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#### Abstract

As a variant of the much studied Turán number, ex $(n, F)$, the largest number of edges that an $n$-vertex $F$-free graph may contain, we introduce the connected Turán number $\mathrm{ex}_{c}(n, F)$, the largest number of edges that an $n$-vertex connected $F$-free graph may contain. We focus on the case where the forbidden graph is a tree. The celebrated conjecture of Erdős and Sós states that for any tree $T$, we have $\operatorname{ex}(n, T) \leq(|T|-2) \frac{n}{2}$. We address the problem how much smaller $\mathrm{ex}_{c}(n, T)$ can be, what is the smallest possible ratio of $\mathrm{ex}_{c}(n, T)$ and $(|T|-2) \frac{n}{2}$ as $|T|$ grows. We also determine the exact value of $\operatorname{ex}_{c}(n, T)$ for small trees, in particular for all trees with at most six vertices. We introduce general constructions of connected $T$-free graphs based on graph parameters as longest path, matching number, branching number, etc.


## 1. Introduction

One of the most studied problems in extremal graph theory is to determine the Turán number ex $(n, F)$, the largest number of edges that an $n$-vertex graph can have without containing a subgraph isomorphic to $F$. In this paper, we study a variant of this parameter: the connected Turán number $\mathrm{ex}_{c}(n, F)$ is the largest number of edges that a connected $n$-vertex graph can have without containing $F$ as a subgraph. Observe that if $F$ is 2-edge-connected, then any maximal $F$-free graph $G$ is connected, as if $G$ had at least two components, then adding an edge between them would not create any copy of $F$. Also, if the chromatic number of $F$ is at least 3, then by the famous theorem by Erdős, Stone, and Simonovits [5, 6], we know that ex $(n, F)$ is attained asymptotically (and for some graphs precisely) at the Turán graph that is connected. These two observations imply the following proposition.

## Proposition 1.1.

(1) If all components of $F$ are 2-edge-connected, then $\mathrm{ex}(n, F)=\mathrm{ex}_{c}(n, F)$.
(2) If $\chi(F) \geq 3$, then $\operatorname{ex}_{c}(n, F)=(1+o(1)) \operatorname{ex}(n, F)$.

The asymptotics of $\operatorname{ex}(n, F)$ is unknown for most biparite $F$ (for a general overview of the so-called degenrate Turán problems, see the survey by Füredi and Simonovits [7]). And we do not know the relationship of $\operatorname{ex}(n, F)$ and $\mathrm{ex}_{c}(n, F)$ for most bipartite $F$ that are not 2 -edge-connected. There is a relatively large literature on the Turán number of forests (see e.g. [3, 10, 11, 13, 14]), and in many cases the extremal graphs turned out to be connected, so for those forests $F$, we have $\operatorname{ex}(n, F)=\operatorname{ex}_{c}(n, F)$. A wide and important class of connected non-2-edge-connected graphs is the set of trees. A famous conjecture of Erdős and Sós (that

[^0]appeared in print first in [4) states that any $n$-vertex graph with more than $\frac{(k-2) n}{2}$ edges contains any tree $T$ on $k$ vertices. A proof was announced in the early 1990's by Ajtai, Komlós, Simonovits, and Szemerédi, but only arguments of special cases have appeared. A recent survey of these and other degree conditions that imply embeddings of trees is [12]. The universal construction that shows the tigthness of the Erdős-Sós conjecture is the union of vertex-disjoint cliques of size $k-1$. This is not a connected graph and we are only aware of one result concerning ex ${ }_{c}(n, T)$ (but there exist results on Turán problems in connected host graphs, see e.g. [2]). We denote by $P_{k}$ the path on $k$ vertices. The value of $\mathrm{ex}_{c}\left(n, P_{k}\right)$ was determined by Kopylov, and independently by Balister, Győri, Lehel, and Schelp with the latter group also showing the uniqueness of extremal constructions.

Theorem 1.2 (Kopylov 9], Balister, Győri, Lehel, Schelp [1). If $G$ is an n-vertex connected graph that does not contain any paths on $k+1$ vertices, then

$$
e(G) \leq \max \left\{\binom{k-1}{2}+n-k+1,\binom{\left\lceil\frac{k+1}{2}\right\rceil}{ 2}+\left\lfloor\frac{k-1}{2}\right\rfloor\left(n-\left\lceil\frac{k+1}{2}\right\rceil\right)\right\}
$$

holds.
We shall now present the various results obtained concerning $\mathrm{ex}_{c}(n, T)$. Lower bound constructions are given in Section 2 and exact determination of $\mathrm{ex}_{c}(n, T)$ including all trees up to 6 vertices is included in Section 3.

Our first result gathers several constructions, all based on some graph parameters, that provide lower bounds on $\mathrm{ex}_{c}(n, T)$. For those parameters we use the following notation.

## Definition 1.3.

- $\ell(G)$ denotes the number of vertices in a longest path in $G$.
- $p(G)$ denotes the maximum number of vertices in a path $P$ of $G$ such that for all $x \in V(P)$ we have $d_{G}(x) \leq 2$.
- $\Delta(G)$ and $\delta(G)$ denote the maximum and the minimum degree in $G$.
- $\nu(G)$ denotes the number of edges in a largest matching of $G$.
- $\delta_{2}(T)$ denotes the smallest degree in $T$ that is larger than 1 .
- For a vertex $v \in V(T)$ let $m_{T}(v)$ be the size of largest component of $T-v$ and let $m(T)=\min \left\{m_{T}(v): v \in V(T)\right\}$.
- For a vertex $v \in V(T)$ let $m_{T, 2}(v)$ be the sum of the sizes of two largest components of $T-v$ and let $m_{2}(T)=\min \left\{m_{T, 2}(v): v \in V(T)\right\}$.
- For an edge $e=x y \in E(G)$ we write $w(e)=\min \left\{d_{G}(x), d_{G}(y)\right\}$ and define $w(G)=$ $\max \{w(e): e \in E(G)\}$.
Proposition 1.4. Suppose $T$ is a tree on $k \geq 4$ vertices.
(1) $\left.\mathrm{ex}_{c}(n, T) \geq\left(\frac{\lceil(T)}{2}\right\rceil\right)+\left\lfloor\frac{\ell(T)-2}{2}\right\rfloor\left(n-\left\lceil\frac{\ell(T)}{2}\right\rceil\right)$.
(2) $\operatorname{ex}_{c}(n, T) \geq\left(\left({ }_{2}^{k-2 p(T)-3}\right)+p(T)+2\right)\left\lfloor\frac{n}{k-p(T)-2}\right\rfloor$. Furthermore, if $T$ contains at least two vertices of degree at least three, then $\operatorname{ex}_{c}(n, T) \geq \frac{(k-p(T)-1)+p(T)+2}{k} n-O(k)$.
(3) $\mathrm{ex}_{c}(n, T) \geq\left\lfloor\frac{n(\Delta(T)-1)}{2}\right\rfloor$.
(4) $\mathrm{ex}_{c}(n, T) \geq(\nu(T)-1)(n-\nu(T)+1)+\binom{\nu(T)-1}{2}$.
(5) If $T$ is not a star and $\delta_{2}(T)>2$, then $\operatorname{ex}_{c}(n, T) \geq\left\lfloor\frac{n-1}{k-1}\right\rfloor\left(\binom{k-2}{2}+\delta_{2}(T)-1\right)$.
(6) If the bipartition of $T$ consists of classes of sizes $a$ and $b$ with $a \leq b$, then $\operatorname{ex}_{c}(n, T) \geq$ $(a-1)(n-a+1)$.
(7) If $T$ is not a path, then $\operatorname{ex}_{c}(n, T) \geq n-1+\left\lfloor\frac{n-1}{m(T)-1}\right\rfloor\binom{ m(T)-1}{2}$.
(8) $\mathrm{ex}_{c}(n, T) \geq\left\lfloor\frac{n}{k-m_{2}(T)}\right\rfloor\left(1+\binom{k-m_{2}(T)}{2}\right)$.
(9) $\mathrm{ex}_{c}(n, T) \geq(w(T)-1)(n-w(T)+1)$.

According to the Erdős-Sós conjecture, $\operatorname{ex}(n, T)=\frac{k-2}{2} n+O_{k}(1)$. We would like to know how much smaller $\mathrm{ex}_{c}(n, T)$ can be than $\operatorname{ex}(n, T)$. For any tree $T$ we introduce

$$
\gamma_{T}:=\limsup _{n} \frac{2}{|T|-2} \frac{\operatorname{ex}_{c}(n, T)}{n}
$$

where $|T|$ denotes the number of vertices in $T$. It is well-known that any graph with average degree at least $2 d$ contains a subgraph with minimum degree at least $d$. Also, any tree on $k$ vertices can be embedded to any graph with minimum degree at least $k$. This shows that $\gamma_{T} \leq 2$ for any tree $T$ on $k$ vertices. The Erdős-Sós conjecture would imply $\gamma_{T} \leq 1$.

