

Gravitational decoupling for hairy black holes in asymptotically AdS spacetimes

Chao-Ming Zhang¹ *; Ming Zhang² † and De-Cheng Zou¹ ‡

¹Center for Gravitation and Cosmology, College of Physical Science
and Technology, Yangzhou University, Yangzhou 225009, China

²Faculty of Science, Xi'an Aeronautical University,
Xi'an 710077, China

Abstract

In this paper, the gravitational decoupling approach via extended geometric deformation (EGD) is utilized to generate analytical black hole solutions owing to its simplicity and effectiveness. Considering the external fields surrounding Schwarzschild AdS black hole, we derive hairy black hole solutions in asymptotically AdS spacetime, satisfying the strong energy and dominate energy conditions, respectively. Moreover, we find that if the black hole spacetime is a fluid system, the fluid under each of these conditions is anisotropic.

1 Introduction

In general relativity (GR), there is a theorem about the properties of black holes called “no-hair theorem” [1]-[4], which states that no more than three characteristic parameters affect black holes: mass, angular momentum and charge. However, in recent years, with the deepening of the exploration of the universe and black holes, it has been discovered that there may be

*zcm843395448@163.com

†mingzhang0807@126.com

‡Corresponding author. dczou@yzu.edu.cn

other physical quantities that affect the properties of black holes. For example, black holes could contain quantum hairs [5] when there exist other charges associated with inner gauge symmetries (and fields), and black holes with scalar hair were also discussed in scalar-tensor theories [6]-[10], where the scalar fields play a pivotal role in particle physics and early universe cosmology [11, 12]. With the recent release of black hole images and the discovery of gravitational waves, the scope to test the no-hair theorem has been further enhanced [13]. Nevertheless, it's usually very difficult to find analytical hairy solutions describing general matter configurations.

It is worth noting that a new and elegant method—gravitational decoupling that allows us to obtain new exact solutions starting from a known one has received considerable attention recently. This approach by means of minimal geometric deformation (MGD) was developed to deform Schwarzschild spacetime in the context of braneworld [14, 15]. Subsequently, many applications of the method and its extension (hereafter referred to as EGD) have been studied for the stellar systems in the braneworld framework [16]-[23]. MGD is a well succeeded theory that allows the study of nonlinear gravity in braneworlds to obtain the effective action at low energies by using the AdS/CFT correspondence [24, 25].

Beyond the braneworld, this gravitational decoupling approach has also been used to generate solutions of Einstein field equations in GR and other modified gravitational theories, since it has following advantages: (a) it can decouple the complex energy-momentum tensor into various relatively simpler components; (b) one can use it to extend some known seed solutions to more complex solutions; (c) one can use it to find solutions of gravitational theories other than GR. The procedure for gravitational decoupling approach can be expressed as follows: “Suppose there are two non-interacting gravitational sources in a spacetime: a seed source $T_{\mu\nu}$ and an extra source $\theta_{\mu\nu}$. The standard Einstein's equation are first solved for $T_{\mu\nu}$, and then another set of quasi-Einstein equations are solved for $\theta_{\mu\nu}$. Subsequently, the two solutions can be combined in order to derive the complete solution for the total system”. Mathematically, the total energy-momentum tensor of the gravitational system is given by

$$\tilde{T}_{\mu\nu} = T_{\mu\nu} + \theta_{\mu\nu}. \quad (1)$$

Here, $\theta_{\mu\nu}$ may be a scalar field, a vector field, etc. By applying the algorithm to previously known seed solutions, this method has adopted to derive several

new solutions of gravitational systems in GR, as for example stellar distributions [26, 27, 28] and black holes [29]. Through the gravitational decoupling approach, Ovalle derived the anisotropic solutions of Einstein field equations for self-gravitating systems from perfect fluid solutions by using MGD technique [30]. More recently, gravitational decoupling via MGD was used to investigate higher dimensional compact structures [31] and spread out to the context of Horndeski [32], Lovelock (Gauss-Bonnet) [33]-[36], Rastall [37] and $f(R, T)$ [38] gravity theories and the cosmological scenario [39].

However, the MGD technique has some limitations because it only deforms the radial metric potential by leaving temporal coordinate as an invariant quantity. It results in that the considered sources do not exchange energy in the scenario. Fortunately, the extension version of MGD (EGD henceforth) was presented in [40], where J. Ovalle considered the decoupling of two spherically symmetric and static gravitational sources in GR and found the exchange of energy between decoupled sources. Moreover, the corresponding metrics possess a well-defined event horizon and also reproduced the Reissner-Nordstrom solution. M. Sharif *et al.* [41, 42] obtained astrophysical and cosmological solutions in the context of SBD theory by using MGD and EGD techniques, respectively. E. Contreras *et al.* [43] successfully decoupled the field equations in (1+2)-dimensional gravity to obtain exterior charged BTZ model from the corresponding vacuum solution through EGD technique.

Now, Let $g_{\mu\nu}$ be any metric. After calculating its Einstein tensor $G_{\mu\nu}$, $g_{\mu\nu}$ can be regarded as the metric corresponding to the dynamic tensor $T_{\mu\nu} = \frac{G_{\mu\nu}}{8\pi}$. The problem is that such arbitrary $T_{\mu\nu}$ is not necessarily a very dirty amount of motion of some material field. Therefore, such a “solution” is not necessarily physically meaningful. It is generally believed that the dynamic tensor of any material field must satisfy a number of “reasonable” conditions, collectively known as the energy conditions [44]. The energy conditions imposed on the energy-momentum tensor can be generally considered as sensible guidelines to avoid classically unphysical configurations and exotic matter sources apart from the Einstein field equations in the context of a wide class of spacetime theories [45]. Considering the deformation of seed Schwarzschild vacuum spacetime, Ovalle *et al.* [46] recently formulated new hairy black holes through the EGD technique for the first time, where the additional source is described by a conserved energy-momentum tensor $\theta_{\mu\nu}$ which satisfies either the strong (SEC) or dominant energy condition

