

SKEW-TYPE SYMPLECTIC/ORTHOGONAL SCHUR FUNCTIONS

NAIHUAN JING, ZHIJUN LI[†], AND DANXIA WANG

ABSTRACT. We introduce and study skew symplectic and orthogonal Schur functions via vertex operators. This has lead to a natural representation of these skew-type symmetric functions in terms of general branching rules. Jacobi-Trudi identities and Gelfand-Tsetlin patterns for skew symplectic/orthogonal Schur functions are also provided, which can be seen as their basic combinatorial formulas. In addition, the vertex operator approach has also given Cauchy-type identities for these two skew functions. In fact, we show that vertex operator representations are not only a key tool to study symmetric functions but also give unified realizations for skew symmetric functions of type A, C and D.

1. INTRODUCTION

For a partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n)$, Schur functions, symplectic Schur functions and orthogonal Schur functions in finitely many variables are respectively defined by [18, 19, 23, 24]

$$(1.1) \quad s_\lambda(x) = \frac{\det \left(x_i^{\lambda_j + n - j} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}}{\det \left(x_i^{n - j} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}},$$

$$(1.2) \quad sp_\lambda(x^\pm) = \frac{\det(x_i^{\lambda_j + (n-j+1)} - x_i^{-\lambda_j - (n-j+1)})_{i,j=1}^n}{\det(x_i^{n-j+1} - x_i^{-(n-j+1)})_{i,j=1}^n},$$

$$(1.3) \quad o_\lambda(x^\pm) = 2(-1)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \frac{\det(x_i^{-\lambda_j + j - n} + \delta_{\lambda_n \neq 0} \delta_{j \neq n} x_i^{\lambda_j - j + n})_{i,j=1}^n}{\det(x_i^{-j+1} + x_i^{j-1})_{i,j=1}^n},$$

where $\delta_{a \neq b}$ equals 1 except for $a = b$ it is 0. They appear in various contexts of mathematics, especially in representation theory of classical Lie groups (general linear groups, symplectic groups and even orthogonal groups) as characters of finite-dimensional irreducible representations [13, 17, 24, 25]. They are also studied in generalized vertex algebras and q-characters [4, 16]. Since the introduction of Schur

MSC (2010): Primary: 05E05; Secondary: 17B37.

Keywords: Skew orthogonal/symplectic Schur functions, Jacobi-Trudi identity, Gelfand-Tsetlin patterns, Vertex operators.

[†] Corresponding author: zhijun1010@163.com

Supported by Simons Foundation (grant no. 523868), NSFC (grant nos. 12171303, 12101231) and NSF of Huzhou (grant no. 2022YZ47).

symplectic/orthogonal symmetric functions, there is a natural question on how to introduce the skew versions for these symmetric functions. Note that the family of symplectic Schur or orthogonal Schur functions does not generate a complete basis in the ring of symmetric functions, the classical method of using adjoint operation would not work for the definition of the skew orthogonal/symplectic Schur functions. The current available combinatorial method of constructing skew symmetric functions does not immediately shows that the skew version obeys the general branching rule (cf.(1.4) and [1]).

Let us explain our idea to construct the skew symplectic and/or skew orthogonal Schur functions. Our method relies upon vertex operator realization of the classical symmetric functions [8] and the symplectic Schur and orthogonal Schur functions [2, 12]. This can be traced back to Kyoto school's work on integrable systems [5] where vertex operators were used to construct tau functions of the KP hierarchy in terms of Schur polynomials. Skew Schur functions $s_{\lambda/\mu}$ satisfy the celebrated decomposition formula, i.e., the general branching rule

$$(1.4) \quad s_{\lambda}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{\mu \subset \lambda} s_{\mu}(x_1, \dots, x_{n-k}) s_{\lambda/\mu}(x_{n-k+1}, \dots, x_n),$$

which can be interpreted as the restriction of the irreducible representation of $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ to $GL(n-k, \mathbb{C}) \times GL(k, \mathbb{C})$. A natural problem is whether or not there exist skew symplectic Schur functions and skew orthogonal Schur functions with similar decomposition formulas, i.e., the general branching rules for symplectic/orthogonal Schur functions. The aim of this paper is to introduce and study skew (symplectic/orthogonal) Schur functions and derive their combinatorial properties such as Jacobi-Trudi identities, Gelfand-Tsetlin pattern representations and Cauchy-type identities. We also show that our vertex operator representations for skew-type symmetric functions comply with the general branching rules.

The construction of skew Schur functions and their generalizations can be formulated in terms of representation theory of infinite-dimensional Lie algebras. One starts with the infinite-dimensional Heisenberg algebra [7] with the center 1, and defines the Fock space \mathcal{M}^* and its completion $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^*$ generated by the vacuum $\langle 0|$ (which is the vacuum vector in the right module) and the Heisenberg generators with positive modes. There are three families of special elements ($\langle \lambda|$, $\langle \lambda^{sp}|$ and $\langle \lambda^o|$ in the right module) defined by partitions λ , corresponding respectively to the Schur, symplectic Schur and orthogonal Schur cases. We will prove that they are orthonormal by computing the inner product with the elements ($|\lambda\rangle$, $|\lambda^{sp}\rangle$ and $|\lambda^o\rangle$ in the left module) in the Fock space \mathcal{M} respectively (see Theorem 2.1), which is key to construct (skew) symmetric functions. For the half vertex operators $\Gamma_+(\{x\})$ and

$\Gamma_+(\{x^\pm\})$, we will show that:

$$\begin{aligned}\langle 0|\Gamma_+(\{x\}) &= \sum_{\lambda} \langle \lambda|s_{\lambda}(x), \\ \langle 0|\Gamma_+(\{x^\pm\}) &= \sum_{\lambda} \langle \lambda^{sp}|sp_{\lambda}(x^\pm) = \sum_{\lambda} \langle \lambda^o|o_{\lambda}(x^\pm),\end{aligned}$$

where each summation is over partitions $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N)$ with zeros parts allowed in the end. The benefit of this approach is that we can easily obtain the well-known classical Cauchy identities for (symplectic/orthogonal) Schur functions [13, 24]. Clearly this approach is logically independent from the Jacobi-Trudi formula.

It is well known that $\langle \mu|\Gamma_+(\{x\})|\lambda\rangle = s_{\lambda/\mu}(x)$ [15, 20] using the half vertex operator $\Gamma_+(\{x\})$. We extend the vertex operator method to derive two families of symmetric functions

$$(1.5) \quad sp_{\lambda/\mu}(x^\pm) = \langle \mu^{sp}|\Gamma_+(\{x^\pm\})|\lambda^{sp}\rangle,$$

$$(1.6) \quad o_{\lambda/\mu}(x^\pm) = \langle \mu^o|\Gamma_+(\{x^\pm\})|\lambda^o\rangle,$$

which can be interpreted as the skew symplectic/orthogonal Schur functions from the general branching rule. We also show that each skew function can be written as a Jacobi-Trudi type determinant of complete homogeneous symmetric functions with variables $x_1, x_1^{-1}, \dots, x_N, x_N^{-1}$.

Exploiting the combinatorial properties of $\langle \mu^{sp}|$ and $\langle \mu^o|$, we obtain Gelfand-Tsetlin pattern representations (generating functions of some Young tableaux in an equivalent form [23]) for skew symplectic/orthogonal Schur functions, which provide alternative definitions of symplectic/orthogonal Schur functions. The vertex operator method depending on the combinatorial properties of some orthogonal elements of the Fock space $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^*$ may shed light on specific description of generalized shape π symmetric functions [6].

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall the vertex operators related to fermionic Fock spaces \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{M}^* , and prove the orthonormality for three distinguished sets of \mathcal{M} and the completion of \mathcal{M}^* . In section 3, we derive the skew symplectic/orthogonal Schur functions and their Jacobi-Trudi identities. In Section 4, we give formulas of skew symplectic/orthogonal Schur functions in terms of Gelfand-Tsetlin pattern representations. In Section 5, we provide a vertex operator approach to the Cauchy identities for the (symplectic/orthogonal) Schur functions, which is logically independent from the Jacobi-Trudi formulas [10]. We also obtain Cauchy-type identities for skew (symplectic/orthogonal) Schur functions. Finally we show how vertex operator realizations for these three skew symmetric functions consistent with the general branching rule.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let \mathcal{H} be the Heisenberg algebra generated by $\{a_n | n \neq 0\}$ with the central element $c = 1$ subject to the commutation relations [7]

$$(2.1) \quad [a_m, a_n] = m\delta_{m,-n}c, \quad [a_n, c] = 0.$$

The Fock space \mathcal{M} (resp. \mathcal{M}^*) is generated by the vacuum vector $|0\rangle$ (resp. dual vacuum vector $\langle 0|$) and subject to

$$a_n|0\rangle = \langle 0|a_{-n}, \quad n > 0.$$

In other words, \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{M}^* are respectively left and right modules for \mathcal{H} . It is easy to see that \mathcal{H} acts on \mathcal{M} (resp. \mathcal{M}^*) irreducibly. Moreover, \mathcal{M} (resp. \mathcal{M}^*) is isomorphic to the symmetric algebra $\mathbb{C}[a_{-1}, a_{-2}, \dots]$ (resp. $\mathbb{C}[a_1, a_2, \dots]$). Note that \mathcal{M}^* is a graded space with the gradation induced from that of \mathcal{H} . Let $\{\mathcal{M}_n^*\}$ be the filtration of the subspaces \mathcal{M}_n^* spanned by homogeneous elements with degree $\geq n$. Then we let $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^*$ be the associated completion of the Fock space \mathcal{M}^* .