Let $\mathcal{T}_{k}$ denote the set of trees on at least $k$ vertices. We write $\gamma_{k}:=\inf \left\{\gamma_{T}: T \in \mathcal{T}_{k}\right\}$ and $\gamma:=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{k}$ (the limit exists as $\gamma_{k}$ is monotone increasing).
Theorem 1.5. The following upper and lower bounds hold: $\frac{1}{3} \leq \gamma \leq \frac{2}{3}$.
Finally, we determine $\operatorname{ex}_{c}(n, T)$ for all trees on $k$ vertices with $4 \leq k \leq 6$ (note that there do not exist $P_{3}$-free connected graphs), and some trees on 7 vertices. We need some notation first.
$D_{a, b}$ denotes the double star on $a+b+2$ vertices such that the two non-leaf vertices have degree $a+1$ and $b+1$. The star with $k$ leaves is denoted by $S_{k} . S_{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{j}}$ with $j \geq 3$ denotes the spider obtained from $j$ paths with $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{j}$ edges by identifying one endpoint of all paths. So $S_{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{j}}$ has $1+\sum_{i=1}^{j} a_{i}$ vertices and maximum degree $j$. The only vertex of degree at least 3 is the center of the spider, the maximal paths starting at the center are the legs of the spider. $M_{n}$ denotes the matching on $n$ vertices (so if $n$ is odd, then an isolated vertex and $\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor$ isolated edges).

For graphs $H$ and $G$, their join is denoted by $H+G$, their disjoint union is denoted by $H \cup G$. For a graph $H$ and a positive integer $k, k H$ denotes the pairwise vertex-disjoint union of $k$ copies of $H$.

The values of $\mathrm{ex}_{c}\left(n, P_{k+1}\right)$ were determined by Theorem 1.2, and for $k \geq 3$, the statement $\mathrm{ex}_{c}\left(n, S_{k}\right)=\left\lfloor\frac{n(k-1)}{2}\right\rfloor$ follows from Proposition (1.4 (3) and that the degree-sum of an $S_{k}$-free graph is at most $n(k-1)$. So in the next theorem, we only list those trees that are neither paths nor stars. In particular, all trees have 5 or 6 vertices.

Theorem 1.6. For non-star, non-path trees with 5 or 6 vertices, the following exact results are valid.
(1) For any $T=S_{2,1, \ldots, 1}$ we have $\operatorname{ex}_{c}(n, T)=\left\lfloor\frac{n(\Delta(T)-1)}{2}\right\rfloor$ if $n \geq|T|$. In particular, $\operatorname{ex}_{c}\left(n, S_{2,1,1}\right)=n$ if $n \geq 5$ and $\operatorname{ex}_{c}\left(n, S_{2,1,1,1}\right)=\left\lfloor\frac{3 n}{2}\right\rfloor$ if $n \geq 6$.
(2) We have $\operatorname{ex}_{c}\left(n, D_{2,2}\right)=2 n-4$ if $n \geq 6$.
(3) We have $\operatorname{ex}_{c}\left(n, S_{3,1,1}\right)=\left\lfloor\frac{3(n-1)}{2}\right\rfloor$ if $n \geq 7$ and $\operatorname{ex}\left(6, S_{3,1,1}\right)=9$.
(4) We have $\operatorname{ex}_{c}\left(n, S_{2,2,1}\right)=2 n-3$ if $n \geq 6$.

| Number of vertices | Tree | $\mathrm{ex}_{c}(n, T)$ | Construction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | $P_{4}$ | $n-1$ | $S_{n-1}$ |
|  | $S_{3}$ | $n$ | $C_{n}$ |
| 5 | $P_{5}$ | $n$ | $K_{1}+\left(K_{2} \cup E_{n-3}\right)$ |
|  | $S_{4}$ | $\left\lfloor\frac{3 n}{2}\right\rfloor$ | (nearly) 3-regular |
|  | $S_{2,1,1}$ | $n$ | $C_{n}$ |
|  | $P_{6}$ | $2 n-3$ | $K_{2}+E_{n-2}$ |
|  | $S_{5}$ | $2 n$ | 4 -regular |
|  | $S_{2,1,1,1}$ | $\left\lfloor\frac{3 n}{2}\right\rfloor$ | (nearly) 3-regular |
|  | $S_{2,2,1}$ | $2 n-3$ | $K_{2}+E_{n-2}$ |
|  | $S_{3,1,1}$ | $\left\lfloor\frac{3(n-1)}{2}\right\rfloor$ | $K_{1}+M_{n-1}$ |
|  | $D_{2,2}$ | $2 n-4$ | $K_{2, n-2}$ |

TABLE 1. The value of $\mathrm{ex}_{c}(n, T)$ for all trees up to 6 vertices

| Tree | $\operatorname{ex}_{c}(n, T)$ | Construction | Tree | $\operatorname{ex}_{c}(n, T)$ | Construction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $S_{6}$ | $\left\lfloor\frac{5 n}{2}\right\rfloor$ | (nearly) 5-regular | $P_{7}$ | $2 n-2$ | $K_{2}+\left(E_{n-4} \cup K_{2}\right)$ |
| $S_{4,1,1}$ | $\geq 2 n-3$ | $K_{2}+E_{n-2}$ | $S_{3,2,1}$ | $2 n-3$ | $K_{2}+E_{n-2}$ |
| $S_{3,1,1,1}$ | $\left\lfloor\frac{3 n}{2}\right\rfloor$ | (nearly) 3-regular | $S_{2,1,1,1,1}$ | $2 n$ | 4 -regular |
| $S_{2,2,2}$ | $2 n-2$ | $K_{2}+\left(E_{n-4} \cup K_{2}\right)$ | $S_{2,2,1,1}$ | $\geq 2 n-3$ | $K_{2}+E_{n-2}$ |
| $D_{2,2}^{*}$ | $2 n-3$ | $K_{2}+E_{n-2}$ | $D_{2,3}$ | $\geq 2 n-4$ | $K_{2, n-2}$ |
| $S D_{2,2}$ | $\geq \frac{13 n}{7}-O(1)$ | Prop. [1.6 $(2)$ | $D_{2,3}$ | $\geq 2 n-2$ if $6 \mid n-1$ | Prop (1.6] (5) |

TABLE 2. Exact values and lower bounds on $\operatorname{ex}_{c}(n, T)$ for trees with 7 vertices

Let $D_{2,2}^{*}$ be the tree obtained from $D_{2,2}$ by attaching a leaf to one leaf of $D_{2,2}$.
Theorem 1.7. We have ex $x_{c}\left(D_{2,2}^{*}\right)=2 n-3$ for all $n \geq 7$, and ex $\left(D_{2,2}^{*}\right)=\binom{n}{2}$ for $1 \leq n \leq 6$.
Theorem 1.8. We have $e x_{c}\left(S_{2,2,2}\right)=2 n-2$ for all $n \geq 7$, and $e x_{c}\left(S_{2,2,2}\right)=\binom{n}{2}$ for $1 \leq n \leq 6$.
Theorem 1.9. We have ex $\left(S_{3,2,1}\right)=2 n-3$ for all $n \geq 7$, and ex $\left(S_{3,2,1}\right)=\binom{n}{2}$ for $1 \leq n \leq 6$.

Theorem 1.10. For any $T=S_{3,1, \ldots, 1}$ with $\Delta(T) \geq 4$, we have $\operatorname{ex}_{c}(n, T)=\left\lfloor\frac{(\Delta(T)-1) n}{2}\right\rfloor$ if $n$ is large enough.

For a better overview, we include tables with previous results, our results and open cases for trees up to 7 vertices. $S D_{2,2}$ denotes the tree on 7 vertices obtained from the double star $D_{2,2}$ by subdividing the edge connecting its two centers.

## 2. Constructions

Proof of Proposition 1.4. For all lower bounds we need constructions.
To see (1), we use the construction of Kopylov and Balister, Győri, Lehel, and Schelp: let $G_{n, k, s}$ be the graph defined by partitioning $V=X \cup Y \cup Z$ with $|X|=k-2 s,|Y|=s$, and $|Z|=n-k+s$ such that $G[X \cup Y]$ is a clique and the set of all other edges of $G_{n, k, s}$ is $\{(y z): y \in Y, z \in Z\}$. If $k>2 s$, then $G_{n, k, s}$ is $P_{k+1}$-free. Plugging $k=\ell(T)$ and $s=\left\lfloor\frac{\ell(T)-1}{2}\right\rfloor$ proves the claim.