(DEC) in the region outside the event horizon. Subsequently, hairy black holes were discussed from the perspective of conformal anomalies [47]. From a spherically symmetric seed solution, the solutions of rotating hairy black hole were also constructed using the EGD technique [48, 49], including the studies of strong field gravitational lensing effects [50] and thermodynamic properties [49] of these black holes. It is well known that the AdS/CFT duality generally states that weakly-coupled gravity in $(d+1)$ -dimensional anti-de Sitter (AdS) space is the theory dual to a strongly-coupled conformal field theory (CFT). It is interesting to explore the applications for gravitational decoupling approach in asymptotically AdS spacetimes. Notice that some new solutions of Einstein field equations in asymptotically (A-)dS spacetimes were also presented in Refs. [51]-[53] using MGD technique recently. Moreover, the AdS/CFT correspondence setup has been employed to compute the Bose-Einstein condensates (BCS) [54] and holographic entanglement entropy (HEE) corrections [55, 56] for spherically symmetric spacetimes in the context of MGD and EGD. Retaining the energy conditions, here we will adopt EGD technique to derive the solutions of hairy black hole in asymptotically AdS spacetimes. We expect that the obtained hairy AdS black holes can be investigated to explore aspects of gravity in the strong nonlinear regime and comparing any deviation from the GR setup in the gravitational wave astrophysical scenario.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. 2, we review the gravitational decoupling approach via EGD in asymptotically AdS spacetime. In Sec. 3, we separately adopt the SEC and DEC to derive two new families of hairy black hole solutions. We summarized the results of our study in Sec. 4.

2 Gravitational decoupling approach

We consider the Einstein field equations

$$G_{\mu\nu} = R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}Rg_{\mu\nu} + \Lambda g_{\mu\nu} = \kappa^2 \tilde{T}_{\mu\nu}, \quad (2)$$

and assume that the total energy-momentum tensor is given by

$$\tilde{T}_{\mu\nu} = T_{\mu\nu} + \theta_{\mu\nu}, \quad (3)$$

where Λ is the negative cosmological constant and $T_{\mu\nu}$ is the 4-dimensional energy-momentum tensor of a perfect fluid $T_\nu^\mu = \text{diag}(-\rho, p, p, p)$. Moreover,

$\theta_{\mu\nu}$ describes an additional source whose coupling to gravity is proportional to the constant α . Since the Einstein tensor $G_{\mu\nu}$ is divergence free, the total energy-momentum tensor $\tilde{T}_{\mu\nu}$ satisfies the conservation equation

$$\nabla_\nu \tilde{T}^{\mu\nu} = 0. \quad (4)$$

From the expression of energy-momentum tensor in Eq. (3), we define an effective density with

$$\tilde{\rho} = -\tilde{T}_0^0 = \rho - \theta_0^0, \quad (5)$$

the effective radial pressure

$$\tilde{p}_r = \tilde{T}_1^1 = p_r + \theta_1^1, \quad (6)$$

and the effective tangential pressure

$$\tilde{p}_\perp = \tilde{T}_2^2 = p_\perp + \theta_2^2. \quad (7)$$

In this study, we investigate the static and spherically symmetric metric

$$ds^2 = -e^{\nu(r)} dt^2 + e^{\lambda(r)} dr^2 + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2), \quad (8)$$

where $\nu = \nu(r)$ and $\lambda = \lambda(r)$ are functions of the areal radius r only. Substituting the above metric into Einstein field equations (Eq.(2)), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa^2 (-\rho + \theta_0^0) &= -\frac{1}{r^2} + e^{-\lambda} \left(\frac{1}{r^2} - \frac{\lambda'}{r} \right) + \Lambda, \\ \kappa^2 (p_r + \theta_1^1) &= -\frac{1}{r^2} + e^{-\lambda} \left(\frac{1}{r^2} + \frac{\nu'}{r} \right) + \Lambda, \\ \kappa^2 (p_\perp + \theta_2^2) &= \frac{1}{4} e^{-\lambda} \left(-\lambda'\nu' + 2\nu'' + (\nu')^2 + \frac{2(\nu' - \lambda')}{r} \right) \\ &\quad + \Lambda. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

We further consider the seed source for perfect fluid $T_{\mu\nu}$ (i.e. $\theta_{\mu\nu} = 0$ in Eq.(2)) and assume that the new metric ansatz takes the following form:

$$ds^2 = -e^{\xi(r)} dt^2 + e^{\mu(r)} dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega^2, \quad (10)$$

which implies the form of new Einstein field equations

$$\begin{aligned}
\kappa^2 \rho &= \frac{1}{r^2} - e^{-\mu} \left(\frac{1}{r^2} - \frac{\mu'}{r} \right) - \Lambda, \\
\kappa^2 p_r &= -\frac{1}{r^2} + e^{-\mu} \left(\frac{1}{r^2} + \frac{\xi'}{r} \right) + \Lambda, \\
\kappa^2 p_\perp &= -\frac{e^{-\mu}}{4} (2\xi'' + \xi'^2 - \mu'\xi' + 2\frac{\xi' - \mu'}{r}) + \Lambda.
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

To obtain information about the additional source $\theta_\mu{}^\nu$, we must apply some distortion of this metric, namely [30]

$$\begin{aligned}
\xi &\rightarrow \nu = \xi + \alpha * g(r), \\
e^{-\mu} &\rightarrow e^{-\lambda} = e^{-\mu} + \alpha * f(r).
\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

With two Einstein field equations (Eqs. (9)(11)) and the metric deformation (Eq. (12)), the quasi-Einstein field equations for $\theta_\mu{}^\nu$ can be obtained as

$$\begin{aligned}
\kappa^2 \theta_0^0 &= \alpha \frac{f}{r^2} + \alpha \frac{f'}{r}, \\
\kappa^2 \theta_1^1 &= \alpha f \left(\frac{1}{r^2} + \frac{\nu'}{r} \right) + \alpha \gamma_1, \\
\kappa^2 \theta_2^2 &= \alpha \frac{f}{4} \left(2\nu'' + \nu'^2 + \frac{2\nu'}{r} \right) + \alpha \frac{f'}{4} \left(\nu' + \frac{2}{r} \right) + \alpha \gamma_2,
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

with

$$\gamma_1 = \frac{e^{-\mu} g'}{r}, \quad \gamma_2 = \frac{e^{-\mu}}{4} (2g'' + g'^2 + \frac{2g'}{r} + 2\xi'g' - \mu'g').$$

Clearly, the two sources $T_{\mu\nu}$ and $\theta_{\mu\nu}$ have been successfully decoupled using the EGD method.