We need the following vertex operators given in [2, 12] (see also [22])

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} X(z) &= \exp\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{-n}}{n} z^n\right) \exp\left(-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n} z^{-n}\right) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} X_n z^{-n}, \\ X^*(z) &= \exp\left(-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{-n}}{n} z^n\right) \exp\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n} z^{-n}\right) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} X_n^* z^n, \\ Y(z) &= \exp\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{-n}}{n} z^n\right) \exp\left(-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n} (z^{-n} + z^n)\right) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} Y_n z^{-n}, \\ Y^*(z) &= (1 - z^2) \exp\left(-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{-n}}{n} z^n\right) \exp\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n} (z^{-n} + z^n)\right) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} Y_n^* z^n, \\ W(z) &= (1 - z^2) \exp\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{-n}}{n} z^n\right) \exp\left(-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n} (z^{-n} + z^n)\right) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} W_n z^{-n}, \\ W^*(z) &= \exp\left(-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{-n}}{n} z^n\right) \exp\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n} (z^{-n} + z^n)\right) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} W_n^* z^n. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.1. *The actions of operators $X_n, X_n^*, Y_n, Y_n^*, W_n$ and W_n^* on the (dual) vacuum are given by*

$$(2.3) \quad X_n|0\rangle = X_{-n}^*|0\rangle = \langle 0|X_{-n} = \langle 0|X_n^* = Y_n|0\rangle = Y_{-n}^*|0\rangle = W_n|0\rangle = W_{-n}^*|0\rangle = 0, \quad \text{for } n > 0,$$

$$(2.4) \quad \langle 0|Y_n^* = -\langle 0|Y_{-n+2}^*, \quad \langle 0|Y_n = \langle 0|Y_{-n}, \quad \langle 0|W_n = -\langle 0|W_{-n-2}, \quad \langle 0|W_n^* = \langle 0|W_{-n}^*.$$

Proof. Relations (2.3) are obvious, and we only need to prove (2.4). Note the fact

$$\begin{aligned}\langle 0 | \exp \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n} (z^{-n} + z^n) \right) &= \langle 0 | \frac{1}{1-z^2} \exp \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{-n}}{n} z^n \right) Y^*(z) = \frac{1}{1-z^2} \langle 0 | Y^*(z), \\ \langle 0 | \exp \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n} (z^{-n} + z^n) \right) &= \langle 0 | \frac{1}{1-z^{-2}} \exp \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{-n}}{n} z^{-n} \right) Y^*(z^{-1}) = \frac{-z^2}{1-z^2} \langle 0 | Y^*(z^{-1}),\end{aligned}$$

and we therefore have

$$(2.5) \quad \langle 0 | Y^*(z) = -z^2 \langle 0 | Y^*(z^{-1}).$$

Comparing the coefficient of z^n in two sides of (2.5), we have

$$\langle 0 | Y_n^* = -\langle 0 | Y_{-n+2}^*.$$

Similarly, we can get the other commutation relations in (2.4). \square

The following result can be found in [12, Theorem 3.4, Proposition 3.6].

Lemma 2.2. *The components of the vertex operators (2.2) satisfy the following commutation relations*

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned}X_i X_j + X_{j+1} X_{i-1} &= 0, & X_i^* X_j^* + X_{j-1}^* X_{i+1}^* &= 0, & X_i X_j^* + X_{j+1}^* X_{i+1} &= \delta_{i,j}, \\ Y_i Y_j + Y_{j+1} Y_{i-1} &= 0, & Y_i^* Y_j^* + Y_{j-1}^* Y_{i+1}^* &= 0, & Y_i Y_j^* + Y_{j+1}^* Y_{i+1} &= \delta_{i,j}, \\ W_i W_j + W_{j+1} W_{i-1} &= 0, & W_i^* W_j^* + W_{j-1}^* W_{i+1}^* &= 0, & W_i W_j^* + W_{j+1}^* W_{i+1} &= \delta_{i,j}.\end{aligned}$$

A partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_l)$ is a weakly decreasing sequence of positive integers. The λ_i 's are called the parts of λ , the number of parts is the length of λ , denoted by $l(\lambda)$. In this paper we will use *partitions* in the extended sense that a generalized partition is a partition appended with a string of zeros. For a given partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_l)$, let

$$(2.7) \quad |\lambda\rangle = X_{-\lambda_1} X_{-\lambda_2} \cdots X_{-\lambda_l} |0\rangle, \quad \langle \lambda| = \langle 0 | X_{-\lambda_l}^* \cdots X_{-\lambda_1}^*,$$

$$(2.8) \quad |\lambda^{sp}\rangle = Y_{-\lambda_1} Y_{-\lambda_2} \cdots Y_{-\lambda_l} |0\rangle, \quad \langle \lambda^{sp}| = \langle 0 | Y_{-\lambda_l}^* \cdots Y_{-\lambda_1}^*,$$

$$(2.9) \quad |\lambda^o\rangle = W_{-\lambda_1} W_{-\lambda_2} \cdots W_{-\lambda_l} |0\rangle, \quad \langle \lambda^o| = \langle 0 | W_{-\lambda_l}^* \cdots W_{-\lambda_1}^*.$$

We remark that the vectors $|\lambda\rangle$, $|\lambda^{sp}\rangle$ and $|\lambda^o\rangle$ are in \mathcal{M} , $\langle \lambda|$ in \mathcal{M}^* , where the vectors $\langle \lambda^{sp}|$ and $\langle \lambda^o|$ belong to the completion $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}^*}$. Due to the fact

$$\langle 0 | Y_0^* \neq \langle 0|, \quad \langle 0 | W_0^* \neq \langle 0|,$$

the vector $\langle \lambda^{sp}|$ (resp. $\langle \lambda^o|$) differs from the vector $\langle \mu^{sp}|$ (resp. $\langle \mu^o|$) even if λ and μ only differ by a string of zeros at the end. For examples,

$$\langle 0 | Y_0^* Y_{-1}^* Y_{-4}^* \neq \langle 0 | Y_{-1}^* Y_{-4}^* \neq \langle 0 | Y_0^* Y_0^* Y_{-1}^* Y_{-4}^*,$$

$$\langle 0|W_0^*Y_{-1}^*W_{-4}^* \neq \langle 0|W_{-1}^*W_{-4}^* \neq \langle 0|W_0^*W_0^*W_{-1}^*W_{-4}^*.$$

For any $\langle u|$ in $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^*$ and $|v\rangle$ in \mathcal{M}^* , one define $\langle u|v\rangle$ by

$$\langle u|v\rangle = \langle u||v\rangle$$

where it is assumed that $\langle 0|1|0\rangle = 1$. Now we can prove the orthonormality for three distinguished sets of $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^*$ through computing the following inner products.

Theorem 2.1. *For partitions $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_l)$ and $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_l)$, one has that*

$$(2.10) \quad \langle \mu|\lambda\rangle = \delta_{\lambda\mu},$$

$$(2.11) \quad \langle \mu^{sp}|\lambda^{sp}\rangle = \delta_{\lambda\mu},$$

$$(2.12) \quad \langle \mu^o|\lambda^o\rangle = \delta_{\lambda\mu},$$

where the partitions in (2.10) are usual ones and the partitions in (2.11) and (2.12) are generalized partitions.

Proof. Using the commutation relations (2.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mu|\lambda\rangle &= \langle 0|X_{-\mu_l}^* \cdots X_{-\mu_2}^* X_{-\mu_1}^* X_{-\lambda_1} X_{-\lambda_2} \cdots X_{-\lambda_l}|0\rangle \\ &= \langle 0|X_{-\mu_l}^* \cdots X_{-\mu_2}^* (\delta_{\mu_1, \lambda_1} - X_{-\lambda_1-1} X_{-\mu_1-1}^*) X_{-\lambda_2} \cdots X_{-\lambda_l}|0\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

The second summand is zero if $\mu_1 \geq \lambda_1$, since we can move $X_{-\mu_1-1}^*$ to the right to kill $|0\rangle$ according to (2.3). If $\lambda_1 > \mu_1$, we move $X_{-\lambda_1-1}$ to the left to kill $\langle 0|$. Either way shows that the inner product is simplified to

$$\langle \mu|\lambda\rangle = \delta_{\mu_1, \lambda_1} \langle 0|X_{-\mu_l}^* \cdots X_{-\mu_2}^* X_{-\lambda_2} \cdots X_{-\lambda_l}|0\rangle = \cdots = \delta_{\lambda\mu}.$$

In the case of $\langle \mu^{sp}|\lambda^{sp}\rangle$, for $\mu_1 \geq \lambda_1$ we also have that

$$(2.13) \quad \langle \mu^{sp}|\lambda^{sp}\rangle = \delta_{\mu_1, \lambda_1} \langle 0|Y_{-\mu_l}^* \cdots Y_{-\mu_2}^* Y_{-\lambda_2} \cdots Y_{-\lambda_l}|0\rangle.$$

Now we consider the subcase when $\mu_1 < \lambda_1$. It follows from (2.3) that

$$\langle \mu^{sp}|\lambda^{sp}\rangle = \begin{cases} 0 & \mu_1 + i - 1 \neq \lambda_i \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq l \\ (-1)^{i-1} \langle 0|Y_{-\mu_l}^* \cdots Y_{-\mu_2}^* Y_{-\lambda_1-1} \cdots Y_{-\lambda_{i-1}-1} Y_{-\lambda_{i+1}} \cdots Y_{-\lambda_l}|0\rangle, & \mu_1 + i - 1 = \lambda_i. \end{cases}$$

Continuing the process, $\langle \mu^{sp}|\lambda^{sp}\rangle$ equals to 0 or $(-1)^\epsilon \langle 0|Y_{-\mu_l}^* Y_{-\lambda_1-l+1}|0\rangle$, while $\langle 0|Y_{-\mu_l}^* Y_{-\lambda_1-l+1}|0\rangle = -\langle 0|Y_{-\lambda_1-l} Y_{-\mu_l-1}^*|0\rangle = 0$ since $-\mu_l - 1 < 0$ and by (2.3). We therefore obtain (2.11) by combining the relations between μ_i and λ_i . (2.9) can be proved similarly. \square

Remark 2.1. The equation (2.11) makes sense only when we fix a positive integer l and the length of partition $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{l-m})$ is not bigger than l , where we set

$$\langle \mu^{sp} | = \langle 0 | \underbrace{Y_0^* \dots Y_0^*}_{l-m} Y_{-\mu_m}^* \dots Y_{-\mu_1}^*.$$

For example, it is easy to check that

$$\langle 0 | Y_0^* Y_0^* Y_{-1}^* Y_{-4}^* Y_{-1} Y_{-1} Y_{-1} | 0 \rangle = 0, \quad \langle 0 | Y_{-1}^* Y_{-4}^* Y_{-4} Y_{-1} Y_{-1} Y_{-1} | 0 \rangle = -1,$$

thus for $l = 4$

$$\langle \mu^{sp} | = \langle 0 | Y_0^* Y_0^* Y_{-1}^* Y_{-4}^*.$$

The same remark applies to the orthogonal case (2.12).

3. SKEW SYMPLECTIC/ORTHOGONAL SCHUR FUNCTIONS AND JACOBI-TRUDI IDENTITIES

In this section, we first recall the vertex operator construction of skew Schur functions $s_{\lambda/\mu}(x)$. Then we extend the construction to realize skew symplectic Schur functions $sp_{\lambda/\mu}(x^\pm)$ and skew orthogonal Schur functions $o_{\lambda/\mu}(x^\pm)$. Jacobi-Trudi formulas for them are also provided.

Introduce the (half) vertex operators

$$(3.1) \quad \Gamma_+(z) = \exp \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n} z^n \right),$$

$$(3.2) \quad \Gamma_-(z) = \exp \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{-n}}{n} z^n \right).$$

For the sake of simplicity, we use the following notations:

$$(3.3) \quad \Gamma_+(\{x\}) = \prod_{i=1}^N \Gamma_+(x_i),$$

$$(3.4) \quad \Gamma_+(\{x^\pm\}) = \prod_{i=1}^N \Gamma_+(x_i) \Gamma_+(x_i^{-1}),$$

$$(3.5) \quad \Gamma_-(\{x\}) = \prod_{i=1}^N \Gamma_-(x_i),$$

where $\{x\} = (x_1, \dots, x_N)$, $\{x^\pm\} = (x_1, x_1^{-1}, \dots, x_N, x_N^{-1})$.

