arXiv:2208.06285v1 [math-ph] 12 Aug 2022

Quadratic forms for Aharonov-Bohm Hamiltonians

Davide Fermi

Abstract We consider a charged quantum particle immersed in an axial magnetic field, comprising a local Aharonov-Bohm singularity and a regular perturbation. Quadratic form techniques are used to characterize different self-adjoint realizations of the reduced two-dimensional Schrödinger operator, including the Friedrichs Hamiltonian and a family of singular perturbations indexed by 2×2 Hermitian matrices. The limit of the Friedrichs Hamiltonian when the Aharonov-Bohm flux parameter goes to zero is discussed in terms of Γ- convergence.

Key words: Aharonov-Bohm effect, quadratic forms, Gamma convergence
2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 47A07, 49J45, 81Q10

1 Introduction

In a pioneering work dating back to 1949 [ES49], Ehrenberg and Siday foretold that charged quantum particles confined within regions where the electromagnetic field vanishes do still experience a phase shift, which can be expressed in terms of non-zero electromagnetic potentials. Their prediction did not attract great attention until Aharonov and Bohm re-discovered it in an independent research of 1959 [AB59], eventually reaching a much larger audience. Ever since then, people referred to the said phenomenon as the "Aharonov-Bohm effect" [BT09, PT89]. Despite sound experimental evidence [TOMKEYY86], this groundbreaking discovery generated conflicting views and a long-standing debate about the reality of electromagnetic potentials and the tenability of the locality principle in quantum mechanics [AB61]. This controversy somehow continues even nowadays [ACR16, K15, V12], though there are strong indications that an explanation in terms of local field interactions can actually be attained within the framework of QED [K22, MV20].

Davide Fermi
Università degli Studi Roma Tre, Dipartimento di Matematica e Fisica, L.go S. L. Murialdo 1, 00146 Roma, Italy, e-mail: davide.fermi@uniroma3.it, webpage: https://fermidavide.com

The prototypical Aharonov-Bohm configuration consist of a single, non-relativistic, spinless and electrically charged quantum particle moving outside of a long thin solenoid. More precisely, attention is restricted to a low-energy regime in which the De Broglie wavelength of the particle is much larger than the solenoid section diameter and, at the same time, much smaller than the solenoid longitudinal length. The natural first order approximation considers an ideal solenoid of infinite length, zero cross section and finite total magnetic flux. Against this background, the Schrödinger operator ruling the dynamics of the particle reads

$$H_{3D} = \frac{1}{2m}\big(-i\hbar\nabla - q\mathbf{A}_{3D}\big)^2\,, \qquad \mathbf{A}_{3D}(x,y,z) = \frac{\Phi}{2\pi}\left(-\frac{y}{x^2+y^2}\,,\,\frac{x}{x^2+y^2}\,,0\right),$$

where $\hbar$ is the reduced Plank constant, m is the particle mass, q is the electric charge, Φ is the total magnetic flux across the solenoid, and (x,y,z) are coordinates in $\mathbb{R}^3$ such that the solenoid coincides with the z-axis. The corresponding singular magnetic field is given by $\mathbf{B} = \operatorname{curl}\mathbf{A}_{3D} = (0,0,\Phi\,\delta_{(x,y)=(0,0)})$.

Upon factorizing the axial direction and passing to natural units of measure, the model is described by the reduced Schrödinger operator

$$H_\alpha := \big(-i\nabla + \mathbf{A}_\alpha\big)^2\,, \qquad \mathbf{A}_\alpha(\mathbf{x}) := \alpha\,\frac{\mathbf{x}^\perp}{|\mathbf{x}|^2}\,, \tag{1}$$

acting in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Here and in the sequel, $\mathbf{x} = (x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $\mathbf{x}^\perp \equiv (-y,x)$. Besides, α is a dimensionless parameter measuring the magnetic flux Φ in units of the flux quantum $2\pi\hbar/q$. It entails no loss of generality to assume[1]

$$\alpha \in (0,1)\,.$$

Due to the singularity of $\mathbf{A}_\alpha$ at $\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{0}$, the self-adjointness of H_α is not granted a priori. Assessing this feature is in fact a crucial task. Decomposing in angular harmonics and exploiting the exact solvability of the radial problems, all admissible self-adjoint extensions of the symmetric restriction $H_\alpha\restriction C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2\setminus\{\mathbf{0}\})$ were originally characterized via Krein-von Neumann methods in [AT98] and [DS98] (see also [BDG11, DFNR20, PR11]). These extensions include the Friedrichs one and finite rank perturbations thereof, forming a family labeled by 2×2 complex Hermitian matrices. It is worth noting that a complete analysis of the spectral and scattering properties of the resulting Hamiltonians can be derived by resolvent techniques. A complementary approach relies on considering first finite size solenoids, partially shielded by electrostatic potentials, and then examining suitable scaling regimes [OP08, Kr64, MVG95, Ta99, Ta01]. Different self-adjoint realizations of H_α are

[1] For any $\alpha\in\mathbb{R}$, consider the decomposition $\alpha = 2\ell + \tilde\alpha$ with $\ell\in\mathbb{Z}$, $\tilde\alpha\in(-1,1)$. For any fixed determination of arctan, the map $(U\psi)(\mathbf{x}) = e^{-2i\ell\arctan(x/y)}\psi(\mathbf{x})$ defines a unitary operator in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$. A direct computation gives $U\big(-i\nabla + \alpha\frac{\mathbf{x}^\perp}{|\mathbf{x}|^2}\big)^2U^{-1} = \big(-i\nabla + (\alpha-2\ell)\frac{\mathbf{x}^\perp}{|\mathbf{x}|^2}\big)^2$, showing that H_α is unitarily equivalent to $H_{\tilde\alpha}$ with $\tilde\alpha\in(-1,1)$. The condition $\tilde\alpha\in[0,1)$ can then be realized exploiting conjugation symmetry. The case $\alpha=0$ is here discarded because of its triviality ($H_{\alpha=0}$ is just the Laplacian in $\mathbb{R}^2$, with no magnetic flux).

obtained as limits (in strong resolvent sense) of Hamiltonian operators comprising just regular potentials. In this connection, zero-energy resonances produced by the shielding electrostatic potential play a key role. This also allows to gain some intuition about the physical meaning of different self-adjoint realizations.

The present work examines magnetic perturbations of the pure Aharonov-Bohm Hamiltonian H_α, continuing the analysis begun in [CO18, CF20]. The main goal is to characterize self-adjoint realizations in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ of the Schrödinger operator

$$H_{\alpha,S} := (-i\nabla + \mathbf{A}_\alpha + \mathbf{S})^2 \,,$$

where $\mathbf{A}_\alpha$ is like in (1) and $\mathbf{S} \in L^\infty_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R}^2)$ is the vector potential associated to a regular, external axial magnetic field, to be regarded as a perturbation of the Aharonov-Bohm singular flux. The said perturbation allows to account, in particular, for magnetic traps and leakages of magnetic field lines outside of the solenoid coils.

The case of a homogeneous magnetic field, corresponding to $\mathbf{S} = \frac{B}{2}\,\mathbf{x}^\perp$ ($B > 0$ being the magnetic field intensity), was previously analyzed in [ESV02]. An exhaustive classification of all self-adjoint realizations of $H_{\alpha,S}$ (with the said choice of $\mathbf{S}$) and of their spectral properties was derived therein by means of Krein-von Neumann methods, exploiting again decomposition in angular harmonics.

For a generic perturbation $\mathbf{S}$, lacking rotational symmetry, the decomposition in angular harmonics appears to be somewhat artificial and the Krein-von Neumann construction cannot be implemented straightaway. On the contrary, a quadratic form approach is more natural and flexible. In the context under analysis the use of quadratic forms was first proposed in [CO18] for the pure Aharonov-Bohm setting with $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{0}$. In [CF20] similar techniques were employed to characterize the Friedrichs realization of $H_{\alpha,S}$ and a one-parameter family of singular s-wave perturbations.

In this paper we extend the previous analysis, including p-wave and mixed singular perturbations of the Friedrichs Hamiltonian (see Theorem 1 and Corollary 1). In view of the results derived in [AT98, DS98, ESV02], this is expected to encompass all admissible self-adjoint realizations of $H_{\alpha,S}$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$. The focus is not on identifying minimal regularity hypotheses for $\mathbf{S}$, but rather on providing techniques which can be generalized to the case of multiple Aharonov-Bohm fluxes [CFpre]. Building on the quadratic form construction, we further derive a natural convergence result showing that the Friedrichs realization of $H_{0,S} = H_{\alpha,S}\big|_{\alpha=0}$ is the Γ-limit for $\alpha \to 0^+$ of the analogous realizations of $H_{\alpha,S}$ for $\alpha \in (0,1)$ (see Theorem 2 and Corollary 2). Let us finally mention that some of the results presented in this work might be of interest also for applications to anyonic particle models [CLR17, CO18, LM77, O94, W82], though this issue is not addressed directly here.

Throughout the paper we often refer to polar coordinates $(r,\theta) : \mathbf{R}^2 \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\} \to (0,+\infty) \times [0,2\pi)$ centered at $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$, and to the related angular averages of functions $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{C}$, namely,

$$\big\langle f \big\rangle(r) := \frac{1}{2\pi r} \int_{\partial B_r(\mathbf{0})} d\Sigma_r \, f = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \, f\big(\mathbf{x}(r,\theta)\big) \,.$$

2 Main results

2.1 Self-adjoint realizations of $H_{\alpha,S}$

Self-adjoint realizations in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ of the Schrödinger operator $H_{\alpha,S}$ are generally characterized as suitable extensions of the densely defined, symmetric operator $H_{\alpha,S} \upharpoonright C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2\setminus\{\mathbf{0}\})$. The simplest of such extensions is the Friedrichs one, to be denoted with $H_{\alpha,S}^{(F)}$ henceforth. This is obtained introducing the quadratic form

$$Q_{\alpha,S}[\psi] \,=\, \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} d\mathbf{x}\, \left|(-i\nabla + \mathbf{A}_\alpha + \mathbf{S})\psi\right|^2 , \qquad \text{for } \psi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2\setminus\{\mathbf{0}\}) ,$$

and considering its Friedrichs realization

$$D\big[Q_{\alpha,S}^{(F)}\big] := \overline{C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2\setminus\{\mathbf{0}\})}^{\,\|\cdot\|_{\alpha,S}}, \qquad Q_{\alpha,S}^{(F)}[\psi] = Q_{\alpha,S}[\psi] ,$$

where $\|\psi\|_{\alpha,S} := \|\psi\|_2 + Q_{\alpha,S}[\psi]$. We recall the following Proposition from [CF20], to which we refer for the proof.

