

ON INSTABILITY OF A GENERIC COMPRESSIBLE TWO-FLUID MODEL IN \mathbb{R}^3

GUOCHUN WU, LEI YAO, AND YINGHUI ZHANG*

ABSTRACT. We are concerned with the instability of a generic compressible two-fluid model in the whole space \mathbb{R}^3 , where the capillary pressure $f(\alpha^+ \rho^-) = P^+ - P^- \neq 0$ is taken into account. For the case that the capillary pressure is a strictly decreasing function near the equilibrium, namely, $f'(1) < 0$, [Evje–Wang–Wen, Arch Rational Mech Anal 221:1285–1316, 2016] established global stability of the constant equilibrium state for the three-dimensional Cauchy problem under some smallness assumptions. Recently, [Wu–Yao–Zhang, arXiv:2204.10706] proved global stability of the constant equilibrium state for the case $P^+ = P^-$ (corresponding to $f'(1) = 0$). In this work, we investigate the instability of the constant equilibrium state for the case that the capillary pressure is a strictly increasing function near the equilibrium, namely, $f'(1) > 0$. First, by employing Hodge decomposition technique and making detailed analysis of the Green’s function for the corresponding linearized system, we construct solutions of the linearized problem that grow exponentially in time in the Sobolev space H^k , thus leading to a global instability result for the linearized problem. Moreover, with the help of the global linear instability result and a local existence theorem of classical solutions to the original nonlinear system, we can then show the instability of the nonlinear problem in the sense of Hadamard by making a delicate analysis on the properties of the semigroup. Therefore, our result shows that for the case $f'(1) > 0$, the constant equilibrium state of the two-fluid model is linearly globally unstable and nonlinearly locally unstable in the sense of Hadamard, which is in contrast to the cases $f'(1) < 0$ ([Evje–Wang–Wen, Arch Rational Mech Anal 221:1285–1316, 2016]) and $P^+ = P^-$ (corresponding to $f'(1) = 0$) ([Wu–Yao–Zhang, arXiv:2204.10706]) where the constant equilibrium state of the two-fluid model (1.5) is nonlinearly globally stable.

1. Introduction.

1.1. Background and motivation. As is well-known, most of the flows in nature are multi-fluid flows. Such a terminology includes the flows of non-miscible fluids such as air and water; gas, oil and water. For the flows of miscible fluids, they usually form a “new” single fluid possessing its own rheological properties. One interesting example is the stable emulsion between oil and water which is a non-Newtonian fluid, but oil and water are Newtonian ones.

One of the classic examples of multi-fluid flows is small amplitude waves propagating at the interface between air and water, which is called a separated flow. In view of modeling, each fluid obeys its own equation and couples with each other through the free surface in this case. Here, the motion of the fluid is governed by the pair of compressible Euler equations with free surface:

$$\partial_t \rho_i + \nabla \cdot (\rho_i v_i) = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \quad (1.1)$$

$$\partial_t (\rho_i v_i) + \nabla \cdot (\rho_i v_i \otimes v_i) + \nabla p_i = -g \rho_i e_3 \pm F_D. \quad (1.2)$$

In above equations, ρ_1 and v_1 represent the density and velocity of the upper fluid (air), and ρ_2 and v_2 denote the density and velocity of the lower fluid (water). p_i denotes the pressure. $-g \rho_i e_3$ is the gravitational force with the constant $g > 0$ the acceleration of gravity and e_3 the vertical unit vector, and F_D is the drag force. As mentioned before, the two fluids (air and water) are separated by the unknown free surface $z = \eta(x, y, t)$, which is advected by the fluids according to the kinematic relation:

$$\partial_t \eta = v_{1,z} - v_{1,x} \partial_x \eta - v_{1,y} \partial_y \eta \quad (1.3)$$

on two sides of the surface $z = \eta$ and the pressure is continuous across this surface.

Date: August 11, 2022.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 76N0; 76N10.

Key words and phrases. Non-conservative two-phase fluid model; instability; Cauchy problem.

* Corresponding author: yinghuizhang@mailbox.gxnu.edu.cn.

When the wave's amplitude becomes large enough, wave breaking may happen. Then, in the region around the interface between air and water, small droplets of liquid appear in the gas, and bubbles of gas also appear in the liquid. These inclusions might be quite small. Due to the appearances of collapse and fragmentation, the topologies of the free surface become quite complicated and a wide range of length scales are involved. Therefore, we encounter the situation where two-fluid models become relevant if not inevitable. The classic approach to simplify the complexity of multi-phase flows and satisfy the engineer's need of some modeling tools is the well-known volume-averaging method (see [9, 16] for details). Thus, by performing such a procedure, one can derive a model without surface: a two-fluid model. More precisely, we denote α^\pm by the volume fraction of the liquid (water) and gas (air), respectively. Therefore, $\alpha^+ + \alpha^- = 1$. Applying the volume-averaging procedure to the equations (1.1) and (1.2) leads to the following generic compressible two-fluid model:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t(\alpha^\pm \rho^\pm) + \operatorname{div}(\alpha^\pm \rho^\pm u^\pm) = 0, \\ \partial_t(\alpha^\pm \rho^\pm u^\pm) + \operatorname{div}(\alpha^\pm \rho^\pm u^\pm \otimes u^\pm) + \alpha^\pm \nabla P^\pm = -g \alpha^\pm \rho^\pm e_3 \pm F_D. \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

We have already discussed the case of water waves, where a separated flow can lead to a two-fluid model from the viewpoint of practical modeling. As mentioned before, two-fluid flows are very common in nature, but also in various industry applications such as nuclear power, chemical processing, oil and gas manufacturing. According to the context, the models used for simulation may be very different. However, averaged models share the same structure as (1.4). By introducing viscosity effects and capillary pressure effects, one can generalize the above system (1.4) to

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t(\alpha^\pm \rho^\pm) + \operatorname{div}(\alpha^\pm \rho^\pm u^\pm) = 0, \\ \partial_t(\alpha^\pm \rho^\pm u^\pm) + \operatorname{div}(\alpha^\pm \rho^\pm u^\pm \otimes u^\pm) + \alpha^\pm \nabla P^\pm(\rho^\pm) = \operatorname{div}(\alpha^\pm \tau^\pm), \\ P^+(\rho^+) - P^-(\rho^-) = f(\alpha^- \rho^-), \end{cases} \quad (1.5)$$

where $\rho^\pm(x, t) \geq 0$, $u^\pm(x, t)$ and $P^\pm(\rho^\pm) = A^\pm(\rho^\pm)^{\tilde{\gamma}^\pm}$ denote the densities, the velocities of each phase, and the two pressure functions, respectively. $\tilde{\gamma}^\pm \geq 1$, $A^\pm > 0$ are positive constants. In what follows, we set $A^+ = A^- = 1$ without loss of any generality. As in [6], we assume that the capillary pressure f belongs to $C^3([0, \infty))$. Moreover, τ^\pm are the viscous stress tensors

$$\tau^\pm := \mu^\pm (\nabla u^\pm + \nabla' u^\pm) + \lambda^\pm \operatorname{div} u^\pm \operatorname{Id}, \quad (1.6)$$

where the constants μ^\pm and λ^\pm are shear and bulk viscosity coefficients satisfying the physical condition: $\mu^\pm > 0$ and $2\mu^\pm + 3\lambda^\pm \geq 0$, which implies that $\mu^\pm + \lambda^\pm > 0$. For more information about this model, we refer to [1–3, 7, 9, 16, 17] and references therein. However, it is well-known that as far as mathematical analysis of two-fluid model is concerned, there are many technical challenges. Some of them involve, for example:

- The two-fluid model is a partially dissipative system. More precisely, there is no dissipation on the mass conservation equations, whereas the momentum equations have viscosity dissipations;
- The corresponding linear system of the model has zero eigenvalue, which makes mathematical analysis (well-posedness and stability) of the model become quite difficult and complicated;
- Transition to single-phase regions, i.e., regions where the mass $\alpha^+ \rho^+$ or $\alpha^- \rho^-$ becomes zero, may occur when the volume fractions α^\pm or the densities ρ^\pm become zero;
- The system is non-conservative, since the non-conservative terms $\alpha^\pm \nabla P^\pm$ are involved in the momentum equations. This brings various mathematical difficulties for us to employ methods used for single phase models to the two-fluid model.

For the case that the capillary pressure is a strictly decreasing function near the equilibrium, namely, $f'(1) < 0$, Evje–Wang–Wen [6] obtained global stability of the constant equilibrium state for the three-dimensional Cauchy problem of the two-fluid model (1.5) under the assumption that the initial perturbation is small in H^2 -norm and bounded in L^1 -norm. It should be noted that as pointed out by Evje–Wang–Wen in [6], the assumption $f'(1) < 0$ played a crucial role in their analysis and appeared to have an essential stabilization effect on the model in question. Bretsch et al. in the seminal work [2] considered a model similar to (1.5). More specifically, they made the following assumptions:

- $P^+ = P^-$ (particularly, $f'(1) = 0$ in this case);
- inclusion of viscous terms of the form (1.2) where μ^\pm depends on densities ρ^\pm and $\lambda^\pm = 0$;
- inclusion of a third order derivative of $\alpha^\pm \rho^\pm$, which are so-called internal capillary forces represented by the well-known Korteweg model on each phase.

They obtained the global weak solutions in the periodic domain with $1 < \bar{\gamma}^\pm < 6$. It is worth mentioning that the method of [2] doesn't work for the case without the internal capillary forces. Later, Bresch–Huang–Li [3] established the global existence of weak solutions in one space dimension without the internal capillary forces when $\bar{\gamma}^\pm > 1$ by taking advantage of the one space dimension. However, the method of [3] relies crucially on the advantage of one space dimension, and particularly cannot be applied for high dimensional problem. Recently, Wu–Yao–Zhang [18] showed the global stability of the constant equilibrium state in three space dimension by exploiting the dissipation structure of the model (with $P^+ = P^-$ and without internal capillary forces) and making full use of several key observations. For the case of the special density-dependent viscosities with equal viscosity coefficients and the case of general constant viscosities, Cui–Wang–Yao–Zhu [4] and Li–Wang–Wu–Zhang [14] proved the global stability of the constant equilibrium state for the three-dimensional Cauchy problem with the internal capillary forces, respectively.

To sum up, the works [6] and [18] rely essentially on the assumption $f'(1) < 0$ and $P^+ = P^-$ (corresponding to $f'(1) = 0$). Therefore, a natural and important problem is that what will happen for the case that the capillary pressure is a strictly increasing function near the equilibrium, namely, $f'(1) > 0$. That is to say, what about the stability of three-dimensional Cauchy problem to the two-fluid model (1.5) with $f'(1) > 0$. The main purpose of this work is to give a definite answer to this issue. More precisely, we first employ Hodge decomposition technique and make detailed analysis of the Green's function for the corresponding linearized system to construct solutions of the linearized problem that grow exponentially in time in the Sobolev space H^k , thus leading to a global instability result for the linearized problem. Then, based on the global linear instability result and a local existence theorem of classical solutions to the original nonlinear system, we can prove the instability of the nonlinear problem in the sense of Hadamard by making a delicate analysis on the properties of the semigroup. Therefore, our result shows that for the case $f'(1) > 0$, the constant equilibrium state of the two-fluid model (1.5) is linearly globally unstable and nonlinearly locally unstable in the sense of Hadamard, which is in contrast to the cases $f'(1) < 0$ ([6]) and $P^+ = P^-$ (corresponding to $f'(1) = 0$) ([18]) where the constant equilibrium state of the two-fluid model (1.5) is nonlinearly globally stable.

