

Accelerating nanomaterials discovery with artificial intelligence at the HPC centers

Şener Özönder

*Institute for Data Science & Artificial Intelligence, Boğaziçi University, Istanbul, Türkiye**

H. Kübra Küçükkartal

Computer Engineering Department, Eskişehir Osmangazi University, Eskişehir, Türkiye

Study of properties of chemicals, drugs, biomaterials and alloys requires decades of dedicated work. Often times the outcome, however, is not what is expected for practical applications. This research procedure can be inverted by the new artificial intelligence and optimization methods. Instead of studying the properties of a material and its structurally close derivatives, the chemical and structural parameter space that contains all possible derivatives of that material can be scanned in a fast and smart way at the HPC centers. As a result of this, the particular material that has the specific physical or chemical properties can be found. Here we show how Bayesian optimization, Gaussian regression and artificial neural networks can be used towards this goal. We present an example smart search on the doped graphene quantum dot parameter space.

Keywords: Materials discovery, artificial intelligence, machine learning, Bayesian optimization, Gaussian regression, artificial neural networks, graphene quantum dots.

I. INTRODUCTION

In the traditional chemistry and material science research, it takes years to study a molecule, crystal or an alloy. However, it is not always the case that the uncovered properties of the material are of practical use for applications. On the other hand, studying all possible materials to find the one that has the desired properties is not feasible. Considering ferroboron, for example, the stoichiometric ratio of iron and boron within determines the hardness of this alloy. The stoichiometric ratio can be taken as a one-dimensional space, which can be grid searched to find the exact ratio that yields the hardest alloy. Yet if this space is multidimensional and if there are millions of derivatives of the same material, the grid search on the chemical parameter space will fail due to the constraints on time and cost. The cost of search in a large parameter space is usually high not only in lab synthesis of materials but also in computer simulations, which typically take days even at high performance computing (HPC) clusters.

The “materials discovery” paradigm aims to reverse this process. The goal here is to quickly discover the configuration of the material that has the desired target property such as the stoichiometric ratio giving rise to the alloy with the highest stiffness or the ligand shape having the right conformation to dock with a protein. Artificial intelligence approaches have recently proved to be useful for these types of tasks [1–3].

As a case study, we shall show that the graphene derivative that absorbs the red and violet colors the most can be found by only partially searching the parameter space of doped graphene quantum dots (GQD). A practical application of this would be finding the most light-absorbing materials for solar cells to increase their effi-




Figure 1. Usage of Bayesian optimization along with density functional theory to discover optimal material configuration among many possible candidates. Density functional theory (DFT) is a computer simulation for chemicals to calculate their properties from quantum mechanics. DFT is the expensive function to call as typical calculations take hours if not days.

ciency. For this purpose, we utilize Bayesian optimization algorithm with Gaussian regression and artificial neural networks as its kernels. This approach can be used for various materials in materials and drug discovery, material design, *in silico* studies such as density functional theory (DFT) and molecular dynamics (MD) as well as during synthesis in the laboratory [4].

II. BAYESIAN OPTIMIZATION

Each point in the multidimensional parameter space corresponds to variations of the material with respect to the parameters where each parameter corresponds to a dimension of this space. These parameters may be dihedral angle, bond length, dopant type and percentage, crystal type, lattice parameters or other chemical, physi-

* Corresponding author: ozonder@umn.edu




Figure 2. Neural network of four hidden layers with 50 nodes in each layer is used to fit the known values of the objective function calculated via DFT. This is a supervised learning where the input layer takes the positions of the three coordinates in the doped GQD parameter space and the output node gives out the value of the objective function found from DFT.

