

Properties of 8-contraction-critical graphs with no K_7 minor

Martin Rolek* Zi-Xia Song† Robin Thomas‡

August 22, 2022

Abstract

Motivated by the famous Hadwiger’s Conjecture, we study the properties of 8-contraction-critical graphs with no K_7 minor; we prove that every 8-contraction-critical graph with no K_7 minor has at most one vertex of degree 8, where a graph G is 8-contraction-critical if G is not 7-colorable but every proper minor of G is 7-colorable. This is one step in our effort to prove that every graph with no K_7 minor is 7-colorable, which remains open.

1 Introduction

All graphs in this paper are finite and simple. For a graph G we use $|G|$, $e(G)$, $\delta(G)$, $\alpha(G)$, $\chi(G)$ to denote the number of vertices, number of edges, minimum degree, independence number, and chromatic number of G , respectively. A graph H is a *minor* of a graph G if H can be obtained from a subgraph of G by contracting edges. We write $G \succcurlyeq H$ if H is a minor of G . In those circumstances we also say that G has an H *minor*. A graph G is k -contraction-critical if $\chi(G) = k$ but $\chi(H) \leq k - 1$ for every proper minor H of G . Let G be a graph. For a vertex $x \in V(G)$, we will use $N(x)$ to denote the set of vertices in G which are adjacent to x . We define $N[x] = N(x) \cup \{x\}$. The degree of x is denoted by $d_G(x)$ or simply $d(x)$. The subgraph of G induced by $A \subseteq V(G)$, denoted by $G[A]$, is the graph with vertex set A and edge set $\{xy \in E(G) \mid x, y \in A\}$. For an integer k , a k -vertex in G is a vertex of degree k , and a k -clique of G is a set of k pairwise adjacent vertices in G . We define $[k] = \{1, \dots, k\}$ for all $k \geq 1$. We use K_n, C_n, P_n to denote the complete graph, cycle, and path on n vertices, respectively.

Our work is motivated by the famous Hadwiger’s Conjecture [8].

Conjecture 1.1 (Hadwiger’s Conjecture [8]). *Every graph with no K_t minor is $(t - 1)$ -colorable.*

Conjecture 1.1 is trivially true for $t \leq 3$, and reasonably easy for $t = 4$, as shown independently by Hadwiger [8] and Dirac [4]. However, for $t \geq 5$, Hadwiger’s conjecture implies the Four Color Theorem [1, 2]. Wagner [24] proved that the case $t = 5$ of Hadwiger’s conjecture is, in fact,

*Department of Mathematics, Kennesaw State University, Kennesaw, GA 30060, USA. E-mail: mrolek1@kennesaw.edu.

†Department of Mathematics, University of Central Florida, Orlando, FL 32816, USA. Supported by NSF award DMS-1854903. E-mail: Zixia.Song@ucf.edu.

‡School of Mathematics, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA 30332, USA

equivalent to the Four Color Theorem, and the same was shown for $t = 6$ by Robertson, Seymour and Thomas [21]. Despite receiving considerable attention over the years, Hadwiger's Conjecture remains wide open for all $t \geq 7$, and is considered among the most important problems in graph theory and has motivated numerous developments in graph coloring and graph minor theory. Proving that graphs with no K_7 minor are 6-colorable is thus the first case of Hadwiger's Conjecture that is still open. It is not even known yet whether every graph with no K_7 minor is 7-colorable. Until very recently the best known upper bound on the chromatic number of graphs with no K_t minor is $O(t(\log t)^{1/2})$, obtained independently by Kostochka [12, 13] and Thomason [23], while Norin, Postle and the second author [19] showed that every graph with no K_t minor is $O(t(\log t)^\beta)$ -colorable for every $\beta > \frac{1}{4}$. The current record is $O(t \log \log t)$ due to Delcourt and Postle [6]. Kühn and Osthus [15] proved that Hadwiger's Conjecture is true for C_4 -free graphs of sufficiently large chromatic number, and for all graphs of girth at least 19. Kostochka [14] proved that graphs with no $K_{s,t}$ minor are $(s + t - 1)$ -colorable for $t > C(s \log s)^3$. We refer the reader to a recent paper of Lafferty and the second author [16] on partial results towards Hadwiger's Conjecture for $t \leq 9$; and recent surveys [3, 9, 22] for further background on Hadwiger's Conjecture.

The purpose of this paper is to study the properties of 8-contraction-critical graphs with no K_7 minor. This is one step in our effort to prove that every graph with no K_7 minor is 7-colorable, which remains open as mentioned above. We prove the following main result.

Theorem 1.2. *Let G be an 8-contraction-critical graph with no K_7 minor. Let n_i denote the number of i -vertices in G for each $i \in \{8, 9\}$. Then*

- (i) $8 \leq \delta(G) \leq 9$,
- (ii) $n_8 \leq 1$ and $n_9 \geq 30 - 2n_8 \geq 28$, and
- (iii) for each 9-vertex $v \in V(G)$, either $G[N[v]]$ has a 5-clique, or $\alpha(G[N(v)]) = 3$ and $1 \leq \delta(G[N(v)]) \leq 4$.

Our proof of Theorem 1.2 utilizes the extremal function for K_7 minors (see Theorem 1.3), the method for finding K_7 minors from three different 5-cliques (see Theorem 1.5), and generalized Kempe chains of contraction-critical graphs (see Lemma 1.7).

