d\lambda, \quad k \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The Gutzwiller trace formula is given by the following theorem.

Theorem 1 ([71], Theorem 5.3). *Assume that E is a regular value of the principal symbol H and the flow ϕ is clean on X_E . Then for any function $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ whose Fourier transform is compactly supported, the smoothed spectral density $Y_{\hbar}(\varphi)$ admits an asymptotic expansion*

$$(2.4) \quad Y_{\hbar}(\varphi) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \varphi \left(\frac{\lambda_j(\hbar) - E}{\hbar} \right) \\ \sim \sum_{\nu} e^{i\alpha_{\nu}\hbar^{-1}} \hbar^{-d_{\nu}} e^{\pi i m_{\nu}/4} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_{\nu, j}(\varphi) \hbar^j, \quad \hbar \rightarrow 0,$$

where:

(1) The sum \sum_{ν} is taken over all connected components \mathcal{P}_{ν} of \mathcal{P} containing at least one point $(T, (x, \xi))$ with T in the support of $\hat{\varphi}$. This sum is finite.

(2) $d_{\nu} = (\dim \mathcal{P}_{\nu})/2$.

(3) m_{ν} is an integer, the common Maslov index of trajectories in \mathcal{P}_{ν} .

(4) The leading coefficient of the ν th term is equal to

$$c_{\nu, 0}(\varphi) = (2\pi)^{-(d_{\nu}+1)/2} \int_{\mathcal{P}_{\nu}} e^{i\beta} \hat{\varphi}(T) d\mu_{\nu}.$$

For the connected component $\{0\} \times X_E$, $d_{\nu} = 2d - 1$ and

$$c_{\nu, 0}(\varphi) = (2\pi)^{-d} \hat{\varphi}(0) \text{Vol}(X_E),$$

where $\text{Vol}(X_E)$ is the Liouville volume of X_E .

For a connected component of the form $\gamma \times \{T\}$, where $\gamma \subset X_E$ is a nondegenerate periodic trajectory of the flow, $d_{\nu} = 1$ and

$$(2.5) \quad c_{\nu, 0}(\varphi) = \frac{T^{\#}}{2\pi |\det(I - P_{\gamma})|^{1/2}} \hat{\varphi}(T)$$

where P_γ and $T_\gamma^\#$ are the linearized Poincaré map and the primitive period of the trajectory γ , respectively.

The proof of Theorem 1 uses the method described in Section 4.1 below. It is based on a reduction of the semiclassical spectral problem in question to some asymptotic spectral problem for joint eigenvalues of a pair of commuting pseudodifferential operators in the high-energy limit. Then the methods of the theory of Fourier integral operators and microlocal analysis developed for the proof of the Duistermaat-Guillemin trace formula are used. The cleanness condition of the flow is necessary in order to apply the theorem on the composition of Fourier integral operators, which, in its turn, essentially relies on the calculation of the asymptotics of oscillatory integrals with nondegenerate phase functions by the stationary phase method.

2.2. The case of a critical energy level. The case where E is the critical energy level was first studied in [13]. In this paper, the authors considered the operator \mathcal{H}^h depending on the semiclassical parameter $h > 0$ given by the formula (2.1) under the conditions given in the previous section. They assumed that the set

$$\Theta = \{(x, \xi) \in T^*M : dH(x, \xi) = 0\}$$

of critical points of the principal symbol H of the operator \mathcal{H}^h is a smooth compact manifold and H has a non-degenerate normal Hessian on Θ , that is, for all $(x, \xi) \in \Theta$ the bilinear form $Q(H)_{(x, \xi)}$ on the normal space $N_{(x, \xi)}\Theta := T_{(x, \xi)}(T^*M)/T_{(x, \xi)}\Theta$ to Θ at (x, ξ) defined by the second differential $d_{(x, \xi)}^2 H$ of H at (x, ξ) is non-degenerate. Moreover, the multiplicities of the eigenvalues of the normal Hessian $Q(H)_{(x, \xi)}$ are locally constant on Θ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that Θ is connected and is contained in X_E for some E .

Recall that $\phi^t : T^*M \rightarrow T^*M$ denotes the Hamiltonian flow with Hamiltonian H . It is easy to see that each point $(x, \xi) \in \Theta$ is a fixed point of the flow ϕ^t . The differentials of the maps ϕ^t at $(x, \xi) \in \Theta$ define the linearized flow $d\phi_{t, (x, \xi)} : N_{(x, \xi)}\Theta \rightarrow N_{(x, \xi)}\Theta$. A number T is a period of the flow $d\phi_{t, (x, \xi)}$ if there exists a vector $u \in T_{(x, \xi)}(T^*M) \setminus T_{(x, \xi)}\Theta$ such that $d\phi_{t, (x, \xi)}u = u$.

Denote $q = \text{codim } \Theta$, and ν is the number of negative eigenvalues of the Hessian $Q(H)$ on Θ . As will be shown below, the case of the Bochner-Schrödinger operator corresponds to the case $q = d$ and $\nu = 0$.

Theorem 2 ([13], Theorem 1.1). *Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ be any function such that 0 is the only period of the linearized flow in the support of its Fourier transform.*

(1) *If $\nu \geq 1$, $q - \nu \geq 1$ and both of these numbers are odd, then the asymptotic expansion holds true*

$$(2.6) \quad Y_h(\varphi) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \varphi \left(\frac{\lambda_j(h) - E}{h} \right)$$

$$\sim \hbar^{-(d-1)} \left[\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_{j,0} \hbar^j + \sum_{j=\frac{q}{2}-1}^{\infty} c_{j,1} \hbar^j [\log(1/\hbar)] \right], \quad \hbar \rightarrow 0.$$

(2) If $\nu \geq 1$, $q - \nu \geq 1$ and one of them is even or if the form $Q(H)$ is positive definite ($\nu = 0$), then the asymptotic expansion holds true

$$(2.7) \quad Y_{\hbar}(\varphi) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \varphi \left(\frac{\lambda_j(\hbar) - E}{\hbar} \right) \sim \hbar^{-(d-1)} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_j \hbar^{j/2}, \quad \hbar \rightarrow 0.$$

In [13], formulas for the leading coefficients of the expansions (2.6) and (2.7) have been also obtained. In particular, the authors have shown (see [13, Section 3.4]) that if the normal Hessian is positive definite, then Θ contributes to the coefficient c_j of (2.7), starting from the degree $\hbar^{-d+q/2}$ (i.e. with $j = q - 2$).

The proof of Theorem 2 uses the methods of the proof of Theorem 1 with the only difference that in this case the cleanness condition of the flow is not satisfied, which leads to the necessity to study the asymptotics of oscillatory integrals with degenerate phase functions.

The proof of Gutzwiller's formula for a critical energy level, which is valid for an arbitrary function $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$, whose Fourier transform is compactly supported, and, in particular, the computation of the contributions of nonzero periods of the linearized flow, were given in [43] for the Schrödinger operator

$$\mathcal{H}^{\hbar} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2} \Delta + V(x)$$

in the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^d ($d \geq 1$) provided that

$$V \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

and $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow +\infty} V(x) = +\infty$. It is well known that under such conditions the spectrum of \mathcal{H}^{\hbar} is discrete. In this case, the set Θ of critical points of the principal symbol $H(x, \xi) = \frac{|\xi|^2}{2} + V(x)$ has the form

$$\Theta = \{(x, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^{2d} : dV(x) = 0\}.$$

As above, suppose that Θ is a smooth compact manifold, for any $(x, 0) \in \Theta$ the normal Hessian $Q(H)_{(x,0)}$ on $N_{(x,0)}\Theta$ is non-degenerate, the multiplicities of the eigenvalues of the normal Hessian $Q(H)_{(x,0)}$ are locally constant for $(x, 0) \in \Theta$, Θ is connected and is contained in some X_E . Note that in this case Θ is an isotropic submanifold of $\mathbb{R}^{2d} = T^*\mathbb{R}^d$ endowed with the canonical symplectic form.

Suppose that the Hamiltonian flow ϕ^t in \mathbb{R}^{2d} with Hamiltonian H is clean on $X_E \setminus \Theta$. Denote by

$$(\alpha_1(x)^2, \dots, \alpha_r(x)^2, -\alpha_{r+1}(x)^2, \dots, -\alpha_{r+\nu}(x)^2, 0, \dots, 0), \quad \alpha_i(x) > 0$$

the eigenvalues of the second differential $d^2V(x)$ for $(x, 0) \in \Theta$. Then $\dim \Theta = d - r - \nu$. As above, we set $q := \dim \Theta = d + r + \nu$.

In [43, Theorem 1.3], analogues of the asymptotic expansions (2.6) and (2.7) are proved for all functions φ whose Fourier transform is compactly supported, and the coefficients of these expansions are computed.

Let us consider in more detail the case $\nu = 0$, which is the most important for us. In this case, it is proved in [43, Theorem 1.3] that the asymptotic expansion (2.7) remains valid. Moreover, it does not contain half-integer powers of \hbar , that is, it has the form

$$(2.8) \quad Y_h(\varphi) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \varphi \left(\frac{\lambda_j(\hbar) - E}{\hbar} \right) \sim \hbar^{q/2-d} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_j \hbar^j, \quad \hbar \rightarrow 0.$$

For arbitrary $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\alpha_j > 0, j = 1, \dots, m$, define a distribution

$$\frac{1}{(t + i0)^\ell \prod_{j=1}^m \sin \alpha_j(t + i0)} \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$$

by the formula

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle \frac{1}{(t + i0)^\ell \prod_{j=1}^m \sin \alpha_j(t + i0)}, \psi \right\rangle \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\psi(t)}{(t + i\varepsilon)^\ell \prod_{j=1}^m \sin \alpha_j(t + i\varepsilon)} dt, \quad \psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}). \end{aligned}$$

The formula for the leading coefficient c_0 of the asymptotic expansion (2.8) has the form

$$(2.9) \quad c_0 = \frac{2^{d-q} e^{-3\pi(q/4)i}}{(2\pi)^{d-q/2}} \times \int_{\Theta} \frac{1}{2\pi} \left\langle \frac{1}{(t + i0)^{d-q/2} \prod_{j=1}^{q-d} \sin((\alpha_j(x)/2)(t + i0))}, \hat{\varphi}(t) \right\rangle dx.$$

For any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $c_j > 0, j = 1, \dots, m$, the following formulas hold true (see. for instance, [43, Lemma 3.3]):

$$(2.10) \quad \frac{1}{2\pi} \left\langle \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^m \sin c_j(t + i0)}, \hat{\varphi}(t) \right\rangle = (-2i)^m \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m} \varphi \left(\sum_{j=1}^m (2k_j + 1)c_j \right)$$

and for $\ell > 0$

$$(2.11) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2\pi} \left\langle \frac{1}{(t + i0)^\ell \prod_{j=1}^m \sin c_j(t + i0)}, \hat{\varphi} \right\rangle \\ &= \frac{2^m e^{3\pi((\ell+m)/2)i}}{\Gamma(\ell)} \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\tau - \sum_{j=1}^m (2k_j + 1)c_j \right)_+^{\ell-1} \varphi(\tau) d\tau, \end{aligned}$$

where $(\tau - \beta)_+^{\ell-1}$ is the function, equal to $(\max(0, \tau - \beta))^{\ell-1}$.

For $\ell \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{N}$, passing to polar coordinates, we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2\ell}} \varphi \left(|\xi|^2 + \sum_{j=1}^m (2k_j + 1)c_j \right) d\xi = \frac{\pi^\ell}{\Gamma(\ell)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\tau - \sum_{j=1}^m (2k_j + 1)c_j \right)_+^{\ell-1} \varphi(\tau) d\tau,$$

which allows us to rewrite (2.11) as

$$(2.12) \quad \frac{1}{2\pi} \left\langle \frac{1}{(t+i0)^\ell \prod_{j=1}^m \sin c_j(t+i0)}, \hat{\varphi} \right\rangle \\ = \frac{2^m e^{3\pi((\ell+m)/2)i}}{\pi^\ell} \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2\ell}} \varphi \left(|\xi|^2 + \sum_{j=1}^m (2k_j + 1)c_j \right) d\xi.$$

Using (2.11) and (2.12), the formula (2.9) is rewritten as

$$(2.13) \quad c_0 \\ = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d-q/2}} \frac{1}{\Gamma(d-q/2)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[\int_{\Theta} \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{q-d}} (\tau - \beta_{\mathbf{k}}(x))_+^{d-q/2-1} dx \right] \varphi(\tau) d\tau \\ = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2d-q}} \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{q-d}} \int_{\Theta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d-q}} \varphi \left(\frac{1}{2} |\xi|^2 + \beta_{\mathbf{k}}(x) \right) dx d\xi,$$

where

$$\beta_{\mathbf{k}}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{q-d} \left(k_j + \frac{1}{2} \right) \alpha_j(x), \quad (x, 0) \in \Theta, \quad \mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{q-d}.$$

Using the last formula, we can rewrite (2.9) as the classical Weyl formula with a suitably chosen operator-valued symbol. The problems of constructing analogues of the Weyl formula for various classes of degenerate operators using general theorems on the asymptotic behavior of the spectrum of pseudodifferential operators with operator-valued symbols were discussed in [52]. For semiclassical spectral problems, these issues are closely related to adiabatic limits and the Born-Oppenheimer approximation (See, for example, [2, 83, 73] and references therein).