3.1. Skew Schur functions. For a partition $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_l)$, using the relation $X_i^* X_j^* = -X_{j-1}^* X_{i+1}^*$ (2.6), one rewrite $X_{-\mu_l}^* \cdots X_{-\mu_1}^*$ up to a sign by permuting the factors [9]:

$$(3.6) \quad \varepsilon(\sigma) X_{-\mu_l}^* \cdots X_{-\mu_1}^* = X_{-\mu_{\sigma(l)} + \sigma(l) - l}^* \cdots X_{-\mu_{\sigma(i)} + \sigma(i) - i}^* \cdots X_{-\mu_{\sigma(1)} + \sigma(1) - 1}^*,$$

where $\sigma \in S_l$. We shall refer to this as the action of the symmetric group on the monomial elements.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_l)$ and $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k)$ be two partitions. Then*

$$(3.7) \quad \langle \mu | \Gamma_+(\{x\}) | \lambda \rangle = s_{\lambda/\mu}(x),$$

where $s_{\lambda/\mu}(x)$ is the skew Schur function [18, (5.4)].

Proof. Using the relations ($|z_1| > |z_2|$ in the second identity)

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_+(z) &= \Gamma_-(z^{-1}) X^*(z^{-1}), \quad X^*(z_2^{-1}) \Gamma_-(z_1^{-1}) = (1 - \frac{z_2}{z_1})^{-1} \Gamma_-(z_1^{-1}) X^*(z_2^{-1}), \\ \langle 0 | \Gamma_-(z) &= \langle 0 |, \end{aligned}$$

we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle 0 | \Gamma_+(\{x\}) &= \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} (1 - \frac{x_j}{x_i})^{-1} \langle 0 | X^*(x_N^{-1}) \cdots X^*(x_1^{-1}) \\ (3.8) \quad &= \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} (1 - \frac{x_j}{x_i})^{-1} \sum_{n_1, \dots, n_N \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle 0 | X_{-n_N}^* \cdots X_{-n_1}^* x_N^{n_N} \cdots x_1^{n_1}. \end{aligned}$$

For a partition $\nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_N)$, the relation (3.6) tells us that the coefficient of $\langle \nu | = \langle 0 | X_{-\nu_N}^* \cdots X_{-\nu_1}^*$ in $\langle 0 | \Gamma_+(\{x\})$ is

$$\begin{aligned} &\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} (1 - \frac{x_j}{x_i})^{-1} \sum_{\sigma \in S_N} \varepsilon(\sigma) x_1^{\nu_{\sigma(1)} - \sigma(1) + 1} \cdots x_i^{\nu_{\sigma(i)} - \sigma(i) + i} \cdots x_N^{\nu_{\sigma(N)} - \sigma(N) + N} \\ &= \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} (x_i - x_j)^{-1} \sum_{\sigma \in S_N} \varepsilon(\sigma) x_1^{\nu_{\sigma(1)} - \sigma(1) + N} \cdots x_i^{\nu_{\sigma(i)} - \sigma(i) + N} \cdots x_N^{\nu_{\sigma(N)} - \sigma(N) + N} \\ (3.9) \quad &= \frac{\det(x_i^{\nu_j + N - j})_{1 \leq i, j \leq N}}{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} (x_i - x_j)} = \frac{\det(x_i^{\nu_j + N - j})_{1 \leq i, j \leq N}}{\det(x_i^{N - j})_{1 \leq i, j \leq N}} = s_\nu(x), \end{aligned}$$

where $s_\nu(x)$ is the Schur function (1.1) associated with the partition ν . We therefore get the expansion

$$(3.10) \quad \langle 0 | \Gamma_+(\{x\}) = \sum_{l(\nu) \leq N} \langle \nu | s_\nu(x),$$

where the sum is over all partitions ν with length $\leq N$. Using (3.6) and the Jacobi-Trudi formula [18, (3.4)]

$$s_\nu(x) = \det(h_{\nu_i - i + j}(x))_{1 \leq i, j \leq N},$$

where the complete homogeneous function $h_n(x)$ is defined by $\prod_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{1-x_i z} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} h_n(x) z^n$, we can rewrite (3.10) as

$$(3.11) \quad \langle 0 | \Gamma_+(\{x\}) = \sum_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_N \geq 0} \langle 0 | X_{-n_N}^* \cdots X_{-n_1}^* h_{n_N}(x) \cdots h_{n_1}(x).$$

By (2.2), we have that

$$X^*(z) \Gamma_+(\{x\}) = \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{(1-x_i z)} \Gamma_+(\{x\}) X^*(z) = \sum_{i \geq 0} h_i(x) z^i \Gamma_+(\{x\}) X^*(z),$$

i.e.,

$$X_{-n}^* \Gamma_+(\{x\}) = \Gamma_+(\{x\}) \sum_{i \geq 0} h_i(x) X_{-n-i}^*.$$

Thus from (2.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mu | \Gamma_+(\{x\}) &= \langle 0 | X_{-\mu_l}^* \cdots X_{-\mu_1}^* \Gamma_+(\{x\}) \\ &= \langle 0 | \Gamma_+(\{x\}) \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_l \geq 0} X_{-\mu_l-i_l}^* \cdots X_{-\mu_1-i_1}^* h_{i_l}(x) \cdots h_{i_1}(x) \\ &= \sum_{i_{l+1}, \dots, i_{l+N} \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle 0 | X_{-i_{l+N}}^* \cdots X_{-i_{l+1}}^* h_{i_{l+N}}(x) \cdots h_{i_{l+1}}(x) \\ &\quad \cdot \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_l \geq 0} X_{-\mu_l-i_l}^* \cdots X_{-\mu_1-i_1}^* h_{i_l}(x) \cdots h_{i_1}(x) \\ (3.12) \quad &= \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_{l+N} \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle 0 | X_{-i_{l+N}}^* \cdots X_{-i_{l+1}}^* X_{-\mu_l-i_l}^* \cdots X_{-\mu_1-i_1}^* h_{i_{l+N}}(x) \cdots h_{i_{l+1}}(x) h_{i_l}(x) \cdots h_{i_1}(x). \end{aligned}$$

For a partition λ with $l \leq l(\lambda) \leq l+N$, the relations (3.6) and (3.12) imply that the coefficient of $\langle \lambda |$ in $\langle \mu | \Gamma_+(\{x\})$ is

$$\sum_{\sigma \in S_{l+N}} \epsilon(\sigma) \prod_{j=1}^{l+N} h_{\lambda_{\sigma(j)} - \mu_j - \sigma(j) + j}(x) = \det(h_{\lambda_i - \mu_j - i + j})_{1 \leq i, j \leq l+N} = s_{\lambda/\mu}(x),$$

i.e.,

$$\langle \mu | \Gamma_+(\{x\}) = \sum_{l \leq l(\lambda) \leq l+N} \langle \lambda | s_{\lambda/\mu}(x).$$

Subsequently (by the orthogonality (2.10))

$$\langle \mu | \Gamma_+(\{x\}) | \lambda \rangle = s_{\lambda/\mu}(x).$$

This completes the proof. □

Remark 3.1. Okounkov [20] derived (3.7) by computing $\Gamma_+(\{x\})|\lambda\rangle$. We can also get (3.7) using the vertex operator approach (see Appendix A). However we don't know how to generalize either method to skew-type orthogonal/symplectic cases. The method of Prop. 3.1 can be generalized to the symplectic/orthogonal Schur functions.

3.2. Skew-type symplectic Schur functions. It follows from (2.4) and (2.6) that

$$\begin{aligned}\langle 0|Y_{-n_1}^* \cdots Y_{-n_i}^* \cdots Y_{-n_N}^* &= (-1)^{i-1} \langle 0|Y_{-n_i-i+1}^* Y_{-n_1+1}^* \cdots Y_{-n_{i-1}+1}^* Y_{-n_{i+1}}^* \cdots Y_{-n_N}^* \\ &= (-1)^i \langle 0|Y_{n_i+i+1}^* Y_{-n_1+1}^* \cdots Y_{-n_{i-1}+1}^* Y_{-n_{i+1}}^* \cdots Y_{-n_N}^* \\ &= -\langle 0|Y_{-n_1}^* \cdots Y_{-n_{i-1}}^* Y_{n_i+2i}^* Y_{-n_{i+1}}^* \cdots Y_{-n_N}^*.\end{aligned}$$

In other words, if we replace the i th factor $Y_{-n_i}^*$ of $\langle 0|Y_{-n_1}^* \cdots Y_{-n_i}^* \cdots Y_{-n_N}^*$ by $-Y_{n_i+2i}^*$, it remains the same. We use the notation $\binom{a}{b}$ to mean either of a or b . Then for a partition $\mu = (\mu_1 \dots \mu_l)$,

$$\langle 0| \binom{Y_{-\mu_l}^*}{-Y_{\mu_l+2}^*} \cdots \binom{Y_{-\mu_i}^*}{-Y_{\mu_i+2(l+1-i)}^*} \cdots \binom{Y_{-\mu_1}^*}{-Y_{\mu_1+2l}^*} = \langle \mu^{sp} |.$$

Note that there is a similar action of S_N on the vectors $\langle 0|Y_{-n_1}^* \cdots Y_{-n_i}^* \cdots Y_{-n_N}^*$ as in (3.6) using the commutation relation $Y_i^* Y_j^* = -Y_{j-1}^* Y_{i+1}^*$ (2.6). Therefore we have that

$$(3.13) \quad \varepsilon(\sigma) \langle 0| \binom{Y_{-\mu_{\sigma(l)} + \sigma(l)-l}^*}{-Y_{\mu_{\sigma(l)} - \sigma(l)+l+2}^*} \cdots \binom{Y_{-\mu_{\sigma(i)} + \sigma(i)-i}^*}{-Y_{\mu_{\sigma(i)} - \sigma(i)+2(l+1)-i}^*} \cdots \binom{Y_{-\mu_{\sigma(1)} + \sigma(1)-1}^*}{-Y_{\mu_{\sigma(1)} - \sigma(1)+2l+1}^*} = \langle \mu^{sp} |.$$

Proposition 3.2. Let $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_l)$ be a partition, $\{x^\pm\} = \{x_1, x_1^{-1}, \dots, x_N, x_N^{-1}\}$, and λ a partition such that $\text{length } l(\lambda) \leq l + N$. Then one has that

$$(3.14) \quad \langle \mu^{sp} | \Gamma_+(\{x^\pm\}) | \lambda^{sp} \rangle = sp_{\lambda/\mu}(x^\pm)$$

where

$$(3.15) \quad sp_{\lambda/\mu}(x^\pm) = \det(a_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq l+N}$$

with

$$(3.16) \quad a_{ij} = \begin{cases} h_{\lambda_i - \mu_j - i + j}(x^\pm) & 1 \leq j \leq l+1, \\ h_{\lambda_i - i + j}(x^\pm) + h_{\lambda_i - i - j + 2l + 2}(x^\pm) & l+2 \leq j \leq l+N. \end{cases}$$