cal or structural parameters. Considering DFT and MD *in silico* simulations that are used to discover physical and chemical properties of materials in the computer environment, these simulations even for a single parameter in the parameter space take hours if not days. The quantitative properties of materials such as stiffness, thermal conductivity and absorbance depend on the material properties such as stoichiometric ratio, molecular conformation or atomic content. This dependence is considered to be contained in the objective function $f(\mathbf{x})$. This function is not known *a priori* and only expensive calculations like DFT or experimental measurements in the case of lab synthesis can discover the real value of this function. However, there is a way to find the maximum of $f(\mathbf{x})$ by calling the expensive process in limited number of times together with surrogate modeling of $f(\mathbf{x})$. This can be done with the Bayesian optimization (BO) algorithm [5]. BO can find the coordinates \mathbf{x}^* that maximize the objective function in the parameter space χ

$$\mathbf{x}^* = \underset{\mathbf{x} \in \chi}{\operatorname{argmax}} f(\mathbf{x}) \quad (1)$$

BO works faster than grid search since it does not call the time- and resource-intensive function such as DFT simulations for every point in the parameter space. This approach reverses costly process that flows from selected materials to studying their properties. With BO, instead, one can specify the desired material property first and then search for the material possessing that property. Considering most of these computations are done at the HPC clusters, this method reduces core-hours needed and hence the cost.

As an initial condition to the BO algorithm, objective function must have been calculated for some coordinates in the parameter space via the expensive method such as DFT. BO algorithm first generates a statistical model of the known values of the objective function and this is called the prior. This prior is used by the acquisition function to find the parameter with which the objective function will be calculated next via the expensive method. Then this newly found objective function value is combined with the earlier values to build a bet-

ter model, called the posterior. The algorithm starts over and uses the previous iteration’s posterior as prior now (see Fig. 1).

The limited number of values of the $f(\mathbf{x})$ that is calculated during the iterations can be considered as a stochastic process. So, the calculated values of $f(\mathbf{x})$ can be modeled by, for example, a Gaussian process. The kernel models the correlations between adjacent points as well as the variances of the model around those points and there are several candidates for choosing the kernel. Alternatively, artificial neural networks can also be used as a surrogate model for the objective function.

While the surrogate model is rebuilt via methods like Gaussian process regression or neural networks in each iteration, acquisition function, on the other hand, determines for which parameters the objective function will be calculated via the expensive method such as a computer simulation. HPC clusters provide users enough resources for both surrogate model fitting as well as computational chemistry or materials science computations [6].

Application of BO to materials discovery has become popular in recent years [1, 7]. For example, Gómez-Borberelli *et al.* used it for automatic *de novo* molecular design [8]. Kiyohara *et al.* found minimum energy configuration for the grain boundary between Cu(001) and Cu(210) in 49 iterations among 17,983 possibilities. This amounts to finding the target value by searching only 0.4% of the whole parameter space [9]. Seko *et al.* used BO to find the material with the smallest lattice thermal conductivity in 221 iterations among 54,799 candidate materials [10].

III. SMART SEARCH IN THE GRAPHENE SPACE

A case study of the methods explained above can be finding the doped graphene quantum dots (GDQ), used in solar cells, with the greatest light absorption capacity. The parameter here is three dimensional: The side length of the square shape graphene nanosheet (1, 1.5 and

2 nm), the dopant elements (B, N, F, S and P) and the dopant percentage (0%, 1.5%, 3%, 5% and 7%). There are 63 different GQDs in this parameter space, and DFT calculations to find the absorption spectrum for each take approximately half to one day. So, it is preferable to use a smart search algorithm such as BO not to call the expensive and time-consuming DFT calculations for each point on the parameter space. In an earlier study, we have already found the absorption spectra of these 63 GQDs by using DFT [11], so these calculations will serve as a test bed for BO. For larger parameter spaces containing up to a million parameters, use of BO will be necessary since DFT calculations of this many molecular derivatives will be an impossible task.

