arXiv:2208.07492v1 [math.CO] 16 Aug 2022

COUNTING CLIQUES IN A RANDOM GRAPH

TARO SAKURAI AND NORIHIDE TOKUSHIGE

Abstract. We show that the expected number of cliques in the Erdős–Rényi random graph $G(n,p)$ is $n^{\frac{1}{-2\log p}(\log n - 2\log\log n + O(1))}$.

1. Introduction

In this note we estimate the expected number of cliques in a random graph. We start with some definitions. Let n be a positive integer, and let $0 < p < 1$ be a real number. Let $G(n,p)$ denote the Erdős–Rényi random graph, that is, it has n vertices and each of the $\binom{n}{2}$ possible edges occurs independently with probability p. A clique is a maximal complete subgraph of a graph. Let $X_{n,p}$ be a random variable counting cliques in $G(n,p)$. Our main result is the following.

Theorem 1.

$$\mathbb{E}[X_{n,p}] = n^{\frac{1}{-2\log p}(\log n - 2\log\log n + O(1))}.$$

Using Markov's inequality Theorem 1 has the following immediate consequence.

Corollary 1.

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{P}[X_{n,p} < n^{\frac{\log n}{-2\log p}}] = 1.$$

A k-clique is a clique with k vertices. The expected number of k-cliques in $G(n,p)$ is

$$F_n(k) := \binom{n}{k} p^{\binom{k}{2}} (1-p^k)^{n-k},$$

and so $\mathbb{E}[X_{n,p}] = \sum_{k=1}^{n} F_n(k)$. What is the most popular size k which maximizes $F_n(k)$? If this is given by $k = \tilde{k}$, then $F_n(\tilde{k}) \le \mathbb{E}[X_{n,p}] \le nF_n(\tilde{k})$. We show that $\tilde{k}$ is around $\frac{\log n}{-\log p}$, and $F_n(\tilde{k}) = n^{\frac{1}{-2\log p}(\log n - 2\log\log n + O(1))}$.

Cliques (or independent sets) are one of the main objects in graph theory. Moon and Moser [4] obtained the maximum number of cliques in a graph. Bollobás and Erdős [1] studied the maximum size of cliques, and the number of different sizes of cliques in $G(n,p)$. Our result is motivated by a recent result due to the first author [6] concerning the number of maximal complete bipartite subgraphs of a random bipartite graph, which comes from a problem of counting formal concepts of random formal contexts in the theory of formal concept analysis originated by Wille [7]. See also [2, 3] for some application related to the number of cliques in $G(n,p)$.

Date: August 17, 2022.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 05C80, Secondary 05A16, 05C30.

Key words and phrases. cliques, expected number, high probability upper bound, independent set, random graph.

The problem counting cliques in $G(n,p)$ naturally extends to the hypergraph setting. Let $G^{(r)}(n,p)$ denote the random r-uniform hypergraph with n vertices where each of the $\binom{n}{r}$ possible hyperedge occurs independently with probability p. In this case a clique is a maximal complete subhypergraph. Let $X^{(r)}_{n,p}$ be a random variable counting cliques in $G^{(r)}(n,p)$. Then we have

$$\mathbb{E}[X^{(r)}_{n,p}] = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \binom{n}{k} p^{\binom{k}{r}} (1-p^{\binom{k}{r-1}})^{n-k}. \tag{1}$$

Conjecture 1.

$$\mathbb{E}[X^{(r)}_{n,p}] = \exp\left(\left(\frac{\log n}{-\log p}\right)^{\frac{1}{r-1}} \left((1-\tfrac{1}{r!})\log n - \tfrac{1}{r-1}\log\log n + O(1)\right)\right).$$

By a routine computation of the term for $k = \lfloor \frac{\log n}{-\log p} \rfloor$ in (1) one can verify that the right hand side of the conjecture is indeed a lower bound for $\mathbb{E}[X^{(r)}_{n,p}]$. Theorem 1 shows that the conjecture is true for $r = 2$.