Proof. By definition of the vertex operator $Y^*(z)$, one has that

$$\begin{aligned}(3.17) \quad \langle 0 | \Gamma_+(\{x^\pm\}) &= \prod_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq N} \frac{1}{1 - x_i x_j} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{x_i}{x_j}} \langle 0 | Y^*(x_N) \cdots Y^*(x_1) \\ &= \frac{\prod_{i=1}^N x_i^{i-1-N}}{\det(x_i^{-(N-j+1)} - x_i^{N-j+1})_{i,j=1}^N} \langle 0 | Y^*(x_N) \cdots Y^*(x_1),\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the Vandermonde type identity [12, 25]:

$$(3.18) \quad \det(x_i^{j-1} - x_i^{2N-j+1})_{i,j=1}^N = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} (x_j - x_i) \prod_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq N} (1 - x_i x_j).$$

In view of (3.13) for partition $\nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_N)$, the coefficient of $\langle \nu^{sp} |$ in $\langle 0 | Y^*(x_N) \cdots Y^*(x_1)$ is

$$(3.19) \quad \sum_{\sigma \in S_N} \varepsilon(\sigma) \prod_{i=1}^N (x_i^{-\nu_{\sigma(i)} + \sigma(i) - i} - x_i^{\nu_{\sigma(i)} - \sigma(i) + 2N + 2 - i}) = \det(x_i^{-\nu_j + j - i} - x_i^{\nu_j - j + 2N + 2 - i})_{i,j=1}^N.$$

Then we have

$$(3.20) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle 0 | \Gamma_+(\{x^\pm\}) &= \sum_{\nu=(\nu_1, \dots, \nu_N)} \langle \nu^{sp} | \frac{\prod_{i=1}^N x_i^{i-1-N} \det(x_i^{-\nu_j + j - i} - x_i^{\nu_j - j + 2N + 2 - i})_{i,j=1}^N}{\det(x_i^{-(N-j+1)} - x_i^{N-j+1})_{i,j=1}^N} \\ &= \sum_{\nu=(\nu_1, \dots, \nu_N)} \langle \nu^{sp} | \frac{\det(x_i^{-\nu_j - (N-j+1)} - x_i^{\nu_j + (N-j+1)})_{i,j=1}^N}{\det(x_i^{-(N-j+1)} - x_i^{N-j+1})_{i,j=1}^N} \\ &= \sum_{\nu=(\nu_1, \dots, \nu_N)} \langle \nu^{sp} | \frac{\det(x_i^{\nu_j + (N-j+1)} - x_i^{-\nu_j - (N-j+1)})_{i,j=1}^N}{\det(x_i^{N-j+1} - x_i^{-(N-j+1)})_{i,j=1}^N} \\ &= \sum_{\nu=(\nu_1, \dots, \nu_N)} \langle \nu^{sp} | sp_\nu(x^\pm), \end{aligned}$$

where the sum is over all partitions $\nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_N)$ and we have used the bialternant formula of the symplectic Schur function $sp_\nu(x^\pm)$ (see (1.2)) associated to the partition ν . Invoking (3.13) again and also by the Jacobi-Trudi formula for the symplectic Schur functions [13, Theorem 1.3.3] [12, (4.1)]:

$$sp_\nu(x^\pm) = \frac{1}{2} \det(h_{\nu_i - i + j}(x^\pm) + h_{\nu_i - i - j + 2}(x^\pm))_{1 \leq i, j \leq N},$$

where $h_n(x^\pm)$ [2, 12, 25] is defined by $\prod_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{(1-x_i z)(1-x_i^{-1} z)} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} h_n(x^\pm) z^n$, we obtain another expression for $\langle 0 | \Gamma_+(\{x^\pm\})$:

$$(3.21) \quad \langle 0 | \Gamma_+(\{x^\pm\}) = \sum_{n_1 \geq -N+1, n_2 \geq -N+2, \dots, n_N \geq 0} \langle 0 | Y_{-n_N}^* \cdots Y_{-n_1}^* h_{n_1}(x^\pm) \prod_{i=2}^N (h_{n_i}(x^\pm) + h_{n_i-2i+2}(x^\pm)).$$

By definition of vertex operator $Y^*(z)$, we have

$$(3.22) \quad \begin{aligned} Y^*(z) \Gamma_+(\{x^\pm\}) &= \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{(1-x_i z)(1-x_i^{-1} z)} \Gamma_+(\{x^\pm\}) Y^*(z) \\ &= \sum_{i \geq 0} h_i(x^\pm) z^i \Gamma_+(\{x^\pm\}) Y^*(z), \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$Y_{-n}^* \Gamma_+(\{x^\pm\}) = \Gamma_+(\{x^\pm\}) \sum_{i \geq 0} h_i(x^\pm) Y_{-n-i}^*.$$

Therefore by (2.8),(3.21) and (3.13) we see that

(3.23)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle \mu^{sp} | \Gamma_+(\{x^\pm\}) \\
&= \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_l \geq 0} h_{i_1}(x^\pm) \dots h_{i_l}(x^\pm) \langle 0 | \Gamma_+(\{x^\pm\}) Y_{-\mu_l - i_l}^* \dots Y_{-\mu_1 - i_1}^* \\
&= \sum_{\substack{i_1, \dots, i_l \geq 0 \\ i_{l+1} \geq -N+1, \dots, i_{l+N} \geq 0}} \langle 0 | Y_{-i_{l+N}}^* \dots Y_{-i_{l+1}}^* Y_{-\mu_l - i_l}^* \dots Y_{-\mu_1 - i_1}^* \prod_{k=1}^{l+1} h_{i_k}(x^\pm) \prod_{k=l+2}^{l+N} (h_{i_k}(x^\pm) + h_{i_k - 2(k-l) + 2}(x^\pm)) \\
&= \sum_{\lambda=(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{l+N})} \langle \lambda^{sp} | \sum_{\sigma \in S_{l+N}} \prod_{k=1}^{l+1} h_{\lambda_{\sigma(k)} - \mu_k - \sigma(k) + k}(x^\pm) \prod_{k=l+2}^{l+N} (h_{\lambda_{\sigma(k)} - \sigma(k) + k}(x^\pm) + h_{\lambda_{\sigma(k)} - \sigma(k) - k + 2l + 2}(x^\pm)) \\
&= \sum_{\lambda=(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{l+N})} \langle \lambda^{sp} | \det(a_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq l+N},
\end{aligned}$$

where a_{ij} satisfy (3.16) and in the last two equations $\lambda_{\sigma(i)} - \sigma(i) + 2(l + N + 1) - i$ is greater than $l + N - i$. \square

Remark 3.2. We stress that the partition μ of skew symplectic Schur function $sp_{\lambda/\mu}(x^\pm)$ in (3.14) and (3.15) are general partitions, i.e., some parts can be zeros. For instance, $\langle 0 | Y_{-1}^* \Gamma_+(\{x^\pm\}) Y_{-2} Y_{-1} Y_{-1} | 0 \rangle$ is the skew symplectic Schur function $sp_{(2,1,1)/(1)}(x^\pm)$, and $\langle 0 | Y_0^* Y_{-1}^* \Gamma_+(\{x^\pm\}) Y_{-2} Y_{-1} Y_{-1} | 0 \rangle$ gives different expression $sp_{(2,1,1,0)/(1,0)}(x^\pm)$. The same statement applies to the skew orthogonal case (3.27).

Remark 3.3. If partitions $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{l+N})$ and $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_l)$ share the common length (eg. λ and μ both have length l), formula (3.15) implies that $sp_{\lambda/\mu}(x^\pm) = s_{\lambda/\mu}(x^\pm)$ since the matrix (a_{ij}) is a block upper-unipotent one. One can also get the special case of skew symplectic Schur functions via Gelfand-Tsetlin patterns in the following.

3.3. Skew-type orthogonal Schur functions. We can treat the orthogonal Schur functions similarly. Using the commutation relations

$$\langle 0 | W_n^* = \langle 0 | W_{-n}^*, \quad W_i^* W_j^* = -W_{j-1}^* W_{i+1}^*,$$

we see that the partition elements (2.9) with partitions $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_l)$ can be expressed as

$$(3.24) \quad \varepsilon(\sigma) \langle 0 | \left(\begin{matrix} W_{-\mu_{\sigma(l)} + \sigma(l) - l}^* \\ \delta_l W_{\mu_{\sigma(l)} - \sigma(l) + l}^* \end{matrix} \right) \dots \left(\begin{matrix} W_{-\mu_{\sigma(i)} + \sigma(i) - i}^* \\ \delta_i W_{\mu_{\sigma(i)} - \sigma(i) + 2l - i}^* \end{matrix} \right) \dots \left(\begin{matrix} W_{-\mu_{\sigma(1)} + \sigma(1) - 1}^* \\ \delta_1 W_{\mu_{\sigma(1)} - \sigma(1) + 2l - 1}^* \end{matrix} \right) = \langle \mu^o |,$$

where δ_i denotes $\delta_{\mu_l \neq 0} \delta_{\sigma(i) \neq l}$.

Recall the Vandermonde type identity [12, 25] in this case

$$\det(x_i^{N-j} + x_i^{N+j-2})_{i,j=1}^N = 2 \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} (x_i - x_j)(1 - x_i x_j).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \langle 0 | \Gamma_+(\{x^\pm\}) &= \sum_{\nu=(\nu_1, \dots, \nu_N)} \langle \nu^o | 2(-1)^{\frac{N(N-1)}{2}} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^N x_i^{i-N} \det(x_i^{-\nu_j+j-i} + \delta_{j \neq N} \delta_{\nu_N \neq 0} x_i^{\nu_j-j+2N-i})_{i,j=1}^N}{\det(x_i^{-j+1} + x_i^{j-1})_{i,j=1}^N} \\ &= \sum_{\nu=(\nu_1, \dots, \nu_N)} \langle \nu^o | 2(-1)^{\frac{N(N-1)}{2}} \frac{\det(x_i^{-\nu_j+j-N} + \delta_{j \neq N} \delta_{\nu_N \neq 0} x_i^{\nu_j-j+N})_{i,j=1}^N}{\det(x_i^{-j+1} + x_i^{j-1})_{i,j=1}^N} \\ (3.25) \quad &= \sum_{\nu=(\nu_1, \dots, \nu_N)} \langle \nu^o | o_\nu(x^\pm), \end{aligned}$$

where the sum is over all partitions $\nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_N)$ and $o_\nu(x^\pm)$ is the orthogonal Schur function associated to partition ν (see (1.3)). Due to (3.24) and the Jacobi-Trudi formula for orthogonal Schur functions [13, Theorem 1.3.2] [12, (4.2)]

$$o_\nu(x^\pm) = \det(h_{\nu_i-i+j}(x^\pm) - h_{\nu_i-i-j}(x^\pm))_{1 \leq i, j \leq N},$$

we can write

$$(3.26) \quad \langle 0 | \Gamma_+(\{x^\pm\}) = \sum_{n_1 \geq -N+1, n_2 \geq -N+2, \dots, n_N \geq 0} \langle 0 | W_{-n_N}^* \dots W_{-n_1}^* \prod_{i=1}^N (h_{n_i}(x^\pm) - h_{n_i-2i}(x^\pm)).$$

Using the same method in Proposition 3.2 with (3.24) and (3.26), we can prove the following results.