Proposition 1 (Friedrichs realization) *Let $\alpha \in (0,1)$ and $\mathbf{S} \in L^\infty_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Then:*
i) The quadratic form $Q_{\alpha,S}^{(F)}$ is closed and non-negative on its domain, and

$$D\big[Q_{\alpha,S}^{(F)}\big] = \big\{\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \;\big|\; (-i\nabla + \mathbf{S})\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)\,,\; \mathbf{A}_\alpha\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)\big\} . \tag{2}$$

Moreover, any $\psi \in D\big[Q_{\alpha,S}^{(F)}\big]$ fulfills

$$\lim_{r\to 0^+} \big\langle |\psi|^2 \big\rangle (r) = 0 , \qquad \lim_{r\to 0^+} r^2 \big\langle |\partial_r\psi|^2 \big\rangle (r) = 0 . \tag{3}$$

ii) The unique self-adjoint operator $H_{\alpha,S}^{(F)}$ associated to $Q_{\alpha,S}^{(F)}$ is

$$D(H_{\alpha,S}^{(F)}) = \big\{\psi \in D\big[Q_{\alpha,S}^{(F)}\big] \;\big|\; H_{\alpha,S}\,\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)\big\} , \qquad H_{\alpha,S}^{(F)}\,\psi = H_{\alpha,S}\,\psi . \tag{4}$$

It is well known that the Friedrichs extension of a symmetric operator is the one with the smallest domain of self-adjointness. Accordingly, other self-adjoint realizations can only be obtained by suitable enlargements the domain. The standard approach to achieve this goal is to consider elements of the form $\psi = \phi_\lambda + q\,\mathcal{G}_\lambda$, where $\phi_\lambda \in D(H_{\alpha,S}^{(F)})$, $q \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathcal{G}_\lambda \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$, $\lambda > 0$, is a solution of the defect equation $(H_{\alpha,S} + \lambda^2)\mathcal{G}_\lambda = 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^2\setminus\{\mathbf{0}\}$. A key obstacle in this construction is that, for generic choices of $\mathbf{S}$, an explicit expression of $\mathcal{G}_\lambda$ is not available. At the same time, we expect that the asymptotic behavior of $\mathcal{G}_\lambda$ near $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ should not be affected by the perturbation $\mathbf{S}$, at least to leading order.

Trusting the latter surmise, we proceed to consider the solutions $G_\lambda^{(k)} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$, $k \in \{0,-1\}$, of the unperturbed defect equation

$$\big((-i\nabla + \mathbf{A}_\alpha)^2 + \lambda^2\big)G_\lambda^{(k)} = 0 , \qquad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2\setminus\{\mathbf{0}\} . \tag{5}$$

The parameter k coincides with the angular momentum number of the wavefunction $G_\lambda^{(k)}$. For this reason, we shall refer to $G_\lambda^{(0)}$ and $G_\lambda^{(-1)}$, respectively, as the *s-wave* and *p-wave Green functions*.[2]
Using angular coordinates and writing K_ν for the modified Bessel function of second kind (*a.k.a.* Macdonald function), their explicit expressions are given by

$$G_\lambda^{(k)}(r,\theta) \equiv G_\lambda^{(k)}\big(\mathbf{x}(r,\theta)\big) = \lambda^{|k+\alpha|}\, K_{|k+\alpha|}(\lambda r)\, e^{ik\theta}, \qquad \text{for } k \in \{0,-1\}\,. \tag{6}$$

For later reference, let us mention that (see [GR07, Eq. 6.521.3])

$$\big\|G_\lambda^{(k)}\big\|_2^2 = \frac{\pi^2\,|k+\alpha|}{\sin(\pi\alpha)}\,\lambda^{2|k+\alpha|-2}\,, \qquad \text{for } k \in \{0,-1\}\,. \tag{7}$$

To say more, for $r \to 0^+$ there holds (see [OLBC10, §10.31])

$$G_\lambda^{(k)}(r,\theta) = \left[\frac{\Gamma\big(|k+\alpha|\big)}{2^{1-|k+\alpha|}}\,\frac{1}{r^{|k+\alpha|}} + \frac{\Gamma\big(-|k+\alpha|\big)}{2^{1+|k+\alpha|}}\,\lambda^{2|k+\alpha|}\,r^{|k+\alpha|} + \mathcal{O}\big(r^{2-|k+\alpha|}\big)\right] e^{ik\theta}, \tag{8}$$

So far, we made no assumption concerning the regularity of $\mathbf{S}$ near the origin, where the Aharonov-Bohm potential $\mathbf{A}_\alpha$ is singular. We henceforth require that

$$\mathbf{S} \in L^\infty_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^2,\mathbb{R}^2) \text{ is Lipschitz continuous at } \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}\,. \tag{9}$$

Without loss of generality we also fix the Coulomb gauge, which entails

$$\nabla\cdot\mathbf{S} = 0\,. \tag{10}$$

Under the above hypotheses, for $\lambda > 0$ we consider trial functions of the form

$$\psi = \phi_\lambda + e^{-i\,\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0})\cdot\mathbf{x}}\,\chi \sum_{k\in\{0,-1\}} q^{(k)}\,G_\lambda^{(k)}\,, \tag{11}$$

where $\phi_\lambda \in D\big[Q^{(F)}_{\alpha,S}\big]$, $q^{(k)} \in \mathbb{C}$ for $k \in \{0,-1\}$ and $\chi\colon \mathbb{R}^2 \to [0,1]$ is a smooth cut-off function fulfilling

$$\chi \in C^2_c(\mathbb{R}^2)\,, \qquad \chi(\mathbf{x}) \equiv 1 \quad \text{for any } \mathbf{x} \in B_a(\mathbf{0}), \text{ for some } a > 0\,. \tag{12}$$

The latter cut-off is necessary to include in the present analysis the case of perturbations $\mathbf{S}$ which are unbounded at infinity, comprising especially configurations with magnetic traps. For the sake of simplicity, we assume χ to be radial, *i.e.*,

$$\chi(\mathbf{x}) \equiv \chi\big(|\mathbf{x}|\big) \equiv \chi(r)\,. \tag{13}$$

[2] By decomposition in angular harmonics, it appears that (5) admits non-trivial solutions only for $k = 0$ and $k = -1$.

Remark 1 One could fix $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{0}$, on top of the Coulomb gauge (10). This would make the phase factor in (11) irrelevant and would even allow to abridge some of the expressions to be derived in the following. Yet, in this work we choose not to fix the value of $\mathbf{S}$ at $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ in order to exhibit a construction which can be generalized to the case of multiple fluxes with a moderate effort [CFpre]. With the same objective in mind, we stick to the Lipschitz condition in (9), though most of the results in this work are still valid requiring just some Hölder regularity of $\mathbf{S}$ at the origin.

A heuristic evaluation of the expectation value $\langle\psi|H_{\alpha,S}\,\psi\rangle$ for functions ψ like (11) suggests the educated guess

$$\begin{aligned}
&Q^{(\beta)}_{\alpha,S}[\psi] := Q^{(F)}_{\alpha,S}[\phi_\lambda] - \lambda^2\,\|\psi\|_2^2 + \lambda^2\,\|\phi_\lambda\|_2^2 \\
&+ 2\sum_{k\in\{0,-1\}} \mathrm{Re}\,\Big[q^{(k)}\,\Big(2\,\Big\langle(-i\nabla+\mathbf{A}_\alpha)\phi_\lambda\,\Big|\,e^{-i\,\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0})\cdot\mathbf{x}}\big((\mathbf{S}-\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0}))\chi - i\nabla\chi\big)G^{(k)}_\lambda\Big\rangle \\
&\qquad + \Big\langle\phi_\lambda\,\Big|\,e^{-i\,\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0})\cdot\mathbf{x}}\big[(\mathbf{S}-\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0}))^2\chi + 2\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0})\cdot\big((\mathbf{S}-\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0}))\chi - i\nabla\chi\big) + \Delta\chi\big]G^{(k)}_\lambda\Big\rangle\Big)\Big] \\
&+ \sum_{k,k'\in\{0,-1\}} \overline{q^{(k)}}\,q^{(k')}\left[\beta_{kk'} + \frac{\pi^2}{\sin(\pi\alpha)}\,\lambda^{2|k+\alpha|}\,\delta_{kk'} + \Xi_{kk'}(\lambda)\right], \qquad (14)
\end{aligned}$$

where we have introduced the 2×2 complex Hermitian matrix $\beta = (\beta_{kk'})$, labeling the quadratic form, and we have set

$$\begin{aligned}
\Xi_{kk'}(\lambda) := &\Big\langle\chi\,G^{(k)}_\lambda\,\Big|\,\big[(\mathbf{S}-\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0}))^2 + 2(\mathbf{S}-\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0}))\cdot\mathbf{A}_\alpha\big]\chi\,G^{(k')}_\lambda\Big\rangle \\
&+ \big\|(\nabla\chi)\,G^{(k)}_\lambda\big\|_2^2\,\delta_{kk'} + 2\,\Big\langle\chi\,G^{(k)}_\lambda\,\Big|\,(\mathbf{S}-\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0}))\cdot(-i\nabla)\big(\chi\,G^{(k')}_\lambda\big)\Big\rangle. \qquad (15)
\end{aligned}$$

The expression (14) was derived integrating by parts and deliberately discarding some contributions, an operation to be justified a posteriori in the proof of Theorem 1. We also used that $\mathbf{A}_\alpha\cdot\nabla\chi = 0$, since χ is radial (see (13)), and the identity

$$\big\langle G^{(k)}_\lambda\,\big|\,\eta\,G^{(k')}_\lambda\big\rangle = \big\langle G^{(k)}_\lambda\,\big|\,\eta\,G^{(k)}_\lambda\big\rangle\,\delta_{kk'}\,, \qquad \text{for any radial } \eta:\mathbb{R}^2\to\mathbb{R}\,. \qquad (16)$$

Remark 2 For any $\lambda>0$, the matrix $\Xi_{kk'}(\lambda)$, $k,k'\in\{0,-1\}$, defined by (15) is itself Hermitian. This feature is evident for the first two addenda in (15), given that $\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{A}_\alpha$ and χ are real-valued. As regards the last addendum in (15), integrating by parts and checking that the boundary contribution vanishes (recall (8) and (9)), we have in fact

$$\begin{aligned}
\Big\langle\chi\,G^{(k)}_\lambda\,\Big|\,(\mathbf{S}-\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0}))\cdot(-i\nabla)\big(\chi\,G^{(k')}_\lambda\big)\Big\rangle &= \Big\langle(-i\nabla)\big(\chi\,G^{(k)}_\lambda\big)\,\Big|\,(\mathbf{S}-\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0}))\chi\,G^{(k')}_\lambda\Big\rangle \\
&= \overline{\Big\langle\chi\,G^{(k')}_\lambda\,\Big|\,(\mathbf{S}-\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0}))\cdot(-i\nabla)\big(\chi\,G^{(k)}_\lambda\big)\Big\rangle}\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Besides, building on the fact that $G^{(0)}_\lambda$ is real-valued, c.f. (6), it can be inferred that

$$\Big\langle\chi\,G^{(k)}_\lambda\,\Big|\,(\mathbf{S}-\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0}))\cdot(-i\nabla)\big(\chi\,G^{(k')}_\lambda\big)\Big\rangle = 0\,, \qquad \text{for } k=k'=0\,. \qquad (17)$$

A family of admissible singular perturbations of the Friedrichs realization is described by the upcoming Theorem 1 and Corollary 1.