2. Proof

Let us define

$$f_n(x) := \log F_n(x) = \log \tbinom{n}{x} + \tbinom{x}{2} \log p + (n-x)\log(1-p^x), \tag{2}$$

and we will show that

$$\max_{1\le k\le n} f_n(k) = \tfrac{\log n}{-2\log p}(\log n - 2\log\log n + O(1)).$$

2.1. Lower bound.

Lemma 1. *Let* $x = \frac{\log n}{-\log p} + O(1)$. *Then* $f_n(x) = \frac{\log n}{-2\log p}(\log n - 2\log\log n + O(1))$.

Proof. Let $c = \frac{1}{-\log p}$. Using Stirling's formula we have

$$\binom{n}{x} = (1+o(1))\sqrt{\frac{n}{2\pi x(n-x)}}\,\frac{n^n}{x^x(n-x)^{n-x}}.$$

By the Taylor expansion we get $\log(1-\frac{x}{n}) = -\frac{c\log n}{n} + O(\frac{1}{n})$. Thus it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\log \tbinom{n}{x} &= \tfrac{1}{2}(\log n - \log 2\pi - \log x - \log(n-x)) \\
&\quad + n\log n - x\log x - (n-x)\log(n-x) + o(1) \\
&= x\log n - x\log x + O(\log n) \\
&= c(\log n)^2 - c\log n\log\log n + O(\log n).
\end{aligned}$$

We also have $(n-x)\log(1-p^x) = -1 + O(\frac{\log n}{n})$. Finally we can rewrite (2) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
f_n(x) &= c(\log n)^2 - c\log n\log\log n + \tfrac{1}{2}(c\log n)^2\log p + O(\log n) \\
&= \tfrac{\log n}{-2\log p}\left(\log n - 2\log\log n + O(1)\right),
\end{aligned}$$

as needed. □

2.2. **Upper bound.** To give an upper bound for $f_n(x)$ from (2) we use $\binom{n}{x} \le \frac{n^n}{x^x(n-x)^{n-x}}$, or equivalently, $\log\binom{n}{x} \le x\log n - x\log x - (n-x)\log(1-\frac{x}{n})$ for $0 < x < n$. Then we have $f_n(x) \le g_n(x)$, where

$$g_n(x) := x\log n - x\log x - (n-x)\log(1-\tfrac{x}{n}) + \tfrac{x(x-1)}{2}\log p.$$

Lemma 2. *For $1 \le x \le n-1$ we have $g_n(x) \le \frac{\log n}{-2\log p}(\log n - 2\log\log n + O(1))$.*

We distinguish the cases $x \ge \frac{\log n}{-\log p}$ and $x < \frac{\log n}{-\log p}$.

Claim 1. *Lemma 2 is true for $x \ge \frac{\log n}{-\log p}$.*

Proof. Let $a_n(x) := x\log n + \frac{x(x-1)}{2}\log p$. Since $a_n''(x) = \log p < 0$ it follows that $a_n'(x)$ is decreasing in x, and if $a_n'(x) = 0$ then $x = \frac{\log n}{-\log p} + \frac{1}{2}$. Thus

$$a_n(x) \le a_n(\tfrac{\log n}{-\log p} + \tfrac{1}{2}) = \tfrac{(2\log n - \log p)^2}{-8\log p} = \tfrac{(\log n)^2}{-2\log p} + O(\log n).$$

Next let $b_n(x) := -x\log x - (n-x)\log(1-\frac{x}{n})$. Since $b_n'(x) = -\log x + \log(1-\frac{x}{n}) < 0$ it follows that $b_n(x)$ is decreasing in x, and

$$\begin{aligned} b_n(x) &\le b_n(\tfrac{\log n}{-\log p}) \\ &= \tfrac{\log n}{-\log p}\left(-\log\log n + \log(-\log p) + \log(1 + \tfrac{\log n}{n\log p})\right) - n\log(1 + \tfrac{\log n}{n\log p}) \\ &= \tfrac{\log n}{-\log p}(-\log\log n + O(1)). \end{aligned}$$