Proposition 3.3. *For partition $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_l)$, partition λ with length $l(\lambda) \leq l + N$ and $\{x^\pm\} = \{x_1, x_1^{-1}, \dots, x_N, x_N^{-1}\}$, one has that*

$$(3.27) \quad \langle \mu^o | \Gamma_+(\{x^\pm\}) | \lambda^o \rangle = o_{\lambda/\mu}(x^\pm),$$

where

$$(3.28) \quad o_{\lambda/\mu}(x^\pm) = \det(b_{ij})_{i,j=1}^{l+N}$$

and

$$b_{ij} = \begin{cases} h_{\lambda_i - \mu_j - i + j}(x^\pm) & 1 \leq j \leq l, \\ h_{\lambda_i - i + j}(x^\pm) - h_{\lambda_i - i - j + 2l}(x^\pm) & l + 1 \leq j \leq l + N. \end{cases}$$

4. GELFAND-TSETLIN PATTERN REPRESENTATIONS FOR THREE SKEW-TYPE FUNCTIONS

In this section, we first derive the formulas for skew Schur functions in Gelfand-Tsetlin patterns using vertex operators. We then do the same for skew symplectic/orthogonal Schur functions.

We start by recalling a special case of skew Schur functions in terms of vertex operators given in [11, Prop 2.4]. We say a partition λ interlaces another partition ν , denoted as $\nu \prec \lambda$, if $\lambda_i \geq \nu_i \geq \lambda_{i+1}$ for all i .

Lemma 4.1. *For two interlacing partitions $\nu \prec \lambda$, where $\nu = (\nu_1 \dots \nu_l)$ and $\lambda = (\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_{l+1})$, one has that*

$$(4.1) \quad s_{\lambda/\nu}(t) = t^{|\lambda| - |\nu|}.$$

It follows from Lemma 4.1 that skew Schur functions can be written as a sum over Gelfand-Tsetlin tableaux: for partitions $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_l) \subset \lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{l+N})$

$$(4.2) \quad s_{\lambda/\mu}(x) = \sum_{\mu = z_0 \prec z_1 \prec \dots \prec z_N = \lambda} \prod_{i=1}^N x_i^{|z_i| - |z_{i-1}|},$$

summed over all sequences of partitions $\mu = z_0 \prec z_1 \prec \dots \prec z_N = \lambda$, where $z_i = (z_{i,1}, z_{i,2}, \dots, z_{i,l+i})$ satisfy the *Gelfand-Tsetlin pattern*:

$$z_{i+1,j} \leq z_{i,j-1} \leq z_{i+1,j-1} \text{ for } 2 \leq j \leq l+i+1.$$

Using the similar method as in [11], we can get the Gelfand-Tsetlin pattern formulas for skew symplectic (orthogonal) Schur functions $sp_{\lambda/\mu}(x^\pm)(o_{\lambda/\mu}(x^\pm))$. We start by proving three lemmas.

Lemma 4.2. *For any integer m and partitions $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k)$, $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{k+2})$, one has*

$$(4.3) \quad \langle \alpha^{sp} | \sum_{i \leq m, j \leq m+1} Y_i^* Y_j^* t^{i+j} | \beta^{sp} \rangle = 0.$$

Proof. From (2.3) and (2.6), we know that $Y_j^* | \beta^{sp} \rangle = 0$ for $j < -\beta_1$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i \leq m, j \leq m+1} Y_i^* Y_j^* t^{i+j} | \beta^{sp} \rangle &= \sum_{-\beta_1-1 \leq i \leq m, -\beta_1 \leq j \leq m+1} Y_i^* Y_j^* t^{i+j} | \beta^{sp} \rangle \\ &= - \sum_{-\beta_1-1 \leq i \leq m, -\beta_1 \leq j \leq m+1} Y_{j-1}^* Y_{i+1}^* t^{i+j} | \beta^{sp} \rangle \\ &= - \sum_{-\beta_1-1 \leq a \leq m, -\beta_1 \leq b \leq m+1} Y_a^* Y_b^* t^{a+b} | \beta^{sp} \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

therefore $\sum_{i \leq m, j \leq m+1} Y_i^* Y_j^* t^{i+j} | \beta^{sp} \rangle = 0$, which completes the proof. \square

Corollary 4.1. For any integer m and partition $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k)$,

$$\langle \alpha^{sp} | \sum_{i \leq m, j \leq m+1} Y_i^* Y_j^* t^{i+j} = 0.$$

Lemma 4.3. For partitions $\nu = (\nu_1 \dots \nu_l)$ and $\lambda = (\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_{l+1})$, one has

$$(4.4) \quad sp_{\lambda/\nu}(t^\pm) = \sum_{\nu \prec \alpha \prec \lambda} t^{2|\alpha| - |\lambda| - |\nu|}$$

summed over all partitions α such that $\nu \prec \alpha \prec \lambda$.

Proof. It follows from equation (3.23) that

$$(4.5) \quad \langle \nu^{sp} | \Gamma_+(t^\pm) = \sum_{i, i_1, \dots, i_l \geq 0} h_i(t^\pm) \prod_{j=1}^l h_{i_j}(t^\pm) \langle 0 | Y_{-i}^* Y_{-\nu_l - i_l}^* \cdots Y_{-\nu_1 - i_1}^*,$$

where $h_i(t^\pm) = t^{-i} + t^{-i+2} + \dots + t^{i-2} + t^i = \frac{t^{-i} - t^{i+2}}{1 - t^2}$. Since $Y_{j-1}^* Y_j^* = 0$ and Corollary 4.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i \geq \nu_l + 1, j \geq \nu_l} \langle 0 | Y_{-i}^* Y_{-j}^* (t^{-i} - t^{i+2}) (t^{\nu_l - j} - t^{j - \nu_l + 2}) \\ &= \sum_{i \geq \nu_l + 1, j \geq \nu_l} \langle 0 | Y_{-i}^* Y_{-j}^* (-t^{i+2 + \nu_l - j} - t^{j - \nu_l + 2 - i}) \\ &= \sum_{j \geq i \geq \nu_l + 1} \langle 0 | Y_{-i}^* Y_{-j}^* (-t^{i+2 + \nu_l - j} - t^{j - \nu_l + 2 - i}) + \sum_{i-1 > j \geq \nu_l} \langle 0 | Y_{-i}^* Y_{-j}^* (-t^{i+2 + \nu_l - j} - t^{j - \nu_l + 2 - i}) \\ &= \sum_{j \geq i \geq \nu_l + 1} \langle 0 | Y_{-i}^* Y_{-j}^* (-t^{i+2 + \nu_l - j} - t^{j - \nu_l + 2 - i}) + \sum_{i-1 > j \geq \nu_l} \langle 0 | Y_{-j-1}^* Y_{-i+1}^* (t^{i+2 + \nu_l - j} + t^{j - \nu_l + 2 - i}) \\ &= \sum_{j \geq i \geq \nu_l + 1} \langle 0 | Y_{-i}^* Y_{-j}^* (-t^{i+2 + \nu_l - j} - t^{j - \nu_l + 2 - i}) + \sum_{n \geq m \geq \nu_l + 1} \langle 0 | Y_{-m}^* Y_{-n}^* (t^{n+4 + \nu_l - m} + t^{m - \nu_l - n}) \\ &= \sum_{j \geq i \geq \nu_l + 1} \langle 0 | Y_{-i}^* Y_{-j}^* (t^{j+4 + \nu_l - i} + t^{i - \nu_l - j} - t^{i+2 + \nu_l - j} - t^{j - \nu_l + 2 - i}) \\ &= \sum_{j \geq i \geq \nu_l + 1} \langle 0 | Y_{-i}^* Y_{-j}^* (t^{i-j} - t^{j-i+2}) (t^{-\nu_l} - t^{2 + \nu_l}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i, i_l \geq 0} h_i(t^\pm) h_{i_l}(t^\pm) \langle 0 | Y_{-i}^* Y_{-\nu_l - i_l}^* \\ &= \frac{1}{(1 - t^2)^2} \sum_{i, i_l \geq 0} \langle 0 | Y_{-i}^* Y_{-\nu_l - i_l}^* (t^{-i} - t^{i+2}) (t^{-i_l} - t^{i_l+2}) \\ &= \sum_{0 \leq i \leq \nu_l, i_l \geq 0} \langle 0 | Y_{-i}^* Y_{-\nu_l - i_l}^* h_i(t^\pm) h_{i_l}(t^\pm) + \frac{1}{(1 - t^2)^2} \sum_{i \geq \nu_l + 1, i_l \geq 0} \langle 0 | Y_{-i}^* Y_{-\nu_l - i_l}^* (t^{-i} - t^{i+2}) (t^{-i_l} - t^{i_l+2}) \\ &= \sum_{0 \leq i \leq \nu_l, i_l \geq 0} \langle 0 | Y_{-i}^* Y_{-\nu_l - i_l}^* h_i(t^\pm) h_{i_l}(t^\pm) + \sum_{\nu_l + i_l \geq i \geq \nu_l + 1} \langle 0 | Y_{-i}^* Y_{-\nu_l - i_l}^* h_{\nu_l}(t^\pm) h_{\nu_l + i_l - i}(t^\pm). \end{aligned}$$

Setting $\gamma_1 = \nu_l + i_l \geq \gamma_2 = i \geq 0$, then the above equation becomes

$$\sum_{i, i_l \geq 0} h_i(t^\pm) h_{i_l}(t^\pm) \langle 0 | Y_{-i}^* Y_{-\nu_l - i_l}^* = \sum_{\max\{\gamma_2, \nu_l\} \leq \gamma_1} \langle 0 | Y_{-\gamma_2}^* Y_{-\gamma_1}^* h_{\min\{\gamma_2, \nu_l\}}(t^\pm) h_{\gamma_1 - \max\{\gamma_2, \nu_l\}}(t^\pm).$$