Theorem 1.3 (Mader [17]). *For each $p \in [7]$, every graph on $n \geq p$ vertices and at least $(p - 2)n - \binom{p-1}{2} + 1$ edges has a K_p minor.*

A graph G is said to be apex if there exists a vertex $v \in V(G)$ such that $G \setminus v$ is planar. The next theorem was proved by Robertson, Seymour and Thomas [21] to prove Hadwiger's Conjecture for $t = 6$. It is worth noting that there exists 6-connected apex graphs with no K_6 minor.

Theorem 1.4. *Let G be a 6-connected non-apex graph. If G has three 4-cliques, say L_1, L_2, L_3 , such that $|L_i \cap L_j| \leq 2, 1 \leq i < j \leq 3$, then $G \succcurlyeq K_6$.*

Theorem 1.4 was then extended to 5-cliques by Kawarabayashi and Toft [10] and later generalized by Kawarabayashi, Luo, Niu and Zhang [11].

Theorem 1.5 (Kawarabayashi and Toft [10]). *Let G be a 7-connected graph with $|G| \geq 19$. If G contains three 5-cliques, say L_1, L_2, L_3 , such that $|L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3| \geq 12$, then $G \succ K_7$.*

We next list some known results on contraction-critical graphs that we shall use later on. Lemma 1.6 below is a result of Dirac [5] who initiated the study of contraction-critical graphs.

Lemma 1.6 (Dirac [5]). *Let G be a k -contraction-critical graph. Then for each $v \in V(G)$,*

$$\alpha(G[N(v)]) \leq d(v) - k + 2.$$

A proof of Lemma 1.6 can be easily obtained by contracting v and a maximum independent set of $G[N(v)]$ to a single vertex and then applying the fact that the resulting graph is $(k-1)$ -colorable. Lemma 1.7 is a result of the first and second authors [20], which turns out to be very powerful because the existence of pairwise vertex-disjoint paths is guaranteed without using the connectivity of such graphs. If two vertices u, v in a graph G are not adjacent, then uv is a *missing edge* of G .

Lemma 1.7 (Rolek and Song [20]). *Let G be any k -contraction-critical graph. Let $x \in V(G)$ be a vertex of degree $k+s$ with $\alpha(G[N(x)]) = s+2$ and let $S \subset N(x)$ with $|S| = s+2$ be any independent set, where $k \geq 4$ and $s \geq 0$ are integers. Let M be a set of missing edges of $G[N(x) \setminus S]$. Then there exists a collection $\{P_{uv} : uv \in M\}$ of paths in G such that for each $uv \in M$, P_{uv} has ends $\{u, v\}$ and all its internal vertices in $G \setminus N[x]$. Moreover, if vertices u, v, w, z with $uv, wz \in M$ are distinct, then the paths P_{uv} and P_{wz} are vertex-disjoint.*

We also need a deep result of Mader [18] on the connectivity of 8-contraction-critical graphs.

Theorem 1.8 (Mader [18]). *For all $k \geq 7$, every k -contraction-critical graph is 7-connected.*

Finally, we shall make use of a result on rooted K_4 minors. Let v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4 be four distinct vertices in a graph G . We say that G contains a K_4 minor rooted at v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4 if there exist $V_1, V_2, V_3, V_4 \subseteq V(G)$ such that $v_i \in V_i$ and $G[V_i]$ is connected for each $i \in [4]$, and for $1 \leq i < j \leq 4$, V_i and V_j are disjoint and there is an edge between V_i and V_j in G . A partial answer to the next theorem was first given by Robertson, Seymour and Thomas [21], and later Fabila-Monroy and Wood [7] gave a complete characterization on graphs containing a rooted K_4 -minor.

Theorem 1.9 ([7, 21]). *Let G be a 4-connected graph and let $v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4 \in V(G)$ be any four distinct vertices. Then either G contains a K_4 minor rooted at v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4 , or G is planar and v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4 are on a common face.*

This paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we prove Theorem 1.2(i, ii). In Section 3, we prove Theorem 1.2(iii).

We need to introduce more notation. Let G be a graph. The *complement* of G is denoted by \overline{G} . If x, y are adjacent vertices of a graph G , then we denote by G/xy (or simply G/e if $e = xy$) the graph obtained from G by contracting the edge xy and deleting all resulting parallel edges. If u, v are distinct nonadjacent vertices of a graph G , then by $G + uv$ we denote the graph obtained from

G by adding an edge with ends u and v . If u, v are adjacent or equal, then we define $G + uv$ to be G . Similarly, if $M \subseteq E(G) \cup E(\overline{G})$, then by $G + M$ we denote the graph obtained from G by adding all the edges of M to G . If $A, B \subseteq V(G)$ are disjoint, we say that A is *complete* to B if each vertex in A is adjacent to all vertices in B , and A is *anti-complete* to B if no vertex in A is adjacent to any vertex in B . If $A = \{a\}$, we simply say a is complete to B or a is anti-complete to B . We denote by $B \setminus A$ the set $B - A$, and $G \setminus A$ the subgraph of G induced on $V(G) \setminus A$, respectively. If $A = \{a\}$, we simply write $B \setminus a$ and $G \setminus a$, respectively. An (A, B) -path in G is a path P with one end in A and the other in B such that no internal vertex of P belongs to $A \cup B$; we simply say (a, B) -path if $A = \{a\}$. We use $e(A, B)$ to denote the number of edges in G with one end in A and the other in B . We say that G is H -free for some graph H if it has no subgraph isomorphic to H . The *join* $G + H$ (resp. *union* $G \cup H$) of two vertex-disjoint graphs G and H is the graph having vertex set $V(G) \cup V(H)$ and edge set $E(G) \cup E(H) \cup \{xy \mid x \in V(G), y \in V(H)\}$ (resp. $E(G) \cup E(H)$). We use the convention " $A :=$ " to mean that A is defined to be the right-hand side of the relation.