Then the result follows from $g_n(x) = a_n(x) + b_n(x)$. □

Claim 2. *Lemma 2 is true for $x < \frac{\log n}{-\log p}$.*

Proof. Let

$$h_n(x) := x\log n - x\log x + \tfrac{x(x-1)}{2}\log p.$$

Then we have $g_n(x) = h_n(x) + O(\log n)$ because $|(n-x)\log(1-\frac{x}{n})| \le |n\log(1-\frac{x}{n})| = O(\log n)$ for $x < \frac{\log n}{-\log p}$. To estimate $h_n(x)$ we have

$$h_n'(x) = \log n - \log x - 1 + x\log p - \tfrac{1}{2}\log p,$$

and

$$h_n''(x) = -\tfrac{1}{x} + \log p < 0.$$

So $h_n'(x)$ is decreasing in x. By solving $h_n'(x) = 0$ we get

$$x = \tfrac{W(\frac{-n\log p}{e\sqrt{p}})}{-\log p} = \tfrac{1}{-\log p}(\log n - \log\log n + O(1)) =: \tilde{x},$$

where W is the Lambert W function [5]. Thus $h_n(x)$ is maximized at $x = \tilde{x}$ and the corresponding maximum value is

$$h_n(\tilde{x}) = \tfrac{\log n}{-2\log p}\left(\log n - 2\log\log n + O(1)\right),$$

which gives the desired upper bound for $g_n(x)$. □

2.3. **Proof of Theorem 1.**

Proof. By Lemmas 1 and 2 we have

$$\tilde{f}_n := \max_{1\le k\le n} f_n(k) = \frac{\log n}{-2\log p}(\log n - 2\log\log n + O(1)),$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}[X_{n,p}] = \exp(\tilde{f}_n + O(\log n)) = n^{\frac{1}{-2\log p}(\log n - 2\log\log n + O(1))}. \qquad \square$$

Acknowledgment

The second author was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant No. 18K03399.

References

[1] B. Bollobás, P. Erdős. Cliques in random graphs. Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 80 (1976), no. 3, 419–427, doi:10.1017/S0305004100053056.
[2] P. E. Bradley. On the logistic behaviour of the topological ultrametricity of data. J. Classification 36 (2019), no. 2, 266–276, doi:10.1007/s00357-018-9281-y.
[3] L. Kovćs. Efficient approximation for counting of formal concepts generated from formal context. Miskolc Math. Notes 19 (2018), no. 2, 983–996, doi:10.18514/MMN.2018.2529.
[4] J. W. Moon, L. Moser. On cliques in graphs. Israel J. Math. 3 (1965), 23–28, doi:10.1007/BF02760024.
[5] F. W. J. Olver, D. W. Lozier, R. F. Boisvert, C. W. Clark. NIST Handbook of Mathematical Functions. Cambridge University Press (2010).
[6] T. Sakurai. On formal concepts of random formal contexts. Inform. Sci. 578 (2021) 615–620, doi:10.1016/j.ins.2021.07.065.
[7] R. Wille. Restructuring lattice theory: an approach based on hierarchies of concepts. Ordered Sets (Banff, Alta., 1981), pp. 445–470, NATO Adv. Study Inst. Ser. C: Math. Phys. Sci., 83, Reidel, Dordrecht-Boston, Mass., 1982, doi:10.1007/978-94-009-7798-3_15.

Chiba University, Graduate School of Science, Department of Mathematics and Informatics, 1-33 Yayoi Inage, Chiba, 263-8522 (JAPAN), ORCID: 0000-0003-0608-1852
Email address: tsakurai@math.s.chiba-u.ac.jp

University of the Ryukyus, College of Education, 1 Senbaru Nishihara, Okinawa, 903-0213 (JAPAN), ORCID: 0000-0002-9487-7545
Email address: hide@edu.u-ryukyu.ac.jp