1.2. New formulation of system (1.5) and Main Results. In this subsection, we devote ourselves to reformulating the system (1.5) and stating the main results. To begin with, noting the relation between the pressures of (1.5)₃, one has

$$dP^+ - dP^- = df(\alpha^- \rho^-), \quad (1.7)$$

where $P^\pm := P^\pm(\rho^\pm)$. It is clear that

$$dP^+ = s_+^2 d\rho^+, \quad dP^- = s_-^2 d\rho^-, \quad \text{where } s_\pm^2 := \frac{dP^\pm}{d\rho^\pm}(\rho^\pm) = \bar{\gamma}^\pm \frac{P^\pm(\rho^\pm)}{\rho^\pm}.$$

Here s_\pm represent the sound speed of each phase respectively. Motivated by [2], we introduce the fraction densities

$$R^\pm = \alpha^\pm \rho^\pm, \quad (1.8)$$

which together with the fact that $\alpha^+ + \alpha^- = 1$ gives

$$d\rho^+ = \frac{1}{\alpha_+} (dR^+ - \rho^+ d\alpha^+), \quad d\rho^- = \frac{1}{\alpha_-} (dR^- + \rho^- d\alpha^+). \quad (1.9)$$

By virtue of (1.7) and (1.9), we finally get

$$d\alpha^+ = \frac{\alpha^- s_+^2}{\alpha^- \rho^+ s_+^2 + \alpha^+ \rho^- s_-^2} dR^+ - \frac{\alpha^+ \alpha^-}{\alpha^- \rho^+ s_+^2 + \alpha^+ \rho^- s_-^2} \left(\frac{s_-^2}{\alpha^-} + f' \right) dR^-. \quad (1.10)$$

Substituting (1.10) into (1.9), we deduce the following expressions:

$$d\rho^+ = \frac{\rho^+ \rho^- s_-^2}{R^- (\rho^+)^2 s_+^2 + R^+ (\rho^-)^2 s_-^2} \left(\rho^- dR^+ + \left(\rho^+ + \rho^+ \frac{\alpha^- f'}{s_-^2} \right) dR^- \right),$$

and

$$d\rho^- = \frac{\rho^+ \rho^- s_+^2}{R^- (\rho^+)^2 s_+^2 + R^+ (\rho^-)^2 s_-^2} \left(\rho^- dR^+ + \left(\rho^+ - \rho^- \frac{\alpha^+ f'}{s_+^2} \right) dR^- \right),$$

which together with (1.7) gives the pressure differential dP^\pm

$$dP^+ = \mathcal{C}^2 \left(\rho^- dR^+ + \left(\rho^+ + \rho^+ \frac{\alpha^- f'}{s_-^2} \right) dR^- \right),$$

and

$$dP^- = \mathcal{C}^2 \left(\rho^- dR^+ + \left(\rho^+ - \rho^- \frac{\alpha^+ f'}{s_+^2} \right) dR^- \right),$$

where

$$\mathcal{C}^2 := \frac{s_-^2 s_+^2}{\alpha^- \rho^+ s_+^2 + \alpha^+ \rho^- s_-^2}.$$

Next, by noting the fundamental relation: $\alpha^+ + \alpha^- = 1$, we can get the following equality:

$$\frac{R^+}{\rho^+} + \frac{R^-}{\rho^-} = 1, \text{ and thus } \rho^- = \frac{R^- \rho^+}{\rho^+ - R^+}. \quad (1.11)$$

Then, it holds from the pressure relation (1.5)₃ that

$$\varphi(\rho^+, R^+, R^-) := P^+(\rho^+) - P^- \left(\frac{R^- \rho^+}{\rho^+ - R^+} \right) - f(R^-) = 0. \quad (1.12)$$

Thus, we can employ the implicit function theorem to define ρ^+ . To see this, by differentiating the above equation with respect to ρ^+ for given R^+ and R^- , we get

$$\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \rho^+}(\rho^+, R^+, R^-) = s_+^2 + s_-^2 \frac{R^- R^+}{(\rho^+ - R^+)^2},$$

which is positive for any $\rho^+ \in (R^+, +\infty)$ and $R^\pm > 0$. This together with the implicit function theorem implies that $\rho^+ = \rho^+(R^+, R^-) \in (R^+, +\infty)$ is the unique solution of the equation (1.12). By virtue of (1.8), (1.12) and the fundamental fact that $\alpha^+ + \alpha^- = 1$, ρ^- and α^\pm can be defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^- (R^+, R^-) &= \frac{R^- \rho^+ (R^+, R^-)}{\rho^+ (R^+, R^-) - R^+}, \\ \alpha^+ (R^+, R^-) &= \frac{R^+}{\rho^+ (R^+, R^-)}, \\ \alpha^- (R^+, R^-) &= 1 - \frac{R^+}{\rho^+ (R^+, R^-)} = \frac{R^-}{\rho^- (R^+, R^-)}. \end{aligned}$$

We refer the readers to [[3], P. 614] for more details.

Therefore, we can rewrite system (1.5) into the following equivalent form:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t R^\pm + \operatorname{div}(R^\pm u^\pm) = 0, \\ \partial_t (R^+ u^+) + \operatorname{div}(R^+ u^+ \otimes u^+) + \alpha^+ \mathcal{C}^2 \left[\rho^- \nabla R^+ + \left(\rho^+ + \rho^+ \frac{\alpha^- f'}{s_-^2} \right) \nabla R^- \right] \\ \quad = \operatorname{div} \{ \alpha^+ [\mu^+ (\nabla u^+ + \nabla^t u^+) + \lambda^+ \operatorname{div} u^+ \operatorname{Id}] \}, \\ \partial_t (R^- u^-) + \operatorname{div}(R^- u^- \otimes u^-) + \alpha^- \mathcal{C}^2 \left[\rho^- \nabla R^+ + \left(\rho^+ - \rho^- \frac{\alpha^+ f'}{s_+^2} \right) \nabla R^- \right] \\ \quad = \operatorname{div} \{ \alpha^- [\mu^- (\nabla u^- + \nabla^t u^-) + \lambda^- \operatorname{div} u^- \operatorname{Id}] \}. \end{cases} \quad (1.13)$$

In the present paper, we consider the initial value problem to (1.13) in the whole space \mathbb{R}^3 subject to the initial condition

$$(R^\pm, u^\pm, R^-, u^-)(x, 0) = (R_0^\pm, u_0^\pm, R_0^-, u_0^-)(x) \rightarrow (R_\infty^\pm, \vec{0}, R_\infty^-, \vec{0}) \quad \text{as } |x| \rightarrow \infty \in \mathbb{R}^3, \quad (1.14)$$

where $R_\infty^\pm > 0$ denote the background doping profile, and for simplicity, are taken as 1 in this paper. In this work, we investigate the instability of the constant equilibrium state for the Cauchy problem (1.13)–(1.14) in the case that $f'(1) > 0$, which should be kept in mind throughout the rest of this paper. Taking

$$n^\pm = R^\pm - 1,$$

then we can rewrite (1.13) in terms of the variables (n^+, u^+, n^-, u^-) :

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t n^+ + \operatorname{div} u^+ = F_1, \\ \partial_t u^+ + \alpha_1 \nabla n^+ + \alpha_2 \nabla n^- - v_1^+ \Delta u^+ - v_2^+ \nabla \operatorname{div} u^+ = F_2, \\ \partial_t n^- + \operatorname{div} u^- = F_3, \\ \partial_t u^- + \alpha_3 \nabla n^+ + \alpha_4 \nabla n^- - v_1^- \Delta u^- - v_2^- \nabla \operatorname{div} u^- = F_4, \end{cases} \quad (1.15)$$

where $v_1^\pm = \frac{\mu^\pm}{\rho^\pm(1,1)}$, $v_2^\pm = \frac{\mu^\pm + \lambda^\pm}{\rho^\pm(1,1)} > 0$, $\alpha_1 = \frac{\mathcal{C}^2(1,1)\rho^-(1,1)}{\rho^+(1,1)}$, $\alpha_2 = \mathcal{C}^2(1,1) + \frac{\mathcal{C}^2(1,1)\alpha^-(1,1)f'(1)}{s_-^2(1,1)}$, $\alpha_3 = \mathcal{C}^2(1,1)$, $\alpha_4 = \frac{\mathcal{C}^2(1,1)\rho^+(1,1)}{\rho^-(1,1)} - \frac{\mathcal{C}^2(1,1)\alpha^+(1,1)f'(1)}{s_+^2(1,1)}$, and the nonlinear terms are given by

$$F_1 = -\operatorname{div}(n^+ u^+), \quad (1.16)$$

$$\begin{aligned} F_2^i = & -g_+(n^+, n^-) \partial_i n^+ - \bar{g}_+(n^+, n^-) \partial_i n^- - (u^+ \cdot \nabla) u_i^+ \\ & + \mu^+ h_+(n^+, n^-) \partial_j n^+ \partial_j u_i^+ + \mu^+ k_+(n^+, n^-) \partial_j n^- \partial_j u_i^+ \\ & + \mu^+ h_+(n^+, n^-) \partial_j n^+ \partial_i u_j^+ + \mu^+ k_+(n^+, n^-) \partial_j n^- \partial_i u_j^+ \\ & + \lambda^+ h_+(n^+, n^-) \partial_i n^+ \partial_j u_j^+ + \lambda^+ k_+(n^+, n^-) \partial_i n^- \partial_j u_j^+ \\ & + \mu^+ l_+(n^+, n^-) \partial_j^2 u_i^+ + (\mu^+ + \lambda^+) l_+(n^+, n^-) \partial_i \partial_j u_j^+, \end{aligned} \quad (1.17)$$

$$F_3 = -\operatorname{div}(n^- u^-), \quad (1.18)$$

$$\begin{aligned} F_4^i = & -g_-(n^+, n^-) \partial_i n^- - \bar{g}_-(n^+, n^-) \partial_i n^+ - (u^- \cdot \nabla) u_i^- \\ & + \mu^- h_-(n^+, n^-) \partial_j n^+ \partial_j u_i^- + \mu^- k_-(n^+, n^-) \partial_j n^- \partial_j u_i^- \\ & + \mu^- h_-(n^+, n^-) \partial_j n^+ \partial_i u_j^- + \mu^- k_-(n^+, n^-) \partial_j n^- \partial_i u_j^- \\ & + \lambda^- h_-(n^+, n^-) \partial_i n^+ \partial_j u_j^- + \lambda^- k_-(n^+, n^-) \partial_i n^- \partial_j u_j^- \\ & + \mu^- l_-(n^+, n^-) \partial_j^2 u_i^- + (\mu^- + \lambda^-) l_-(n^+, n^-) \partial_i \partial_j u_j^-, \end{aligned} \quad (1.19)$$