2 Number of 8-vertices

We begin this section with a lemma.




Figure 1: The graph H_8 .

Lemma 2.1. *Let H be a graph with $|H| = 8$ and $\alpha(H) = 2$. Then H contains K_4 or H_8 as a subgraph, where H_8 is depicted in Figure 1.*

Proof. Suppose H is K_4 -free. We show that H contains H_8 as a subgraph. We may assume that H is edge-minimal subject to being K_4 -free and $\alpha(H) = 2$. Let $u \in V(H)$. Since $\alpha(H) = 2$, we see that $V(H) \setminus N[u]$ is a clique. Thus $|H \setminus N[u]| \leq 3$ because H is K_4 -free. Hence $d(u) \geq 4$. On the other hand, since $\alpha(H) = 2$ and $G[N(u)]$ is K_3 -free, we see that $d(u) \leq 5$ because the Ramsey number $R(3, 3) = 6$. Thus

(*) for each $u \in V(H)$, $4 \leq d(u) \leq 5$ and $G[N(u)]$ is K_3 -free and $\overline{K_3}$ -free.

We next prove that H is 4-regular. Suppose not. By (*), let $x \in V(H)$ be a 5-vertex in H ; so $G[N(x)]$ is isomorphic to C_5 . Let $\{y_1, y_2\} := V(H) \setminus N[x]$. Then $y_1 y_2 \in E(H)$; in addition, y_1 and y_2 have a common neighbor, say w , in $N(x)$. Then $d(w) = 5$. It follows that $H \setminus xw$ is K_4 -free and

$\alpha(H \setminus xw) = 2$, contrary to the minimality of $e(H)$. Thus H is 4-regular. Then \overline{H} is 3-regular on 8 vertices. Note that \overline{H} is K_3 -free and $\alpha(\overline{H}) = 3$. Let $w \in V(\overline{H})$ and $N_{\overline{H}}(w) := \{w_1, w_2, w_3\}$. Let $X := \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$ be the remaining vertices of \overline{H} . Since \overline{H} is K_3 -free, we see that $N_{\overline{H}}(w)$ is an independent set. This implies that $e(\{w_1, w_2, w_3\}, X) = 6$ and so $e(\overline{H}[X]) = 3$ and $\alpha(\overline{H}[X]) = 2$. It follows that $\overline{H}[X] = P_4$. We may assume that x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 are the vertices of $\overline{H}[X]$ in order. Then each of x_1 and x_4 has two neighbors in $N_{\overline{H}}(w)$. We may assume that w_2 is a common neighbor of x_1 and x_4 . Since \overline{H} is triangle-free, by symmetry, we may assume that w_1 is adjacent to x_1 and x_3 . Then w_3 must be adjacent to x_2 and x_4 . One can easily check that \overline{H} is isomorphic to \overline{H}_8 , and so H is isomorphic to H_8 , as desired. \square

Lemma 2.2. *Let G be an 8-contraction-critical graph with no K_7 minor. Then the following hold.*

- (a) $8 \leq \delta(G) \leq 9$.
- (b) $2n_8 + n_9 \geq 30$.
- (c) *For every subgraph H of G with $|H| \leq 7$, H has no K_6 minor.*
- (d) *For every 8-vertex $v \in V(G)$, $G[N(v)]$ has two disjoint 4-cliques.*

Proof. Since G has no K_7 minor, by Theorem 1.3, $e(G) \leq 5|G| - 15$ and so $\delta(G) \leq 9$. On the other hand, since G is 8-contraction-critical, we have $\delta(G) \geq 7$. Thus $7 \leq \delta(G) \leq 9$. Suppose $\delta(G) = 7$. Let $x \in V(G)$ be a 7-vertex in G . By Lemma 1.6, $G[N(x)] = K_7$, a contradiction. This proves (a). It is simple to check that $2n_8 + n_9 \geq 30$ because $8n_8 + 9n_9 + 10(|G| - n_8 - n_9) \leq 2e(G) \leq 10|G| - 30$. To prove (c), suppose G contains a subgraph H such that $|H| \leq 7$ and $H \succcurlyeq K_6$. Let $x \in V(G) \setminus V(H)$. By Theorem 1.8, G is 7-connected and so by Menger's theorem, there exist $|H|$ internally disjoint $(x, V(H))$ -paths, say $Q_1, \dots, Q_{|H|}$. By contracting all the edges of $Q_1 \setminus x, \dots, Q_{|H|} \setminus x$, we obtain a K_7 minor of G , a contradiction.




Figure 2: H_8 with P_{27} , P_{36} and P_{58} shown as dotted lines, edges w_1w_3, w_2w_4 in bold lines.

It remains to prove (d). Let $v \in V(G)$ be an 8-vertex. By Lemma 1.6, $\alpha(G[N(v)]) \leq 2$. Since G has no K_7 minor, we see that $\alpha(G[N(v)]) = 2$. We claim that

(*) $G[N(v)]$ has a 4-clique.

