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#### Abstract

Bonichon and Morel first introduced $d$-permutations in their study of multidimensional permutations. Such permutations are represented by their diagrams on $[n]^{d}$ such that there exists exactly one point per hyperplane $x_{i}$ that satisfies $x_{i}=j$ for $i \in[d]$ and $j \in[n]$. Bonichon and Morel previously enumerated 3 -permutations avoiding small patterns, and we extend their results by first proving four conjectures, which exhaustively enumerate 3 -permutations avoiding any two fixed patterns of size 3 . We further provide a enumerative result relating 3 -permutation avoidance classes with their respective recurrence relations. In particular, we show a recurrence relation for 3-permutations avoiding the patterns 132 and 213 , which contributes a new sequence to the OEIS database. We then extend our results to completely enumerate 3 -permutations avoiding three patterns of size 3 .


## 1. Introduction

Starting with Knuth's 5 work on permutations in 1973, the field of pattern avoidance has been well-studied in enumerative combinatorics. Simion and Schmidt first considered pattern avoidance in their work on enumerating permutation avoidance classes in 1985 9. Pattern avoidance can be defined as follows:

Definition 1.1. Let $\sigma \in S_{n}$ and $\pi \in S_{k}$, where $k \leq n$. We say that the permutation $\sigma$ contains the pattern $\pi$ if there exists indices $c_{1}<\cdots<c_{k}$ such that $\sigma\left(c_{1}\right) \cdots \sigma\left(c_{k}\right)$ is order-isomorphic to $\pi$. We say a permutation avoids a pattern if it does not contain it.

It is well-known that permutations avoiding certain patterns are in bijection with other combinatorial objects, such as Dyck paths [6] [8) and maximal chains of lattices [9. Some of them are further enumerated by the Catalan and Schröder numbers [11. In their work, Simion and Schmidt 9 completely enumerated permutations avoiding any single pattern, two patterns, or three patterns of size 3, paving the path for more work in the field of pattern avoidance.

More recently, Bonichon and Morel [3 defined a multidimensional generalization of a permutation, called a $d$-permutation, which resembles the structure of a $(d-1)$-tuple of permutations. Tuples of permutations have been studied before [1,4, but $d$-permutations have not been thoroughly studied yet, mainly appearing in a few papers related to separable permutations [2, 4. In particular, Asinowski and Mansour [2] presented a generalization of separable permutations that are similar to $d$ permutations and characterized these generalized permutations with sets of forbidden patterns. The study of pattern-avoidance classes of permutations has received much attention, and permutations avoiding sets of small patterns have been exhaustively enumerated [5,7,9. However, $d$-permutations introduced by Bonichon and Morel are slightly different than the one introduced by Asinowski and Mansour [2] and coincide with the classical permutation for $d=2$.

Similar to the enumeration Simion and Schmidt 9 did in 1985, Bonichon and Morel 3] started the enumeration of $d$-permutations avoiding small patterns and made many conjectures regarding the enumeration of 3-permutations avoiding sets of two patterns. We present two main classes of results regarding the enumeration of 3 -permutation avoiding small patterns. We first completely enumerate 3 -permutations avoiding classes of two patterns of size 3 and prove their respective recurrence relations, solving the conjectures presented by Bonichon and Morel [3]. Further, we derive a recurrence

[^0]relation for 3-permutations avoiding 132 and 213, whose sequence we added to the OEIS database [10, and Bonichon and Morel did not provide any conjecture. We then further initiate and completely enumerate 3-permutations avoiding classes of three patterns of size 3, similar to Simion and Schmidt's results in 1985 [9].

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce preliminary definitions and notation. In Section 3, we completely enumerate sequences of 3-permutations avoiding two patterns of size 3 and prove four conjectures of Bonichon and Morel [3. In addition, we prove a recurrence relation for an avoidance class whose sequence we added to the OEIS database [10], completing our enumeration. In Section 4, we extend our enumeration to 3-permutations avoiding three patterns of size 3 and prove recurrence relations for their avoidance classes. We conclude with open problems in Section 5 .

## 2. Preliminaries

Let $S_{n}$ denote the set of permutations of $[n]=\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$. Note that we can represent each permutation $\sigma \in S_{n}$ as a sequence $a_{1} \cdots a_{n}$. Further, let $\operatorname{Id}_{n}$ denote the identity permutation $12 \cdots n$ of size $n$ and given a permutation $\sigma=a_{1} \cdots a_{n} \in S_{n}$, let $\operatorname{rev}(\sigma)$ denote the reverse permutation $a_{n} \cdots a_{1}$. We further say that a sequence $a_{1} \cdots a_{n}$ is consecutively increasing (respectively decreasing) if for every index $i, a_{i+1}=a_{i}+1$ (respectively $a_{i+1}=a_{i}-1$ ).

For a sequence $a=a_{1} \cdots a_{n}$ with distinct real values, the standardization of $a$ is the unique permutation of $[n]$ with the same relative order. Note that once standardized, a consecutively-increasing sequence is the identity permutation and a consecutively-decreasing sequence is the reverse identity permutation. Moreover, we say that in a permutation $\sigma=a_{1} \cdots a_{n}$, the elements $a_{i}$ and $a_{i+1}$ are adjacent to each other. More specifically, $a_{i}$ is left-adjacent to $a_{i+1}$ and similarly, the element $a_{i+1}$ is right-adjacent to $a_{i}$. The following definitions in this section were introduced in [3].
Definition 2.1. A $d$-permutation $\boldsymbol{\sigma}:=\left(\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{d-1}\right)$ of size $n$ is a tuple of permutations, each of size $n$. Let $S_{n}^{d-1}$ denote the set of $d$-permutations of size $n$. We say that $d$ is the dimension of $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$. Moreover, the diagram of $\sigma$ is the set of points $\left(i, \sigma_{1}(i), \ldots, \sigma_{d-1}(i)\right)$ for all $i \in[n]$.

Note that the identity permutation is implicitly included in the diagram of a $d$-permutation, which justifies why a $d$-permutation is a $(d-1)$-tuple of permutations. For a $d$-permutation $\boldsymbol{\sigma}=$ $\left(\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{d-1}\right)$, let $\overline{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}=\left(\operatorname{Id}_{n}, \sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{d-1}\right)$. Further, with this definition, it is natural to consider the projections of the diagram of a $d$-permutation, which is useful in defining the notion of pattern avoidance for $d$-permutations.
Definition 2.2. Given $d^{\prime} \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\boldsymbol{i}=i_{1}, \ldots, i_{d^{\prime}} \in[d]^{d^{\prime}}$, the projection on $\boldsymbol{i}$ of some $d$-permutation $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ is the $d^{\prime}$-permutation $\operatorname{proj}_{\boldsymbol{i}}(\boldsymbol{\sigma})=\left(\overline{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{i_{2}} \circ \overline{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{i_{1}}^{-1}, \ldots, \overline{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{i_{d^{\prime}}} \circ \overline{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{i_{1}}^{-1}\right)$.

We say that a projection is direct if $i_{1}<\cdots<i_{d^{\prime}}$ and indirect otherwise.
Remark 2.3. There are only three direct projections of dimension 2 of a 3-permutation $\boldsymbol{\sigma}=\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$. Namely, they are $\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}$, and $\sigma^{\prime} \circ \sigma^{-1}$.

In the remainder of the section, we use the projection of a 3-permutation $\boldsymbol{\sigma}=\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$ to refer to the projection $\sigma^{\prime} \circ \sigma^{-1}$. Using direct projections, Bonichon and Morel [3] introduced the following definition of pattern avoidance, which is consistent with the existing concept of pattern avoidance for regular permutations.
Definition 2.4. Let $\boldsymbol{\sigma}=\left(\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{d-1}\right) \in S_{n}^{d-1}$ and $\boldsymbol{\pi}=\left(\pi_{1}, \ldots, \pi_{d^{\prime}-1}\right) \in S_{k}^{d^{\prime}-1}$, where $k \leq n$. We say that the $d$-permutation $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ contains the pattern $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ if there exists a direct projection $\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\prime}$ of dimension $d^{\prime}$ and indices $c_{1}<\cdots<c_{k}$ such that $\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\prime}{ }_{i}\left(c_{1}\right) \cdots \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\prime}{ }_{i}\left(c_{k}\right)$ is order-isomorphic to $\pi_{i}$ for all $i$. We say a $d$-permutation avoids a pattern if it does not contain it.

For example, the 3-permutation $(4231,2413)$ avoids the pattern 123 because the permutations 4231, 2413 , nor the projection $2413 \circ 4231^{-1}=3412$ contains an occurrence of 123 . Furthermore, note that the 3 -permutation $(1432,3124)$ contains the pattern 231 , because despite 1432 and 3124 avoiding an occurrence of 231 , the projection $3124 \circ 1432^{-1}=3421$ has an occurrence of 231 .

Given $m$ patterns $\boldsymbol{\pi}_{\boldsymbol{1}}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{\pi}_{\boldsymbol{m}} \in S_{n^{\prime}}^{d^{\prime}-1}$, we write $S_{n}^{d-1}\left(\boldsymbol{\pi}_{\boldsymbol{1}}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{\pi}_{\boldsymbol{m}}\right)$ to mean the set of $d$-permutations of size $n$ that simultaneously avoid $\boldsymbol{\pi}_{\mathbf{1}}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{\pi}_{\boldsymbol{m}}$.

Bonichon and Morel [3] also noted symmetries on $d$-permutations that correspond to symmetries on the $d$-dimensional cube. In particular, these symmetries are counted by signed permutation matrices of dimension $d$. Such a signed permutation matrix is a square matrix with entries consisting of $-1,0$, or 1 such that each row and column contain exactly one nonzero element. We call $d$-Sym the set of such signed permutation matrices of size $d$.

This allows us to extend the well-known definitions of Wilf-equivalence and trivial Wilf-equivalence to higher dimensions.

