

CUBIC VERTEX-TRANSITIVE GRAPHS ADMITTING AUTOMORPHISMS OF LARGE ORDER

PRIMOŽ POTOČNIK AND MICAEL TOLEDO

ABSTRACT. A connected graph of order n admitting a semiregular automorphism of order n/k is called a k -multirculant. Highly symmetric multirculants of small valency have been extensively studied, and several classification results exist for cubic vertex- and arc-transitive multirculants. In this paper we study the broader class of cubic vertex-transitive graphs of order n admitting an automorphism of order $n/3$ or larger that may not be semiregular. In particular, we show that any such graph is either a k -multirculant for some $k \leq 3$, or it belongs to an infinite family of graphs of girth 6.

MSC2020: 05E18

1. INTRODUCTION

Studying the structure of the automorphism groups of highly symmetrical graphs is one of the classical topics in the area of algebraic graph theory and a very important part of it aims at proving upper bounds on the order of the automorphism groups in terms of a conveniently tame function of the order of the graph (see, for example, the classical work of Tutte [30] on cubic symmetric graphs). Existence of such bounds often allows strong group theoretical tools to be applied.

In some applications (such as a construction of a complete list of all graphs of fixed valence, bounded order and given symmetry type; see for example [6, 21]), a bound on the order of the automorphism group can be substituted with a weaker result where the order of individual automorphisms are bounded rather than the order of the automorphism group itself. It is well known that the order of the automorphism group of a connected vertex-transitive graph of valence 3 (*cubic vertex-transitive graph*, for short) cannot be bounded by any subexponential function of the order (see, for example, [21]). However, a recent result [25, Theorem 1.6] shows that the order $o(g)$ of an individual automorphism g of a cubic vertex-transitive graph other than $K_{3,3}$ equals the length $\ell(g)$ of the longest orbit of the cyclic group $\langle g \rangle$, implying that $o(g)$ cannot exceed the order of the graph. In other words, if we define

$$\eta(\Gamma) := \frac{|V(\Gamma)|}{\max\{o(g) : g \in \text{Aut}(\Gamma)\}},$$

then $\eta(\Gamma) \geq 1$ holds for every cubic vertex-transitive graph. The aim of this paper is to investigate how sharp this bound is and under what additional assumptions it can be improved. More precisely, we obtain a complete classification of cubic vertex-transitive graphs Γ for which $1 \leq \eta(\Gamma) \leq 3$ holds and thus show that $\eta(\Gamma) > 3$ for every cubic vertex-transitive graph not belonging to a known list of exceptional families (see Theorem 1.1).

Before stating Theorem 1.1, let us first introduce a few notions appearing in its statement. A non-trivial automorphism g of a graph is called *semiregular* provided that the length of *every* vertex-orbit of $\langle g \rangle$ equals $o(g)$, or equivalently, when all the orbits of $\langle g \rangle$ have equal size. A graph admitting a semiregular automorphism with k vertex-orbits is called a k -*multirculant*; in addition, every graph on n vertices is

The authors gratefully acknowledge support of the Slovenian Research Agency: Core Programme P1-0294, Research Project J1-1691 and the Young Researcher Scholarship programme.

an n -multicirculant. Let

$$\kappa(\Gamma) := \min\{k : \Gamma \text{ is a } k\text{-multicirculant}\}$$

and observe that $\eta(\Gamma) \leq \kappa(\Gamma) \leq |V(\Gamma)|$ holds for every graph Γ . What is more, the well-known *polycirculant conjecture* [14] (which is known to be true for graphs of valence 3 [15], as well as many other classes of graphs; see [1]) states that $\kappa(\Gamma) < |V(\Gamma)|$ for every vertex-transitive graph Γ . There are numerous classification results proved about cubic vertex-transitive k -multicirculants of different symmetry types (see for example [5, 8, 10, 11, 12, 13]) and in particular, all cubic vertex-transitive 1-multicirculants (also called *circulants*), 2-multicirculant (also called *bicirculants*) and 3-multicirculants (also called *trirculants*) are known [20, 22].

An interesting interplay between the parameters $\eta(\Gamma)$ and $\kappa(\Gamma)$ is considered in Section 8. In particular, the question whether the parameter $\kappa(\Gamma)$ can be bounded above in terms of $\eta(\Gamma)$ is discussed there.

Let us now introduce some families of graphs appearing in Theorem 1.1. For a positive integer $n \geq 3$, let $\text{Prism}(n)$ denote the *prism* on $2n$ vertices, which can also be viewed as the generalised Petersen graph $\text{GP}(n, 1)$, and let $\text{Moeb}(n)$, $n \geq 4$ even, be the *Möbius ladder* with vertex-set \mathbb{Z}_m and edges of the form $\{x, x+s\}$ for $x \in \mathbb{Z}_n$, $s \in \{\pm 1, n/2\}$. Note that $\kappa(\text{Moeb}(n)) = 1$ for every n , while $\kappa(\text{Prism}(n))$ is 1 or 2, depending on whether n is odd or even, respectively.

Further, for a positive integer n and distinct elements $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_n \setminus \{0\}$, let $H(n, i, j)$ be the graph with vertex-set $\mathbb{Z}_n \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ and edges of the form $\{(x, 0), (x+s, 1)\}$ for $x \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ and $s \in \{0, i, j\}$. Note that the graphs $H(n, i, j)$ are bipartite bicirculants and are also known as *cyclic Haar graphs* [20]. Clearly, $\kappa(H(n, i, j)) \leq 2$ and the values of n, i, j for which $\kappa(H(n, i, j)) = 1$ are characterised in Lemma 7.1.

Let us now define two families of trirculants, first introduced in [22, Definitions 4.1 and 5.1]. For an odd integer k , $k > 1$, let $X(k)$ be the graph with $6k$ vertices, labelled u_i, v_i and w_i for $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{2k}$, and the edge-set being the union $E_1 \cup E_2 \cup E_3 \cup E_4 \cup E_5$ where: $E_1 = \{\{u_i, u_{i+k}\} : i \in \mathbb{Z}_{2k}\}$, $E_2 = \{\{u_i, v_i\} : i \in \mathbb{Z}_{2k}\}$, $E_3 = \{\{u_i, w_i\} : i \in \mathbb{Z}_{2k}\}$, $E_4 = \{\{v_i, w_{i+1}\} : i \in \mathbb{Z}_{2k}\}$, and $E_5 = \{\{v_i, w_{i+r}\} : i \in \mathbb{Z}_{2k}\}$, where $r = (k+3)/2$ if $k \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and $r = (k+3)/2 + k$ if $k \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$.

Further, for an odd integer k , $k > 1$, let $Y(k)$ be the graph with $6k$ vertices, labelled u_i, v_i and w_i for $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{2k}$, and the edge-set being the union $E_1 \cup E_2 \cup E_3 \cup E_4 \cup E_5$ where: $E_1 = \{\{u_i, u_{i+1}\} : i \in \mathbb{Z}_{2k}\}$, $E_2 = \{\{u_i, v_i\} : i \in \mathbb{Z}_{2k}\}$, $E_3 = \{\{v_i, w_i\} : i \in \mathbb{Z}_{2k}\}$, $E_4 = \{\{v_i, w_{i+2}\} : i \in \mathbb{Z}_{2k}\}$, and $E_5 = \{\{w_i, w_{i+k}\} : i \in \mathbb{Z}_{2k}\}$.

By [22, Theorem 4.3 and Theorem 5.3], $\kappa(X(k)) = \kappa(Y(k)) = 3$ for $k \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$ while $\kappa(X(k)) = \kappa(Y(k)) = 2$ for $k \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$. More facts about graphs $X(k)$ and $Y(k)$ can be found in [22, Sections 4 and 5].

For positive integers $m, t \geq 3$, let $\text{SDW}(m, t)$ be the cubic graph with the vertex-set $\mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_t \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ and edges of the form $\{(x, i, 0), (x, i \pm 1, 1)\}$ and $\{(x, i, 1), (x+1, i, 0)\}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{Z}_m$ and $i \in \mathbb{Z}_t$. (The graphs $\text{SDW}(m, t)$ with $t = 3$ are also known as *split depleted wreath graphs*.) Note that a graph $\text{SDW}(m, t)$ is a $2t$ -multicirculant, as witnessed by the semiregular automorphism of order m , mapping a vertex (x, i, j) to the vertex $(x+1, i, j)$ for every x, i, j . It can be easily seen that $\kappa(\text{SDW}(m, 3)) \leq 6$ and that $\eta(\text{SDW}(m, 3)) \leq 3$ unless m is divisible by 6 (in which case $\eta(\text{SDW}(m, 3)) = 6$); see Lemma 6.13 for more details.

Finally, Tutte's 8-cage is the unique cubic arc-transitive graph on 30 vertices, appearing under the name **CubicVTgraph**(30, 8) in [21], while the truncated tetrahedron is the graph on 12 vertices obtained by the geometric truncation of the skeleton of the tetrahedron (it appears under the name **CubicVTgraph**(12, 2) in [21]).

We can now state the main result of this paper.

Theorem 1.1. *Let Γ be a finite simple connected vertex-transitive graph of valence 3 and order n . Then Γ admits an automorphism of order at least $\frac{n}{3}$ (or equivalently, $\eta(\Gamma) \leq 3$) if and only if one of the following happens:*

- (1) $\kappa(\Gamma) = 1$ and Γ is isomorphic to
 - (a) the prism $\text{Prism}(m)$ where $n = 2m$ with $m \geq 3$, m odd; or
 - (b) the Möbius ladder $\text{Moeb}(n)$ with $n \geq 4$;
- (2) $\kappa(\Gamma) = 2$ and Γ is isomorphic to
 - (a) the prism $\text{Prism}(m)$ where $n = 2m$ with $m \geq 4$, m even;
 - (b) a generalised Petersen graph $\text{GP}(m, r)$ where $n = 2m$, $m \geq 5$, $2 \leq r < m/2$, and
 - $m \geq 3$, $r^2 \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{m}$, or
 - $m = 10$ and $r = 2$;
 - (c) the cyclic Haar graphs $\text{H}(m; r, s)$ where $n = 2m$ with $m \geq 3$, $1 \leq r, s \leq m-1$, $r \neq s$, r divides m , $\gcd(r, s) = 1$, such that m is even or m is odd and $\{r, s\}$ is neither $\{1, m-1\}$ nor $\{1, 2\}$.
- (3) $\kappa(\Gamma) = 3$ and Γ is isomorphic to one of the following graphs:
 - (a) $\text{X}(k)$ with $k \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$;
 - (b) $\text{Y}(k)$ with $k \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$;
 - (c) Tutte's 8-cage where $n = 30$;
 - (d) the truncated tetrahedron where $n = 12$.
- (4) $\kappa(\Gamma) = 6$ and $\Gamma \cong \text{SDW}(m, 3)$ with $m \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$, $m \geq 9$, $n = 6m$.

Remark 1.2. If Γ is a graph appearing in one of items (1)–(3), then $\kappa(\Gamma) = \eta(\Gamma)$ except when Γ is isomorphic to

- the cube graph $\text{Q}_3 \cong \text{Prism}(4)$ where $\eta(\Gamma) = \frac{4}{3}$;
- the Petersen graph $\text{GP}(5, 2)$ where $\eta(\Gamma) = \frac{5}{3}$;
- the Heawood graph $\text{H}(7, 1, 3)$ where $\eta(\Gamma) = \frac{7}{4}$;
- the Möbius-Kantor graph $\text{GP}(8, 3)$ where $\eta(\Gamma) = \frac{4}{3}$;
- the Pappus graph $\text{Y}(3) \cong \text{SDW}(3, 3)$ where $\eta(\Gamma) = \frac{3}{2}$.

If Γ is one of the graphs in item (4) then $\eta(\Gamma) = 3$. The relation between the functions η and κ is further discussed in Section 8.

The proof of the above theorem is inevitably rather technical since a certain amount of case-by-case analysis cannot be avoided. However, we have tried to use as many theoretical tools as possible in order to shorten and organise the arguments into self contained parts.

Our proof relies on two crucial ideas. The first idea is to classify the cubic vertex-transitive graphs Γ admitting an automorphism g of order at least $|\text{V}(\Gamma)|/3$ in terms of the quotient graphs $\Gamma/\langle g \rangle$. For this approach to be practical, we need to store enough information that will allow us to reconstruct the graphs Γ from their quotients. Here, a recently developed theory of generalised cyclic covering projections [23], briefly summarised in Section 3, provided the needed theoretical background. The second crucial fact making this approach feasible follows from the results of [25], from which one can deduce that a cyclic group of automorphisms of a cubic vertex-transitive graph Γ with order at least $\frac{|\text{V}(\Gamma)|}{3}$ can have at most 5 orbits on $\text{V}(\Gamma)$ (see Lemma 4.1). In particular, there is only a finite number of possible quotients $\Gamma/\langle g \rangle$ with $o(g) \geq \frac{|\text{V}(\Gamma)|}{3}$. The rest of the proof is then a careful case-by-case analysis of these possible quotient graphs. The strategy of proving Theorem 1.1 is laid out in more details in Section 2.3.

2. OVERVIEW AND BASIC DEFINITIONS

The following paragraphs, in which we give some basic formal definitions and we outline the proof of Theorem 1.1, serve as a more detailed summary of the contents of this paper.

2.1. Graphs. We would first like to stress that all the graphs in this paper are finite. Even though we are primarily interested in simple graphs (that can be defined as a finite set of vertices together with an irreflexive symmetric relation on it), it will be very convenient for us to adopt a more general definition of a graph that has become standard when quotients and covers of graphs are considered (see, for example, [16]).

For us, a *graph* is an ordered 4-tuple $(V, D; \text{beg}, \text{inv})$ where D and $V \neq \emptyset$ are disjoint finite sets of *darts* and *vertices*, respectively, $\text{beg}: D \rightarrow V$ is a mapping which assigns to each dart x its *initial vertex* $\text{beg } x$, and inv is an involutory permutation of D which interchanges every dart x with its *inverse dart*, also denoted by x^{-1} . The final vertex of a dart x is $\text{beg } x^{-1}$ and is denoted $\text{end } x$. The *neighbourhood* of a vertex v is defined as the set of darts that have v for its initial vertex and the *valence* of v is the cardinality of the neighbourhood. If Γ is a graph we write $V(\Gamma)$ and $D(\Gamma)$ to denote the vertex- and dart-set of Γ , respectively. Furthermore, we may write beg_Γ and inv_Γ , with a subscript, to indicate the beginning and inverse functions of Γ , to avoid confusion when more than one graph is involved. We will generally omit the subscript if there is no possibility of ambiguity.