2.3. Exact magnetic systems. In this section, we return to magnetic systems and the Bochner-Schrödinger operator (1.3) and consider the case of an exact magnetic system. Thus, suppose that the form F is exact, $F = d\mathbf{A}$ with some real 1-form \mathbf{A} , the Hermitian line bundle (L, h^L) is trivial, and the Hermitian connection ∇^L is written as $\nabla^L = d - i\mathbf{A}$. In this case, the operator H_N is related to the semiclassical magnetic Schrödinger operator \mathcal{H}^h by the formula (1.5). Rewriting the formula (2.3) for the smoothed

spectral density of \mathcal{H}^h at the energy level $E_0 \geq 0$ in terms of H_N , we get

$$(2.14) \quad Y_N(\varphi) = \text{tr } \varphi \left(\frac{\mathcal{H}^h - E_0}{h} \right) = \text{tr } \varphi \left(\frac{1}{N} \Delta^{L^N} + V - E_0 N \right).$$

We will use this formula to define the smoothed spectral density of the operator H_N associated with an arbitrary magnetic system.

It is not difficult to compute the principal and subprincipal symbols of the operator \mathcal{H}^h (see, for example, [64, Lemma A.1]):

$$(2.15) \quad H(x, \xi) = |\xi - \mathbf{A}(x)|_{g^{-1}}^2, \quad H_{\text{sub}}(x, \xi) = V(x), \quad (x, \xi) \in T^*M.$$

In local coordinates, we get

$$H(x, \xi) = \sum_{k, \ell=1}^d g^{k\ell}(x) (\xi_k - A_k(x)) (\xi_\ell - A_\ell(x)), \quad (x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}.$$

Since

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial \xi_\ell}(x, \xi) = 2 \sum_{k, \ell=1}^d g^{k\ell}(x) (\xi_k - A_k(x)),$$

any $E_0 > 0$ is a regular value of the principal symbol H , and the Gutzwiller formula given in Theorem 1 is applicable in this case and describes a complete asymptotic expansion of $Y_N(\varphi)$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$.

The value $E_0 = 0$ is a critical value of H . We will discuss the trace formulas at this energy level later in the context of general magnetic systems. In the rest of this section, we give some facts about the geometry of the corresponding set of critical points of H .

It is easy to see that the set of critical points of H on the zero level set $X_0 = H^{-1}(0)$ (which is often called the characteristic set of \mathcal{H}^h) coincides with the entire X_0 . The set X_0 is a d -dimensional submanifold of T^*M :

$$(2.16) \quad X_0 = \{(x, \xi) \in T^*M : \xi = \mathbf{A}(x)\}.$$

It is identified with M by the map

$$j : x \in M \mapsto (x, \mathbf{A}(x)) \in X_0,$$

and its inverse is the restriction of the projection $\pi : T^*M \rightarrow M$ to X_0 .

It can be shown (see, for example, [65, Lemma 2.1]) that the restriction of the canonical symplectic form $\omega = \sum_{j=1}^d d\xi_j \wedge dx_j$ to X_0 is

$$\omega_{X_0} = \pi^* F.$$

Thus, in contrast to the case of the Schrödinger operator considered in 2.2, the submanifold X_0 is not isotropic. Its properties are determined by the properties of the form F . If F is non-degenerate, then the manifold (X_0, ω_{X_0}) is symplectic. If F has constant rank, then (X_0, ω_{X_0}) is a presymplectic manifold.

In [65, Sections 2.1 and 2.2], the second differential d^2H on X_0 is computed. In local coordinates (x_1, \dots, x_d) we write

$$\mathbf{A}(x) = \sum_{k=1}^d A_k(x) dx_k$$

and introduce the notation

$$(\nabla \mathbf{A} \cdot Q)_k = \sum_{\ell=1}^d \frac{\partial A_k}{\partial x_\ell}(x) Q_\ell, \quad ((\nabla \mathbf{A})^T \cdot Q)_k = \sum_{\ell=1}^d \frac{\partial A_\ell}{\partial x_k}(x) Q_\ell.$$

The tangent space $T_{j(x)}X_0$ to X_0 at $j(x)$ is given by

$$T_{j(x)}X_0 = \{(Q, P) \in T_{j(x)}(T^*M) \cong \mathbb{R}^{2d} : P = \nabla \mathbf{A} \cdot Q\},$$

The skew-orthogonal complement of $T_{j(x)}X_0^\perp$ to $T_{j(x)}X_0$ has the form

$$T_{j(x)}X_0^\perp = \{(Q, P) \in T_{j(x)}(T^*M) \cong \mathbb{R}^{2d} : P = (\nabla \mathbf{A})^T \cdot Q\}.$$

In particular, it is easy to see that

$$T_{j(x)}X_0 \cap T_{j(x)}X_0^\perp = \text{Ker}(\pi^*F).$$

The second differential $d_{j(x)}^2H$ at $j(x) = (x, \mathbf{A}(x)) \in X_0$ is a quadratic form on $T_{j(x)}(T^*M) \cong \mathbb{R}^{2d}$ given by the formula

$$d_{j(x)}^2H(Q, P) = 2 \sum_{k, \ell=1}^d g^{k\ell}(x) (P_k - (\nabla \mathbf{A} \cdot Q)_k) (P_\ell - (\nabla \mathbf{A} \cdot Q)_\ell).$$

Thus, the form $d_{j(x)}^2H$ defines a bilinear form $Q(H)_{j(x)}$ on $T_{j(x)}(T^*M)/T_{j(x)}X_0$ (the normal Hessian), which is positive definite.

Let $J_x : T_xM \rightarrow T_xM$ be a skew-symmetric operator such that

$$(2.17) \quad F_x(u, v) = g(J_x u, v), \quad u, v \in T_xM.$$

Assume that the rank of the form F_x is $2n$ and denote by $\pm ia_k(x)$, $k = 1, \dots, n$ ($a_k(x) > 0$) the non-zero eigenvalues of the operator J_x .

It is proved in [65, Sections 2.1 and 2.2] that there exists a linearly independent system of vectors $(\{f_k\}_{k=1}^n, \{f'_{k'}\}_{k'=1}^n, \{g_\ell\}_{\ell=1}^{d-2n})$ in $T_{j(x)}(T^*M) \setminus T_{j(x)}X_0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(f_k, f_{k'}) &= \omega(f'_k, f'_{k'}) = 0, & \omega(f_k, f'_{k'}) &= \delta_{kk'}, & k, k' &= 1, \dots, n, \\ \omega(f_k, g_\ell) &= \omega(f'_k, g_\ell) = 0, & k &= 1, \dots, n, & \ell &= 1, \dots, d-2n, \\ \omega(g_\ell, g_{\ell'}) &= 0, & \ell, \ell' &= 1, \dots, d-2n. \end{aligned}$$

and

$$d_{j(x)}^2H(f_k, f_{k'}) = d_{j(x)}^2H(f'_k, f'_{k'}) = 2a_k(x)\delta_{kk'}, \quad d_{j(x)}^2H(f_k, f'_{k'}) = 0, \\ k, k' = 1, \dots, n,$$

$$d_{j(x)}^2H(f_k, g_\ell) = d_{j(x)}^2H(f'_k, g_\ell) = 0, \quad k = 1, \dots, n, \quad \ell = 1, \dots, d-2n,$$

$$d_{j(x)}^2 H(g_\ell, g_{\ell'}) = 0, \quad \ell, \ell' = 1, \dots, d - 2n.$$

This gives a complete description of the normal Hessian in this case

3. TRACE FORMULA AT ZERO ENERGY LEVEL

In this section, we discuss the trace formulas for the Bochner-Schrödinger operator (1.3) associated with an arbitrary magnetic system described in Introduction. We will use the notation introduced in Introduction.

3.1. Smoothed spectral density. We use the formula (2.14) to define the smoothed spectral density for the Bochner-Schrödinger operator H_N associated with an arbitrary magnetic system:

$$(3.1) \quad Y_N(\varphi) = \operatorname{tr} \varphi \left(\frac{1}{N} \Delta^{L^N} + V - E_0 N \right).$$

If we denote by $\nu_{N,j}, j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, the eigenvalues of H_N taking into account the multiplicities, then this formula takes the form

$$Y_N(\varphi) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \varphi \left(\frac{1}{N} \nu_{N,j} - E_0 N \right).$$

As far as we know, the question of proving the corresponding trace formula in the case of $E_0 > 0$ is still open (see, nevertheless, Remark 7). In [37] Guillemin and Uribe considered another version of the smoothed spectral density and proved the trace formula for it. A review of the main concepts and results related to the Guillemin-Uribe trace formula and some concrete examples of its computation is given in [49] (see also [51]). In this paper, we will pay more attention to the case of zero energy $E_0 = 0$ (some information for the case $E_0 > 0$ is given in Section 4.3).

3.2. Trace formula. For the energy level $E_0 = 0$, the smoothed spectral density $Y_N(\varphi)$ of the operator H_N given by (3.1) takes the form

$$(3.2) \quad Y_N(\varphi) = \operatorname{tr} \varphi \left(\frac{1}{N} \Delta^{L^N} + V \right) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \varphi \left(\frac{1}{N} \nu_{N,j} \right).$$

In [47] the author proved the corresponding trace formula.

Theorem 3. *There is a sequence of distributions $f_r \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}), r \geq 0$ such that for any $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ the sequence $Y_N(\varphi)$ given by (3.2) admits an asymptotic expansion*

$$(3.3) \quad Y_N(\varphi) \sim N^{\frac{d}{2}} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} f_r(\varphi) N^{-\frac{r}{2}}, \quad N \rightarrow \infty.$$

Explicit formulas for the coefficients f_r of this expansion use special differential operators $\mathcal{H}^{(x_0)}$ (model operators) associated with an arbitrary point $x_0 \in M$. They are obtained from the operators H_N by freezing the coefficients at x_0 .

Let $x_0 \in M$. We define a connection in the trivial Hermitian line bundle over $T_{x_0}M$ by the formula

$$(3.4) \quad \nabla_v^{(x_0)} = \nabla_v + \frac{1}{2}R_{x_0}^L(w, v), \quad v \in T_w(T_{x_0}M).$$

(recall that R^L denotes the curvature of ∇^L). The curvature of this connection is constant and equal to the form $R_{x_0}^L$ considered as a constant 2-form on $T_{x_0}M$. Denote by $\Delta^{(x_0)}$ the corresponding Bochner Laplacian.

The model operator $\mathcal{H}^{(x_0)}$ is a second-order differential operator in $C^\infty(T_{x_0}M)$ defined by the formula

$$(3.5) \quad \mathcal{H}^{(x_0)} = \Delta^{(x_0)} + V(x_0).$$

For any function $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ the operator $\varphi(\mathcal{H}^{(x_0)})$ is an integral operator with smooth kernel $K_{\varphi(\mathcal{H}^{(x_0)})} \in C^\infty(T_{x_0}M \times T_{x_0}M)$ with respect to the Euclidean volume form on $T_{x_0}M$ defined by the Riemannian metric g_{x_0} .

The leading coefficient f_0 in the asymptotic expansion (3.3) has the form

$$(3.6) \quad f_0 = \int_M f_0(x_0) dv_M(x_0),$$

where dv_M denotes the Riemannian volume form and

$$(3.7) \quad f_0(x_0) = K_{\varphi(\mathcal{H}^{(x_0)})}(0, 0).$$

The Schwartz kernel $K_{\varphi(\mathcal{H}^{(x_0)})}$ is easy to compute, which gives more explicit formulas for $f_0(x_0)$. Recall that the skew-symmetric operator $J : TM \rightarrow TM$ is defined by the formula (2.17) and the nonzero eigenvalues of J_x are denoted by $\pm ia_k(x)$, $k = 1, \dots, n$ ($a_k(x) > 0$) ($2n = \text{rank } F_x$). Put

$$(3.8) \quad \Lambda_{\mathbf{k}}(x_0) = \sum_{j=1}^n (2k_j + 1)a_j(x_0) + V(x_0).$$

In the case when F has maximal rank ($d = 2n$), the spectrum of $\mathcal{H}^{(x_0)}$ is a countable set of eigenvalues of infinite multiplicity:

$$\sigma(\mathcal{H}^{(x_0)}) = \{\Lambda_{\mathbf{k}}(x_0) : \mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n\}.$$

If $d > 2n$, the spectrum of $\mathcal{H}^{(x_0)}$ is a half-line:

$$\sigma(\mathcal{H}^{(x_0)}) = [\Lambda_0(x_0), +\infty),$$

where

$$\Lambda_0(x_0) := \sum_{j=1}^n a_j(x_0) + V(x_0).$$

If $d = 2n$ then

$$(3.9) \quad f_0(x_0) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \left(\prod_{j=1}^n a_j(x_0) \right) \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n} \varphi(\Lambda_{\mathbf{k}}(x_0)),$$

and if $d > 2n$, then

$$(3.10) \quad f_0(x_0) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \left(\prod_{j=1}^n a_j(x_0) \right) \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-2n}} \varphi(|\xi|^2 + \Lambda_{\mathbf{k}}(x_0)) d\xi.$$

Using the formulas (2.10), (2.11) and (2.12), we can rewrite these formulas in terms of the Fourier transform of φ . In the case $d = 2n$, we get

$$(3.11) \quad f_0(x_0) = \frac{1}{(-4i\pi)^n} \left(\prod_{j=1}^n a_j(x_0) \right) \times \frac{1}{2\pi} \left\langle \frac{e^{itV(x_0)}}{\prod_{j=1}^n \sin a_j(x_0)(t+i0)}, \hat{\varphi}(t) \right\rangle,$$

and in the case $d > 2n$

$$(3.12) \quad f_0(x_0) = \frac{e^{-3\pi(d/4)i}}{4^n \pi^{2n-d/2}} \left(\prod_{j=1}^n a_j(x_0) \right) \times \frac{1}{2\pi} \left\langle \frac{e^{itV(x_0)}}{(t+i0)^{d/2-n} \prod_{j=1}^n \sin a_j(x_0)(t+i0)}, \hat{\varphi}(t) \right\rangle.$$

For an arbitrary r with $d = 2n$ the coefficient $f_r(x_0)$ has the form

$$f_r(x_0) = \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n} \sum_{\ell=1}^m P_{\mathbf{k},\ell}(x_0) \varphi^{(\ell-1)}(\Lambda_{\mathbf{k}}(x_0)),$$

where $P_{\mathbf{k},\ell}$ is polynomially bounded in \mathbf{k} , and for $d > 2n$,

$$f_r(x_0) = \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n} \sum_{\ell=1}^m \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-2n}} P_{\mathbf{k},\ell,x_0}(\xi) \varphi^{(\ell-1)}(\Lambda_{\mathbf{k}}(x_0) + |\xi|^2) d\xi,$$

where $P_{\mathbf{k},\ell,x_0}(\xi)$ is a polynomial of degree $3r$ bounded polynomially in \mathbf{k} .