Definition 2.5. We say that two sets of patterns $\boldsymbol{\pi}_{1}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{\pi}_{\boldsymbol{k}}$ and $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}$ are $d$-Wilf-equivalent if $\left|S_{n}^{d-1}\left(\boldsymbol{\pi}_{1}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{\pi}_{\boldsymbol{k}}\right)\right|=\left|S_{n}^{d-1}\left(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{\mathbf{1}}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{\ell}\right)\right|$. Moreover, these patterns are trivially $d$-Wilf-equivalent if there exists a symmetry $s \in d$-Sym that maps $S_{n}^{d-1}\left(\boldsymbol{\pi}_{\mathbf{1}}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{\pi}_{\boldsymbol{k}}\right)$ to $S_{n}^{d-1}\left(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{\mathbf{1}}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{\ell}\right)$ bijectively.

In the following sections, we will only work with 3-permutations avoiding 2-permutations.

## 3. Enumeration of Pattern Avoidance Classes of at most size 2

Bonichon and Morel 3 proposed the problem of enumerating sequences of 3-permutations avoiding at most two patterns of size 2 or 3 . They provided Table 1, conjecturing the recurrences in the last four rows and leaving the remainder as open problems.

| Patterns | \#TWE | Sequence | OEIS Sequence | Comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 | 1 | $1,0,0,0,0, \ldots$ |  | $[3$ |
| 21 | 1 | $1,1,1,1,1, \ldots$ |  | $\underline{3}$ |
| 123 | 1 | $1,4,20,100,410,1224,2232, \ldots$ |  | Not in OEIS |
| 132 | 2 | $1,4,21,116,646,3596,19981, \ldots$ |  | Not in OEIS |
| 231 | 2 | $1,4,21,123,767,4994,33584, \ldots$ |  | Not in OEIS |
| 321 | 1 | $1,4,21,128,850,5956,43235, \ldots$ |  | Not in OEIS |
| 123,132 | 2 | $1,4,8,8,0,0,0, \ldots$ |  | Terminates after $n=4$ |
| 123,231 | 2 | $1,4,9,6,0,0,0, \ldots$ |  | Terminates after $n=4$ |
| 123,321 | 1 | $1,4,8,0,0,0,0, \ldots$ |  | Terminates after $n=3$ |
| 132,213 | 1 | $1,4,12,28,58,114,220, \ldots$ | A356728 | Theorem 3.9 |
| 132,231 | 4 | $1,4,12,32,80,192,448, \ldots$ | A001787 | Theorem 3.1 |
| 132,321 | 2 | $1,4,12,27,51,86,134, \ldots$ | A047732 | Theorem 3.4 |
| 231,312 | 1 | $1,4,10,28,76,208,568, \ldots$ | A026150 | Theorem 3.5 |
| 231,321 | 2 | $1,4,12,36,108,324,972, \ldots$ | A003946 | Theorem 3.6 |

TABLE 1. Sequences of 3-permutations avoiding at most two patterns of size 2 or 3 .
The second column indicates the number of trivially Wilf-equivalent classes.

In all of the following theorems, we take constructive approaches to prove recurrence relations. Given an element $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ in $S_{n}^{2}\left(\pi_{1}, \pi_{2}\right)$, we attempt to construct elements in $S_{n+1}^{2}\left(\pi_{1}, \pi_{2}\right)$ via inserting the maximal element $n+1$ into the permutations in $\sigma$. Note that if a permutation $\sigma \in S_{n}$ contains a pattern $\pi$, then adding the maximal element $n+1$ anywhere into $\sigma$ still contains $\pi$. Similarly, if a permutation $\sigma \in S_{n}$ avoids a pattern $\pi$, then removing the maximal element $n$ from $\sigma$ will still avoid $\pi$.

However, it should be noted that it is possible to have a 3-permutation $\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$ that does not avoid a set of permutations $\left(\pi_{1}, \ldots, \pi_{m}\right)$ and inserting the maximum element $(n+1)$ into both $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$ results in a 3 -permutation that avoids these patterns. For example, the 3 -permutation $(312,123)$ contains 231 , but $(3124,4123)$ avoids both 231 and 321 . Although in the following proofs we aim to construct elements in $S_{n+1}^{2}\left(\pi_{1}, \pi_{2}\right)$ from $S_{n}^{2}\left(\pi_{1}, \pi_{2}\right)$, we will prove that for each set of patterns $\pi_{1}$ and $\pi_{2}$, it is impossible to insert $n+1$ into $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$ of a pattern-containing 3-permutation ( $\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}$ ) such that the
resulting 3 -permutation avoids $\pi_{1}$ and $\pi_{2}$. It is clear that if $\sigma$ or $\sigma^{\prime}$ contains these patterns, then inserting $n+1$ anywhere into these permutations will still contain these patterns. Hence, it is enough to show that for a 3-permutation $\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$ where $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$ avoid $\pi_{1}$ and $\pi_{2}$ but $\sigma^{\prime} \circ \sigma^{-1}$ contains either pattern, inserting $n+1$ anywhere will result in a 3 -permutation which still contains either pattern. In the following proofs, note that given a 3-permutation ( $\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}$ ), if the maximal element $n+1$ is inserted into the same position in both $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$, then $n+1$ is inserted at the end of the projection $\sigma^{\prime} \circ \sigma^{-1}$.
Theorem 3.1. Let $a_{n}=\left|S_{n}^{2}(132,231)\right|$. Then $a_{n}$ satisfies the recurrence relation $a_{n+1}=2 a_{n}+2^{n}$ with initial term $a_{1}=1$, which corresponds with OEIS sequence A001787.
Proof. Given any $\boldsymbol{\sigma}=\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right) \in S_{n}^{2}(132,231)$, we construct an element of $S_{n+1}^{2}(132,231)$ by inserting the maximal element $n+1$ in both $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$. To avoid both 132 and 231 , the maximal element $n+1$ must be inserted into either the beginning or end of $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$; otherwise if there are elements on both sides of $n+1$, then there must be either an occurrence of 132 or 231.

Appending the maximal element $n+1$ onto the left of both $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$ or onto the right of both $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$ also avoids 132 and 231. In other words, $\left(\sigma(n+1), \sigma^{\prime}(n+1)\right)$ and $\left((n+1) \sigma,(n+1) \sigma^{\prime}\right)$ both still avoid 132 and 231 . This contributes $2 a_{n}$ different 3 -permutations in $S_{n+1}^{2}(132,231)$.

We further make the following claims:
Claim 3.2. The 3-permutation $\left(\sigma(n+1),(n+1) \sigma^{\prime}\right)$ avoids 132 and 231 if and only if $\sigma$ is $\mathrm{Id}_{n}$ and $\sigma^{\prime} \in S_{n}^{1}(132,231)$.

Proof. For the forwards direction, suppose that $\left(\sigma(n+1),(n+1) \sigma^{\prime}\right)$ avoids 132 and 231 . Now writing the projection $\left((n+1) \sigma^{\prime}\right) \circ(\sigma(n+1))^{-1}=\left(\sigma_{L}(n+1) \sigma_{R}\right)$ for some subpermutations $\sigma_{L}$ and $\sigma_{R}$, note that $\sigma_{R}$ is nonempty, and using the reasoning mentioned above, $\sigma_{L}$ is empty. Otherwise, $\left(\sigma_{L}(n+1) \sigma_{R}\right)$ contains an occurrence of either 132 or 231 . Thus, $\sigma$ begins with the minimal element 1 . But since $\sigma$ is forced to avoid the 132 pattern, it is forced to be consecutive and becomes the identity permutation.

For the backwards direction, both $\operatorname{Id}_{n+1}$ and $\left((n+1) \sigma^{\prime}\right)$ still avoid 132 and 231. Further, the projection $\left((n+1) \sigma^{\prime}\right) \circ\left(\operatorname{Id}_{n+1}\right)^{-1}$ evaluates to $(n+1) \sigma^{\prime}$, which also still avoids 132 and 231.

Claim 3.3. The 3-permutation $\left((n+1) \sigma, \sigma^{\prime}(n+1)\right)$ avoids 132 and 231 if and only if $\sigma$ is $\operatorname{rev}\left(\operatorname{Id}_{n}\right)$ and $\sigma^{\prime} \in S_{n}^{1}(132,231)$.

Proof. For the forwards direction, we write the projection $\left(\sigma^{\prime}(n+1)\right) \circ((n+1) \sigma)^{-1}$ of the form $\sigma_{L}(n+1) \sigma_{R}$. As above, $\sigma_{R}$ is nonempty and hence, $\sigma_{L}$ must be empty to avoid the patterns 132 and 231. We conclude that $\sigma$ must end with the minimal element 1. And since $\sigma$ must avoid the 231 permutation, it is forced to be consecutively decreasing and becomes rev $\left(\operatorname{Id}_{n}\right)$.

For the backwards direction, $\operatorname{rev}\left(\operatorname{Id}_{n+1}\right)$ and $\left(\sigma^{\prime}(n+1)\right)$ both still avoid 132 and 231. The projection $\left(\sigma^{\prime}(n+1)\right) \circ\left(\operatorname{rev}\left(\operatorname{Id}_{n+1}\right)\right)^{-1}$ evaluates to $(n+1) \operatorname{rev}\left(\sigma^{\prime}\right)$. Since 132 and 231 are reverses of each other, $\operatorname{rev}\left(\sigma^{\prime}\right)$ still avoids 132 and 231, and thus, $(n+1) \operatorname{rev}\left(\sigma^{\prime}\right)$ avoids these patterns as well.