where

$$\begin{cases} g_+(n^+, n^-) = \frac{(\mathcal{C}^2 \rho^-)(n^++1, n^-+1)}{\rho^+(n^++1, n^-+1)} - \frac{(\mathcal{C}^2 \rho^-)(1,1)}{\rho^+(1,1)}, \\ g_-(n^+, n^-) = \frac{(\mathcal{C}^2 \rho^+)(n^++1, n^-+1)}{\rho^-(n^++1, n^-+1)} - \frac{(\mathcal{C}^2 \rho^+)(1,1)}{\rho^-(1,1)} - \frac{f'(n^-+1)(\mathcal{C}^2 \alpha^+)(n^++1, n^-+1)}{s_+^2(n^++1, n^-+1)} \\ \quad + \frac{f'(1)(\mathcal{C}^2 \alpha^+)(1,1)}{s_+^2(1,1)}, \end{cases} \quad (1.20)$$

$$\begin{cases} \bar{g}_+(n^+, n^-) = \mathcal{C}^2(n^+ + 1, n^- + 1) - \mathcal{C}^2(1, 1) + \frac{f'(n^- + 1)(\mathcal{C}^2 \alpha^-)(n^+ + 1, n^- + 1)}{s_-^2(n^+ + 1, n^- + 1)} \\ \quad - \frac{f'(1)(\mathcal{C}^2 \alpha^-)(1, 1)}{s_-^2(1, 1)}, \\ \bar{g}_-(n^+, n^-) = \mathcal{C}^2(n^+ + 1, n^- + 1) - \mathcal{C}^2(1, 1), \end{cases} \quad (1.21)$$

$$\begin{cases} h_+(n^+, n^-) = \frac{(\mathcal{C}^2 \alpha^-)(n^+ + 1, n^- + 1)}{(n^+ + 1)s_-^2(n^+ + 1, n^- + 1)}, \\ h_-(n^+, n^-) = -\frac{(\mathcal{C}^2)(n^+ + 1, n^- + 1)}{(\rho^- s_-^2)(n^+ + 1, n^- + 1)}, \end{cases} \quad (1.22)$$

$$\begin{cases} k_+(n^+, n^-) = -\left[\frac{\mathcal{C}^2(n^+ + 1, n^- + 1)}{(n^+ + 1)(s_+^2 \rho^+)(n^+ + 1, n^- + 1)} + \frac{f'(n^- + 1)\mathcal{C}^2(n^+ + 1, n^- + 1)}{(\rho^+ \rho^- s_+^2 s_-^2)(n^+ + 1, n^- + 1)} \right], \\ k_-(n^+, n^-) = -\frac{(\alpha^+ \mathcal{C}^2)(n^+ + 1, n^- + 1)}{(n^- + 1)s_+^2(n^+ + 1, n^- + 1)} + \frac{f'(n^- + 1)(\alpha^+ \mathcal{C}^2)(n^+ + 1, n^- + 1)}{(\rho^- s_+^2 s_-^2)(n^+ + 1, n^- + 1)}, \end{cases} \quad (1.23)$$

$$l_{\pm}(n^+, n^-) = \frac{1}{\rho_{\pm}(n^+ + 1, n^- + 1)} - \frac{1}{\rho_{\pm}(1, 1)}. \quad (1.24)$$

Taking change of variables by

$$n^+ \rightarrow \alpha_1 n^+, \quad u^+ \rightarrow \sqrt{\alpha_1} u^+, \quad n^- \rightarrow \alpha_4 n^-, \quad u^- \rightarrow \sqrt{\alpha_4} u^-,$$

and setting

$$\beta_1 = \sqrt{\alpha_1}, \quad \beta_2 = \frac{\alpha_2 \sqrt{\alpha_1}}{\alpha_4}, \quad \beta_3 = \frac{\alpha_3 \sqrt{\alpha_4}}{\alpha_1}, \quad \beta_4 = \sqrt{\alpha_4}$$

and

$$\beta^+ = \sqrt{\frac{\beta_1}{\beta_2}}, \quad \beta^- = \sqrt{\frac{\beta_4}{\beta_3}},$$

the Cauchy problem (1.13) and (1.14) can be reformulated as

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t n^+ + \beta_1 \operatorname{div} u^+ = \mathcal{F}_1, \\ \partial_t u^+ + \beta_1 \nabla n^+ + \beta_2 \nabla n^- - v_1^+ \Delta u^+ - v_2^+ \nabla \operatorname{div} u^+ = \mathcal{F}_2, \\ \partial_t n^- + \beta_4 \operatorname{div} u^- = \mathcal{F}_3, \\ \partial_t u^- + \beta_3 \nabla n^+ + \beta_4 \nabla n^- - v_1^- \Delta u^- - v_2^- \nabla \operatorname{div} u^- = \mathcal{F}_4, \end{cases} \quad (1.25)$$

subject to the initial condition

$$(n^+, u^+, n^-, u^-)(x, 0) = (n_0^+, u_0^+, n_0^-, u_0^-)(x) \rightarrow (0, \vec{0}, 0, \vec{0}), \quad \text{as } |x| \rightarrow +\infty, \quad (1.26)$$

where the nonlinear terms are given by

$$\mathcal{F}_1 = \alpha_1 F_1\left(\frac{n^+}{\alpha_1}, \frac{u^+}{\sqrt{\alpha_1}}\right), \quad \mathcal{F}_2 = \sqrt{\alpha_1} F_2\left(\frac{n^+}{\alpha_1}, \frac{u^+}{\sqrt{\alpha_1}}, \frac{n^-}{\alpha_4}, \frac{u^-}{\sqrt{\alpha_4}}\right),$$

and

$$\mathcal{F}_3 = \alpha_4 F_3\left(\frac{n^-}{\alpha_4}, \frac{u^-}{\sqrt{\alpha_4}}\right), \quad \mathcal{F}_4 = \sqrt{\alpha_4} F_4\left(\frac{n^+}{\alpha_1}, \frac{u^+}{\sqrt{\alpha_1}}, \frac{n^-}{\alpha_4}, \frac{u^-}{\sqrt{\alpha_4}}\right).$$

Noticing that

$$\beta_1 \beta_4 - \beta_2 \beta_3 = -\frac{\mathcal{C}^2(1, 1) f'(1)}{\sqrt{\alpha_1} \alpha_4 \rho^+(1, 1)} < 0, \quad (1.27)$$

it is clear that $\beta^+ \beta^- < 1$. Before stating our main results, let us state the corresponding linearized system of (1.25) as follows:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \tilde{n}^+ + \beta_1 \operatorname{div} \tilde{u}^+ = 0, \\ \partial_t \tilde{u}^+ + \beta_1 \nabla \tilde{n}^+ + \beta_2 \nabla \tilde{n}^- - v_1^+ \Delta \tilde{u}^+ - v_2^+ \nabla \operatorname{div} \tilde{u}^+ = 0, \\ \partial_t \tilde{n}^- + \beta_4 \operatorname{div} \tilde{u}^- = 0, \\ \partial_t \tilde{u}^- + \beta_3 \nabla \tilde{n}^+ + \beta_4 \nabla \tilde{n}^- - v_1^- \Delta \tilde{u}^- - v_2^- \nabla \operatorname{div} \tilde{u}^- = 0. \end{cases} \quad (1.28)$$

Now, we are in a position to state our main results. The first one is concerned with the linear instability, which is stated in the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1 (Linear instability). *Let $\theta = \frac{\sqrt{(v^+ \beta_4^2 + v^- \beta_1^2)^2 + 4v^+ v^- (\beta_1 \beta_2 \beta_3 \beta_4 - \beta_1^2 \beta_4^2) - (v^+ \beta_4^2 + v^- \beta_1^2)}}{2v^+ v^-}$ which is positive due to (1.27), where $v^\pm = v_1^\pm + v_2^\pm$. Then for any $\vartheta > 0$, the linearized system (1.28) admits an unstable solution $(\tilde{n}_{\vartheta}^+, \tilde{u}_{\vartheta}^+, \tilde{n}_{\vartheta}^-, \tilde{u}_{\vartheta}^-)$ satisfying*

$$\tilde{n}_{\vartheta}^\pm \in C^0(0, \infty; H^2(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap C^1(0, \infty; H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)), \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{u}_{\vartheta}^\pm \in C^0(0, \infty; H^2(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap C^1(0, \infty; L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)),$$

and

$$\left\| \tilde{n}_{0, \vartheta}^+ \right\|_{L^2} \left\| \tilde{u}_{0, \vartheta}^+ \right\|_{L^2} \left\| \tilde{n}_{0, \vartheta}^- \right\|_{L^2} \left\| \tilde{u}_{0, \vartheta}^- \right\|_{L^2} > 0. \quad (1.29)$$

Moreover, the solution satisfies the following estimate:

$$e^{(\theta - \vartheta)t} \left\| \tilde{n}_{0, \vartheta}^\pm(t) \right\|_{L^2} \leq \left\| \tilde{n}_{0, \vartheta}^\pm(t) \right\|_{L^2} \leq e^{\theta t} \left\| \tilde{n}_{0, \vartheta}^\pm(t) \right\|_{L^2} \quad \text{and} \quad e^{(\theta - \vartheta)t} \left\| \tilde{u}_{0, \vartheta}^\pm(t) \right\|_{L^2} \leq \left\| \tilde{u}_{0, \vartheta}^\pm(t) \right\|_{L^2} \leq e^{\theta t} \left\| \tilde{u}_{0, \vartheta}^\pm(t) \right\|_{L^2}. \quad (1.30)$$

Remark 1.2. For any $\varepsilon > 0$ which may be small enough, it is direct to check that $(\varepsilon \tilde{n}^+, \varepsilon \tilde{u}^+, \varepsilon \tilde{n}^-, \varepsilon \tilde{u}^-)$ is still a solution of system (1.28). This solution is obvious unstable due to (1.29) and (1.30).

The second result is concerned with nonlinear instability, which is stated in the following theorem.

Theorem 1.3 (Nonlinear instability). *The steady state $(0, \vec{0}, 0, \vec{0})$ of the system (1.25) is unstable in the Hadamard sense, that is, there exist positive constants $\theta, \vartheta, \varepsilon_0$ and δ_0 , and functions $(\tilde{n}_{0, \vartheta}^+, \tilde{u}_{0, \vartheta}^+, \tilde{n}_{0, \vartheta}^-, \tilde{u}_{0, \vartheta}^-) \in H^4(\mathbb{R}^3)$, such that for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$ and the initial data*

$$(n_0^+, u_0^+, n_0^-, u_0^-) \triangleq \varepsilon (\tilde{n}_{0, \vartheta}^+, \tilde{u}_{0, \vartheta}^+, \tilde{n}_{0, \vartheta}^-, \tilde{u}_{0, \vartheta}^-), \quad (1.31)$$

the Cauchy problem (1.25) and (1.31) admits a unique strong solution satisfying

$$n^\pm \in C^0(0, T^{\max}; H^4(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap C^1(0, T^{\max}; H^3(\mathbb{R}^3)) \quad \text{and} \quad u^\pm \in C^0(0, T^{\max}; H^4(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap C^1(0, T^{\max}; H^2(\mathbb{R}^3)),$$

and

$$\left\| (n^+, u^+, n^-, u^-)(T^\varepsilon) \right\|_{H^4} \geq \delta_0. \quad (1.32)$$

for some escape time $T^\varepsilon \in [0, T^{\max})$, where T^{\max} denotes the maximal time of existence of the solution.