The orbits of inv are called *edges*. The edge $\{x, x^{-1}\}$ containing a dart x is called a *semiedge* if $x^{-1} = x$, a *loop* if $x^{-1} \neq x$ while $\text{beg}(x^{-1}) = \text{beg } x$, and is called a *link* otherwise. The *endvertices of an edge* are the initial vertices of the darts contained in the edge. If $\{u, v\}$ is the set of the endvertices of an edge, then we say that u and v are adjacent and write $u \sim v$. Two darts x and y are *parallel* if $\text{beg } x = \text{beg } y$ and $\text{beg } x^{-1} = \text{beg } y^{-1}$. Two edges are parallel if they have the same endvertices. When we present a graph as a drawing, the links are drawn in the usual way as a line between the points representing its endvertices, a loops is drawn as a closed curve at its unique endvertex and a semiedge is drawn as a segment attached to its unique endvertex.

A graph without loops, semiedges and pairs of parallel edges is *simple*. Note that a simple graph is completely determined by its vertex-set and the adjacency relation, and conversely, given a set V and an irreflexive symmetric relation \sim on V , we can define a graph $(V, D; \text{beg}, \text{inv})$ by letting $D := \{(u, v) : u, v \in V(\Gamma), u \sim v\}$, $\text{beg}(u, v) = u$ and $\text{inv}(u, v) = (v, u)$. A dart in a simple graph is traditionally called an *arc*, so we will use these two terms interchangeably. In this paper, a *cubic graph* will always stand for a connected simple graph in which every vertex has valence 3.

Notions such as morphism, isomorphism and automorphism of graphs are obvious generalisations of those defined in the traditional setting and precise definitions can be found in [16, 17]. In particular, an automorphism of a graph $(V, D; \text{beg}, \text{inv})$ is a permutation g of $V \cup D$ preserving each of V and D such that $\text{inv}(x^g) = \text{inv}(x)^g$ and $\text{beg}(x^g) = \text{beg}(x)^g$ for every $x \in D$. Note that when the graph is simple, an automorphism is uniquely defined by its adjacency preserving action on the vertex-set. We shall thus often consider view automorphism of simple graphs in the usual way, that is, as adjacency preserving permutations of the vertex-set.

2.2. Labelled quotients of graphs. Let $\Gamma := (V, D; \text{beg}, \text{inv})$ be a graph admitting a cyclic group of automorphisms $G \leq \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$. For $v \in V$, let v^G denote the G -orbit of v and let V/G be the set of all G -orbits of vertices of Γ . Similarly, let x^G be the G -orbit of $x \in D$ and let D/G be the set of all G -orbits on darts. We define the G -quotient of Γ as the graph $\Gamma/G = (V/G, D/G, \text{beg}', \text{inv}')$ where $\text{beg}' x^G = (\text{beg } x)^G$ and $\text{inv}' x^G = (\text{inv } x)^G$ for all $x \in D(\Gamma)$.

Let $x \in D(\Gamma)$ be a dart and let $u = \text{beg } x$. Then, x^G is a dart of Γ/G with initial vertex u^G . Let $\lambda_G(x^G)$ denote the number of darts of Γ in the orbit x^G that begin at any fixed vertex in u^G (note that this is independent of which vertex of u^G we choose and that $\lambda_G(x^G) = |x^{G_u}|$). Then $\lambda_G: D(\Gamma/G) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is a well-defined function and the pair $(\Gamma/G, \lambda_G)$ is called a labelled quotient (see Figure 2.1).

2.3. Strategy. In what follows, we describe our plan to prove Theorem 1.1. We first consult the census of all cubic vertex-transitive graphs [21] and check that the theorem holds for all the graphs on at most




FIGURE 2.1. The Möbius ladder on 8 vertices (top left) and $K_{3,3}$ (top right) above their labelled quotients by a cyclic group of automorphisms $G = \langle g \rangle$. For the case on the left, g is the automorphisms given by the permutation $(u_0 u_1 u_2 u_3)(v_0 v_1 v_2 v_3)$; for the graph on the right g is given by $(u_0 u_1)(v_0 v_1 v_2)$. In the graph on the lower right, the edge joining u_0^G and v_0^G has a label next to each of its endvertices: 3 next to u_0^G , and 2 next to v_0^G . These are the labels $\lambda_G(x)$ and $\lambda_G(x^{-1})$ where x is the dart pointing from u_0 to v_0 . This indicates that every vertex in the fibre of u_0^G (in the graph above) has 3 neighbours in the fibre of v_0^G while every vertex in the fibre of v_0^G has 2 neighbours in the fibre of u_0^G .

20 vertices (this can be easily done with a help of computer and a computer algebra system such as Sage [29]).

We may thus concentrate on the class \mathcal{G} of all cubic vertex-transitive graphs on at least $n > 20$ admitting a cyclic group $G \leq \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ of order at least $\frac{n}{3}$. Let \mathcal{Q} be the set of labelled quotients $(\Gamma/G, \lambda_G)$ where $\Gamma \in \mathcal{G}$ and $G \leq \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ is cyclic of order at least $\frac{|\Gamma|}{3}$ (by [25, Theorem 4.7], the order of G equals the order of the largest G -orbit on vertices).

Observe that if $(\Gamma/G, \lambda_G) \in \mathcal{Q}$, then the graph Γ/G is connected but may admit parallel edges, loops or semi-edges. Since the valence of every vertex in Γ is 3, it follows that the vertices in Γ/G have valence at most 3 and that $\lambda_G(x) \leq 3$ for every dart x of Γ/G . Moreover, a labelled graph in \mathcal{Q} can have at most 5 vertices (see Lemma 4.1). This shows that the set \mathcal{Q} is a subset of the set \mathcal{Q}° of all connected subcubic labelled graphs (Q, λ) on at most 5 vertices with $\lambda(x) \leq 3$. The set \mathcal{Q}° is clearly finite, but still consists of an inconveniently large number of labelled graphs.

To determine which of the labelled graph in \mathcal{Q}° indeed arise as quotients of the cubic graphs in \mathcal{G} by an appropriate cyclic group G (that is, which of them belong to \mathcal{Q}), we rely on the concept of a cyclic generalised voltage graph, first introduced in [23], and the associated generalised covering graph construction. Loosely speaking, this construction takes a labelled graph (Δ, λ) , together with an additional information, called *voltage assignment* ζ , as an input and constructs a connected graph Γ (called a *cover*, for short) having (Δ, λ) as a labelled quotient. It was proved in [23], that as the voltage assignment ζ varies this procedure yields all possible connected graphs having (Δ, λ) as a quotient by a cyclic group.

In Section 4, we use the results proved in [23] (and summarised in Section 3) to find a set of necessary conditions (see Theorem 4.2) for a labelled graph in \mathcal{Q}° to admit a connected vertex-transitive generalised cyclic cover belonging to \mathcal{G} . In Section 5 we determine, by means of forbidden labelled subgraphs, further necessary conditions for an element of \mathcal{Q}° to admit a cyclic generalised cover in \mathcal{G} (see Theorem 5.9). The set of conditions given in Theorems 4.2 and 5.9 is restrictive enough to allow us to compute, via a brute-force algorithm, the subset $\mathcal{Q}^* \subseteq \mathcal{Q}^\circ$ of labelled graphs satisfying them. There are 20 such graphs.

In Section 6, we analyse the 20 labelled graphs of \mathcal{Q}^* in detail, and show that precisely nine of them admit vertex-transitive cubic cyclic generalised covers belonging to \mathcal{G} (see Figure 2.2). These are the nine elements of the set \mathcal{Q} . However, due to some overlap in the families of covering graphs, only seven elements of \mathcal{Q} are necessary to reconstruct \mathcal{G} . Finally in Section 7, we characterise the elements of \mathcal{G} and complete the proof of Theorem 1.1.




FIGURE 2.2. The nine labelled graphs in \mathcal{Q} , where darts with no label have λ -value 1. With the exception of the right-most graph, these graphs correspond to the 8 possible quotients of a k -multicirculant graph by a k -multicirculant automorphism, with $k \in \{1, 2, 3\}$.

3. COVERS

We now formally introduce the concept of a *cyclic generalised voltage graph*, which generalises voltage graphs (in the sense of [9]) for cyclic voltage groups, and is a special case of the wider class of generalised voltage graphs introduced in [24]. The definitions and results in this section are mostly taken from [23], where cyclic generalised voltage graphs were first defined. Each cyclic generalised voltage graph gives rise to a unique *generalised covering graph* (called *covering graph* for simplicity). By the end of the section, we characterise those cyclic generalised voltage graphs whose covering graphs are cubic (that is, connected, finite, simple 3-valent graphs).

Definition 3.1. Let Δ be a finite connected graph and let $\lambda: D(\Delta) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, $\iota: V(\Delta) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ and $\zeta: D(\Delta) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be functions such that

$$(3.1) \quad \lambda(x)\iota(\text{beg } x) = \lambda(x^{-1})\iota(\text{beg } x^{-1}),$$

$$(3.2) \quad \zeta(x^{-1}) \equiv -\zeta(x) \pmod{\lambda(x)\iota(\text{beg } x)}$$

for every dart $x \in D(\Delta)$. Then we say that the quadruple $(\Delta, \lambda, \iota, \zeta)$ is a *cyclic generalised voltage graph*, and we call the functions λ , ι and ζ a *labelling*, an *index function* and a *voltage assignment*, respectively.

Definition 3.2. Let $(\Delta, \lambda, \iota, \zeta)$ be a cyclic generalised voltage graph. The cover of $(\Delta, \lambda, \iota, \zeta)$, denoted $\text{Cov}(\Delta, \lambda, \iota, \zeta)$ is the graph $\Gamma := (V', D', \text{beg}', \text{inv}')$ where:

- (1) $V' = \{(v, i) : v \in V(\Delta), i \in \{0, \dots, \iota(v) - 1\}\}$;
- (2) $D' = \{(x, i) : x \in D(\Delta), i \in \{0, \dots, \lambda(x)\iota(\text{beg } x) - 1\}\}$;
- (3) $\text{beg}'(x, i) = (\text{beg } x, i)$;
- (4) $(x, i)^{-1} = (x^{-1}, i + \zeta(x))$.

Remark 3.3. Since the second coordinate of a vertex (v, i) or a dart (x, i) in the definition above is an element of $\{0, \dots, \iota(v) - 1\}$ or $\{0, \dots, \lambda(x)\iota(\text{beg } x) - 1\}$, respectively, any operation on the second coordinate is to be computed modulo $\iota(v)$ or $\lambda(x)\iota(\text{beg } x)$ accordingly. In particular, on the right-hand side of equality (4), the sum $i + \zeta(x)$ is to be computed modulo $\lambda(x)\iota(\text{beg } x)$.

Let $(\Delta, \lambda, \iota, \zeta)$ be a cyclic generalised voltage graph and set $\Gamma = \text{Cov}(\Delta, \lambda, \iota, \zeta)$. For the sake of simplicity, we will write v_i instead of (v, i) for a vertex of Γ , and x_i instead of (x, i) for a dart of Γ . The natural projection $\pi : \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta$ that maps every $v_i \in V(\Gamma)$ to v and every $x_i \in D(\Gamma)$ to x is a graph epimorphism. For each vertex $v \in V(\Delta)$ we call the set $\pi^{-1}(v) = \{v_i : i \in \{1, \dots, \iota(v)\}\}$ the *fibre* of v and we denote it $\text{fib}(v)$. Similarly, the *fibre* of a dart $x \in D(\Delta)$ is $\text{fib}(x) = \pi^{-1}(x) = \{x_i : i \in \{0, \dots, \lambda(x)\iota(\text{beg } x) - 1\}\}$.

Let $n = \text{lcm}\{\lambda(x)\iota(\text{beg } x) : x \in D(\Delta)\}$ and observe that the group \mathbb{Z}_n acts on $D(\Gamma) \cup V(\Gamma)$ by the rule $(z_i)^g = z_{i+g}$ for all $z_i \in D(\Gamma) \cup V(\Gamma)$ and $g \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ (recall that the index $i + g$ is computed modulo $\iota(z)$, if $z \in V(\Gamma)$, or modulo $\lambda(z)\iota(\text{beg } z)$ if $z \in D(\Gamma)$). The permutation induced by each $g \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ is an automorphism of Γ and the orbit of a dart (or a vertex) z_i under this action is precisely $\text{fib}(z)$. Furthermore, the action of \mathbb{Z}_n is faithful and hence there is an embedding $\phi : \mathbb{Z}_n \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ (see [23, Lemma 6.3]). The image $\phi(\mathbb{Z}_n)$ is a cyclic group of order n generated by the automorphism of Γ that maps every vertex $v_i \in V(\Gamma)$ to v_{i+1} and every dart $x_i \in D(\Gamma)$ to x_{i+1} . We call this automorphism the *canonical covering transformation* of $\text{Cov}(\Delta, \lambda, \iota, \zeta)$ and we denote it by ρ .

In short, the covering graph Γ admits a cyclic group of automorphisms of order n whose orbits on vertices and darts are precisely the fibres of vertices and darts of $(\Delta, \lambda, \iota, \zeta)$.

Conversely, in view of [23, Theorem 5.3] and [23, Theorem 6.2] every graph admitting a cyclic subgroup of automorphism of order n is the cover of some cyclic generalised voltage graph $(\Delta, \lambda, \iota, \zeta)$, and by [23, Lemma 6.1], it follows that $\text{lcm}\{\lambda(x)\iota(\text{beg } x) : x \in D(\Delta)\} = n$. Moreover, by [23, Theorem 6.6] we can always assume that $\zeta(x) = 0$ for all darts x lying on a prescribed spanning tree \mathcal{T} of Δ . A voltage assignment satisfying this condition is said to be \mathcal{T} -normalised. Let us summarise these observations in the following theorem:

Theorem 3.4. *A graph Γ admits a cyclic subgroup of automorphisms $G \leq \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ of order n if and only if $\Gamma \cong \text{Cov}(\Gamma/G, \lambda, \iota, \zeta)$ for some functions λ , ι and ζ where ζ is \mathcal{T} -normalised for a spanning tree \mathcal{T} of Γ/G and $n = \text{lcm}\{\lambda(x)\iota(\text{beg } x) : x \in D(\Delta)\}$.*




FIGURE 3.1. A cyclic generalised voltage graph (bottom) along with its cyclic generalised cover (top).