Now we show that for $\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right) \notin S_{n}^{2}(132,231)$, we cannot obtain an element in $S_{n+1}^{2}(132,231)$ by inserting the maximal element $n+1$ anywhere in $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$. We will assume that $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$ avoid these patterns but $\sigma^{\prime} \circ \sigma^{-1}$ does not. As stated above, we're forced to insert $n+1$ onto the left or right of $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$. Inserting $n+1$ onto the left of both $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$ or onto the right of both $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$ gives a 3 -permutation with a projection containing $\sigma^{\prime} \circ \sigma^{-1}$, which contains either 132 or 231 . Now for the 3-permutation $\left((n+1) \sigma, \sigma^{\prime}(n+1)\right)$, our reasoning in Claim 3.3 gives that either the projection contains 132 or 231 or that $\sigma=\operatorname{rev}\left(\operatorname{Id}_{n}\right)$. In the latter case, the projection of $\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$ would become $\operatorname{rev}\left(\sigma^{\prime}\right)$, which avoids 132 and 231, a contradiction. Claim 3.2 provides a similar reasoning on how $\left(\sigma(n+1),(n+1) \sigma^{\prime}\right)$ contains 132 or 231 . Because inserting $n+1$ anywhere else in $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$ gives an occurrence of 231 or 132 , we ensure that elements not belonging in $S_{n}^{2}(132,231)$ cannot belong to $S_{n+1}^{2}(132,231)$ when we insert the maximal element $n+1$ anywhere into $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$.

Thus, we have shown that given any 3-permutation $\boldsymbol{\sigma}=\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right) \in S_{n}^{2}(132,231)$, we can construct two elements in $S_{n+1}^{2}(132,231)$; furthermore, we can construct two additional elements in $S_{n+1}^{2}(132,231)$ if and only if $\sigma^{\prime} \in S_{n}^{1}(132,231)$ and $\sigma$ is $\operatorname{Id}_{n}$ or $\operatorname{rev}\left(\operatorname{Id}_{n}\right)$. Simion and Schmidt 9 have shown that
$\left|S_{n}^{1}(132,231)\right|=2^{n-1}$. In the cases where $\sigma$ is $\operatorname{Id}_{n}$ or $\operatorname{rev}\left(\operatorname{Id}_{n}\right)$, it follows that $\sigma$ avoids 132 and 231 if and only if $\sigma^{\prime}$ avoids these patterns, and hence, it follows that

$$
a_{n+1}=2 a_{n}+2^{n} .
$$

Theorem 3.4. Let $a_{n}=\left|S_{n}^{2}(132,321)\right|$. Then $a_{n}$ satisfies the recurrence $a_{n+1}=a_{n}+n(n+2)$ with initial term $a_{1}=1$, which corresponds with the OEIS sequence A047732.
Proof. Let us write $\boldsymbol{\sigma}=\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right) \in S_{n}^{2}(132,321)$ of the form $\left(\sigma_{L} n \sigma_{R}, \sigma_{L}^{\prime} n \sigma_{R}^{\prime}\right)$. We construct an element of $S_{n+1}^{2}(132,321)$ by inserting the maximal element $n+1$ in both $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$.

Inserting $n+1$ onto the end of $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$ always constructs a 132 -avoiding and 321-avoiding 3permutation, and this contributes $a_{n}$ different 3 -permutations to $S_{n+1}^{2}(132,321)$.

We also have the following three cases:
(1) $\sigma_{R}$ and $\sigma_{R}^{\prime}$ are both nonempty and $\sigma_{L}, \sigma_{L}^{\prime}, \sigma_{R}$, and $\sigma_{R}^{\prime}$ are all consecutively increasing. Moreover, every element of $\sigma_{L}$ and $\sigma_{L}^{\prime}$ is greater than every element of $\sigma_{R}$ and $\sigma_{R}^{\prime}$, respectively.
(2) Exactly one of $\sigma_{R}, \sigma_{R}^{\prime}$ is empty and the other is of the form $\sigma_{L} n \sigma_{R}$, where $\sigma_{L}$ and $\sigma_{R}$ are consecutively increasing and every element of $\sigma_{L}$ is greater than every element of $\sigma_{R}$.
(3) Both $\sigma_{R}, \sigma_{R}^{\prime}$ are empty.

First we show that when $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ does not belong to any of these cases, inserting the maximal element $n+1$ into $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ cannot avoid these patterns. Let $\boldsymbol{\sigma}=\left(\sigma_{L} n \sigma_{R}, \sigma_{L}^{\prime} n \sigma_{R}^{\prime}\right)$, where $\sigma_{L}$ is decreasing. The argument for where $\sigma_{L}^{\prime}$ is decreasing is similar, and if either $\sigma_{R}$ or $\sigma_{R}^{\prime}$ were decreasing, there would be an occurrence of 321 . Then there is an occurrence of $a b$ in $\sigma_{L}$ for some $b<a$.

Note that every element of $\sigma_{L}$ and $\sigma_{L}^{\prime}$ still must be greater than every element of $\sigma_{R}$ and $\sigma_{R}^{\prime}$, respectively; otherwise, they would contain an occurrence of 132 . This implies that $\sigma_{R}$ cannot contain elements in the interval $(b, n)$. Similarly, if $\sigma_{R}$ contains elements in the interval $[1, b)$, then $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ would contain an occurrence of 321 . Thus, $\sigma_{R}$ is empty. Inserting $n+1$ to the left of $a$ gives an occurrence of 321 . And inserting $n+1$ to the right of $a$ gives an occurrence of 132 . Hence, nothing outside these cases avoids 132 and 321.

Now we present each case:
(1) We claim that only $\left(\sigma_{L} n(n+1) \sigma_{R}, \sigma_{L}^{\prime} n(n+1) \sigma_{R}^{\prime}\right)$ avoids 132 and 321.

Since $\sigma_{L}$ and $\sigma_{R}$ are consecutive and $\sigma_{R}$ must start with 1 , note that in the projection $\left(\sigma_{L}^{\prime} n(n+1) \sigma_{R}^{\prime}\right) \circ\left(\sigma_{L} n(n+1) \sigma_{R}\right)^{-1}$, either $n+1$ is right-adjacent to $n$, or the composition begins with $n+1$. In the former case, this projection avoids 132 and 321 because the projection of ( $\sigma_{L} n \sigma_{R}, \sigma_{L}^{\prime} n \sigma_{R}^{\prime}$ ) avoids these patterns, and hence, $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ avoids these patterns too. In the latter case, the projection is of the form $(n+1) \sigma_{R}^{\prime} \sigma_{L}^{\prime} n$. But $\sigma_{R}^{\prime} \sigma_{L}^{\prime} n$ is strictly increasing, hence, the projection also avoids 132 and 321. Therefore, the 3-permutation $\left(\sigma_{L} n(n+1) \sigma_{R}, \sigma_{L}^{\prime} n(n+1) \sigma_{R}^{\prime}\right)$ avoids these patterns too.

Now we show that inserting $n+1$ into $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ anywhere else cannot result in an element in $S_{n+1}^{2}(132,321)$. In particular, we show that we are forced to insert $n+1$ at the end of $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$ or directly after $n$ in these two permutations. Otherwise, since $\sigma_{L}, \sigma_{L}^{\prime}, \sigma_{R}$, and $\sigma_{R}^{\prime}$ are all consecutively increasing, $\sigma$ or $\sigma^{\prime}$ would contain 132 . If we insert $n+1$ to the left of $\sigma$ or $\sigma^{\prime}$, we would have an occurrence of 321 .

Now it is sufficient to show $\left(\sigma_{L} n \sigma_{R}(n+1), \sigma_{L}^{\prime} n(n+1) \sigma_{R}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(\sigma_{L} n(n+1) \sigma_{R}, \sigma_{L}^{\prime} n \sigma_{R}^{\prime}(n+1)\right)$ do not avoid 132 and 321.

To see the former, we take the projection $\rho$, and depending on the lengths of $\sigma_{L}$ and $\sigma_{L}^{\prime}$, we have the following three cases:
(a) $\left|\sigma_{L}\right|=\left|\sigma_{L}^{\prime}\right|$. Then the last two elements of $\rho$ must be $n r$, where $r \in \sigma_{R}^{\prime}$. Note that the maximum element $n+1$ must appear before this occurrence, and hence, $\rho$ contains an occurrence of 321.
(b) $\left|\sigma_{L}\right|<\left|\sigma_{L}^{\prime}\right|$. Then the last two elements of $\rho$ must be $\ell r$, where $\ell \in \sigma_{L}^{\prime}$ and $r \in \sigma_{R}^{\prime}$. Note that the maximum element $n+1$ must appear somewhere in $\rho$ before this occurrence, and thus, $\rho$ contains an occurrence of 321 .
(c) $\left|\sigma_{L}\right|>\left|\sigma_{L}^{\prime}\right|$. Then $\rho$ begins with an element $a \in \sigma_{R}^{\prime}$ and ends with a larger element $b \in \sigma_{R}^{\prime}$. However, the maximum element $n+1$ must appear in between these elements, and we conclude that $\rho$ contains an occurrence of 132 .
Similar reasoning shows that $\left(\sigma_{L} n(n+1) \sigma_{R}, \sigma_{L}^{\prime} n \sigma_{R}^{\prime}(n+1)\right)$ does not avoid 132 and 321.
There are $(n-1)$ ways to choose $\sigma_{L} n \sigma_{R}$ and $\sigma_{L}^{\prime} n \sigma_{R}^{\prime}$. This case contributes $(n-1)^{2}$ distinct 3 -permutations to $S_{n+1}^{2}(132,321)$.
(2) Let $\sigma_{R}$ be empty - the case where $\sigma_{R}^{\prime}$ is empty follows a similar reasoning. Then we claim that only the 3-permutations $\left((n+1) \sigma_{L} n, \sigma_{L}^{\prime} n(n+1) \sigma_{R}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(\sigma_{L} n(n+1), \sigma_{L}^{\prime} n(n+1) \sigma_{R}^{\prime}\right)$ avoid 132 and 321 .