Suppose $G[N(v)]$ is K_4 -free. By Lemma 2.1, $G[N(v)]$ contains H_8 as a subgraph. Let w_1, \dots, w_8 be the vertices of H_8 , as depicted in Figure 2. Then $w_1w_4 \notin E(G)$ because $G[N(v)]$ is K_4 -free. We may assume that $M = \{w_2w_7, w_3w_6, w_5w_8\}$ is a set of missing edges of $G[N(v)]$. By Lemma 1.7 applied to $N(v)$ with $S = \{w_1, w_4\}$ and $M = \{w_2w_7, w_3w_6, w_5w_8\}$, there exist pairwise vertex-disjoint paths P_{27} with ends w_2, w_7 , P_{36} with ends w_3, w_6 , P_{58} with ends w_5, w_8 , and all their internal vertices in $G \setminus N[v]$. Now by contracting each of the edges w_1w_3, w_2w_4 to a single vertex, and then all the edges of $P_{27} \setminus w_2, P_{36} \setminus w_3$ and $P_{58} \setminus w_5$, we see that $G \succcurlyeq K_7$, a contradiction. This proves (*).

By (*), let $W := \{w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4\} \subseteq N(v)$ be a 4-clique in G . Let w_5, w_6, w_7, w_8 be the vertices of $N(v) \setminus W$. Let $J := G[\{w_5, w_6, w_7, w_8\}]$. Suppose $J \neq K_4$. By Lemma 2.2(c), $G[N(v)]$ is K_5 -free. Thus for each $j \in \{5, 6, 7, 8\}$, w_j has at least one non-neighbor in W ; by Lemma 2.2(a), w_j is adjacent to at least one vertex in $G \setminus N[v]$. We next prove that J is isomorphic to $K_3 \cup K_1$.

Suppose J contains P_3 as an induced subgraph. We may assume that w_5, w_6, w_7 are the vertices of P_3 in order. Since $\alpha(G[N(v)]) = 2$, we see that w_j is adjacent to w_5 or w_7 for all $j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 8\}$. We may assume that w_8 is anti-complete to $\{w_1, \dots, w_t\}$ for some $t \in [4]$. By Lemma 1.7 applied to $N(v)$ with $S = \{w_5, w_7\}$ and $M = \{w_8w_1, \dots, w_8w_t\}$, there exist t pairwise internally vertex-disjoint paths Q_{81}, \dots, Q_{8t} , where each Q_{8j} has ends w_8, w_j and all its internal vertices in $G \setminus N[v]$ for all $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, t\}$. Now by contracting P_3 to a single vertex, and then all the edges of $Q_{81} \setminus w_1, \dots, Q_{8t} \setminus w_t$ onto w_8 , we see that $G \succcurlyeq K_7$, a contradiction. This proves that J does not contain P_3 as an induced subgraph. Suppose next that J is isomorphic to $K_2 \cup K_2$. We may assume that w_5w_6 and w_7w_8 are the two edges of J . By Theorem 1.8, $G \setminus \{v, w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4\}$ is 2-connected. Thus $G \setminus \{v, w_1, \dots, w_4\}$ contains two vertex-disjoint paths, say Q_1 and Q_2 , between $\{w_5, w_6\}$ and $\{w_7, w_8\}$. We may assume that Q_1 has ends w_5, w_7 and Q_2 has ends w_6, w_8 . Since $\{w_5, w_7\}$ and $\{w_6, w_8\}$ are independent sets of size 2, every vertex in $\{w_1, \dots, w_4\}$ must be adjacent to at least one vertex in $\{w_5, w_7\}$ and $\{w_6, w_8\}$, respectively. By contracting Q_1 and Q_2 to two distinct vertices, together with x, w_1, \dots, w_4 , we see that $G \succcurlyeq K_7$, a contradiction. This proves that J is not isomorphic to $K_2 \cup K_2$. It follows that J is isomorphic to $K_3 \cup K_1$, because $\alpha(J) = 2$ and J does not contain P_3 as an induced subgraph.

We may assume that $d_J(w_8) = 0$. Then $J[\{w_5, w_6, w_7\}] = K_3$. Since $G[N(v)]$ is K_5 -free and $\alpha(G[N(v)]) = 2$, we see that w_8 has exactly one non-neighbor, say w_1 , in W . Then w_1 is complete to $\{w_5, w_6, w_7\}$ and w_8 is complete to $\{w_2, w_3, w_4\}$. Therefore $G[N(v)]$ has two disjoint 4-cliques $\{w_8, w_2, w_3, w_4\}$ and $\{w_1, w_5, w_6, w_7\}$, as desired. \square

Lemma 2.2(d) implies the following:

Corollary 2.3. *Let G be an 8-contraction-critical graph with no K_7 minor. Then every 8-vertex v in G belongs to two 5-cliques having only v in common.*

Lemma 2.4. *Let G be an 8-contraction-critical graph. If G has two different 5-cliques with exactly three vertices in common or three different 5-cliques as depicted in Figure 3, then $G \succcurlyeq K_7$.*




Figure 3: Two different cases of three 5-cliques.

Proof. Assume first that G has two different 5-cliques L_1 and L_2 such that $L_1 \cap L_2 = \{w_1, w_2, w_3\}$. Let v_1, v_2 be the remaining vertices of L_1 and v_3, v_4 the remaining vertices of L_2 . By Theorem 1.8, $H := G \setminus \{w_1, w_2, w_3\}$ is 4-connected. Then H must be non-planar, otherwise $\chi(G) \leq 7$, a contradiction. By Theorem 1.9, H contains a K_4 minor rooted at v_1, \dots, v_4 and so $G \succcurlyeq K_7$.