Theorem 1 (Quadratic forms for singular perturbations) *Let $\alpha \in (0,1)$ and $\mathbf{S} \in L^\infty_{loc}(\mathbf{R}^2)$ be Lipschitz continuous at $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$, with $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{S} = 0$. Then, for any Hermitian matrix $\beta = (\beta_{kk'})$, $k, k' \in \{0, -1\}$, the quadratic form $Q^{(\beta)}_{\alpha,S}$ defined in* (14) *satisfies the following:*
i) It is well-posed on the domain

$$D\big[Q^{(\beta)}_{\alpha,S}\big] := \Big\{ \psi = \phi_\lambda + e^{-i\,\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0})\cdot\mathbf{x}}\,\chi \sum_{k\in\{0,-1\}} q^{(k)}\, G^{(k)}_\lambda \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \quad s.t. \\ \phi_\lambda \in D\big[Q^{(F)}_{\alpha,S}\big],\ \lambda>0\,,\ \ \chi \textit{ fulfills } (12)(13)\,,\ \ q^{(k)}\in\mathbb{C}\,, k\in\{0,-1\}\Big\}\,. \quad (18)$$

ii) It is independent of $\lambda > 0$ and of the cut-off χ, provided that (12)(13) *hold true.*
iii) It is closed and bounded from below on the domain (18)*.*

Corollary 1 (Self-adjoint realizations for singular perturbations) *Assume the hypotheses of Theorem 1 to hold. Then, for any 2×2 Hermitian matrix β, the self-adjoint operator $H^{(\beta)}_{\alpha,S}$ associated to the quadratic form $Q^{(\beta)}_{\alpha,S}$ is given by*

$$D\big(H^{(\beta)}_{\alpha,S}\big) = \Big\{ \psi = \phi_\lambda + e^{-i\,\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0})\cdot\mathbf{x}}\chi \textstyle\sum_{k\in\{0,-1\}} q^{(k)} G^{(k)}_\lambda \in D\big[Q^{(\beta)}_{\alpha,S}\big] \quad s.t. \\ \phi_\lambda \in D\big(H^{(F)}_{\alpha,S}\big) \quad and \quad (19) \\ \frac{2^{1-|k+\alpha|}}{\Gamma\big(|k+\alpha|\big)} \sum_{k'\in\{0,-1\}} q^{(k')}\left(\beta_{kk'} + \frac{\pi^2\lambda^{2|k+\alpha|}}{\sin(\pi\alpha)}\,\delta_{kk'}\right) \\ = \lim_{r\to 0^+} \frac{|k+\alpha|\,\langle e^{-ik\theta}\phi_\lambda\rangle(r) + r\langle e^{-ik\theta}\partial_r\phi_\lambda\rangle(r)}{r^{|k+\alpha|}}\,,\ \ for\ k\in\{0,-1\}\Big\}\,;$$

$$\big(H^{(\beta)}_{\alpha,S} + \lambda^2\big)\psi = \big(H^{(F)}_{\alpha,S} + \lambda^2\big)\phi_\lambda \\ + \sum_{k\in\{0,-1\}} q^{(k)} e^{-i\,\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0})\cdot\mathbf{x}}\Big[2\Big(\big(\mathbf{S}-\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0})\big)\chi - i\nabla\chi\Big)\cdot\big(-i\nabla + \mathbf{A}_\alpha\big)G^{(k)}_\lambda \\ + \Big(\big(\mathbf{S}-\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0})\big)^2\chi + 2\big(\mathbf{S}-\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0})\big)\cdot(-i\nabla\chi) - \Delta\chi\Big)G^{(k)}_\lambda\Big]\,. \quad (20)$$

The set of operators $H^{(\beta)}_{\alpha,S}$, β Hermitian, identifies a family of self-adjoint extensions of $H_{\alpha,S}\restriction C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^2\setminus\{\mathbf{0}\})$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$, labeled by four real parameters.[3] *If $\mathbf{S}\in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$, this family comprises all admissible self-adjoint realizations of $H_{\alpha,S}$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$.*

Remark 3 The characterization (19) of the operator domain is quite standard. Especially, it incorporates boundary conditions relating the "charges" $q^{(k)}$ to the asymptotic behavior of the "regular part" ϕ_λ close to $\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{0}$. Considering that the matrix

[3] Notice that 2×2 complex Hermitian matrices form a 4-dimensional real vector space.

$\beta_{kk'} + \frac{\pi^2 \lambda^{2|k+\alpha|}}{\sin(\pi\alpha)}\,\delta_{kk'}$ is certainly invertible for λ large enough, it is always possible to derive an explicit expression for $q^{(k)}$ in terms of boundary values of ϕ_λ. Let us also stress that, in agreement with our expectations, only the leading order term of the asymptotic expansion (8) for $G_\lambda^{(k)}$ is relevant here (*cf.* the proof of Corollary 1).

Remark 4 The Hermitian matrix β labeling the self-adjoint operator $H^{(\beta)}_{\alpha,S}$ only appears in the boundary conditions for $D\big(H^{(\beta)}_{\alpha,S}\big)$. In this sense, it parametrizes a singular interaction affecting just the *s-wave* and *p-wave* modes of the wave-functions. In [CF20] attention was restricted to pure *s-wave* perturbations, corresponding to $\beta_{kk'} = b\,\delta_{k,0}\,\delta_{k',0}$ with $b \in \mathbb{R}$. Here we also include pure *p-wave* perturbations, as well as mixed interactions coupling *s-wave* and *p-wave* modes.

Remark 5 The Friedrichs realization is recovered for $q^{(0)} = q^{(-1)} = 0$. This condition formally corresponds to fixing $\beta_{kk'} = (+\infty)\,\delta_{kk'}$. The characterization (19) of $D\big(H^{(F)}_{\alpha,S}\big)$ states explicitly the boundary condition

$$\lim_{r\to 0^+} \frac{|k+\alpha|\,\langle e^{-ik\theta}\phi_\lambda\rangle(r) + r\langle e^{-ik\theta}\partial_r\phi_\lambda\rangle(r)}{r^{|k+\alpha|}} = 0\,, \qquad \text{for } k\in\{0,-1\}\,,$$

which is otherwise concealed in the requirement $H^{(F)}_{\alpha,S}\,\phi_\lambda \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ of (2).

Remark 6 The action of the operator described in (20) is somehow unorthodox. Making reference to the standard theory of self-adjoint extensions, one would rather expect the simpler relation $\big(H^{(\beta)}_{\alpha,S}+\lambda^2\big)\psi = \big(H^{(F)}_{\alpha,S}+\lambda^2\big)\phi_\lambda$. The expressions in the last two lines of (20) are in fact necessary corrections, produced by the use of surrogates in place of true defect functions for $H_{\alpha,S}$.

Remark 7 Electrostatic potentials regular enough at the Aharonov-Bohm singularity could be easily incorporated in the construction provided here. We omit the discussion of this further development for the sake of brevity.

2.2 Γ-convergence for the Friedrichs Hamiltonian

Consider now a regime where the Aharonov-Bohm flux is negligible, in suitable units, compared to the external magnetic perturbation or to the angular momentum of the particle. In this context, the dynamics of the particle should be properly described by some self-adjoint realization in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ of the Schrödinger operator

$$H_{0,S} \equiv H_{\alpha,S}\big|_{\alpha=0} = \big(-i\nabla + \mathbf{S}\big)^2. \tag{21}$$

At the same time, due to the local singularity at $\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{0}$ of the Aharonov-Bohm potential $\mathbf{A}_\alpha$, establishing the convergence $H_{\alpha,S} \to H_{0,S}$ for $\alpha \to 0^+$ (in any reasonable topology) is not a plain task. Building on the quadratic form approach described in the previous subsection, we present hereafter a result based on the classical notion of Γ- convergence [Br02, DM93].

For the sake of simplicity, let us assume that[4]

$$\mathbf{S} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R}^2) \text{ is Lipschitz continuous at } \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}\,. \tag{22}$$

Besides, we restrict the attention to the Friedrichs Hamiltonian $H^{(F)}_{\alpha,S}$ of Proposition 1, postponing the discussion of the singular perturbations $H^{(\beta)}_{\alpha,S}$ characterized in Theorem 1 and Corollary 1 to future investigations.

Let us consider the Friedrichs quadratic form $Q^{(F)}_{\alpha,S}$ (see Proposition 1) and extend it to the whole Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ setting

$$Q^{(F)}_{\alpha,S}[\psi] := \begin{cases} \big\|(-i\nabla + \mathbf{A}_\alpha + \mathbf{S})\psi\big\|_2^2 & \text{if } \psi \in D\big[Q^{(F)}_{\alpha,S}\big]\,; \\ +\infty & \text{if } \psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \setminus D\big[Q^{(F)}_{\alpha,S}\big]\,. \end{cases} \tag{23}$$

Notice that, under the hypothesis (22), the identity (2) in Proposition 1 reduces to

$$D\big[Q^{(F)}_{\alpha,S}\big] = \big\{\psi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2) \,\big|\, \mathbf{A}_\alpha\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)\big\}\,.$$

In a similar fashion, for $\alpha = 0$ we put

$$Q^{(F)}_{0,S}[\psi] := \begin{cases} \big\|(-i\nabla + \mathbf{S})\psi\big\|_2^2 & \text{if } \psi \in D\big[Q^{(F)}_{0,S}\big] \equiv H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)\,; \\ +\infty & \text{if } \psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \setminus H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)\,. \end{cases} \tag{24}$$

For later reference, let us mention that the self-adjoint operators associated to the above quadratic forms are respectively given by (*cf.* (4))

$$D\big(H^{(F)}_{\alpha,S}\big) = \big\{\psi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2) \,\big|\, \mathbf{A}_\alpha\psi, H_{\alpha,S}\,\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)\big\}\,, \qquad H^{(F)}_{\alpha,S}\,\psi = H_{\alpha,S}\,\psi\,;$$
$$D\big(H^{(F)}_{0,S}\big) = H^2(\mathbb{R}^2)\,, \qquad H^{(F)}_{0,S}\,\psi = H_{0,S}\,\psi\,.$$

Theorem 2 *Let $\mathbf{S} \in L^\infty(\mathbf{R}^2)$ be Lipschitz continuous at $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$, with $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{S} = 0$, and $\{\alpha_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset (0,1)$ be any sequence such that $\alpha_n \to 0$ for $n \to +\infty$. Then, the family of quadratic forms $Q^{(F)}_{\alpha_n,S}$ Γ-converges to $Q^{(F)}_{0,S}$, that is:*
i) Lower bound inequality. *For every sequence $\{\psi_{\alpha_n}\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ such that $\psi_{\alpha_n} \to \psi_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ as $n \to +\infty$, there holds*

$$Q^{(F)}_{0,S}[\psi_0] \leqslant \liminf_{n\to+\infty} Q^{(F)}_{\alpha_n,S}[\psi_{\alpha_n}]\,. \tag{25}$$

ii) Upper bound inequality. *For every $\psi_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ there exists a sequence $\{\psi_{\alpha_n}\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ such that $\psi_{\alpha_n} \to \psi_0$ as $n \to +\infty$ and*

$$Q^{(F)}_{0,S}[\psi_0] \geqslant \limsup_{n\to+\infty} Q^{(F)}_{\alpha_n,S}[\psi_{\alpha_n}]\,. \tag{26}$$

[4] Notice the similarity with (9). Here we are making a stronger requirement: $\mathbf{S}$ must be uniformly bounded on the whole space $\mathbb{R}^2$, not just on compact subsets of it. This excludes magnetic traps.

From the previous theorem and classical results on Γ- convergence [DM93, §13], we readily deduce the following.

Corollary 2 *Under the same assumptions of Theorem 2, the family of operators $H^{(F)}_{\alpha_n,S}$ converges to $H^{(F)}_{0,S}$ in strong resolvent sense for $n \to +\infty$. More precisely, for any $z \in \mathbb{C}\setminus[0,+\infty)$ and any $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$, there holds*

$$\left\| \left(H^{(F)}_{\alpha_n,S} - z\right)^{-1}\psi - \left(H^{(F)}_{0,S} - z\right)^{-1}\psi \right\|_2 \xrightarrow{n\to+\infty} 0\,. \tag{27}$$

Remark 8 The requirement $z \in \mathbb{C}\setminus[0,+\infty)$ in Corollary 2 matches the elementary inclusions $\sigma\big(H^{(F)}_{\alpha_n,S}\big) \subset [0,+\infty)$ and $\sigma\big(H^{(F)}_{0,S}\big) \subset [0,+\infty)$.

Remark 9 In the pure Aharonov-Bohm configuration, with $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{0}$, it should be possible to infer strong resolvent convergence for $\alpha \to 0$ even by direct computations, starting from the explicit expression for the integral kernel of the resolvent operator derived in [AT98]. This alternative approach would however involve a rather complicate analysis, relying on non-elementary regularity features of the Bessel functions with respect to their order and further demanding non-trivial exchanges of limits and integrations. On top of that, the Γ- convergence method considered in this work appears to be more flexible. Especially, it should be possible to adapt it to multiple fluxes configurations with not too much effort [CFpre].