Considering the conservation equations for the total energy-momentum tensor $\tilde{T}^{\mu\nu}$, the tensors $T^{\mu\nu}$ and $\theta^{\mu\nu}$ satisfy

$$\nabla_\nu T^{\mu\nu} = 0, \quad \nabla_\nu \theta^{\mu\nu} = 0, \tag{14}$$

because the tensors $T_{\mu\nu}$ and $\theta_{\mu\nu}$ are non-interacting. Then, we can obtain the conservation equation for additional energy-momentum tensor $\theta_{\mu\nu}$

$$(\theta_1^1)' - \frac{\nu'}{2} (\theta_0^0 - \theta_1^1) - \frac{2}{r} (\theta_2^2 - \theta_1^1) - \frac{\alpha g'}{2} (T_0^0 - T_1^1) = 0. \tag{15}$$

3 Hairy black hole solutions

Now we construct hairy black holes. For simplify, we can assume the energy-momentum T_μ^ν (seed source) to be satisfy $T_\mu^\nu = 0$ namely, $\rho = p_r = p_\perp = 0$. From the Einstein field equations (Eq.(11)), we can easily obtain the analytical solutions for the seed metric,

$$e^\xi = e^{-\mu} = 1 - \frac{2M}{r} - \frac{r^2\Lambda}{3}, \quad (16)$$

which are exactly Schwarzschild AdS black hole solution. Substituting the above metric solution into Eq. (12), we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-\xi(r)} &\rightarrow e^{-\nu(r)} = \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r} - \frac{r^2\Lambda}{3}\right) * e^{\alpha g(r)}, \\ e^{-\mu(r)} &\rightarrow e^{-\lambda(r)} = \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r} - \frac{r^2\Lambda}{3}\right) + \alpha f(r). \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

It's worthy to point out that in order to have black hole solutions with a well defined horizon structure, we set

$$e^\nu = e^{-\lambda}. \quad (18)$$

Then, the killing horizon and causal horizon of the hairy black hole would be in the same position

$$e^{\nu(r_h)} = e^{-\lambda(r_h)} = 0. \quad (19)$$

From Eqs.(12) and (18), the metric deformations $f(r)$ and $g(r)$ can be rewritten as

$$\alpha f(r) = \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r} - \frac{r^2\Lambda}{3}\right) * (e^{\alpha g(r)} - 1). \quad (20)$$

The metric line element of hairy black hole becomes

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 = & -\left(1 - \frac{2M}{r} - \frac{r^2\Lambda}{3}\right) * e^{\alpha g(r)} \\ & + \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r} - \frac{r^2\Lambda}{3}\right)^{-1} * e^{-\alpha g(r)} + r^2 d\Omega^2. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Therefore, the event horizon r_h of this hairy black hole is given by

$$r_h = \frac{1}{\frac{(3M\Lambda^2 + \sqrt{9M^2\Lambda^4 - \Lambda^3})^{1/3}}{\Lambda} - \frac{(3M\Lambda^2 + \sqrt{9M^2\Lambda^4 - \Lambda^3})^{1/3}}{\Lambda}}. \quad (22)$$

Note that the horizon radius $r_h > 0$ of hairy black hole is always satisfied on account of positive mass ($M > 0$) of black hole and negative cosmological constant ($\Lambda < 0$).

According to the new metric ansatz of hairy black hole (21) and the seed solutions (16), the quasi-Einstein field equations (13) for θ_μ^ν become

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa^2 \theta_0^0 &= \Lambda - \frac{1}{r^2} + e^{\alpha g(r)} \left(\Lambda - \frac{1}{r^2} + \alpha \left(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{2M}{r^2} - \frac{\Lambda r}{3} \right) \right) g(r), \\ \theta_1^1 &= \theta_0^0, \\ \kappa^2 \theta_2^2 &= \Lambda + e^{\alpha g(r)} \left[\alpha \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{M}{r} - \frac{\Lambda r^2}{6} \right) (\alpha g'(r)^2 + g''(r)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \alpha \left(\Lambda r - \frac{1}{r} \right) g'(r) - \Lambda \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

It is clear that the deformation metric $g(r)$ is only determined by the additional source θ_μ^ν . We can further derive the quasi-Einstein system to obtain the solutions of hairy black holes by assuming that the source θ_μ^ν satisfies some physically motivated equations of state. We first consider the simple case of isotropic pressure

$$\theta_0^0 = \theta_1^1 = \theta_2^2. \quad (24)$$

Eq. (23) then yields a differential equation

$$6(h(r) - 1) + 4(r^3\Lambda - 3M)h'(r) + (6Mr + r^4\Lambda - 3r^2)h''(r) = 0, \quad (25)$$

where the function $h(r)$ denotes $e^{\alpha g(r)}$. Then, we have

$$h(r) = e^{\alpha g(r)} = 1 + \frac{C_1 + r^3 C_2}{6M - 3r + r^3 \Lambda}, \quad (26)$$

where C_1 and C_2 are integration constants. Finally, we obtain the solution of hairy black hole

$$e^\nu = e^{-\lambda} = 1 - \frac{2M}{r} - \frac{r^2 \Lambda}{3} - \frac{C_1}{3r} - \frac{C_2 r^2}{9}. \quad (27)$$

Note that it takes the same form as the solution of Schwarzschild AdS black hole by adopting the redefinition of the asymptotic mass $M \rightarrow M = M + C_1/6$ and cosmological constant $\Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda = \Lambda + C_2/3$.

Moreover, considering the seed source $T_{\mu\nu} = 0$ previously, the effective density, effective radial pressure and effective tangential pressure are obtained as

$$\tilde{\rho} = -\theta_0^0 = \frac{C_2}{3}, \quad \tilde{p}_r = \tilde{p}_\perp = -\frac{C_2}{3}. \quad (28)$$

which implies it is exactly isotropic fluid.