Continuing the process, equation (4.5) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} (4.6) \quad & \langle \nu^{sp} | \Gamma_+(t^\pm) \\ &= \sum_{\lambda} \langle \lambda^{sp} | h_{\min\{\lambda_{l+1}, \nu_l\}}(t^\pm) \left(\prod_{j=2}^l h_{\min\{\lambda_j, \nu_{j-1}\} - \max\{\lambda_{j+1}, \nu_j\}}(t^\pm) \right) h_{\lambda_1 - \max\{\lambda_2, \nu_1\}}(t^\pm) \\ &= \sum_{\lambda} \langle \lambda^{sp} | h_{\min\{\lambda_{l+1}, \nu_l\}}(t^\pm) t^{\min\{\lambda_{l+1}, \nu_l\}} \left(\prod_{j=2}^l h_{\min\{\lambda_j, \nu_{j-1}\} - \max\{\lambda_{j+1}, \nu_j\}}(t^\pm) t^{\min\{\lambda_j, \nu_{j-1}\} + \max\{\lambda_{j+1}, \nu_j\}} \right) \\ &\quad \cdot h_{\lambda_1 - \max\{\lambda_2, \nu_1\}}(t^\pm) t^{\lambda_1 + \max\{\lambda_2, \nu_1\}} t^{-|\lambda| - |\nu|} \\ &= \sum_{\lambda} \langle \lambda^{sp} | \sum_{\nu \prec \alpha \prec \lambda} t^{2|\alpha| - |\lambda| - |\nu|}, \end{aligned}$$

where we use the fact that $|\lambda| + |\nu| = \min\{\lambda_{l+1}, \nu_l\} + \sum_{j=2}^l \min\{\lambda_j, \nu_{j-1}\} + \sum_{j=2}^l \max\{\lambda_{j+1}, \nu_j\} + \lambda_1 + \max\{\lambda_2, \nu_1\}$ and the notations

$$0 \leq \alpha_{l+1} \leq \min\{\lambda_{l+1}, \nu_l\}, \quad \max\{\lambda_{j+1}, \nu_j\} \leq \alpha_j \leq \min\{\lambda_j, \nu_{j-1}\}, \quad \max\{\lambda_2, \nu_1\} \leq \alpha_1 \leq \lambda_1.$$

Thus the proof is completed. \square

Lemma 4.4. *For partitions $\nu = (\nu_1 \dots \nu_l)$ and $\lambda = (\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_{l+1})$, one has*

$$(4.7) \quad o_{\lambda/\nu}(t^\pm) = \sum_{\nu \prec \alpha \prec \lambda} (1 + \delta_{\lambda_{l+1} > 0} \delta_{\nu_l, 0}) t^{2|\alpha| - |\lambda| - |\nu|}$$

summed over all partitions α such that $\nu \prec \alpha \prec \lambda$ and $\alpha_{l+1} \in \{0, \min\{\lambda_{l+1}, \nu_l\}\}$.

Proof. Using the same method for Lemma 4.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (4.8) \quad & \langle \nu^o | \Gamma_+(t^\pm) = \sum_{\lambda} \langle \lambda^o | (t^{-\min\{\lambda_{l+1}, \nu_l\}} + t^{\min\{\lambda_{l+1}, \nu_l\}}) \left(\prod_{j=2}^l h_{\min\{\lambda_j, \nu_{j-1}\} - \max\{\lambda_{j+1}, \nu_j\}}(t^\pm) \right) h_{\lambda_1 - \max\{\lambda_2, \nu_1\}}(t^\pm) \\ &= \sum_{\lambda} \langle \lambda^o | (1 + t^{2\min\{\lambda_{l+1}, \nu_l\}}) \left(\prod_{j=2}^l h_{\min\{\lambda_j, \nu_{j-1}\} - \max\{\lambda_{j+1}, \nu_j\}}(t^\pm) t^{\min\{\lambda_j, \nu_{j-1}\} + \max\{\lambda_{j+1}, \nu_j\}} \right) \\ &\quad \cdot h_{\lambda_1 - \max\{\lambda_2, \nu_1\}}(t^\pm) t^{\lambda_1 + \max\{\lambda_2, \nu_1\}} t^{-|\lambda| - |\nu|} \\ &= \sum_{\lambda} \langle \lambda^o | \sum_{\nu \prec \alpha \prec \lambda} (1 + \delta_{\lambda_{l+1} > 0} \delta_{\nu_l, 0}) t^{2|\alpha| - |\lambda| - |\nu|}, \end{aligned}$$

summed over α such that $\nu \prec \alpha \prec \lambda$ and $\alpha_{l+1} \in \{0, \min\{\lambda_{l+1}, \nu_l\}\}$. \square

The following theorem can be proved easily with the help of Lemma 4.3 and Lemma 4.4.

Theorem 4.1. For partitions $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_l) \subset \lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{l+N})$, one has

$$(4.9) \quad sp_{\lambda/\mu}(x^\pm) = \sum_{\mu=z_0 \prec z_1 \prec \dots \prec z_{2N}=\lambda} \prod_{i=1}^N x_i^{2|z_{2i-1}|-|z_{2i}|-|z_{2i-2}|},$$

$$(4.10) \quad o_{\lambda/\mu}(x^\pm) = \sum_{\mu=z'_0 \prec z'_1 \prec \dots \prec z'_{2N}=\lambda} \prod_{i=1}^N (1 + \delta_{z'_{2i,l+i} > 0} \delta_{z'_{2i-2,l+i-1}, 0}) x_i^{2|z'_{2i-1}|-|z'_{2i}|-|z'_{2i-2}|}$$

where partitions $z_k = (z_{k,1}, \dots, z_{k,l+\lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil})$ satisfy the symplectic Gelfand-Tsetlin pattern

$$z_{k+1,j} \leq z_{k,j-1} \leq z_{k+1,j-1} \text{ for } 2 \leq j \leq l + \lceil \frac{k+1}{2} \rceil$$

and partitions $z'_k = (z'_{k,1}, \dots, z'_{k,l+\lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil})$ satisfy the orthogonal Gelfand-Tsetlin pattern

$$z'_{k+1,j} \leq z'_{k,j-1} \leq z'_{k+1,j-1} \text{ for } 2 \leq j \leq l + \lceil \frac{k+1}{2} \rceil$$

subject to $z'_{2i-1,l+i} \in \{0, \min\{z'_{2i,l+i}, z'_{2i-2,l+i-1}\}\}$.

Remark 4.1. One can find an equivalent statement of (4.9) in [1], where they defined symplectic Gelfand-Tsetlin pattern by induction. For $\mu = \emptyset$, (4.9) and (4.10) recover the classical Gelfand-Tsetlin patterns for symplectic/orthogonal Schur functions [21].

5. CAUCHY-TYPE IDENTITIES AND GENERAL BRANCHING RULES

In this section we give a new proof of Cauchy's identities for (symplectic/orthogonal) Schur functions by the method of vertex operators. This approach is logically independent from the Jacobi-Trudi formula. The method can be further used to derive Cauchy-type identities for skew Schur functions as well as skew symplectic/orthogonal Schur functions. In addition, we show that vertex operator realizations for skew (symplectic/orthogonal) Schur functions agree with general branching rule for symplectic/orthogonal Schur functions.

Lemma 5.1. For $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N)$, we have

$$(5.1) \quad \Gamma_-(\{x\})|0\rangle = \sum_{\lambda} s_{\lambda}(x)|\lambda\rangle$$

$$(5.2) \quad \Gamma_-(\{x\})|0\rangle = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} (1 - x_i x_j)^{-1} \sum_{\lambda} s_{\lambda}(x)|\lambda^{sp}\rangle$$

$$(5.3) \quad \Gamma_-(\{x\})|0\rangle = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq N} (1 - x_i x_j)^{-1} \sum_{\lambda} s_{\lambda}(x)|\lambda^o\rangle,$$

where the sum is over all partitions λ with $l(\lambda) \leq N$.

Proof. We first prove (5.1) by a similar method as in Proposition 3.1, which is different from that of [11]. Using the relations $X(z)\Gamma_+(z^{-1}) = \Gamma_-(z)$ and $\Gamma_+(z_1^{-1})\Gamma_-(z_2) = (1 - \frac{z_2}{z_1})^{-1}\Gamma_-(z_2)\Gamma_+(z_1^{-1})$ for $|z_1| > |z_2|$, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Gamma_-(\{x\})|0\rangle \\
&= \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} (1 - \frac{x_j}{x_i})^{-1} X(x_1) \cdots X(x_N)|0\rangle \\
(5.4) \quad &= \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} (1 - \frac{x_j}{x_i})^{-1} \sum_{n_1, \dots, n_N} x_1^{n_1} \cdots x_N^{n_N} X_{-n_1} \cdots X_{-n_N}|0\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

By $X_i X_j = -X_{j+1} X_{i-1}$, one can shuffle the factors to get

$$(5.5) \quad \varepsilon(\sigma) X_{-\lambda_1} \cdots X_{-\lambda_N} = X_{-\lambda_{\sigma(1)} + \sigma(1) - 1} \cdots X_{-\lambda_{\sigma(i)} + \sigma(i) - i} \cdots X_{-\lambda_{\sigma(N)} + \sigma(N) - N},$$

where $\sigma \in S_N$. Thus the coefficient of $|\lambda\rangle = X_{-\lambda_1} \cdots X_{-\lambda_N}|0\rangle$ in $\Gamma_-(\{x\})|0\rangle$ (5.4) is

$$\begin{aligned}
& \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} (1 - \frac{x_j}{x_i})^{-1} \sum_{\sigma} \varepsilon(\sigma) x_1^{\lambda_{\sigma(1)} - \sigma(1) + 1} \cdots x_i^{\lambda_{\sigma(i)} - \sigma(i) + i} \cdots x_N^{\lambda_{\sigma(N)} - \sigma(N) + N} \\
&= \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} (x_i - x_j)^{-1} \sum_{\sigma} \varepsilon(\sigma) x_1^{\lambda_{\sigma(1)} - \sigma(1) + N} \cdots x_i^{\lambda_{\sigma(i)} - \sigma(i) + N} \cdots x_N^{\lambda_{\sigma(N)} - \sigma(N) + N} \\
(5.6) \quad &= \frac{\det(x_i^{\lambda_j + N - j})_{1 \leq i, j \leq N}}{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} (x_i - x_j)} = \frac{\det(x_i^{\lambda_j + N - j})_{1 \leq i, j \leq N}}{\det(x_i^{N - j})_{1 \leq i, j \leq N}} = s_{\lambda}(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Then the relation (2.10) gives $\langle \lambda | \Gamma_-(\{x\}) | 0 \rangle = s_{\lambda}(x)$ for any λ , subsequently

$$\Gamma_-(\{x\})|0\rangle = \sum_{\substack{\lambda \\ l(\lambda) \leq N}} s_{\lambda}(x) |\lambda\rangle.$$

The other two relations can be proved similarly with the help of

$$(5.7) \quad \varepsilon(\sigma) Y_{-\lambda_1} \cdots Y_{-\lambda_N} = Y_{-\lambda_{\sigma(1)} + \sigma(1) - 1} \cdots Y_{-\lambda_{\sigma(i)} + \sigma(i) - i} \cdots Y_{-\lambda_{\sigma(N)} + \sigma(N) - N},$$

$$(5.8) \quad \varepsilon(\sigma) W_{-\lambda_1} \cdots W_{-\lambda_N} = W_{-\lambda_{\sigma(1)} + \sigma(1) - 1} \cdots W_{-\lambda_{\sigma(i)} + \sigma(i) - i} \cdots W_{-\lambda_{\sigma(N)} + \sigma(N) - N},$$

where $\sigma \in S_N$. □

By direct calculation, we have the following two lemmas.