Remark 10 Despite being quite natural, the results derived in Theorem 2 and Corollary 2 are not completely obvious, especially if one considers the topology of the underlying space domains. In fact, the Aharonov-Bohm configuration ($\alpha \neq 0$) refers to the domain $\mathbb{R}^2\setminus\{\mathbf{0}\}$, with first homotopy group given by $\mathbb{Z}$, while the setting with no singular flux ($\alpha = 0$) corresponds to the plane $\mathbb{R}^2$, with trivial homotopy group.

3 Proofs

Let us recall that Theorem 1 and Corollary 1 rely on the hypothesis (9) for $\mathbf{S}$, demanding $\mathbf{S}$ to be locally uniformly bounded and Lipschitz continuous at $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$.

Proof (Theorem 1) Each of the statements *i) - iii)* can be derived adapting some related arguments from [CF20]. Throughout the proof, $\mathbf{1}_\chi$ is the indicator function of the support of χ and $c \equiv c(\alpha,\mathbf{S})$ is a suitable positive constant independent of λ, which may vary from line to line.

i) Upon identifying $(-i\nabla + \mathbf{A}_\alpha)\phi_\lambda$ with $\mathbf{1}_\chi(-i\nabla + \mathbf{A}_\alpha)\phi_\lambda$ in (14), all parings in (14) (15) are well-defined inner products in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$. To account for this claim, firstly note that $(-i\nabla + \mathbf{A}_\alpha)\phi_\lambda \in L^2_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ for any $\phi_\lambda \in D\big[Q^{(F)}_{\alpha,S}\big]$, see (2). Secondly, recall that $G^{(k)}_\lambda \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ for $k \in \{0,-1\}$, see (7). Hypotheses (9) and (12) further grant the uniform boundedness of $\nabla\chi$, $\Delta\chi$, $\big(\mathbf{S}-\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0})\big)\chi$ and $\big(\mathbf{S}-\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0})\big)\cdot\mathbf{A}_\alpha\chi$. In view of the basic relation $\big|\nabla\big(\chi G^{(k)}_\lambda\big)\big| \leqslant \frac{c}{|\mathbf{x}|}\,\chi G^{(k)}_\lambda$, $k \in \{0,-1\}$, the same hypotheses also ensure that $\big(\mathbf{S}-\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0})\big)\cdot(-i\nabla)\big(\chi\, G^{(k)}_\lambda\big) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$.

ii) Let us show that the form is independent of $\lambda > 0$. To this purpose, fix $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$ and consider, for any $\psi \in D\big[Q^{(\beta)}_{\alpha,S}\big]$, the two alternative representations $\psi = \phi_{\lambda_1} + e^{-i\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0})\cdot\mathbf{x}}\chi \sum_{k\in\{0,-1\}} q^{(k)} G^{(k)}_{\lambda_1}$ and $\psi = \phi_{\lambda_2} + e^{-i\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0})\cdot\mathbf{x}}\chi \sum_{k\in\{0,-1\}} q^{(k)} G^{(k)}_{\lambda_2}$. It is easy to check that $\chi\big(G^{(k)}_{\lambda_2} - G^{(k)}_{\lambda_1}\big) \in D\big[Q^{(F)}_{\alpha,S}\big]$ for $k \in \{0,-1\}$ (see (2) and (8)). This ensures that the "charges" $q^{(k)}$ are independent of λ, and further entails $\phi_{\lambda_1} = \phi_{\lambda_2} + e^{-i\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0})\cdot\mathbf{x}}\chi \sum_{k\in\{0,-1\}} q^{(k)}(G^{(k)}_{\lambda_2} - G^{(k)}_{\lambda_1})$. Taking these facts into account and exploiting the identity (16), with a number of integrations by parts we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&Q^{(\beta)}_{\alpha,S}\Big[\phi_{\lambda_1} + e^{-i\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0})\cdot\mathbf{x}}\chi\textstyle\sum_{k\in\{0,-1\}} q^{(k)} G^{(k)}_{\lambda_1}\Big] \\
&= \langle \phi_{\lambda_2} | (-i\nabla + \mathbf{A}_\alpha + \mathbf{S})^2 \phi_{\lambda_2}\rangle - \lambda_2^2 \|\psi\|_2^2 + \lambda_2^2 \|\phi_\lambda\|_2^2 \\
&\quad + 2\sum_{k\in\{0,-1\}} \mathrm{Re}\Big[q^{(k)} \Big(2\Big\langle (-i\nabla + \mathbf{A}_\alpha)\phi_{\lambda_2} \Big| e^{-i\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0})\cdot\mathbf{x}}\big[(\mathbf{S}-\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0}))\chi - i\nabla\chi\big] G^{(k)}_{\lambda_2}\Big\rangle \\
&\qquad + \Big\langle \phi_{\lambda_2} \Big| e^{-i\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0})\cdot\mathbf{x}}\big[(\mathbf{S}-\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0}))^2\chi + 2\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0})\cdot\big((\mathbf{S}-\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0}))\chi - i\nabla\chi\big) + \Delta\chi\big] G^{(k)}_{\lambda_2}\Big\rangle \Big)\Big] \\
&\quad + \sum_{k,k'\in\{0,-1\}} \overline{q^{(k)}}\, q^{(k')} \Big[\beta_{kk'} + \frac{\pi^2}{\sin(\pi\alpha)}\lambda_2^{2|k+\alpha|}\delta_{ij} + 2\Big\langle \chi G^{(k)}_{\lambda_2} \Big| (\mathbf{S}-\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0}))\cdot(-i\nabla)\big(\chi G^{(k')}_{\lambda_2}\big)\Big\rangle \\
&\qquad + \Big\langle \chi G^{(k)}_{\lambda_2} \Big| \big[(\mathbf{S}-\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0}))^2 + 2(\mathbf{S}-\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0}))\cdot\mathbf{A}_\alpha\big]\chi G^{(k')}_{\lambda_2}\Big\rangle + \big\|(\nabla\chi)\, G^{(k)}_{\lambda_2}\big\|_2^2\, \delta_{kk'}\Big] \\
&\quad + \sum_{k\in\{0,-1\}} |q^{(k)}|^2 \Big[\frac{\pi^2}{\sin(\pi\alpha)}\Big(\lambda_1^{2|k+\alpha|} - \lambda_2^{2|k+\alpha|}\Big) + (\lambda_2^2 - \lambda_1^2)\big\langle \chi G^{(k)}_{\lambda_1} \big| \chi G^{(k)}_{\lambda_2}\big\rangle \\
&\qquad + \big\langle G^{(k)}_{\lambda_1} \big| (\nabla\chi^2)\cdot\nabla G^{(k)}_{\lambda_2}\big\rangle - \big\langle \nabla G^{(k)}_{\lambda_1} \big| (\nabla\chi^2) G^{(k)}_{\lambda_2}\big\rangle\Big] \\
&\quad + 2\sum_{k\in\{0,-1\}} q^{(k)} \Big[\lim_{r\to 0^+} \int_{\partial B_r(\mathbf{0})} d\Sigma_r\; e^{-i\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0})\cdot\mathbf{x}} \Big(i\chi(\mathbf{S}-\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0}))\cdot\hat{\mathbf{r}} + \partial_r\chi\Big)\overline{\phi_{\lambda_2}}\,\big(G^{(k)}_{\lambda_2} - G^{(k)}_{\lambda_1}\big)\Big] \\
&\quad + \sum_{k\in\{0,-1\}} q_k^* \Big[\lim_{r\to 0^+} \int_{\partial B_r(\mathbf{0})} d\Sigma_r\; e^{i\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0})\cdot\mathbf{x}} \Big(\big(i\chi\,\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0})\cdot\hat{\mathbf{r}} - \partial_r\chi\big)\,\overline{\big(G^{(k)}_{\lambda_2} - G^{(k)}_{\lambda_1}\big)}\,\phi_{\lambda_2} \\
&\qquad + \chi\,\overline{\big(G^{(k)}_{\lambda_2} - G^{(k)}_{\lambda_1}\big)}\,\partial_r\phi_{\lambda_2} - \chi\,\overline{\partial_r\big(G^{(k)}_{\lambda_2} - G^{(k)}_{\lambda_1}\big)}\,\phi_{\lambda_2}\Big)\Big] \\
&\quad + \sum_{k\in\{0,-1\}} |q^{(k)}|^2 \Big[-2i \lim_{r\to 0^+} \int_{\partial B_r(\mathbf{0})} d\Sigma_r\; \chi^2 (\mathbf{S}-\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0}))\cdot\hat{\mathbf{r}}\,\overline{\big(G^{(k)}_{\lambda_2} - G^{(k)}_{\lambda_1}\big)}\, G^{(k)}_{\lambda_1} \\
&\qquad + \lim_{r\to 0^+} \int_{\partial B_r(\mathbf{0})} d\Sigma_r\; \chi\partial_r\chi \Big(\big|G^{(k)}_{\lambda_2} - G^{(k)}_{\lambda_1}\big|^2 - 2\,\mathrm{Re}\Big(\overline{G^{(k)}_{\lambda_1}}\big(G^{(k)}_{\lambda_2} - G^{(k)}_{\lambda_1}\big)\Big)\Big)\Big]. \qquad (28)
\end{aligned}
$$

By comparison with (14)(15), it appears that the terms from the second to the sixth line of (28) exactly reproduce $Q^{(\beta)}_{\alpha,S}\Big[\phi_{\lambda_2} + e^{-i\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0})\cdot\mathbf{x}}\chi\sum_{k\in\{0,-1\}} q^{(k)} G^{(k)}_{\lambda_2}\Big]$. We now proceed to show that all other contributions vanish. On one side, consider the terms in the seventh and eighth lines of (28). An additional integration by parts gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\big\langle G^{(k)}_{\lambda_1} \big| (\nabla\chi^2)\cdot\nabla G^{(k)}_{\lambda_2}\big\rangle - \big\langle \nabla G^{(k)}_{\lambda_1} \big| (\nabla\chi^2) G^{(k)}_{\lambda_2}\big\rangle \\
&= \lim_{r\to 0^+} \Big[\int_{\partial B_r(\mathbf{0})} d\Sigma_r\; \chi^2 \Big[\overline{\partial_r G^{(k)}_{\lambda_1}} G^{(k)}_{\lambda_2} - \overline{G^{(k)}_{\lambda_1}}\partial_r G^{(k)}_{\lambda_2}\Big] + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2\setminus B_r(\mathbf{0})} d\mathbf{x}\; \chi^2 \Big(\overline{\Delta G^{(k)}_{\lambda_1}} G^{(k)}_{\lambda_2} - \overline{G^{(k)}_{\lambda_1}}\Delta G^{(k)}_{\lambda_2}\Big)\Big].
\end{aligned}
$$

From (8) we deduce, for $r \to 0^+$,

$$\Big(\overline{\partial_r G^{(k)}_{\lambda_1}} G^{(k)}_{\lambda_2} - \overline{G^{(k)}_{\lambda_1}} \partial_r G^{(k)}_{\lambda_2}\Big)(r) = \frac{\pi\,(\lambda_2^{2|k+\alpha|} - \lambda_1^{2|k+\alpha|})}{2\sin(\pi\alpha)\, r} + O\big(r^{1-2|k+\alpha|}\big)\,.$$

Moreover, in view of (5) and (6), an explicit computation gives

$$\Delta G^{(k)}_\lambda = \big(\mathbf{A}^2 + \lambda^2 + 2\mathbf{A}\cdot(-i\nabla)\big) G^{(k)}_\lambda = \left(\mathbf{A}^2 + \lambda^2 + \frac{2\alpha k}{r}\right) G^{(k)}_\lambda, \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2\setminus\{\mathbf{0}\}\,.$$