Checking that both of these 3-permutations avoid 132 and 321 uses a similar argument to the previous case. Now we show that inserting $n+1$ anywhere else in $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ cannot avoid the patterns 132 and 321. In particular, we must insert $n+1$ into the beginning or end of $\sigma$ and either right-adjacent to $n$ or at the end in $\sigma^{\prime}$. Hence, it is sufficient to show that $\left((n+1) \sigma_{L} n, \sigma_{L}^{\prime} n \sigma_{R}^{\prime}(n+1)\right)$ does not avoid 132 and 321 .

Now taking the projection gives us a permutation of the form $\pi(n+1) c$, where $c$ is the first element of $\sigma_{L}^{\prime} n$ and $\pi$ is some subpermutation. Since $\sigma_{R}^{\prime}$ is nonempty, $\pi$ contains elements in $\sigma_{R}^{\prime}$, and this composition contains an instance of 132.

Since there are $n-1$ ways to choose $\sigma_{L}^{\prime} n \sigma_{R}^{\prime}$, this contributes $2(n-1)$ many 3 -permutations to $S_{n+1}^{2}(132,321)$. A similar argument holds for when $\sigma_{R}^{\prime}$ is empty and $\sigma_{R}$ is nonempty. Hence, this case contributes $4(n-1)$ many 3-permutations in total to $S_{n+1}^{2}(132,321)$.
(3) Then in order for us to insert the element $n+1$ into $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$, the reasoning presented above implies that $\sigma=\sigma^{\prime}=\operatorname{Id}_{n}$. Then $\left(\sigma_{L} n(n+1),(n+1) \sigma_{L}^{\prime} n\right),\left((n+1) \sigma_{L} n,(n+1) \sigma_{L}^{\prime} n\right)$, and $\left((n+1) \sigma_{L} n, \sigma_{L}^{\prime} n(n+1)\right)$ all avoid 132 and 321 .

Checking that these avoid 132 and 321 follow a similar reasoning to Case 1 . We are forced to insert the maximal element $n+1$ to the beginning or end of $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$, because any other insertion would not avoid 132 and 321.

This case contributes 3 new elements in $S_{n+1}^{2}(132,321)$.
Now we show that for $\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right) \notin S_{n}^{2}(132,321)$, we cannot obtain an element in $S_{n+1}^{2}(132,321)$ by inserting the maximal element $n+1$ anywhere in $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$. Suppose $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$ avoid 132 and 321 but $\sigma^{\prime} \circ \sigma^{-1}$ contains either pattern. We iterate the same cases as above, noting that if none of these cases hold, inserting $n+1$ anywhere will give a 3 -permutation containing 132 or 321 .
(1) We show that it is impossible to have $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$ avoid these patterns but have $\sigma^{\prime} \circ \sigma^{-1}$ contain them. Let $\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right)=\left(\sigma_{L} n \sigma_{R}, \sigma_{L}^{\prime} n \sigma_{R}^{\prime}\right)$. Recall that $\sigma_{L} n, \sigma_{L}^{\prime} n, \sigma_{R}$, and $\sigma_{R}^{\prime}$ are all consecutively increasing. We have the same subcases as above:
(a) $\left|\sigma_{L}\right|=\left|\sigma_{L}^{\prime}\right|$. Then the projection is $\sigma_{R}^{\prime} \sigma_{L}^{\prime} n$, which avoids 132 and 321 .
(b) $\left|\sigma_{L}\right|<\left|\sigma_{L}^{\prime}\right|$. Then the projection is in the form $\pi_{L} \pi_{R}$, where $\pi_{L}$ and $\pi_{R}$ are consecutively increasing and every element of $\pi_{L}$ is greater than every element of $\pi_{R}$. This avoids both 132 and 321.
(c) $\left|\sigma_{L}\right|>\left|\sigma_{L}^{\prime}\right|$. The projection is in the same form as the previous case.

Hence, in order for $\sigma^{\prime} \circ \sigma^{-1}$ to contain these patterns, either $\sigma$ or $\sigma^{\prime}$ must also contain them, and the resulting 3 -permutation obtained by inserting $n+1$ into $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$ must also contain these patterns.
(2) Let $\sigma_{R}$ be empty. The case where $\sigma_{R}^{\prime}$ is empty follows a similar reasoning. The proof above shows that the 3-permutation $\left((n+1) \sigma_{L} n, \sigma_{L}^{\prime} n \sigma_{R}^{\prime}(n+1)\right)$ contains an instance of 132 , and it is clear that $\left(\sigma_{L} n(n+1), \sigma_{L}^{\prime} n \sigma_{R}^{\prime}(n+1)\right)$ contains an occurrence of $\sigma^{\prime} \circ \sigma^{-1}$. Now we consider $\left((n+1) \sigma_{L} n, \sigma_{L}^{\prime} n(n+1) \sigma_{R}^{\prime}\right)$. The projection of this 3-permutation is obtained from the projection $\left(\sigma_{L}^{\prime} n \sigma_{R}^{\prime}\right) \circ\left(\sigma_{L} n\right)^{-1}$ as follows: each element belonging to the subpermutation $\sigma_{L}^{\prime} n$ is increased by 1 , every element in $\sigma_{R}^{\prime}$ remains unchanged, and the original first element of $\sigma_{L}^{\prime}$ is appended onto the end of the permutation. It's clear that if the projection of ( $\sigma_{L} n, \sigma_{L}^{\prime} n \sigma_{R}^{\prime}$ ) contains an instance of these patterns, then the projection of $\left((n+1) \sigma_{L} n, \sigma_{L}^{\prime} n(n+1) \sigma_{R}^{\prime}\right)$ must also contain an occurrence.

Now we consider $\left(\sigma_{L} n(n+1), \sigma_{L}^{\prime} n(n+1) \sigma_{R}^{\prime}\right)$. Similar to the last case, the projection of this 3-permutation is obtained from the projection of ( $\sigma_{L} n, \sigma_{L}^{\prime} n \sigma_{R}^{\prime}$ ) as follows: each element in $\sigma_{R}^{\prime}$ decreases by 1 , the minimal element 1 is replaced with $n+1$, elements in $\sigma_{L}^{\prime} n$ remain unchanged, and the original last element in $\sigma_{R}^{\prime}$ is appended onto the end of the permutation. It is clear that if the minimal element 1 is not a part of the occurrence of 132 or 321 in the projection, then the 3-permutation $\left(\sigma_{L} n(n+1), \sigma_{L}^{\prime} n(n+1) \sigma_{R}^{\prime}\right)$ still contains an occurrence. If the minimal element 1 is part of a 132 sequence, the transformation described above turns the sequence into a 321 sequence. Now suppose the minimal element 1 is part of a 321 sequence. Call this sequence $x y 1$. If there is an element $a$ after $x y 1$, then if $y>a$, the after the transformation described above, we must have an occurrence of 321. If $y<a$, then we must have an occurrence of 132 . Now if $x y 1$ are the last elements in the permutation, then the resulting permutation after the transformation also ends in 1 and contains an occurrence of 321. Hence, $\left(\sigma_{L} n(n+1), \sigma_{L}^{\prime} n(n+1) \sigma_{R}^{\prime}\right)$ must contain 132 or 321.
(3) As discussed above, in order for us to insert $n+1$ anywhere else into $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$ to avoid 132 and 321 in these permutations, we must have $\sigma=\sigma^{\prime}=\mathrm{Id}_{n}$. Then $\sigma^{\prime} \circ \sigma^{-1}=\operatorname{Id}_{n}$, which does not contain 132 or 321 , a contradiction.
We conclude that

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{n+1} & =a_{n}+(n-1)^{2}+4(n-1)+3 \\
& =a_{n}+n(n+2)
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 3.5. Let $a_{n}=\left|S_{n}^{2}(231,312)\right|$. Then $a_{n}$ satisfies the recurrence $a_{n+1}=2 a_{n}+2 a_{n-1}$ with initial terms $a_{1}=1$ and $a_{2}=4$, which corresponds to the OEIS sequence A026150.
Proof. Let $\boldsymbol{\sigma}=\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right) \in S_{n}^{2}(231,312)$ and write $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ of the form $\left(\sigma_{L} n \sigma_{R}, \sigma_{L}^{\prime} n \sigma_{R}^{\prime}\right)$. Note that each element of $\sigma_{L}$ and $\sigma_{L}^{\prime}$ are less than each element of $\sigma_{R}$ and $\sigma_{R}^{\prime}$, respectively. Further, $\sigma_{R}$ and $\sigma_{R}^{\prime}$ have to be consecutively decreasing. If $\sigma_{R}$ is nonempty, $n-1$ must be right-adjacent to $n$ in $\sigma$ to avoid instances of 231 and 312. We then have the following cases, where $\sigma_{R}$ and $\sigma_{R}^{\prime}$ are nonempty:
(1) $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ is of the form $\left(\sigma_{L} n, \sigma_{L}^{\prime} n\right)$.
(2) $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ is of the form $\left(\sigma_{L}(n-1) n, \sigma_{L}^{\prime} n(n-1) \sigma_{R}^{\prime}\right)$.
(3) $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ is of the form $\left(\sigma_{L} n(n-1) \sigma_{R}, \sigma_{L}^{\prime}(n-1) n\right)$.
(4) $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ is of the form $\left(\sigma_{L} n(n-1) \sigma_{R}, \sigma_{L}^{\prime} n(n-1) \sigma_{R}^{\prime}\right)$.

Now we present each case:
(1) $\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right)=\left(\sigma_{L} n, \sigma_{L}^{\prime} n\right)$.