In the case of maximal rank $d = 2n$, the formula (3.11) has a natural geometric interpretation in terms of the magnetic geodesic flow. Since we are talking about some neighborhood of x_0 , without loss of generality, we can assume that the magnetic system is exact, i.e. the Hermitian line bundle L is trivial and there is a magnetic potential \mathbf{A} , and use the facts given in 2.3. In particular, instead of the magnetic geodesic flow, one can consider the Hamiltonian flow $\phi^t : T^*M \rightarrow T^*M$ with the Hamiltonian H given (2.15) (see Section below 4.2, in particular Example 5).

Each point $j(x_0) = (x_0, \mathbf{A}(x_0)) \in X_0$ is a critical point of H and therefore a fixed point of the flow ϕ^t . Thus, the linearized flow $d\phi_{t,j(x_0)}$ on the conormal space $N_{j(x_0)}X_0 := T_{j(x_0)}(T^*M)/T_{j(x_0)}X_0$ to X_0 at $j(x_0)$ is defined. In the case under consideration $d = 2n$, the manifolds X_0 and X_0^\perp are symplectic. There is an isomorphism $N_{j(x_0)}X_0 \cong T_{j(x_0)}X_0^\perp$, and the bilinear

form on $N_{j(x_0)}X_0$ induced by the canonical symplectic form ω , coincides with the restriction of ω to $T_{j(x_0)}X_0^\perp$. Moreover, the flow $d\phi_{t,j(x_0)}$ is a linear Hamiltonian flow with respect to the induced symplectic structure on $N_{j(x_0)}X_0$. Its Hamiltonian is the normal Hessian $Q(H)_{j(x_0)}$, the quadratic form on $N_{j(x_0)}X_0$ defined by the second differential $d_{j(x_0)}^2 H$. As indicated in Section 2.3, the quadratic form $Q(H)_{j(x_0)}$ is positive definite, and there is a basis $\{f_k, f'_k, k = 1, \dots, n\}$ in $N_{j(x_0)}X_0$ such that

$$\omega(f_k, f_\ell) = \omega(f'_k, f'_\ell) = 0, \quad \omega(f_k, f'_\ell) = \delta_{k\ell}, \quad k, \ell = 1, \dots, n,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} Q(H)_{j(x_0)}(f_k, f_\ell) &= Q(H)_{j(x_0)}(f'_k, f'_\ell) = 2a_k(x_0)\delta_{k\ell}, \\ Q(H)_{j(x_0)}(f_k, f'_\ell) &= 0, \quad k, \ell = 1, \dots, n. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, in the corresponding coordinates $(u_1, \dots, u_n, v_1, \dots, v_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ on $N_{j(x_0)}X_0$ the linearized flow has the form

$$d\phi_{t,j(x_0)}(u, v) = (u(t), v(t)) \in N_{j(x_0)}X_0 \cong \mathbb{R}^{2n},$$

where

$$(3.13) \quad \begin{aligned} u_k(t) &= \cos(2a_k(x_0)t)u_k + \sin(2a_k(x_0)t)v_k, \\ v_k(t) &= -\sin(2a_k(x_0)t)u_k + \cos(2a_k(x_0)t)v_k, \end{aligned} \quad k = 1, \dots, n, t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

One can check that the expression on the right side of (3.11) is written as (cf. (2.5))

$$\frac{1}{\prod_{k=1}^n \sin a_k(x_0)t} = \frac{1}{|\det(I - d\phi_{t,j(x_0)})^{1/2}|}.$$

In particular, the set of singularities of the Fourier transform of $f_0(x_0)$ coincides with the period set of the flow $d\phi_{t,j(x_0)}$:

$$T = m_k \frac{\pi}{a_k(x_0)}, \quad k = 1, \dots, n, \quad m_k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Using the relation of the magnetic geodesic flow with the flow ϕ^t described below in Section 4.2 (see Example 5), one can easily reformulate the above facts in terms of the magnetic geodesic flow.

3.3. Distribution of low-lying eigenvalues. The study of the smoothed spectral density $Y_N(\varphi)$ given by (3.2) is connected with the study of the asymptotic behavior of the eigenvalues of H_N on intervals of the form $(\alpha N, \beta N)$ with $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$. An asymptotic formula for the eigenvalue distribution function of $\frac{1}{N}H_N$ was proved by J.-P. Demailly [29, 30] using variational methods (such as Dirichlet-Neumann bracketing) without any restrictions on the curvature of L .

The eigenvalue distribution function $\mathcal{N}_N(\lambda)$ of $\frac{1}{N}H_N$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{N}_N(\lambda) = \#\{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+ : \frac{1}{N}\nu_{N,j} \leq \lambda\}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $\nu_{N,j}, j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ are the eigenvalues of H_N taking into account the multiplicities. By [29, Theorem 0.6] (see also [30, Corollary 3.3]), there exists a countable set $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathcal{D}$

$$(3.14) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} N^{-d/2} \mathcal{N}_N(\lambda) = \frac{2^{n-d} \pi^{-d/2}}{\Gamma(\frac{d}{2} - n + 1)} \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n} \int_M (\lambda - \Lambda_{\mathbf{k}}(x))_+^{d/2-n} \left(\prod_{j=1}^n a_j(x) \right) dv_M(x).$$

It is easy to see that this formula agrees with the formulas (3.9) and (3.10) (see also (2.9) and (2.13)).

In the case of maximal rank $d = 2n$, the formula (3.14) can be rewritten in terms of the Liouville volume form $\mu_F = \frac{1}{n!} F^n$ as follows:

$$(3.15) \quad \lim_{p \rightarrow +\infty} N^{-n} \mathcal{N}_N(\lambda) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_M \# \{ \mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n : \Lambda_{\mathbf{k}}(x) < \lambda \} \mu_F(x).$$

The proof of this formula, based on the use of the heat equation, was given in [11]. We refer the reader to the papers [4, 11, 30, 54, 58] and the bibliography given there for the study of the heat kernel associated $\frac{1}{N} H_N$, as well as to the papers [64, 21], where the asymptotic Weyl formula was studied in the case when the curvature R^L is non-degenerate. There is also an extensive literature devoted to studies of the asymptotic behavior of the low-lying eigenvalues of H_N . See, for example, books and review papers [34, 39, 40, 73], as well as recent papers [59, 64, 65] (and the references therein).

In [45, 21] an asymptotic description of the spectrum of $\frac{1}{N} H_N$ is given in terms of the spectra of the model operators (3.5) in the case when the form F has maximal rank. Denote by Σ the union of the spectra of the model operators:

$$\Sigma = \{ \Lambda_{\mathbf{k}}(x_0) : \mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n, x_0 \in M \}.$$

Theorem 4 ([21]). *For any $K > 0$ there exists $c > 0$ such that for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$ the spectrum of $\frac{1}{N} H_N$ in the interval $[0, K]$ is contained in the $cN^{-1/2}$ -neighborhood of Σ .*

In [45] a similar statement is proved with a weaker estimate $cN^{-1/4}$ instead of $cN^{-1/2}$ for a wider class of Riemannian manifolds of bounded geometry.

The set Σ is a closed subset of the real line \mathbb{R} , which can be represented as a union of closed intervals

$$\Sigma = \bigcup_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n} [\alpha_{\mathbf{k}}, \beta_{\mathbf{k}}]$$

where for any $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ the interval $[\alpha_{\mathbf{k}}, \beta_{\mathbf{k}}]$ is the image of the function $\Lambda_{\mathbf{k}}$ on M : $[\alpha_{\mathbf{k}}, \beta_{\mathbf{k}}] = \{ \Lambda_{\mathbf{k}}(x_0) : x_0 \in M \}$. In general, the bands $[\alpha_{\mathbf{k}}, \beta_{\mathbf{k}}]$ can overlap without any gaps, and then Σ is the semiaxis $[\Lambda_0, +\infty)$ with

$\Lambda_0 = \inf_{x \in M} \Lambda_0(x)$. In some cases Σ may have gaps: $[\Lambda_0, +\infty) \setminus \Sigma \neq \emptyset$. For example, if $V(x) \equiv 0$ and functions a_j can be chosen constant:

$$(3.16) \quad a_j(x) \equiv a_j, \quad x \in M, \quad j = 1, \dots, n,$$

then Σ is a countable discrete set. In particular, if J is an almost-complex structure ($J^2 = -I$; almost-Kähler case) and $V(x) \equiv 0$, then $a_j = 1, j = 1, \dots, n$, and

$$\Sigma = \{2k + n : k \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}.$$

The set Σ can also have gaps if the functions a_j are not constant, but change little enough. In these cases, Theorem 4 also implies the existence of gaps in the spectrum of $\frac{1}{N}H_N$. In particular, if $V(x) \equiv 0$ and the condition (3.16) is true, then the spectrum of $\frac{1}{N}H_N$ is contained in the union of neighborhoods of points a_j of size $O(N^{-1/2})$. In the almost-Kähler case, Theorem 4 was proved in [33].

The spectral data of the operator H_N can be used to construct the Berezin-Toeplitz quantizations of the symplectic manifold (M, F) . The space of such a quantization is the spectral subspace of the operator $\frac{1}{N}H_N$ corresponding to the eigenvalues located near some isolated closed component of Σ , and the quantization operators are the Toeplitz operators associated with this subspace. This idea was first proposed by Guillemin and Uribe in [36]. They considered the Bochner-Schrödinger operator with the potential $V(x) = -\tau(x)$, where $\tau(x) := \text{Tr } |J_x|, x \in M$, called the renormalized Bochner Laplacian, $\Delta_N := \Delta^{L^N} - N\tau$.

An important special case (and motivation for such a definition) is the case of a Kähler manifold M . If we take L to be a holomorphic line bundle on M endowed with a holomorphic connection (Chern connection), then the renormalized Bochner Laplacian coincides with the twice Kodaira Laplacian, $\Delta_N = 2(\bar{\partial}^{L^N})^* \bar{\partial}^{L^N}$. The quantum space in this case consists of holomorphic sections of L^N . The corresponding Berezin-Toeplitz quantization is called Kähler quantization.

In a general case, it was proved in [36] that there exist constants $c > 0$ and $b_0 > 0$ such that for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$ the spectrum of the renormalized Bochner Laplacian Δ_N is contained in $(-c, c) \cup [2b_0N - c, \infty)$. A simpler proof and precise expression for the constant b_0 was given in [53, Corollary 1.2]. Note that this result agrees with Theorem 4, since in this case $\Lambda_0(x) \equiv 0$ and Σ has a gap near zero: $\Sigma \subset \{0\} \cup [2b_0, \infty)$. The quantum space is generated by the eigenfunctions of H_N with eigenvalues from the interval $(-c, c)$. The corresponding quantization was constructed in [7] in the almost-Kähler case and in [42, 44] for an arbitrary Riemannian metric (see also [6] about Kähler quantization and [7, 56, 54] for the quantization associated with the spin^c Dirac operator). In [20, 46], Berezin-Toeplitz quantization are constructed for more general spectral subspaces corresponding to arbitrary isolated closed components of Σ .

3.4. Proof by methods of local index theory. In this section, we briefly describe the main steps in the proof of Theorem 3 following [47]. The proof combines the methods of functional analysis (first of all, functional calculus based on the Helffer-Sjöstrand formula [41] and norm estimates in suitable Sobolev spaces) with the methods of local index theory developed in [28, 54, 55] for the study of the asymptotic behavior of the (generalized) Bergman kernels and originating in the paper by Bismut-Lebeau [5]. Note that, in contrast to [28, 54, 55], we do not require that the curvature of L be non-degenerate. A similar strategy was applied in a close situation by N. Savale in [75].

First of all, we localize the problem in a neighborhood of an arbitrary point $x_0 \in M$ using the constructions from [55, Sections 1.1 and 1.2]. Denote by $B^M(x_0, r)$ and $B^{T_{x_0}M}(0, r)$ the open balls in M and $T_{x_0}M$ centered at x_0 with radius r , respectively. Let r_M denote the injectivity radius of the Riemannian manifold (M, g) . We will identify the balls $B^{T_{x_0}M}(0, r_M)$ and $B^M(x_0, r_M)$ using the exponential map $\exp_{x_0}^M : T_{x_0}M \rightarrow M$. Let us choose a trivialization of the bundle L over $B^M(x_0, r_M)$ by identifying its fiber L_Z at the point $Z \in B^{T_{x_0}M}(0, r_M) \cong B^M(x_0, r_M)$ with the fiber L_{x_0} at x_0 by means of the parallel transport given by the connection ∇^L along the curve $\gamma_Z : [0, 1] \ni u \rightarrow \exp_{x_0}^M(uZ)$. Consider the trivial Hermitian line bundle L_0 on $T_{x_0}M$ with fiber L_{x_0} . The above identifications induce a Riemannian metric g on $B^{T_{x_0}M}(0, r_M)$, as well as a connection ∇^L and a Hermitian metric h^L on the restriction of L_0 to $B^{T_{x_0}M}(0, r_M)$.