For the general lower bound of (2), we construct a graph $G(V, E)$ as follows: let $s:=$ $\left\lfloor\frac{n}{k-p(T)-1}\right\rfloor$ and let $V$ be partitioned into $K_{1} \cup P_{1} \cup K_{2} \cup P_{2} \cup \cdots \cup K_{s} \cup P_{s}$ with $\left|K_{i}\right|=k-2 p(T)-3$ for all $1 \leq i \leq s,\left|P_{i}\right|=p(T)+1$ for all $1 \leq i<s$. $G\left[K_{i}\right]$ is a clique for all $i$. Every clique $K_{i}$ contains a special vertex $x_{i}$, and $G\left[\left\{x_{i}, x_{i+1}\right\} \cup P_{i}\right]$ is a path with end vertices $x_{i}$ and $x_{i+1}$ (with $x_{s+1}=x_{1}$ ). Then $G$ cannot contain $T$, as a partial copy of $T$ could contain the vertices of a $K_{i}$ and then at most $p(T)$ vertices from both of $P_{i-1}$ and $P_{i}$, so at least one vertex of $T$ cannot be embedded.

To see the furthermore part of (2), we have the following construction $G$ : we partition the vertex set of $G$ into $\{v\} \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{s}\left(C_{i} \cup P_{i}\right)$, where $s=\left\lceil\frac{n-1}{k}\right\rceil$ with $\left|C_{i}\right|=k-p(T)-1$, $\left|P_{i}\right|=p(T)+1$ for all $1 \leq i<s$, and $\left|P_{i}\right| \leq p(T)+1$ and if $\left|C_{i}\right|>0$, then $\left|P_{i}\right|=p(T)+1$. The edges of $G$ are defined such that $G\left[\{v\} \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{s} P_{i}\right]$ is a spider with center $v$ and legs $P_{i}$, $G\left[C_{i}\right]$ is a clique and exactly one vertex of $C_{i}$ is connected to the leaf of the leg in $P_{i}$. The number of edges adjacent to $C_{i} \cup P_{i}$ is $\binom{k-p(T)-1)}{2}+p(T)+2$, therefore $e(G)$ is as claimed. Finally, to see that $G$ is $T$-free, observe that as $T$ contains at least two vertices of degree at least 3 , if $G$ contained a copy of $T$, then this copy should contain a vertex $u$ from one of the $C_{i} \mathrm{~s}$. Also, such a copy cannot contain all vertices of $P_{i}$ as $p(T)<\left|P_{i}\right|$. Therefore, the vertices of the copy of $T$ should be contained in $\left|C_{i}\right|+\left|P_{i}\right|-1<k$ vertices - a contradiction.

To see (3), it is known that there exist connected $k$-regular graphs on $n$ vertices if $n k$ is even and there exist connected $n$-vertex graphs with all but one vertex having degree $k$ and the remaining vertex degree $k-1$ if $n k$ is odd. A connected $(\Delta(T)-1)$-regular or nearly $(\Delta(T)-1)$-regular graph clearly does not contain $T$.

The lower bound of (4) is shown by $K_{\nu(T)-1}+E_{n-\nu(T)+1}$ that has matching number $\nu(T)-1$ and therefore cannot contain $T$.

The lower bound of (5) is shown by the following construction of a connected $n$-vertex $T$-free graph $G$ : we partition the vertex set of $G$ into $\{v\} \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{\left[\frac{n-1}{k-1}\right\rceil}\left(A_{i} \cup\left\{x_{i}\right\}\right)$ with $\left|A_{i}\right|=k-2$ for all $i=1,2, \ldots,\left\lfloor\frac{n-1}{k-1}\right\rfloor$. The edges of $G$ are defined as follows: $G\left[A_{i}\right]$ is a clique, $v$ is adjacent to all $x_{i}$, and $x_{i}$ is adjacent to $\delta_{2}(T)-2$ vertices of $A_{i}$, so $d_{G}\left(x_{i}\right)=\delta_{2}(T)-1$. We claim that
$G$ is $T$-free. Indeed, as $G-v$ has components of size at most $k-1$, a copy of $T$ must contain $v$. As $T$ is not a star, at least one of $v$ 's neighbors is not a leaf and so its degree should be at least $\delta_{2}(T)$. But all $v$ 's neighbors are $x_{i}$ vertices that have degree $\delta_{2}(T)-1$ in $G$.

The construction yielding the lower bound of (6) is $K_{a-1, n-a+1}$ as it does not contain bipartite graphs with both parts having at least $a$ vertices.

The construction yielding the lower bound of (7) is $G=K_{1}+\left(r K_{m(T)-1} \cup K_{s}\right)$, where $r=\left\lfloor\frac{n-1}{m(T)-1}\right\rfloor$ and $s \geq 0$. Indeed, if $G$ contained a copy of $T$, then this copy should contain the vertex $v$ of $K_{1}$ as otherwise $T$ would be contained in $m(T)-1$ vertices. But then we cannot embed the largest branch pending on $v$ as it has size at least $m(T)$.

To obtain the construction yielding the lower bound of (8), we partition the vertex set to $A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, A_{s}, A_{s+1}$ with $s=\left\lfloor\frac{n}{k-m_{2}(T)}\right\rfloor$ and $\left|A_{i}\right|=k-m_{2}(T)$ for all $i=1,2, \ldots, s$. As $T$ is not a path, we have $k-m_{2}(T) \geq 2$, so in each $A_{i}$ we can pick two distinct vertices $x_{i}, y_{i}$, maybe with the exception of $A_{s+1}$. Then we define $G$ as a "cycle of cliques", so $G\left[A_{i}\right]$ is a clique for all $i$, and $x_{i} y_{i+1}$ is an edge (formally there should be three cases depending whether $A_{s+1}$ has size 0 , 1 , or at least 2). To see that $G$ is $T$-free, consider the vertex $v$ with $m_{2}(T)=m_{T, 2}(v)$, i.e. the largest two components $C_{1}, C_{2}$ in $T-v$ have a total size of $m_{2}(T)$. Suppose $G$ contains a copy of $T$ and the vertex playing the role of $v$ belongs to $A_{i}$. Then, as there are only two edges leaving $A_{i}, T$ apart from two components of $T-v$ must be embedded into $A_{i}$. Moreover, since the two edges leave from distinct vertices, at least one vertex of the two exceptional components must also be embedded to $A_{i}$. So $A_{i}$ should contain at least $k-m_{2}(T)+1$ vertices - a contradiction. (If $i=s+1$ and $x_{i}=y_{i}$, then we have the same contradiction, as then $A_{s+1}$ should contain at least $k-m_{2}(T)$ vertices, but $A_{s+1}$ is strictly smaller than that.)

The construction yielding the lower bound of (9) is $K_{w(T)-1, n-w(T)+1}$ as all its edges have weight $w(T)-1$ and thus $K_{w(T)-1, n-w(T)+1}$ cannot contain $T$.

## 3. Proofs

We start by proving Theorem 1.5. It will be a consequence of the following two results.
Theorem 3.1. For any tree $T$ on $k$ vertices, we have $e x_{c}(n, T) \geq\left\lfloor\frac{k}{6}\right\rfloor n$ if $n$ is large enough. Proof. CASE I: $m(T)>\lfloor k / 3\rfloor$.

Then by Proposition 1.4 (7) we have

$$
\operatorname{ex}_{c}(n, T) \geq n-1+\left\lfloor\frac{n-1}{m(T)-1}\right\rfloor\binom{ m(T)-1}{2} \geq(n-1)\left(1+\frac{\lfloor k / 3\rfloor-1}{2}\right) \geq n\left\lfloor\frac{k}{6}\right\rfloor,
$$

if $n$ is large enough.
CASE II: $m(T) \leq\lfloor k / 3\rfloor$.
Let $v$ be a vertex such that $T-v$ contains only components of size at most $\lfloor k / 3\rfloor$ for some vertex $v$. Let $n=s\lfloor k / 3\rfloor+r$ with $r<\lfloor k / 3\rfloor$. Consider the graph $G$ on vertex set $A_{1} \cup A_{2} \cup \cdots \cup A_{s+1}$ with $\left|A_{i}\right|=\lfloor k / 3\rfloor$ for all $1 \leq i \leq s$ and $\left|A_{s+1}\right|=r$ such that $G\left[A_{i}\right]$ is a
clique for all $i$ and $G$ contains one edge between $A_{i}$ and $A_{i+1}$ for all $i$. Again $e(G) \geq\left\lfloor\frac{k}{6}\right\rfloor n$ and $T$ cannot occur as a subgraph in $G$.

Indeed, if there was a copy of $T$ in $G$, then the block containing $v$ splits the path structure of the $A_{i} \mathrm{~s}$ into two parts, and at least one of them should contain at least $(|T|-\lfloor k / 3\rfloor) / 2$ vertices of $T$, which is more than $\lfloor k / 3\rfloor$, hence contradicting the choice of $v$.

The broom, which we denote by $B(k, a)$, is the special spider $S_{a-1,1,1, \ldots, 1}$ on $k$ vertices.

## Theorem 3.2.

(1) For any $a \leq k-2$, we have $\operatorname{ex}_{c}(n, B(k, a)) \geq \max \left\{\left\lfloor\frac{(k-a) n}{2}\right\rfloor,\left\lfloor\frac{a-1}{2}\right\rfloor\left(n-\left\lfloor\frac{a-1}{2}\right\rfloor\right)\right\}$.
(2) For any $a \leq k / 3$, we have $\mathrm{ex}_{c}(n, B(k, a))=\left\lfloor\frac{(k-a) n}{2}\right\rfloor$ if $n$ is large enough.