Lemma 5.2. *From the commutation relations (2.1) and (3.1), we have*

$$(5.9) \quad \langle 0 | \Gamma_+(\{x\}) \Gamma_-(\{y\}) | 0 \rangle = \prod_{i=1}^N \prod_{j=1}^K (1 - x_i y_j)^{-1},$$

where $x = (x_1, \dots, x_N)$, $y = (y_1, \dots, y_K)$.

Now we study some cases of the inner product $\langle 0|\Gamma_+(\{x\})\Gamma_-(\{y\})|0\rangle$ related to symmetric functions. Due to (2.10), (2.11), (2.12), (3.10), (3.20), (3.25) and Lemma 5.1, we have the following result.

Lemma 5.3. *For $x = (x_1, \dots, x_N)$, $y = (y_1, \dots, y_N)$, $x^\pm = (x_1^\pm, \dots, x_N^\pm)$, we have*

$$(5.10) \quad \langle 0|\Gamma_+(\{x\})\Gamma_-(\{y\})|0\rangle = \sum_{\substack{\lambda \\ l(\lambda) \leq N}} s_\lambda(x)s_\lambda(y),$$

$$(5.11) \quad \langle 0|\Gamma_+(\{x^\pm\})\Gamma_-(\{y\})|0\rangle = \prod_{1 \leq k < l \leq N} (1 - y_k y_l)^{-1} \sum_{\substack{\lambda \\ l(\lambda) \leq N}} sp_\lambda(x^\pm)s_\lambda(y),$$

$$(5.12) \quad \langle 0|\Gamma_+(\{x^\pm\})\Gamma_-(\{y\})|0\rangle = \prod_{1 \leq k \leq l \leq N} (1 - y_k y_l)^{-1} \sum_{\substack{\lambda \\ l(\lambda) \leq N}} o_\lambda(x^\pm)s_\lambda(y).$$

Combining Lemma 5.2 and Lemma 5.3, we can prove the classical Cauchy identities associated to Schur functions, symplectic and orthogonal Schur functions respectively.

Theorem 5.1 ([3, 13, 24]). *Let $x = (x_1, \dots, x_N)$, $y = (y_1, \dots, y_N)$, $x^\pm = (x_1^\pm, \dots, x_N^\pm)$. Then*

$$(5.13) \quad \sum_{\lambda \subseteq [N, \infty)} s_\lambda(x)s_\lambda(y) = \prod_{i,j=1}^N (1 - x_i y_j)^{-1},$$

$$(5.14) \quad \sum_{\lambda \subseteq [N, \infty)} s_\lambda(y) = \prod_{i,j=1}^N [(1 - x_i y_j)(1 - x_i^{-1} y_j)]^{-1} \prod_{1 \leq k < l \leq N} (1 - y_k y_l),$$

$$(5.15) \quad \sum_{\lambda \subseteq [N, \infty)} o_\lambda(x^\pm)s_\lambda(y) = \prod_{i,j=1}^N [(1 - x_i y_j)(1 - x_i^{-1} y_j)]^{-1} \prod_{1 \leq k \leq l \leq N} (1 - y_k y_l),$$

where $\lambda \subseteq [N, \infty)$ also denote partition λ with length $l(\lambda) \leq N$.

The following is a generalization of Lemma 5.1.

Lemma 5.4. *For a partition μ with length l and $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N)$, we have*

$$(5.16) \quad \Gamma_-(\{x\})|\mu\rangle = \sum_{\mu \subset \lambda \subseteq [l+N, \infty)} s_{\lambda/\mu}(x)|\lambda\rangle$$

$$(5.17) \quad \Gamma_-(\{x\})|\mu^{sp}\rangle = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} (1 - x_i x_j)^{-1} \sum_{\mu \subset \lambda \subseteq [l+N, \infty)} S_{\lambda/\mu}^*(x)|\lambda^{sp}\rangle$$

$$(5.18) \quad \Gamma_-(\{x\})|\mu^o\rangle = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq N} (1 - x_i x_j)^{-1} \sum_{\mu \subset \lambda \subseteq [l+N, \infty)} S_{\lambda/\mu}^*(x)|\lambda^o\rangle,$$

where

$$S_{\lambda/\mu}^*(x) = \frac{\det(c_{ij})_{i,j=1}^{l+N}}{\det(x_i^{N-j})_{1 \leq i,j \leq N}}$$

with

$$c_{ij} = \begin{cases} x_i^{\lambda_j - j + N} & 1 \leq i \leq N, \\ h_{\mu_{i-N} - \lambda_j + j - i}(x^\pm) & N+1 \leq i \leq N+l. \end{cases}$$

Proof. We only prove (5.17), as the others can be handled similarly. By definition (2.2), we have

$$(5.19) \quad \Gamma_-(\{x\}) = \frac{1}{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} (1 - x_i x_j)(1 - x_i^{-1} x_j)} Y(x_1) \cdots Y(x_N) \Gamma_+(\{x^\pm\}),$$

where $x^\pm = (x_1^\pm, \dots, x_N^\pm)$. It is easy to check that (by simple vertex operator calculus)

$$\Gamma_+(\{x^\pm\}) Y(z) = \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^N (1 - x_i z)(1 - x_i^{-1} z)} Y(z) \Gamma_+(\{x^\pm\}),$$

thus

$$\Gamma_+(\{x^\pm\}) Y_n = \sum_{i \geq 0} h_i(x^\pm) Y_{n+i} \Gamma_+(\{x^\pm\}).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} (1 - x_i x_j)(1 - x_i^{-1} x_j) \Gamma_-(\{x\}) |\mu^{sp}\rangle \\ &= Y(x_1) \cdots Y(x_N) \sum_{k_{N+1}, \dots, k_{N+l} \geq 0} h_{k_{N+1}}(x^\pm) \cdots h_{k_{N+l}}(x^\pm) Y_{-\mu_1 + k_{N+1}} \cdots Y_{-\mu_l + k_{N+l}} |0\rangle \\ &= \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_N \in \mathbb{Z}} x_1^{k_1} \cdots x_N^{k_N} \sum_{k_{N+1}, \dots, k_{N+l} \geq 0} h_{k_{N+1}}(x^\pm) \cdots h_{k_{N+l}}(x^\pm) Y_{-k_1} \cdots Y_{-k_N} Y_{-\mu_1 + k_{N+1}} \cdots Y_{-\mu_l + k_{N+l}} |0\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

By (5.7), the coefficient of $|\lambda^{sp}\rangle$ in $\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} (1 - x_i x_j)(1 - x_i^{-1} x_j) \Gamma_-(\{x\}) |\mu^{sp}\rangle$ is

$$\det(c_{ij})_{i,j=1}^{l+N}$$

with

$$c_{ij} = \begin{cases} x_i^{\lambda_j - j + i} & 1 \leq i \leq N, \\ h_{\mu_{i-N} - \lambda_j + j - i}(x^\pm) & N+1 \leq i \leq N+l. \end{cases}$$

By mean of the Vandermonde identity, we can get (5.17). □

Remark 5.1. For partition $\mu = \emptyset$, $S_\lambda^*(x) = s_\lambda(x)$.

We now offer a vertex operator proof of the Cauchy-type identity for skew Schur functions [18, p.93], which can be seen as a generalized Cauchy identity for Schur functions.

Theorem 5.2. For two partitions λ and μ ,

$$(5.20) \quad \sum_{\rho} s_{\rho/\lambda}(x) s_{\rho/\mu}(y) = \prod_{i,j} (1 - x_i y_j)^{-1} \sum_{\nu} s_{\mu/\tau}(x) s_{\lambda/\tau}(y),$$

where the sum is over all partitions ρ and τ which make sense of skew Schur functions.

Proof. From (2.10), we can easy deduce

$$(5.21) \quad \langle \lambda | \sum_{\rho} |\rho\rangle \langle \rho| = \langle \lambda |, \quad \sum_{\rho} |\rho\rangle \langle \rho | \lambda \rangle = |\lambda\rangle,$$

where the sum is over all partitions ρ . Thus

$$(5.22) \quad \langle \lambda | \Gamma_+(\{x\}) \Gamma_-(\{y\}) | \mu \rangle = \langle \lambda | \Gamma_+(\{x\}) \sum_{\rho} |\rho\rangle \langle \rho | \Gamma_-(\{y\}) | \mu \rangle = \sum_{\rho} s_{\rho/\lambda}(x) s_{\rho/\mu}(y),$$

where we have used (3.7). We also have the following relation

$$(5.23) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle \lambda | \Gamma_+(\{x\}) \Gamma_-(\{y\}) | \mu \rangle &= \prod_{i,j} (1 - x_i y_j)^{-1} \langle \lambda | \Gamma_-(\{y\}) \Gamma_+(\{x\}) | \mu \rangle \\ &= \prod_{i,j} (1 - x_i y_j)^{-1} \langle \lambda | \Gamma_-(\{y\}) \sum_{\tau} |\tau\rangle \langle \tau | \Gamma_+(\{x\}) | \mu \rangle = \prod_{i,j} (1 - x_i y_j)^{-1} \sum_{\nu} s_{\mu/\tau}(x) s_{\lambda/\tau}(y). \end{aligned}$$

Comparing (5.22) and (5.23), we get the identity. \square

We also extend the vertex operator approach to obtain Cauchy-type identities for skew symplectic/orthogonal Schur functions.

Theorem 5.3. *For partition $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_l)$ and λ with length less than $l + N - K$, one has*

$$(5.24) \quad \sum_{\rho=(\rho_1, \dots, \rho_{l+N})} sp_{\rho/\mu}(x^{\pm}) S_{\rho/\lambda}^*(y) = \prod_{i=1}^N \prod_{j=1}^K \frac{1}{(1 - x_i y_j)(1 - x_i^{-1} y_j)} \sum_{\tau=(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{l-K})} sp_{\lambda/\tau}(x^{\pm}) S_{\mu/\tau}^*(y),$$

$$(5.25) \quad \sum_{\rho=(\rho_1, \dots, \rho_{l+N})} o_{\rho/\mu}(x^{\pm}) S_{\rho/\lambda}^*(y) = \prod_{i=1}^N \prod_{j=1}^K \frac{1}{(1 - x_i y_j)(1 - x_i^{-1} y_j)} \sum_{\tau=(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{l-K})} o_{\lambda/\tau}(x^{\pm}) S_{\mu/\tau}^*(y),$$

where $x^{\pm} = (x_1^{\pm}, \dots, x_N^{\pm})$, $y = (y_1, \dots, y_K)$.