Example 3.5. Consider the cyclic generalised voltage graph depicted in the bottom of Figure 3.1. The function λ is given in the figure as follows: each link has two numbers next to each of its endvertices, corresponding to the λ -values of each dart underlying this link; for instance, if we let x be the dart beginning at a and ending at b , then $\lambda(x) = 3$ and $\lambda(x^{-1}) = 1$. The semi-edge at c has a single label 1 and the loop at d has two labels, both equal to 1, corresponding to the λ -values of the darts underlying it. The voltage assignment is also given in the figure. The link joining a with b has an arrowhead directed from a to b with a 1 in boldface written above it. This indicates that the dart beginning at a and ending at b has voltage 1, while its inverse x^{-1} has voltage -1 . The semi-edge at c has voltage 3, as indicated by the boldface number above it. One dart underlying the loop at d has voltage 1 while its inverse has voltage -1 . The values of ι are written below the graph. The graph at the top of Figure 3.1 is then the cover of the cyclic generalised voltage graph.

Remark 3.6. Let $(\Delta, \lambda, \iota, \zeta)$ be a cyclic generalised voltage graph and let $\Gamma = \text{Cov}(\Delta, \lambda, \iota, \zeta)$. Let $x \in D(\Delta)$ and $u = \text{beg } x$. Then the following is straightforward from the definition of a cyclic generalised voltage graph:

- (1) $|\text{fib}(u)| = \iota(u)$;
- (2) $|\text{fib}(x)| = \lambda(x)\iota(u)$;
- (3) For every $u_i \in \text{fib}(u)$, there are exactly $\lambda(x)$ darts in $\text{fib}(x)$ that begin at u_i ;
- (4) For every $u_i \in \text{fib}(u)$, $\deg(u_i) = \deg_\lambda(v)$, where

$$(3.3) \quad \deg_\lambda(v) = \sum_{x \in \Delta(v)} \lambda(x).$$

Since cubic graphs are the main object of study of this paper, we would like to focus precisely on those cyclic generalised voltage graphs whose covers are cubic graphs. Hence the following definition.

Definition 3.7. A cyclic generalised voltage graph $(\Delta, \lambda, \iota, \zeta)$ is called a *ccv-graph* whenever $\text{Cov}(\Delta, \lambda, \iota, \zeta)$ is a cubic graph.

The following characterization of *ccv-graphs* is a consequence of [23, Theorems 6.8 and 6.9].

Lemma 3.8. Let $(\Delta, \lambda, \iota, \zeta)$ be a cyclic generalised voltage graph where ζ is \mathcal{T} -normalised for some spanning tree \mathcal{T} of Δ . Let $A = \{\zeta(x) : x \in D(\Delta)\}$ and $B = \{\iota(v) : v \in V(\Delta)\}$. Then $(\Delta, \lambda, \iota, \zeta)$ is a *ccv-graph* if and only if all the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1) $\gcd(\lambda(x), \lambda(x^{-1})) = 1$ for all $x \in D(\Delta)$;
- (2) $\zeta(x) \not\equiv \zeta(y) \pmod{\gcd(\iota(\text{beg } x), \iota(\text{end } x))}$ for any two parallel darts $x, y \in D(\Delta)$;
- (3) $\zeta(x) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\iota(\text{beg } x)}$ for all darts x in a semi-edge;
- (4) $\gcd(A \cup B) = 1$;
- (5) $\deg_\lambda(v) = 3$ for all $v \in V(\Delta)$.

In the lemma above, conditions (1)–(3) are there to guarantee the covering graph $\text{Cov}(\Delta, \lambda, \iota, \zeta)$ is a simple graph, condition (4) that $\text{Cov}(\Delta, \lambda, \iota, \zeta)$ is connected, and condition (5), that it is 3-valent.

3.1. Extendability to ccv-graphs. Let Δ be a connected finite graph, and let $\lambda : D(\Delta) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be an arbitrary function. We call the pair (Δ, λ) a *labelled graph*. Naturally, we obtain a labelled graph (Δ, λ) from every cyclic generalised voltage graph $(\Delta, \lambda, \iota, \zeta)$ by simply disregarding the functions ι and ζ . In this case, the fact that λ comes from a generalised voltage graph, which by definition satisfies equality (3.1), restricts λ to some degree. We say that a labelled graph (Δ, λ) is *extendable* if there exist functions ι and ζ such that $(\Delta, \lambda, \iota, \zeta)$ is a cyclic generalised voltage graph. We will be particularly interested in those extendable labelled graphs that can be extended to a *ccv-graph*.

A walk of length n is a sequence of darts $W = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ such that $\text{beg } x_{i+1} = \text{end } x_i$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$. We say W is *closed* if $\text{end } x_n = \text{beg } x_1$, and we say it is *reduced* if $x_{i+1} \neq x_i^{-1}$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$. A *path* is a reduced walk where $\text{beg } x_i \neq \text{beg } x_j$ for all $i \neq j$ and a *cycle* is a closed path. A cycle of length n is also called an n -cycle. A tree is a connected graph without any cycles.

For a walk $W = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$, we define the inverse of W as the walk $W^{-1} = (x_n^{-1}, x_{n-1}^{-1}, \dots, x_1^{-1})$. If $W_1 = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ and $W_2 = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m)$ are two walks such that $\text{end } x_n = \text{beg } y_1$, then we define the concatenation of W_1 and W_2 as $W_1 W_2 = (x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_m)$.

Let (Δ, λ) be a labelled graph. We can extend the labelling λ to a function λ^* that assigns to each walk of Δ a rational number. For a walk $W = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ in Δ we let

$$(3.4) \quad \lambda^*(W) := \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\lambda(x_i)}{\lambda(x_i^{-1})}.$$

We then have that

$$(3.5) \quad \lambda^*(W^{-1}) = \lambda^*(W)^{-1} \text{ and } \lambda^*(W_1 W_2) = \lambda^*(W_1) \lambda^*(W_2)$$

for any two walks W_1 and W_2 for which the concatenation is defined. The following is a useful characterization of labelled graphs that are extendable.

Lemma 3.9. [23, Lemma 3.5] *A labelled graph (Δ, λ) is extendable if and only if $\lambda^*(C) = 1$ for every closed walk C of Δ .*

Naturally, not every extendable labelled graph (Δ, λ) can be extended to a *ccv*-graph. However, if (Δ, λ) does extend to a *ccv*-graph $(\Delta, \lambda, \iota, \zeta)$, we say $(\Delta, \lambda, \iota, \zeta)$ is a *ccv-extension* of (Δ, λ) and we call the covering graph $\text{Cov}(\Delta, \lambda, \iota, \zeta)$ a *ccv-cover* of (Δ, λ) .

Lemma 3.10. [23, Proposition 7.1] *A connected labelled graph (Δ, λ) can be extended to a *ccv*-graph if and only if the following holds:*

- (1) (Δ, λ) is extendable;
- (2) $\lambda(x) = \lambda(x^{-1})$ implies $\lambda(x) = 1$;
- (3) $\lambda(x) = \lambda(y) = 1$ for any two parallel darts x and y ;
- (4) $\lambda(x) = 1$ for every dart x underlying a semi-edge;
- (5) $\deg_\lambda(v) = 3$ for all vertices $v \in V(\Delta)$.

Let (Δ, λ) be a labelled graph and let $x \in D(\Delta)$ be such that $x \neq x^{-1}$ and $\lambda(x) \leq \lambda(x^{-1})$. We say $\{x, x^{-1}\}$ is an edge of type $[\lambda(x), \lambda(x^{-1})]$, or simply a $[\lambda(x), \lambda(x^{-1})]$ -edge. From Lemma 3.10, we see that if (Δ, λ) is extendable to a *ccv*-graph, then the edges of Δ are all of type $[1, 1]$, $[1, 2]$, $[1, 3]$ or $[2, 3]$ (see also [23, Corollary 7.2]).

Now, suppose $\lambda(x) = 1$ for all $x \in D(\Delta)$. That is, every edge of (Δ, λ) is a $[1, 1]$ -edge. By Lemmas 3.9 and 3.10, (Δ, λ) admits a *ccv*-extension of $(\Delta, \lambda, \iota, \zeta)$ and a *ccv*-cover $\Gamma := \text{Cov}(\Delta, \lambda, \iota, \zeta)$. Since $\lambda(x) = 1$ for all $x \in D(\Delta)$, it follows from formula (3.1) and the connectedness of Δ that $\iota(v) = \iota(u)$ for any two vertices u and v of Δ . Then the canonical covering transformation ρ of Γ (mapping every vertex $v_i \in V(\Gamma)$ to v_{i+1}) is a semiregular automorphism. Its vertex-orbits are precisely the vertex fibres of Γ . Therefore, if Δ has k vertices, then ρ has k orbits on vertices and Γ is a k -multicirculant graph.

3.2. Simplified voltages. As one would expect, for given a labelled graph (Δ, λ) , there may exist different *ccv*-extension $(\Delta, \lambda, \iota, \zeta)$ and $(\Delta, \lambda, \iota, \zeta')$ such that $\text{Cov}(\Delta, \lambda, \iota, \zeta) \cong \text{Cov}(\Delta, \lambda, \iota, \zeta')$. It would be convenient to take, among all the possible *ccv*-extensions yielding isomorphic covers, one with a voltage assignment that is as “nice” as possible. As was proved in [23, Lemma 7.4], for every *ccv*-extension $(\Delta, \lambda, \iota, \zeta)$ of a labelled graph (Δ, λ) there exists a voltage assignment ζ' that is *simplified* in the sense of Definition 3.11 below, such that $\text{Cov}(\Delta, \lambda, \iota, \zeta) \cong \text{Cov}(\Delta, \lambda, \iota, \zeta')$.




FIGURE 3.2. A Möbius ladder, the cube graph and $K_{3,3}$ as covers of ccv -graphs (where the voltage is simplified).

Definition 3.11. Let $(\Delta, \lambda, \iota, \zeta)$ be a ccv -graph. The voltage ζ is a *simplified voltage* if for all $x \in D(\Gamma)$ the following holds:

- (1) ζ is \mathcal{T} -normalised for a spanning tree \mathcal{T} containing all $[i, j]$ -edges with $i \neq j$;
- (2) $\zeta(x) < \gcd(\iota(\text{beg } x), \iota(\text{beg } x^{-1}))$;
- (3) $\zeta(x) = \iota(\text{beg } x)/2$ whenever x underlies a semi-edge;
- (4) $0 < \zeta(x)$ and $\zeta(x) \neq \iota(\text{beg } x)/2$ whenever x underlies a loop.

Note that if we assume a voltage ζ satisfies (1) in the definition above, then item (2) is equivalent to

- (2') $\zeta(x) < \iota(\text{beg } x)$.

Indeed, clearly, (2) implies (2'). Suppose ζ satisfies (1) and (2'). If for some $x \in D(\Gamma)$ we have $\zeta(x) = 0$ then $\zeta(x) < \iota(\text{beg } x)$. If $\zeta(x) \neq 0$, then by (1) we have $\iota(\text{beg } x) = \iota(\text{beg } x^{-1})$ and thus $\gcd(\iota(\text{beg } x), \iota(\text{beg } x^{-1})) = \iota(\text{beg } x)$. Then $\zeta(x) < \iota(\text{beg } x) = \gcd(\iota(\text{beg } x), \iota(\text{beg } x^{-1}))$ and (2) holds.

Remark 3.12. One of the advantages of considering ccv -graphs with simplified voltage assignments is that the adjacency rules for the corresponding covering graphs become quite straightforward. Indeed, suppose $(\Delta, \lambda, \iota, \zeta)$ is a ccv -graph where ζ is simplified. Let $x \in D(\Delta)$, let $u = \text{beg } x$ and $v = \text{end } x$, and let $e = \{x, x^{-1}\}$. Then for all $u_i \in \text{fib}(u)$ we have:

- (1) If $u \neq v$ and e is a $[1, 1]$ -edge, then $u_i \sim v_{i+\zeta(x)}$;
- (2) If $u \neq v$ and e is a $[1, j]$ -edge, $j \neq 1$, then $u_{i+k \cdot \iota(v)} \sim v_i$, with $0 \leq k < j$;
- (3) If $u = v$ and e is a loop, then $u_i \sim u_{i+\zeta(x)}$ and $u_i \sim u_{i-\zeta(x)}$;
- (4) If e is a semi-edge, then $u_i \sim u_{i+(\iota(u)/2)}$.

Let $(\Delta, \lambda, \iota, \zeta)$ be a ccv -graph. By [23, Proposition 7.4] there exists a simplified voltage assignment ζ' for Δ such that $\text{Cov}(\Delta, \lambda, \iota, \zeta) \cong \text{Cov}(\Delta, \lambda, \iota, \zeta')$. This implies that every cubic graph is the cover of a ccv -graph with a simplified voltage assignment. For this reason, we will henceforth always assume that the voltage of a ccv -graph is simplified. The following theorem summarises the contents of this section.

Theorem 3.13. A graph Γ is cubic and admits a cyclic group of automorphisms of order n if and only if it is the cover of a cyclic generalised voltage graph $(\Delta, \lambda, \iota, \zeta)$ where $\lambda : D(\Delta) \rightarrow \{1, 2, 3\}$ satisfies conditions (1)–(4) of Lemma 3.10, ζ is simplified, $\gcd\{\zeta(x), \iota(v) : x \in D(\Delta), v \in V(\Delta)\} = 1$ and $\text{lcm}\{\lambda(x)\iota(\text{beg } x) : x \in D(\Delta)\} = n$.