Remark 1.4. Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.3 show that for the case $f'(1) > 0$, the constant equilibrium state of the two-fluid model is linearly globally unstable and nonlinearly locally unstable in the sense of Hadamard, which is in contrast to the cases $f'(1) < 0$ in Evje–Wang–Wen [6] and $P^+ = P^-$ (corresponding to $f'(1) = 0$) in Wu–Yao–Zhang [18] where the constant equilibrium state of the two-fluid model (1.5) is nonlinearly globally stable.

Now, let us sketch the main ideas in the proofs of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.3. For the proof of Theorem 1.1, we need construct a solution to the linearized system (1.28) that has a growing H^k norm for any k and the proof can be outlined as follows. First, we exclude the stabilizing part of the linearized system by employing the Hodge decomposition technique firstly introduced by Danchin [5] to split the linearized system into three systems (see (2.1) and (2.2) for details). One is a 4×4 system and its characteristic polynomial possesses four distinct roots, the other two systems are the heat equation. This key observation allows us to construct an unstable solution. Second, we assume a growing mode ansatz, i.e.,

$$\widehat{n}^+ = e^{\lambda(|\xi|)t} \widehat{n}_0^+, \quad \widehat{\varphi}^+ = e^{\lambda(|\xi|)t} \widehat{\varphi}_0^+, \quad \widehat{n}^- = e^{\lambda(|\xi|)t} \widehat{n}_0^-, \quad \widehat{\varphi}^- = e^{\lambda(|\xi|)t} \widehat{\varphi}_0^-, \quad \text{for some } \lambda,$$

and submit this ansatz into the Fourier transformation of the 4×4 system to get a time-independent system for λ . Third, we solve the time-independent system by making careful analysis and using several key observations. Indeed, noticing that the characteristic polynomial $F(\lambda)$ defined in (2.6) is a strictly increasing function on $(0, \infty)$, and $F(\theta) > 0$ for $\theta > 0$ defined in Theorem 1.1, we show that $0 < \lambda_1 < \theta$ is the unique positive root of the characteristic equation $F(\lambda) = 0$, and $\theta > 0$ in Theorem 1.1 is the

largest possible growth rate since $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda_i) \leq \theta$ with $1 \leq i \leq 4$. Therefore, the growing mode constructed in Theorem 1.1 actually does grow in time at the fastest possible rate.

For the proof of Theorem 1.3, we deduce the nonlinear instability. Compared to [8, 10–12, 19] where nonlinear energy estimates and a careful bootstrap argument are employed to prove stability and instability, we need to develop new ingredients in the proof to handle with the difficulties arising from the strong interaction of two fluids, which requires some new thoughts. Indeed, since the strong coupling terms are involved in the right-hand of the system (1.25), it seems impossible to follow the energy methods of [8, 10–12, 19] to get the lyapunov-type inequality: $\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{E}(t) \leq \theta \mathcal{E}(t)$ to prove the largest possible growth rate. Therefore, we must pursue another route by resorting to semigroup methods to capture the largest possible growth rate, but the cost is that we need the higher regularity of the solutions. More precisely, with the help of the global linear instability result of Theorem 1.1 and a local existence theorem of classical solutions to the original nonlinear system, we can make delicate spectral analysis for the linearized system and apply Duhamel's principle to prove the nonlinear instability result stated in Theorem 1.1.

1.3. Notations and conventions. Throughout this paper, we denote $H^k(\mathbb{R}^3)$ by the usual Sobolev spaces with norm $\|\cdot\|_{H^k}$ and denote L^p , $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ by the usual $L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)$ spaces with norm $\|\cdot\|_{L^p}$. We drop the domain \mathbb{R}^3 in integrands over \mathbb{R}^3 . For the sake of conciseness, we do not precise in functional space names when they are concerned with scalar-valued or vector-valued functions, $\|(f, g)\|_X$ denotes $\|f\|_X + \|g\|_X$. We will employ the notation $a \lesssim b$ to mean that $a \leq Cb$ for a universal constant $C > 0$ that only depends on the parameters coming from the problem. We denote $\nabla = \partial_x = (\partial_1, \partial_2, \partial_3)$, where $\partial_i = \partial_{x_i}$, $\nabla_i = \partial_i$ and put $\partial_x^\ell f = \nabla^\ell f = \nabla(\nabla^{\ell-1} f)$. Let Λ^s be the pseudo differential operator defined by

$$\Lambda^s f = \mathfrak{F}^{-1}(|\xi|^s \widehat{f}), \text{ for } s \in \mathbb{R},$$

where \widehat{f} and $\mathfrak{F}(f)$ are the Fourier transform of f .

2. Linear instability.

To construct a solution to the linearized system (1.28) that has growing H^k -norm for any positive integer k , by using a real method as in [13], one need to make a detailed analysis on the properties of the semigroup. To exclude the stabilizing part, we will employ the Hodge decomposition technique firstly introduced by Danchin [5] to split the linear system into three systems. One only has four equations and its characteristic polynomial possesses four distinct roots, the other two systems are the heat equation. This key observation allows us to construct a unstable solution. To see this, let $\varphi^\pm = \Lambda^{-1} \operatorname{div} \tilde{u}^\pm$ be the “compressible part” of the velocities \tilde{u}^\pm , and denote $\phi^\pm = \Lambda^{-1} \operatorname{curl} \tilde{u}^\pm$ (with $(\operatorname{curl} z)_i^j = \partial_{x_j} z^i - \partial_{x_i} z^j$) by the “incompressible part” of the velocities \tilde{u}^\pm . Setting $v^\pm = v_1^\pm + v_2^\pm$, the system (1.28) can be decomposed into the following three systems:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \tilde{n}^+ + \beta_1 \Lambda \varphi^+ = 0, \\ \partial_t \varphi^+ - \beta_1 \Lambda \tilde{n}^+ - \beta_2 \Lambda \tilde{n}^- + v^+ \Lambda^2 \varphi^+ = 0, \\ \partial_t \tilde{n}^- + \beta_4 \Lambda \varphi^- = 0, \\ \partial_t \varphi^- - \beta_3 \Lambda \tilde{n}^+ - \beta_4 \Lambda \tilde{n}^- + v^- \Lambda^2 \varphi^- = 0, \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \phi^+ + v_1^+ \Lambda^2 \phi^+ = 0, \\ \partial_t \phi^- + v_1^- \Lambda^2 \phi^- = 0. \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

We see that Eqs. (2.2)₁ and (2.2)₂ are the standard parabolic equations with good stability. Thus, the onset of instabilities of system (1.28) comes from (2.1). Taking the Fourier transform to the system (2.1), one

has

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \widehat{\tilde{n}}^+ + \beta_1 |\xi| \widehat{\varphi}^+ = 0, \\ \partial_t \widehat{\varphi}^+ - \beta_1 |\xi| \widehat{\tilde{n}}^+ - \beta_2 |\xi| \widehat{\tilde{n}}^- + \mathbf{v}^+ |\xi|^2 \widehat{\varphi}^+ = 0, \\ \partial_t \widehat{\tilde{n}}^- + \beta_4 |\xi| \widehat{\varphi}^- = 0, \\ \partial_t \widehat{\varphi}^- - \beta_3 |\xi| \widehat{\tilde{n}}^+ - \beta_4 |\xi| \widehat{\tilde{n}}^- + \mathbf{v}^- |\xi|^2 \widehat{\varphi}^- = 0. \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

To construct a solution to the linearized equations (2.3) that has growing H^k -norm for any k , we shall make a growing normal mode ansatz of solutions, i.e.,

$$\widehat{\tilde{n}}^+ = e^{\lambda(|\xi|)t} \widehat{\tilde{n}}_0^+, \quad \widehat{\varphi}^+ = e^{\lambda(|\xi|)t} \widehat{\varphi}_0^+, \quad \widehat{\tilde{n}}^- = e^{\lambda(|\xi|)t} \widehat{\tilde{n}}_0^-, \quad \widehat{\varphi}^- = e^{\lambda(|\xi|)t} \widehat{\varphi}_0^-.$$

Substituting this ansatz into (2.3), one obtains the time-independent system

$$\begin{cases} \lambda \widehat{\tilde{n}}_0^+ + \beta_1 |\xi| \widehat{\varphi}_0^+ = 0, \\ \lambda \widehat{\varphi}_0^+ - \beta_1 |\xi| \widehat{\tilde{n}}_0^+ - \beta_2 |\xi| \widehat{\tilde{n}}_0^- + \mathbf{v}^+ |\xi|^2 \widehat{\varphi}_0^+ = 0, \\ \lambda \widehat{\tilde{n}}_0^- + \beta_4 |\xi| \widehat{\varphi}_0^- = 0, \\ \lambda \widehat{\varphi}_0^- - \beta_3 |\xi| \widehat{\tilde{n}}_0^+ - \beta_4 |\xi| \widehat{\tilde{n}}_0^- + \mathbf{v}^- |\xi|^2 \widehat{\varphi}_0^- = 0. \end{cases} \quad (2.4)$$

After a series of tedious but direct calculations, we can conclude from (2.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} & [\lambda^4 + (\mathbf{v}^+ |\xi|^2 + \mathbf{v}^- |\xi|^2) \lambda^3 + (\beta_1^2 |\xi|^2 + \beta_4^2 |\xi|^2 + \mathbf{v}^+ \mathbf{v}^- |\xi|^4) \lambda^2 \\ & + (\mathbf{v}^+ \beta_4^2 |\xi|^4 + \mathbf{v}^- \beta_1^2 |\xi|^4) \lambda + \beta_1^2 \beta_4^2 |\xi|^4 - \beta_1 \beta_2 \beta_3 \beta_4 |\xi|^4] \widehat{\varphi}_0^- = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

Therefore, the system (2.4) has non-zero solutions if the characteristic equation

$$\begin{aligned} F(\lambda) = & \lambda^4 + (\mathbf{v}^+ |\xi|^2 + \mathbf{v}^- |\xi|^2) \lambda^3 + (\beta_1^2 |\xi|^2 + \beta_4^2 |\xi|^2 + \mathbf{v}^+ \mathbf{v}^- |\xi|^4) \lambda^2 \\ & + (\mathbf{v}^+ \beta_4^2 |\xi|^4 + \mathbf{v}^- \beta_1^2 |\xi|^4) \lambda + \beta_1^2 \beta_4^2 |\xi|^4 - \beta_1 \beta_2 \beta_3 \beta_4 |\xi|^4 = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

has a real characteristic root.