The maximal element $n+1$ must be inserted adjacent to $n$ in both $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$. If not, then there would be an occurrence of 312 . By evaluating their projections, we can verify that the following 3-permutations avoid 231 and 312: $\left(\sigma_{L} n(n+1), \sigma_{L}^{\prime} n(n+1)\right),\left(\sigma_{L} n(n+1), \sigma_{L}^{\prime}(n+1) n\right)$, $\left(\sigma_{L}(n+1) n, \sigma_{L}^{\prime} n(n+1)\right)$, and $\left(\sigma_{L}(n+1) n, \sigma_{L}^{\prime}(n+1) n\right)$. Thus, each instance of $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ in this case contributes 4 new 3-permutations that avoid 231 and 312.
(2) $\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right)=\left(\sigma_{L}(n-1) n, \sigma_{L}^{\prime} n(n-1) \sigma_{R}^{\prime}\right)$.

Then $n(n-1) \sigma_{R}^{\prime}$ must be consecutively decreasing. Note that appending the maximal element $n+1$ onto the end of $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$ also avoids 231 and 312 . In other words, the 3 permutation $\left(\sigma_{L}(n-1) n(n+1), \sigma_{L}^{\prime} n(n-1) \sigma_{R}^{\prime}(n+1)\right)$ avoids 231 and 312. In addition, the 3-permutation $\left(\sigma_{L}(n-1) n(n+1), \sigma_{L}^{\prime}(n+1) n(n-1) \sigma_{R}^{\prime}\right)$ also avoids 231 and 312.

To see this, we first evaluate the projection of $\left(\sigma_{L}(n-1) n, \sigma_{L}^{\prime} n(n-1) \sigma_{R}^{\prime}\right)$. As shown in Figure 1, we can subdivide $\sigma_{L}$ into $\pi_{L}$ and $\pi_{R}$, where $\left|\pi_{L}\right|=\left|\sigma_{L}^{\prime}\right|$. Recall that $n(n-1) \sigma_{R}^{\prime}$ is consecutively decreasing, and thus, the permutation $\pi_{R}(n-1) n$ must be increasing to avoid an instance of 231 .

This projection is of the form $\left(\sigma_{L}^{\prime} \circ \pi_{L}^{-1}\right) n(n-1) \sigma_{R}^{\prime}$, which we note must avoid 231 and 132 since $\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$ avoids these patterns. Further, $\left(\sigma_{L}(n-1) n(n+1), \sigma_{L}^{\prime}(n+1) n(n-1) \sigma_{R}^{\prime}\right)$ also avoids 231 and 312 because its projection is of the form $\left(\sigma_{L}^{\prime} \circ \pi_{L}^{-1}\right)(n+1) n(n-1) \sigma_{R}^{\prime}$, which also avoids these patterns.


Figure 1. The two-line notation used to evaluate $\left(\sigma_{L}^{\prime} n(n-1) \sigma_{R}^{\prime}\right) \circ\left(\sigma_{L}(n-1) n\right)^{-1}$. The second line represents the first permutation in the 3 -permutation and the last line represents the second permutation in the 3 -permutation.

Now we show that inserting $n+1$ anywhere else in $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ cannot produce a 3 -permutation that avoids 231 and 312. We must insert $n+1$ adjacent to $n$ in $\sigma$. If not, then inserting $n+1$ anywhere to the left of $n-1$ contains an occurrence of 312 . Similarly, we must insert $n+1$ left-adjacent to $n$ or at the end in $\sigma^{\prime}$. Inserting $n+1$ anywhere to the right of $n-1$ contains an occurrence of 231.

We now show that $\left(\sigma_{L}(n-1)(n+1) n, \sigma_{L}^{\prime}(n+1) n(n-1) \sigma_{R}^{\prime}\right)$ cannot avoid these patterns. As discussed above, we can subdivide $\sigma_{L}$ into $\pi_{L}$ and $\pi_{R}$, where $\pi_{L}$ is of the same size as $\sigma_{L}^{\prime}$, and $\pi_{R}(n-1)$ is consecutively increasing.


Then the projection is of the form $\left(\sigma_{L}^{\prime} \circ \pi_{L}^{-1}\right) \pi(r+2) r(r+1)$, where $r$ is the minimal element of $\sigma_{R}^{\prime}$ and $\pi$ is a subpermutation. This contains an occurrence of 312 .

A similar calculation shows that the projection of $\left(\sigma_{L}(n-1)(n+1) n, \sigma_{L}^{\prime} n(n-1) \sigma_{R}^{\prime}(n+1)\right)$ is of the form $\pi(r+1)(n+1) r$ for a subpermutation $\pi$, which contains an occurrence of 231 . Hence, each instance of $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ in this case contributes two new elements in $S_{n+1}^{2}(231,312)$.
(3) $\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right)=\left(\sigma_{L} n(n-1) \sigma_{R}, \sigma_{L}^{\prime}(n-1) n\right)$.

Using a similar argument to the previous case, $n(n-1) \sigma_{R}$ must be consecutively decreasing. As in the previous cases, $\left(\sigma_{L} n(n-1) \sigma_{R}(n+1), \sigma_{L}^{\prime}(n-1) n(n+1)\right)$ avoids 231 and 312 . Moreover, $\left(\sigma_{L}(n+1) n(n-1) \sigma_{R}, \sigma_{L}^{\prime}(n-1) n(n+1)\right)$ also avoids these patterns. To see this, we first evaluate the projection of $\left(\sigma_{L} n(n-1) \sigma_{R}, \sigma_{L}^{\prime}(n-1) n\right)$ :


Since the projection of $\left(\sigma_{L} n(n-1) \sigma_{R}, \sigma_{L}^{\prime}(n-1) n\right)$ is of the form $\left(\pi_{L} \circ \sigma_{L}^{-1}\right) n(n-1) \operatorname{rev}\left(\pi_{R}\right)$, we conclude that $n(n-1) \operatorname{rev}\left(\pi_{R}\right)$ must be consecutively decreasing to avoid occurrences of 231 and 312. We now evaluate the projection of $\left(\sigma_{L}(n+1) n(n-1) \sigma_{R}, \sigma_{L}^{\prime}(n-1) n(n+1)\right)$ :


The projection of the 3-permutation $\left(\sigma_{L}(n+1) n(n-1) \sigma_{R}, \sigma_{L}^{\prime}(n-1) n(n+1)\right)$ is of the form $\left(\pi_{L} \circ \sigma_{L}^{-1}\right)(n+1) n(n-1) \operatorname{rev}\left(\pi_{R}\right)$, which also avoids 231 and 312 .

Now we show that inserting $n+1$ anywhere else in $\sigma$ cannot produce a 3 -permutation that avoids 231 and 312. Using similar arguments to the previous case, it is sufficient to show that both $\left(\sigma_{L}(n+1) n(n-1) \sigma_{R}, \sigma_{L}^{\prime}(n-1)(n+1) n\right)$ and $\left(\sigma_{L} n(n-1) \sigma_{R}(n+1), \sigma_{L}^{\prime}(n-1)(n+1) n\right)$ contain an occurrence of 231 or 312 .

For the former 3-permutation, we take the projection:


The projection is of the form $\left(\pi_{L} \circ \sigma_{L}^{-1}\right) n(n+1)(n-1) \operatorname{rev}\left(\pi_{R}\right)$, which contains an occurrence of 231 .

For the latter 3-permutation, a similar argument shows that this projection contains an occurrence of 312. Hence, each instance of $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ in this case contributes 2 new elements in $S_{n+1}^{2}(231,312)$.
(4) $\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right)=\left(\sigma_{L} n(n-1) \sigma_{R}, \sigma_{L}^{\prime} n(n-1) \sigma_{R}^{\prime}\right)$.

Then $n(n-1) \sigma_{R}$ and $n(n-1) \sigma_{R}^{\prime}$ must be consecutively decreasing. We claim $\left|\sigma_{R}\right|=\left|\sigma_{R}^{\prime}\right|$. For the sake of contradiction, suppose that $\left|\sigma_{R}^{\prime}\right|>\left|\sigma_{R}\right|$. Then the 3-permutations are of the following form:


The projection is of the form $\pi_{1} n \pi_{2} r \pi_{3}(r+c)$, where $r$ is the minimal element of $\sigma_{R}^{\prime}, c$ is some positive integer, and $\pi_{1}, \pi_{2}, \pi_{3}$ are subpermutations. Hence, the projection contains 312, a contradiction.

A similar argument holds for $\left|\sigma_{R}^{\prime}\right|<\left|\sigma_{R}\right|$. Hence, the two permutations must be of the same size. Moreover, since both $n(n-1) \sigma_{R}$ and $n(n-1) \sigma_{R}^{\prime}$ are consecutively decreasing, then we have $\sigma_{R}=\sigma_{R}^{\prime}$.

We immediately see that $\left(\sigma_{L} n(n-1) \sigma_{R}(n+1), \sigma_{L}^{\prime} n(n-1) \sigma_{R}^{\prime}(n+1)\right)$ is in $S_{n+1}^{2}(231,312)$. Moreover, note that the projection of $\left(\sigma_{L}(n+1) n(n-1) \sigma_{R}, \sigma_{L}^{\prime}(n+1) n(n-1) \sigma_{R}^{\prime}\right)$ is of the form $\left(\sigma_{L}^{\prime} \circ \sigma_{L}^{-1}\right)\left(\sigma_{R}^{\prime} \circ \sigma_{R}^{-1}\right)(n-1) n(n+1)$, and hence, $\left(\sigma_{L}(n+1) n(n-1) \sigma_{R}, \sigma_{L}^{\prime}(n+1) n(n-1) \sigma_{R}^{\prime}\right)$ also avoids 231 and 312.

Now we show that inserting the maximal element $n+1$ anywhere else cannot avoid 231 and 312. In fact, $n+1$ can only be inserted either at the end of $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$ or left-adjacent to $n$. If $n+1$ is inserted anywhere in $\sigma_{L}$ or $\sigma_{L}^{\prime}$, then there would be an occurrence of 312 . If
$n+1$ is inserted anywhere to the right of $n$ and not at the end of the permutation, then there would be an occurrence of 231 .