4. VERTEX TRANSITIVE COVERS

We have shown in Section 3 that if Γ is a cubic graph admitting a cyclic group of automorphisms G , then Γ is isomorphic to a *ccv*-cover of some labelled graph (Δ, λ) , where the labelling λ satisfies conditions (1)–(5) of Lemma 3.10; that is, (Δ, λ) is extendable, $\lambda(x) = 1$ for every dart x underlying a loop, a semi-edge or a link that is parallel to another link, and $\deg_\lambda(x) = 3$ for all darts $x \in D(\Delta)$. If in addition we suppose that Γ is vertex-transitive and that G has order at least $V(\Gamma)/3$, then further restrictions are set on the labelled graph (Δ, λ) . It was shown in [25] that if Γ is a cubic vertex-transitive graph and $G \leq \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ is cyclic, then the number of orbits of G is bounded by a function of $k = |V(\Gamma)|/|G|$. This, in turn, bounds the number of vertices of the quotient Γ/G . Furthermore, the ratio between the sizes of the largest and smallest orbits of G is also bounded, which restricts the labelling λ . Let us be more precise.

Let Γ be a cubic graph of order $n > 20$ admitting a cyclic subgroup of automorphisms G . Then Γ is isomorphic to the cover of some *ccv*-graph $(\Delta, \lambda, \iota, \zeta)$ where $\text{lcm}\{\lambda(x)\iota(\text{beg } x) : x \in D(\Delta)\} = |G|$. We can slightly abuse the language and identify Γ with $\text{Cov}(\Delta, \lambda, \iota, \zeta)$. Suppose Γ is vertex-transitive and let $m = |G|$. Then by [25, Theorem 4.7], a G -orbit on vertices must have size m/i for some $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 6\}$ and the largest G -orbit has size precisely m . It follows that for any two vertices \bar{u} and \bar{v} of Γ , we have

$$\frac{1}{6}|\bar{u}^G| \leq |\bar{v}^G| \leq 6|\bar{u}^G|.$$

Since the orbits of G on $V(\Gamma)$ are identified with the fibres of $V(\Delta)$ we see that

$$(4.1) \quad \frac{1}{6}\iota(u) \leq \iota(v) \leq 6 \cdot \iota(u)$$

for any two $u, v \in V(\Delta)$ (recall that $\iota(u) = |\text{fib}(u)|$ for all $u \in V(\Delta)$). If in addition we suppose that $m \geq n/3$, then there must exist a vertex $\hat{u} \in V(\Delta)$ such that

$$(4.2) \quad 3 \cdot \iota(\hat{u}) \geq \sum_{v \in V(\Delta)} \iota(v) = |V(\Gamma)|.$$

Furthermore, [25, Theorem 1.6] asserts that if Γ has order $n > 20$ and $\bar{u} \in \Gamma$ is such that \bar{u}^G is of maximal order among all G -orbits, then \bar{u} has a neighbour \bar{v} such that $\bar{u}^G \neq \bar{v}^G$ but $|\bar{u}^G| = |\bar{v}^G|$. In particular, since the largest orbit of G has size at least $n/3$, this implies that at least two thirds of the vertices of Γ are contained in only two orbits (\bar{u}^G and \bar{v}^G). It is an easy exercise to see that the remaining vertices can be divided in, at most, 3 different orbits (of size $n/9$ each, or all three having different sizes: $n/6$, $n/9$ and $n/18$). Hence we obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1. *Let Γ be a cubic vertex transitive graph of order $n > 20$, and let $G \leq \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ be a cyclic group with an orbit of size $n/3$ or greater. Then G has at most 5 orbits on vertices.*

Now, consider the function λ^* defined with formula (3.4). If $W = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ is a uv -walk in Δ , then by a consecutive application of equality (3.1) to the darts of W we have

$$(4.3) \quad \iota(v) = \lambda^*(W)\iota(u).$$

Since u and v are arbitrary vertices, we see that the index function ι is completely determined by the labelling λ (as λ^* depends only on λ) and the value of ι on a single vertex. The following proposition sums up the preceding paragraphs.

Theorem 4.2. *Let (Δ, λ) be a labelled graph, let Γ be a *ccv*-cover of (Δ, λ) of order $n > 20$ and let T be a spanning tree of Δ . If Γ is vertex-transitive and admits an automorphism of order $m \geq \frac{n}{3}$ then the following holds:*

- (1) (Δ, λ) is extendable;

- (2) $\deg_\lambda(v) = 3$ for all vertices $v \in V(\Delta)$;
- (3) $\lambda(x) = \lambda(x^{-1})$ implies $\lambda(x) = 1$;
- (4) $\lambda(x) = \lambda(y) = 1$ for any two parallel darts x and y ;
- (5) $\lambda(x) = 1$ for every dart x underlying a semi-edge;
- (6) Δ has at most 5 vertices,

moreover, there exists a vertex $\hat{u} \in V(\Delta)$ such that:

- (7) \hat{u} is incident to an edge of type $[1, 1]$;
- (8) $\frac{1}{6} \leq \lambda^*(W_v)$;
- (9) $\sum_{v \in V(\Delta) \setminus \{\hat{u}\}} \lambda^*(W_v) \leq 2$;

for every $v \in V(\Delta) \setminus \{\hat{u}\}$, where W_v denotes the unique $\hat{u}v$ -path in T .

Proof. That items (1)–(5) hold follows at once from Lemma 3.10. Item (6) holds by Lemma 4.1. Now, let $u \in V(\Gamma)$ be such that $|u^G|$ has maximum cardinality amongst all the vertex orbits of G . Then $|u^G| \geq \frac{n}{3}$. Let $\hat{u} = \pi(u)$ and let $v \in V(\Delta) \setminus \{\hat{u}\}$ (recall that the natural projection π maps every vertex $v_i \in \text{fib}(v)$ to v). That \hat{u} is incident to a $[1, 1]$ -edge follows from [25, Theorem 4.7], and thus (7) holds. Furthermore, by (4.1) we have $\frac{1}{6}\iota(\hat{u}) \leq \iota(v)$, but by (4.3) we can replace $\iota(v)$ by $\lambda^*(W_v)\iota(\hat{u})$. Thus (8) holds. To see that (9) holds, subtract $\iota(\hat{u})$ on both sides of inequality (4.2) and replace $\iota(v)$ by $\lambda^*(W_v)\iota(\hat{u})$. \square

5. ARTEFACTS

A labelled subgraph of a labelled graph (Δ, λ) is a pair (Δ', λ') where Δ' is a subgraph of Δ and λ' is the restriction $\lambda|_{D(\Delta')}$. In this section we will define a set of ‘forbidden subgraph’ for a labelled graph, that we call artefacts. An artefact in a labelled graph (Δ, λ) is a labelled subgraph of (Δ, λ) that guaranties the existence of a particular subgraph (containing a short cycle) in any ccv -cover of (Δ, λ) . We will show that a labelled graph containing certain artefacts cannot admit a vertex-transitive ccv -cover of order large than 10. First, we will define the notion of the signature of a graph.

Let Γ be a cubic graph, let x be a dart of Γ and c be a positive integer. Denote by $\epsilon_c(x)$ the number of c -cycles (cycles of length c) that pass through x . Let $v \in V(\Gamma)$ and let $\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ be the set of darts beginning at v , ordered in such a way that $\epsilon_c(x_1) \leq \epsilon_c(x_2) \leq \epsilon_c(x_3)$. The triplet $(\epsilon_c(x_1), \epsilon_c(x_2), \epsilon_c(x_3))$ is then called the c -signature of v . Informally, the c -signature of v tells us how the cycles of length c passing through v are distributed among the darts incident to v . If all vertices of Γ have the same c -signature, we say that Γ is c -cycle-regular, and we say the c -signature of Γ is the c -signature of any of its vertices. Observe that if Γ is vertex-transitive, then Γ is c -cycle-regular for all $c \in \mathbb{N}$. For cubic graphs of small girth g , the g -signature is sometimes enough to completely determine the graph. The following lemma is a direct consequence of the results proved in [26] (or independently in [7]) and [27].

Lemma 5.1. (See [26, Theorem 1.5] and [27, Theorem 1].) *Let Γ be a cubic girth-regular graph of girth $g \leq 6$. Then either the g -signature of Γ is $(0, 1, 1)$ or one of the following occurs:*

- (1) $g = 3$ and $\Gamma \cong K_4$;
- (2) $g = 4$ and one the following occurs
 - (a) Γ has signature $(1, 2, 2)$ and is isomorphic to a prism or a Möbius ladder;
 - (b) Γ is isomorphic to $K_{3,3}$ or the cube graph Q_3 ;
- (3) $g = 5$ and Γ is isomorphic to the Petersen graph or the dodecahedron $\text{GP}(10, 2)$.
- (4) $g = 6$ and one of the following occurs:
 - (a) Γ belongs to a finite list of exceptional graphs with at most 20 vertices;
 - (b) Γ has signature $(1, 1, 2)$, $(2, 2, 2)$ or $(3, 4, 5)$;
 - (c) Γ has signature $(2, 3, 3)$ and is isomorphic either to
 - a cyclic Haar graph $H(3m; k, m)$ of order $6m$, $m > 3$, where $k = 1$ if $m \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ and $k = 3$ otherwise;

- a graph $\text{SDW}(m, 3)$ of order $6m$, $m > 3$.

Remark 5.2. The Haar graph $H(3m; k, m)$ featuring in part (c) of the case $g = 6$ of Lemma 5.1 was defined in [27, Section 2.4] as the bipartite Cayley graphs on the dihedral group $D_{3m} = \langle \rho, \tau \mid \rho^{3m}, \tau^2, (\rho\tau)^2 \rangle$ with respect to the connection set $\{\tau, \tau\rho^k, \tau\rho^m\}$ and were denoted Δ_m . It is, however, clear that such defined graph Δ_m and the cyclic Haar graph $H(3m; k, m)$ are isomorphic. As was proved there, their automorphism group has order $6m$ and thus acts regularly on the vertices.

Similarly, the graph $\text{SDW}(m, 3)$ was denoted in [27] as Σ_m and defined as the Cayley graph on the group $D_m \times \mathbb{Z}_3$ with respect to the connection set $S = \{(\rho\tau, 0), (\tau, 1), (\tau, 2)\}$, where $D_m = \langle \rho, \tau \mid \rho^m, \tau^2, (\rho\tau)^2 \rangle$ denotes the dihedral group of order $2m$. To prove that Σ_m is indeed isomorphic to $\text{SDW}(m, 3)$ one can check that the mapping $(\rho^i\tau^j, k) \mapsto (i, k, 1 - j)$ is indeed a graph isomorphism. As was proved in [27, Proposition 5], the automorphism group of $\text{SDW}(m, 3)$, $m > 3$, is isomorphic to the group $S_3 \times D_m$ (where by S_3 we denote the symmetric group of order 6).

Corollary 5.3. *If Γ is a cubic arc-transitive graph of girth smaller than 6, then Γ is isomorphic to one of the following: K_4 , $K_{3,3}$, the three-dimensional cube Q_3 , the Petersen Graph or the dodecahedron $\text{GP}(10, 2)$.*

Lemma 5.4. [19, Lemma 4.2] *If Γ is a cubic arc-transitive graph of girth 6, then either Γ has 6-signature $(2, 2, 2)$ or it has order $n \leq 20$.*

Lemma 5.5. *Let $(\Delta, \lambda, \iota, \zeta)$ be a ccv-graph and suppose $\Gamma := \text{Cov}(\Delta, \lambda, \iota, \zeta)$ is vertex-transitive. If for some $x \in D(\Delta)$ we have $\lambda(x) = 3$, then Γ is arc-transitive.*

Proof. Let $u = \text{beg } x$ and $u_0 \in \text{fib}(u)$. Let a and b be two arbitrary darts of Γ . Since Γ is vertex-transitive, there exist automorphisms $\phi, \psi \in \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ such that $(\text{beg } a)^\phi = u_0 = (\text{beg } b)^\psi$. In particular, both a^ϕ and b^ψ begin at u_0 . Furthermore, since $\lambda(x) = 3$, all three darts beginning at u_0 belong to the same orbit of the cyclic group of automorphisms of Γ preserving the fibres, and thus there exists $\gamma \in \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ such that $a^{\phi\gamma} = b^\psi$. Then $a^{\phi\gamma\psi^{-1}} = b$. We conclude Γ is arc-transitive. \square

Let A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4 and A_5 be the 5 labelled graphs depicted in the bottom row of Figure 5.1.




FIGURE 5.1. The five artefacts A_i with $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ (bottom row). Above each, a small subgraph of the cover of any ccv-graph containing A_i .

Lemma 5.6. *Let (Δ, λ) be a labelled graph and let Γ be a ccv-cover of (Δ, λ) . Suppose (Δ, λ) contains an artefact A_j for some $j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$. Then:*

- (1) if $j = 1$, Γ contains a 3-cycle;
- (2) if $j \in \{2, 3\}$, Γ contains a 4-cycle;
- (3) if $j \in \{4, 5\}$, Γ contains a copy of $K_{3,2}$.

Proof. The proof consists in repeatedly applying Remark 3.12 for each of the five possible cases. For instance, suppose $\Gamma \cong \text{Cov}(\Delta, \lambda, \iota, \zeta)$ where $(\Delta, \lambda, \iota, \zeta)$ is a *ccv*-extension of (Δ, λ) and that Γ contains a subgraph isomorphic to A_1 . Assume the notation of Figure 5.1. Then $\iota(u) = 2 \cdot \iota(v) = 2m$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and since uv is a $[1, 2]$ -edge, it follows from Remark 3.12 that each $v_i \in \text{fib}(v)$ is adjacent to u_i and u_{i+m} . Furthermore, since u is incident to a semi-edge, u_i is incident to u_{i+m} (again, by Remark 3.12). Then, (u_i, u_{i+m}, v_i) is a 3-cycle of Γ for all $i \in \{0, \dots, m-1\}$. A similar argument shows that the lemma holds for the four remaining cases (see Figure 5.1). \square

Corollary 5.7. *Let (Δ, λ) be labelled graph containing an artefact A_i with $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ and a dart x such that $\lambda(x) = 3$. If Γ is a vertex-transitive *ccv*-cover of (Γ, λ) , then Γ is isomorphic to K_4 , $K_{3,3}$ or Q_3 .*

Proof. By Lemma 5.5 Γ is arc-transitive and since it contains an artefact A_i with $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ it has girth 3 or 4. It follows from Corollary 5.3 that Γ is isomorphic to K_4 , $K_{3,3}$ or Q_3 . \square

Let A_6 and A_7 be the labelled graphs depicted in the bottom row of Figure 5.2.