Proof. The lower bound of $\left\lfloor\frac{(k-a) n}{2}\right\rfloor$ follows from Proposition $1.4(3)$, while, as $\ell(B(k, a))=$ $a+1$, Proposition 1.4 (1) yields the lower bound $\left\lfloor\frac{a-1}{2}\right\rfloor\left(n-\left\lfloor\frac{a-1}{2}\right\rfloor\right)$.

To see the upper bound of (2), let $G(V, E)$ be an $n$-vertex $B(k, a)$-free graph with $a \leq k / 3$. Assume first that there exists a vertex $x$ with $d_{G}(x) \geq k-1$. We claim that $G[V \backslash\{x\}]$ does not contain a path on $2 a-3$ vertices. Indeed, suppose to the contrary that $y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{2 a-3}$ is a path in $G[V \backslash\{x\}]$. Then as $G$ is connected, there exists a path $P$ from $x$ to some $y_{j}$ that does not contain any other $y_{i}$. Then either $x, P, y_{j}, y_{j-1}, \ldots, y_{1}$ or $x, P, y_{j}, y_{j+1}, \ldots, y_{2 a-3}$ contains at least $a$ vertices. So $x$ and the first $a-1$ of them together with the other neighbors of $x$ form a copy of $B(k, a)$ - a contradiction. Theorem 1.2 implies that if $n$ is large enough, then $e(G) \leq n-1+e(G-x) \leq n-1+\left\lfloor\frac{2 a-5}{2}\right\rfloor n \leq a n \leq\left\lfloor\frac{k-a}{2} n\right\rfloor$ as $a \leq k / 3$. This finishes the proof in this case.

Assume finally that $\Delta(G) \leq k-2$. Then if $n$ is large enough, every vertex $x$ of $G$ is the endpoint of a path on $a \cdot k$ vertices, since $G$ is connected and have maximum degree at most $k-2$. Suppose towards a contradiction that $G$ contains a vertex $x$ with $d_{G}(x)=d \geq k-a+1$. Let $z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots z_{d}$ be the neighbors of $x$ and let $x, y_{2}, y_{3}, \ldots, y_{a \cdot k}$ be a path $P$. Then $y_{2}$ is one of the $z_{j}$ 's, and as $d \leq k-2$, there must exist $z_{j}$ such that $z_{j} \in P$, say $z_{j}=y_{i}$ and either $y_{i-1}, y_{i-2}, \ldots, y_{i-a+2}$ or $y_{i+1}, y_{i+2}, \ldots, y_{i+a-2}$ are not neighbors of $x$. Then $x$, these $y_{i}$ s and the neighbors of $x$ form a $B(k, a)$.

We obtained that $\Delta(G) \leq k-a$ must hold, which implies $e(G) \leq\left\lfloor\frac{(k-a) n}{2}\right\rfloor$ as claimed.
Proof of Theorem 1.5. The lower bound follows from Theorem 3.1, the upper bound from Theorem 3.2 (2) with taking $a=\lfloor k / 3\rfloor$.

We continue by proving Theorem 1.6. We restate and prove its parts separately.
Theorem 3.3. For $T=S_{2,1, \ldots, 1,}$, we have $\operatorname{ex}_{c}(n, T)=\left\lfloor\frac{n(\Delta(T)-1)}{2}\right\rfloor$.
Proof. The constructions giving the lower bounds are connected (nearly) regular graphs of degree $\Delta(T)-1$.

If $T=S_{2,1,1, \ldots, 1}$, then the upper bound proof is a special case of Theorem 3.2, but for completeness, we give a simpler proof of this case. If $G$ is a connected, $n$-vertex, $T$-free graph
and for some $x$ we have $d_{G}(x) \geq \Delta(T)$, then $G$ is the star. Indeed, the neighbors of $x$ can be adjacent only to other neighbors of $x$, otherwise $T$ would be a subset of $G$. So by connectivity $N_{G}[x]=V(G)$. But then if there is at least one edge between two neighbors of $x$, then, as $|V(G)| \geq|V(T)|$, again $T$ would be a subgraph of $G$. The star has fewer edges than the claimed maximum, so to have $\operatorname{ex}_{c}(n, T)$ edges, $G$ must be (nearly) $(\Delta(T)-1)$-regular.
Theorem 3.4. We have $\mathrm{ex}_{c}\left(n, D_{2,2}\right)=2 n-4$ for any $n \geq 6$.
Proof. To see the lower bound, observe that $K_{2, n-2}$ is $D_{2,2}$-free as $w\left(K_{2, n-2}\right)=2$, while $w\left(D_{2,2}\right)=3$.

To see the upper bound, observe first that all connected graphs with 6 vertices and at least 9 edges contain a copy of $D_{2,2}$ as can be checked in the table of graphs of [8] on pages 222-224.

Suppose there exists a minimum counterexample: a connected graph $G$ on $n \geq 7$ vertices and $e(G) \geq 2 n-3$ edges with no copy of $D_{2,2}$. We consider several cases.

Case I: $\delta(G) \leq 2$ and there is a vertex $v$ of degree at most 2 which is not a cut-point.
Delete a vertex $v$ of degree 1 or 2 to obtain a connected $H=G \backslash v$ with $|H| \geq 6$. By minimality $e(H) \leq 2(n-1)-4$ and $2 n-3 \leq e(G) \leq e(H)+2 \leq 2(n-1)-4+2=2 n-4$, a contradiction.

Case II: $\delta(G)=2$ and every vertex of degree 2 is a cut-point.
Consider $v$ of degree 2 such that in $H=G-v$ out of the two components $A$ and $B,|A|$ is as small as possible. Let $w$ be the vertex in $A$ adjacent to $v$ and let $z$ be the vertex in $B$ adjacent to $v$.

If $|A| \geq 6$ then by minimality of $G, 2 n-3 \leq e(G) \leq 2|A|-4+2|B|-4+2=2(|A|+|B|+$ 1) $-8=2 n-8$, a contradiction. Otherwise $3 \leq|A| \leq 5$ as $|A| \leq 2$ would imply $\delta(G)=1$ and we were in Case I. Also, $|A| \geq 4$ as $|A|=3$ would imply that $A$ must contain a vertex of degree 2 which is not a cut-point and we were in Case I again.

Suppose $|A|=5$. If $d_{G}(w)=2$ then $|A|$ is not minimum, so in the induced subgraph on $A$ all vertices have degree at least 2 and $d_{G}(w) \geq 3$. But then the induced graph on $A$ either contains a vertex of degree 2 which is not a cut-point and we are in Case I or all degrees in $G[A \cup\{v\}]$ (except for $v$ ) are at least 3. Then one can find a copy of $D_{2,2}$ with $w$ being one of the centers and $v$ being a leaf pending from $w$. Indeed, by the degree condition, $G[A \backslash\{w\}]$ contains a $C_{4}$, so if $N(w)$ contains two non-neighbor vertices $x, y$ of this $C_{4}$, then $x$ can be the other center of the copy of $D_{2,2}$ and $y$ the other leaf pending from $w$. Otherwise $w$ has exactly two neighbors in $A$, and then by the degree condition $G[A \backslash\{w\}]$ is $K_{4}$ and it is trivial to embed $D_{2,2}$.

Finally suppose $|A|=4$. As $|B| \geq|A|=4$, it follows that $B^{*}=B \cup\{v, w\}$ has at least 6 vertices and $\left|B^{*}\right|=n-3$, and hence by minimality of $G, e\left(B^{*}\right)$ contains at most $2(n-3)-4$ edges and together with at most 6 edges in $A$ gives $e(G) \leq 2 n-10+6=2 n-4-$ a contradiction.

CASE III: $\delta(G) \geq 3$.

If all vertices are of degree 3 , we have $3 n / 2$ edges, which is at most $2 n-4$ for $n \geq 8$. For $n=7$ this is impossible by parity, hence $\delta(G) \geq 3$ and $\Delta(G) \geq 4$. Consider an edge $e=x y$ with $d_{G}(y)=\Delta(G) \geq 4$ and $d_{G}(x) \geq 3$.

If $d_{G}(y) \geq 5$, then for $u, u^{\prime} \in N(x)$ we have $\left|N(y) \backslash\left\{x, u, u^{\prime}\right\}\right| \geq 2$, so $x$ and $y$ are centers of a copy of $D_{2,2}$. If $d_{G}(y)=4$ and $d_{G}(x)=4$ then either $x$ and $y$ have distinct neighbors $s$ not in $N[y]$ and $t$ not in $N[x]$ and we find a copy of $D_{2,2}$ with centers $x, y$, or $x$ and $y$ are twins having the same neighbors $a, b, c$ excluding themselves. But as $|G| \geq 7$, at least one vertex, say $a$, has a neighbor $d$ not adjacent to the other 4 vertices and then $a$ and $x$ can be centers of $D_{2,2}$ with $y$ and $d$ pending from $a$.