Assume next that G has three different 5-cliques L_1, L_2 and L_3 as given in Figure 3. We first consider the case that L_1, L_2 and L_3 are as depicted in Figure 3(a). Let $L_1 = \{v_1, v_2, w_1, x_1, x_2\}$, $L_2 = \{v_1, v_2, w_2, x_3, x_4\}$ and $L_3 = \{w_1, w_2, y_1, y_2, y_3\}$. By Theorem 1.8, $G \setminus \{v_1, v_2, w_1, w_2\}$ is 3-connected. By Menger's theorem, there exist three pairwise vertex-disjoint paths Q_1, Q_2, Q_3 between $\{y_1, y_2, y_3\}$ and $\{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$ in $G \setminus \{v_1, v_2, w_1, w_2\}$. By contracting each of Q_1, Q_2 and Q_3 to a single vertex, together with v_1, v_2, w_1, w_2 , we see that $G \succcurlyeq K_7$. Finally we consider the case that L_1, L_2 and L_3 are as depicted in Figure 3(b). Let $L_1 = \{v_1, v_2, w_1, w_2, u\}$, $L_2 = \{v_1, v_2, x_1, x_2, y\}$ and $L_3 = \{w_1, w_2, z_1, z_2, y\}$. By Theorem 1.8, $G \setminus \{v_1, v_2, w_1, w_2, y\}$ is 2-connected. By Menger's theorem, there exist two vertex-disjoint paths R_1, R_2 between $\{x_1, x_2\}$ and $\{z_1, z_2\}$ in $G \setminus \{v_1, v_2, w_1, w_2, y\}$. Now contracting each of R_1 and R_2 to a single vertex, together with v_1, v_2, w_1, w_2, y , yields a K_7 minor in G . \square

We are now ready to prove the main result of this section.

Theorem 2.5. *Let G be an 8-contraction-critical graph with no K_7 minor. Then $n_8 \leq 1$.*

Proof. Suppose $n_8 \geq 2$. Let $u, v \in V(G)$ be two distinct vertices of degree 8 in G . By Corollary 2.3, let L_1 and L_2 be two 5-cliques of $G[N[u]]$ with $L_1 \cap L_2 = \{u\}$, and L_3 and L_4 be two 5-cliques of $G[N[v]]$ with $L_3 \cap L_4 = \{v\}$. For each $i \in \{1, 2\}$ and each $j \in \{3, 4\}$, by Theorem 1.5, $|L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_j| \leq 11$ and $|L_3 \cup L_4 \cup L_i| \leq 11$. It follows that $3 \leq |L_j \cap (L_1 \cup L_2)| \leq 5$ and $3 \leq |L_i \cap (L_3 \cup L_4)| \leq 5$. By Lemma 2.4, $|L_i \cap L_j| \neq 3$ for each $i \in \{1, 2\}$ and each $j \in \{3, 4\}$.

We claim that $uv \in E(G)$. Suppose $uv \notin E(G)$. Then $u \notin L_3$ and so $3 \leq |L_3 \cap (L_1 \cup L_2)| \leq 4$. Suppose that $|L_3 \cap (L_1 \cup L_2)| = 4$. Let $w \in L_4 \cap (L_1 \cup L_2)$. Note that $w \neq u, v$ and $w \notin L_3$. Then $G[L_3 \cup \{u, w\}]/uw = K_6$, contradicting Lemma 2.2(c). Thus $|L_3 \cap (L_1 \cup L_2)| = 3$. Since $|L_3 \cap L_1| \neq 3$ and $|L_3 \cap L_2| \neq 3$, we may assume that $|L_3 \cap L_1| = 2$ and $|L_3 \cap L_2| = 1$. But then L_1, L_2 and L_3 are as depicted in Figure 3(a), by Lemma 2.4, $G \succcurlyeq K_7$, a contradiction. Thus $uv \in E(G)$, as claimed.

We may assume that $v \in L_1$ and $u \in L_3$. Then $u, v \in L_1 \cap L_3$, and so $v \notin L_2$ and $u \notin L_4$. By Lemma 2.2(c), $G[L_2 \cup \{v\}]$ is K_6 -free. Thus there exists $w \in L_2$ such that $vw \notin E(G)$. Similarly, there exists $z \in L_4$ such that $uz \notin E(G)$. Then $u, w \notin L_4$ and $v, z \notin L_2$. Thus $|L_2 \cap L_4| \leq 3$. Since $|L_2 \cap L_4| \neq 3$, we have $|L_2 \cap L_4| \leq 2$. Suppose $1 \leq |L_2 \cap L_4| \leq 2$. Let $z^* \in L_2 \cap L_4$. Then $z, z^* \notin L_1$ and so $|L_1 \cap L_4| \leq 3$. Recall that $|L_4 \cap (L_1 \cup L_2)| \geq 3$. Thus $|L_1 \cap L_4| \geq 1$. Since $|L_1 \cap L_4| \neq 3$, we see that $1 \leq |L_1 \cap L_4| \leq 2$. Now it is straightforward to check that L_1, L_2 and L_4 are as depicted in Figure 3(a) if $|L_1 \cap L_4| = 1$ and $|L_2 \cap L_4| = 2$, or $|L_1 \cap L_4| = 2$ and $|L_2 \cap L_4| = 1$; and in Figure 3(b) if $|L_1 \cap L_4| = 2$ and $|L_2 \cap L_4| = 2$. By Lemma 2.4, $G \succ K_7$, a contradiction. This proves that $L_2 \cap L_4 = \emptyset$. Since $|L_1 \cap L_4| \neq 3$ and $|L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_4| \leq 11$, we must have $L_4 \setminus L_1 = \{z\}$ (i.e., $|L_1 \cap L_4| = 4$). Similarly, $L_2 \setminus L_3 = \{w\}$ (i.e., $|L_2 \cap L_3| = 4$). Now L_1, L_2, L_3, L_4 are as depicted in Figure 4.