FIGURE 5.2. The two artefacts A_6 and A_7 (bottom row). Above each, a small subgraph of the cover of any *ccv*-graph containing it.

Lemma 5.8. *If (Δ, λ) is a labelled graph containing A_6 or A_7 , then no *ccv*-cover of (Δ, λ) is vertex-transitive.*

Proof. Let $(\Delta, \lambda, \iota, \zeta)$ be a *ccv*-extension of (Δ, λ) such that $\Gamma \cong \text{Cov}(\Delta, \lambda, \iota, \zeta)$. Suppose, for a contradiction, that Γ is vertex-transitive and (Δ, λ) contains a copy of A_j for some $j \in \{6, 7\}$.

Now, suppose $j = 7$. Let a, b, c and d be the vertices of A_7 , as they are labelled in Figure 5.2. There are two edges connecting b to a . Without loss of generality, we may assume the darts on one of these edges have trivial voltage, as necessarily one of these edges must lie on a spanning tree of Δ and (we can assume) the voltage assignment ζ is simplified. As for the other edge, let $r \neq 0$ be the voltage of the dart underlying it and beginning at b (and thus, its inverse, beginning at a , has voltage $-r$). Let $\iota(c) = m$ so that $\iota(b) = \iota(a) = 2m$. Observe that $(c_0, b_0, a_0, a_m, b_m)$ and $(c_0, b_0, a_r, a_{m+r}, b_m)$ are 5-cycles of Γ (note that this is true even if $r = m$). Then every dart beginning at b_0 lies on a 5-cycle. Since dc

is a $[1, i]$ -edge of (Δ, λ) , we see that d_0 is adjacent to c_0 in Γ . Furthermore, since Γ is vertex-transitive, the dart beginning at d_0 and ending at c_0 lies on a 5-cycle $C := (d_0, c_0, u, v, w)$, for some $u, v, w \in V(\Gamma)$. Clearly, $u \in \{b_0, b_m\}$ and $v \in \{a_0, a_r, a_m, a_{m+r}\}$, since both $\text{fib}(c)$ and $\text{fib}(b)$ are independent sets. Then $w \in \text{fib}(a) \cup \text{fib}(b)$ which leads us to a contradiction, since no vertex in $\text{fib}(a) \cup \text{fib}(b)$ is adjacent to a vertex in $\text{fib}(d)$. Therefore, there is no 5-cycle tracing the edge d_0c_0 and thus Γ is not vertex-transitive.

Finally, suppose $j = 6$ and assume the notation in Figure 5.2. Then $\iota(d) = m$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. By Lemma 5.6, Γ contains a 3-cycle and thus the vertex $d_0 \in \text{fib}(d)$ must lie on a 3-cycle C . Since d_0 has two neighbours in $\text{fib}(c)$, one vertex of C must be in $\text{fib}(c)$. Without loss of generality, let c_0 be that vertex. Then, the third vertex in C must be a common neighbour of d_0 and c_0 , but the other two neighbours of c_0 are b_0 and b_{2m} , none of which is adjacent to d_0 . Therefore, d_0 does not lie on a 3-cycle, and Γ is not vertex-transitive. \square

The following Theorem, which is a consequence of Corollary 5.7 and Lemma 5.8, summarises the contents of this section.

Theorem 5.9. *Let (Δ, λ) be a labelled graph and let Γ be a ccv-cover of (Δ, λ) with more than 20 vertices. If Γ is vertex transitive, then one of the following hold:*

- (1) (Δ, λ) does not contain an artefact A_i with $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ and a dart x such that $\lambda(x) = 3$;
- (2) (Δ, λ) does not contain an artefact A_i with $i \in \{6, 7\}$.

6. THE SET \mathcal{Q}

Recall that \mathcal{G} is the set of all vertex-transitive cubic graphs Γ of order $n > 20$ admitting an automorphism g of order $n/3$ or greater, and that \mathcal{Q} is the set of all labelled quotients $\Gamma/\langle g \rangle$. As a step towards proving Theorem 1.1, we must determine the set \mathcal{Q} . Then, we can reconstruct \mathcal{G} by considering all vertex-transitive ccv-covers of elements of \mathcal{Q} . Observe that \mathcal{Q} is a subset of the set \mathcal{Q}^* of all labelled graphs satisfying the conditions stated in Theorems 4.2 and 5.9. These conditions are restrictive enough to allow us to quickly compute \mathcal{Q}^* by means of a brute-force algorithm. As it transpires, \mathcal{Q}^* consist of 20 labelled graphs. To determine \mathcal{Q} it suffices to determine which of these graphs admit a vertex-transitive ccv-cover with more than 20 vertices.

The eight elements of \mathcal{Q}^* having less than four vertices, shown in Figure 6.1, correspond to the eight possible quotients of a cubic graph by a (k, ℓ) -semiregular automorphism with $k \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ (graphs with such an automorphism are also called k -multicirculants).

Each of these eight graphs admit at least one vertex-transitive ccv-cover with more than 20 vertices (see [23, Theorem 1.1] or [20] and [22] for details). Therefore, the eight graphs of Figure 6.1 are elements of \mathcal{Q} .




FIGURE 6.1. Elements of \mathcal{Q}^* with at most 3 vertices.

The remaining 12 labelled graphs of \mathcal{Q}^* are shown in Figure 6.2. We will show that, with the exception of Δ_{12} , none of these graphs admit a vertex-transitive ccv-cover of order larger than 20. The graph Δ_{12} will be studied in detail in Section 6.1.

Now consider a labelled graph Δ_i from Figure 6.2 and suppose $(\Delta, \lambda, \iota, \zeta)$ is a *ccv*-extension of Δ_i . We may assume that ζ is a simplified voltage assignment and agrees with Figure 6.2, where we adopt the following notation convention. For a symbol $\alpha \in \{r, s\}$, an edge with an arrow oriented from, say, u to v , with the letter α next to it, indicates that the dart x underlying this edge and beginning at u has voltage α , for some $0 \leq \alpha < \iota(v)$. A loop with the letter α next to it, indicates that one of the underlying darts, say x , has voltage α for some integer $0 < \alpha < \iota(\text{beg } x)$. For a semi-edge x , $\zeta(x) = \iota(\text{beg } x)/2$. All other darts belong to a spanning \mathcal{T} and have trivial voltage. The vertices of each Δ_i are named in Figure 6.2, but we refrain from naming the darts in the figure so as not to overburden it. Since parallel darts in a *ccv*-graph need to have distinct voltages, every dart in Δ_i is completely determined by its endpoints along with its voltage. Hence, we will denote a dart x of Δ_i beginning at u and ending at v by $(uv)_{\zeta(x)}$; its inverse is then $(vu)_{-\zeta(x)}$. As every cover of a *ccv*-graph is a simple graph, the darts in the fibre of $(uv)_{\zeta(x)}$ are denoted by $u_i v_{i+\zeta}$ for $i \in \{0, \dots, \iota(u) - 1\}$ (like arc or directed edges are usually denoted).




FIGURE 6.2. Elements of \mathcal{Q}^* with more than 3 vertices.

Let (Δ, λ) be a labelled graph, Γ be a *ccv*-cover of (Δ, λ) and let $\pi : \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta$ be the corresponding projection. If W is a uv -walk in Δ , then a *lift* of W based at a vertex $u_i \in \text{fib}(u)$ is a walk $\bar{W} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ beginning at u_i such that the projection $\pi(\bar{W}) := (\pi(x_1), \pi(x_2), \dots, \pi(x_n))$ is equal to W . We denote by $\mathcal{L}(W)$ the set of all lifts of W based at u_0 .

We say W is λ -reduced if $x_{i+1} \neq x_i^{-1}$ whenever $\lambda(x_i^{-1}) = 1$, and $x_n \neq x_1^{-1}$ whenever $\lambda(x_1) = 1$. Clearly, every reduced walk is λ -reduced.

Let $(\Delta, \lambda, \iota, \zeta)$ be a *ccv*-graph and set $n = \text{lcm}\{\lambda(x)\iota(\text{beg } x) : x \in D(\Delta)\}$. Let $W = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)$ be a walk in Δ and let $d = \text{gcd}\{\iota(\text{beg } x_i) : x_i \in W\}$. We define the *endset* of W as

$$\text{end}(W) = \sum_{i=0}^k \zeta(x_i) + \langle d \rangle,$$

where $\langle d \rangle$ denotes the subgroup of \mathbb{Z} generated by d , and where the addition is computed modulo $\iota(\text{end } x_k)$.

Lemma 6.1. [24, Lemma 30] *Let $(\Delta, \lambda, \iota, \zeta)$ be a *ccv*-graph and $\Gamma = \text{Cov}(\Delta, \lambda, \iota, \zeta)$. Let W be a *uv*-walk for some $u, v \in V(\Delta)$. If $\overline{W} \in \mathcal{L}(W)$, then the final vertex of \overline{W} is v_j for some $j \in \text{end}(W)$. Conversely, for every $j \in \text{end}(W)$ there exists a lift of W beginning at u_0 and ending at v_j .*

Lemma 6.2. *Let $(\Delta, \lambda, \iota, \zeta)$ be a *ccv*-graph and $\Gamma = \text{Cov}(\Delta, \lambda, \iota, \zeta)$. If C is a cycle in Γ , then $\pi(C)$ is a λ -reduced closed walk in Δ and $0 \in \text{end}(\pi(C))$.*

Proof. Let C be a cycle in Γ . Clearly, C is a $u_a u_a$ -walk for some vertex $u_a \in \text{fib}(u)$ and some $u \in V(\Delta)$. Let x be a dart visited by $\pi(C)$ and suppose that $\pi(C)$ traces x^{-1} immediately after x . We will show that $\lambda(x^{-1}) \neq 1$. Observe that since $\pi(C)$ traces x and x^{-1} one after the other, there must exist a dart $x_i \in \text{fib}(x)$ and a dart $(x^{-1})_j \in \text{fib}(x^{-1})$ such that C traces x_i and $(x^{-1})_j$ consecutively. Since C is a cycle, it is a reduced walk by definition, and thus $(x^{-1})_j \neq (x_i)^{-1}$. However both $(x^{-1})_j$ and $(x_i)^{-1}$ belong to $\text{fib}(x^{-1})$. Moreover, since x_i and $(x^{-1})_j$ are two consecutive darts of a walk, we have $\text{beg}(x^{-1})_j = \text{end } x_i = \text{beg}(x_i)^{-1}$. That is, there are two distinct darts in $\text{fib}(x^{-1})$ beginning at the same vertex. This implies that $\lambda(x^{-1}) \geq 2$.

Now suppose x and x^{-1} are the first and the last darts traced by $\pi(C)$. Let $x_i \in \text{fib}(x)$ and $(x^{-1})_j \in \text{fib}(x^{-1})$ be the first and last darts traced by C , respectively. By an argument analogous to the one used in the previous case, we have that $(x^{-1})_j \neq x_i^{-1}$ but $\text{beg}(x^{-1})_j = \text{beg } x_i^{-1}$, and thus $\lambda(x) \geq 2$. This shows that $\pi(C)$ is λ -reduced.

To show that $0 \in \text{end}(\pi(C))$, recall that Γ admits an automorphism ρ that maps every dart x_i to x_{i+1} . Then ρ^{-a} maps the vertex u_a to u_0 , and thus $\rho^{-a}(C)$ is a reduced closed walk beginning and ending at u_0 . Moreover, $\rho^{-a}(C) \in \mathcal{L}(\pi(C))$. Since the final vertex of $\rho^{-a}(C)$ is u_0 , it follows from Lemma 6.1 that $0 \in \text{end}(\pi(W))$. \square

Throughout the rest of the section we will assume that $(\Delta, \lambda) = \Delta_i$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, 11\}$, that Γ is the cover of a *ccv*-extension $(\Delta, \lambda, \iota, \zeta)$ of Δ_i , and that $\pi : \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta$ is the covering projection. Note that Γ is completely determined by the values of the voltages r and s , and $m := \text{gcd}\{\iota(u) : u \in V(\Delta)\}$. Indeed, Γ is uniquely determined by the quadruple $(\Delta, \lambda, \iota, \zeta)$. Recall that the index function ι is determined by its value on a single vertex along with the labelling λ , which is given. Let $u \in V(\Delta)$ be any vertex and for each $v \in V(\Delta) \setminus \{u\}$ chose (arbitrarily) a *uv*-walk W_v . By equality (4.3), we have $\iota(v) = \lambda^*(W_v)\iota(u)$ for all $v \in V(\Delta) \setminus \{u\}$. Let c be the smallest positive integer such that $c \cdot \lambda^*(W_v)$ is an integer for all $v \in V(\Delta) \setminus \{u\}$. Then $\iota(u) = c \cdot m$. Note that c depends only on λ and our choice of u . Then, ι is completely determined by λ and m . Finally, since we can assume ζ to be simplified, we know that every dart x underlying a semi-edge has voltage $\iota(\text{beg } x)/2$, and any other dart not labelled r or s has voltage 0. The values of r and s , along with the function ι thus completely determine ζ .

We are now ready to analyse the labelled graphs Δ_i . The technique employed in the following pages relies mainly in finding a closed walk W of length n in Δ_i such that $\mathcal{L}(W)$ contains a cycle of length n , regardless of the specific values of the voltages r and s . Such a walk can often be found by finding an artefact A_j in Δ_i . If we suppose that Γ is vertex-transitive, then for every vertex v_i of Γ , at least one dart incident to v_i must lie on an n -cycle. Then by Corollary 6.2, this will imply that for every vertex $v \in V(\Delta)$ a specific dart incident to v lies on a closed walk W' of length n such that $0 \in \text{end}(W')$. Since every element of $\text{end}(W')$ can be seen as a linear combination of m , r and s , $0 \in \text{end}(W')$ implies a relation between m , r and s , which along with the fact that $\text{gcd}(m, r, s) = 1$ (see item (5) of Lemma 3.8),

is often enough to completely determine their values (up to a few options). This, in turn, determines the graph Γ .