Lemma 2.1. *There exists a positive constant $\eta_1 \gg 1$, such that for $|\xi| \geq \eta_1$, the characteristic equation (2.6) admits a real positive solution satisfying the following Taylor series expansion*

$$\lambda_1 = \theta + \mathcal{O}(|\xi|^{-1}). \quad (2.7)$$

Moreover, the following estimate holds

$$\lambda_1 < \theta \quad \text{for any } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^3. \quad (2.8)$$

Proof. Employing the similar argument of Taylor series expansion as in [15], then (2.7) follows from some tedious but direct calculations. It is noticed that $F(\lambda)$ is a strictly monotonically increasing function if $\lambda > 0$. Furthermore,

$$F(\theta) > \mathbf{v}^+ \mathbf{v}^- |\xi|^4 \theta^2 + (\mathbf{v}^+ \beta_4^2 |\xi|^4 + \mathbf{v}^- \beta_1^2 |\xi|^4) \theta + \beta_1^2 \beta_4^2 |\xi|^4 - \beta_1 \beta_2 \beta_3 \beta_4 |\xi|^4 = 0,$$

therefore (2.8) holds and the proof of lemma is completed. \square

Let $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_\xi^3)$ be a radial function satisfying $\phi(\xi) = 1$ when $\frac{3}{2}\eta \leq |\xi| \leq 3\eta$ and $\phi(\xi) = 0$ when $|\xi| \leq \eta$ and $|\xi| \geq 4\eta$. From (2.4), we set

$$\widehat{\tilde{n}}_0^+ = \phi(\xi), \quad \widehat{\varphi}_0^+ = -\frac{\lambda_1(|\xi|)}{\beta_1 |\xi|} \phi(\xi), \quad \widehat{\tilde{n}}_0^- = -\frac{\lambda_1^2(|\xi|) + \beta_1^2 |\xi|^2 + \mathbf{v}^+ \lambda_1(|\xi|) |\xi|^2}{\beta_1 \beta_2 |\xi|^2} \phi(\xi)$$

and

$$\widehat{\varphi}_0^- = \frac{\lambda_1^3(|\xi|) + \beta_1^2 \lambda_1(|\xi|) |\xi|^2 + \mathbf{v}^+ \lambda_1^2(|\xi|) |\xi|^2}{\beta_1 \beta_2 \beta_4 |\xi|^3} \phi(\xi).$$

Then, it is direct to check that $(\widehat{\tilde{n}}_0^+, \widehat{\varphi}_0^+, \widehat{\tilde{n}}_0^-, \widehat{\varphi}_0^-)$ is a solution of the system (2.4). Thus, we conclude the following proposition, which implies Theorem 1.1.

Proposition 2.2. Let

$$\tilde{n}^\pm = \mathfrak{F}^{-1} \left(e^{\lambda_1 t} \widehat{\tilde{n}_0^\pm} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{u}^\pm = -\Lambda^{-1} \nabla \mathfrak{F}^{-1} \left(e^{\lambda_1(|\xi|)t} \widehat{\tilde{\phi}_0^\pm} \right).$$

Then $(\tilde{n}^+, \tilde{u}^+, \tilde{n}^-, \tilde{u}^-)$ is a solution of (1.29) and satisfies

$$e^{(\theta-\vartheta)t} \|\tilde{n}_0^\pm\|_{L^2} \leq \|\tilde{n}^\pm(t)\|_{L^2} \leq e^{\theta t} \|\tilde{n}_0^\pm\|_{L^2} \quad \text{and} \quad e^{(\theta-\vartheta)t} \|\tilde{u}_0^\pm\|_{L^2} \leq \|\tilde{u}^\pm(t)\|_{L^2} \leq e^{\theta t} \|\tilde{u}_0^\pm\|_{L^2}, \quad (2.9)$$

if η_1 large enough.

Proof. Set $\phi^\pm \equiv 0$. As the definition of φ^\pm and ϕ^\pm , and the relation

$$\tilde{u}^\pm = -\Lambda^{-1} \nabla \varphi^\pm - \Lambda^{-1} \operatorname{div} \phi^\pm,$$

it is easy to prove that $(\tilde{n}^+, \tilde{u}^+, \tilde{n}^-, \tilde{u}^-)$ is a solution of (1.29). Moreover, in virtue of Plancherel theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{u}^\pm(t)\|_{L^2}^2 &= \|\widehat{\tilde{u}^\pm(t)}\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &= \int e^{2\lambda_1(|\xi|)t} |\widehat{\tilde{u}_0^\pm}|^2 d\xi \\ &= \int_{\eta \leq |\xi| \leq 4\eta} e^{2\lambda_1(|\xi|)t} |\widehat{\tilde{u}_0^\pm}|^2 d\xi \\ &\geq e^{2(\theta-\vartheta)t} \|\tilde{u}_0^\pm\|_{L^2}^2, \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

if η is large enough. Performing the similar procedures, we can prove $\|\tilde{u}^\pm(t)\|_{L^2} \leq e^{\theta t} \|\tilde{u}_0^\pm\|_{L^2}$ and $e^{(\theta-\vartheta)t} \|\tilde{n}_0^\pm\|_{L^2} \leq \|\tilde{n}^\pm(t)\|_{L^2} \leq e^{\theta t} \|\tilde{n}_0^\pm\|_{L^2}$. The proof of proposition is complete. \square

3. SPECTRAL ANALYSIS AND LINEAR L^2 -ESTIMATES

In this section, we are devoted to deriving the linear L^2 -estimates, by using a real method as in [15], one need to make a detailed analysis on the properties of the semigroup.

3.1. Spectral analysis for system (2.1). We consider the Cauchy problem of (2.1) with the initial data

$$(\tilde{n}^+, \varphi^+, \tilde{n}^-, \varphi^-)|_{t=0} = (n_0^+, \Lambda^{-1} \operatorname{div} \tilde{u}_0^+, n_0^-, \Lambda^{-1} \operatorname{div} \tilde{u}_0^-)(x) \quad (3.1)$$

In terms of the semigroup theory, we may represent the IVP (2.1) and (3.1) for $\mathcal{U} = (\tilde{n}^+, \varphi^+, \tilde{n}^-, \varphi^-)^t$ as

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{U}_t = \mathcal{B}_1 \mathcal{U}, \\ \mathcal{U}|_{t=0} = \mathcal{U}_0, \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

where the operator \mathcal{B}_1 is defined by

$$\mathcal{B}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\beta_1 \Lambda & 0 & 0 \\ \beta_1 \Lambda & -v^+ \Lambda^2 & \beta_2 \Lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\beta_4 \Lambda \\ \beta_3 \Lambda & 0 & \beta_4 \Lambda & -v^- \Lambda^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Taking the Fourier transform to the system (3.2), we obtain

$$\begin{cases} \widehat{\mathcal{U}}_t = \mathcal{A}_1(\xi) \widehat{\mathcal{U}}, \\ \widehat{\mathcal{U}}|_{t=0} = \widehat{\mathcal{U}}_0, \end{cases} \quad (3.3)$$

where $\widehat{\mathcal{U}}(\xi, t) = \mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{U}(x, t))$ and $\mathcal{A}_1(\xi)$ is given by

$$\mathcal{A}_1(\xi) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\beta_1 |\xi| & 0 & 0 \\ \beta_1 |\xi| & -v^+ |\xi|^2 & \beta_2 |\xi| & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\beta_4 |\xi| \\ \beta_3 |\xi| & 0 & \beta_4 |\xi| & -v^- |\xi|^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We compute the eigenvalues of the matrix $\mathcal{A}_1(\xi)$ from the determinant

$$\begin{aligned} \det(\lambda I - \mathcal{A}_1(\xi)) &= \lambda^4 + (v^+|\xi|^2 + v^-|\xi|^2)\lambda^3 + (\beta_1^2|\xi|^2 + \beta_4^2|\xi|^2 + v^+v^-|\xi|^4)\lambda^2 + (v^+\beta_4^2|\xi|^4 + v^-\beta_1^2|\xi|^4)\lambda \\ &\quad + \beta_1^2\beta_4^2|\xi|^4 - \beta_1\beta_2\beta_3\beta_4|\xi|^4 \\ &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

which is the same as characteristic equation (2.6) and implies that the matrix $\mathcal{A}_1(\xi)$ possesses four different eigenvalues:

$$\lambda_1 = \lambda_1(|\xi|), \quad \lambda_2 = \lambda_2(|\xi|), \quad \lambda_3 = \lambda_3(|\xi|), \quad \lambda_4 = \lambda_4(|\xi|).$$

Consequently, the semigroup $e^{t\mathcal{A}_1}$ can be decomposed into

$$e^{t\mathcal{A}_1(\xi)} = \sum_{i=1}^4 e^{\lambda_i t} P_i(\xi), \quad (3.5)$$

where the projector $P_i(\xi)$ is defined by

$$P_i(\xi) = \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{\mathcal{A}_1(\xi) - \lambda_j I}{\lambda_i - \lambda_j}, \quad i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4. \quad (3.6)$$

Thus, the solution of IVP (3.3) can be expressed as

$$\widehat{\mathcal{U}}(\xi, t) = e^{t\mathcal{A}_1(\xi)} \widehat{\mathcal{U}}_0(\xi) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^4 e^{\lambda_i t} P_i(\xi) \right) \widehat{\mathcal{U}}_0(\xi). \quad (3.7)$$

To derive long time properties of the semigroup $e^{t\mathcal{A}_1}$ in L^2 -framework, one need to analyze the asymptotical expansions of λ_i , P_i ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$) and $e^{t\mathcal{A}_1(\xi)}$. Employing the similar argument of Taylor series expansion as in [15], we have the following lemmas from tedious calculations.

Lemma 3.1. *There exists a positive constant $\eta_2 \ll 1$ such that, for $|\xi| \leq \eta_2$, the spectral has the following Taylor series expansion:*

$$\begin{cases} \lambda_1 = - \left[\frac{v^+ + v^-}{4} - \frac{v^+(\beta_1^2 - \beta_4^2) + v^-(\beta_4^2 - \beta_1^2)}{8\kappa_1} \right] |\xi|^2 + \sqrt{\kappa_1 - \kappa_2} |\xi| + \mathcal{O}(|\xi|^3), \\ \lambda_2 = - \left[\frac{v^+ + v^-}{4} - \frac{v^+(\beta_1^2 - \beta_4^2) + v^-(\beta_4^2 - \beta_1^2)}{8\kappa_1} \right] |\xi|^2 - \sqrt{\kappa_1 - \kappa_2} |\xi| + \mathcal{O}(|\xi|^3), \\ \lambda_3 = - \left[\frac{v^+ + v^-}{4} + \frac{v^+(\beta_1^2 - \beta_4^2) + v^-(\beta_4^2 - \beta_1^2)}{8\kappa_1} \right] |\xi|^2 + \sqrt{\kappa_2 + \kappa_1} i |\xi| + \mathcal{O}(|\xi|^3), \\ \lambda_4 = - \left[\frac{v^+ + v^-}{4} + \frac{v^+(\beta_1^2 - \beta_4^2) + v^-(\beta_4^2 - \beta_1^2)}{8\kappa_1} \right] |\xi|^2 - \sqrt{\kappa_2 + \kappa_1} i |\xi| + \mathcal{O}(|\xi|^3), \end{cases} \quad (3.8)$$

where $\kappa_1 = \sqrt{\frac{(\beta_1^2 - \beta_4^2)^2}{4} + \beta_1\beta_2\beta_3\beta_4}$ and $\kappa_2 = \frac{\beta_1^2 + \beta_4^2}{2}$.