Recalling that $\chi = 1$ in an open neighborhood of $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$, see (12), we thus obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\pi^2}{\sin(\pi\alpha)}\left(\lambda_1^{2|k+\alpha|} - \lambda_2^{2|k+\alpha|}\right) + (\lambda_2^2 - \lambda_1^2)\big\langle \chi G^{(k)}_{\lambda_1} \big| \chi G^{(k)}_{\lambda_2}\big\rangle \\ + \big\langle G^{(k)}_{\lambda_1}\big|\,(\nabla\chi^2)\cdot\nabla G^{(k)}_{\lambda_2}\big\rangle - \big\langle \nabla G^{(k)}_{\lambda_1}\big|\,(\nabla\chi^2) G^{(k)}_{\lambda_2}\big\rangle = 0\,.\end{aligned}$$

On the other side, consider the boundary contributions in the last five lines of (28). For r small enough, the following holds true: $\partial_r\chi = 0$ on $\partial B_r(\mathbf{0})$, see (12); $|\mathbf{S} - \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0})| \leqslant c\,r$, see (9); $|G^{(k)}_{\lambda_2} - G^{(k)}_{\lambda_1}| \leqslant c\,r^{|\alpha+k|}$ and $\big|\partial_r(G^{(k)}_{\lambda_2} - G^{(k)}_{\lambda_1})\big| \leqslant c\,r^{|\alpha+k|-1}$, see (8). Recalling as well condition (3), by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we get:

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|\int_{\partial B_r(\mathbf{0})} d\Sigma_r\; e^{-i\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0})\cdot\mathbf{x}}\Big(i\chi(\mathbf{S}-\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0}))\cdot\hat{\mathbf{r}} + \partial_r\chi\Big)\,\overline{\phi_{\lambda_2}}\,(G^{(k)}_{\lambda_2} - G^{(k)}_{\lambda_1})\right| \\ &\qquad\qquad \leqslant c\,r^{2+|\alpha+k|}\sqrt{\big\langle|\phi_{\lambda_2}|^2\big\rangle} \xrightarrow{r\to 0^+} 0\,;\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|\int_{\partial B_r(\mathbf{0})} d\Sigma_r\; e^{i\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0})\cdot\mathbf{x}}\Big((i\chi\,\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0})\cdot\hat{\mathbf{r}} - \partial_r\chi)\,\overline{(G^{(k)}_{\lambda_2} - G^{(k)}_{\lambda_1})}\,\phi_{\lambda_2}\right. \\ &\qquad\qquad \left. + \chi\,\overline{(G^{(k)}_{\lambda_2} - G^{(k)}_{\lambda_1})}\,\partial_r\phi_{\lambda_2} - \chi\,\overline{\partial_r(G^{(k)}_{\lambda_2} - G^{(k)}_{\lambda_1})}\,\phi_{\lambda_2}\Big)\right| \\ &\qquad \leqslant c\,r^{|\alpha+k|}\left(r\sqrt{\big\langle|\phi_{\lambda_2}|^2\big\rangle} + r\sqrt{\big\langle|\partial_r\phi_{\lambda_2}|^2\big\rangle} + \sqrt{\big\langle|\phi_{\lambda_2}|^2\big\rangle}\right) \xrightarrow{r\to 0^+} 0\,;\end{aligned}$$

$$\left|\int_{\partial B_r(\mathbf{0})} d\Sigma_r\; \chi^2(\mathbf{S}-\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0}))\cdot\hat{\mathbf{r}}\,\overline{(G^{(k)}_{\lambda_2} - G^{(k)}_{\lambda_1})}\,G^{(k)}_{\lambda_1}\right| \leqslant Cr^2 \xrightarrow{r\to 0^+} 0\,;$$

$$\int_{\partial B_r(\mathbf{0})} d\Sigma_r\; \chi\partial_r\chi\left(\big|G^{(k)}_{\lambda_2} - G^{(k)}_{\lambda_1}\big|^2 - 2\,\mathrm{Re}\Big(\overline{G^{(k)}_{\lambda_1}}(G^{(k)}_{\lambda_2} - G^{(k)}_{\lambda_1})\Big)\right) = 0\,.$$

Summing up, the previous results entail

$$Q^{(\beta)}_{\alpha,S}\left[\phi_{\lambda_1} + e^{-i\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0})\cdot\mathbf{x}}\chi\sum_{k\in\{0,-1\}} q^{(k)} G^{(k)}_{\lambda_1}\right] = Q^{(\beta)}_{\alpha,S}\left[\phi_{\lambda_2} + e^{-i\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0})\cdot\mathbf{x}}\chi\sum_{k\in\{0,-1\}} q^{(k)} G^{(k)}_{\lambda_2}\right],$$

whence the thesis. By similar arguments it can be shown that the form does not depend on the choice of χ, as long as hypotheses (12) (13) are fulfilled.

iii) Closedness can be deduced by classical arguments [CO18, Te90], once lower boundedness has been proved. Therefore, the thesis follows as soon as we show that

$$Q^{(\beta)}_{\alpha,S}[\psi] + \lambda^2 \|\psi\|_2^2 \geqslant 0\,, \qquad \text{for } \lambda > 0 \text{ large enough}\,. \tag{29}$$

To this avail, by minor variations of the arguments described in [CF20] (also recall (7)), we obtain the following for any $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3 \in (0,1)$ and suitable $c_1, c_2, c_3 > 0$:

$$Q^{(F)}_{\alpha,S}[\phi_\lambda] \geqslant \frac{1}{2}\, Q^{(F)}_{\alpha,S}[\phi_\lambda] + \frac{1-\varepsilon_1}{2}\, \big\|\mathbf{1}_\chi(-i\nabla + \mathbf{A}_\alpha)\,\phi_\lambda\big\|_2^2 - \frac{1-\varepsilon_1}{2\varepsilon_1}\, c_1\, \|\phi_\lambda\|_2^2\,;$$

$$\sum_{k\in\{0,-1\}} \mathrm{Re}\Big[q^{(k)}\,\Big\langle (-i\nabla + \mathbf{A}_\alpha)\,\phi_\lambda\,\Big|\, e^{-i\,\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0})\cdot\mathbf{x}}\big((\mathbf{S}-\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0}))\chi - i\nabla\chi\big)G^{(k)}_\lambda\Big\rangle\Big] \geqslant -\frac{\varepsilon_2}{8}\,\big\|\mathbf{1}_\chi(-i\nabla + \mathbf{A}_\alpha)\,\phi_\lambda\big\|_2^2 - \frac{2c_2}{\varepsilon_2}\sum_{k\in\{0,-1\}} |q^{(k)}|^2\,\lambda^{2|k+\alpha|-2}\,;$$

$$\sum_{k\in\{0,-1\}} \mathrm{Re}\Big[q^{(k)}\Big\langle \phi_\lambda\,\Big|\, e^{-i\,\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0})\cdot\mathbf{x}}\big[(\mathbf{S}-\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0}))^2\chi + 2\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0})\cdot\big((\mathbf{S}-\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0}))\chi - i\nabla\chi\big) + \Delta\chi\big]G^{(k)}_\lambda\Big\rangle\Big] \geqslant -\frac{\varepsilon_3}{2}\,\|\phi_\lambda\|_2^2 - \frac{c_3}{\varepsilon_3}\sum_{k\in\{0,-1\}} |q^{(k)}|^2\,\lambda^{2|k+\alpha|-2}\,.$$

Building on the basic inequality $\big|\nabla\big(\chi\, G^{(k)}_\lambda\big)\big| \leqslant \frac{c}{|\mathbf{x}|}\,\big(\chi\, G^{(k)}_\lambda\big)$ and (7)(9), we further deduce $|\Xi_{kk'}(\lambda)| \leqslant c\,\|G^{(k)}_\lambda\|_2\,\|G^{(k')}_\lambda\|_2 \leqslant c\,\lambda^{|k+\alpha|+|k'+\alpha|-2}$. This allows us to infer that, for some suitable $c_4 > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{k,k'\in\{0,-1\}} \overline{q^{(k)}}\, q^{(k')}\left[\beta_{kk'} + \frac{\pi^2}{\sin(\pi\alpha)}\,\lambda^{2|k+\alpha|}\,\delta_{kk'} + \Xi_{kk'}(\lambda)\right] \\
&\geqslant \left[\frac{\pi^2}{\sin(\pi\alpha)}\,\min_{k\in\{0,-1\}}\big(\lambda^{2|k+\alpha|}\big) - \max_{k,k'\in\{0,-1\}}\Big(\big|\beta_{kk'}\big| + \big|\Xi_{kk'}(\lambda)\big|\Big)\right]\sum_{k\in\{0,-1\}}\big|q^{(k)}\big|^2 \\
&\geqslant c_4\left(\min\big\{\lambda^{2\alpha},\lambda^{2(1-\alpha)}\big\} - 1 - \max\big\{\lambda^{-2\alpha},\lambda^{-2(1-\alpha)}\big\}\right)\sum_{k\in\{0,-1\}}\big|q^{(k)}\big|^2\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Summing up, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&Q^{(\beta)}_{\alpha,S}[\psi] + \lambda^2\,\|\psi\|_2^2 \\
&\geqslant \frac{1}{2}\,Q^{(F)}_{\alpha,S}[\phi_\lambda] + \frac{1-\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_2}{2}\,\big\|\mathbf{1}_\chi(-i\nabla+\mathbf{A}_\alpha)\,\phi_\lambda\big\|_2^2 + \left(\lambda^2 - \frac{1-\varepsilon_1}{2\varepsilon_1}\,c_1 - \varepsilon_3\right)\|\phi_\lambda\|_2^2 \\
&\quad + \left[c_4\min\big\{\lambda^{2\alpha},\lambda^{2(1-\alpha)}\big\} - c_4 - \left(\frac{8c_2}{\varepsilon_2} + \frac{2c_3}{\varepsilon_3} + c_4\right)\max\big\{\lambda^{-2\alpha},\lambda^{-2(1-\alpha)}\big\}\right]\sum_{k\in\{0,-1\}}\big|q^{(k)}\big|^2\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Upon fixing $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3 \in (0,1)$ appropriately and $\lambda > 0$ large enough, the above relation suffices to infer (29), whence the thesis. □

Proof (Corollary 1) For any Hermitian matrix β, we derive the self-adjoint operator $H^{(\beta)}_{\alpha,S}$ associated to the quadratic form $Q^{(\beta)}_{\alpha,S}$ by standard methods. To begin with, for any pair $\psi_\ell = \phi_{\ell,\lambda} + e^{-i\,\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0})\cdot\mathbf{x}}\,\chi \sum_{k\in\{0,-1\}} q^{(k)}_\ell G^{(k)}_\lambda$, $\ell \in \{1,2\}$, belonging to the form domain $D\big[Q^{(\beta)}_{\alpha,S}\big]$, consider the sesquilinear form defined by polarization