Lemma 6.3. *If Γ is a vertex-transitive ccv-cover of Δ_1 , then Γ is a bicirculant graph of order 12.*

Proof. Let $(\Delta, \lambda, \iota, \zeta)$ be a ccv-extension of Δ_1 such that $\Gamma = \text{Cov}(\Delta, \lambda, \iota, \zeta)$. Let $\iota(c) = m$ and note that $\iota(d) = m$ as c and d are connected through a $[1, 1]$ -edge. Similarly $\iota(a) = \iota(b) = 2m$. Let $W = ((ac)_0, (ca)_0, (ad)_0, (da)_0)$ and note that every reduced walk in $\mathcal{L}(W)$ is a cycle of length 4 (see Lemma 5.6). Then, every dart in the fibre of $(ac)_0$ or $(ad)_0$ lies on a 4-cycle. Since Γ is vertex-transitive, every dart in the fibre of $(bb)_s$ (or of $(bb)_{-s}$) must lie on a 4-cycle. Suppose C is a 4-cycle (in Γ) through b_0b_s . Then $\pi(C)$ is a λ -reduced walk of length 4 through $(bb)_s$. Clearly, $\pi(C) = ((bb)_s, (bb)_s, (bb)_s, (bb)_s)$ and $\text{end}(\pi(C)) = \{4s\}$. By Lemma 6.2, $0 \in \text{end}(\pi(C))$ and thus $4s \equiv 0 \pmod{2m}$. Since $0 < s < m$, we have $2s = m$. This shows that $(b_0, b_s, b_{2s}, a_{2s}, c_{2s}, a_{2s+m})$ is a 6-cycle in Γ since $a_{2s+m} = a_0$ and $a_0 \sim b_0$. Then the 6-signature of Γ is $(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3)$ where $\epsilon_i > 0$. That is, every dart of Γ lies in at least one 6-cycle. In particular, there is a 6-cycle C' through c_0d_{-r} . Then $\pi(C')$ is a λ -reduced walk of length 6 through $(cd)_{-r}$. By inspecting Figure 6.2, we see that $\pi(C')$ must be one of the following:

$$\begin{aligned} W_1 &= ((cd)_{-r}, (da)_0, (ac)_0, (cd)_{-r}, (da)_0, (ac)_0), \\ W_2 &= ((cd)_{-r}, (da)_0, (ab)_0, (bb)_s, (ba)_0, (ac)_0), \\ W_3 &= ((cd)_{-r}, (da)_0, (ab)_0, (bb)_{-s}, (ba)_0, (ac)_0). \end{aligned}$$

Now, $\text{end}(W_1) = \{-2r\}$, $\text{end}(W_2) = \{s - r\}$ and $\text{end}(W_3) = \{-s - r\}$. Since $0 \in \text{end}(W_i)$ for some $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, we see that one of the following holds modulo m ,

$$\begin{aligned} -2r &\equiv 0, \\ s - r &\equiv 0, \\ -s - r &\equiv 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since $0 \leq r < m$ and $2s = m$, we see that either $r = 0$ or $s = r$. However, $\gcd(m, r, s) = 1$ by Lemma 3.8. Therefore, $r = s = 1$ and $m = 2$. That is, the functions ζ and ι are completely determined and so is Γ . It can be verified that Γ is a bicirculant isomorphic to the Franklin graph (see page 244 of [3] for definition and properties). \square




FIGURE 6.3. For each of the two cases in the proof of Lemma 6.5, a subgraph of Γ containing 4 distinct 6-cycles through the dart d_0a_0 (left) and through the dart e_0c_0 (right), respectively.

Lemma 6.4. *If Γ is a ccv-cover of Δ_i , $i \in \{2, 3, 11\}$, then Γ is not vertex-transitive.*

Proof. Let $(\Delta, \lambda, \iota, \zeta)$ be a ccv-extension of Δ_j and suppose $\Gamma := \text{Cov}(\Delta, \lambda, \iota, \zeta)$ is vertex-transitive.

First, suppose $j = 2$. Let $\iota(d) = 2m$ and for $k \in \{0, 1\}$ let $W_k = ((da)_0, (ab)_{kr}, (bc)_0, (cb)_0, (ba)_{-kr}, (ad)_0)$. Observe that every edge incident to a_0 lies on a 6-cycle belonging to $\mathcal{L}(W_0) \cup \mathcal{L}(W_1)$. Then d_0d_m must

lie on a 6-cycle C of Γ and $\pi(C)$ is a λ -reduced closed walk of length 6. It is straightforward to see that no λ -reduced closed walk of length 6 in Δ_2 traces the dart $(dd)_m$, a contradiction. Therefore Γ is not vertex-transitive.

Now, suppose $j = 3$. Let $\iota(d) = 2m$ and $W = ((da)_0, (ab)_r, (ba)_0, (ad)_0, (da)_0, (ab)_0, (ba)_{-r}, (ad)_0)$. Observe that every edge incident to a_0 lies on an 8-cycle of $\mathcal{L}(W)$, which implies the existence of an 8-cycle C through d_0d_m , since Γ is vertex-transitive. Then $\pi(C)$ is a λ -reduced closed walk of length 8 through $(dd)_m$. Once more, one can verify that no such walk exists in Δ_3 . We conclude Γ is not vertex-transitive.

Finally, suppose $j = 11$. Let $\iota(d) = m$ and note that since the voltage assignment is simplified, the darts incident to a or b have voltage m and those incident to c or d have voltage $m/2$. Since Γ is connected, $\gcd(m/2, m) = 1$, which implies that $m = 2$. Note that the functions ζ and ι are thus completely determined, and so is the graph Γ . One can simply verify that Γ is a non-vertex-transitive graph of order 12. \square

Lemma 6.5. *If Γ is a vertex-transitive ccv-cover of Δ_j , with $j \in \{4, 5\}$, then Γ has less than 20 vertices.*

Proof. Suppose $\Gamma = \text{Cov}(\Delta, \lambda, \iota, \zeta)$ is vertex-transitive where $(\Delta, \lambda, \iota, \zeta)$ is a ccv-extension of Δ_4 and let $\iota(a) = m$. Since Δ_4 has a $[1, 3]$ -edge, Γ must be arc-transitive by Lemma 5.5. Now, if $r \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$, then (d_0, a_0, c_m, a_m) is a 4-cycle of Γ and by Corollary 5.3, Γ has less than 20 vertices. Suppose that $r \not\equiv 0 \pmod{m}$. For $i \in \{0, 1\}$, let

$$W_i = ((da)_0, (ab)_{ir}, (bc)_0, (cb)_0, (ba)_{-ir}, (ad)_0).$$

Observe that every dart in the fiber of $(da)_0$ lies on 4 distinct 6-cycle in $\mathcal{L}(W_0) \cup \mathcal{L}(W_1)$ (see Figure 6.3, left). Then by Lemma 5.4 Γ has less than 20 vertices.

Now, suppose $\Gamma := \text{Cov}(\Delta, \lambda, \iota, \zeta)$ is vertex-transitive where $(\Delta, \lambda, \iota, \zeta)$ is a ccv-extension of Δ_5 and consider the walk

$$W = ((ec)_0, (ca)_0, (ad)_0, (da)_0, (ac)_0, (ce)_0).$$

Observe that every dart in e_0c_0 lies on 4 distinct 6-cycle in $\mathcal{L}(W)$ (see Figure 6.3, right) and by Lemma 5.4, Γ has less than 20 vertices. \square

Lemma 6.6. *If Γ is a vertex-transitive ccv-cover of Δ_6 , then Γ is a tricirculant of order 18.*

Proof. Suppose $\Gamma := \text{Cov}(\Delta, \lambda, \iota, \zeta)$ is vertex-transitive where $(\Delta, \lambda, \iota, \zeta)$ is a ccv-extension of Δ_6 . Then, for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have $\iota(c) = \iota(d) = m$ and $\iota(a) = \iota(b) = 2m$. Let $W = ((aa)_m, (ad)_0, (da)_0)$ and observe that every reduced walk in $\mathcal{L}(W)$ is a 3-cycle (see Lemma 5.6). Then every dart in the fibre of $(aa)_m$ or $(ad)_0$ lies on a 3-cycle. Since Γ is vertex-transitive, it must be 3-cycle-regular. In particular, every dart in the fibre of $(bb)_r$ lies on a 3-cycle and so, in Γ , there is a 3-cycle C through the edge b_0b_r . Then $\pi(C)$ is a λ -reduced closed walk of length 3 that traces $(bb)_r$. It is straightforward to see that necessarily $\pi(C) = ((bb)_r, (bb)_r, (bb)_r)$. Moreover, by Lemma 6.2, $0 \in \text{end}(\pi(C)) = \{3r\}$ and so

$$(6.1) \quad 3r \equiv 0 \pmod{2m}.$$

Now, every dart in the fibre of $(cc)_s$ must also lie on a 3-cycle, and by an analogous argument,

$$(6.2) \quad 3s \equiv 0 \pmod{m}.$$

Since Γ is connected, by Lemma 3.8 we see that $\gcd(m, r, s) = 1$ and by (6.1) and (6.2), we see that the only possibility is that $m = 3$, $r = 2$ and $s = 1$. One can readily verify that Γ is isomorphic to the truncation of $K_{3,3}$, and thus is a vertex-transitive tricirculant of order 18. \square

Lemma 6.7. *If Γ is a vertex-transitive ccv-cover of Δ_7 , then Γ is a bicirculant of order 12.*

Proof. Suppose $\Gamma = \text{Cov}(\Delta, \lambda, \iota, \zeta)$ is vertex-transitive where $(\Delta, \lambda, \iota, \zeta)$ is a *ccv*-extension of Δ_7 . Then $\iota(c) = \iota(a) = 2m$ and $\iota(b) = \iota(d) = m$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $W = ((ad)_0, (da)_0, (ab)_0, (ba)_0)$ and observe that every reduced walk in $\mathcal{L}(W)$ is a 4-cycle. In particular, a_0b_0 and a_0d_0 lie on a 4-cycle, and thus, the vertex-transitivity of Γ implies that there is a 4-cycle C through c_0c_r . It follows that $\pi(C)$ is a λ -reduced closed walk of length 4 through the dart $(cc)_r$. It is plain to see that $\pi(C) = ((cc)_r, (cc)_r, (cc)_r, (cc)_r)$ and thus $\text{end}(\pi(C)) = \{4r\}$. Then $4r \equiv 0 \pmod{2m}$ and $\gcd(m, r) = 1$. Since $0 < r < m$, we see that $r = 1$ and $m = 2$. Then Γ is a cubic bicirculant of order 12 and is in fact isomorphic to the Franklin graph. \square

Lemma 6.8. *If Γ is a vertex-transitive *ccv*-cover of Δ_8 , then Γ is the triangular prism $\text{GP}(3, 1)$.*

Proof. Let $\Gamma = \text{Cov}(\Delta, \lambda, \iota, \zeta)$ be vertex-transitive where $(\Delta, \lambda, \iota, \zeta)$ is a *ccv*-extension of Δ_8 . Let $\iota(c) = m$ so that $\iota(a) = \iota(b) = 2m$. Consider the walk $W = ((aa)_m, (ab)_0, (bb)_m, (ba)_0)$ and see that both a_0a_m and a_0b_0 lie on a 4-cycle in $\mathcal{L}(W)$. Since Γ is vertex-transitive, then one of d_0a_0 or d_0a_m must lie on a 4-cycle C . Then $\pi(C)$ is a λ -reduced closed walk of length 4 through the dart $(da)_0$. Clearly $\pi(C) = ((da)_0, (ab)_0, (bc)_0, (cd)_{-r})$ and $\text{end}(\pi(C)) = \{-r\}$. Then, by Lemma 6.2 we have $r \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$. Since $\gcd(m, r) = 1$ and $r < m$, we see that $m = 1$ and $r = 0$. Then Γ can be seen to be isomorphic to the triangular prism. \square

Lemma 6.9. *If Γ is a *ccv*-cover of Δ_9 , then Γ is not vertex-transitive.*

Proof. Let $\Gamma = \text{Cov}(\Delta, \lambda, \iota, \zeta)$ where $(\Delta, \lambda, \iota, \zeta)$ is a *ccv*-extension of Δ_9 . Observe that $\iota(a) = 2 \cdot \iota(b) = 3 \cdot \iota(d)$. Moreover, $\iota(b)$ is even as b is incident to a semi-edge. Then, $\iota(a)$ is divisible by 12. That is, for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have $\iota(a) = \iota(c) = 12m$, $\iota(b) = 6m$, $\iota(d) = 4m$ and the order of Γ is $34m$. Suppose Γ is vertex-transitive and consider the walk

$$W = ((da)_0, (ab)_0, (ba)_0, (ad)_0, (da)_0, (ab)_0, (ba)_0, (ad)_0).$$

Observe that every dart beginning at d_0 lies on an 8-cycle belonging to $\mathcal{L}(W)$. This implies the existence of a λ -reduced walk W' of length 8 through the dart $(bb)_{3m}$. Observe that then W' must be one (or the inverse) of the following 6 walks, where $i \in \{-1, 1\}$:

$$W_{1,i} = ((bb)_{3m}, (ba)_0, (ac)_0, (cc)_{ir}, (cc)_{ir}, (cc)_{ir}, (ca)_0, (ab)_0),$$

$$W_{2,i} = ((bb)_{3m}, (ba)_0, (ac)_0, (cc)_{ir}, (ca)_0, (ad)_0, (da)_0, (ab)_0),$$

$$W_{3,i} = ((bb)_{3m}, (ba)_0, (ad)_0, (da)_0, (ac)_0, (cc)_{ir}, (ca)_0, (ab)_0).$$

Let \mathcal{W} be the set containing the six walks $W_{j,i}$, $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $i \in \{-1, 1\}$, along with their inverses. Denote by $\text{end}(\mathcal{W})$ the union of endsets over the elements of \mathcal{W} . A tedious but straightforward computation shows that

$$\text{end}(\mathcal{W}) = \{\pm 2m, \pm(m+r), \pm(m-r), \pm(3m+r), \pm(3m+3r)\}.$$