Figure 4: Two 8-vertices u, v .

Let $L_1 \setminus \{u, v\} := \{y_1, y_2, y_3\}$ and $L_3 \setminus \{u, v\} := \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$. Since G is 7-connected, there exist four pairwise vertex-disjoint paths, say Q_1, Q_2, Q_3, Q_4 , between $\{w, x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ and $\{z, y_1, y_2, y_3\}$ in $G \setminus \{u, v\}$. We may assume that Q_4 has ends x_3 and y_j for some $j \in [3]$. By contracting each of $Q_1, Q_2, Q_3, Q_4 \setminus x_3$ to a single vertex, together with u, v, x_3 , we see that $G \succ K_7$, a contradiction.

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.5. \square

3 9-vertices

By Lemma 2.2(b) and Theorem 2.5, we see that every 8-contraction-critical graph with no K_7 minor has at least 28 9-vertices. In this section, we study the properties of $G[N(x)]$ for such 9-vertices x .

Lemma 3.1. *Let H be a graph with $|H| = 9$ and $\delta(H) \geq 5$. If H is K_4 -free, then either $H \succ K_6$, or H is isomorphic to $\overline{K_3} + C_6$.*

Proof. Lemma 3.1 can be checked by computers, see Appendix. We have a computer-free proof for Lemma 3.1 but is long, we omit it here. \square

Lemma 3.2. *Let G be an 8-contraction-critical graph with no K_7 minor and let v be a 9-vertex in G . Then*

(i) $G[N[v]]$ has a 4-clique, and

(ii) either $G[N[v]]$ has a 5-clique, or $1 \leq \delta(G[N(v)]) \leq 4$ and $\alpha(G[N(v)]) = 3$.

Proof. By Lemma 1.6, $\alpha(G[N(v)]) \leq 3$. To prove (i), suppose $G[N(v)]$ is K_3 -free. Let $u \in N(v)$. Since $\alpha(G[N(v)]) \leq 3$, we see that $G[N(v) \cap N[u]]$ has a 3-clique if $|N(v) \cap N[u]| \geq 4$, a contradiction. Thus $|N(v) \cap N[u]| \leq 3$. If $|N(v) \cap N[u]| \leq 2$, then $|N(v) \setminus N[u]| \geq 6$ and $\alpha(G[N(v) \setminus N[u]]) \leq 2$; thus $G[N(v) \setminus N[u]]$ has a 3-clique because the Ramsey number $R(3, 3) = 6$, a contradiction. Thus $|N(v) \cap N[u]| = 3$ and so $d_{G[N(v)]}(u) = 3$. By the arbitrary choice of u , we see that $G[N(v)]$ is 3-regular, which is impossible because $|N(v)| = 9$. This proves (i).

To prove (ii), suppose $G[N(v)]$ is K_4 -free. We next show that $1 \leq \delta(G[N(v)]) \leq 4$ and $\alpha(G[N(v)]) = 3$. Suppose $\delta(G[N(v)]) \geq 5$. Since G has no K_7 minor, by Lemma 3.1, $G[N(v)]$ is isomorphic to $\overline{K_3} + C_6$. Let x, y be any two non-adjacent vertices of C_6 . Since G is 7-connected, there must exist $x, y \in N(v)$ such that x, y are non-adjacent vertices of C_6 and there exists an (x, y) -path P with internal vertices in $G \setminus N[x]$. By contracting $P \setminus x$ onto y , we see that $G \succcurlyeq G[N[v]] + xy \succcurlyeq K_7$, a contradiction. This proves that $\delta(G[N(v)]) \leq 4$. Next suppose $\delta(G[N(v)]) = 0$. Let $u \in N(v)$ be an isolated vertex in $G[N(v)]$. Since $\alpha(G[N(v)]) \leq 3$ and G has no K_7 minor, we see that $\alpha(G[N(v)] \setminus u) = 2$. Then $G[N(v)] \setminus u$ is a graph on 8 vertices with $\alpha(G[N(v)] \setminus u) = 2$. By Lemma 2.1, $G[N(v)] \setminus u$ contains H_8 as a subgraph. Similar to the proof of Lemma 2.2(d), let w_1, \dots, w_8 be the vertices of H_8 , as depicted in Figure 2. Then $w_1w_4 \notin E(G)$ because $G[N(v)]$ is K_4 -free. By Lemma 1.7 applied to $N(v)$ with $S = \{w_1, w_4, u\}$ and $M = \{w_2w_7, w_3w_6, w_5w_8\}$, there exist pairwise vertex-disjoint paths P_{27} with ends w_2, w_7 , P_{36} with ends w_3, w_6 , P_{58} with ends w_5, w_8 , and all their internal vertices in $G \setminus N[v]$. Now by contracting each of the edges w_1w_3, w_2w_4 to a single vertex, and then all the edges of $P_{27} \setminus w_2, P_{36} \setminus w_3$ and $P_{58} \setminus w_5$ onto $N[v]$, we see that $G \succcurlyeq G[N[v]] + M \succcurlyeq K_7$, a contradiction. This proves that $1 \leq \delta(G[N(v)]) \leq 4$.