We show that both the 3-permutations $\left(\sigma_{L}(n+1) n(n-1) \sigma_{R}, \sigma_{L}^{\prime} n(n-1) \sigma_{R}^{\prime}(n+1)\right)$ and $\left(\sigma_{L} n(n-1) \sigma_{R}(n+1), \sigma_{L}^{\prime}(n+1) n(n-1) \sigma_{R}^{\prime}\right)$ contain an occurrence of either 231 or 312.

For the first 3-permutation, the projection looks as follows:


Evaluating the projection gives the form $\left(\sigma_{L}^{\prime} \circ \sigma_{L}^{-1}\right)(n+1) \pi(n-1)(n)$ for a subpermutation $\pi$, which contains 312.

A similar argument shows that for the second 3-permutation, the projection is of the form $\left(\sigma_{L}^{\prime} \circ \sigma_{L}^{-1}\right) \pi(n-1) n(n+1) r$, where $r$ is the minimal element of $\sigma_{R}^{\prime}$, and $\pi$ is a subpermutation. This contains 231.

Therefore, each instance of $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ in this case contributes 2 new elements in $S_{n+1}^{2}(231,312)$.
Now we show that 3-permutations avoiding 231 and 312 must be of one of the forms above. We have only one form to consider, where exactly one of $\sigma_{R}, \sigma_{R}^{\prime}$ is empty. Let $\sigma_{R}$ be empty and $\sigma_{R}^{\prime}$ be nonempty. In particular, $\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right)=\left(\sigma_{L} n, \sigma_{L}^{\prime} n \sigma_{R}^{\prime}\right)$.

Now $n-1$ must be adjacent to $n$ in $\sigma^{\prime}$. If $\sigma^{\prime}=\sigma_{L}^{\prime}(n-1) n \sigma_{R}^{\prime}$, then $\sigma_{R}^{\prime}$ must be empty to avoid an occurrence of 231 . Then Case 1 covers this. If $\sigma^{\prime}=\sigma_{L}^{\prime} n(n-1) \sigma_{R}^{\prime}$, then we show that $n-1$ is adjacent to $n$ in $\sigma$. Suppose, for the sake of contradiction, that this is not the case. First, $n(n-1) \sigma_{R}^{\prime}$ must be consecutively decreasing. Taking the projection $\sigma^{\prime} \circ \sigma^{-1}$, we conclude that it is of the form $\pi_{L} n \pi_{R} k r$, where $\pi_{L}$ and $\pi_{R}$ are subpermutations, $k \neq r+1$, and $r$ is the minimal element in $\sigma_{R}^{\prime}$. Now we consider where the element $r+1$ is in the permutation. If $r+1$ is in $\pi_{L}$, then there is an occurrence of 231. If $r+1$ is in $\pi_{R}$, then if $k>r+1$, then there is an occurrence of 231, and if $k<r+1$, then there is an occurrence of 312 . Hence, $n-1$ must be adjacent to $n$ in $\sigma$, and a similar argument from Case 2 covers this case. A similar argument also holds for nonempty $\sigma_{R}$ and empty $\sigma_{R}^{\prime}$.

Now we show that for $\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right) \notin S_{n}^{2}(231,312)$, we cannot obtain an element in $S_{n+1}^{2}(231,312)$ by inserting the maximal element $n+1$ anywhere in $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$. We will assume that $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$ avoid these patterns but $\sigma^{\prime} \circ \sigma^{-1}$ does not. We iterate through the same cases as above:
(1) $\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right)=\left(\sigma_{L} n, \sigma_{L}^{\prime} n\right)$.

It's straightforward to check that the projections of the following 3-permutations contain $\sigma^{\prime} \circ \sigma^{-1}:\left(\sigma_{L} n(n+1), \sigma_{L}^{\prime} n(n+1)\right),\left(\sigma_{L} n(n+1), \sigma_{L}^{\prime}(n+1) n\right),\left(\sigma_{L}(n+1) n, \sigma_{L}^{\prime} n(n+1)\right)$, and $\left(\sigma_{L}(n+1) n, \sigma_{L}^{\prime}(n+1) n\right)$.
(2) $\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right)=\left(\sigma_{L}(n-1) n, \sigma_{L}^{\prime} n(n-1) \sigma_{R}^{\prime}\right)$.

Using the notation in our proof above, note that the projection of this 3-permutation is $\left(\sigma_{L}^{\prime} \circ \pi_{L}^{-1}\right) n(n-1) \sigma_{R}^{\prime}$. It's clear that $\left(\sigma_{L}(n-1) n(n+1), \sigma_{L}^{\prime} n(n-1) \sigma_{R}^{\prime}(n+1)\right)$ contains this projection. Further, the projection of $\left(\sigma_{L}(n-1) n(n+1), \sigma_{L}^{\prime}(n+1) n(n-1) \sigma_{R}^{\prime}\right)$ is of the form $\left(\sigma_{L}^{\prime} \circ \pi_{L}^{-1}\right)(n+1) n(n-1) \sigma_{R}^{\prime}$, which contains an instance of $\left(\sigma_{L}^{\prime} \circ \pi_{L}^{-1}\right) n(n-1) \sigma_{R}^{\prime}$. From above, we note that inserting $n+1$ anywhere else in $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$ must result in a 3 -permutation that contains these patterns.
(3) $\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right)=\left(\sigma_{L} n(n-1) \sigma_{R}, \sigma_{L}^{\prime}(n-1) n\right)$.

Using a similar logic to the previous case, $\left(\sigma_{L} n(n-1) \sigma_{R}(n+1), \sigma_{L}^{\prime}(n-1) n(n+1)\right)$ and $\left(\sigma_{L}(n+1) n(n-1) \sigma_{R}, \sigma_{L}^{\prime}(n-1) n(n+1)\right)$ contain $\sigma^{\prime} \circ \sigma^{-1}$, and inserting $n+1$ anywhere else must result in a 3-permutation that contains either 231 or 312 .
(4) $\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right)=\left(\sigma_{L} n(n-1) \sigma_{R}, \sigma_{L}^{\prime} n(n-1) \sigma_{R}^{\prime}\right)$.

Our logic above shows that the 3-permutations ( $\left.\sigma_{L} n(n-1) \sigma_{R}(n+1), \sigma_{L}^{\prime} n(n-1) \sigma_{R}^{\prime}(n+1)\right)$ and $\left(\sigma_{L}(n+1) n(n-1) \sigma_{R}, \sigma_{L}^{\prime}(n+1) n(n-1) \sigma_{R}^{\prime}\right)$ contain $\sigma^{\prime} \circ \sigma^{-1}$, and inserting $n+1$ anywhere else must result in a 3 -permutation that contains these patterns.

However, we must also consider when exactly one of $\sigma_{R}, \sigma_{R}^{\prime}$ is empty, but similar logic as presented in our proof above shows either $\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$ belongs to one of the cases we iterated above or inserting $n+1$ anywhere in $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$ results in a 3-permutation that contains either pattern.

Therefore, we see that for every 3-permutation $\boldsymbol{\sigma}=\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$ in $S_{n}^{2}(231,312)$, inserting the maximal element $n+1$ onto the end of both $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$ always yields a 3 -permutation in $S_{n+1}^{2}(231,312)$; moreover, inserting the maximal element such that the relative positions of the two largest elements in both $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$ are preserved before and after insertion also always yields another 3-permutation. This contributes $2 a_{n}$ different 3 -permutations to $S_{n+1}^{2}(231,312)$. In the case that $\sigma$ is of the form in Case 1 (where $\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}$ each end with the maximal element $n$ ), each $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ can construct two elements in $S_{n+1}^{2}(231,312)$ in addition to the elements generated above, and this case contributes $2 a_{n-1}$ additional elements in $S_{n+1}^{2}(231,312)$. We have that

$$
a_{n+1}=2 a_{n}+2 a_{n-1}
$$

In the following theorem, note that we are inserting the minimal element 0 into $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$ instead of the maximal element $n+1$. This is because given a 3-permutation $\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right) \notin S_{n}^{2}(231,321)$, it is possible to construct a 3 -permutation in $S_{n+1}^{2}(231,321)$ by inserting the maximal element $n+1$ in $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$. For example, $(312,123)$ contains 231 ; however, $(3124,4123)$ avoids both 231 and 321 . Inserting the minimal element 0 into $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$ prevents this problem and provides the following proof.

Theorem 3.6. Let $a_{n}=\left|S_{n}^{2}(231,321)\right|$. Then $a_{n}$ follows the formula $a_{n+1}=4 \cdot 3^{n-1}$ (where $a_{1}=1$ ), which corresponds to the OEIS sequence A003946.

Proof. Let $\boldsymbol{\sigma}=\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right) \in S_{n}^{2}(231,321)$ and let $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ be of the form $\left(\sigma_{L} 1 \sigma_{R}, \sigma_{L}^{\prime} 1 \sigma_{R}^{\prime}\right)$. Note that $\sigma_{L}$ and $\sigma_{L}^{\prime}$ either contain one element or are empty.

We insert the minimal element 0 to the permutation and standardize the new permutation. The element 0 must be inserted adjacent to 1 or in the beginning of both $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$. We have the following cases:
(1) $\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right)=\left(1 \sigma_{R}, 1 \sigma_{R}^{\prime}\right)$.

We can see that $\left(01 \sigma_{R}, 01 \sigma_{R}^{\prime}\right),\left(01 \sigma_{R}, 10 \sigma_{R}^{\prime}\right),\left(10 \sigma_{R}, 01 \sigma_{R}^{\prime}\right)$, and (10 $\left.0 \sigma_{R}, 10 \sigma_{R}^{\prime}\right)$ all avoid 231 and 321 .

A 3-permutation $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ in this case constructs 4 distinct 3-permutations in $S_{n+1}^{2}(231,321)$.
(2) $\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right)=\left(1 \sigma_{R}, \ell 1 \sigma_{R}^{\prime}\right)$ for some integer $\ell$.