Now, let us consider the linear equation of state and assume that the components of source θ_μ^ν satisfy

$$\theta_0^0 = a\theta_1^1 + b\theta_2^2, \quad (29)$$

where a and b are arbitrary constants. From the quasi-Einstein field equations (Eq.(23)), we can easily obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &6[a - 1 - (a + b - 1)r^2\Lambda] + 6[1 - a + (a + b - 1)r^2\Lambda]h(r) \\ &+ 2[6(a - 1)M - 3(a + b - 1)r + (a + 3b - 1)r^3\Lambda]h'(r) \\ &+ br(6M - 3r + r^3\Lambda)h''(r) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

Then, the solution can be written as

$$e^{\alpha g(r)} = h(r) = \frac{-3r + r^3\Lambda + C_1 + \frac{br^{\frac{2-2a+b}{b}}C_2}{2-2a+b}}{6M - 3r + r^3\Lambda}. \quad (31)$$

Here, C_1 and C_2 are constants with dimensions of length.

Considering the line element of the hairy black hole (21), we obtain the solution of the hairy black hole

$$e^\nu = e^{-\lambda} = 1 - \frac{r^2\Lambda}{3} - \frac{C_1}{3r} - \frac{br^{\frac{2-2a}{b}}C_2}{6 - 6a + 3b}. \quad (32)$$

Because $T_{\mu\nu} = 0$ was defined previously, the corresponding components of $\theta_{\mu\nu}$ are obtained as the effective density

$$\tilde{\rho} = -\theta_0^0 = -\frac{1}{3}C_2r^{-\frac{2(-1+a+b)}{b}}, \quad (33)$$

the effective radial pressure

$$\tilde{p}_r = \theta_1^1 = \theta_0^0 = -\rho, \quad (34)$$

and the effective tangential pressure

$$\tilde{p}_\perp = \theta_2^2 = -\frac{(-1+a)C_2 r^{-\frac{2(-1+a+b)}{b}}}{3b}. \quad (35)$$

The anisotropy is thus given by

$$\Pi = \tilde{p}_r - \tilde{p}_\perp = -\frac{(-1+a+b)C_2 r^{-\frac{2(-1+a+b)}{b}}}{3b} \neq 0. \quad (36)$$

If setting $n = \frac{2-2a}{b}$ and $C_1 = 6M$, this solution (36) reduces to the Kiselev-AdS black hole with quintessence. In addition, we have checked some other scenarios when the additional source θ_μ^μ satisfies the conformal equations of state $\theta_\mu^\mu = 0$ or the polytropic $\alpha\theta_1^1 = K(\alpha\theta_0^0)^\Gamma$. However, these hairy black hole solutions have already been studied in Refs.[57]-[60].

It is worth pointing out that the energy conditions are generally considered as sensible guidelines to avoid classically unphysical configurations. In Ref. [45], energy conditions are usually imposed on the energy-momentum tensor to avoid exotic matter sources in the context of a wide class of space-time theories. In the next sections, we discuss black holes in asymptotical AdS spacetime when energy conditions are imposed on the source $\theta_{\mu\nu}$.

3.1 Strong energy condition

In energy condition theory, there is an important condition known as the SEC, which arises from proving the singularity theorem. It can be written as follows[44]:

$$\tilde{\rho} + \tilde{p}_r + 2\tilde{p}_\perp \geq 0, \quad (37)$$

$$\tilde{\rho} + \tilde{p}_r \geq 0, \quad (38)$$

$$\tilde{\rho} + \tilde{p}_\perp \geq 0. \quad (39)$$

Considering the choice for metric ansatz $e^\nu = e^{-\lambda}$ (18), Eqs. (37)-(39) become

$$\theta_2^2 \geq 0, \quad (40)$$

$$\theta_0^0 \leq \theta_2^2. \quad (41)$$

According to the quasi-Einstein field equations for additional source θ_μ^ν (Eq.(23)), conditions (40) and (41) lead to the inequalities

$$G_1(r) \equiv 6h'(r) - 6Mh''(r) + 3rh''(r) - \Lambda \left(-6r + 6rh(r) + 6r^2h'(r) + r^3h''(r) \right) \geq 0, \quad (42)$$

$$G_2(r) \equiv 6 - 6h(r) + 12Mh'(r) - 6Mrh''(r) + 3r^2h''(r) - \Lambda(4r^3h'(r) + r^4h''(r)) \geq 0, \quad (43)$$

where the function $h(r)$ denotes $e^{\alpha g(r)}$.

Let us first compute the corresponding boundary solution of the above two expressions. From $G_1(r) = 0$, one can easily obtain

$$h(r) = \frac{r^3\Lambda + C_{11} + rC_{12}}{6M - 3r + r^3\Lambda}. \quad (44)$$

Then, we can obtain the black hole solution from the metric (21)

$$e^\nu = e^{-\lambda} = -\frac{r^2\Lambda}{3} - \frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{C_{11}}{r} + C_{12}\right). \quad (45)$$

For the inequality of $G_2(r)$ (43), the corresponding boundary solution can be also obtained from $G_2(r) = 0$

$$h(r) = 1 + \frac{C_{21} + \frac{1}{3}r^3C_{22}}{6M - 3r + r^3\Lambda}, \quad (46)$$

and

$$e^\nu = e^{-\lambda} = 1 - \frac{2M}{r} - \frac{r^2\Lambda}{3} - \frac{C_{21}}{3r} - \frac{1}{9}r^2C_{22}. \quad (47)$$

To agree on the Eq.(45) and Eq.(47), we can set $C_{11} = C_{21} + 6M$, $C_{12} = -3$ and $C_{22} = 0$. Then the metric becomes

$$e^\nu = e^{-\lambda} = 1 - \frac{2M}{r} - \frac{r^2\Lambda}{3} - \frac{C_{21}}{3r}. \quad (48)$$

This is actually the Schwarzschild AdS black hole. In other words, the black hole solution derived from the boundary conditions is mediocre.