Now we fix some $\varepsilon \in (0, r_M)$ and extend all the geometric objects introduced above from $B^{T_{x_0}M}(0, \varepsilon)$ to $T_{x_0}M$ as follows. Let $\rho : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a smooth finite even function supported in $(-r_M, r_M)$ such that $\rho(v) = 1$ if $|v| < \varepsilon$. Consider the map $\varphi : T_{x_0}M \rightarrow T_{x_0}M$ defined by $\varphi(Z) = \rho(|Z|)Z$. We define the Riemannian metric $g^{(x_0)}$ on $T_{x_0}M$ by $g_Z^{(x_0)} = g_{\varphi(Z)}$, $Z \in T_{x_0}M$, and the Hermitian connection ∇^{L_0} on (L_0, h^{L_0}) by

$$\nabla_u^{L_0} = \nabla_{d\varphi(Z)(u)}^L, \quad Z \in T_{x_0}M, \quad u \in T_Z(T_{x_0}M),$$

where we use the canonical isomorphism $T_{x_0}M \cong T_Z(T_{x_0}M)$. Finally, we set $V^{(x_0)} = \varphi^*V$. Let $\Delta^{L_0^N}$ denote the associated Bochner Laplacian on $C^\infty(T_{x_0}M, L_0^N)$.

We introduce the operator $H_N^{(x_0)}$ on $C^\infty(T_{x_0}M, L_0^N)$ by the formula

$$H_N^{(x_0)} = \Delta^{L_0^N} + NV^{(x_0)}.$$

It is easy to see that for any function $u \in C_c^\infty(T_{x_0}M)$ supported in $B^{T_{x_0}M}(0, \varepsilon)$,

$$(3.17) \quad H_N u(Z) = H_N^{(x_0)} u(Z), \quad Z \in T_{x_0}M.$$

Let $K_{\varphi(\frac{1}{N}H_N^{(x_0)})} \in C^\infty(T_{x_0}M \times T_{x_0}M)$ be the Schwartz kernel of $\varphi(\frac{1}{N}H_N^{(x_0)})$ with respect to the Riemannian volume form $dv^{(x_0)}$ on $(T_{x_0}M, g^{(x_0)})$. Writing the Schwartz kernel $K_{\varphi(\frac{1}{N}H_N)}$ of $\varphi(\frac{1}{N}H_N)$ in local coordinates, we obtain

a family of smooth functions

$$K_{\varphi(\frac{1}{N}H_N),x_0} \in C^\infty(B^{T_{x_0}M}(0,r_M) \times B^{T_{x_0}M}(0,r_M))$$

parametrized by $x_0 \in M$:

$$(3.18) \quad K_{\varphi(\frac{1}{N}H_N),x_0}(Z, Z') = K_{\varphi(\frac{1}{N}\varphi(H_N))}(\exp_{x_0}^M(Z), \exp_{x_0}^M(Z')), \\ Z, Z' \in B^{T_{x_0}M}(0, r_M).$$

Using the equality (3.17) and the finite propagation speed property, one can show that for any $\varepsilon_1 \in (0, \varepsilon)$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$(3.19) \quad |K_{\frac{1}{N}\varphi(H_N),x_0}(Z, Z') - K_{\varphi(\frac{1}{N}H_N^{(x_0)})}(Z, Z')| \leq CN^{-k}$$

for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $x_0 \in M$ and $Z, Z' \in B^{T_{x_0}M}(0, \varepsilon_1)$. A similar estimate is also valid for covariant derivatives of any order with respect to x_0 . This fact allows us to reduce our considerations to the case of the C^∞ -bounded family $\frac{1}{N}H_N^{(x_0)}$ of second-order differential operators acting on $C^\infty(T_{x_0}M, L_0^N) \cong C^\infty(T_{x_0}M)$ (parametrized by $x_0 \in M$).

We will now use a scaling introduced in [55, section 1.2]. Denote $t = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}$ and for $s \in C^\infty(T_{x_0}M)$ set

$$S_t s(Z) = s(Z/t), \quad Z \in T_{x_0}M.$$

Let dv_{M,x_0} denote the Riemannian volume form of the Euclidean space $(T_{x_0}M, g_{x_0})$. We define a smooth function κ_{x_0} on $B^{T_{x_0}M}(0, r_M) \cong B^M(x_0, r_M)$ using the equation

$$dv_M(Z) = \kappa_{x_0}(Z)dv_{M,x_0}(Z), \quad Z \in B^{T_{x_0}M}(0, r_M).$$

Let us define the transformation of $\frac{1}{N}H_N^{(x_0)}$ by the formula

$$(3.20) \quad \mathcal{H}_t = S_t^{-1} \kappa_{x_0}^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{N} H_N^{(x_0)} \kappa_{x_0}^{-\frac{1}{2}} S_t,$$

By definition, the operator \mathcal{H}_t is a self-adjoint operator in $L^2(T_{x_0}M)$, and its spectrum coincides with the spectrum of $\frac{1}{N}H_N^{(x_0)}$.

An arbitrary orthonormal basis $\mathbf{e} = \{e_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, d\}$ in $T_{x_0}M$ defines an isomorphism $T_{x_0}M \cong \mathbb{R}^d$ and allows us to transfer the operator \mathcal{H}_t to $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Thus, we obtain a family of self-adjoint differential operators on $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ depending smoothly on \mathbf{e} , which we will also denote by \mathcal{H}_t , omitting the index \mathbf{e} .

It can be shown that the operators \mathcal{H}_t depend smoothly on t up to $t = 0$, and their limit at $t \rightarrow 0$ coincides with the operator $\mathcal{H}^{(x_0)}$ given by (3.5). Expanding the coefficients of \mathcal{H}_t into a Taylor series in t , for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we get

$$(3.21) \quad \mathcal{H}_t = \mathcal{H}^{(0)} + \sum_{j=1}^m \mathcal{H}^{(j)} t^j + \mathcal{O}(t^{m+1}), \quad \mathcal{H}^{(0)} = \mathcal{H}^{(x_0)},$$

where there exists $m' \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t \in [0, 1]$ all the derivatives of the coefficients of $\mathcal{O}(t^{m+1})$ up to order k are bounded by $Ct^{m+1}(1 + |Z|)^{m'}$.

The operators $\mathcal{H}^{(j)}, j \geq 1$, have the form (see [55, Theorem 1.4]):

$$(3.22) \quad \mathcal{H}^{(j)} = \sum_{k, \ell=1}^d a_{k\ell, j} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial Z_k \partial Z_\ell} + \sum_{k=1}^d b_{k, j} \frac{\partial}{\partial Z_k} + c_j,$$

where $a_{k\ell, j}$ is a homogeneous polynomial in Z of degree j , $b_{k, j}$ is a polynomial in Z of degree $\leq j+1$ (of the same parity as $j-1$) and c_j is a polynomial in Z of degree $\leq j+2$ (of the same parity as j). In [55, Theorem 1.4], explicit formulas for the operators $\mathcal{H}^{(1)}$ and $\mathcal{H}^{(2)}$ are given.

Now we apply the Helffer-Sjöstrand formula [41]:

$$(3.23) \quad \varphi(\mathcal{H}_t) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\partial \tilde{\varphi}}{\partial \bar{\lambda}}(\lambda) (\lambda - \mathcal{H}_t)^{-1} d\mu d\nu,$$

where $\tilde{\varphi} \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{C})$ is an almost-analytic extension of φ satisfying the condition

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{\varphi}}{\partial \bar{\lambda}}(\lambda) = O(|\nu|^\ell), \quad \lambda = \mu + i\nu, \quad \nu \rightarrow 0,$$

for any $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$. Using this formula, estimates of the resolvents $(\lambda - \mathcal{H}_t)^{-1}$ in Sobolev spaces, and the Sobolev embedding theorem in an appropriate way, one can prove that the Schwartz kernel $K_{\varphi(\mathcal{H}_t)}(Z, Z')$ of $\varphi(\mathcal{H}_t)$ is a smooth function of the variables $Z, Z' \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $t \geq 0$ (depending smoothly on \mathbf{e}). Therefore, Taylor's formula implies an asymptotic expansion

$$(3.24) \quad K_{\varphi(\mathcal{H}_t)}(Z, Z') \sim \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} F_r(Z, Z') t^r, \quad t \rightarrow 0+,$$

with some $F_r = F_{r, \mathbf{e}} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$, uniform in \mathbf{e} .

By (3.20), we have

$$K_{\varphi(\frac{1}{N}H_p)}(Z, Z') = t^{-d} \kappa^{-\frac{1}{2}}(Z) K_{\varphi(\mathcal{H}_t)}(Z/t, Z'/t) \kappa^{-\frac{1}{2}}(Z'), \quad Z, Z' \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Therefore, (3.24) and (3.19) imply the existence of an asymptotic expansion of the kernel $K_{\varphi(\frac{1}{N}H_N)}$ of $\varphi(\frac{1}{N}H_N)$ on the diagonal, uniform in x_0 ,

$$K_{\varphi(\frac{1}{N}H_N)}(x_0, x_0) \sim N^{\frac{d}{2}} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} f_r(x_0) N^{-\frac{r}{2}}, \quad N \rightarrow \infty, \quad x_0 \in M,$$

where

$$f_r(x_0) = F_{r, \mathbf{e}}(0, 0).$$

for any orthonormal frame \mathbf{e} at x_0 . This immediately implies the asymptotic expansion (3.3) with

$$f_r = \int_M f_r(x_0) dv_M(x_0),$$

Moreover, for $r = 0$ we have

$$F_0 = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\partial \tilde{\varphi}}{\partial \bar{\lambda}}(\lambda)(\lambda - \mathcal{H}^{(0)})^{-1} d\mu d\nu = \varphi(\mathcal{H}^{(0)}),$$

which proves the formula (3.7).

Note that, using the technique of weighted Sobolev spaces, we can prove asymptotic expansions for the kernel $K_{\varphi(\frac{1}{N}H_N),x_0}(Z, Z')$ defined by (3.18), in some fixed neighborhood of the diagonal, i.e. for any $x_0 \in M$ and $Z, Z' \in B^{T_{x_0}M}(0, \varepsilon)$ with some $\varepsilon > 0$. Such expansions are generalizations of asymptotic expansions for (generalized) Bergman kernels proved in [28, Theorem 4.18'], [54, Theorem 4.2.1] and [44, Theorem 1]. They are often called full off-diagonal expansions, following the book by Ma and Marinescu [54, Chapter 4]. We refer the reader to [47] for more details.

4. TRACE FORMULAS AND METHODS OF MICROLOCAL ANALYSIS

In this section, we describe another approach to proving the trace formula for an arbitrary magnetic system, using methods of microlocal analysis. It is based on the idea first proposed by Colin de Verdière [25] to study semiclassical spectral problems and used in [71, 13] to prove Gutzwiller's formula. This idea consists in reducing the semiclassical spectral problem to some asymptotic problem for the joint eigenvalues of a pair of commuting pseudodifferential operators and then applying well-developed methods for studying high energy spectral asymptotics. This approach was subsequently extended to the problem under consideration in [37, 8]. We will use the notation introduced in Introduction.

4.1. Reduction to the case of commuting operators. The main idea is to interpret the semiclassical parameter N as an eigenvalue of the operator $D_\theta = i^{-1}\partial/\partial\theta$ on the unit circle $S^1 = \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$ with coordinate θ , considering θ as an additional independent variable. In local coordinates, this means that it is proposed to consider instead of the operator (1.6) the so-called horizontal Laplacian Δ_h on $M \times S^1$ given by the formula

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_h = & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{|g(x)|}} \sum_{1 \leq j, \ell \leq n} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} - A_j(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right) \times \\ & \times \left[\sqrt{|g(x)|} g^{j\ell}(x) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\ell} - A_\ell(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right) \right] + \frac{N}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}. \end{aligned}$$

In the general case, let us consider the principal S^1 -bundle $\Pi : S \rightarrow M$ associated with L :

$$S = \{p \in L^* : |p|_{hL^*} = 1\}.$$

Denote by $e^{i\theta} \cdot p$ the action of $\theta \in S^1$ on $p \in S$ given by complex multiplication in the fibers of L . Let $\partial/\partial\theta$ denote the infinitesimal generator of the S^1 -action on S .

The connection ∇^L induces a connection on the principal bundle $\Pi : S \rightarrow M$, that is, the real-valued connection 1-form α on S and the S^1 -invariant distribution $H \subset TS$ transversal to the fibers of Π (the horizontal distribution of the connection). Thus, for any $p \in S$, the tangent space $T_p S$ can be represented as a direct sum of subspaces

$$T_p S = V_p \oplus H_p,$$

where V_p is the tangent space to the fiber of Π (it is generated by the vector $\partial/\partial\theta$) and H_p is the horizontal space of the connection. We define the Riemannian metric g_S on S as follows (the Kaluza-Klein metric). The metric g_S on V_p coincides with the standard Riemannian metric $d\theta^2$ on $S^1 = \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$. The restriction of the metric g_S to H_p is consistent with the Riemannian metric g to M under the linear isomorphism

$$(4.1) \quad d\Pi_p : H_p \subset T_p S \xrightarrow{\cong} T_x M, \quad x = \Pi(p),$$

defined by the differential of Π . Finally, the subspaces V_p and H_p are orthogonal. The projection $\Pi : (S, g_S) \rightarrow (M, g)$ is a Riemannian submersion with totally geodesic fibers.