Similar to the previous case, we see that $\left(01 \sigma_{R}, 0 \ell 1 \sigma_{R}^{\prime}\right),\left(01 \sigma_{R}, \ell 01 \sigma_{R}^{\prime}\right),\left(10 \sigma_{R}, 0 \ell 1 \sigma_{R}^{\prime}\right)$, and ( $\left.10 \sigma_{R}, \ell 01 \sigma_{R}^{\prime}\right)$ all avoid 231 and 321 .

A 3-permutation $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ in this case also constructs 4 distinct 3-permutations in $S_{n+1}^{2}(231,321)$.
(3) $\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right)=\left(\ell 1 \sigma_{R}, 1 \sigma_{R}^{\prime}\right)$ for some integer $\ell$.

Appending the minimal element 0 in the beginning of $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$ still avoids 231 and 321 . In particular, $\left(0 \ell 1 \sigma_{R}, 01 \sigma_{R}^{\prime}\right)$, as well as ( $\ell 01 \sigma_{R}, 10 \sigma_{R}^{\prime}$ ), avoids these patterns.

Now we show that inserting 0 anywhere else must contain these patterns. In particular, note that $\left(0 \ell 1 \sigma_{R}, 10 \sigma_{R}^{\prime}\right)$ and ( $\ell 01 \sigma_{R}, 01 \sigma_{R}^{\prime}$ ) cannot avoid 231 and 321 . For both 3permutations, the projection is of the form $1 \pi_{L} 0 \pi_{R}$ for subpermutations $\pi_{L}$ and $\pi_{R}$ (where $\pi_{L}$ is nonempty). This contains an instance of 231 .

Hence, 3-permutations $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ in this case produce two different elements in $S_{n+1}^{2}(231,321)$.
(4) $\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right)=\left(\ell 1 \sigma_{R}, \ell^{\prime} 1 \sigma_{R}^{\prime}\right)$ for integers $\ell, \ell^{\prime}$.

As in the previous cases, note that $\left(0 \ell 1 \sigma_{R}, 0 \ell^{\prime} 1 \sigma_{R}^{\prime}\right)$, as well as ( $\ell 01 \sigma_{R}, \ell^{\prime} 01 \sigma_{R}^{\prime}$ ), avoids 231 and 321.

Now we show that inserting 0 anywhere else in $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ cannot avoid 231 and 321. In particular, we show that $\left(0 \ell 1 \sigma_{R}, \ell^{\prime} 01 \sigma_{R}^{\prime}\right)$ and ( $\left.\ell 01 \sigma_{R}, 0 \ell^{\prime} 1 \sigma_{R}^{\prime}\right)$ cannot avoid 231 and 321.

For both 3-permutations, the projection is $\ell^{\prime} 1 \pi_{R}$ for some subpermutation $\pi_{R}$, which contains an instance of 321 since $\pi_{R}$ must contain the element 0 . And hence, 3-permutations $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ in this case construct 2 distinct elements in $S_{n+1}^{2}(231,321)$.

Now we show that for $\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right) \notin S_{n}^{2}(231,321)$, we cannot obtain an element in $S_{n+1}^{2}(231,321)$ by inserting the minimal element 0 anywhere in $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$. So let $\sigma^{\prime} \circ \sigma^{-1}$ contain an instance of 231 or 321 . Then ( $\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}$ ) must be one of the cases above, and it's clear that the projections of the 3-permutations we obtained by inserting 0 contain $\sigma^{\prime} \circ \sigma^{-1}$, which must also contain an instance of these patterns. Hence, for $\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right) \notin S_{n}^{2}(231,321)$, we cannot obtain an element in $S_{n+1}^{2}(231,321)$ by inserting the minimal element 0 anywhere in $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$.

Now we claim that in $S_{n}^{2}(231,321)$, exactly half of the elements $\boldsymbol{\sigma}=\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$ satisfy $\sigma(1)=1$ after standardization. The base case can be seen in $S_{2}^{2}(231,321)$. Then for our inductive step let us assume that this is the case for $S_{n}^{2}(231,321)$. We wish to show that this is true for $S_{n+1}^{2}(231,321)$. In each case above, exactly half of the 3-permutations constructed have the property $\sigma(1)=1$ and the other half satisfy $\sigma(1) \neq 1$ after standardization, and via induction, exactly half of the elements in $S_{n}^{2}(231,321)$ satisfy $\sigma(1)=2$.

Note that if $\sigma(1)=1$, we are in Case 1 or Case 2, which contribute 4 elements in $S_{n+1}^{2}(231,321)$. When $\sigma(1) \neq 1$, we are in Case 3 or Case 4 , which contribute 2 elements in $S_{n+1}^{2}(231,321)$.

Thus, we conclude that

$$
a_{n+1}=\frac{a_{n}}{2} \cdot 4+\frac{a_{n}}{2} \cdot 2=3 a_{n}
$$

We can see that $a_{2}=4$, and we conclude that

$$
a_{n+1}=4 \cdot 3^{n-1}
$$

This allows us to prove all the conjectures Bonichon and Morel [3] have made in regard to 3permutations avoiding two patterns of size 3. However, there is one class of 3-permutations that have yet to be classified, which we now enumerate. We begin with an observation.

Observation 3.7. Let $\sigma$ be a permutation and $\pi$ be an involution. Then $\sigma$ avoids $\pi$ if and only if $\sigma^{-1}$ avoids $\pi$.

Since 132 and 213 are both involutions, $\sigma$ avoids 132 if and only if $\sigma^{-1}$ avoids 132 . The same reasoning holds for the pattern 213 . We then have a corollary:

Corollary 3.8. Let $\pi$ be an involution. Then the 3-permutation ( $\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}$ ) avoids $\pi$ if and only if the 3 -permutation $\left(\sigma^{\prime}, \sigma\right)$ avoids $\pi$.

This is due to the fact that $\sigma^{\prime} \circ \sigma^{-1}$ avoids $\pi$ if and only if $\sigma \circ\left(\sigma^{\prime}\right)^{-1}$ avoids $\pi$.
Theorem 3.9. Let $a_{n}=\left|S_{n}^{2}(132,213)\right|$. Then $a_{n}$ satisfies the recurrence

$$
a_{n+1}=a_{n}+3 \cdot 2^{n-1}+2(n-1)
$$

with the initial term $a_{1}=1$. This corresponds to the OEIS sequence A356728.
Proof. Let $\boldsymbol{\sigma}=\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right) \in S_{n}^{2}(132,213)$ and let $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ be of the form $\left(\sigma_{L} n \sigma_{R}, \sigma_{L}^{\prime} n \sigma_{R}^{\prime}\right)$.
Note that $\sigma_{L} n$ and $\sigma_{L}^{\prime} n$ are increasing; otherwise the permutation would contain an occurrence of 213. Moreover, they must be consecutively increasing; otherwise we would have an occurrence of 132 .

Adding the maximal element $n+1$ right-adjacent to $n$ in both $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$ always produces a 3permutation in $S_{n+1}^{2}(132,213)$. To see this, suppose that $\left|\sigma_{L}\right|>\left|\sigma_{L}^{\prime}\right|$. Then the projection $\sigma^{\prime} \circ \sigma^{-1}$ would look as follows:


This has the form $\left(\pi_{R} \circ \sigma_{R}^{-1}\right) \pi_{L} \pi_{M}$, where $\pi_{L}$ is consecutively increasing and ends with $n$. This must avoid 132 and 213 .

Now consider $\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right)=\left(\sigma_{L} n(n+1) \sigma_{R}, \sigma_{L}^{\prime} n(n+1) \sigma_{R}^{\prime}\right)$. The projection would look as follows:


This has the form $\left(\pi_{R} \circ \sigma_{R}^{-1}\right) \pi_{L}(n+1) \pi_{M}$, which still avoids 132 and 213. The case where $\left|\sigma_{L}\right|=\left|\sigma_{L}^{\prime}\right|$ follows as well. For the case $\left|\sigma_{L}\right|<\left|\sigma_{L}^{\prime}\right|$, we utilize Corollary 3.8 . Note that due to symmetry, our previous argument implies that the 3 -permutation ( $\sigma^{\prime}, \sigma$ ) avoids 132 and 213, and hence Corollary 3.8 states that $\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$ avoids these patterns.

Hence, appending the maximal element $n+1$ right-adjacent to $n$ in both $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$ contributes $a_{n}$ elements in $S_{n+1}^{2}(132,213)$.

In the following cases, note that $n+1$ must be inserted either at the beginning or right-adjacent to $n$ in $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$. If we insert $n+1$ to the left of $n$ (but not at the beginning), then there is an instance of 132 . Similarly, if we insert $n+1$ to the right of $n$ (but not adjacent to $n$ ), then there is an instance of 213 . We have the following:
(1) $\sigma=\sigma^{\prime}$.

Then $\left((n+1) \sigma,(n+1) \sigma^{\prime}\right)$ also avoids 132 and 213. Further, note that in the special case when $\sigma=\sigma^{\prime}=\operatorname{Id}_{n}$, then $\left((n+1) \operatorname{Id}_{n}, \operatorname{Id}_{n}(n+1)\right)$ and $\left(\operatorname{Id}_{n}(n+1),(n+1) \operatorname{Id}_{n}\right)$ both avoid 132 and 213. Now we show that inserting $n+1$ anywhere else in $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ does not avoid 132 and 213. Specifically, for all other $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$, we show that $\left((n+1) \sigma, \sigma_{L}^{\prime} n(n+1) \sigma_{R}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(\sigma_{L} n(n+1) \sigma_{R},(n+1) \sigma^{\prime}\right)$ cannot avoid 132 or 213 . To see that the first 3 -permutation cannot avoid 132 or 213 , its projection is of the form $1 \pi(n+1) \ell$, where $\ell$ is the first element in $\sigma_{L}^{\prime} n$ and $\pi$ is a subpermutation. This contains an occurrence of 132. A similar argument shows that the projection of the latter 3-permutation also contains 213.