As a proof of concept, we defined the objective function as the area under the absorption curve in between 300 nm and 400 nm, which is in the ultraviolet part of the electromagnetic spectrum. To find the GQD that has the maximum total absorption in between 300-400 nm, we initiated the BO algorithm with a prior based on 9 values of the objective function that were originally calculated with DFT. As a kernel, we used an artificial neural network with three input nodes, one output node, and four hidden layers, each with 50 nodes. The input nodes take GQD side length, dopant element and dopant percentage. The output is a numerical value of the total absorbance of the molecule in the wavelength range 300-400 nm. As an acquisition function, we used probability of improvement method. The BO algorithm found the GQD with the maximum absorbance in 10 iterations in addition to 9 values of the objective function provided as an initial condition. The GQD that absorbs the 300-400 nm window of the electromagnetic spectrum the most turns out to be the 2 nm 5% Sulfur-doped graphene nanosheet. Considering there are 63 distinct graphene derivatives in the parameter space varying with respect to size, dopant element and dopant percentage, the algorithm was able to find the target graphene by resorting to the expensive calculation (DFT) only 19 times. This amounts to only 30% of the whole parameter space. This value usu-

ally drops even further for larger parameter spaces. This study was a proof of concept; we already knew the objective function since we had calculated the absorption spectrum of each 63 GQDs [11].

In a future study where we shall expand the parameter space by adding new coordinates to it such as solvent type, co-doping elements and percentage, graphene shape (disk, rectangle, triangle etc.). This expanded parameter space is expected to include one million parameters. In that case, since we won't have the DFT results for each GQD, we will not know the objective function so BO algorithm will be necessary.

IV. CONCLUSION

We presented a proof-of-concept work that shows how Bayesian optimization can be used along with artificial neural networks. The size of the parameter space is determined by the number of molecular conformations or structural derivatives. The number of nodes in the input layer is determined by the dimensions of the parameter space. The architecture of the hidden layers of the neural network can be tuned for faster kernel operations in finding the surrogate model during the iterations. This method is expected to make the computational chemistry and material science efficient so that resources at the HPC clusters can be allocated to a greater number of projects.

V. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Ş.Ö. is supported for his work by TÜBİTAK under grant no. 120F354. Computing resources used in this work were provided by the National Center for High Performance Computing of Türkiye (UHeM) under grant no. 1007872020.

-
- [1] Lee, S., Byun, H., Cheon, M., Kim, J. & Lee, J. H. Machine learning-based discovery of molecules, crystals, and composites: A perspective review. *Korean J. Chem. Eng.* **38**, 1971–1982 (2021).
- [2] Zuo, Y. *et al.* Accelerating materials discovery with bayesian optimization and graph deep learning. *Materials Today* **51**, 126–135 (2021).
- [3] Kusne, A. G. *et al.* On-the-fly closed-loop materials discovery via bayesian active learning. *Nature Communications* **11**, 5966 (2020).
- [4] Shields, B. J. *et al.* Bayesian reaction optimization as a tool for chemical synthesis. *Nature* **590**, 89–96 (2021).
- [5] Garnett, R. *Bayesian Optimization* (Cambridge University Press, 2021).
- [6] Menon, H., Bhatle, A. & Gamblin, T. Auto-tuning parameter choices in hpc applications using bayesian optimization. In *2020 IEEE International Parallel and Distributed Processing Symposium (IPDPS)*, 831–840 (2020).
- [7] Packwood, D. *Bayesian Optimization for Materials Science* (Springer Singapore, 2017).
- [8] Gómez-Bombarelli, R. *et al.* Automatic chemical design using a data-driven continuous representation of molecules. *ACS Central Science* **4**, 268–276 (2018).
- [9] Kiyohara, S., Oda, H., Tsuda, K. & Mizoguchi, T. Acceleration of stable interface structure searching using a kriging approach. *Japanese Journal of Applied Physics* **55**, 045502 (2016).
- [10] Seko, A. *et al.* Prediction of low-thermal-conductivity compounds with first-principles anharmonic lattice-dynamics calculations and bayesian optimization. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115**, 205901 (2015).

- [11] Özönder, Ş., Ünlü, C., Güteryüz, C. & Trabzon, L. Doped graphene quantum dots uv-vis absorption spectrum: A high-throughput tddft study (2022). URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2206.01969>.