So we can assume that all vertices have degree 3 or 4 and vertices of degree 4 form an independent set $Q$. Let $P=V \backslash Q$, and consider the bipartite $G[P, Q]$ where $p+q=n$, $|P|=p$ and $|Q|=q$. Clearly, $4 q=e(P, Q) \leq 3 p$. Hence $3 n=3 q+3 p \geq 7 q$ and $q \leq 3 n / 7$, $p \geq 4 n / 7$. But then

$$
e(G)=\frac{4 q+3 p}{2} \leq \frac{12 n / 7+12 n / 7}{2}=\frac{12 n}{7}<2 n-3
$$

for $n \geq 11$. So we are left with $n=7,8,9,10$.
For $n=7: q \leq 3 n / 7=3$ and $q$ must be an integer. If $q=3$, then $G=K_{4,3}$ containing $D_{2,2}$. The case $q=2$ is impossible as the degree sum would be odd (by the number $p$ of odd-degree vertices). Hence $q=1$ and $p=6$. Consider a vertex $v$ of degree 4 and its neighbors $a, b, c, d$ all of degree 3. If say $a$ is adjacent to a vertex outside $\{v, b, c, d\}$, then there is $D_{2,2}$. But as this holds for all of $a, b, c, d$ it means $A=\{v, a, b, c, d\}$ has no neighbor in $V \backslash A$ and $G$ is not connected.

For $n=8$, we still have $q \leq\left\lfloor\frac{3 n}{7}\right\rfloor=3$ and $p \geq 5$. But $p=5,7$ are impossible, again due to parity, hence $q=2$ and $p=6$. Let $Q=\{a, b\}$ be the set of vertices of degree 4 , and let $P=V \backslash Q$. If some vertex $x$ in $P$ is adjacent to both $a$ and $b$, then consider the only neighbor $z$ of $x$ in $P$. Here $a$ is adjacent to $x$ and three more vertices in $P$, so at least two vertices except $x$ and $z$ are neighbors of $a$ and $x$ can use $z$ and $b$ to obtain a copy of $D_{2,2}$ with centers $x$ and $a$. Hence every vertex in $P$ is adjacent to at most one vertex in $Q$, yielding $|P| \geq e(P, Q)=2|Q|-a$ contradiction.

For $n=9$, we have $q \leq\left\lfloor\frac{3 n}{7}\right\rfloor=3$. The case $q=2$ is impossible by parity and $q=1, p=8$ implies $e(G)=(4+24) / 2=14=2 n-4$ as stated by the theorem. So only $q=3, p=6$ is to be checked. Let $Q=\{a, b, c\}$ be the set of vertices of degree 4 , and let $P=V \backslash Q$. If some vertex $v$ in $P$ has at least two neighbors in $Q$, say $a, b$, then we have a copy of $D_{2,2}$ with centers $v$ and $a$, as all the four neighbors of $a$ are in $P$ and at most two of them belong to $N[x]$. So every vertex in $P$ can have at most one neighbor in $Q$ and as in the previous case we have $|P| \geq e(P, Q)=4|Q|$ - a contradiction.

For $n=10, q \leq\left\lfloor\frac{3 n}{7}\right\rfloor=4$, and so parity of the degree sum implies $q=4$ or $q=2$. If $q=2$ then $e(G)=(8+24) / 2=16=2 n-4$ as stated in the theorem, so only $q=4, p=6$ remains to be checked.

Let $Q=\{a, b, c, d\}$ be the set of vertices of degree 4, and let $P=V \backslash Q$. If some vertex $v$ in $P$ has all its neighbors in $Q$, say $a, b, c$, then we obtain a copy of $D_{2,2}$ with centers $v$ and $a$. Otherwise, we have $4|Q|=e(P, Q) \leq 2|P|$, a contradiction.

Theorem 3.5. $\mathrm{ex}_{c}\left(n, S_{3,1,1}\right)=\left\lfloor\frac{3(n-1)}{2}\right\rfloor$ if $n \geq 7$ and ex $\left(6, S_{3,1,1}\right)=9$.
Proof. The lower bounds are shown by $K_{1}+M_{n-1}$ for $n \geq 7$ and by $K_{3,3}$ for $n=6$. The former is $S_{3,1,1}$ free as shown in Proposition 1.4 (7) with $m\left(S_{3,1,1}\right)=3$. The graph $K_{3,3}$ is $S_{3,1,1}$ - free as the bipartition of $S_{3,1,1}$ has a part of size 4 .

To obtain the upper bound, we consider an $S_{3,1,1}$ free connected graph $G$. The general idea is to choose a longest cycle $C=v_{1} v_{2}, \ldots, v_{k}$ in $G$, and argue depending on its length $k$.

If $k=n$, then $C$ is a Hamiltonian cycle. It cannot have short chords; e.g. if $v_{2} v_{4}$ is an edge, then $S_{3,1,1}$ can have center $v_{2}$ and legs $v_{2} v_{1}, v_{2} v_{3}, v_{2} v_{4} v_{5} v_{6}$. Moreover if $n>6$, then longer chords cannot occur either. Indeed, if $v_{2} v_{j}$ with $j=5, \ldots, n-2$ is an edge, then $v_{2}$ with $v_{j}$ and its two successors can form the leg of length 3 . Likewise for $j=6, \ldots, n-1$ such a leg can be formed using the two predecessors of $v_{j}$, still keeping the legs $v_{2} v_{1}$ and $v_{2} v_{3}$. This excludes all chords if $n>6$, hence $|E(G)|=n$. If $n=6$, then antipodal vertices can be adjacent without creating any copy of $S_{3,1,1}$, but no other chords may occur. In this way we obtain the extremal graph $K_{3,3}$.

Assume next that $4<k<n$. We show that this is impossible whenever $n \geq 6$. Since $G$ is connected, there is a vertex $x$ not in $C$ but having at least one neighbor in $C$. If e.g. $x v_{2}$ is an edge, we find $S_{3,1,1}$ with center $v_{2}$ and legs $x v_{2}, v_{2} v_{1}, v_{2} v_{3} v_{4} v_{5}$.

Assume now $k=4, C=v_{1} v_{2} v_{3} v_{4}, n \geq 6$. If $P$ is any path with one end in $C$ and all its other vertices in $V(G) \backslash V(C)$, then $P$ can have no more than two edges, otherwise $S_{3,1,1}$ would be found, with the long leg in $P$ and the two short legs in $C$. We are going to prove that if $P$ is shorter than 3, the number of edges in $G$ is smaller than what is given in the theorem.

If $P$ has length 2, let $x y v_{1}$ be a path attached to $C$. Then the edges $x v_{2}, x v_{3}, x v_{4}, y v_{2}, y v_{4}$ cannot be present because $C$ is a longest cycle. Also the edges $v_{1} v_{3}$ and $v_{2} v_{4}$ are excluded because $G$ is $S_{3,1,1}-$ free. This implies $|E(G)| \leq 8$ if $n=6$. If $n>6$, there should be a further vertex $z$ adjacent to $C \cup P$, but any edge from $z$ to $C \cup P$ would create an $S_{3,1,1}$. (For $z x$ the center is $v_{1}$, and for any other edge the center is the neighbor of $z$.) Hence $n>6$ is impossible in this case.
Suppose that $P=y v_{1}$ is a single edge not extendable to a longer path outside $C$. Then a sixth vertex $x$ can only be adjacent to $v_{2}$ or $v_{4}$ (or both), otherwise an $S_{3,1,1}$ would occur. And also here, it is not possible to extend this graph to a connected graph of order 7 without creating an $S_{3,1,1}$ subgraph. Hence $n=6$. Moreover, the diagonals of $C$ must be missing; e.g. the edges $x v_{2}$ and $v_{2} v_{4}$ would yield $S_{3,1,1}$ with center $v_{2}$ and legs $x v_{2}, v_{2} v_{3}, v_{2} v_{4} v_{1} y$. Thus the number of edges is only 4 plus the degree sum of $x$ and $y$, which is at most 7 because the presence of all four edges $x v_{2}, x v_{4}, y v_{1}, y v_{3}$ would make $G$ Hamiltonian, hence $C$ would not be a longest cycle.