For $|\xi| \leq \eta_2$, from Lemma 3.1, a direct computation gives

$$P_1(\xi) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2\kappa_1 + \beta_4^2 - \beta_1^2}{8\kappa_1} & \frac{\beta_1(2\kappa_1 + \beta_4^2 - \beta_1^2)}{8\kappa_1 \sqrt{\kappa_1 - \kappa_2}} & \frac{-\beta_1\beta_2}{4\kappa_1} & \frac{-\beta_1\beta_2\beta_4}{4\kappa_1 \sqrt{\kappa_1 - \kappa_2}} \\ \frac{\beta_1(\beta_1^2 - \beta_4^2 - 2\kappa_1) + 2\beta_2\beta_3\beta_4}{8\kappa_1 \sqrt{\kappa_1 - \kappa_2}} & \frac{2\kappa_1 + \beta_4^2 - \beta_1^2}{8\kappa_1} & -\frac{\beta_2 \sqrt{\kappa_1 - \kappa_2}}{4\kappa_1} & \frac{-\beta_2\beta_4}{4\kappa_1} \\ \frac{-\beta_3\beta_4}{4\kappa_1} & \frac{-\beta_1\beta_3\beta_4}{4\kappa_1 \sqrt{\kappa_1 - \kappa_2}} & \frac{2\kappa_1 + \beta_1^2 - \beta_4^2}{8\kappa_1} & \frac{\beta_4(2\kappa_1 + \beta_1^2 - \beta_4^2)}{8\kappa_1 \sqrt{\kappa_1 - \kappa_2}} \\ -\frac{\beta_3 \sqrt{\kappa_1 - \kappa_2}}{4\kappa_1} & \frac{-\beta_1\beta_3}{4\kappa_1} & \frac{\beta_4(\beta_4^2 - \beta_1^2 - 2\kappa_1) + 2\beta_1\beta_2\beta_3}{8\kappa_1 \sqrt{\kappa_1 - \kappa_2}} & \frac{2\kappa_1 + \beta_1^2 - \beta_4^2}{8\kappa_1} \end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{O}(|\xi|), \quad (3.9)$$

$$P_2(\xi) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2\kappa_1 + \beta_4^2 - \beta_1^2}{8\kappa_1} & \frac{-\beta_1(2\kappa_1 + \beta_4^2 - \beta_1^2)}{8\kappa_1 \sqrt{\kappa_1 - \kappa_2}} & \frac{-\beta_1 \beta_2}{4\kappa_1} & \frac{\beta_1 \beta_2 \beta_4}{4\kappa_1 \sqrt{\kappa_1 - \kappa_2}} \\ -\frac{\beta_1(\beta_1^2 - \beta_4^2 - 2\kappa_1) + 2\beta_2 \beta_3 \beta_4}{8\kappa_1 \sqrt{\kappa_1 - \kappa_2}} & \frac{2\kappa_1 + \beta_4^2 - \beta_1^2}{8\kappa_1} & \frac{\beta_2 \sqrt{\kappa_1 - \kappa_2}}{4\kappa_1} & \frac{-\beta_2 \beta_4}{4\kappa_1} \\ \frac{-\beta_3 \beta_4}{4\kappa_1} & \frac{\beta_1 \beta_3 \beta_4}{4\kappa_1 \sqrt{\kappa_1 - \kappa_2}} & \frac{2\kappa_1 + \beta_1^2 - \beta_4^2}{8\kappa_1} & -\frac{\beta_4(2\kappa_1 + \beta_1^2 - \beta_4^2)}{8\kappa_1 \sqrt{\kappa_1 - \kappa_2}} \\ \frac{\beta_3 \sqrt{\kappa_1 - \kappa_2}}{4\kappa_1} & \frac{-\beta_1 \beta_3}{4\kappa_1} & -\frac{\beta_4(\beta_4^2 - \beta_1^2 - 2\kappa_1) + 2\beta_1 \beta_2 \beta_3}{8\kappa_1 \sqrt{\kappa_1 - \kappa_2}} & \frac{2\kappa_1 + \beta_1^2 - \beta_4^2}{8\kappa_1} \end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{O}(|\xi|), \quad (3.10)$$

$$P_3(\xi) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2\kappa_1 + \beta_1^2 - \beta_4^2}{8\kappa_1} & \frac{\beta_1(2\kappa_1 + \beta_1^2 - \beta_4^2)}{8\kappa_1 \sqrt{\kappa_2 + \kappa_1}} i & \frac{\beta_1 \beta_2}{4\kappa_1} & \frac{\beta_1 \beta_2 \beta_4}{4\kappa_1 \sqrt{\kappa_2 + \kappa_1}} i \\ -\frac{\beta_1(\beta_1^2 - \beta_4^2 + 2\kappa_1) + 2\beta_2 \beta_3 \beta_4}{8\kappa_1 \sqrt{\kappa_2 + \kappa_1}} i & \frac{2\kappa_1 + \beta_1^2 - \beta_4^2}{8\kappa_1} & -\frac{\beta_2 \sqrt{\kappa_2 + \kappa_1}}{4\kappa_1} i & \frac{\beta_2 \beta_4}{4\kappa_1} \\ \frac{\beta_3 \beta_4}{4\kappa_1} & \frac{\beta_1 \beta_3 \beta_4}{4\kappa_1 \sqrt{\kappa_2 + \kappa_1}} i & \frac{2\kappa_1 + \beta_4^2 - \beta_1^2}{8\kappa_1} & \frac{\beta_4(2\kappa_1 + \beta_4^2 - \beta_1^2)}{8\kappa_1 \sqrt{\kappa_2 + \kappa_1}} i \\ -\frac{\beta_3 \sqrt{\kappa_2 + \kappa_1}}{4\kappa_1} i & \frac{\beta_1 \beta_3}{4\kappa_1} & -\frac{\beta_4(\beta_4^2 - \beta_1^2 + 2\kappa_1) + 2\beta_1 \beta_2 \beta_3}{8\kappa_1 \sqrt{\kappa_2 + \kappa_1}} i & \frac{2\kappa_1 + \beta_4^2 - \beta_1^2}{8\kappa_1} \end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{O}(|\xi|), \quad (3.11)$$

and

$$P_4(\xi) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2\kappa_1 + \beta_1^2 - \beta_4^2}{8\kappa_1} & \frac{-\beta_1(2\kappa_1 + \beta_1^2 - \beta_4^2)}{8\kappa_1 \sqrt{\kappa_2 + \kappa_1}} i & \frac{\beta_1 \beta_2}{4\kappa_1} & -\frac{\beta_1 \beta_2 \beta_4}{4\kappa_1 \sqrt{\kappa_2 + \kappa_1}} i \\ \frac{\beta_1(\beta_1^2 - \beta_4^2 + 2\kappa_1) + 2\beta_2 \beta_3 \beta_4}{8\kappa_1 \sqrt{\kappa_2 + \kappa_1}} i & \frac{2\kappa_1 + \beta_1^2 - \beta_4^2}{8\kappa_1} & \frac{\beta_2 \sqrt{\kappa_2 + \kappa_1}}{4\kappa_1} i & \frac{\beta_2 \beta_4}{4\kappa_1} \\ \frac{\beta_3 \beta_4}{4\kappa_1} & -\frac{\beta_1 \beta_3 \beta_4}{4\kappa_1 \sqrt{\kappa_2 + \kappa_1}} i & \frac{2\kappa_1 + \beta_4^2 - \beta_1^2}{8\kappa_1} & -\frac{\beta_4(2\kappa_1 + \beta_4^2 - \beta_1^2)}{8\kappa_1 \sqrt{\kappa_2 + \kappa_1}} i \\ \frac{\beta_3 \sqrt{\kappa_2 + \kappa_1}}{4\kappa_1} i & \frac{\beta_1 \beta_3}{4\kappa_1} & \frac{\beta_4(\beta_4^2 - \beta_1^2 + 2\kappa_1) + 2\beta_1 \beta_2 \beta_3}{8\kappa_1 \sqrt{\kappa_2 + \kappa_1}} i & \frac{2\kappa_1 + \beta_4^2 - \beta_1^2}{8\kappa_1} \end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{O}(|\xi|), \quad (3.12)$$

Lemma 3.2. For $\eta_2 \leq |\xi| \leq \eta_1$, there exists a positive constant C such that

$$\operatorname{Re}(\lambda_i) \leq \theta \quad \text{and} \quad |P_i| \leq C, \quad (3.13)$$

for $1 \leq i \leq 4$.

Lemma 3.3. There exists a positive constants $\eta_1 \gg 1$ such that, for $|\xi| \geq \eta_1$, the spectral has the following Taylor series expansion:

$$\begin{cases} \lambda_1 = \theta + \mathcal{O}(|\xi|^{-1}), \\ \lambda_2 = \frac{-(v^+ \beta_4^2 + v^- \beta_1^2) - \kappa_3}{2v^+ v^-} + \mathcal{O}(|\xi|^{-1}), \\ \lambda_3 = -v^+ |\xi|^2 + \frac{\beta_1^2}{v^+} + \mathcal{O}(|\xi|^{-1}), \\ \lambda_4 = -v^- |\xi|^2 + \frac{\beta_4^2}{v^-} + \mathcal{O}(|\xi|^{-1}), \end{cases} \quad (3.14)$$

where $\kappa_3 = \sqrt{(v^+ \beta_4^2 + v^- \beta_1^2)^2 + 4v^+ v^- (\beta_1 \beta_2 \beta_3 \beta_4 - \beta_1^2 \beta_4^2)}$.

For $|\xi| \geq \eta_1$, from Lemma 3.3, a direct computation gives

$$P_1(\xi) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{v^+ \beta_4^2 - v^- \beta_1^2 + \kappa_3}{2\kappa_3} & 0 & \frac{-\beta_1 \beta_2 v^-}{\kappa_3} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{-\beta_3 \beta_4 v^+}{\kappa_3} & 0 & \frac{v^- \beta_1^2 - v^+ \beta_4^2 + \kappa_3}{2\kappa_3} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{O}(|\xi|^{-1}), \quad (3.15)$$

$$P_2(\xi) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{v^- \beta_1^2 - v^+ \beta_4^2 + \kappa_3}{2\kappa_3} & 0 & \frac{\beta_1 \beta_2 v^-}{\kappa_3} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{\beta_3 \beta_4 v^+}{\kappa_3} & 0 & \frac{v^+ \beta_4^2 - v^- \beta_1^2 + \kappa_3}{2\kappa_3} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{O}(|\xi|), \quad (3.16)$$

$$P_3(\xi) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{O}(|\xi|), \quad (3.17)$$

and

$$P_4(\xi) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{O}(|\xi|). \quad (3.18)$$

With the help of Lemmas 3.1–3.3, we can have the following proposition which is concerned with long time properties of L^2 -norm for the solution.