Then $z \equiv 0 \pmod{6m}$ for some $z \in \text{end}(\mathcal{W})$. This implies that $m = 1$ and $r = km$ for some $k \in \{1, 3, 5\}$. Then Γ is one of three possible graphs of order 34 (observe that Γ is completely defined by the values of r and m). One can check that in neither one of the three possible cases is Γ vertex-transitive. \square

Lemma 6.10. *If Γ is a *ccv*-cover of Δ_{10} , then Γ is not vertex-transitive.*

Proof. Let $\Gamma = \text{Cov}(\Delta, \lambda, \iota, \zeta)$ where $(\Delta, \lambda, \iota, \zeta)$ is a *ccv*-extension of Δ_{10} . Suppose Γ is vertex-transitive. Since Δ_{10} has a $[1, 3]$ -edge, Γ is arc-transitive. For some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have $\iota(e) = m$, $\iota(c) = 3m$, $\iota(a) = \iota(b) = 6m$ and $\iota(d) = 2m$. Observe that the order of Γ is $18m$. As one can verify with the census of cubic vertex-transitive graphs [21], no *ccv*-cover of Δ_{10} is vertex-transitive if $m \in \{1, 2\}$. Thus assume that $m > 2$. Furthermore, $r \not\equiv 0 \pmod{m}$, for otherwise $m = 1$ (since $\gcd(m, r) = 1$). Now, for $i, j \in \{0, 1\}$ and $k \in \{2, 4\}$ consider the walks in Γ :

$$W_{i,j} = (d_0, a_0, b_{ir}, c_{ir}, e_{ir}, c_{(1+j)m+ir}, b_{(4-2j)m+ir}, a_{(4-2j)m}, d_0),$$


$$W'_{i,k} = (d_0, a_0, b_{(1-i)r}, a_{(1-2i)r}, d_{(1-2i)r}, a_{km+(1-2i)r}, b_{km+(1-i)r}, a_{km}).$$

FIGURE 6.4. The 8 distinct 8-cycles through the dart d_0a_0 in the proof of Lemma 6.10

Note that each of these 8 walks is an 8-cycle through a_0d_0 (see Figure 6.4). Since Γ is arc-transitive, then there must be 8 distinct 8-cycles through c_0b_0 . Now, consider the walks

$$\begin{aligned} W_1 &= ((cb)_0, (ba)_0, (ad)_0, (da)_0, (ab)_0, (bc)_0, (cd)_0, (dc)_0), \\ W_2 &= ((cb)_0, (ba)_{-r}, (ad)_0, (da)_0, (ab)_r, (bc)_0, (cd)_0, (dc)_0), \\ W_3 &= ((cd)_0, (ba)_0, (ab)_r, (bc)_0, (cb)_0, (ba)_{-r}, (ab)_0, (bc)_0), \\ W_4 &= ((cd)_0, (ba)_{-r}, (ab)_0, (bc)_0, (cb)_0, (ba)_0, (ab)_r, (bc)_0) \end{aligned}$$

(see Figure 6.5). Observe that for all $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, all lifts of W_i that trace the dart c_0b_0 are 8-cycles. There are exactly 6 such cycles. It follows that there are an additional two 8-cycles through c_0b_0 that do not project to any of the four walks W_i , $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. Each of these two cycles projects to a λ -reduced closed walk of length 8 based at c and visiting the dart $(cb)_0$. Moreover, such projections must be different than W_i with $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. Let \mathcal{W} be the set of all λ -reduced closed walks of length 8 based at c and visiting the dart $(cb)_0$, that are distinct from W_i with $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. Let $\text{end}(\mathcal{W})$ be the union of the endsets of all the elements of \mathcal{W} . A computer assisted calculation shows that $\text{end}(\mathcal{W}) = \{\pm(2m+r), \pm(m+2r), \pm(m+r), \pm(r), \pm(2r), \pm(3r), \pm(2m+2r)\}$. Then $z \equiv 0 \pmod{3m}$ for some $z \in \text{end}(\mathcal{W})$. This implies that $m = 1$ and $r \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ or $m = 2$ and $r \in \{5, 7\}$, a contradiction. We conclude that Γ is not vertex-transitive. \square

FIGURE 6.5. Two subgraphs of Γ in the proof of Lemma 6.10. On the left, a subgraph containing all lifts of W_1 that visit c_0 (8-cycles through c_0b_0 shown in bold edges). On the right, a subgraph containing all lifts of W_3 and W_4 that visit c_0 .

Up to this point we have shown that none of the labelled graphs Δ_i with $i \in \{1, \dots, 11\}$ admit a vertex-transitive ccv -covers with more than 20 vertices. Since the graphs in Figure 6.1 all admit vertex-transitive ccv -covers with more than 20 vertices, it follows that the set \mathcal{Q} consists of these eight graphs and possibly the graph Δ_{12} (it will be shown in Section 6.1 that Δ_{12} does in fact admit infinitely many vertex-transitive ccv -covers and thus belongs to \mathcal{Q}). Since the graphs in Figure 6.1 have at most 3 vertices and all of their edges are of type $[1, 1]$, we see that their generalised cyclic covers are k -multicirculants for some $k \leq 3$. The following proposition summarises the contents of this section.

Proposition 6.11. *Let Γ be a cubic vertex-transitive graph of order $n > 20$ admitting an automorphism of order $n/3$ or greater. Then either $\kappa(\Gamma) \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ or Γ is a ccv-cover of Δ_{12} .*




FIGURE 6.6. The voltage assignment giving rise to the graph $\Gamma_{12}(m, r, s)$.

6.1. The graph Δ_{12} . Let m be a positive integer, and let r and s be two distinct elements of \mathbb{Z} . Let $\Delta_{12}(m, r, s)$ be the ccv-extension of Δ_{12} shown in Figure 6.6, where voltages are shown in bold characters next to each edge and $\iota(a) = m$. It follows from equality 3.1 that $\iota(u) = \iota(v) = 2m$ and $\iota(a) = \iota(b) = m$. As the final step in the proof of Theorem 1.1, we need to prove the following:

Theorem 6.12. *Let $m, r, s, m > 3$, be positive integers. If the cyclic generalised cover $\Gamma = \Gamma_{12}(m, r, s)$ arising from $\Delta_{12}(m, r, s)$ is connected and vertex-transitive, then m is odd and $\Gamma \cong \text{SDW}(m, 3)$. Conversely, if m is odd, then $\text{SDW}(m, 3)$ is isomorphic to $\Gamma_{12}(m, 1, 2)$, is connected and vertex-transitive and admits an automorphism of order $2m$.*

Proof. Recall that $\Gamma_{12}(m, r, s)$ admits an automorphism ρ of order $2m$ whose orbits on vertices and darts are precisely the fibres of vertices and darts. Moreover, the automorphism ρ^m fixes the $2m$ vertices in the fibres $\text{fib}(a)$ and $\text{fib}(b)$; in particular, $\Gamma_{12}(m, r, s)$ admits a non-trivial automorphism that fixes one third of the vertices of the graph.

Suppose first that the girth of Γ is less than 6. Then by Lemma 5.1 (see also [26, Theorem 1.5]) and the fact that Γ has at least 24 vertices, it follows that Γ is isomorphic to the Möbius ladder $\text{Moeb}(6m)$ or to the prism $\text{Prism}(3m)$. However, every non-trivial automorphism of these graphs fixes at most 4 vertices, yielding a contradiction. Hence, the girth of Γ is at least 6. Now observe that Γ contains two 6-cycles $C_1 := (u_0, a_0, u_m, v_m, b_m, v_0)$ and $C_2 := (u_0, a_0, u_m, v_{m+r}, b_{m+r}, v_r)$, implying that its girth is 6.

Let (α, β, γ) , $\alpha \leq \beta \leq \gamma$, be the 6-signature of Γ . Note that the edge $\{u_0, a_0\}$ lies on both of the cycles C_1 and C_2 , while each of the remaining two edges incident with u_0 lies on precisely one of them. This shows that $\alpha, \beta \geq 1$ and $\gamma \geq 2$. Similarly, since both C_1 and C_2 pass through the edges $\{a_0, u_0\}$ and $a_0, u_m\}$, incident with a_0 , we see that $\beta, \gamma \geq 2$. Moreover, since $\alpha \geq 1$, there must be a third 6-cycle C_3 passing through the edge $\{a_0, b_s\}$. Since C_3 also passes through one of the edges $\{a_0, u_0\}$, $\{a_0, u_m\}$, it follows that $\gamma \geq 3$. Finally, since Γ admits the automorphism ρ^m (where ρ is the canonical covering transformation) of order 2 fixing a vertex and swapping two of its neighbours, we see that two of the parameters α, β, γ must be equal. By Lemma 5.1 (see also [27, Theorem 1]), it follows that $\Gamma \cong \text{SDW}(m, 3)$. Finally, as mentioned in Remark 5.2 (and proved in [27, Proposition 5]), the automorphism group of $\text{SDW}(m, 3)$, $m \neq 3$, equals $S_3 \times D_m$ and thus contains an element of order $2m$ if and only if m is odd.

To conclude the proof of the theorem, assume that m is odd, $m > 3$, and consider the mapping $\varphi: V(\Gamma_{12}(m, 1, 2)) \rightarrow V(\text{SDW}(m, 3))$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(i, 0, 0) &= b_{i+1}; \\ \varphi(i, 0, 1) &= a_i; \\ \varphi(i, 1, 0) &= \begin{cases} u_{i+m} & \text{if } i \text{ is even;} \\ u_i & \text{if } i \text{ is odd;} \end{cases} \\ \varphi(i, 1, 1) &= \begin{cases} v_{i+1} & \text{if } i \text{ is even;} \\ v_{i+m+1} & \text{if } i \text{ is odd;} \end{cases} \\ \varphi(i, 2, 0) &= \begin{cases} u_i & \text{if } i \text{ is even;} \\ u_{i+m} & \text{if } i \text{ is odd;} \end{cases} \\ \varphi(i, 2, 1) &= \begin{cases} v_{i+m+1} & \text{if } i \text{ is even;} \\ v_{i+1} & \text{if } i \text{ is odd;} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

for all $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, m-1\}$, where the indices at b_j 's and a_j 's are computed modulo m , while those at u_j 's and v_j 's are computed modulo $2m$. The isomorphism φ is depicted in Figure 6.7 where each vertex of $\text{SDW}(m, 3)$ is labeled with its φ -image. It is obvious that φ is a graph isomorphism. \square




FIGURE 6.7. A section of $\Gamma_{12}(m, 1, 2)$ with $m \geq 3$

By [27, Proposition 5] (see also Remark 5.2), the automorphism group of $\text{SDW}(m, 3)$ is isomorphic to $S_3 \times D_m$, unless $m = 3$, in which case $\text{SDW}(m, 3)$ is the unique cubic arc-transitive graph on 18 vertices, also called the Pappus graph. From this, it is easy to deduce the parameter κ and η for the split wreath graph $\text{SDW}(m, 3)$:

Lemma 6.13. *Let $\Gamma = \text{SDW}(m, 3)$ for some integer $m \geq 3$. Then one of the following holds:*

- m is not divisible by 3 and $\eta(\Gamma) = \kappa(\Gamma) = 2$;
- $m \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$, and $\eta(\Gamma) = \kappa(\Gamma) = 6$;
- $m \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$, $m \neq 3$, and $\eta(\text{SDW}(m, 3)) = 3$ while $\kappa(\text{SDW}(m, 3)) = 6$;
- $m = 3$, Γ is isomorphic to the Pappus graph and $\kappa(\Gamma) = 3$ while $\eta(\Gamma) = 3/2$.

7. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

Using the results proved in the previous sections, it is now not difficult to prove Theorem 1.1. Before we proceed to the proof, we will need the lemma below, characterizing those cyclic Haar graphs that are circulant graphs.

Lemma 7.1. *For an integer $m \geq 5$, a connected cyclic Haar graph $H(m, x, y)$ is a circulant if and only if m is odd and $\{x, y\} = \{a, 2a\}$ or $\{x, y\} = \{a, -a\}$ for some $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\gcd(m, a) = 1$.*

Proof. Suppose that $\Lambda = H(m, x, y)$, $\gcd(m, x, y) = 1$, is a circulant. For $i \in \mathbb{Z}_m$, we let u_i and v_i denote the vertices $(i, 0)$ and $(i, 1)$, respectively, and recall that the neighbours of u_i in Λ are v_i, v_{i+x} and v_{i+y} .

Since Λ is a circulant, it is isomorphic to a prism or to a Möbius ladder. In both cases, the girth of Γ is 4 and at every vertex there is an edge belonging to two 4-cycles, none of these 4-cycles sharing a 2-path (recall that we are assuming that $m \geq 5$ and thus the order of Λ is at least 10). Observe also that exactly one of the graphs Λ , $H(m, -x, y-x)$ and $H(m, x-y, -y)$, isomorphic to Λ , is such that the edge incident with the vertex $(0, 0)$ belonging to two 4-cycles is $e_0 = \{u_0, v_0\}$. Denote this graph $H(m, a, b)$ (that is, (a, b) is one of (x, y) , $(-x, y-x)$ or $(x-y, -y)$, or equivalently, (x, y) is one of (a, b) , $(-a, b-a)$ or $(a-b, -b)$).

One of the two 4-cycles passing through e_0 passes also through the edge $e_1 = \{u_0, v_a\}$, while the other passes through $e_2 = \{u_0, v_b\}$. Since the neighbours of v_0 are u_0, u_{-a} and u_{-b} , for these two edges to form a 4-cycle together with the edge e_0 , either u_{-a} is adjacent to v_a and u_{-b} to v_b , or u_{-b} is adjacent to v_a and u_{-a} to v_b . In the first case, both $2a$ and $2b$ belong to the set $\{0, a, b\}$, while in the second case, $a+b \in \{0, a, b\}$, which forces $a+b=0$ (since $a \neq 0 \neq b$).

Let us consider the first case. Observe that $2a \neq a$ and $2b \neq b$. If $2a = 0$, then m is even, $a = m/2$ and $2b = a$. Since $\gcd(m, a, b) = 1$, this forces $m = 4$, contradicting our assumption that Λ has at least 10 vertices. A similar contradiction is obtained if $2b = 0$. This leaves us with the possibility that $2a = b$ and $2b = a$. But then $a+b=0$, and we find ourselves in the second case.