It remains to show that $\alpha(G[N(v)]) = 3$. Suppose $\alpha(G[N(v)]) = 2$. Let $x \in N(v)$ such that $d(x) = \delta(G[N(v)])$. Let $A := N[x] \cap N(v)$. Then $|A| \leq 5$ because $\delta(G[N(v)]) \leq 4$. But then $N(v) \setminus A$ is a clique of order $9 - |A| \geq 4$ because $\alpha(G[N(v)]) = 2$, a contradiction. \square

References

- [1] K. Appel and W. Haken. Every planar map is four colorable. I. Discharging. *Illinois J. Math.*, 21(3):429–490, 1977.
- [2] K. Appel, W. Haken, and J. Koch. Every planar map is four colorable. II. Reducibility. *Illinois J. Math.*, 21(3):491–567, 1977.
- [3] Kathie Cameron and Kristina Vuvsković. Hadwiger’s conjecture for some hereditary classes of graphs: a survey. 131, 2020.
- [4] G. A. Dirac. A property of 4-chromatic graphs and some remarks on critical graphs. *J. London Math. Soc.*, 27:85–92, 1952.

- [5] G. A. Dirac. Trennende Knotenpunktmenngen und Reduzibilität abstrakter Graphen mit Anwendung auf das Vierfarbenproblem. *J. Reine Agew. Math.*, 204:116–131, 1960.
- [6] Michelle Delcourt and Luke Postle. Reducing Linear Hadwiger’s Conjecture to coloring small graphs. arXiv:2108.01633.
- [7] Ruy Fabila-Monroy and David R. Wood. Rooted K_4 -minors. *Electron. J. Combin.*, 20(2):Paper 64, 19, 2013.
- [8] H. Hadwiger. Über eine Klassifikation der Streckenkomplexe. *Vierteljschr. Naturforsch. Ges. Zürich*, 88:133–142, 1943.
- [9] Ken-ichi Kawarabayashi. Hadwiger’s Conjecture. In *Topics in chromatic graph theory*, volume 156 of *Encyclopedia Math. Appl.*, pages 73–93. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2015.
- [10] Ken-ichii Kawarabayashi and Bjarne Toft. Any 7-chromatic graph has K_7 or $K_{4,4}$ as a minor. *Combinatorica*, 25:327–353, 2005.
- [11] Ken-ichi Kawarabayashi, Rong Luo, Jianbing Niu, and Cun-Quan Zhang. On the structure of k -connected graphs without K_k -minor. *European J. Combin.*, 26(3):293–308, 2005.
- [12] Alexandr V. Kostochka. The minimum Hadwiger number for graphs with a given mean degree of vertices. *Metody Diskret. Analiz.*, (38):37–58, 1982.
- [13] Alexandr V. Kostochka. Lower bound of the Hadwiger number of graphs by their average degree. *Combinatorica*, 4(4):307–316, 1984.
- [14] A. V. Kostochka. $K_{s,t}$ minors in $(s+t)$ -chromatic graphs, II. *J. Graph Theory*, 75(4):377–386, 2014.
- [15] Daniela Kühn and Deryk Osthus. Minors in graphs of large girth. *Random Structures Algorithms*, 22(2):213–225, 2003.
- [16] Michael Lafferty and Zi-Xia Song. Every graph with no \mathcal{K}_8^{-4} minor is 7-colorable. arXiv:2208.07338
- [17] W. Mader. Homomorphiesätze für Graphen. *Math. Ann.*, 178:154–168, 1968.
- [18] W. Mader. Über trennende Eckenmengen in homomorphiekritischen Graphen. *Math. Ann.*, 175:243–252, 1968.
- [19] Sergey Norin, Luke Postle, and Zi-Xia Song. Breaking the degeneracy barrier for coloring graphs with no K_t minor. arXiv:12206.001862.
- [20] Martin Rolek and Zi-Xia Song. Coloring graphs with forbidden minors. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 127:14–31, 2017.

- [21] Neil Robertson, Paul Seymour, and Robin Thomas. Hadwiger’s conjecture for K_6 -free graphs. *Combinatorica*, 13(3):279–361, 1993.
- [22] P. Seymour. *Hadwiger’s Conjecture*, chapter 13, pages 417–437. Springer, Cham, 2016. In: Open Problems in Mathematics (edited by J. Nash Jr. and M. Rassias).
- [23] Andrew Thomason. An extremal function for contractions of graphs. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 95(2):261–265, 1984.
- [24] K. Wagner. Über eine Eigenschaft der ebenen Komplexe. *Mathematische Annalen*, 114:570–590, 1937.

Appendix

The codes we use for Lemma 3.1 are provided on the next three pages (we follow the small program available on the third author’s website <https://thomas.math.gatech.edu/PAP/K9/>). Our small program found five graphs H with $|H| = 9$, $\delta(H) \geq 5$ and no K_6 minor, and subject to these, $e(H)$ is minimal, that is, every edge in H is incident with a 5-vertex: $K_1 + H_8$ (where H_8 is given in Figure 1), $\overline{K_3} + C_6$, and three more given in Figure 5; each of these five graphs, except $\overline{K_3} + C_6$, has a 4-clique.