Proof. Using (2.11) and (2.12), for partition $\nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n)$, we have

$$(5.26) \quad \langle \nu^{sp} | \sum_{\eta=(\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n)} |\eta^{sp}\rangle \langle \eta^{sp}| = \langle \nu^{sp} |, \quad \sum_{\eta=(\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n)} |\eta^{sp}\rangle \langle \eta^{sp} | \nu^{sp} \rangle = |\nu^{sp}\rangle,$$

$$(5.27) \quad \langle \nu^o | \sum_{\eta=(\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n)} |\eta^o\rangle \langle \eta^o| = \langle \nu^o |, \quad \sum_{\eta=(\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n)} |\eta^o\rangle \langle \eta^o | \nu^o \rangle = |\nu^o\rangle,$$

where the sum is over all partitions $\eta = (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n)$. On the one hand,

$$(5.28) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle \mu^{sp} | \Gamma_+(\{x^{\pm}\}) \Gamma_-(\{y\}) | \lambda^{sp} \rangle &= \langle \mu^{sp} | \Gamma_+(\{x^{\pm}\}) \sum_{\rho=(\rho_1, \dots, \rho_{l+N})} |\rho^{sp}\rangle \langle \rho^{sp} | \Gamma_-(\{y\}) | \lambda^{sp} \rangle \\ &= \sum_{\rho=(\rho_1, \dots, \rho_{l+N})} sp_{\rho/\mu}(x^{\pm}) S_{\rho/\lambda}^*(y), \end{aligned}$$

where we used (3.14) and (5.17). On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \mu^{sp} | \Gamma_+(\{x^\pm\}) \Gamma_-(\{y\}) | \lambda^{sp} \rangle &= \prod_{i=1}^N \prod_{j=1}^K \frac{1}{(1 - x_i y_j)(1 - x_i^{-1} y_j)} \langle \mu^{sp} | \Gamma_-(\{y\}) \sum_{\tau=(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{l-K})} |\tau^{sp}\rangle \langle \tau^{sp} | \Gamma_+(\{x^\pm\}) | \lambda^{sp} \rangle \\
(5.29) \quad &= \prod_{i=1}^N \prod_{j=1}^K \frac{1}{(1 - x_i y_j)(1 - x_i^{-1} y_j)} \sum_{\tau=(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{l-K})} sp_{\lambda/\tau}(x^\pm) S_{\mu/\tau}^*(y).
\end{aligned}$$

Comparing (5.28) with (5.29), we can get (5.24). (5.25) can be proved similarly. \square

Corollary 5.1. *Skew Schur functions $s_{\lambda/\mu}$, skew symplectic Schur functions $sp_{\lambda/\mu}$ and skew orthogonal Schur functions $o_{\lambda/\mu}$ satisfy the following general branching rules,*

$$(5.30) \quad s_\lambda(x; y) = \sum_{\mu \subset \lambda} s_\mu(x) s_{\lambda/\mu}(y),$$

$$(5.31) \quad sp_\lambda(x^\pm; y^\pm) = \sum_{\mu=(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{n-k})} sp_\mu(x^\pm) sp_{\lambda/\mu}(y^\pm),$$

$$(5.32) \quad o_\lambda(x^\pm; y^\pm) = \sum_{\mu=(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{n-k})} o_\mu(x^\pm) o_{\lambda/\mu}(y^\pm),$$

where $x = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-k})$, $y = (y_1, \dots, y_k)$, $x^\pm = (x_1, x_1^{-1}, \dots, x_{n-k}, x_{n-k}^{-1})$ and $y^\pm = (y_1, y_1^{-1}, \dots, y_k, y_k^{-1})$.

Proof. We only prove (5.31), the other two can be obtained similarly. From (3.14), we have

$$(5.33) \quad \langle 0 | \Gamma_+(x^\pm; y^\pm) | \lambda^{sp} \rangle = sp_\lambda(x^\pm; y^\pm).$$

By (5.26),

$$(5.34) \quad \langle 0 | \Gamma_+(x^\pm; y^\pm) | \lambda^{sp} \rangle = \langle 0 | \Gamma_+(x^\pm) \sum_{\mu=(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{n-k})} |\mu^{sp}\rangle \langle \mu^{sp} | \Gamma_+(y^\pm) | \lambda^{sp} \rangle$$

$$(5.35) \quad = \sum_{\mu=(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{n-k})} sp_\mu(x^\pm) sp_{\lambda/\mu}(y^\pm).$$

Combining (5.33) and (5.34), we obtain the result. \square

Remark 5.2. *For $k = 1$, the general branching rules (5.30), (5.31) and (5.32) reduce to branching rules for (symplectic/orthogonal) Schur functions [14, Theorem 3.1, Theorem 3.2]. The vertex operator constructions for skew-type symmetric functions are based on the general branching rules actually.*

Remark 5.3. *In [15] Lam studied some general symmetric functions via a boson-fermion correspondence. It will be interesting to study and realize the skew symmetric functions using vertex operators.*

APPENDIX A

In this Appendix we give a simple vertex operator proof of Proposition 3.1 and (5.16).

Proof. From the definition of $X(z)$, we have

$$\Gamma_+(\{x\})X(z) = \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{1 - x_i z} X(z) \Gamma_+(\{x\}),$$

i.e.,

$$(5.36) \quad \Gamma_+(\{x\})X_n = \sum_{i \geq 0} h_i(x) X_{n+i} \Gamma_+(\{x\}).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_+(\{x\})|\lambda\rangle &= \Gamma_+(\{x\})X_{-\lambda_1} \dots X_{-\lambda_k} |0\rangle \\ &= \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_k \geq 0} h_{i_1}(x) \dots h_{i_k}(x) X_{-\lambda_1+i_1} \dots X_{-\lambda_k+i_k} |0\rangle \\ &= \sum_{\nu} \sum_{\sigma \in S_k} \epsilon(\sigma) h_{\lambda_1 - \nu_{\sigma(1)} + \sigma(1) - 1}(x) \dots h_{\lambda_k - \nu_{\sigma(k)} + \sigma(k) - k}(x) X_{-\nu_{\sigma(1)} + \sigma(1) - 1} \dots X_{-\nu_{\sigma(k)} + \sigma(k) - k} |0\rangle \\ &= \sum_{\nu} \det(h_{\lambda_i - \nu_j - i + j}(x))_{i,j=1}^k |\nu\rangle = \sum_{\nu} s_{\lambda/\nu}(x) |\nu\rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used (5.5) and the fact $\Gamma_+(\{x\})|0\rangle = |0\rangle$. By (2.10), we have shown Proposition 3.1.

Similarly, using (3.6) and (2.10), we can get

$$(5.37) \quad \langle \lambda | \Gamma_-(\{x\}) | \mu \rangle = s_{\lambda/\mu}(x).$$

It is clear that

$$\Gamma_-(\{x\})|\mu\rangle = \sum_{\mu \subset \lambda} s_{\lambda/\mu}(x) |\lambda\rangle.$$

□

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Ayyer, I. Fischer, *Bijective proofs of skew Schur polynomial factorizations*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A, 174 (40) (2020), 105241.
- [2] T. H. Baker, *Vertex operator realization of symplectic and orthogonal S-functions*, J. Phys. A. 29(12) (1996), 3099-3117.
- [3] D. Betea, *Correlations for symplectic and orthogonal Schur measures*, arXiv:1804.08495, 2018.
- [4] C. Cuenca, V. Gorin, *q-deformed character theory for infinite-dimensional symplectic and orthogonal groups*, Selecta Math. (N.S.) 26(3) (2020), 40, 55 pp.

- [5] E. Date, M. Jimbo, M. Kashiwara, T. Miwa, *Transformation groups for soliton equations*. In: Nonlinear Integrable Systems—Classical Theory and Quantum Theory, pp. 39-119. World Sci. Publishing, Singapore, 1983.
- [6] B. Fauser, P. D. Jarvis, R. C. King, *Plethysms, replicated Schur functions and series, with applications to vertex operators*, J. Phys. A. 43(40) (2010), 405202.
- [7] I.B. Frenkel, V.G. Kac, *Basic representations of affine Lie algebras and dual resonance models*, Invent. Math. 62 (1980), 23-66.
- [8] N. Jing, *Vertex operators, symmetric functions, and the spin group Γ_n* , J. Algebra 138(2) (1991), 340-398.
- [9] N. Jing, *Symmetric polynomials and $U_q(\widehat{sl}_2)$* , Represent. Theory 4 (2000), 46-63.
- [10] N. Jing, N. Rozhkovskaya, *Vertex operators arising from Jacobi-Trudi identities*, Comm. Math. Phys. 346 (2016), 679-701.
- [11] N. Jing, Z. Li, *A note on Cauchy's formula*, arXiv:2202.11175, 2022.
- [12] N. Jing, B. Nie, *Vertex operators, Weyl determinant formulae and Littlewood duality*, Ann. Combin. 19(3) (2015), 427-442.
- [13] K. Koike, I. Terada, *Young diagrammatic methods for the representation theory of the classical groups of types B_n , C_n , D_n* , J. Algebra 107(2) (1987), 466-511.
- [14] K. Koike, I. Terada, *Young diagrammatic methods for the restriction of representations of complex classical Lie groups to reductive subgroups of maximal rank*, Adv. Math. 79 (1990), 104-135.
- [15] T. Lam, *A combinatorial generalization of the Boson-Fermion correspondence*, Math. Res. Lett. 13 (2006), 377-392.
- [16] H. Li, S. Tan, Q. Wang, *A certain Clifford-like algebra and quantum vertex algebras*. Israel J. Math. 216(1) (2016), 441-470.
- [17] D. E. Littlewood, *The theory of group characters and matrix representations of groups*, 2nd ed. Oxford University Press, London, 1950.
- [18] I. G. Macdonald, *Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials*, 2nd edition, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1995.
- [19] E. Meckes, *The random matrix theory of the classical compact groups*, Cambridge University Press, 2019.
- [20] A. Okounkov, *Infinite wedge and random partitions*, Sel. Math. 7 (2001) 57-81.
- [21] R. A. Proctor, *Young Tableaux, Gelfand patterns, and branching rules for classical groups*, J. Algebra 164(2) (1994), 299-360.
- [22] M. Shimozono, M. Zabrocki, *Deformed universal characters for classical and affine algebras*, J. Algebra 299 (2006), 33-61.
- [23] R. P. Stanley, *Enumerative combinatorics*, vol. 2, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.
- [24] S. Sundaram. *Tableaux in the representation theory of the classical Lie groups*, Springer, New York, 1990.
- [25] H. Weyl, *Classical groups: their invariants and representations*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1946.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NORTH CAROLINA STATE UNIVERSITY, RALEIGH, NC 27695, USA

Email address: `jing@ncsu.edu`

SCHOOL OF SCIENCE, HUZHOU UNIVERSITY, HUZHOU, ZHEJIANG 313000, CHINA

Email address: `zhijun1010@163.com`

SCHOOL OF SCIENCE, HUZHOU UNIVERSITY, HUZHOU, ZHEJIANG 313000, CHINA

Email address: `dxwangmath@126.com`