Now let us look for a nontrivial solution of function $h(r)$. From Eq. (42), we could choose a simple model, i.e

$$G_1(r) \equiv G_\alpha(r) = \frac{\alpha}{A_1} \left(r - 2M - \frac{r^3 \Lambda}{3} \right) e^{-\frac{r}{B_1}}, \quad (49)$$

where A_1 and B_1 are pending parameters. The function $G_\alpha(r)$ satisfies clearly $G_1(r) = 0$ near the horizon $r = r_h$ and at infinity $r \rightarrow \infty$ and also meets

$$G_1(r) > 0, \quad r_h < r < \infty \quad (50)$$

when $A_1 > 0$. After substituting Eq. (49) into Eq. (42), we get

$$h(r) = \frac{1}{3A_1(6M - 3r + r^3\Lambda)} \left(B_1^2 \alpha e^{-\frac{r}{B_1}} (24B_1^3\Lambda + 6M - 3r + r^3\Lambda + 18B_1^2r + 6B_1(r^2\Lambda - 1)) + 3A_1(r^3\Lambda + C_1 + rC_2) \right). \quad (51)$$

Then, the solution of hairy black hole is obtained as

$$e^\nu = e^{-\lambda} = -\frac{r^2\Lambda}{3} - \frac{C_1 + rC_2}{3r} + e^{-\frac{r}{B_1}} \alpha \left(\frac{B_1^2(-2M + 2B_1 + r)}{3A_1r} - \frac{(24B_1^5 + 18B_1^4r + 6B_1^3r^2 + B_1^2r^3)\Lambda}{9A_1r} \right). \quad (52)$$

If setting $A_1 = B_1^2$, Eq.(52) reduces to

$$e^\nu = e^{-\lambda} = e^{-\frac{r}{B_1}} \alpha \left(\frac{-2M + 2B_1 + r}{3r} - \frac{(24B_1^3 + 18B_1^2r + 6B_1r^2 + r^3)\Lambda}{9r} \right) - \frac{r^2\Lambda}{3} - \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{C_1}{r} + C_2 \right). \quad (53)$$

Furthermore, if we continue to set $B_1 = M$, $C_1 = 6M$, $C_2 = -3$, Eq.(53) reduces to

$$e^\nu = e^{-\lambda} = 1 - \frac{2M}{r} - \frac{1}{3} \alpha \left(\frac{(24M^3 + 18M^2r + 6Mr^2 + r^3)\Lambda}{3r} + 1 \right) e^{-\frac{r}{M}} - \frac{r^2\Lambda}{3}. \quad (54)$$

In addition, we must check that the function $h(r)$ satisfies the inequality Eq.(43). Substitute Eq.(51) to Eq.(43), we can get

$$\Delta = -6M^2 + 3r^2 - (12M^4 + 12M^3r + 6M^2r^2 + 2Mr^3 + r^4)\Lambda \geq 0. \quad (55)$$




Figure 1: The value of Δ at the horizon. The left graph is the surface diagram of Δ with respect to Λ and M , the corresponding values of Λ and M are respectively -1 to 0 and 0 to 1 . The right graph is the density map of Δ and the value range of Λ and M are respectively -10 to 0 and 0 to 10 .

In AdS space-time ($\Lambda < 0$), Δ is a monotonically increasing function of r . Therefore, as long as the value of the function is positive at the horizon, it must also be positive outside the horizon. Because the analytic solution of the event horizon (22) of the black hole is rather complicated, we use the numerical simulation method to analyze the value of Δ . The behavior of this function at the event horizon of the black hole is plotted in Fig.1. The figures show that no matter how the values of Λ and M change, Δ is always positive. Therefore, the inequality Eq.(43) is always true at $r \geq r_h$.

For the line element in Eq.(54), we can also find its effective density

$$-\tilde{\rho} = \theta_0^0 = \tilde{p}_r = \frac{e^{-\frac{r}{M}}\alpha}{9Mr^2}(3M - 3r + 6M^3\Lambda + 6M^2r\Lambda + 3Mr^2\Lambda + r^3\Lambda), \quad (56)$$

and the effective tangential pressure

$$\tilde{p}_\perp = \theta_2^2 = \frac{e^{-\frac{r}{M}}\alpha(-6M + 3r - r^3\Lambda)}{18M^2r}. \quad (57)$$

Note that when $\Lambda \rightarrow 0$, Eqs.(54), (56) and (57) degenerate to the results in Ref. [29].

3.2 Dominant energy condition

Now let us consider another condition. The DEC requires that the four-momentum density measured by any instantaneous viewer is a time-like or light-like vector, whose physical interpretation is that the energy flow rate of the material field is less than or equal to the speed of light, which is equivalent to [44]

$$\tilde{\rho} \geq |\tilde{p}_r|, \quad (58)$$

$$\tilde{\rho} \geq |\tilde{p}_t|, \quad (59)$$

in this system of Eq. (21). These two inequalities reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} -\theta_0^0 - \theta_2^2 &\geq 0, \\ -\theta_0^0 + \theta_2^2 &\geq 0, \end{aligned} \quad (60)$$

which yield respectively the differential inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} H_1(r) &= 2 - 2h(r) + (4M - 4r)h'(r) + (2Mr - r^2)h''(r) \\ &\quad + \Lambda \left(4r^2 - 4r^2h(r) + \frac{8}{3}r^3h'(r) + \frac{1}{3}r^4h''(r) \right) \geq 0, \end{aligned} \quad (61)$$

$$\begin{aligned} H_2(r) &= 2 - 2h(r) + 4Mh'(r) + (-2Mr + r^2)h''(r) \\ &\quad - \Lambda \left(\frac{4}{3}r^3h'(r) + \frac{1}{3}r^4h''(r) \right) \geq 0, \end{aligned} \quad (62)$$

respectively, for which we used Eq.(23) again. Now, choosing the same model as for the SEC (Eq.(49)),

$$H_1(r) \equiv H_\alpha(r) = \frac{\alpha}{A_1} \left(r - 2M - \frac{r^3\Lambda}{3} \right) e^{\frac{-r}{B_1}}, \quad (63)$$

we can solve the Eq.(61) and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} h(r) &= \frac{e^{-\frac{r}{B_1}}}{r(6M - 3r + r^3\Lambda)A_1} \left((-\alpha B_1^2(6M - 3r + r^3\Lambda + 6(-1 + r^2\Lambda)B_1 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 18r\Lambda B_1^2 + 24\Lambda B_1^3)) + (-3r^2 + r^4\Lambda + C_1 + rC_2)A_1 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (64)$$