Finally we have to consider graphs without any cycles longer than 3. It means that each block of $G$ is $K_{2}$ or $K_{3}$. Let $f(n)$ denote the maximum number of edges in such a graph. We
clearly have $f(1)=0, f(2)=1, f(3)=3$. Let $B$ be an endblock of $G$, with cut vertex $w$. Deleting $B-w$ from $G$ we obtain a $S_{3,1,1}$-free connected graph of order $n-|V(B)|+1$, where $|V(B)|$ is 2 or 3 . Hence

$$
f(n) \leq \max \{f(n-1)+1, f(n-2)+3\} .
$$

This recursion implies $f(n) \leq\lfloor 3(n-1) / 2\rfloor$ for every $n$, completing the proof of the upper bound for $n \geq 7$.
Theorem 3.6. $\mathrm{ex}_{c}\left(n, S_{2,2,1}\right)=2 n-3$ if $n \geq 6$.
Proof. The lower bound is shown by $K_{2}+E_{n-2}$ as it has matching number 2, while $\nu\left(S_{2,2,1}\right)=3$.
To obtain the upper bound on $\operatorname{ex}_{c}\left(n, S_{2,2,1}\right)$, we proceed by induction: for $n=6$ every connected graph on 6 vertices and 10 edges contains $S_{2,2,1}$ (by inspecting the table of graphs of [8] on pages 222-224).

For the induction step assume that the statement of the theorem holds for graphs of at most $n-1$ vertices and assume on the contrary that $G$ is a connected graph on $n$ vertices and $2 n-2$ edges without $S_{2,2,1}$. Here $2 n-2$ suffices as otherwise if $e(G) \geq 2 n-1$, we can delete an edge on a cycle.

If $\delta(G) \leq 2$ and there is a vertex $v$ of degree at most 2 which is not a cut-point, then we can apply induction to $H=F-v$ to obtain $e(G) \leq e(H)+2 \leq 2(n-1)-3+2=2 n-3$, a contradiction.

Suppose $\delta(G)=2$ and every vertex of degree 2 is a cut-point. Then let $v$ be such a cut-point with neighbors $x$ and $y$. Consider $H=G-v+(x y)$. Here $|H|=n-1$ and $e(H)=2 n-2-2+1=2(n-1)-2+1$, hence by induction $H$ contains a copy $S$ of $S_{2,2,1}$. If $S$ does not use the edge $x y$, then $S$ is also in $G$ - a contradiction. If $S$ uses $x y$ such that one of $x$ and $y$, say $x$, is a leaf in $S$, then replace $x$ by $v$ and the edge $x y$ by $v y$ to obtain a copy $S^{\prime}$ of $S_{2,2,1}$ in $G$ - a contradiction. Finally, if $x y$ is the edge of a 2 -leg of $S$ containing the center, say $x$ and the leg is $x y z$, then replace this leg by $x v y$ to obtain $S^{\prime}$ in $G$ - a contradiction.

So we can assume $\delta(G) \geq 3$. If all vertices are of degree 3 , then $e(G)=3 n / 2<2 n-2$. If all vertices are of degree at least 4 , then $e(G) \geq 2 n>2 n-2$, hence there exists a vertex $y$ of degree 3 adjacent to a vertex $x$ of degree at least 4 . Let $u, v$ be the other two neighbors of $y$, and let $z \neq u, v, y$ be a neighbor of $x$. If $u$ or $v$ has a neighbor outside these 5 vertices, then we obtain a copy of $S_{2,2,1}$ with center $y$. If not and $N(x)=\{u, v, y, z\}$, then $z$ must have a neighbor outside these 5 vertices and we obtain a copy of $S_{2,2,1}$ with center $x$. Finally, if $N(u) \cup N(v) \subseteq\{u, v, x, y, z\}$ and $z^{\prime}$ is another neighbor of $x$, then $d_{G}\left(z^{\prime}\right) \geq 3$ implies that $z^{\prime}$ must have a neighbor outside these 6 vertices, and we obtain a copy of $S_{2,2,1}$ with center $x$. This contradiction finishes the proof.

Proof of Theorem 1.7. The assertion is trivial for $n<7$. For larger $n$ the split graph construction $K_{2}+E_{n-2}$ shows that $2 n-3$ is a lower bound.

To derive the same as an upper bound, assume $n>6$ and consider any $D_{2,2}^{*}$-free graph $G$ of order $n$ with more than $2 n-4$ edges. Then, by Theorem (1.6 (2), there is a $D=D_{2,2}$ subgraph in $G$; let the central edge of $D$ be $x y$.

If some vertex not in $D$ is adjacent to a leaf of $D$, then a copy of $D_{2,2}^{*}$ arises, a contradiction. More generally, there cannot exist any vertex at distance exactly 2 from $\{x, y\}$. By the connectivity of $G$, it follows that every vertex of $G$ is adjacent to at least one of $x$ and $y$. On this basis we partition $V(G)-\{x, y\}$, defining

$$
X=N(x)-N[y], \quad Y=N(y)-N[x], \quad Z=N(x) \cap N(y) .
$$

Let us assume $|Y| \geq|X|$. Due to the presence of $D_{2,2}$ we know that $|X|+|Z| \geq 2$ holds. Moreover, $|Y| \geq|X|$ with $n \geq 7$ implies $|Y|+|Z| \geq 3$. Hence there cannot be any $X-Y$ edges, moreover $Y \cup Z$ is an independent set, both because $G$ is $D_{2,2}^{*}$-free. For the same reason, if $|X|+|Z|>2$, then also $X \cup Z$ is independent. In this case the entire $X \cup Y \cup Z$ is independent and $G$ cannot have more than $2 n-3$ edges, yielding just the extremal split graph $K_{2}+E_{n-2}$. Otherwise, if $|X|+|Z|=2$, there can be just one edge inside $X \cup Z$, hence we have 6 edges in the $K_{4}$ subgraph induced by $X \cup Z \cup\{x, y\}$, and there are further $n-4$ edges from $Y$ to $y$. These are altogether $n+2$ edges only, i.e. fewer than the assumed $2 n-3$. This contradiction completes the proof.

Proof of Theorem 1.8. To simplify notation, let $f(n)=\operatorname{ex}_{c}\left(n, S_{2,2,2}\right)$. The lower bound for $n \leq 7$ is obtained by the following construction that works for all $n$. Take a complete graph $K_{4}$ on the vertex set $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}, v_{4}\right\}$ and join all $v_{i}$ for $i=5,6, \ldots, n$ to $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$. Equivalently, $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ are universal vertices, supplemented with the single edge $v_{3} v_{4}$. This connected graph with $2 n-2$ edges does not contain $S_{2,2,2}$ because it is not possible to delete two vertices from $S_{2,2,2}$ to destroy all but one edges.

The argument for the upper bound applies induction on $n$, with basic cases $n \leq 7$, from which only $n=7$ is nontrivial. We note here that $n=5$ and $n=6$ are the only cases where $2 n-2$ is not an upper bound on the formula given for $f(n)$.

For $n=7$ the assertion is that every connected graph $G$ with 7 vertices and at least 13 edges contains $S_{2,2,2}$ as a subgraph. To prove it, suppose first that G has a cut-point $x$, and consider the vertex distribution between the components of $G-x$. If it is $(3,3)$ - where we unite components if there are more than two, e.g. the distribution $(3,2,1)$ is also viewed as $(3,3)$ - then already 9 nonadjacencies are found, hence $G$ would have at most $21-9=12$ edges, a contradiction. If the distribution is $(2,4)$, then it forces 8 nonadjacencies, hence $G$ must be the graph in which the two blocks incident with $x$ are $K_{3}$ and $K_{5}$. Obviously this graph contains $S_{2,2,2}$. If the distribution is $(1,5)$, then $x$ has a pendant neighbor, say $y$, and $G-y$ is a connected graph of order 6 , having at least 12 edges. Routine inspection shows that all such graphs $G$ contain $S_{2,2,2}$.

Assume that $G$ is 2-connected. If $G$ has minimum degree 3, then $G$ has a Hamiltonian cycle, say $C=v_{1} v_{2} v_{3} v_{4} v_{5} v_{6} v_{7}$. (More generally it is well known that a graph of order $2 d+1$ and minimum degree $d$ is non-Hamiltonian if and only if either it is the complete bipartite graph $K_{d, d+1}$ or it has two blocks incident with a cut vertex, both blocks being $K_{d+1}$; in our case both of them would have only 12 edges.) The presence of any long chord in $C$, e.g. $v_{3} v_{6}$ immediately creates an $S_{2,2,2}$ with center $v_{3}$ and legs $v_{3} v_{2} v_{1}, v_{3} v_{4} v_{5}, v_{3} v_{6} v_{7}$. Moreover, any three consecutive short chords, e.g. $v_{2} v_{4}, v_{3} v_{5}, v_{4} v_{6}$ create an $S_{2,2,2}$ with center $v_{4}$ and legs
$v_{4} v_{2} v_{1}, v_{4} v_{3} v_{5}, v_{4} v_{6} v_{7}$. And now at least one of these situations holds because in general a cycle of length $n$ without three consecutive short chords and with no other chords at all can have no more than $n+2 n / 3<2 n-2$ edges if $n \geq 7$.