Figure 5: Three graphs with no K_6 minor.

```

/* k6minor.c */
/* This file has a function Prune to be used in conjunction with */
/* Brendan McKay's package nauty 2.2. Copy this file into a directory */
/* that includes nauty 2.2, execute make geng and then execute the */
/* following 2-line command: */
/* gcc -o geng -O4 -DWORDSIZE=64 -DMAXN=32 -DPRUNE=Prune geng.c gtools.o nauty1.o \ */
/*      nautil1.o naugraph1.o k6minor.c schreier.o naurng.o */
/* That will recompile geng with the Prune function included. Now run */
/*      geng -d5 9 */
/* The command geng -d5 <n> will generate all graphs on n vertices of */
/* minimum degree at least 5 that: */
/* (1) have no K_6 minor and */
/* (2) for every edge ab at least one of a,b has degree exactly 5 */
/* Please refer to the nauty manual for description of the output */
/* format. You can convert the output to a more comprehensible form */
/* by running showg on it. A typical usage is */
/*      geng -d7 11 | showg */

#include <stdio.h>
#include <signal.h>
#include "nauty.h"

#define t          6 /* testing K_6 minor */
#define MAXVERT    10 /* maximum number of vertices in a graph */
#define MAXEDGE    40 /* maximum number of edges in a graph */

/* Prune will be called from geng.c */

int Prune(graph *g,int n,int maxn)
{static int E1[MAXEDGE+1], E2[MAXEDGE+1];
 int i, j, count;
 set *gi;
 static int deg[MAXVERT+1];

 E1[0] = n; /* number of vertices */
 E2[0] = 0; /* number of edges */
 /* E1[i] and E2[i] will be the ends of edge i, i=1,2,...,E1[0] */

 for(i=0; i<n ; i++) {
  gi = GRAPHROW(g, i, 1);
  count=0;
  for(j=0; j<n; j++)
   if( ISELEMENT(gi,j) ) count++;
  deg[i]=count;
  for(j=0; j<i; j++)
   if( ISELEMENT(gi,j) ) {
    if( deg[i]> t-1 && deg[j]>t-1 ) return(1); /* graph not edge-minimal */
    ++E2[0];
    E1[ E2[0] ] = i+1;
    E2[ E2[0] ] = j+1;
   } /* j */
 } /* i */
 if( E1[0]==maxn && TestGraph(E1, E2) ) return(2);
 return(0);
} /* Prune */

```

```

/* TestGraph will test if the graph with edges given by E1 and E2 as */
/* above has a minor isomorphic to K_t */
/* It is assumed that E1[0]>t */

int TestGraph(int *E1, int *E2)
{static int V[MAXVERT+1], contr[MAXVERT+1], uncontr[MAXVERT+1];
  int i, k, a, b;

  for(i=1; i<=E1[0]; i++) V[i] = 0;
  /* This will record the forest of contracted edges. In each component */
  /* V[a]=0 if a is the unique root; otherwise V[a] is the parent of a */

  /* At the beginning of the loop below edges number contr[1],...,contr[k-1] */
  /* are contracted, and this is noted by the array V */
  contr[1] = 0;
  for(k=1; ; ) {
    contr[k]++;
    /* Have contracted k edges, could contract E2[0]-contr[k] more. The */
    /* condition below says that if upon contracting all edges we can */
    /* we end up with > t vertices, then no need to pursue this */
    if( E2[0]-contr[k]+k+t < E1[0] ) {
      k--;
      if(k==0) break;
      V[uncontr[k]] = 0; /* Undo contraction of edge number contr[k] */
      continue;
    }

    /* Check if contraction of edge number contr[k] does not give loop */
    i = contr[k];
    a = E1[i];
    while( V[a] ) a = V[a]; /* Find root of the component containing E1[i] */
    b = E2[i];
    while( V[b] ) b = V[b]; /* Find root of the component containing E2[i] */
    if( a==b ) continue; /* Contracting i-th edge gives loop */
    V[b] = a; /* Record the contraction of edge number contr[k] */
    uncontr[k] = b; /* Keep record so we can easily undo this contraction */

    /* If there is room for contracting more edges, then do so */
    if( k+t < E1[0] ) {
      contr[k+1]=contr[k];
      k++;
      continue;
    }

    /* Now we know that k+t == E1[0]. Time to test K_t minor */
    if( TestContraction(E1, E2, V) ) return(1);
    V[uncontr[k]] = 0; /* Undo contraction of edge number contr[k] */
  } /* k */
  return(0);
} /* TestGraph */

int FindRoot(int V[], int a)
{
  while( V[a] ) a = V[a];
  return(a);
} /* FindRoot */

```

```

int TestContraction(int E1[], int E2[], int V[])
{static int M[MAXVERT+1][MAXVERT+1], compno[MAXVERT+1];
  int i, j, a, b, u, comps;
  int missing[4];

  /* M will be the adjacency matrix of the contracted graph, M[i][j] */
  /* will be used for i>j only, M[a][0] will be the degree of a */
  for(i=1; i<=t; i++)
    for(j=0; j<i; j++)
      M[i][j]=0;

  /* Find number of components of graph of contracted edges */
  comps = 0;
  for(i=1; i<=E1[0]; i++)
    if(V[i]==0)
      compno[i] = ++comps;

  /* For each vertex compute what component it belongs to */
  for(i=1; i<=E1[0]; i++)
    if(V[i]!=0)
      compno[i] = compno[FindRoot(V, V[i])];

  /* Find adjacency matrix of the contracted graph */
  for(i=1; i<=E2[0]; i++) {
    a = compno[E1[i]];
    b = compno[E2[i]];
    if( a==b ) continue; /* Gives loop */
    if( a<b ) {
      j=a;
      a=b;
      b=j;
    }
    if( M[a][b] ) continue;
    M[a][b] = 1;
    M[a][0]++;
    M[b][0]++;
  }

  /* Check if graph with incidence matrix M has K_6 subgraph */
  /* We just need to see if it's 5-regular */
  for(u=1; u<=comps; u++) {
    if( M[u][0]<t-1 ) return(0);
  } return(1); /* No missing edges found */

} /* TestContraction */

```