Similarly, let us take the same approach as Eq.(53), that is $A = B^2$,

$$\begin{aligned} h(r) &= \frac{e^{-\frac{r}{B_1}}}{r(6M - 3r + r^3\Lambda)} \left(-\alpha(6M - 3r + r^3\Lambda + 6(-1 + r^2\Lambda)B_1 + 18r\Lambda B_1^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 24\Lambda B_1^3) + (-3r^2 + r^4\Lambda + C_1 + rC_2) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (65)$$

Furthermore, setting $B = M$, $C_1 = -3Q^2$, $C_2 = 6M$, the metric finally can be written as

$$e^\nu = e^{-\lambda} = \alpha e^{-\frac{r}{M}} \left(-\frac{1}{r} - \frac{(-24M^3 - 18M^2r - 6Mr^2 - r^3)\Lambda}{3r^2} \right) + 1 - \frac{2M}{r} + \frac{Q^2}{r^2} - \frac{r^2\Lambda}{3}. \quad (66)$$

As with the SEC, we must check whether $h(r)$ (65) satisfies the other inequality for the DEC (62), and we get the inequality

$$\frac{4Q^2}{r^2} - e^{-\frac{r}{M}}\alpha \frac{1}{3r^2} \left(\frac{6r^2}{M} + \frac{3r^3}{M^2} - (96M^3 + 96M^2r + 48Mr^2 + 16r^3 + \frac{4r^4}{M} + \frac{r^5}{M^2})\Lambda \right) \geq 0. \quad (67)$$

The inequality Eq.(67) is not guaranteed to be satisfied at any point, the necessary condition is that

$$\frac{12Q^2}{\alpha} \geq \frac{6r^2}{M} + \frac{3r^3}{M^2} - (96M^3 + 96M^2r + 48Mr^2 + 16r^3 + \frac{4r^4}{M} + \frac{r^5}{M^2})\Lambda \quad (68)$$

On the premise that the above condition is satisfied, if we treat Q as the electric charge, it is clear that this black hole is a deformation of the charged black hole in asymptotically AdS spacetime. Moreover, the effective density can be written as

$$\tilde{p}_r = \theta_1^1 = \frac{1}{r^4} \left(- (24e^{-\frac{r}{M}}M^3\alpha + 24e^{-\frac{r}{M}}M^2r\alpha + 12e^{-\frac{r}{M}}Mr^2\alpha + 4e^{-\frac{r}{M}}r^3\alpha + \frac{e^{-\frac{r}{M}}r^4\alpha}{M})\Lambda + \frac{e^{-\frac{r}{M}}r^2\alpha}{M} - Q^2 \right), \quad (69)$$

the effective tangential pressure is

$$\tilde{p}_\perp = \theta_2^2 = \frac{1}{r^4} \left(\frac{1}{6} (48e^{-\frac{r}{M}}M^3\alpha + 48e^{-\frac{r}{M}}M^2r\alpha + 24e^{-\frac{r}{M}}Mr^2\alpha + 8e^{-\frac{r}{M}}r^3\alpha + \frac{2e^{-\frac{r}{M}}r^4\alpha}{M} + \frac{e^{-\frac{r}{M}}r^5\alpha}{M^2})\Lambda + Q^2 - \frac{e^{-\frac{r}{M}}r^3\alpha}{2M^2} \right). \quad (70)$$

Then, we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{p}_r - \tilde{p}_\perp = & \frac{1}{r^4} \left(\frac{e^{-\frac{r}{M}} r^2 (2M + r) \alpha}{2M^2} - 2Q^2 - \frac{1}{6} \left(48e^{-\frac{r}{M}} M^2 (2M + r) \alpha \right. \right. \\ & + 24e^{-\frac{r}{M}} M r (2M + r) \alpha + 12e^{-\frac{r}{M}} r^2 (2M + r) \alpha \\ & \left. \left. + \frac{2e^{-\frac{r}{M}} r^3 (2M + r) \alpha}{M} + \frac{e^{-\frac{r}{M}} r^4 (2M + r) \alpha}{M^2} \right) \Lambda \right). \end{aligned} \quad (71)$$

Therefore, the source $\theta_{\mu\nu}$ under dominant energy condition is also anisotropic.

4 Conclusion and discussion

For two non-interacting gravitational sources in the gravitational system, we adopt gravitational decoupling approach via EGD to present how the Schwarzschild AdS black hole is modified when the vacuum is filled by new fields $\theta_{\mu\nu}$. The EGD technique is devised for describing deformations of known solutions of GR induced by adding extra sources. We first consider several scenarios, where the source term $\theta_{\mu\nu}$ satisfies the case of isotropic pressure or linear equation of state. Then, we obtain the corresponding solutions of hairy black holes by derived the quasi-Einstein field equations for additional source θ_μ^ν . However, these black hole solutions are mediocre and have already been extensively studied in many papers.

The SEC and DEC have been adopted as constraint conditions for the construction for hairy black hole because the energy conditions are generally considered as sensible guidelines to avoid the exotic matter sources in the context of a wide class of spacetime theories. We find two new families of hairy black holes in the asymptotical AdS spacetime. In addition, if we regard the black hole spacetime as a fluid system, the fluid under each conditions is anisotropic.

Finally, let us comment on some concrete prospects of this study. Currently, black hole thermodynamics in the presence of a negative cosmological constant is becoming even more appealing because they allow a gauge duality description through a thermal field theory via AdS/CFT correspondence [61]. The gravitational decoupling method has been applied to discuss the thermodynamics of spherically symmetric black hole in asymptotically flat spacetime [62], where the first law of thermodynamics was decoupled

into two sectors: the standard first law of thermodynamics and quasi first law of thermodynamics. Therefore, it's interesting to investigate the thermodynamics and phase transitions of hairy black holes in asymptotically AdS spacetime.