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q^{(\beta)}_{\alpha,S}[\psi_1,\psi_2] &= Q^{(F)}_{\alpha,S}[\phi_{1,\lambda},\phi_{2,\lambda}] - \lambda^2\langle\psi_1|\psi_2\rangle + \lambda^2\langle\phi_{1,\lambda}|\phi_{2,\lambda}\rangle \\
&+ \sum_{k\in\{0,-1\}} \overline{q^{(k)}_1}\Big[2\Big\langle e^{-i\,\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0})\cdot\mathbf{x}}\big((\mathbf{S}-\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0}))\chi - i\nabla\chi\big)G^{(k)}_\lambda\Big|\,(-i\nabla+\mathbf{A}_\alpha)\phi_{2,\lambda}\Big\rangle \\
&\qquad + \Big\langle e^{-i\,\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0})\cdot\mathbf{x}}\big[(\mathbf{S}-\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0}))^2\chi + 2\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0})\cdot\big((\mathbf{S}-\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0}))\chi - i\nabla\chi\big) + \Delta\chi\big]G^{(k)}_\lambda\Big|\,\phi_{2,\lambda}\Big\rangle\Big] \\
&+ \sum_{k\in\{0,-1\}} q^{(k)}_2\Big[2\Big\langle(-i\nabla+\mathbf{A}_\alpha)\phi_{1,\lambda}\Big|e^{-i\,\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0})\cdot\mathbf{x}}\big((\mathbf{S}-\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0}))\chi - i\nabla\chi\big)G^{(k)}_\lambda\Big\rangle \\
&\qquad + \Big\langle\phi_{1,\lambda}\Big|e^{-i\,\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0})\cdot\mathbf{x}}\big[(\mathbf{S}-\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0}))^2\chi + 2\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0})\cdot\big((\mathbf{S}-\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0}))\chi - i\nabla\chi\big) + \Delta\chi\big]G^{(k)}_\lambda\Big\rangle\Big] \\
&+ \sum_{k,k'\in\{0,-1\}} \overline{q^{(k)}_1}\,q^{(k')}_2\left[\beta_{kk'} + \frac{\pi^2}{\sin(\pi\alpha)}\,\lambda^{2|k+\alpha|}\,\delta_{kk'} + \Xi_{kk'}(\lambda)\right]. \qquad (30)
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $Q^{(F)}_{\alpha,S}[\phi_{1,\lambda},\phi_{2,\lambda}]$ is the sesquilinear form associated to the Friedrichs quadratic form, namely, $Q^{(F)}_{\alpha,S}[\phi_{1,\lambda},\phi_{2,\lambda}] := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} d\mathbf{x}\,\overline{(-i\nabla+\mathbf{A}_\alpha+\mathbf{S})\phi_{1,\lambda}}\cdot(-i\nabla+\mathbf{A}_\alpha+\mathbf{S})\phi_{2,\lambda}$. Now assume $q^{(0)}_1 = q^{(-1)}_1 = 0$, so that $\psi_1 = \phi_{1,\lambda}$. Integrating by parts and checking that boundary contributions vanish by means of arguments similar to those outlined in the proof of Theorem 1, item *ii)*, the sesquilinear form (30) reduces to

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q^{(\beta)}_{\alpha,S}[\phi_1,\psi_2] &= \big\langle\phi_{1,\lambda}\big|\,H_{\alpha,S}\phi_{2,\lambda}\big\rangle \\
&+ \sum_{k\in\{0,-1\}} q^{(k)}_2\Big[2\Big\langle\phi_{1,\lambda}\Big|e^{-i\,\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0})\cdot\mathbf{x}}\big((\mathbf{S}-\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0}))\chi - i\nabla\chi\big)\cdot\big(-i\nabla+\mathbf{A}_\alpha\big)G^{(k)}_\lambda\Big\rangle \\
&\qquad + \Big\langle\phi_{1,\lambda}\Big|e^{-i\,\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0})\cdot\mathbf{x}}\Big((\mathbf{S}-\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0}))^2\chi - \lambda^2\chi + 2\big(\mathbf{S}-\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0})\big)\cdot(-i\nabla\chi) - \Delta\chi\Big)G^{(k)}_\lambda\Big\rangle\Big].
\end{aligned}
$$

Considerations analogous to those reported in the proof of Theorem 1, item *i)*, ensure that all pairings in the second and third lines of the above identity are well-defined inner products in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$. So, to fulfill the condition $Q^{(\beta)}_{\alpha,S}[\phi_1,\psi_2] = \langle\phi_1|w\rangle$ for some $w = H^{(\beta)}_{\alpha,S}\,\psi_2 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$, we must require $H_{\alpha,S}\,\phi_{2,\lambda} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ (*cf.* (4) and the condition in the second line of (19)), as well as (*cf.* (20))

$$
\begin{aligned}
w = H_{\alpha,S}\,\phi_{2,\lambda} + \sum_{k\in\{0,-1\}} q^{(k)}_2 e^{-i\,\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0})\cdot\mathbf{x}}\Big[2\Big(\big(\mathbf{S}-\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0})\big)\chi - i\nabla\chi\Big)\cdot\big(-i\nabla+\mathbf{A}_\alpha\big)G^{(k)}_\lambda \\
+ \Big(\big(\mathbf{S}-\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0})\big)^2\chi - \lambda^2\chi + 2\big(\mathbf{S}-\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0})\big)\cdot(-i\nabla\chi) - \Delta\chi\Big)G^{(k)}_\lambda\Big]. \qquad (31)
\end{aligned}
$$

In view of the previous results, the sesquilinear form (30) can be re-written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
&Q^{(\beta)}_{\alpha,S}[\psi_1,\psi_2] = Q^{(\beta)}_{\alpha,S}[\phi_{1,\lambda},\psi_2] \\
&\quad + \sum_{k\in\{0,-1\}} \overline{q_1^{(k)}} \Big[2\Big\langle e^{-i\,\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0})\cdot\mathbf{x}}\big((\mathbf{S}-\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0}))\chi - i\,\nabla\chi\big)G^{(k)}_\lambda \Big|\, (-i\,\nabla+\mathbf{A}_\alpha)\,\phi_{2,\lambda}\Big\rangle \\
&\qquad + \Big\langle e^{-i\,\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0})\cdot\mathbf{x}}\big[(\mathbf{S}-\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0}))^2\chi - \lambda^2\chi + 2\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0})\cdot\big((\mathbf{S}-\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0}))\chi - i\,\nabla\chi\big) + \Delta\chi\big]G^{(k)}_\lambda \Big|\, \phi_{2,\lambda}\Big\rangle\Big] \\
&\quad + \sum_{k,k'\in\{0,-1\}} \overline{q_1^{(k)}}\, q_2^{(k')} \Big[\beta_{kk'} + \frac{\pi^2}{\sin(\pi\,\alpha)}\,\lambda^{2|k+\alpha|}\,\delta_{kk'} + \Xi_{kk'}(\lambda) - \lambda^2\Big\langle \chi\, G^{(k)}_\lambda \Big|\, \chi\, G^{(k')}_\lambda\Big\rangle\Big]\,.
\end{aligned}
$$

Building on this and recalling the definition (15) of $\Xi_{kk'}(\lambda)$, by simple (though lengthy) computations we deduce that the position $Q^{(\beta)}_{\alpha,S}[\psi_1,\psi_2] = \langle\psi_1|w\rangle$, with w as in (31), can be satisfied for generic $q_1^{(0)}, q_1^{(-1)}$ only if, for $k\in\{0,-1\}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\Big\langle G^{(k)}_\lambda \Big|\, \big[(-i\nabla+\mathbf{A}_\alpha)^2+\lambda^2\big]\big(e^{i\,\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0})\cdot\mathbf{x}}\,\chi\,\phi_{2,\lambda}\big)\Big\rangle \\
&= \sum_{k'\in\{0,-1\}} q_2^{(k')}\Big[\beta_{kk'} + \frac{\pi^2}{\sin(\pi\alpha)}\,\lambda^{2|k+\alpha|}\,\delta_{kk'} + \\
&\qquad + \Big(\Big\langle G^{(k)}_\lambda \Big|\, \nabla\cdot\big(\chi\nabla\chi\big)\,G^{(k)}_\lambda\Big\rangle + 2\Big\langle G^{(k)}_\lambda \Big|\, (\chi\nabla\chi)\cdot\nabla G^{(k)}_\lambda\Big\rangle\Big)\,\delta_{kk'}\Big]\,. \qquad (32)
\end{aligned}
$$

To derive the above identity we used in particular the identity (16) and the fact that $\mathbf{A}_\alpha\cdot\nabla\chi = 0$, both descending from (13). On one side, recalling the explicit expression (6) for $G^{(k)}_\lambda$ and that χ is radial, we get $2\,\overline{G^{(k)}_\lambda}(\chi\nabla\chi)\cdot\nabla G^{(k)}_\lambda = (\chi\nabla\chi)\cdot\nabla\big|G^{(k)}_\lambda\big|^2$; then, integrating by parts and keeping in mind that $\chi\equiv 1$ near the origin, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\Big\langle G^{(k)}_\lambda \Big|\, \nabla\cdot\big(\chi\nabla\chi\big)\,G^{(k)}_\lambda\Big\rangle + 2\Big\langle G^{(k)}_\lambda \Big|\, (\chi\nabla\chi)\cdot\nabla G^{(k)}_\lambda\Big\rangle \\
&\qquad\qquad = -\lim_{r\to 0^+}\int_{\partial B_r(\mathbf{0})} d\Sigma_r\,\big(\chi\,\partial_r\chi\big)\,\big|G^{(k)}_\lambda\big|^2 = 0\,. \qquad (33)
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other side, integrating by parts twice and using the basic identity (5), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\Big\langle G^{(k)}_\lambda \Big|\, \big[(-i\nabla+\mathbf{A}_\alpha)^2+\lambda^2\big]\big(e^{i\,\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0})\cdot\mathbf{x}}\,\chi\,\phi_{2,\lambda}\big)\Big\rangle \\
&= \lim_{r\to 0^+}\int_{\partial B_r(\mathbf{0})} d\Sigma_r\,\Big[\overline{G^{(k)}_\lambda}\,\partial_r\big(e^{i\,\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0})\cdot\mathbf{x}}\,\chi\,\phi_{2,\lambda}\big) - \overline{\partial_r G^{(k)}_\lambda}\,\big(e^{i\,\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0})\cdot\mathbf{x}}\,\chi\,\phi_{2,\lambda}\big)\Big] \\
&\qquad\qquad + \lim_{r\to 0^+}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2\setminus B_r(\mathbf{0})} d\Sigma_r\,\overline{\big[(-i\nabla+\mathbf{A}_\alpha)^2+\lambda^2\big]G^{(k)}_\lambda}\,\big(e^{i\,\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0})\cdot\mathbf{x}}\,\chi\,\phi_{2,\lambda}\big) \\
&= \lim_{r\to 0^+}\int_{\partial B_r(\mathbf{0})} d\Sigma_r\,\Big[\overline{G^{(k)}_\lambda}\,\partial_r\big(e^{i\,\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0})\cdot\mathbf{x}}\,\chi\,\phi_{2,\lambda}\big) - \overline{\partial_r G^{(k)}_\lambda}\,\big(e^{i\,\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0})\cdot\mathbf{x}}\,\chi\,\phi_{2,\lambda}\big)\Big] \\
&= \frac{\Gamma\big(|k+\alpha|\big)}{2^{1-|k+\alpha|}}\,\lim_{r\to 0^+}\frac{1}{r^{|k+\alpha|}}\int_{\partial B_r(\mathbf{0})} d\Sigma_r\; e^{-ik\,\theta}\,\Big[\partial_r\phi_{2,\lambda} + \frac{|k+\alpha|}{r}\,\phi_{2,\lambda}\Big]\,, \qquad (34)
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last identity follows from the asymptotic relations (3) (8), by arguments analogous to those mentioned in the proof of Theorem 1. Notably, only the leading order term in (8) plays a role here. Summing up, from (32)(33) and (34) we infer

$$\sum_{k'\in\{0,-1\}} q_2^{(k')}\left(\beta_{kk'}+\frac{\pi^2}{\sin(\pi\alpha)}\,\lambda^{2|k+\alpha|}\,\delta_{kk'}\right)$$
$$=\frac{\Gamma\big(|k+\alpha|\big)}{2^{1-|k+\alpha|}}\lim_{r\to 0^+}\frac{1}{r^{|k+\alpha|}}\int_{\partial B_r(\mathbf{0})}d\Sigma_r\;e^{-ik\theta}\left[\partial_r\phi_{2,\lambda}+\frac{|k+\alpha|}{r}\,\phi_{2,\lambda}\right],$$

which proves the boundary condition in (19), thus completing the characterization of $D\big(H^{(\beta)}_{\alpha,S}\big)$.