Given the distribution H and the Riemannian metric g_S , one naturally constructs the horizontal Laplacian Δ_h , which is a second-order differential operator on S . Denote by Ω^1 the space of smooth differential 1-forms on S . For any $p \in S$, there is a decomposition of $T_p^* S$ as a direct sum of subspaces

$$(4.2) \quad T_p^* S = V_p^* \oplus H_p^*,$$

and the corresponding decomposition of the space of smooth differential 1-forms:

$$\Omega^1 = \Omega_V^1 \oplus \Omega_H^1, \quad \Omega_V^1 = C^\infty(S, V^*), \quad \Omega_H^1 = C^\infty(S, H^*).$$

Denote by $d_h : C^\infty(S) \rightarrow \Omega_H^1$ the composition of the de Rham differential $d : C^\infty(S) \rightarrow \Omega^1$ and the projection Ω^1 to Ω_H^1 . The horizontal Laplacian Δ_h is defined by the formula

$$\Delta_h = d_h^* d_h.$$

The operator Δ_h is not an elliptic operator. Its principal symbol is given by the formula

$$\sigma(\Delta_h)(p, \nu) = |\nu_H|^2, \quad p \in S, \quad \nu \in T_p^* S,$$

where $\nu_H \in H_p^*$ is the component of $\nu \in T_p^* S$ in the decomposition (4.2). The subspace V_p^* is one-dimensional and is generated by the connection form α_p . Therefore, the characteristic set of the operator Δ_h , i.e. the set of zeros of its principal symbol $\sigma(\Delta_h)$ has the form

$$(4.3) \quad \mathcal{Z} = V^* = \{(p, r\alpha_p) \in T^* S : p \in S, r \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

It is a $d + 2$ -dimensional homogeneous submanifold of $2d + 2$ -dimensional manifold $T^* S$.

The eigenvalues of Δ_h are described as follows. For any $N \in \mathbb{Z}$ consider the space E_N of smooth functions on S such that

$$f(e^{i\theta} \cdot p) = e^{iN\theta} f(p) \text{ for any } p \in S \text{ and } \theta \in S^1.$$

There is an isomorphism

$$(4.4) \quad C^\infty(M, L^N) \cong E_N, \quad N \in \mathbb{Z},$$

which assigns to each $s \in C^\infty(M, L^N)$ the function $\hat{s} \in C^\infty(S)$ given by

$$(4.5) \quad \hat{s}(p) = \langle s(\Pi(p)), p^{\otimes N} \rangle, \quad p \in S \subset L^*.$$

Under the isomorphism (4.4), the restriction of Δ_h to the subspace E_N corresponds to the magnetic Laplacian Δ^{L^N} . Therefore, the spectrum of the operator Δ_h is the union of the spectra of the operators Δ^{L^N} over all $N \in \mathbb{Z}$:

$$\text{spec}(\Delta_h) = \{\nu_{N,j} : j \in \mathbb{N}, N \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

On the other hand, the eigenvalues of the first order differential operator $D_\theta = \frac{1}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}$ are integers and the eigenspace corresponding to the eigenvalue $N \in \mathbb{Z}$ is the space E_N . Since the S^1 -action on S is isometric, the operator Δ_h commutes with D_θ . The joint eigenvalues of the operators Δ_h and D_θ are $\{(\nu_{N,j}, N), j \in \mathbb{N}, N \in \mathbb{Z}\}$.

These facts allow us to express the smoothed spectral density of the Bochner-Schrödinger operator H_N in terms of the joint spectral characteristics of some commuting operators, which will be discussed later in Sections 4.3 and 4.4.

4.2. Hamiltonian reduction and magnetic geodesic flow. The above construction of lifting to the bundle S also allows us to give a natural definition of the classical dynamical system associated with the magnetic Laplacian, i.e. magnetic geodesic flow. Namely, the magnetic geodesic flow Φ on T^*M coincides with the Hamiltonian reduction of the Riemannian geodesic flow f on T^*S given by the Riemannian metric g_S . Let us briefly recall this well-known construction (see, for instance, [37], [70, Section 6.6] and references therein). We will use the notation introduced in the previous section.

Recall that the magnetic geodesic flow $\Phi^t : T^*M \rightarrow T^*M$ associated with a magnetic system (g, F) is the Hamiltonian flow given by the Hamiltonian

$$(4.6) \quad \mathcal{H}(x, \xi) = \frac{1}{2} |\xi|_{g^{-1}}^2 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k=1}^n g^{jk} \xi_j \xi_k,$$

with respect to the twisted symplectic form on T^*M :

$$(4.7) \quad \Omega_F = \omega + \pi_M^* F.$$

Here ω is the canonical symplectic form on T^*M and $\pi_M : T^*M \rightarrow M$ is the canonical projection.

The S^1 -action on S defines an S^1 -action on T^*S . This action is Hamiltonian with the corresponding momentum map $\mu : T^*S \rightarrow T_0^*S^1 \cong \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$\mu(p, \nu) = \left\langle \nu, \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right\rangle, \quad (p, \nu) \in T^*S.$$

Consider the submanifold

$$\mu^{-1}(1) = \left\{ \nu \in T^*S : \left\langle \nu, \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right\rangle = 1 \right\}.$$

It is easy to see that it is S^1 -invariant. The reduced symplectic manifold B is defined as the manifold of orbits of the induced S^1 -action on $\mu^{-1}(1)$, $B = \mu^{-1}(1)/S^1$. The reduced symplectic form on B is naturally defined by the restriction of the canonical symplectic form on T^*S to the submanifold $\mu^{-1}(1)$.

The manifold B is diffeomorphic (but not canonically) to the cotangent bundle T^*M . A diffeomorphism $T^*M \cong B$ can be constructed by choosing a connection α on the bundle $\Pi : S \rightarrow M$. Namely, for any $p \in S$ there is a linear isomorphism

$$d\Pi_p^* : T_x^*M \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{H}_p^*, \quad \Pi(p) = x,$$

dual to (4.1). The orbit of the S^1 -action on $\mu^{-1}(1)$ corresponding to $(x, \xi) \in T^*M$ has the form

$$\{\alpha_p + d\Pi_p^*(x, \xi) \in \mu^{-1}(1) : p \in S, \Pi(p) = x\}.$$

The map $\tilde{\Pi} : \mu^{-1}(1) \rightarrow T^*M$ given by $\tilde{\Pi}(p, \nu) = (x, \xi)$, where $x = \Pi(p)$ and $d\Pi_p^*(x, \xi) = \nu - \alpha_p$, defines a principal S^1 -bundle over T^*M . One can check that the restriction of the canonical 1-form η_S on T^*S to $\mu^{-1}(1)$ defines a connection form on the bundle $\tilde{\Pi} : \mu^{-1}(1) \rightarrow T^*M$. Moreover, the curvature of this connection coincides (up to the factor i) with the twisted symplectic form Ω_F on M given by (4.7). This easily implies that the reduced symplectic structure on T^*M is given by the form Ω_F .

If α' is another connection form on $\Pi : S \rightarrow M$, then the diffeomorphisms $B \cong T^*M$ given by the forms α and α' are related as follows. It is well known that $\alpha' - \alpha = \Pi^*\sigma$ for some 1-form σ on M . We define a map $T : T^*M \rightarrow T^*M$ by

$$(4.8) \quad T(x, \xi) = (x, \xi - \sigma_x), \quad (x, \xi) \in T^*M.$$

It is easy to check that the map T is compatible with the diffeomorphisms $B \cong T^*M$ determined by the forms α and α' , and

$$T^*\Omega_F = \Omega_{F'},$$

where $F' = F + d\sigma$ is the curvature of the connection α' .

Let g_S denote the Riemannian metric on S constructed from the Riemannian metric g on M and the connection α on the principal bundle $\Pi : S \rightarrow M$ in Section 4.1. Denote by f^t the geodesic flow of the Riemannian metric g_S on T^*S , i.e. the Hamiltonian flow with Hamiltonian $\frac{1}{2}|\nu|^2$ on T^*S

with the canonical symplectic structure. For any $t \in \mathbb{R}$ the diffeomorphism $f^t : T^*S \rightarrow T^*S$ takes the submanifold $\mu^{-1}(1)$ into itself. The restriction of f^t to $\mu^{-1}(1)$ commutes with the S^1 -action on Z , thus defining a flow on $B = \mu^{-1}(1)/S^1$. This flow is called the Hamiltonian reduction of the Riemannian geodesic flow f^t . It is a Hamiltonian flow on B equipped with the reduced symplectic structure. The connection α defines the isometry $d\Pi_p^* : T_x^*M \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{H}_p^*$. Therefore, the Hamiltonian of the reduced flow is $\frac{1}{2}(|\xi|^2 + 1)$, and thus, up to time change, the flow coincides with the magnetic geodesic flow Φ on $B \cong T^*M$. It is important here that the connection used to construct the diffeomorphism $B \cong T^*M$ coincides with the connection that defines the metric g_S (see also Example 5 below).

Example 5. Let us consider the case of an exact magnetic system, i.e. the case when the Hermitian line bundle (L, h^L) is trivial and the Hermitian connection ∇^L is written as $\nabla^L = d - i\mathbf{A}$ with some real 1-form \mathbf{A} .

Then the bundle $\Pi : S \rightarrow M$ has the form

$$S = M \times S^1 = \{(x, v) \in M \times \mathbb{C} : |v| = 1\}, \quad \Pi(x, v) = x.$$

For any $N \in \mathbb{Z}$ the space E_N consists of smooth functions on S of the form

$$(4.9) \quad f(x, e^{i\theta}) = s(x)e^{iN\theta}, \quad x \in M, \quad \theta \in \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z},$$

where $s \in C^\infty(M) \cong C^\infty(M, L^N)$ (cf. 4.5).

The connection form α on S is given by

$$\alpha(x, v) = d\theta + \mathbf{A}(x), \quad (x, v) \in S.$$

The corresponding horizontal subspace has the form:

$$H_{(x,\theta)} = \{V - \langle \mathbf{A}(x), V \rangle \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} : V \in T_{(x,\theta)}S\}.$$

The subspace $V_{(x,\theta)}^*$ is generated by the covector $\alpha(x, v)$. Therefore, the horizontal de Rham differential $d_h : C^\infty(S) \rightarrow \Omega^1$ is given by

$$d_h f(x, \theta) = df - \frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta} \alpha = d_X f - \mathbf{A}(x) \frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta}.$$

Finally, the horizontal Laplacian Δ_h has the form

$$\Delta_h = \left(d_X - \mathbf{A}(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right)^* \left(d_X - \mathbf{A}(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right).$$

It is easy to see that its restriction to E_N corresponds under the isomorphism (4.9) to the operator $(d - iN\mathbf{A})^*(d - iN\mathbf{A})$.

Recall that the magnetic geodesic flow Φ coincides with the Hamiltonian reduction of the geodesic flow f on T^*S given by the Riemannian metric g_S . Its concrete realization as a flow on T^*M depends on the choice of a connection on S . Above, we used for this the same connection α that was used in the definition of the metric g_S . But, in principle, we can use another connection, which will lead to a more complex Hamiltonian, not necessarily $1/2(|\xi|^2 + 1)$. For example, in the example under consideration of an exact

magnetic system, we can take the trivial connection $\alpha' = d\theta$ to construct a diffeomorphism $T^*M \cong B$. It is easy to see that in this case the reduced symplectic manifold coincides with the manifold T^*M endowed with the canonical symplectic structure, and the reduced Hamiltonian flow coincides with the Hamiltonian flow with the Hamiltonian $\frac{1}{2}(|\xi - \mathbf{A}(x)|_{g^{-1}}^2 + 1)$, i.e. up to reparametrization with the Hamiltonian flow $\phi^t : T^*M \rightarrow T^*M$ with the Hamiltonian H given by (2.15). These two realizations are related by the diffeomorphism $T(x, \xi) = (x, \xi - \mathbf{A}(x))$ (cf. (4.8)).

4.3. The case of non-zero energy. In [37], Guillemin and Uribe considered another version of the smoothed spectral density of the operator $H_N = \Delta^{L^N}$. For $E > 1$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ it is given by

$$(4.10) \quad \mathcal{Y}_N(\varphi) = \text{tr } \varphi(\sqrt{\Delta^{L^N} + N^2} - EN).$$

For exact magnetic systems, this formula can be rewritten in the following form:

$$(4.11) \quad \mathcal{Y}_N(\varphi) = \text{tr } \varphi\left(\frac{\sqrt{\mathcal{H}^h + 1} - E}{h}\right).$$

Comparing the formulas (2.14) and (4.11), one can naturally conclude that the parameters E in (4.10) and E_0 in (3.1) are related by $E = \sqrt{E_0 + 1}$.

Let us briefly describe the main ideas of [37], based on the application of the method described in Section 4.1. We will use the notation and constructions described in this section. Denote by Δ_S the Laplace-Beltrami operator of the Riemannian metric g_S . The equality holds true:

$$\Delta_S = D_\theta^2 + \Delta_h.$$

The operator Δ_S commutes with the operators D_θ and Δ_h .

Consider the operator $P = \Delta_S^{1/2}$, which is a first order elliptic pseudo-differential operator on S . It is easy to see that the operator $\sqrt{\Delta^{L^N} + N^2}$ corresponds under the isomorphism (4.4) to the restriction of the operator P to E_N .

Consider the distribution $Y \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R})$ given by

$$(4.12) \quad Y(s) = \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{Y}_N(\varphi) e^{iNs}, \quad s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

It is a 2π -periodic distribution of the variable s and belongs to the generalized Hardy class, i.e. its Fourier series contains only positive frequencies.

The distribution $Y \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R})$ can be interpreted as the distributional trace of the operator $\varphi(P - ED_\theta) e^{isD_\theta}$. For any $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ the equality holds true:

$$\langle Y, f \rangle = \text{tr} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \varphi(P - ED_\theta) e^{isD_\theta} f(s) ds = 2\pi \text{tr } \varphi(P - ED_\theta) \check{f}(A),$$

where \check{f} denotes the inverse Fourier transform of f . Here the operator $P - ED_\theta$ is not necessarily an elliptic operator, so the operator $\varphi(P - ED_\theta)$ is not, generally speaking, a trace class operator. But the operators $P - ED_\theta$ and D_θ are commuting, jointly elliptic operators on S , which allows us to prove that $\varphi(P - ED_\theta)\check{f}(D_\theta)$ is a smoothing operator and therefore its trace is well defined.