On the other hand, the entanglement entropy is a versatile tool and may provide us new insights into a rich variety of physical phenomena, ranging from the confining phase of large- N gauge theories [63] to topological phases in condensed matter systems [64], and tachyon condensation [65] in the light of the AdS/CFT correspondence. S. Ryu and T. Takayanagi [66, 67] proposed a holographic description of the entanglement entropy in quantum (conformal) field theories via AdS $_{d+2}$ /CFT $_{d+1}$ correspondence, and computed the entanglement entropy at finite temperature employing AdS black hole geometry. Then, it's worth discussing the holographic entanglement entropy (HEE) for the hairy AdS black holes obtained by using EGD approach. In addition, the stability and quasinormal modes (QNMs) of hairy black holes caused by gravitational decoupling under various field perturbations have been investigated in Refs. [68, 69]. The QNMs play a fundamental role in characterizing gravitational wave signals detected by LIGO and VIRGO [70, 71]. We will investigate the stability and QNMs of hairy AdS black holes in future work. The final results could be expected to provide some direction for observing hairy black holes caused by gravitational decoupling in future experiments.

Acknowledgments

We appreciate Xiao-Mei Kuang and Sheng-Yuan Li for helpful discussions. D. C. Zou acknowledges financial support from Outstanding young teacher programme from Yangzhou University, No. 137050368.

References

- [1] B. Carter, Phys. Rev. Lett. **26** (1971), 331-333
- [2] R. Ruffini and J. A. Wheeler, Phys. Today **24** (1971) no.1, 30
- [3] D. C. Robinson, Phys. Rev. Lett. **34** (1975), 905-906
- [4] P. T. Chrusciel, J. Lopes Costa and M. Heusler, Living Rev. Rel. **15** (2012), 7
- [5] S. W. Hawking, M. J. Perry and A. Strominger, Phys. Rev. Lett. **116** (2016) no.23, 231301 [arXiv:1601.00921 [hep-th]].
- [6] T. P. Sotiriou and V. Faraoni, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108** (2012), 081103 [arXiv:1109.6324 [gr-qc]].
- [7] E. Babichev and C. Charmousis, JHEP **08** (2014), 106 [arXiv:1312.3204 [gr-qc]].
- [8] P. Cañate, L. G. Jaime and M. Salgado, Class. Quant. Grav. **33** (2016) no.15, 155005 [arXiv:1509.01664 [gr-qc]].
- [9] D. D. Doneva and S. S. Yazadjiev, Phys. Rev. Lett. **120**, no. 13, 131103 (2018) [arXiv:1711.01187 [gr-qc]].
- [10] G. Antoniou, A. Bakopoulos and P. Kanti, Phys. Rev. Lett. **120**, no. 13, 131102 (2018) [arXiv:1711.03390 [hep-th]].
- [11] P. Svrcek and E. Witten, JHEP **06** (2006), 051 [arXiv:hep-th/0605206 [hep-th]].
- [12] P. J. E. Peebles and A. Vilenkin, Phys. Rev. D **59** (1999), 063505 [arXiv:astro-ph/9810509 [astro-ph]].
- [13] E. Berti, V. Cardoso, L. Gualtieri, M. Horbatsch and U. Sperhake, Phys. Rev. D **87** (2013) no.12, 124020 [arXiv:1304.2836 [gr-qc]].
- [14] J. Ovalle, Gravitation and Astrophysics (ICGA9), World Scientific Press, Singapur, 173-182, (2010) [arXiv:0909.0531 [gr-qc]].
- [15] J. Ovalle, R. Casadio and A. Sotomayor, Adv. High Energy Phys. **2017** (2017), 9756914 [arXiv:1612.07926 [gr-qc]].

- [16] J. Ovalle, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **23** (2008), 3247-3263 [arXiv:gr-qc/0703095 [gr-qc]].
- [17] J. Ovalle, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **18** (2009), 837-852 [arXiv:0809.3547 [gr-qc]].
- [18] R. da Rocha, *Phys. Rev. D* **95** (2017) no.12, 124017 [arXiv:1701.00761 [hep-ph]].
- [19] R. da Rocha, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **77** (2017) no.5, 355 [arXiv:1703.01528 [hep-th]].
- [20] R. T. Cavalcanti, A. G. da Silva and R. da Rocha, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **33** (2016) no.21, 215007 [arXiv:1605.01271 [gr-qc]].
- [21] R. Casadio, J. Ovalle and R. da Rocha, *EPL* **110** (2015) no.4, 40003 [arXiv:1503.02316 [gr-qc]].
- [22] R. Casadio, J. Ovalle and R. da Rocha, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **32** (2015) no.21, 215020 [arXiv:1503.02873 [gr-qc]].
- [23] J. Ovalle, “Extending the geometric deformation: New black hole solutions,” *Int. J. Mod. Phys. Conf. Ser.* **41** (2016), 1660132 [arXiv:1510.00855 [gr-qc]].
- [24] S. Kanno and J. Soda, *Phys. Rev. D* **66** (2002), 043526 [arXiv:hep-th/0205188 [hep-th]].
- [25] J. Soda, *Lect. Notes Phys.* **828** (2011), 235-270 [arXiv:1001.1011 [hep-th]].
- [26] J. Ovalle, C. Posada and Z. Stuchlík, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **36** (2019) no.20, 205010 [arXiv:1905.12452 [gr-qc]].
- [27] E. Morales and F. Tello-Ortiz, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **78** (2018) no.8, 618 [arXiv:1805.00592 [gr-qc]].
- [28] V. A. Torres-Sánchez and E. Contreras, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **79** (2019) no.10, 829 [arXiv:1908.08194 [gr-qc]].
- [29] J. Ovalle, R. Casadio, R. d. Rocha, A. Sotomayor and Z. Stuchlik, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **78** (2018) no.11, 960 [arXiv:1804.03468 [gr-qc]].