The fact that the family $H^{(\beta)}_{\alpha,S}$, β any 2×2 Hermitian matrix, exhausts all self-adjoint extensions of $H_{\alpha,S}\restriction C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2\setminus\{\mathbf{0}\})$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ if $\mathbf{S}\in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ can be deduced by exactly the same arguments reported in [CF20, Proof of Corollary 1.10]. □

Let us finally proceed to present the proof of Theorem 2, keeping in mind that it relies on the hypothesis (22). The latter implies that $\mathbf{S}$ is uniformly bounded on the whole space $\mathbb{R}^2$ and Lipschitz continuous at the origin.

Proof (Theorem 2) The derivation of both the lower and upper bound inequalities relies on the following algebraic identity, which can be easily deduced using the gauge transformation $\psi\mapsto e^{-i\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0})\cdot\mathbf{x}}\psi$ and an elementary telescopic argument:

$$\begin{aligned}Q^{(F)}_{\alpha_n,S}[\psi_{\alpha_n}]-Q^{(F)}_{0,S}[\psi_0]&=\big\|\mathbf{A}_{\alpha_n}\psi_{\alpha_n}\big\|_2^2+2\,\mathrm{Re}\big[\big\langle\big(-i\nabla+\mathbf{S}-\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0})\big)\psi_{\alpha_n}\,\big|\mathbf{A}_{\alpha_n}\psi_{\alpha_n}\big\rangle\big]\\&\quad+\big\|\big(-i\nabla+\mathbf{S}-\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0})\big)\psi_{\alpha_n}\big\|_2^2-\big\|\big(-i\nabla+\mathbf{S}-\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0})\big)\psi_0\big\|_2^2.\end{aligned}\tag{35}$$

i) *Lower bound inequality.* First of all, on account of the hypothesis $\mathbf{S}\in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$, from [CF20, Eq. (2.7)] we deduce that

$$Q^{(F)}_{\alpha_n,S}[\psi_{\alpha_n}]+\gamma\,\|\psi_{\alpha_n}\|_2^2\geqslant C_\gamma\left(\big\|\nabla\psi_{\alpha_n}\big\|_2^2+\big\|\mathbf{A}_{\alpha_n}\psi_{\alpha_n}\big\|_2^2\right),\tag{36}$$

for any $\gamma>0$ large enough and some suitable $C_\gamma>0$. With obvious understandings, the above inequality is in fact valid for all $\psi_{\alpha_n}\in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$.

For any convergent sequence $\psi_{\alpha_n}\to\psi_0\in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)\setminus H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$, the thesis can be derived by *reductio ad absurdum*. In this case, the condition (25) reads (*cf.* (23))

$$+\infty=Q^{(F)}_{0,S}[\psi_0]\leqslant\liminf_{n\to+\infty}Q^{(F)}_{\alpha_n,S}[\psi_{\alpha_n}]\leqslant\limsup_{n\to+\infty}Q^{(F)}_{\alpha_n,S}[\psi_{\alpha_n}].$$

By contradiction, assume there exists some sequence $\psi_{\alpha_n}\to\psi_0\in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)\setminus H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ such that $\lim_{n\to+\infty}Q^{(F)}_{\alpha_n,S}[\psi_{\alpha_n}]\leqslant C_S<+\infty$. Then, from (36) it follows that the said sequence is uniformly bounded in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$. By Banach-Alaoglu theorem, this implies in turn that $\psi_{\alpha_n}\rightharpoonup\varphi\in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ (weak convergence, up to extraction of a subsequence).

This contradicts the hypothesis $\psi_{\alpha_n} \to \psi_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)\setminus H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$, since uniqueness of the limit implies $\psi_0 = \varphi$.

Next, consider any convergent sequence in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ fulfilling $\psi_{\alpha_n} \to \psi_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$. The thesis (25) follows trivially if $Q^{(F)}_{\alpha_n,S}[\psi_{\alpha_n}] > Q^{(F)}_{0,S}[\psi_0]$ for almost all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. On the contrary, let us assume that $Q^{(F)}_{\alpha_n,S}[\psi_{\alpha_n}] \leqslant Q^{(F)}_{0,S}[\psi_0]$ for almost all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $Q^{(F)}_{0,S}[\psi_0] < +\infty$ for $\psi_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$, by arguments similar to those described before we deduce the existence of a uniformly bounded subsequence $\{\psi_{\tilde\alpha_n}\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$, converging weakly to ψ_0. Taking this into account, let us now refer to (35). On one side, notice that $\|(-i\nabla + \mathbf{S} - \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0}))\psi\|_2^2 + \|\psi\|_2^2$ defines an equivalent norm in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ for $\mathbf{S} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Then, keeping in mind that $\psi_{\tilde\alpha_n} \to \psi_0$ in the strong L^2-topology, by lower semicontinuity of the norm in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ we infer

$$\begin{aligned}
&\liminf_{n\to+\infty} \big\|\big(-i\nabla + \mathbf{S} - \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0})\big)\psi_{\tilde\alpha_n}\big\|_2^2 \\
&= \liminf_{n\to+\infty} \Big(\big\|\big(-i\nabla + \mathbf{S} - \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0})\big)\psi_{\tilde\alpha_n}\big\|_2^2 + \big\|\psi_{\tilde\alpha_n}\big\|_2^2 \Big) - \lim_{n\to+\infty} \big\|\psi_{\tilde\alpha_n}\big\|_2^2 \\
&\geqslant \Big(\big\|\big(-i\nabla + \mathbf{S} - \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0})\big)\psi_0\big\|_2^2 + \|\psi_0\|_2^2 \Big) - \|\psi_0\|_2^2 = \big\|\big(-i\nabla + \mathbf{S} - \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0})\big)\psi_0\big\|_2^2 \,.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other side, using the angular harmonics decomposition

$$\psi_{\tilde\alpha_n}(r,\theta) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \psi^{(k)}_{\tilde\alpha_n}(r)\, \frac{e^{ik\theta}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \,,$$

by a direct computation we infer

$$\begin{aligned}
\big|\big\langle (-i\nabla)\psi_{\tilde\alpha_n} \,\big|\, \mathbf{A}_{\tilde\alpha_n}\psi_{\tilde\alpha_n} \big\rangle\big| &= \left| \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \int_0^{+\infty} dr\; \frac{\tilde\alpha_n\, k}{r} \big|\psi^{(k)}_{\tilde\alpha_n}(r)\big|^2 \right| \\
&\leqslant \tilde\alpha_n \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \int_0^{+\infty} dr\; \frac{k^2}{r} \big|\psi^{(k)}_{\tilde\alpha_n}(r)\big|^2 \leqslant \tilde\alpha_n \big\|\psi_{\tilde\alpha_n}\big\|_{H^1}^2 \,.
\end{aligned}$$

At the same time, exploiting the Lipschitz continuity of $\mathbf{S}$ at $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$, we get

$$\big|\big\langle \big(\mathbf{S} - \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0})\big)\psi_{\tilde\alpha_n} \,\big|\, \mathbf{A}_{\tilde\alpha_n}\psi_{\tilde\alpha_n} \big\rangle\big| \leqslant \big\|\big(\mathbf{S} - \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0})\big)\cdot\mathbf{A}_{\tilde\alpha_n}\big\|_\infty \, \big\|\psi_{\tilde\alpha_n}\big\|_2^2 \leqslant \tilde\alpha_n\, c\, \big\|\psi_{\tilde\alpha_n}\big\|_2^2 \,.$$

Discarding the positive term $\|\mathbf{A}_{\alpha_n}\psi_{\alpha_n}\|_2^2$ and recalling that $\{\psi_{\tilde\alpha_n}\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is uniformly bounded in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$, from the above arguments and (35) we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
\liminf_{n\to+\infty} Q^{(F)}_{\alpha_n,S}[\psi_{\alpha_n}] - Q^{(F)}_{0,S}[\psi_0] &\geqslant -2 \limsup_{n\to+\infty} \big|\big\langle \big(-i\nabla + \mathbf{S} - \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0})\big)\psi_{\alpha_n} \,\big|\, \mathbf{A}_{\alpha_n}\psi_{\alpha_n} \big\rangle\big| \\
&\geqslant -C \limsup_{n\to+\infty} \Big(\tilde\alpha_n \, \big\|\psi_{\tilde\alpha_n}\big\|_{H^1}^2 \Big) = 0 \,,
\end{aligned}$$

which proves the lower bound inequality (25).

ii) *Upper bound inequality.* For $\psi_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \setminus H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ the thesis (26) is trivial, since $Q^{(F)}_{0,S}[\psi_0] = +\infty$ by (24). Let us henceforth assume $\psi_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$. For any given family $\{\alpha_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset (0,1)$ such that $\alpha_n \to 0$ as $n \to +\infty$, we consider the sequence of approximants

$$\psi_{\alpha_n} := \eta_{\alpha_n}\, \psi_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)\,,$$

where $\eta_{\alpha_n}(\mathbf{x}) \equiv \eta_{\alpha_n}(|\mathbf{x}|) : [0,+\infty) \to [0,1]$ is a monotone increasing, smooth radial function with downward concavity fulfilling

$$\eta_{\alpha_n}(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} \big(|\mathbf{x}|/\sqrt{\alpha_n}\big)^{\alpha_n} & \text{for } \mathbf{x}\in B_{\sqrt{\alpha_n}}(\mathbf{0})\,, \\ 1 & \text{for } \mathbf{x}\in \mathbb{R}^2\setminus B_{2\sqrt{\alpha_n}}(\mathbf{0})\,. \end{cases} \tag{37}$$

By monotone convergence, we readily infer

$$\big\|\psi_{\alpha_n} - \psi_0\big\|_2^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} d\mathbf{x}\ \big|\eta_{\alpha_n} - 1\big|^2\, |\psi_0|^2 \ \xrightarrow{n\to+\infty}\ 0\,,$$

proving the required strong convergence $\psi_{\alpha_n} \to \psi_0$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$.
In the sequel we proceed to deduce the upper bound (26), using the telescopic identity (35) to derive the stronger condition

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} \Big|Q^{(F)}_{\alpha_n,S}[\psi_{\alpha_n}] - Q^{(F)}_{0,S}[\psi_0]\Big| = 0\,. \tag{38}$$

To this purpose, let us first consider the expression $\big\|\mathbf{A}_{\alpha_n}\psi_{\alpha_n}\big\|_2^2$ in (35) and refer to the decomposition

$$\big\|\mathbf{A}_{\alpha_n}\psi_{\alpha_n}\big\|_2^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2\setminus B_{\sqrt{\alpha_n}}(\mathbf{0})} d\mathbf{x}\ \big|\mathbf{A}_{\alpha_n}\eta_{\alpha_n}\psi_0\big|^2 + \int_{B_{\sqrt{\alpha_n}}(\mathbf{0})} d\mathbf{x}\ \big|\mathbf{A}_{\alpha_n}\eta_{\alpha_n}\psi_0\big|^2\,.$$

By elementary estimates we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2\setminus B_{\sqrt{\alpha_n}}(\mathbf{0})} d\mathbf{x}\ \big|\mathbf{A}_{\alpha_n}\eta_{\alpha_n}\psi_0\big|^2 \leqslant \alpha_n\, \|\psi_0\|_2^2\ .$$

On the other side, keeping in mind that $\psi_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$, we use a sharp result on Sobolev embeddings [ET99] and dominated convergence to infer