In the paper [37] the authors carried out an analysis of the distribution Y in the spirit of the proof of the Duistermaat-Guillemin trace formula [32], which allowed them to prove the existence of an asymptotic expansion as $N \rightarrow \infty$ of the sequence \mathcal{Y}_N with arbitrary $E > 1$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ with compactly supported Fourier transform under the condition that the magnetic geodesic flow on the corresponding energy level set is clean (see [37, Corollary 7.2], as well as [14, Theorem 2.1]). We refer the reader to [37, 49] for more details.

4.4. The case of zero energy. In [8] D. Borthwick and A. Uribe investigated the structure of low-lying eigenvalues of the operator H_N under the condition that the form F has maximal rank. Their main goal was to prove the asymptotic expansion of the associated generalized Bergman kernels, but nevertheless, the trace formula at the zero energy level for the function $Y_N(\varphi)$ given by (3.2) follows immediately from the results of this paper. Let us briefly describe the main ideas of this work. We will use the notation and constructions described in Section 4.1.

The operator H_N corresponds under the isomorphism (4.4) to the restriction of the operator

$$P = \Delta_h + (\Pi^*V)D_\theta$$

to the subspace E_N . Here $\Pi^*V \in C^\infty(S)$ denotes the lift of V by S . (Note that the corresponding multiplication operator commutes with D_θ .) Therefore, the operator $\frac{1}{N}H_N$ corresponds to the restriction of the first-order pseudodifferential operator

$$(D_\theta)^{-1}P = (D_\theta)^{-1}\Delta_h + \Pi^*V$$

to the subspace E_N . Some problem is that the last operator, generally speaking, is not a pseudodifferential operator. It has singularities where the operator D_θ is not elliptic. However, these singularities are outside the characteristic manifold \mathcal{Z} of the operator Δ_h (see (4.3)), which allows us to replace the operator $(D_\theta)^{-1}P$ with another operator A , which is microlocally equal to it outside some neighborhood of the characteristic manifold of D_θ and is a standard pseudodifferential operator with double symplectic characteristics.

Recall that Δ_S denotes the Laplace-Beltrami operator of the Riemannian metric g_S . The operator

$$\Delta_S + (\Pi^*V)D_\theta = P + D_\theta^2$$

is a second-order elliptic operator with a positive principal symbol that commutes with P . Therefore, there is defined an operator

$$F := \sqrt{P + D_\theta^2},$$

such that $F^2 - (S + D_\theta^2)$ is a smoothing operator of finite rank. The operator F is a standard first-order elliptic pseudodifferential operator that commutes with P and D_θ .

Let $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be a non-negative cutoff function identically equal to zero in a neighborhood of zero. The operator $D_\theta^2 F^{-2}$ is a classical zero order pseudodifferential operator. Using well-known results on the functional calculus for zero-order pseudodifferential operators, one can show that the operator

$$Q := f(D_\theta^2 F^{-2}) D_\theta^{-1}$$

is well defined and is a classical pseudodifferential operator of order -1 . Moreover, the principal symbol of Q is equal to $\sigma(D_\theta)^{-1}$ in some conic neighborhood of \mathcal{Z} .

We define the operator A by

$$A := QP = f(D_\theta^2 F^{-2})(D_\theta^{-1} \Delta_h + (\Pi^* V)).$$

Then A is a classical first-order pseudodifferential operator on S with double characteristics. Its principal symbol is $\sigma(\Delta_h)/\sigma(D_\theta)$ in some conic neighborhood of \mathcal{Z} .

Before stating the main result, let us briefly recall some facts about Fourier integral operators of Hermite type that were introduced in [9]. These operators differ from the standard Fourier integral operators in that they are associated with isotropic rather than Lagrangian submanifolds of the cotangent bundle. The motivation for such a generalization of Fourier integral operators was the microlocal description of the structure of the Szego projector on the boundary of a pseudoconvex domain given in [10]. The calculus of Fourier integral operators of Hermite type is closely related to the calculus of Fourier integral operators with complex phase [63] and Maslov's complex germ method [60].

Let \mathcal{V} be a smooth manifold and $C \subset T^*\mathcal{V} \setminus \{0\}$ be a homogeneous isotropic submanifold. Assume that C is closed, homogeneous (that is, if $(x, \xi) \in C$, then $(x, \lambda\xi) \in C$ for any $\lambda > 0$) and isotropic (that is, the restriction of the canonical symplectic form to C vanishes). A non-degenerate phase function is a function $\psi \in C^\infty(\mathcal{V} \times B, \mathbb{R})$, where B is an open conical subset of $(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n) \setminus \{0\}$ with coordinates (τ, η) satisfying the following conditions:

- (1) $\psi(x, \tau, \eta)$ is homogeneous in (τ, η) .
- (2) $d\psi$ never vanishes.
- (3) The critical set of ψ given by

$$C_\psi = \{(x, \tau, \eta) \in \mathcal{V} \times B : (d_\tau \psi)(x, \tau, \eta) = (d_\eta \psi)(x, \tau, \eta) = 0\},$$

intersects transversally the subspace $\{\eta = 0\}$.

(4) The map

$$(x, \tau, \eta) \in \mathcal{V} \times B \mapsto \left(\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \tau}, \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \eta_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \eta_n} \right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1},$$

has rank $n + 1$ at each point of C_ψ .

We define the map $F : C_\psi \rightarrow T^*\mathcal{V}$ by

$$F : (x, \tau, \eta) \mapsto (x, (d_x \psi)(x, \tau, \eta)).$$

The image under the map F of the subspace $\{\eta = 0\} \cap C_\psi$ is a homogeneous isotropic submanifold Σ of the manifold $T^*\mathcal{V}$ of dimension $n + 1$. We will say that the phase function ψ parametrizes the submanifold Σ . This definition coincides with the standard definition in the case when the submanifold is Lagrangian.

The space $I^m(\mathcal{V}, \Sigma)$ of Hermitian Fourier distributions associated with a homogeneous isotropic submanifold $\Sigma \subset T^*M$ consists of generalized functions on \mathcal{V} that are locally representable as oscillating integrals of the form

$$\int e^{i\psi(x, \tau, \eta)} a\left(x, \tau, \frac{\eta}{\sqrt{\tau}}\right) d\tau d\eta,$$

where the phase ψ parametrizes the submanifold Σ and the amplitude $a(x, \tau, u) \in C^\infty(U \times B)$ satisfies the following conditions:

(1) For any $R > 0$, any multi-indices α , β , and γ , and any compact set $K \subset \subset U$, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$|D_x^\alpha D_\tau^\beta D_u^\gamma a(x, \tau, u)| \leq C |\tau|^{m-|\gamma|} (1 + |u|)^{-R}, \quad x \in K. \quad (\tau, u) \in B.$$

(2) $a(x, \tau, u)$ is equal to zero in the neighborhood of $\tau = 0$.

(3) $a(x, \tau, u)$ admits an asymptotic expansion of the form

$$a(x, \tau, u) \sim \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \tau^{m_i} a_i(x, \tau, u), \quad \tau \rightarrow +\infty,$$

where $m_i \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$, where $m_0 = m - 1/2$, m_i is strictly decreasing, and $m_i \rightarrow -\infty$.

The conditions on the phase function guarantee that the wavefront of any Hermitian Fourier distribution of class $I^m(\mathcal{V}, \Sigma)$ is contained in Σ . The principal symbol of a Hermitian Fourier distribution from the class $I^m(\mathcal{V}, \Sigma)$ is a symplectic spinor, which is a half-density along Σ tensored by a smooth vector in the metaplectic representation associated with the symplectic normal bundle to Σ [35, 12].

In our case, consider the set $\mathcal{Z}^\Delta \subset T^*(S \times S) \cong T^*S \times T^*S$ given by (cf. (4.3))

$$\mathcal{Z}^\Delta = \{(p, r\alpha_p, p, -r\alpha_p) \in T^*(S \times S) : p \in S, r > 0\}.$$

It is easy to check that \mathcal{Z}^Δ is a homogeneous isotropic submanifold in $T^*(S \times S)$.

Theorem 6 ([8]). *Let φ be a function from $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ whose Fourier transform is compactly supported. Then the operator $\varphi(A)$ is a Integral Fourier operator of Hermite type with Schwartz kernel belonging to the class $I^{1/2}(S \times S, \mathbb{Z}^\Delta)$.*

This theorem immediately implies the existence of an asymptotic expansion (3.3) of Theorem 3 for any function $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ whose Fourier transform is compactly supported, in the case when F has maximal rank (see [8] for more details).

Remark 7. For an arbitrary $E_0 > 0$, the operator $\frac{1}{N}H_N - E_0N$ corresponds to the restriction of the first-order pseudodifferential operator

$$(D_\theta)^{-1}\Delta_h + \Pi^*V - E_0D_\theta$$

to the subspace E_N . It is quite possible that by applying the methods of [71, 8] to this operator, one can prove an asymptotic formula for the function $Y_N(\varphi)$ given by (3.1) for $E_0 > 0$. We will discuss these issues elsewhere.

5. EXAMPLES

In this section, we give concrete examples of calculating the trace formula at zero energy level for two-dimensional surfaces of constant curvature with constant magnetic fields. These examples have already been considered in papers [49, 51] in the case of non-zero energy. Therefore, we will be brief in their description, referring the reader to [49, 51] for more details. We will also consider an example of constant magnetic field on a three-dimensional torus as the simplest example of a non-maximal rank magnetic system.

5.1. Constant magnetic field on a two-dimensional torus. Consider the two-dimensional torus $\mathbb{T}^2 = \mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2$, endowed with the standard flat Riemannian metric

$$g = dx^2 + dy^2.$$

The magnetic field F is given by

$$F = 2\pi dx \wedge dy.$$

The corresponding line bundle L on \mathbb{T}^2 consists of the equivalence classes of triples $(x, y, u) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{C}$, where

$$(x+1, y, u) \sim (x, y, e^{-2\pi iy}u), \quad (x, y+1, u) \sim (x, y, u)$$

with the projection $L \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^2$ given by $(x, y, u) \in L \mapsto (x, y) \in \mathbb{T}^2$. The space of its smooth sections is identified with the space of functions $u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ such that

$$(5.1) \quad u(x+1, y) = e^{2\pi iy}u(x, y), \quad u(x, y+1) = u(x, y), \quad (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

The Hermitian connection on L is defined by

$$\nabla^L = d - 2\pi ix dy.$$

Consider the operator

$$H_N = \Delta^{L^N} = -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} - \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y} - 2\pi N i x \right)^2.$$

Theorem 8. *For any $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$, the smoothed spectral density $Y_N(\varphi)$ of the operator H_N given by (3.2) has the form*

$$(5.2) \quad Y_N(\varphi) = f_0(\varphi)N,$$

where (cf. (3.11))

$$(5.3) \quad f_0(\varphi) = \frac{i}{4\pi} \left\langle \frac{1}{\sin 2\pi(t + i0)}, \hat{\varphi}(t) \right\rangle.$$

Proof. The operator Δ^{L^N} has eigenvalues of the form

$$\nu_{N,j} = 2\pi N(2j + 1), \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

with multiplicity

$$m_{N,j} = N.$$

Therefore, the function $Y_N(\varphi)$ is given by

$$Y_N(\varphi) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} N \varphi(2\pi(2j + 1)) = f_0(\varphi)N,$$

where

$$f_0(\varphi) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \varphi(2\pi(2j + 1)).$$

It remains to use the formula (2.10). □

5.2. Constant magnetic field on a three-dimensional torus. Consider the three-dimensional torus $\mathbb{T}^3 = \mathbb{R}^3/\mathbb{Z}^3$, endowed with the standard flat Riemannian metric

$$g = dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2.$$

Let us assume that the form F is given by

$$F = 2\pi dx \wedge dy.$$

For the corresponding line bundle L on \mathbb{T}^3 , the space of its smooth sections is identified with the space of $u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ such that for any $(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3$

$$u(x + 1, y, z) = e^{2\pi i y} u(x, y, z), \quad u(x, y + 1, z) = u(x, y, z + 1) = u(x, y, z).$$

The Hermitian connection on L is defined by

$$\nabla^L = d - 2\pi i x dy.$$

Consider the operator

$$H_N = \Delta^{L^N} = -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} - \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y} - 2\pi N i x \right)^2 - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2}.$$

Theorem 9. *For any $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$, the smoothed spectral density $Y_N(\varphi)$ of the operator H_N given by (3.2) has the form*

$$Y_N(\varphi) = f_0(\varphi)N^{3/2} + \mathcal{O}(N^{-\infty}),$$

where (cf. (3.12))

$$f_0(\varphi) = \frac{e^{-(1/4)\pi i}}{8\pi^{3/2}} \left\langle \frac{1}{(t+i0)^{1/2} \sin 2\pi(t+i0)}, \hat{\varphi}(t) \right\rangle.$$

Proof. The eigenvalues of H_N are computed using the separation of variables:

$$\nu_{N,j,k} = 2\pi N(2j+1) + (2\pi k)^2, \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z},$$

with multiplicity

$$m_{N,j,k} = N.$$

Therefore, the function $Y_N(\varphi)$ has the form

$$Y_N(\varphi) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} N \varphi(2\pi(2j+1) + \frac{1}{N}(2\pi k)^2).$$

We write this formula as

$$Y_N(\varphi) = \frac{1}{2}N \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} f\left(\frac{k}{\sqrt{N}}\right),$$

where $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ is given by

$$f(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \varphi(2\pi(2j+1) + (2\pi x)^2),$$

and apply the Poisson summation formula. We get

$$Y_N(\varphi) = \frac{1}{2}N^{3/2} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{f}(2\pi\sqrt{N}m).$$

Since $\hat{f}(2\pi\sqrt{N}m) = \mathcal{O}(N^{-\infty})$ for $m \neq 0$, we conclude that

$$Y_N(\varphi) = \frac{1}{2}N^{3/2} \hat{f}(0) + \mathcal{O}(N^{-\infty}).$$

It remains to compute $\hat{f}(0)$ using (2.12)

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{f}(0) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) dx = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(2\pi(2j+1) + (2\pi x)^2) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \varphi(2\pi(2j+1) + \xi^2) d\xi \\ &= \frac{e^{-(1/4)\pi i}}{4\pi^{3/2}} \left\langle \frac{1}{(t+i0)^{1/2} \sin 2\pi(t+i0)}, \hat{\varphi} \right\rangle, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. \square

5.3. Two-dimensional sphere. Consider the two-dimensional sphere

$$S^2 = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = R^2\},$$

endowed with the Riemannian metric g induced by the embedding in the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^3 . In spherical coordinates

$$x = R \sin \theta \cos \varphi, \quad y = R \sin \theta \sin \varphi, \quad z = R \cos \theta, \quad \theta \in (0, \pi), \varphi \in (0, 2\pi),$$

the metric g has the form

$$g = R^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\varphi^2).$$

Let us assume that the form F is given by

$$F = \frac{1}{2} \sin \theta d\theta \wedge d\varphi.$$

The corresponding line bundle L is the line bundle associated with the Hopf bundle $S^3 \rightarrow S^2$ and the character $\chi : S^1 \rightarrow S^1$, $\chi(u) = u$, $u \in S^1$.