- [30] J. Ovalle, Phys. Rev. D **95** (2017) no.10, 104019 [arXiv:1704.05899 [gr-qc]].
- [31] M. Estrada and R. Prado, Eur. Phys. J. Plus **134** (2019) no.4, 168 [arXiv:1809.03591 [gr-qc]].
- [32] R. da Rocha, Eur. Phys. J. C **82** (2022) no.1, 34 [arXiv:2111.11995 [gr-qc]].
- [33] M. Estrada, Eur. Phys. J. C **79** (2019) no.11, 918 [erratum: Eur. Phys. J. C **80** (2020) no.6, 590] [arXiv:1905.12129 [gr-qc]].
- [34] M. Estrada, Annals Phys. **439** (2022), 168792 [arXiv:2106.02166 [gr-qc]].
- [35] S. K. Maurya, A. Pradhan, F. Tello-Ortiz, A. Banerjee and R. Nag, Eur. Phys. J. C **81** (2021) no.9, 848
- [36] S. K. Maurya, M. Govender, K. N. Singh and R. Nag, Eur. Phys. J. C **82** (2022) no.1, 49
- [37] S. K. Maurya and F. Tello-Ortiz, Phys. Dark Univ. **29** (2020), 100577 [arXiv:1907.13456 [physics.gen-ph]].
- [38] S. K. Maurya and F. Tello-Ortiz, Annals Phys. **414** (2020), 168070 [arXiv:1906.11756 [gr-qc]].
- [39] F. X. Linares Cedeño and E. Contreras, Phys. Dark Univ. **28** (2020), 100543 [arXiv:1907.04892 [gr-qc]].
- [40] J. Ovalle, Phys. Lett. B **788** (2019), 213-218 [arXiv:1812.03000 [gr-qc]].
- [41] M. Sharif and Q. Ama-Tul-Mughani, Chin. J. Phys. **65** (2020), 207-220
- [42] M. Sharif and Q. Ama-Tul-Mughani, Annals Phys. **415** (2020), 168122 [arXiv:2004.07925 [gr-qc]].
- [43] E. Contreras and P. Bargueño, Class. Quant. Grav. **36** (2019) no.21, 215009 [arXiv:1902.09495 [gr-qc]].
- [44] Canbin Liang and Bin Zhou, Science Press Pub. Date :2009-03-01 (January 1, 1991).

- [45] E. Curiel, *Einstein Stud.* **13** (2017), 43-104 [arXiv:1405.0403 [physics.hist-ph]].
- [46] J. Ovalle, R. Casadio, E. Contreras and A. Sotomayor, *Phys. Dark Univ.* **31** (2021), 100744 [arXiv:2006.06735 [gr-qc]].
- [47] P. Meert and R. da Rocha, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **82** (2022) no.2, 175 [arXiv:2109.06289 [hep-th]].
- [48] E. Contreras, J. Ovalle and R. Casadio, *Phys. Rev. D* **103** (2021) no.4, 044020 [arXiv:2101.08569 [gr-qc]].
- [49] S. Mahapatra and I. Banerjee, [arXiv:2208.05796 [gr-qc]].
- [50] S. U. Islam and S. G. Ghosh, *Phys. Rev. D* **103** (2021) no.12, 124052 [arXiv:2102.08289 [gr-qc]].
- [51] E. Contreras, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **36** (2019) no.9, 095004 [arXiv:1901.00231 [gr-qc]].
- [52] A. Rincón, E. Contreras, F. Tello-Ortiz, P. Bargueño and G. Abellán, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **80** (2020) no.6, 490 [arXiv:2005.10991 [gr-qc]].
- [53] E. Contreras and P. Bargueño, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **78** (2018) no.12, 985 [arXiv:1809.09820 [gr-qc]].
- [54] A. Fernandes-Silva, A. J. Ferreira-Martins and R. da Rocha, *Phys. Lett. B* **791** (2019), 323-330 [arXiv:1901.07492 [hep-th]].
- [55] R. Da Rocha and A. A. Tomaz, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **79** (2019) no.12, 1035 [arXiv:1905.01548 [hep-th]].
- [56] R. da Rocha and A. A. Tomaz, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **80** (2020) no.9, 857 [arXiv:2005.02980 [hep-th]].
- [57] V. V. Kiselev, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **20** (2003), 1187-1198 [arXiv:gr-qc/0210040 [gr-qc]].
- [58] B. Malakolkalami and K. Ghaderi, *Astrophys. Space Sci.* **357** (2015) no.2, 112.
- [59] V. Ramírez, L. A. López, O. Pedraza and V. E. Ceron, *Can. J. Phys.* **100** (2022) no.2, 112-118 [arXiv:2107.01282 [gr-qc]].

- [60] M. E. Rodrigues, M. V. de S. Silva and H. A. Vieira, Phys. Rev. D **105** (2022) no.8, 084043 [arXiv:2203.04965 [gr-qc]].
- [61] E. Witten, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2** (1998), 253-291 [arXiv:hep-th/9802150 [hep-th]].
- [62] M. Estrada and R. Prado, Eur. Phys. J. C **80** (2020) no.8, 799 [arXiv:2003.13168 [gr-qc]].
- [63] I. R. Klebanov, D. Kutasov and A. Murugan, Nucl. Phys. B **796** (2008), 274-293 [arXiv:0709.2140 [hep-th]].
- [64] A. Kitaev and J. Preskill, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96** (2006), 110404 [arXiv:hep-th/0510092 [hep-th]].
- [65] T. Nishioka and T. Takayanagi, JHEP **01** (2007), 090 [arXiv:hep-th/0611035 [hep-th]].
- [66] S. Ryu and T. Takayanagi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96** (2006), 181602 [arXiv:hep-th/0603001 [hep-th]].
- [67] T. Nishioka, S. Ryu and T. Takayanagi, J. Phys. A **42** (2009), 504008 [arXiv:0905.0932 [hep-th]].
- [68] R. T. Cavalcanti, R. C. de Paiva and R. da Rocha, Eur. Phys. J. Plus **137** (2022) no.10, 1185 [arXiv:2203.08740 [gr-qc]].
- [69] Y. Yang, D. Liu, A. Övgün, Z. W. Long and Z. Xu, [arXiv:2203.11551 [gr-qc]].
- [70] B. P. Abbott *et al.* [LIGO Scientific and Virgo], Phys. Rev. Lett. **123** (2019) no.1, 011102 [arXiv:1811.00364 [gr-qc]].
- [71] B. P. Abbott *et al.* [LIGO Scientific and Virgo], Phys. Rev. D **100** (2019) no.10, 104036 [arXiv:1903.04467 [gr-qc]].