Therefore, the second case occurs, that is, $a+b=0$. Since $\gcd(m, a, b) = 1$, this implies that $\gcd(m, a) = 1$ and $b = -a$. But then (x, y) is one of the pairs $(a, -a)$, $(-a, -2a)$ or $(2a, a)$.

To summarise, if a connected cubic cyclic Haar graph $H(m, x, y)$ with $m \geq 5$ is a circulant then $\{x, y\} = \{a, 2a\}$ or $\{x, y\} = \{a, -a\}$ for some $a \in \mathbb{Z}_m$ with $\gcd(m, a) = 1$. Furthermore, the graphs $H(m, a, 2a)$ and $H(m, a, -a)$ are isomorphic to the prism $\text{Prism}(m)$ whenever m is even, and thus cannot be circulants. It follows that m must be odd, as required.

For the converse, let $m, a \in \mathbb{Z}$ be such that $m \geq 5$, m is odd and $\gcd(m, a) = 1$. If $\Lambda = H(m, a, -a)$ then the mapping given by $u_i \mapsto v_{i+r}$ and $v_i \mapsto u_{i+r}$ is a circulant automorphism of Λ . On the other hand if $\Lambda = H(m, a, 2a)$ then the mapping given by $u_i \mapsto v_i$ and $v_i \mapsto u_{i-2r}$ is a circulant automorphism of Λ . \square

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.1. As mentioned in Section 2.3, the validity of the theorem for graphs on at most 20 vertices can easily be checked by consulting the census of cubic vertex-transitive graphs [21].

Now, as in the statement of Theorem 1.1, let Γ be a cubic vertex-transitive graph of order n , $n > 20$, admitting an automorphism of order at least $\frac{n}{3}$. We need to show that then one of the claims (1) – (4) of Theorem 1.1 holds.

Observe first that by combining Proposition 6.11 with Theorem 6.12, $\kappa(\Gamma) \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ or Γ is isomorphic to $\text{SDW}(m, 3)$ with m odd (and $m \neq 3$ since we are assuming that Γ has more than 20 vertices). In the latter case, by Lemma 6.13, we see that $\kappa(\Gamma) \leq 3$ or $m \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$ (in which case $\kappa(\Gamma) = 6$). To summarise, either $\kappa(\Gamma) \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ or claim (4) of Theorem 1.1 holds.

Suppose now that $\kappa(\Gamma) \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. If Γ is a circulant, then, by definition, $\Gamma \equiv \text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_{2m}; S)$, where $S = \{r, -r, m\}$ for some $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{2m}$, $r \neq 0$. By connectivity of Γ , we may assume that $\gcd(r, m) = 1$, implying that there exists $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{2m}$, $\gcd(s, 2m) = 1$, such that $rs = 1$, or r is even, m is odd and $rs = 2$ in \mathbb{Z}_{2m} . Note that Γ is then isomorphic to $\text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_{2m}; \{1, -1, m\}) \cong \text{Moeb}(2m)$ (in the first case) or to $\text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_{2m}; \{2, -2, m\}) \cong \text{Prism}(m)$ (in the second case). In particular, claim (1) of Theorem 1.1 holds in this case.

If Γ is a bicirculant, then it can be deduced from [20, Propositions 3 and 4] and [2, Theorem 7 and Corollary 8] (see also [23, Theorem 1.1 and Remark 1.2]) that Γ is isomorphic either to a prism $\text{Prism}(m)$, or to a Möbius ladder $\text{Moeb}(n)$, or to one of the vertex-transitive generalised Petersen graphs $\text{GP}(m, r)$ with $2 \leq r < m/2$, $r^2 \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{m}$, or to a cyclic Haar graph $H(m, r, s)$ with $\gcd(m, r, s) = 1$.

If Γ is a prism or a Möbius ladder, then it is not a circulant only if it is isomorphic to a prism $\text{Prism}(m)$ with m even (and then claim (2a) of Theorem 1.1 holds). Further, since the girth of a generalised Petersen graph $\text{GP}(m, r)$ is at least 5 unless $m \leq 4$ or $r = 1$, we see that a generalised Petersen graph $\text{GP}(m, r)$ is a circulant if and only if $r = 1$ and m is odd (in which case it is a prism). In particular, if Γ is a generalised Petersen graph which is not a circulant, then claim (2b) of Theorem 1.1 holds.

If Γ is a connected cyclic Haar graph, that is $\Gamma = H(m, r, s)$ with $m > 10$ and $\gcd(m, r, s) = 1$, then by applying the permutation $\varphi_{\alpha, 0}$ for an appropriate α , we may assume that r divides m (where r is represented as a positive integer smaller than m), and thus that $\gcd(r, s) = 1$. Under this assumption, by Lemma 7.1 Γ is then a circulant if and only if m is odd and $\{r, s\} = \{1, 2\}$ or $\{r, s\} = (1, m-1)$. We have thus shown that the claim (2c) of Theorem 1.1 holds in this case.

If Γ is a trirculant but not a bicirculant, then by [22, Theorems 1.1, 4.3 and 5.3], Γ is either the Tutte's 8-cage (on 30 vertices), the truncated tetrahedron (on 12 vertices) or isomorphic to one of the graphs $X(m)$ or $Y(m)$ with $m \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$. Note that claims (3) of Theorem 1.1 holds in this case.

For the converse, it is clear that the circulants, bicirculants and trirculant appearing in parts (1), (2) and (3) of Theorem 1.1 all admit an automorphism of order at least one third of the order of the graph. The graph $\text{SDW}(m, 3)$ with $m \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$ has order $6m$ and admits, by construction, an automorphism with two orbits of size $2m$, namely, the canonical covering transformation ρ . This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

8. RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN $\kappa(\Gamma)$ AND $\eta(\Gamma)$ AND OPEN PROBLEMS

Let us conclude the paper with a discussion on the interplay between the parameters $\kappa(\Gamma)$ and $\eta(\Gamma)$. First, note that none of the functions $\eta(\Gamma)$ and $\kappa(\Gamma)$ can be bounded above by a constant, even when restricted to the class of cubic vertex-transitive graphs. Namely, if there were a constant C such that $\eta(\Gamma) \leq C$ holds for all graphs Γ , then $\max\{o(g) : g \in \text{Aut}(\Gamma)\} \geq |V(\Gamma)|/C$, or in other words, the parameter $\text{meo}(\Gamma) := \max\{o(g) : g \in \text{Aut}(\Gamma)\}$ is bounded below by a linear function of $|V(\Gamma)|$. However, it was shown in [25] that there exists an infinite family $\{\Gamma_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of cubic vertex-transitive graphs with $\text{meo}(\Gamma_i)$ growing slower than any logarithmic function of $|V(\Gamma_i)|$. This implies that there is no constant C such that $\eta(\Gamma) \leq C$ for all cubic vertex-transitive graph Γ . Since $\eta(\Gamma) \leq \kappa(\Gamma)$ holds for all Γ , this implies that there is no upper bound on $\kappa(\Gamma)$.

Let us mention at this point that a somewhat similar problem was raised in [4], where it was conjectured that the value

$$m(n) := \min\left\{\frac{|V(\Gamma)|}{\kappa(\Gamma)} : \Gamma \text{ a cubic vertex-transitive graph on at most } n \text{ vertices}\right\}$$

tends to ∞ as n grows to ∞ , or in other words, for every integer n , there is a constant c_n such that every cubic vertex-transitive graph on more than n vertices admits a semiregular element of order at least c_n . However, in [28], an infinite family of cubic vertex-transitive graphs was constructed in which every semiregular automorphism has order at most 6, proving this conjecture wrong in general (but proved to be correct when restricted to arc-transitive or Cayley graphs; see also [18]).

While $\kappa(\Gamma)$ can take an arbitrary large value, a question arises whether the parameter $\kappa(\Gamma)$ can be bounded above in terms of $\eta(\Gamma)$ where Γ ranges through the class of cubic vertex-transitive graphs. More precisely, we are interested in the following:

Question 8.1. *For which positive integers r does there exist an integer k_r such that $\kappa(\Gamma) \leq k_r$ for all but finitely many cubic vertex-transitive graphs satisfying $\eta(\Gamma) \leq r$.*

If for some r an integer k_r as above exists, then let $f(r)$ be the smallest such integer k_r . A direct consequence of Theorem 1.1 is that $f(r)$ can be defined at least for $r \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ and that $f(1) = 1$, $f(2) = 2$ and $f(3) = 6$. Let us conclude this paper by posing the following:

Question 8.2. *If the $f(r)$ is defined for all r , what is its asymptotic behaviour as $r \rightarrow \infty$? Is $f(r)$ unbounded? If so, can it be bounded by a linear and/or polynomial function of r ?*

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Arezoomand, A. Abdollahi, P. Spiga, On problems concerning fixed-point-free permutations and on the polycirculant conjecture – a survey, *Transactions on Combinatorics* **8** (2019), 15–40.
- [2] M. Boben, T. Pisanski, A. Žitnik, I-Graphs and the Corresponding Configurations, *J. Combin. Des.* **13** (2005), 406–424.
- [3] J.A. Bondy, U.S.R. Murty, Graph Theory with Applications. New York: North Holland, p. 244, 1976.
- [4] P. Cameron, J. Sheehan, P. Spiga, Semiregular automorphisms of vertex-transitive cubic graphs, *European J. Combin.* **27** (2006), 924–930.
- [5] M. Conder, R. Grande, On embeddings of circulant graphs, *Electroninc J. Combin.* **22** (2015), no. 2, Paper 2.28, 27 pp.
- [6] M. Conder and P. Dobcsányi, Trivalent symmetric graphs on up to 768 vertices, *J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput.* **40** (2002), 41–63.
- [7] E. Eiben, R. Jajcay, and P. Šparl, Symmetry properties of generalized graph truncations, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, **137** (2019), 291–315.
- [8] B. Frelih, K. Kutnar, Classification of cubic symmetric tetracirculants and pentacirculants, *European J. Combin.* **34** (2013), 169–194.
- [9] J. Gross, T. W. Tucker, *Topological graph theory*, Wiley-Interscience, New York, NY, (1987).
- [10] M. Giudici, I. Kovács, C.-H. Li, G. Verret, Cubic arc-transitive k -multicirculants, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, **125** (2017), 80–94.
- [11] R. Jajcay, S. Miklavič, P. Šparl, G. Vasiljević, On certain edge-transitive bicirculants, *Electron. J. Combin.* **26** (2019), no. 2, Paper 2.6, 28 pp.
- [12] I. Kovács, K. Kutnar, D. Marušič, S. Wilson, Classification of cubic symmetric tricirculants, *Electronic J. Combin.* **19**(2) (2012), P24, 14 pages.
- [13] I. Kovács, B. Kuzman, A. Malnič, S. Wilson, Characterization of edge-transitive 4-valent bicirculants, *J. Graph Theory* **69** (2012), 441–463.
- [14] D. Marušič, On vertex symmetric digraphs, *Discrete Math.* **36** (1981), 69–81.
- [15] D. Marušič, R. Scapellato, Permutation groups, vertex-transitive digraphs and semiregular automorphisms, *Europ. J. Combin.* **19** (1998), 707–712.
- [16] A. Malnič, R. Nedela and M. Škoviera, Lifting graph automorphisms by voltage assignments, *Europ. J. Combin.* **21** (2000), 927–947.
- [17] A. Malnič, D. Marušič, P. Potočnik, Elementary abelian covers of graphs, *J. Alg. Combin.* **20** (2004), 71–97.
- [18] J. Morris, P. Spiga, G. Verret, Semiregular automorphisms of cubic vertex-transitive graphs and the abelian normal quotient method; *Electronic J. Combin.* **22** (2015), P3.32.
- [19] Y.-Q. Feng, R. Nedela, Symmetric cubic graphs of girth at most 7, *Acta Univ. M. Belii Ser. Math.* **13** (2006), 33–35.
- [20] T. Pisanski, A classification of cubic bicirculants, *Discrete Math.* **307** (2007), 567–578.
- [21] P. Potočnik, P. Spiga, G. Verret, Cubic vertex-transitive graphs on up to 1280 vertices, *Journal of Symbolic Computation* **50** (2013), 465–477.
- [22] P. Potočnik, M. Toledo, Classification of cubic vertex-transitive tricirculants, *Ars Math. Contemp.* **18** (2020) 1–31.
- [23] P. Potočnik, M. Toledo, Finite cubic graphs admitting a cyclic group of automorphisms with at most three orbits on vertices, *Discrete Math.* **344** (2021), Article 112195.
- [24] P. Potočnik, M. Toledo, Generalised voltage graphs, to appear in *European J. Combin.* [arXiv:1910.08421](https://arxiv.org/abs/1910.08421).
- [25] P. Potočnik, M. Toledo, G. Verret, On orders of automporhisms of vertex-transitive graphs, [arXiv:2106.06750](https://arxiv.org/abs/2106.06750).
- [26] P. Potočnik, J. Vidali, Girth-regular graphs, *Ars Math. Contemp.* **17** (2019) 249–368.
- [27] P. Potočnik, J. Vidali, Cubic vertex-transitive graphs of girth 6, [arXiv:2005.01635](https://arxiv.org/abs/2005.01635)
- [28] P. Spiga, Semiregular elements in cubic vertex-transitive graphs and the restricted Burnside problem, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **157** (2014), 45–61.
- [29] W. A. Stein et al., *SageMath, the Sage Mathematics Software System (Version 8.3)*, The Sage Developers, 2018, [<https://www.sagemath.org>].
- [30] W.T. Tutte, 1947. A family of cubical graphs. *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **43**, 459–474.

PRIMOŽ POTOČNIK, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS, UNIVERSITY OF LJUBLJANA, JADRANSKA 21, SI-1000 LJUBLJANA, SLOVENIA.

ALSO AFFILIATED WITH: INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, PHYSICS AND MECHANICS, JADRANSKA 19, SI-1000 LJUBLJANA, SLOVENIA.

Email address: `primoz.potocnik@fmf.uni-lj.si`

MICAEL TOLEDO, DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUE, UNIVERSITÉ LIBRE DE BRUXELLES, C.P. 216 - ALÈBRE ET COMBINATOIRE, BOULEVARD DU TRIOMPHE, 1050, BRUSSELS, BELGIUM

Email address: `micael.alexi.toledo.roy@ulb.be`