Proposition 3.4 (L^2 -theory). It holds that

$$\|e^{t\mathcal{B}_1}\mathcal{U}(0)\|_{L^2} \lesssim e^{\theta t}\|\mathcal{U}(0)\|_{L^2}, \quad (3.19)$$

for any $t \geq 0$.

3.2. Spectral analysis for system (2.2). We consider the Cauchy problem of (2.2) with the initial data

$$(\phi^+, \phi^-)|_{t=0} = (\Lambda^{-1}\text{curl}\tilde{u}_0^+, \Lambda^{-1}\text{curl}\tilde{u}_0^-)(x). \quad (3.20)$$

From the classic theory of the heat equation, it is clear that the solution $\mathcal{V} = (\phi^+, \phi^-)^t$ to the IVP (2.2) and (3.20) satisfies the following decay estimates.

Proposition 3.5 (L^2 -theory). It holds that

$$\|e^{-v^\pm t\Lambda^2}\mathcal{V}(0)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|\mathcal{V}(0)\|_{L^2},$$

for any $t \geq 0$.

We consider the Cauchy problem of (1.28) with the initial data

$$(\tilde{n}^+, \tilde{u}^+, n^-, \tilde{u}^-)(x, 0) = (n_0^+, \tilde{u}_0^+, n_0^-, \tilde{u}_0^-)(x) \rightarrow (0, \vec{0}, 0, \vec{0}), \quad \text{as } |x| \rightarrow +\infty, \quad (3.21)$$

By virtue of the definition of φ^\pm and ϕ^\pm , and the fact that the relations

$$\tilde{u}^\pm = -\Lambda^{-1}\nabla\varphi^\pm - \Lambda^{-1}\text{div}\phi^\pm,$$

involve pseudo-differential operators of degree zero, the estimates in space $H^k(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for the original function \tilde{u}^\pm will be the same as for (φ^\pm, ϕ^\pm) . Combining Propositions 3.4 and 3.5, we have the following result concerning long time properties for the solution semigroup $e^{t\mathcal{A}}$.

Proposition 3.6. The global solution $\tilde{U} = (\tilde{n}^+, \tilde{u}^+, \tilde{n}^-, \tilde{u}^-)^t$ of the IVP (1.28) and (3.21) satisfies

$$\|e^{t\mathcal{A}}\tilde{U}(0)\|_{L^2} \lesssim e^{\theta t}\|\tilde{U}(0)\|_{L^2}. \quad (3.22)$$

4. NONLINEAR INSTABILITY

We mention that the local existence of strong solutions to a generic compressible two-fluid model can be established by using the standard iteration arguments as in [20] whose details are omitted. We can arrive at the following conclusion:

Proposition 4.1. Assume that the notations and hypotheses in Theorem 1.3 are in force. For any given initial data $(n_0^+, u_0^+, n_0^-, u_0^-) \in H^4(\mathbb{R}^3)$ satisfying $\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^3} \{n_0^\pm + 1\} > 0$, there exist a $T > 0$ and a unique strong solution $(n^+, u^+, n^-, u^-) \in C^0([0, T]; H^4(\mathbb{R}^3))$ to the Cauchy problem (1.26)–(1.27). Moreover, the strong solution satisfies

$$\mathcal{E}(t) \leq C(T)\mathcal{E}(0),$$

where $\mathcal{E}(t) = \|(n^+, u^+, n^-, u^-)(t)\|_{H^4}$.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Now we are in a position to prove Theorem 1.3 by adopting the basic ideas in [8, 10–12, 19]. In view of Theorem 1.1, we can construct a linear solution $(\tilde{n}_\vartheta^+, \tilde{u}_\vartheta^+, \tilde{n}_\vartheta^-, \tilde{u}_\vartheta^-) \in C^0([0, \infty); H^4(\mathbb{R}^3))$ to the linear system (1.28). Moreover, without loss of generality, we suppose that

$$\mathcal{E}(\tilde{n}_{0,\vartheta}^+, \tilde{u}_{0,\vartheta}^+, \tilde{n}_{0,\vartheta}^-, \tilde{u}_{0,\vartheta}^-) = \left\| (\tilde{n}_{0,\vartheta}^+, \tilde{u}_{0,\vartheta}^+, \tilde{n}_{0,\vartheta}^-, \tilde{u}_{0,\vartheta}^-) \right\|_{H^4} = 1.$$

Denote $(n_{0,\vartheta}^{+,\varepsilon}, u_{0,\vartheta}^{+,\varepsilon}, n_{0,\vartheta}^{-,\varepsilon}, u_{0,\vartheta}^{-,\varepsilon}) \triangleq \varepsilon (\tilde{n}_{0,\vartheta}^+, \tilde{u}_{0,\vartheta}^+, \tilde{n}_{0,\vartheta}^-, \tilde{u}_{0,\vartheta}^-)$. Then, by virtue of Proposition 4.1, there is a positive constant ε_0 which may be quite small such that for any $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$, there is a unique local strong solution $(n_\vartheta^{+,\varepsilon}, u_\vartheta^{+,\varepsilon}, n_\vartheta^{-,\varepsilon}, u_\vartheta^{-,\varepsilon}) \in C^0([0, T]; H^4(\mathbb{R}^3))$ to the Cauchy problem (1.26)–(1.27), emanating from the initial data $(n_{0,\vartheta}^{+,\varepsilon}, u_{0,\vartheta}^{+,\varepsilon}, n_{0,\vartheta}^{-,\varepsilon}, u_{0,\vartheta}^{-,\varepsilon})$ with $\mathcal{E}(n_{0,\vartheta}^{+,\varepsilon}, u_{0,\vartheta}^{+,\varepsilon}, n_{0,\vartheta}^{-,\varepsilon}, u_{0,\vartheta}^{-,\varepsilon}) = \varepsilon$.

We fix $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ which may be small enough, then for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$. Define

$$T^* = \sup \left\{ t \in (0, T^{\max}) \mid \sup_{\tau \in [0, t]} \mathcal{E} \left((n_\vartheta^{+,\varepsilon}, u_\vartheta^{+,\varepsilon}, n_\vartheta^{-,\varepsilon}, u_\vartheta^{-,\varepsilon})(\tau) \right) \leq \varepsilon_0 \right\}$$

and

$$T^{**} = \sup \left\{ t \in (0, T^{\max}) \mid \sup_{\tau \in [0, t]} \left\| (n_\vartheta^{+,\varepsilon}, u_\vartheta^{+,\varepsilon}, n_\vartheta^{-,\varepsilon}, u_\vartheta^{-,\varepsilon})(\tau) \right\|_{L^2} \leq \varepsilon \varepsilon_0^{-\frac{1}{3}} e^{\theta \tau} \right\}$$

where T^{\max} denotes the maximal time of existence. Obviously, $T^* T^{**} > 0$, and furthermore,

$$\mathcal{E} \left((n_\vartheta^{+,\varepsilon}, u_\vartheta^{+,\varepsilon}, n_\vartheta^{-,\varepsilon}, u_\vartheta^{-,\varepsilon})(T^*) \right) = \varepsilon_0 \quad \text{if } T^* < \infty,$$

and

$$\left\| (n_\vartheta^{+,\varepsilon}, u_\vartheta^{+,\varepsilon}, n_\vartheta^{-,\varepsilon}, u_\vartheta^{-,\varepsilon})(T^{**}) \right\|_{L^2} = \varepsilon \varepsilon_0^{-\frac{1}{3}} e^{\theta T^{**}} \quad \text{if } T^{**} < \infty. \quad (4.1)$$

Assume $T^* = \infty$, otherwise let $T^\varepsilon = T^*$ and $\delta_0 = \varepsilon_0$, we can prove Theorem 1.3 immediately. Let

$$T^\varepsilon = \frac{1}{\theta} \ln \frac{2\varepsilon_0}{\varepsilon} \quad (\text{i.e., } \varepsilon e^{\theta T^\varepsilon} = 2\varepsilon_0) \quad \text{and} \quad \vartheta = \frac{1}{T^\varepsilon}. \quad (4.2)$$

Set $(n_d^+, u_d^+, n_d^-, u_d^-) = (n_\vartheta^{+,\varepsilon}, u_\vartheta^{+,\varepsilon}, n_\vartheta^{-,\varepsilon}, u_\vartheta^{-,\varepsilon}) - \varepsilon(\tilde{n}_\vartheta^+, \tilde{u}_\vartheta^+, \tilde{n}_\vartheta^-, \tilde{u}_\vartheta^-)$. Noticing that

$$(n_l^+, u_l^+, n_l^-, u_l^-) = \varepsilon(\tilde{n}_\vartheta^+, \tilde{u}_\vartheta^+, \tilde{n}_\vartheta^-, \tilde{u}_\vartheta^-)$$

is also a solution to the linear system (1.28) with the initial data $(n_{0,\vartheta}^{+,\varepsilon}, u_{0,\vartheta}^{+,\varepsilon}, n_{0,\vartheta}^{-,\varepsilon}, u_{0,\vartheta}^{-,\varepsilon}) \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$, it is clear that $(n_d^+, u_d^+, n_d^-, u_d^-)$ is a solution to the system

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t n_d^+ + \beta_1 \operatorname{div} u_d^+ = \mathbb{F}_1, \\ \partial_t u_d^+ + \beta_1 \nabla n_d^+ + \beta_2 \nabla n_d^- - v_1^+ \Delta u_d^+ - v_2^+ \nabla \operatorname{div} u_d^+ = \mathbb{F}_2, \\ \partial_t n_d^- + \beta_4 \operatorname{div} u_d^- = \mathbb{F}_3, \\ \partial_t u_d^- + \beta_3 \nabla n_d^+ + \beta_4 \nabla n_d^- - v_1^- \Delta u_d^- - v_2^- \nabla \operatorname{div} u_d^- = \mathbb{F}_4, \end{cases} \quad (4.3)$$

subject to the initial condition

$$(n_d^+, u_d^+, n_d^-, u_d^-)(x, 0) = 0, \quad (4.4)$$

where the nonlinear terms are given by

$$\mathbb{F}_1 = \alpha_1 F_1 \left(\frac{n_\vartheta^{+, \varepsilon}}{\alpha_1}, \frac{u_\vartheta^{+, \varepsilon}}{\sqrt{\alpha_1}} \right), \quad \mathbb{F}_2 = \sqrt{\alpha_1} F_2 \left(\frac{n_\vartheta^{+, \varepsilon}}{\alpha_1}, \frac{u_\vartheta^{+, \varepsilon}}{\sqrt{\alpha_1}}, \frac{n_\vartheta^{+, \varepsilon}}{\alpha_4}, \frac{u_\vartheta^{+, \varepsilon}}{\sqrt{\alpha_4}} \right),$$

and

$$\mathbb{F}_3 = \alpha_4 F_3 \left(\frac{n_\vartheta^{+, \varepsilon}}{\alpha_4}, \frac{u_\vartheta^{+, \varepsilon}}{\sqrt{\alpha_4}} \right), \quad \mathbb{F}_4 = \sqrt{\alpha_4} F_4 \left(\frac{n_\vartheta^{+, \varepsilon}}{\alpha_1}, \frac{u_\vartheta^{+, \varepsilon}}{\sqrt{\alpha_1}}, \frac{n_\vartheta^{+, \varepsilon}}{\alpha_4}, \frac{u_\vartheta^{+, \varepsilon}}{\sqrt{\alpha_4}} \right).$$