Hence in the 2-connected case $G$ has minimum degree exactly 2 , and if we remove a vertex $x$ of degree 2, we obtain a graph on 6 vertices with at least 11 edges. If it is $K_{5}$ with a pendant edge, then the pendant vertex must be adjacent to $x$ and we immediately find $S_{2,2,2}$. Otherwise there can be at most one vertex of degree 2 in $G-x$, hence it contains a $C_{6}$, say $v_{1} v_{2} v_{3} v_{4} v_{5} v_{6}$ (as a rather particular corollary of Pósa's theorem). If the two neighbors of $x$ are antipodal in $C$, e.g. $v_{3}$ and $v_{6}$, we find $S_{2,2,2}$ with center $v_{3}$ and legs $v_{3} x v_{6}, v_{3} v_{2} v_{1}, v_{3} v_{4} v_{5}$. If the two neighbors of $x$ are consecutive in $C$, then $C$ extends to $C_{7}$ which we already settled. Hence we can assume that the neighbors of $x$ are $v_{2}$ and $v_{4}$. Since $C$ has at least 5 chords, some of the five chords $v_{1} v_{3}, v_{1} v_{4}, v_{2} v_{5}, v_{3} v_{5}, v_{3} v_{6}$ must be present, and each of them creates $S_{2,2,2}$ with $x$ and the edges of $C$. This completes the proof of $f(7)=12$.

Turning now to the inductive step, assume that $n \geq 8$ and that the upper bound $2 n-2$ is valid for all smaller orders other than 5 and 6 . Depending on the structure of the graph under consideration, we will apply one of the following upper bounds:

$$
f(n-1)+2, \quad f(n-3)+6, \quad f(n-6)+12 .
$$

Suppose that $G$ is an $S_{2,2,2}$-free connected graph of order $n \geq 8$, and $G$ is $S_{2,2,2}$-saturated, i.e. the insertion of any new edge inside $V(G)$ would create an $S_{2,2,2}$ subgraph. Under the latter assumption we observe the following.

Claim 3.7. If $x$ is a vertex of degree 2 , say with neighbors $y$ and $z$, then $y z$ is also an edge of $G$.

Proof of Claim. Otherwise $y x z$ would be an induced path in $G$. Let then $G^{\prime}$ be the graph obtained by the insertion of edge $y z$. By assumption there is an $S=S_{2,2,2}$ subgraph in $G^{\prime}$, which necessarily contains the edge $y z$. If $y z$ is a leaf edge of $S$, then of course the degree-3 center of $S$ cannot be $x$, it must be another vertex $w$ adjacent to $y$ or to $z$. But then $z$ or $y$ is a leaf vertex of $S$, and replacing $y z$ with $y x$ or $z x$ we find another copy of $S_{2,2,2}$ which is a subgraph of $G$, a contradiction. The other possibility would be that $y$ or $z$ is the degee-3 vertex of S , and the edge $y z$ is continued with a leaf edge $z w$ or $y w$ (allowing also $w=x$ ). But then $x$ cannot be a mid-vertex of any leg of $S$ since $x$ does not have a neighbor other than $y$ and $z$. Hence the leg $y z w$ or $z y w$ can be replaced with $y x z$ or $z x y$, and we would again find a copy of $S_{2,2,2}$ as a subgraph of $G$.

As a consequence of Claim 3.7, if $G$ has a vertex of degree 1 or 2 , then $|E(G)| \leq f(n-1)+2 \leq$ $2 n-2$ follows by induction, because deleting a vertex of minimum degree the graph remains connected. Hence from now on we may assume that $G$ has minimum degree at least 3 .

Let $C=v_{1} v_{2} v_{3} v_{4} \ldots v_{s}$ be a longest cycle in $G$. We have already seen that if $s=n$, then $|E(G)| \leq 5 n / 3<2 n-2$. Next, we observe that if $n>s \geq 5$, then $V(G) \backslash V(C)$ is an independent set. Indeed, if $x y$ is an edge outside $C$ then there is a path $P$ (possibly an edge) from $\{x, y\}$ to $C$ and in this case a copy of $S_{2,2,2}$ is easily found using edges of $C$, with two
edges from $P \cup\{x y\}$. E.g., if $v_{3} x$ is an edge, then $S_{2,2,2}$ can have center $v_{3}$ and legs $v_{3} x y$, $v_{3} v_{2} v_{1}, v_{3} v_{4} v_{5}$. Thus, every vertex outside of $C$ has at least three neighbors in $C$. Moreover, no two of those neighbors are consecutive in $C$, because $C$ is longest. This immediately excludes $s=5$. But also $s>5$ is impossible because if e.g. $v_{2}, v_{4}, v_{6}$ are neighbors of $x$, then an $S_{2,2,2}$ can have center $x$ and legs $x v_{2} v_{1}, x v_{4} v_{3}, x v_{6} v_{5}$.

As a consequence, investigations are reduced to $S_{2,2,2}$-free connected graphs with minimum degree 3 and without any cycles longer than 4 . Such a graph $G$ cannot be 2-connected (because due to Dirac's theorem, 2 -connectivity would imply the presence of a cycle longer than 5). Hence $G$ contains at least two endblocks.

Let $B$ be an endblock of $G$, attached with cut-point $w$ to the other part of $G$. We argue that $B$ induces $K_{4}$ in $G$. All vertices of $B$ except $w$ have degree at least 3 inside $B$, therefore $B$ contains a 4-cycle, say $C^{\prime}=w x y z$. If there is a vertex $u$ in $V(B) \backslash V\left(C^{\prime}\right)$, then 2-connectivity of $B$ and the exclusion of cycles longer than 4 imply that there are exactly two neighbors of $u$ in $C$, either $w$ and $y$, or $x$ and $z$. But then there must exist a third neighbor $v$ of $u$ not in $C$, and $v$ also has two neighbors in $C$; and then a cycle longer than 4 would occur. Thus $B$ is a $K_{4}$ indeed.

Now we are in a position to complete the proof of the theorem by induction on $n$. Consider any maximal $S_{2,2,2}$ free connected graph $G$ of order $n>7$ that has at least $2 n-2$ edges. If $G$ has a vertex of degree at most 2 , then apply the upper bound $f(n-1)+2$.

If $G$ has minimum degree at least 3 , we know that $G$ is not 2 -connected. Then:
If $n=8$ or $n=9$, remove all the 6 non-cutting vertices of two $K_{4}$ endblocks of $G$ and apply the upper bound $f(n-6)+12$. This yields $|E(G)| \leq 13$ for $n=8$ and $|E(G)| \leq 15$ for $n=9$, both are smaller than $2 n-2$.

If $n \geq 10$, remove the 3 non-cutting vertices of a $K_{4}$ endblock of $G$ and apply the upper bound $f(n-3)+6$. This yields $|E(G)| \leq 2 n-2$.

Remark 3.8. The extremal graphs are not unique if $n \geq 7$. In the graph constructed at the beginning of the proof we can remove three vertices of degree 2 and attach a block $K_{4}$ to one of the two high-degree vertices. As another alternative for $n \geq 10$, we can remove six vertices of degree 2 and attach two blocks isomorphic to $K_{4}$, one block to each high-degree vertex. A further extremal graph of order 7 can be obtained from $K_{5}$ by attaching two pendant edges to a vertex of $K_{5}$.

Proof of Theorem 1.9. A lower bound for $n \geq 7$ is the split graph $K_{2}+E_{n-2}$ with $2 n-3$ edges which does not even contain $S_{2,2,1}$ and hence $S_{3,2,1}$ cannot be a subgraph either.

The proof of the upper bound proceeds by induction on $n$. The base case $n=7$ is left to the Reader.

Aassume $G$ is a minimum connected counterexample with $n \geq 8$ vertices and has at least $2 n-2$ edges but no copy of $S_{3,2,1}$.

If $G$ contains a vertex $v$ of degree at most 2 such that $H=G-v$ is connected, then, by minimality, $e(H) \leq 2(n-1)-3$ hence $2 n-2 \leq e(G) \leq e(H)+2 \leq 2 n-3$, a contradiction.

Next, assume $v$ is a cut-point with neighbors $x$ and $y$. Consider the graph $H$ that we obtain from $G$ by deleting $v$ and adding the edge $x y$. We will show that if $H$ contains $S_{3,2,1}$ then so does $G$. Let $A$ be the component containing $x$ and $B$ the component containing $y$. By symmetry we may assume that if $H$ contains a copy $S$ of $S_{3,2,1}$, then its center is in $A$ and so $B$ can contain vertices of at most one leg of $S$. We consider cases according to the number of vertices in $S \cap B$. If $A$ contains $S_{3,2,1}$ completely, then so does $G$. If $A$ contains all of $S_{3,2,1}$ except for a leaf played by $y$, then the same copy with $v$ replacing $y$ is contained in $G$.

If $S \cap B=\{y, w\}$, then the leg of $S$ ending $x-y-w$ can be replaced in $G$ with $x-v-y$ to obtain a copy $S^{\prime}$ of $S_{3,2,1}$. If $S \cap B=\{y, w, z\}$, then the leg of $S$ ending $x-y-w-z$ can be replaced in $G$ with $x-v-y-w$ to obtain a copy $S^{\prime}$ of $S_{3,2,1}$.
So, as proved, $H$ must be $S_{3,2,1}$-free, hence $2 n-2 \leq e(G) \leq e(H)+1 \leq 2(n-1)-3+1 \leq$ $2 n-4$, a contradiction.