Theorem 10. *For any $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$, the smoothed spectral density $Y_N(\varphi)$ of $H_N = \Delta^{L^N}$ given by (3.2) has an asymptotic expansion*

$$(5.4) \quad Y_N(\varphi) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} f_j(\varphi) N^{1-j}, \quad N \rightarrow \infty.$$

The coefficients f_j are computed explicitly. For the first two of them we have

$$(5.5) \quad f_0(\varphi) = \frac{i}{4\pi} \left\langle \frac{1}{\sin \frac{1}{2R^2}(t + i0)}, \hat{\varphi}(t) \right\rangle,$$

$$(5.6) \quad f_1(\varphi) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left\langle \left[R^2 \frac{d^2}{dt^2} + \frac{1}{4R^2} \right] \frac{t}{\sin \frac{1}{2R^2}(t + i0)}, \hat{\varphi}(t) \right\rangle.$$

Proof. The spectrum of Δ^{L^N} consists of the eigenvalues

$$\nu_{N,j} = \frac{1}{R^2} \left[j(j+1) + \frac{N}{2}(2j+1) \right], \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

with multiplicity

$$m_{N,j} = N + 2j + 1.$$

Therefore, the function $Y_N(\varphi)$ has the form:

$$(5.7) \quad Y_N(\varphi) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (N + 2j + 1) \varphi \left(\frac{1}{R^2} \left[\frac{1}{N} j(j+1) + \frac{1}{2}(2j+1) \right] \right).$$

The Taylor series expansion gives us the asymptotic expansion

$$\begin{aligned} & \varphi \left(\frac{1}{R^2} \left[\frac{1}{N} j(j+1) + \frac{1}{2}(2j+1) \right] \right) \\ & \sim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \frac{1}{R^{2k}} \frac{1}{N^k} \varphi^{(k)} \left(\frac{1}{2R^2}(2j+1) \right) j^k (j+1)^k. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting it into (5.7) proves the existence of the asymptotic expansion (5.4).

Its leading coefficient is given by

$$f_0(\varphi) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \varphi \left(\frac{1}{2R^2} (2j+1) \right)$$

whence using (2.10) we get (5.5).

For the next coefficient $c_1(\varphi)$ we have

$$f_1(\varphi) = \frac{1}{R^2} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \varphi' \left(\frac{1}{2R^2} (2j+1) \right) j(j+1) + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \varphi \left(\frac{1}{2R^2} (2j+1) \right) (2j+1),$$

whence we obtain (5.6) using (2.10) and properties of the Fourier transform. \square

As an illustration, we compute the linearized flow. This magnetic system is exact in spherical coordinates, and the principal symbol given by (2.15) has the form

$$H(\theta, \varphi, p_\theta, p_\varphi) = \frac{1}{R^2} p_\theta^2 + \frac{1}{R^2 \sin^2 \theta} \left(p_\varphi + \frac{1}{2} \cos \theta \right)^2, \quad (\theta, \varphi, p_\theta, p_\varphi) \in T^*S^2.$$

The characteristic manifold X_0 is given by (cf. (2.16))

$$p_\varphi + \frac{1}{2} \cos \theta = 0, \quad p_\theta = 0.$$

The spherical coordinates (θ, φ) determine the coordinates on X_0 by the map

$$j(\theta, \varphi) = (\theta, \varphi, 0, -\frac{1}{2} \cos \theta) \in X_0.$$

The Hamiltonian flow ϕ^t with the Hamiltonian H is given by the system of equations

$$(5.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \dot{\theta} &= \frac{2}{R^2} p_\theta, \quad \dot{\varphi} = \frac{2}{R^2 \sin^2 \theta} \left(p_\varphi + \frac{1}{2} \cos \theta \right), \\ \dot{p}_\theta &= \frac{2 \cos \theta}{R^2 \sin^3 \theta} \left(p_\varphi + \frac{1}{2} \cos \theta \right)^2 + \frac{1}{R^2 \sin \theta} \left(p_\varphi + \frac{1}{2} \cos \theta \right), \quad \dot{p}_\varphi = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Any point $j(\theta_0, \varphi_0) \in X_0$ is a fixed point of the flow, and thus defines a constant solution of the Hamiltonian system (5.8):

$$\theta(t) = \theta_0, \quad \varphi(t) = \varphi_0, \quad p_\theta(t) = 0, \quad p_\varphi(t) = -\frac{1}{2} \cos \theta_0.$$

Computing the system of variational equations for the system (5.8) along this solution, we obtain a system of first-order differential equations defining

the flow $d\phi_{t,j(\theta_0,\varphi_0)}$ on $T_{j(\theta_0,\varphi_0)}(T^*S^2)$:

$$(5.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \dot{\Theta} &= \frac{2}{R^2} P_\theta, & \dot{\Phi} &= \frac{2}{R^2 \sin^2 \theta_0} \left(P_\varphi - \frac{1}{2} \sin \theta_0 \Theta \right), \\ \dot{P}_\theta &= \frac{1}{R^2 \sin \theta_0} \left(P_\varphi - \frac{1}{2} \sin \theta_0 \Theta \right), & \dot{P}_\varphi &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

The tangent space $T_{j(\theta_0,\varphi_0)}X_0$ is given by

$$P_\theta = 0, \quad P_\varphi - \frac{1}{2} \sin \theta_0 \Theta = 0,$$

therefore, as linear coordinates on $N_{j(\theta_0,\varphi_0)}X_0$ we can take

$$\hat{P}_\theta = P_\theta, \quad \hat{P}_\varphi = P_\varphi - \frac{1}{2} \sin \theta_0 \Theta = 0.$$

From (5.9) we obtain a system of first-order differential equations defining the linearized flow $d\phi_{t,j(\theta_0,\varphi_0)}$ on $N_{j(\theta_0,\varphi_0)}X_0$:

$$\dot{\hat{P}}_\theta = \frac{1}{R^2 \sin \theta_0} \hat{P}_\varphi, \quad \dot{\hat{P}}_\varphi = -\frac{1}{R^2} \sin \theta_0 \hat{P}_\theta.$$

Thus, the matrix of the linear map $d\phi_{t,j(\theta_0,\varphi_0)}$ of the space $N_{j(\theta_0,\varphi_0)}X_0$ in the coordinates $(\hat{P}_\theta, \hat{P}_\varphi)$ has the form (cf. (3.13))

$$d\phi_{t,j(\theta_0,\varphi_0)} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \frac{1}{R^2} t & \frac{1}{\sin \theta_0} \sin \frac{1}{R^2} t \\ -\sin \theta_0 \sin \frac{1}{R^2} t & \cos \frac{1}{R^2} t \end{pmatrix}.$$

5.4. Hyperbolic plane. Consider the hyperbolic plane $\mathbb{H} = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : y > 0\}$ endowed with the Riemannian metric

$$g = \frac{R^2}{y^2} (dx^2 + dy^2).$$

Let $\Gamma \subset PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$ be a cocompact lattice acting freely on \mathbb{H} and $M = \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}$ be the corresponding Riemann surface.

We define the form F on M so that its lift to \mathbb{H} has the form

$$\tilde{F} = \frac{dx \wedge dy}{y^2}.$$

Sections of the Hermitian bundle L on M are identified with functions ψ on \mathbb{H} satisfying the condition

$$\psi(\gamma z) = \psi(z) \exp(-2i \arg(cz + d)) = \left(\frac{cz + d}{|cz + d|} \right)^{-2} \psi(z)$$

for any $z = x + iy \in \mathbb{H}$ and $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma$.

Define the connection form by

$$A = \frac{1}{y} dx.$$

Theorem 11. *For any function $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$, the smoothed spectral density $Y_N(\varphi)$ of the operator $H_N = \Delta^{L^N}$ given by (3.2) has an asymptotic expansion*

$$(5.10) \quad Y_N(\varphi) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_j(\varphi) N^{1-j}, \quad N \rightarrow \infty,$$

The coefficients c_j are computed explicitly. For the first two of them we have

$$(5.11) \quad f_0(\varphi) = \frac{i}{2\pi}(g-1) \left\langle \frac{1}{\sin \frac{1}{2R^2}(t+i0)}, \hat{\varphi}(t) \right\rangle.$$

$$(5.12) \quad f_1(\varphi) = -\frac{1}{2\pi}(g-1) \left\langle \left(\left[R^2 \frac{d^2}{dt^2} + \frac{1}{4R^2} \right] t - R^2 \frac{d}{dt} \right) \frac{1}{\sin \frac{1}{2R^2}(t+i0)}, \hat{\varphi}(t) \right\rangle.$$

Proof. For any $N \in \mathbb{N}$ the spectrum of Δ^{L^N} consists of two parts. Its spectrum on the interval $[0, N^2/R^2]$ consists of the eigenvalues

$$\nu_{N,j}^{(i)} = \frac{1}{R^2} ((2j+1)N - j(j+1)), \quad 0 \leq j \leq N-1$$

with multiplicity

$$m_{N,j} = (g-1)(2N-2j-1), \quad 0 \leq j \leq N-1.$$

Let Δ_M be the Laplace-Beltrami operator on (M, g) . Denote by $\lambda_\ell, \ell = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ its eigenvalues taking multiplicities into account. Then the eigenvalues of Δ^{L^N} on the half-line $(N^2/R^2, \infty)$ are given by

$$\nu_{N,\ell}^{(c)} = \lambda_\ell + \frac{1}{R^2} N^2, \quad \ell = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

We get the following expression for $Y_N(\varphi)$:

$$(5.13) \quad Y_N(\varphi) = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} (g-1)(2N-2j-1) \times \\ \times \varphi \left(\frac{1}{R^2} \left((2j+1) - \frac{1}{N} j(j+1) \right) \right) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varphi \left(\frac{1}{R^2} N + \frac{1}{N} \lambda_k \right).$$

It is clear that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varphi \left(\frac{1}{R^2} N + \frac{1}{N} \lambda_k \right) = \mathcal{O}(N^{-\infty}), \quad N \rightarrow \infty.$$

Using the Taylor series expansion as above, we obtain the existence of an asymptotic expansion (5.10) and formulas for the leading coefficient in this expansion

$$f_0(\varphi) = (2g-2) \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \varphi \left(\frac{1}{2R^2} (2j+1) \right)$$

and the following one:

$$f_1(\varphi) = -2(g-1)\frac{1}{R^2}\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\varphi'\left(\frac{1}{2R^2}(2j+1)\right)j(j+1) \\ - (g-1)\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\varphi\left(\frac{1}{2R^2}(2j+1)\right)(2j+1),$$

whence, as above, we obtain (5.11) and (5.12) using (2.10) and properties of the Fourier transform. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Balian, R., Bloch, C.: Solution of the Schrödinger equation in term of classical path. *Ann. Phys.* 85 (1974), 514–545
- [2] Belov, V. V.; Dobrokhotov, S. Yu.; Tudorovskiy, T. Ya. Operator separation of variables for adiabatic problems in quantum and wave mechanics. *J. Engrg. Math.* 55 (2006), no. 1-4, 183–237.
- [3] Berezin, F.A. General concept of quantization. *Commun. Math. Phys.* 40 (1975), 153–174
- [4] Bismut, J.-M. Demailly’s asymptotic Morse inequalities: a heat equation proof. *J. Funct. Anal.* 72 (1987), 263–278
- [5] Bismut, J.-M. Lebeau, G. Complex immersions and Quillen metrics. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* 74 (1991)
- [6] Bordemann, M., Meinrenken, E., Schlichenmaier, M. Toeplitz quantization of Kähler manifolds and $\mathrm{gl}(N)$, $N \rightarrow \infty$ limits. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 165 (1994), 281–296
- [7] Borthwick, D., Uribe, A. Almost complex structures and geometric quantization. *Math. Res. Lett.* 3 (1996), 845–861
- [8] Borthwick, D., Uribe, A. The semiclassical structure of low-energy states in the presence of a magnetic field. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 359 (2007), no. 4, 1875–1888.
- [9] Boutet de Monvel, L., Hypoelliptic operators with double characteristics and related pseudo-differential operators. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 27 (1974), 585–639.
- [10] Boutet de Monvel, L.; Sjöstrand, J. Sur la singularité des noyaux de Bergman et de Szegő. *Journées: Équations aux Dérivées Partielles de Rennes (1975)*, pp. 123–164. *Astérisque*, No. 34–35, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1976.
- [11] Bouche, Th. Convergence de la métrique de Fubini-Study d’un fibré linéaire positif. *Ann. Inst. Fourier* 49 (1990), 117–130.
- [12] Boutet de Monvel, L.; Guillemin, V. The spectral theory of Toeplitz operators. *Annals of Mathematics Studies*, 99. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ; University of Tokyo Press, Tokyo, 1981.
- [13] Brummelhuis, R., Paul, T., Uribe, A. Spectral estimate near a critical level. *Duke Math. J.* 78 (1995), 477–530
- [14] Brummelhuis, R., Uribe, A. A semi-classical trace formula for Schrödinger operators. *Commun. Math. Phys.* 136 (1991), 567–584
- [15] Camus, B. A semi-classical trace formula at a non-degenerate critical level, *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 208 (2004), 446–481.
- [16] Camus, B. A semi-classical trace formula at a totally degenerate critical level. *Commun. Math. Phys.* 247 (2004), 513–526
- [17] Camus, B. Contributions of non-extremum critical points to the semi-classical trace formula, *J. Funct. Anal.* 217 (2004), 79–102