Now, we claim that

$$T^\varepsilon = \min\{T^\varepsilon, T^{**}\}, \quad (4.5)$$

provided that ε_0 is small enough. Indeed, if $T^{**} = \min\{T^\varepsilon, T^{**}\}$, then $T^{**} < \infty$. By defining $U = (n_d^+, u_d^+, n_d^-, u_d^-)^t$ and $\mathcal{F} = (\mathcal{F}^1, \mathcal{F}^2, \mathcal{F}^3, \mathcal{F}^4)^t$, it holds from Duhamel's principle that

$$U = \int_0^t e^{(t-\tau)\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{F}(\tau) d\tau.$$

By virtue of Proposition 3.6 and (4.2), we have after a complicated but straightforward computation that

$$\begin{aligned} \|U(T^{**})\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \int_0^{T^{**}} \left\| e^{(t-\tau)\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{F}(\tau) \right\|_{L^2} d\tau \\ &\lesssim \int_0^{T^{**}} e^{\theta(t-\tau)} \|\mathcal{F}(\tau)\|_{L^2} d\tau \\ &\lesssim \int_0^{T^{**}} e^{\theta(t-\tau)} \left(\left\| \begin{pmatrix} n_\vartheta^{+, \varepsilon}, u_\vartheta^{+, \varepsilon}, n_\vartheta^{-, \varepsilon}, u_\vartheta^{-, \varepsilon} \end{pmatrix}(\tau) \right\|_{L^2} \left\| \nabla \begin{pmatrix} n_\vartheta^{+, \varepsilon}, u_\vartheta^{+, \varepsilon}, n_\vartheta^{-, \varepsilon}, u_\vartheta^{-, \varepsilon} \end{pmatrix}(\tau) \right\|_{W^{1, \infty}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left\| \nabla \begin{pmatrix} n_\vartheta^{+, \varepsilon}, u_\vartheta^{+, \varepsilon}, n_\vartheta^{-, \varepsilon}, u_\vartheta^{-, \varepsilon} \end{pmatrix}(\tau) \right\|_{L^4}^2 \right) d\tau \\ &\lesssim \int_0^{T^{**}} e^{\theta(t-\tau)} \varepsilon \varepsilon_0^{-\frac{1}{3}} e^{\theta\tau} \left(\left(\varepsilon \varepsilon_0^{-\frac{1}{3}} e^{\theta\tau} \right)^{\frac{1}{6}} \varepsilon_0^{\frac{5}{6}} + \left(\varepsilon \varepsilon_0^{-\frac{1}{3}} e^{\theta\tau} \right)^{\frac{1}{8}} \varepsilon_0^{\frac{7}{8}} \right) d\tau \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon \varepsilon_0^{-\frac{1}{3}} e^{\theta T^{**}} \left(\left(\varepsilon e^{\theta T^{**}} \right)^{\frac{1}{6}} \varepsilon_0^{\frac{7}{6}} + \left(\varepsilon e^{\theta T^{**}} \right)^{\frac{1}{8}} \varepsilon_0^{\frac{5}{8}} \right) \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon_0^{\frac{17}{18}} \left(\varepsilon \varepsilon_0^{-\frac{1}{3}} e^{\theta T^{**}} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

where, by Hölder's inequality and Sobolev's inequality, we used the facts

$$\|\nabla f\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{6}} \|\nabla^3 f\|_{L^2}^{\frac{5}{6}},$$

$$\|\nabla^2 f\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{8}} \|\nabla^4 f\|_{L^2}^{\frac{7}{8}}$$

and

$$\|\nabla f\|_{L^4} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^2}^{\frac{9}{16}} \|\nabla^4 f\|_{L^2}^{\frac{7}{16}}.$$

If ε_0 is small enough, by Proposition 2.2 and (4.6), we see that

$$\left\| \begin{pmatrix} n_\vartheta^{+, \varepsilon}, u_\vartheta^{+, \varepsilon}, n_\vartheta^{-, \varepsilon}, u_\vartheta^{-, \varepsilon} \end{pmatrix}(T^{**}) \right\|_{L^2} \leq C \left(\varepsilon e^{\theta T^{**}} + \varepsilon_0^{\frac{17}{18}} \left(\varepsilon \varepsilon_0^{-\frac{1}{3}} e^{\theta T^{**}} \right) \right) < \varepsilon \varepsilon_0^{-\frac{1}{3}} e^{\theta T^{**}},$$

which contradicts with (4.1).

Finally, performing the similar procedure as in (4.6) and using Proposition 2.2, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| \left(n_{\vartheta}^{+, \varepsilon}, u_{\vartheta}^{+, \varepsilon}, n_{\vartheta}^{-, \varepsilon}, u_{\vartheta}^{-, \varepsilon} \right) (T^{\varepsilon}) \right\|_{L^2} \\
& \geq e^{(\theta - \vartheta)T^{\varepsilon}} \varepsilon \left\| \left(\tilde{n}_{0, \vartheta}^{+}, \tilde{u}_{0, \vartheta}^{+}, \tilde{n}_{0, \vartheta}^{-}, \tilde{u}_{0, \vartheta}^{-} \right) \right\|_{L^2} - C\varepsilon_0^{\frac{17}{18}} \left(\varepsilon \varepsilon_0^{-\frac{1}{3}} e^{\theta T^{\varepsilon}} \right) \\
& \geq \frac{2\varepsilon_0 m_0}{e} - C\varepsilon_0^{\frac{29}{18}} \\
& \geq \frac{\varepsilon_0 m_0}{e},
\end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

if ε_0 is small enough, where $m_0 = \left\| \left(\tilde{n}_{0, \vartheta}^{+}, \tilde{u}_{0, \vartheta}^{+}, \tilde{n}_{0, \vartheta}^{-}, \tilde{u}_{0, \vartheta}^{-} \right) \right\|_{L^2}$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.3 by defining $\delta_0 = \min \left\{ \varepsilon_0, \frac{\varepsilon_0 m_0}{e} \right\}$. \square

Statement: No conflict of interest exists in the submission of this manuscript, and the datasets generated during and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Yinghui Zhang's research is partially supported by Guangxi Natural Science Foundation #2019JJG110003, #2019AC20214 and Key Laboratory of Mathematical and Statistical Model (Guangxi Normal University), Education Department of Guangxi Zhuang Autonomous Region. Lei Yao's research is partially supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China #12171390, #11931013, #11571280 and Natural Science Basic Research Plan for Distinguished Young Scholars in Shaanxi Province of China (Grant No. 2019JC-26).

REFERENCES

- [1] C.E. Brennen, *Fundamentals of Multiphase Flow*, Cambridge University Press, New York, 2005.
- [2] D. Bresch, B. Desjardins, J.-M. Ghidaglia, E. Grenier, Global weak solutions to a generic two-fluid model, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* 196(2010) 599–6293.
- [3] D. Bresch, X.D. Huang, J. Li, Global weak solutions to one-dimensional non-conservative viscous compressible two-phase system, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 309(2012) 737–755.
- [4] H.B. Cui, W.J. Wang, L. Yao, C.J. Zhu, Decay rates of a nonconservative compressible generic two-fluid model, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 48 (2016) 470–512.
- [5] R. Danchin, Global existence in critical spaces for compressible Navier–Stokes equations, *Invent. Math.* 141 (2000) 579–614.
- [6] S. Evje, W.J. Wang, H.Y. Wen, Global well-posedness and decay rates of strong solutions to a non-conservative compressible two-fluid model, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* 221(2016) 2352–2386.
- [7] H.A. Friis, S. Evje, T. Flåtten, A numerical study of characteristic slow-transient behavior of a compressible 2D gas–liquid two-fluid model, *Adv. Appl. Math. Mech.* 1 (2009) 166–200.
- [8] Y. Guo, W. Strauss, Instability of periodic BGK equilibria, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 48 (1995) 861–894.
- [9] M. Ishii, *Thermo-Fluid Dynamic Theory of Two-Phase Flow*, Eyrolles, Paris, 1975.
- [10] J. Jang, I. Tice, Instability theory of the Navier–Stokes–Poisson equations, *Analysis & PDE* 6 (2013) 1121–1181.
- [11] F. Jiang, S. Jiang, On instability and stability of three-dimensional gravity driven viscous flows in a bounded domain, *Adv. Math.* 264 (2014) 831–863.
- [12] F. Jiang, S. Jiang, Y. Wang, On the Rayleigh–Taylor instability for the incompressible viscous magnetohydrodynamic equations, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* 39 (2014) 399–438.
- [13] R. Kowalczyk, A. Gamba, L. Preziosi, On the stability of homogeneous solutions to some aggregation models, *Discrete Cont. Dyn.-B* 4 (2004) 203–220.

- [14] Y. Li, H.Q. Wang, G.C. Wu, Y.H. Zhang, Global existence and decay rates for a generic compressible two-fluid model. arXiv:2108.06974.
- [15] A. Matsumura, T. Nishida, The initial value problem for the equations of motion of compressible viscous and heat conductive fluids, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A 55 (1979), 337–342.
- [16] A. Prosperetti, G. Tryggvason, Computational methods for multiphase flow. Cambridge University Press, 2007.
- [17] K. R. Rajagopal, L. Tao, Mechanics of mixtures, Series on Advances in Mathematics for Applied Sciences, Vol. 35, World Scientific, 1995.
- [18] G.C. Wu, L. Yao, Y.H. Zhang, Global well-posedness and large time behavior of classical solutions to a generic compressible two-fluid model. arXiv:2204.10706.
- [19] Y. Wang, I. Tice, The viscous surface-internal wave problem: nonlinear Rayleigh-Taylor instability, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 37 (2012) 1967–2028.
- [20] H.Y. Wen, L. Yao, C.J. Zhu, A blow-up criterion of strong solution to a 3D viscous liquid-gas two-phase flow model with vacuum, J. Math. Pures Appl. 97 (2012) 204–229.

GUOCHUN WU

FUJIAN PROVINCE UNIVERSITY KEY LABORATORY OF COMPUTATIONAL SCIENCE, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, HUAQIAO UNIVERSITY, QUANZHOU 362021, P.R. CHINA.

Email address: guochunwu@126.com

LEI YAO

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, NORTHWESTERN POLYTECHNICAL UNIVERSITY, XI'AN 710129, P.R. CHINA.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND CENTER FOR NONLINEAR STUDIES, NORTHWEST UNIVERSITY, XI'AN 710127, P.R. CHINA.

Email address: yaolei1056@hotmail.com

YINGHUI ZHANG

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, GUANGXI NORMAL UNIVERSITY, GUILIN, GUANGXI 541004, P.R. CHINA

Email address: yinghui Zhang@mailbox.gxnu.edu.cn