Therefore, from now on we may assume $\delta(G) \geq 3$. By Theorem (1.6 (4), we know that $G$ contains a copy $S$ of $S_{2,2,1}$. Let $v$ be the center of $S$ with legs $v-u, v-x-y$, and $v-a-b$. If $y$ or $b$ has a neighbor not in $S$, then $G$ contains a copy of $S_{3,2,1}$ - a contradiction.

Suppose $x$ (or $a$ ) has a neighbor $z$ not in S. Then $z$ cannot be adjacent to any of $v, y, a, b$ as a copy of $S_{3,2,1}$ would appear. Also, $z$ cannot be adjacent to any vertex outside $S$ as again a copy of $S_{3,2,1}$ would appear in $G$. By $\delta(G) \geq 3$, $z$ must be adjacent to $u, x$, and $a$, but then a copy of $S_{3,2,1}$ ( this time with center $z$ ) would appear in $G$.

We have shown so far that $x, y, a, b$ cannot have neighbors outside $S$.
If $u$ has at least two neighbors $z$ and $w$ outside $S$, then they cannot be adjacent (it would create the leg $v-u-z-w$ of a copy of $\left.S_{3,2,1}\right)$ and none of them can have a neighbor outside $S$ as a copy of $S_{3,2,1}$ would appear in $G$. As shown above, they cannot be adjacent to any of $x, y, a, b$ hence they have degree at most 2 (with neighbors $u$ and possibly $v$ ) contradicting $\delta(G) \geq 3$.

If $u$ has just one neighbor, say $z$ outside $S$, then $z$ cannot have a neighbor outside $S$ as a copy of $S_{3,2,1}$ would appear, and as before, $z$ cannot be adjacent to any of $x, y, a, b$ hence $z$ can be adjacent to at most $u$ and $v$ but then $d_{G}(z) \leq 2$ contradicts $\delta(G) \geq 3$.

So the only vertex of $S$ that can have further neighbors outside $S$ is $v$. We claim that there cannot exist a path $v-w-z$ with $w, z \notin S$. Indeed, if $w, z$ existed, then any of the edges $a x$, ay would create a copy of $S_{3,2,1}$ with center $a$. Similarly, any of the edges $x a, x b$ would create a copy of $S_{3,2,1}$ with center $b$. But then $\delta(G) \geq 3$ implies the presence of $u a$ and $u x$ in $G$ creating a copy of $S_{3,2,1}$ with center $u$. Therefore all vertices outside $S$ must have degree 1, which case has already been dealt with. This finishes the proof of the induction step.

Proof of Theorem 1.10. It is enough to prove that if $G$ is a connected $n$-vertex graph with $\Delta(G) \geq \Delta(T)$, then $G$ contains $T$ or $e(G) \leq\left\lfloor\frac{(\Delta(T)-1) n}{2}\right\rfloor$. So fix a vertex $v$ with $d_{G}(v)=$ $\Delta(G) \geq \Delta(T)$ and consider the partition $\{v\}, N(v),{ }_{X}:=V(G) \backslash N[v]$.

If $X$ contains an edge $x y$, then by connectivity of $G$, there must exist a path (maybe a single edge) from $x y$ to $N(v)$ and we find a copy of $T$ in $G$. So we may assume that $X$ is independent, and thus by connectivity of $G$, every $x \in X$ is adjacent to at least one $u \in N(v)$.

CASE I: $d_{G}(v)=\Delta(G)>\Delta(T)$.
Then any $x \in X$ is adjacent to exactly one vertex $u \in N(v)$ as if $x u, x u^{\prime}$ are edges in $G$, then $u x u^{\prime}$ can form the long leg of a copy of $T$ with center $v$ and other neighbors of $v$ complete this copy of $T$. So $d_{G}(x)=1$ for all $x \in X$. Let $u, u^{\prime} \in N(v)$ be two vertices such that at least one of them has a neighbor in $X$. Then again if $u u^{\prime}$ is an edge, we find a copy of $T$. So if $U \subseteq N(v)$ is the set of neighbors of $v$ that are adjacent to a vertex in $X$ and $U^{\prime}=N(v) \backslash U$, then $e(G) \leq(|\{v\} \cup U \cup X|-1)+e\left(U^{\prime}\right)$. If $\left|U^{\prime}\right| \leq \Delta(T)+1$, then $e\left(U^{\prime}\right) \leq(\underset{2}{\Delta(T)+1})$ and so $e(G) \leq n-1+\binom{\Delta(T)+1}{2} \leq\left\lfloor\frac{(\Delta(T)-1) n}{2}\right\rfloor$ as $\Delta(T)-1 \geq 3$. Finally, if $\left|U^{\prime}\right| \geq \Delta(T)+2$, then either $G\left[U^{\prime}\right]$ is a (partial) matching and thus $e(G) \leq(1+|U|+|X|-1)+\frac{3\left|U^{\prime}\right|}{2} \leq \frac{3(n-1)}{2} \leq\left\lfloor\frac{(\Delta(T)-1) n}{2}\right\rfloor$ (here we use $\Delta(T) \geq 4$ ) or $G\left[U^{\prime}\right]$ contains a path on 3 vertices, and then by $\left|U^{\prime}\right| \geq \Delta(T)+2$ we find a copy of $T$ in $G$.

Case II: $\quad d_{G}(v)=\Delta(G)=\Delta(T)$.
As $X$ is independent, we have $e(G) \leq(\Delta(G)+1) \Delta(G)=(\Delta(T)+1) \Delta(T)=O(1)$.

## 4. Concluding remarks

Theorem 1.5 gave upper and lower bounds on $\gamma$. If the lower bound of either (1) or (3) of Theorem 3.2 turned out to be (asymptotically) sharp (which we believe to be the case) for $a=(1 / 2-\varepsilon) k$ or $a=(1 / 2+\varepsilon) k$, then the upper bound on $\gamma$ would improve from $2 / 3$ to $1 / 2$. Note that a special case of Theorem 1.10 yields $\operatorname{ex}_{c}\left(n, S_{3,1,1,1}\right)=\left\lfloor\frac{\left(\Delta\left(S_{3,1,1,1}\right)-1\right) n}{2}\right\rfloor$, so a small case when $a=\lfloor k / 2\rfloor$. We have no evidence to believe that the lower bound of $1 / 3$ on $\gamma$ is best possible.

In Proposition 1.4, we enumerated several graph parameters based on which we could define general constructions avoiding trees $T$ for which these parameters have small value. It would be nice to add other parameters to this list, and would be wonderful to prove that it is enough to consider a finite set of parameters to determine the asymptotics of $\mathrm{ex}_{c}(n, T)$ for all trees $T$. Of particular interest is the characterization of those trees for which ex $(n, T)-c(T) \leq$ $\mathrm{ex}_{c}(n, T) \leq \mathrm{ex}(n, T)$ holds for some constant $c(T)$.

As for special tree classes, one such class that could give some insight is the set of spiders with all legs of at most 2 vertices. For the spider $S=S_{2,2, \ldots, 2,1,1, \ldots, 1}$ with $t$ legs of two vertices and $s$ legs consisting of a single vertex, we have $|S|=2 t+s+1$, and

- $\nu(T)=t+1$ if $s>0$,
- $\Delta(T)=t+s$,
- $m_{2}(T)=4$ if $t \geq 2$.

The construction of Proposition (1.6 (3) based on maximum degree outperforms the one based on the matching number in Proposition (1.6 (4) if $s>t$. But the one based on $m_{2}$ in Proposition 1.6 (8) is better than both previous ones once $s \geq 5$ and $t \geq 2$. It would be interesting to see whether these constructions achieve the asymptotics of $\mathrm{ex}_{c}(n, S)$.

Classical Turan numbers are monotone with two respects: Firstly, if $H$ is a subgraph of $F$ then ex $(n, H) \leq \operatorname{ex}(n, F)$. This inequality is preserved for the connected Turán number $\mathrm{ex}_{c}(n, F)$ (excluding the small "undefined" cases $K_{2}$ and $P_{3}$ ). Secondly, if $m<n$, then $\operatorname{ex}(m, F) \leq \operatorname{ex}(n, F)$. This property is not necessarily preserved by connected Turán numbers for small values of $n$ with respect to $|T|$. There are several examples given by our results, of the following type: $\mathrm{ex}_{c}(|T|-1, T)=\binom{|T|-1}{2}>\mathrm{ex}_{c}(|T|, T)$; see e.g. $T=S_{3,2,1}$.
Problem 4.1. Is it true that there exists a threshold $n_{0}(F)$ such that $\mathrm{ex}_{c}(m, F) \leq \mathrm{ex}_{c}(n, F)$ holds whenever $n_{0}(F) \leq m<n$ ?
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