- [18] Camus, B. Semiclassical spectral estimates for Schrödinger operators at a critical energy level. Case of a degenerate minimum of the potential, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 341, 2008, 1170–1180.
- [19] Charbonnel, A.M., Popov, G. A semi-classical trace formula for several commuting operators. *Commun. Partial Differ. Eq.* 24 (1999), 283–323
- [20] Charles, L. Landau levels on a compact manifold. Preprint arXiv:2012.14190, 2020.
- [21] Charles, L. On the spectrum of non degenerate magnetic Laplacian. Preprint arXiv:2109.05508, 2021.
- [22] Chazarain, J., Formule de Poisson pour les variétés riemanniennes. *Invent. Math.*, 24 (1974), 65–82.
- [23] Colin de Verdière, Y. Spectre du laplacien et longueurs des géodésiques périodiques I. *Compositio Mathematica*, 27 (1973), 80–106.
- [24] Colin de Verdière, Y. Spectre du laplacien et longueurs des géodésiques périodiques II. *Compositio Mathematica*, 27 (1973), 159–184
- [25] Colin de Verdière, Y. Spectre conjoint d’opérateurs pseudo-différentiels qui commutent. I. Le cas non intégrable, *Duke Math. J.* 46 (1979), 169–182
- [26] Colin de Verdière, Y. Spectrum of the Laplace operator and periodic geodesics: thirty years after. Festival Yves Colin de Verdière. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* 57 (2007), no. 7, 2429–2463.
- [27] Combescure, M., Ralston, J., Robert, D.: A proof of the Gutzwiller semi-classical trace formula using coherent states decomposition, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 202 (1999), 463–480
- [28] Dai, X., Liu, K., Ma, X. *On the asymptotic expansion of Bergman kernel*, *J. Differential Geom.* 72 (2006), no. 1, 1–41
- [29] Demailly, J.-P. Champs magnétiques et inégalités de Morse pour la d'' -cohomologie. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* 35 (1985), no. 4, 189–229
- [30] Demailly, J.-P. Holomorphic Morse inequalities. In *Several complex variables and complex geometry*, Part 2 (Santa Cruz, CA, 1989), 93–114, *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, 52, Part 2, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1991.
- [31] Dozias, S. Clustering for the spectrum of h -pseudodifferential operators with periodic flow on an energy surface. *J. Funct. Anal.* 145 (1997), no. 2, 296–311.
- [32] Duistermaat, J. Guillemin, V., The spectrum of positiv elliptic operators and periodic geodesics. *Invent. Math.* 29 (1975) 39–79
- [33] Faure, F., Tsujii, M. Prequantum transfer operator for symplectic Anosov diffeomorphism. *Astérisque* 375 (2015)
- [34] Fournais, S., Helffer, B. Spectral methods in surface superconductivity. *Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and their Applications*, 77. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2010
- [35] Guillemin, V. Symplectic spinors and partial differential equations. *Géométrie symplectique et physique mathématique (Colloq. Internat. C.N.R.S., Aix-en-Provence, 1974)*, pp. 217–252. Éditions Centre Nat. Recherche Sci., Paris, 1975.
- [36] Guillemin, V.; Uribe, A. The Laplace operator on the n th tensor power of a line bundle: eigenvalues which are uniformly bounded in n . *Asymptotic Anal.* 1 (1988), 105–113.
- [37] Guillemin, V.; Uribe, A. Circular symmetry and the trace formula. *Invent. Math.* 96 (1989), no. 2, 385–423.
- [38] Gutzwiller, M.: Periodic orbits and classical quantization conditions. *J. Math. Phys.* 12 (1971), 343–358
- [39] Helffer, B., Kordyukov, Yu. A. Semiclassical analysis of Schrödinger operators with magnetic wells. *Spectral and Scattering Theory for Quantum Magnetic Systems*, *Contemp. Math.* 500, 105–122; AMS, Providence, RI, 2009

- [40] Helffer, B., Kordyukov, Yu. A. Semiclassical spectral asymptotics for a magnetic Schrödinger operator with non-vanishing magnetic field. *Geometric methods in physics*, 259–278, Trends Math., Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham, 2014
- [41] Helffer, B.; Sjöstrand, J. Équation de Schrödinger avec champ magnétique et équation de Harper. *Schrödinger operators* (Sønderborg, 1988), 118–197, Lecture Notes in Phys., 345, Springer, Berlin, 1989
- [42] Ioos, L., Lu, W., Ma, X., Marinescu, G. Berezin-Toeplitz quantization for eigenstates of the Bochner-Laplacian on symplectic manifolds, *J. Geom. Anal.* 30 (2020), 2615–2646.
- [43] Khuat-Duy, D. A semi-classical trace formula at a critical level. *J. Funct. Anal.* 146 (1997), 299–351
- [44] Kordyukov, Yu. A. On asymptotic expansions of generalized Bergman kernels on symplectic manifolds, *St. Petersburg Math. J.*, 30 (2019), 267–283
- [45] Kordyukov, Yu. A. Semiclassical spectral analysis of the Bochner-Schrödinger operator on symplectic manifolds of bounded geometry, *Anal. Math. Phys.* 12 (2022), no. 1, Paper No. 22, 37 pp.
- [46] Kordyukov, Yu. A. Berezin-Toeplitz quantization associated with higher Landau levels of the Bochner Laplacian. *J. Spectr. Theory* 12 (2022), no. 1, 143–167.
- [47] Kordyukov, Yu. A. Semiclassical asymptotic expansions for functions of the Bochner-Schrödinger operator, preprint arXiv:1908.01756 [math.SP].
- [48] Kordyukov, Yu. A., Ma, X., Marinescu, G. Generalized Bergman kernels on symplectic manifolds of bounded geometry. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* 44 (2019), no. 11, 1037–1071.
- [49] Kordyukov, Yu. A.; Taimanov, I. A. Trace formula for the magnetic Laplacian, *Russian Math. Surveys*, 74:2 (2019), 325–361
- [50] Kordyukov, Yu. A.; Taimanov, I. A. Quasi-classical approximation for magnetic monopoles, *Russian Math. Surveys*, 75:6 (2020), 1067–1088
- [51] Kordyukov, Yu. A.; Taimanov, I. A. Trace formula for the magnetic Laplacian on a compact hyperbolic surface, *Regular and Chaotic Dynamics* 27 (2022), No. 4, 460–476.
- [52] Levendorskiĭ, S. Z. Nonclassical spectral asymptotics. *Russian Math. Surveys*, 43:1 (1988), 149–192
- [53] Ma, X.; Marinescu, G. The Spin^c Dirac operator on high tensor powers of a line bundle. *Math. Z.* 240 (2002), no. 3, 651–664.
- [54] Ma, X.; Marinescu, G. Holomorphic Morse inequalities and Bergman kernels. *Progress in Mathematics*, 254. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2007.
- [55] Ma, X.; Marinescu, G. Generalized Bergman kernels on symplectic manifolds. *Adv. Math.* 217 (2008), 1756–1815.
- [56] Ma, X., Marinescu, G. Toeplitz operators on symplectic manifolds. *J. Geom. Anal.* 18 (2008), 565–611.
- [57] Ma, X., Marinescu, G. Exponential estimate for the asymptotics of Bergman kernels. *Math. Ann.* 362 (2015), no. 3-4, 1327–1347.
- [58] Ma, X., Marinescu, G., Zelditch, S. Scaling asymptotics of heat kernels of line bundles. *Analysis, complex geometry, and mathematical physics: in honor of Duong H. Phong; Contemp. Math.*, 644, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2015; pp. 175–202.
- [59] Marinescu, G., Savale, N. Bochner Laplacian and Bergman kernel expansion of semi-positive line bundles on a Riemann surface. arXiv: 1811.00992, 2018.
- [60] Maslov, V. P. The complex WKB method for nonlinear equations. I. Linear theory. Translated in part from the 1977 Russian original by M. A. Shishkova and A. B. Sossinsky and revised by the author. *Progress in Physics*, 16. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1994.
- [61] Meinrenken, E. Semiclassical principal symbols and Gutzwiller’s trace formula, *Rep. Math. Phys.* 31 (1992) 279–295.

- [62] Meinrenken, E. Trace formulas and the Conley-Zehnder index, *J. Geom. Phys.* 13 (1994) 1–15.
- [63] Melin, A., Sjöstrand, J. Fourier integral operators with complex-valued phase functions. *Fourier integral operators and partial differential equations (Colloq. Internat., Univ. Nice, Nice, 1974)*, pp. 120–223. *Lecture Notes in Math.*, Vol. 459, Springer, Berlin, 1975.
- [64] Morin, L. A semiclassical Birkhoff normal form for symplectic magnetic wells. To appear in *Journal of Spectral Theory*. Preprint arXiv:2005.09386.
- [65] Morin, L. A semiclassical Birkhoff normal form for constant-rank magnetic fields. Preprint arXiv:2005.09386.
- [66] Morin, L. Review on spectral asymptotics for the semiclassical Bochner Laplacian of a line bundle. To appear in *Confluentes Mathematici*. 2022.
- [67] Novikov, S.P. The Hamiltonian formalism and a many-valued analogue of Morse theory. *Russian Math. Surveys* 37:5 (1982), 1–56.
- [68] Novikov, S.P.; Shmel'tser, I. Periodic solutions of Kirchhoff's equations for the free motion of a rigid body in a fluid and the extended theory of Lyusternik-Shnirel'man-Morse (LSM). *I. Funct. Anal. Appl.* 15:3 (1981), 197–207.
- [69] Novikov S.P., Taimanov I.A. Periodic extremals of multivalued or not everywhere positive functionals. *Sov. Math. Dokl.* 29 (1984), 18–20.
- [70] Ortega, J.-P., Ratiu, T. S. *Momentum maps and Hamiltonian reduction*. *Progress in Mathematics*, 222. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2004.
- [71] Paul, T., Uribe, A. The semi-classical trace formula and propagation of wave packets. *J. Funct. Anal.* 132 (1995), no. 1, 192–249.
- [72] Petkov, V., Popov, G. Semi-classical trace formula and clustering of the eigenvalues for Schrödinger operators. *Ann. de l'Institut Henri Poincaré. Physique Theorique.* 68 (1998), 17–83
- [73] Raymond, N. *Bound states of the magnetic Schrödinger operator*. EMS Tracts in Mathematics, 27. European Mathematical Society (EMS), Zürich, 2017
- [74] Sandoval, M. R. Wavetrace asymptotics for operators of Dirac type, *Commun. Partial Differ. Equ.* 24 (1999), no. 9-10, 1903–1944.
- [75] Savale, N. Koszul complexes, Birkhoff normal form and the magnetic Dirac operator. *Anal. PDE* 10 (2017), no. 8, 1793–1844.
- [76] Savale, N. A Gutzwiller type trace formula for the magnetic Dirac operator. *Geom. Funct. Anal.* 28 (2018), no. 5, 1420–1486
- [77] Selberg, A. Harmonic analysis and discontinuous groups in weakly symmetric Riemannian spaces with applications to Dirichlet series. *J. Indian Math. Soc. (N.S.)* 20 (1956), 47–87.
- [78] Sjöstrand, J., Zworski, M. Quantum monodromy and semi-classical trace formulae. *J. Math. Pures Appl.* (9) 81 (2002), no. 1, 1–33.
- [79] Strohmaier, A., Zelditch, S. A Gutzwiller trace formula for stationary space-times. *Adv. Math.* 376 (2021), Paper No. 107434, 53 pp.
- [80] Taimanov I.A. Non-self-intersecting closed extremals of multivalued or not-everywhere-positive functionals. *Math. USSR-Izv.* 38 (1992), 359–374.
- [81] Taimanov I.A. Closed extremals on two-dimensional manifolds. *Russian Math. Surveys* 47:2 (1992), 163–211.
- [82] Taimanov I.A. Closed non-self-intersecting extremals of multivalued functionals. *Siberian Math. J.* 33 (1992), 686–692.
- [83] Teufel, S. *Adiabatic perturbation theory in quantum dynamics*. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, 1821. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003.
- [84] Uribe, A. Trace Formulae, *First Summer School in Analysis and Mathematical Physics (Cuernavaca Morelos, 1998)*, 61–90, *Contemp. Math.*, 260, Aportaciones Mat., Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2000.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, UFA FEDERAL RESEARCH CENTRE, RUSSIAN ACADEMY
OF SCIENCES, 112 CHERNYSHEVSKY STR., 450008 UFA, RUSSIA
Email address: `yurikor@matem.anrb.ru`