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{B_{\sqrt{\alpha_n}}(\mathbf{0})} d\mathbf{x}\ \big|\mathbf{A}_{\alpha_n}\eta_{\alpha_n}\psi_0\big|^2 = \int_{B_{\sqrt{\alpha_n}}(\mathbf{0})} d\mathbf{x}\ \frac{\alpha_n^2}{|\mathbf{x}|^2}\left(\frac{|\mathbf{x}|}{\sqrt{\alpha_n}}\right)^{2\alpha_n} |\psi_0|^2 \\
&\leqslant \alpha_n^{2-\alpha_n} \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{\mathbf{x}\in B_{\sqrt{\alpha_n}}(\mathbf{0})} \Big(|\mathbf{x}|^{2\alpha_n}\big(1+|\log|\mathbf{x}||\big)^2\Big) \int_{B_{\sqrt{\alpha_n}}(\mathbf{0})} d\mathbf{x}\ \frac{|\psi_0|^2}{|\mathbf{x}|^2\big(1+|\log|\mathbf{x}||\big)^2} \\
&\leqslant e^{-2-\alpha_n\log\alpha_n+2\alpha_n} \int_{B_1(\mathbf{0})} d\mathbf{x}\ \frac{\mathbf{1}_{B_{\sqrt{\alpha_n}}(\mathbf{0})}\ |\psi_0|^2}{|\mathbf{x}|^2\big(1+|\log|\mathbf{x}||\big)^2} \ \xrightarrow{n\to+\infty}\ 0\,.
\end{aligned}$$

The above arguments show that

$$\left\|\mathbf{A}_{\alpha_n}\psi_{\alpha_n}\right\|_2^2 \xrightarrow{n\to+\infty} 0\,. \tag{39}$$

Next, let us examine the behavior in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ of the sequence $\{\psi_{\alpha_n}\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, taking into account that we already established strong convergence $\psi_{\alpha_n}\to\psi_0$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$. By triangular inequality, we get

$$\left\|\nabla\psi_{\alpha_n}-\nabla\psi_0\right\|_2 \leqslant \left\|(\eta_{\alpha_n}-1)\nabla\psi_0\right\|_2 + \left\|(\nabla\eta_{\alpha_n})\,\psi_0\right\|_2 .$$

Recalling once more that $\psi_0\in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$, by dominated convergence we obtain

$$\left\|(\eta_{\alpha_n}-1)\nabla\psi_0\right\|_2^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} d\mathbf{x}\ \left|\eta_{\alpha_n}-1\right|^2\ |\nabla\psi_0|^2 \xrightarrow{n\to+\infty} 0\,.$$

On the other hand, from (37) we deduce

$$\left|\nabla\eta_{\alpha_n}(\mathbf{x})\right| = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\alpha_n}\left(\,|\mathbf{x}|/\sqrt{\alpha_n}\,\right)^{\alpha_n-1} = \left|\mathbf{A}_{\alpha_n}(\mathbf{x})\right|\eta_{\alpha_n}(\mathbf{x}) & \text{for } \mathbf{x}\in B_{\sqrt{\alpha_n}}(\mathbf{0})\,, \\ 0 & \text{for } \mathbf{x}\in\mathbb{R}^2\setminus B_{2\sqrt{\alpha_n}}(\mathbf{0})\,; \end{cases}$$

the downward concavity of η_{α_n} further ensures

$$\left|\nabla\eta_{\alpha_n}(\mathbf{x})\right| \leqslant \sqrt{\alpha_n} \qquad \text{for } \mathbf{x}\in B_{2\sqrt{\alpha_n}}(\mathbf{0})\setminus B_{\sqrt{\alpha_n}}(\mathbf{0})\,.$$

The above relations, together with (39), entail

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|(\nabla\eta_{\alpha_n})\,\psi_0\right\|_2^2 &\leqslant \int_{B_{\sqrt{\alpha_n}}(\mathbf{0})} d\mathbf{x}\ \left|\mathbf{A}_{\alpha_n}(\mathbf{x})\right|^2\left|\eta_{\alpha_n}(\mathbf{x})\psi_0\right|^2 + \alpha_n\int_{B_{2\sqrt{\alpha_n}}(\mathbf{0})\setminus B_{\sqrt{\alpha_n}}(\mathbf{0})} d\mathbf{x}\ |\psi_0|^2 \\ &\leqslant \left\|\mathbf{A}_{\alpha_n}\psi_{\alpha_n}\right\|_2^2 + \alpha_n\,\|\psi_0\|_2^2 \xrightarrow{n\to+\infty} 0\,.\end{aligned}$$

Summing up, we have $\left\|\nabla\psi_{\alpha_n}-\nabla\psi_0\right\|_2\to 0$ for $n\to+\infty$, which entails strong convergence $\psi_{\alpha_n}\to\psi_0$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$. In particular, we have that $\{\psi_{\alpha_n}\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a uniformly bounded sequence in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$.
Returning to (35) and recalling that $\mathbf{S}\in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$, on account of the results derived above we finally obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|\,Q^{(F)}_{\alpha_n,S}[\psi_{\alpha_n}] - Q^{(F)}_{0,S}[\psi_0]\,\right| \\ &\leqslant C\left[\left\|\mathbf{A}_{\alpha_n}\psi_{\alpha_n}\right\|_2^2 + \left\|\psi_{\alpha_n}\right\|_{H^1}\left\|\mathbf{A}_{\alpha_n}\psi_{\alpha_n}\right\|_2 + \left(\left\|\psi_{\alpha_n}\right\|_{H^1} + \|\psi_0\|_{H^1}\right)\left\|\psi_{\alpha_n}-\psi_0\right\|_{H^1}\right] \\ &\qquad\qquad \xrightarrow{n\to+\infty} 0\,,\end{aligned}$$

which proves (38), whence the thesis (26). □

Acknowledgements I wish to thank Michele Correggi for stimulating conversations and valuable comments on the content of this work.

This work has been supported by: the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (ERC CoG UniCoSM, grant agreement n. 724939); INdAM-GNFM Progetto Giovani 2020 *Emergent Features in Quantum Bosonic Theories and Semiclassical Analysis*; Istituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica "F. Severi", through the Intensive Period "INdAM Quantum Meetings (IQM22)".

References

AT98. R. Adami, A. Teta, On the Aharonov–Bohm Hamiltonian, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **43**, 43–54 (1998).

AB59. Y. Aharonov, D. Bohm, Significance of electromagnetic potentials in the quantum theory. *Phys. Rev.* **115**(3), 485–491 (1959).

AB61. Y. Aharonov, D. Bohm, Further Considerations on Electromagnetic Potentials in the Quantum Theory, *Phys. Rev.* **123**, 1511–1524 (1961).

ACR16. Y. Aharonov, E. Cohen, D. Rohrlich, Nonlocality of the Aharonov-Bohm effect, *Phys. Rev. A* **93**, 042110 (2016).

BT09. H. Batelaan, A. Tonomura, The Aharonov-Bohm effects: Variations on a Subtle Theme, *Physics Today* **62**, 38–43 (2009).

Br02. A. Braides, Γ-*convergence for beginners*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, New York (2002).

BDG11. L. Bruneau, J. Dereziński, V. Georgescu, Homogeneous Schrödinger Operators on Half-Line, *Ann. Henri Poincaré* **12**, 547–590 (2011).

CF20. M. Correggi, D. Fermi, Magnetic perturbations of anyonic and Aharonov–Bohm Schrödinger operators, *J. Math. Phys.* **62**, 032101 (2021).

CFpre. M. Correggi, D. Fermi, *in preparation*.

CLR17. M. Correggi, D. Lundholm, N. Rougerie, Local density approximation for the almost-bosonic anyon gas, *Anal. PDE* **10**, 1169–1200 (2017).

CO18. M. Correggi, L. Oddis, Hamiltonians for two-anyons systems, *R. Math. Appl.* **39**, 277–292 (2018).

DS98. L. Dabrowski, P. Šťovíček, Aharonov-Bohm effect with δ-type interaction, *J. Math. Phys.* **39**, 47–62 (1998).

DM93. G. Dal Maso, *An introduction to* Γ-*convergence*, Birkhäuser, Basel (1993).

OP08. C.R. de Oliveira, M. Pereira, Mathematical Justification of the Aharonov-Bohm Hamiltonian, *J. Stat. Phys.* **133**, 1175–1184 (2008).

DFNR20. J. Dereziński, J. Faupin, Q.N. Nguyen, S. Richard, On radial Schrödinger operators with a Coulomb potential: general boundary conditions, *Adv. Op. Theory* **5**, 1132–1192 (2020).

ET99. D.E. Edmunds, H. Triebel, Sharp sobolev embeddings and related hardy inequalities: The critical case. *Math. Nachr.* **207**, 79–92 (1999).

ES49. W. Ehrenberg, R.E. Siday, The Refractive Index in Electron Optics and the Principles of Dynamics, *Proc. Phys. Soc. Series B* **62**, 821 (1949).

ESV02. P. Exner, P. Šťovíček, P. Vytřas, Generalized boundary conditions for the Aharonov-Bohm effect combined with a homogeneous magnetic field, *J. Math. Phys.* **43**, 2151–2168 (2002).

GR07. I.S. Gradshteyn, I.M. Ryzhik, *Table of Integrals, Series, and Products*, Academic Press, Elsevier, Amsterdam (2007).

K15. K. Kang, Locality of the Aharonov-Bohm-Casher effect, *Phys. Rev. A* **91**, 052116 (2015).

K22. K. Kang, Gauge invariance of the local phase in the Aharonov-Bohm interference: quantum electrodynamic approach, arXiv:2206.08620 [quant-ph] (2022).

Kr64. M. Kretzschmar, Aharonov-Bohm Scattering of a Wave Packet of Finite Extension, *Zeitschrift für Physik* **185**, 84–96 (1965).

LM77. J.M. Leinaas, J. Myrheim, On the theory of identical particles, *Nuovo Cimento B* **37**, 1–23 (1977).

MVG95. C. Magni, F. Valz-Gris, Can elementary quantum mechanics explain the Aharonov–Bohm effect? *J. Math. Phys.* **36**, 177–186 (1995).

MV20. C. Marletto, V. Vedral, Aharonov-Bohm phase is locally generated like all other quantum phases, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **125**, 040401 (2020).

OLBC10. F.W.J. Olver, D.W. Lozier, R.F. Boisvert, C.W. Clark, *NIST Handbook of Mathematical Functions*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2010).

O94. S. Ouvry, δ perturbative interactions in the Aharonov-Bohm and anyon models, *Phys. Rev. D* **50**, 5296–5299 (1994).

PR11. K. Pankrashkin, S. Richard, Spectral and scattering theory for the Aharonov-Bohm operators, *Rev. Math. Phys.* **23**(01), 53–81 (2011).

PT89. M. Peshkin, A. Tonomura, *The Aharonov-Bohm Effect*, Springer Verlag, Heidelberg (1989).

Ta99. H. Tamura, Magnetic scattering at low energy in two dimensions, *Nagoya Math. J.* **155**, 95–151 (1999).

Ta01. H. Tamura, Norm resolvent convergence to magnetic Schrödinger operators with point interactions, *Rev. Math. Phys.* **13**(04), 465–511 (2001).

Te90. A. Teta, Quadratic forms for singular perturbations of the Laplacian, *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* **26**(5), 803–817 (1990).

TOMKEYY86. A. Tonomura, N. Osakabe, T. Matsuda, T. Kawasaki, J. Endo, S. Yano, H. Yamada, Evidence for Aharonov-Bohm effect with magnetic field completely shielded from electron wave, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **56**(8), 792–795 (1986).

V12. L. Vaidman, Role of potentials in the Aharonov-Bohm effect, *Phys. Rev. A* **86**, 040101(R) (2012).

W82. F. Wilczek, Quantum mechanics of fractional-spin particles, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **49**, 957–959 (1982).

YGLRLS20. E. Yakaboylu, A. Ghazaryan, D. Lundholm, N. Rougerie, M. Lemeshko, R. Seiringer, Fermionic behavior of ideal anyons, *Phys. Rev. B* **102**(14), 144109 (2020).