Since Simion and Schmidt [9 showed there are $2^{n-1}$ possible permutations that avoid 132 and 213 with size $n$, this contributes an additional $2^{n-1}+2$ elements in $S_{n+1}^{2}(132,213)$.
(2) $\sigma=\mathrm{Id}_{n}$ and $\sigma^{\prime} \neq \mathrm{Id}_{n}$.

We note that $\left(\sigma(n+1),(n+1) \sigma^{\prime}\right)$ avoids 132 and 213. In the special case where $\sigma_{R}^{\prime}$ is consecutively increasing, then $\left((n+1) \sigma, \sigma_{L}^{\prime} n(n+1) \sigma_{R}^{\prime}\right)$ is also an element in $S_{n+1}^{2}(132,213)$.

Now we show that inserting $n+1$ anywhere else in $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ cannot avoid 132 and 213. We first show that $\left(\sigma(n+1), \sigma^{\prime}(n+1)\right)$ and $\left((n+1) \sigma,(n+1) \sigma^{\prime}\right)$ cannot avoid 132 and 213. Taking the projection of these 3 -permutations evaluates to $\sigma^{\prime}(n+1)$, which contains an occurrence of 213 because $\sigma^{\prime}$ is not the identity and therefore, must contain an occurrence of 21 .

Note that $\left((n+1) \sigma, \sigma^{\prime}(n+1)\right)$ cannot avoid these patterns because $\sigma^{\prime}(n+1)$ contains an occurrence of 213.

Now let $\sigma_{R}^{\prime}$ be decreasing. We wish to show that $\left((n+1) \sigma, \sigma_{L}^{\prime} n(n+1) \sigma_{R}^{\prime}\right)$ cannot avoid 132 and 213. Since $\sigma_{R}^{\prime}$ contains an instance of 21 and every element in $\sigma_{R}^{\prime}$ is smaller than every element in $\sigma_{L}^{\prime}$, taking the projection gives an occurrence of 213.

Since there are $2^{n-1}$ different $\sigma^{\prime}$ that avoid 132 and 213 , note that $\left(\sigma(n+1),(n+1) \sigma^{\prime}\right)$ contributes $2^{n-1}-1$ different elements to $S_{n+1}^{2}(132,213)$. Moreover, the special 3-permutation case $\left((n+1) \sigma, \sigma_{L}^{\prime} n(n+1) \sigma_{R}^{\prime}\right)$ contributes $n-1$ elements to $S_{n+1}^{2}(132,213)$.
(3) $\sigma \neq \mathrm{Id}_{n}$ and $\sigma^{\prime}=\mathrm{Id}_{n}$.

This case also contributes $2^{n-1}+n-2$ elements in $S_{n+1}^{2}(132,213)$. This is a consequence of Corollary 3.8 and the reasoning discussed above.

Now we show that nothing else can contribute to $S_{n+1}^{2}(132,213)$. Let $\boldsymbol{\sigma}=\left(\sigma_{L} n \sigma_{R}, \sigma_{L}^{\prime} n \sigma_{R}^{\prime}\right)$ and assume that $\sigma_{R}$ and $\sigma_{R}^{\prime}$ are nonempty and that $\sigma \neq \sigma^{\prime}$. Note that $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$ cannot be the identity permutation.

Inserting $n+1$ at the beginning of $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$ gives the projection $\left(\sigma^{\prime} \circ \sigma^{-1}\right)(n+1)$. And since $\sigma \neq \sigma^{\prime}$, then $\sigma^{\prime} \circ \sigma^{-1}$ cannot be the identity and contains an occurrence of 21. The projection contains an occurrence of 213.

We show that $\left((n+1) \sigma, \sigma_{L}^{\prime} n(n+1) \sigma_{R}^{\prime}\right)$ cannot avoid 132 and 213 either. To see this, let $\left|\sigma_{L}\right| \geq\left|\sigma_{L}^{\prime}\right|$. Then we evaluate the projection:


This projection can be represented as $r \pi_{L}(n+1) \pi_{R} \ell$, where $\ell$ is an element in $\sigma_{L}^{\prime}$ (or $n$ if $\sigma_{L}^{\prime}$ is empty), $r$ is an element in $\sigma_{R}^{\prime}$, and $\pi_{L}$ and $\pi_{R}$ are subpermutations. Since elements in $\sigma_{L}^{\prime}$ are greater than elements in $\sigma_{R}^{\prime}$, then the projection contains an occurrence of 132.

Now let $\left|\sigma_{L}\right|<\left|\sigma_{L}^{\prime}\right|$. To show the 3-permutation $\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right)=\left((n+1) \sigma, \sigma_{L}^{\prime} n(n+1) \sigma_{R}^{\prime}\right)$ cannot avoid 132 and 213 , we show that the projection $\sigma_{2} \circ \sigma_{1}^{-1}$ contains either pattern. By Observation 3.7 this is equivalent to showing that $\sigma_{1} \circ \sigma_{2}^{-1}$ contains the pattern 132 or 213 . We will show that the 3 permutation $\left(\sigma_{L} n(n+1) \sigma_{R},(n+1) \sigma^{\prime}\right)$ cannot avoid 132 and 213 when $\left|\sigma_{L}\right|>\left|\sigma_{L}^{\prime}\right|$. Then we evaluate the projection:


This is of the form $\left(\sigma_{R}^{\prime} \circ \sigma_{R}^{-1}\right)(n+1) \pi_{L} n \pi_{R}$, where $\pi_{L}$ and $\pi_{R}$ are subpermutations. Since $\sigma_{R}^{\prime} \circ \sigma_{R}^{-1}$ must contain the minimal element 1, the projection contains an occurrence of 132. And hence, $\left((n+1) \sigma, \sigma_{L}^{\prime} n(n+1) \sigma_{R}^{\prime}\right)$ cannot avoid 132 and 213.

By Corollary 3.8, the 3-permutation $\left((n+1) \sigma, \sigma_{L}^{\prime} n(n+1) \sigma_{R}^{\prime}\right)$ cannot avoid 132 and 213 either.
Now we show that for $\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right) \notin S_{n}^{2}(132,213)$, we cannot obtain an element in $S_{n+1}^{2}(132,213)$ by inserting the maximal element $n+1$ anywhere in $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$. We will assume that $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$ avoid these patterns but $\sigma^{\prime} \circ \sigma^{-1}$ does not. We have shown above that $n+1$ must be inserted right-adjacent to $n$, except in the cases presented above, where $n+1$ may be inserted at the beginning of the permutation. So let $\sigma \neq \sigma^{\prime}$, where neither are the identity. We've shown above that the projection of the resulting 3 -permutation after inserting $n+1$ right-adjacent to $n$ in both $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$ contains $\sigma^{\prime} \circ \sigma^{-1}$. Now for when $\sigma=\sigma^{\prime}$ or when one of them is the identity permutation, the projection $\sigma^{\prime} \circ \sigma^{-1}$ cannot contain 132 or 213 , a contradiction. The logic presented above shows that inserting $n+1$ anywhere else will contain an occurrence of 132 or 213 , and hence, inserting the maximal element $n+1$ anywhere in $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$ cannot produce an element in $S_{n+1}^{2}(132,213)$.

Hence, we conclude that

$$
a_{n+1}=a_{n}+3 \cdot 2^{n-1}+2(n-1)
$$

These theorems allow us to enumerate all 3-permutations avoiding two patterns of size 3 that correspond to existing OEIS sequences. Moreover, we have since added the sequence in Theorem 3.9 to the OEIS database [10], allowing the complete classification and enumeration of all 3-permutations avoiding two patterns of size 3 .

## 4. Enumeration of Pattern Avoidance Classes of size 3

Having enumerated all 3-permutations avoiding two patterns, we now turn our attention to enumerating 3-permutations avoiding three patterns, as Simion and Schmidt 9 have done with classic permutations. In Table 2, we extend Bonichon and Morel's 3] conjectures to 3-permutations avoiding three patterns of size 3 .

| Patterns | \#TWE | Sequence | OEIS Sequence | Comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $123,132,213$ | 3 | $1,4,2,0,0, \ldots$ |  | Terminates after $n=3$ |
| $123,132,231$ | 4 | $1,4,3,0,0, \ldots$ |  | Terminates after $n=3$ |
| $123,231,312$ | 1 | $1,4,0,0,0, \ldots$ |  | Terminates after $n=2$ |
| $123,231,321$ | 2 | $1,4,3,0,0, \ldots$ |  | Terminates after $n=3$ |
| $132,213,312$ | 2 | $1,4,6,8,10, \ldots$ | A005843 | Theorem4.1 |
| $132,213,321$ | 1 | $1,4,9,16,25, \ldots$ | A000290 | Theorem4.2 |
| $132,231,312$ | 2 | $1,4,7,10,13, \ldots$ | A016777 | Theorem4.3 |
| $213,231,321$ | 4 | $1,4,6,8,10, \ldots$ | A005843 | Theorem4.4 |
| $231,312,321$ | 1 | $1,4,7,19,40, \ldots$ | A006130 | Theorem4.5 |

TABLE 2. Sequences of 3-permutations avoiding three permutations of size 3. The second column indicates the number of trivially Wilf-equivalent classes.

Theorem 4.1. Let $a_{n}=\left|S_{n}^{2}(132,213,312)\right|$. Then $a_{n+1}$ follows the formula $a_{n+1}=2(n+1)$ for $n>0$ (with initial term $a_{1}=1$ ).
Proof. Let $\boldsymbol{\sigma}=\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right) \in S_{n}^{2}(132,213,312)$. Let $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ be of the form $\left(\sigma_{L} n \sigma_{R}, \sigma_{L}^{\prime} n \sigma_{R}^{\prime}\right)$.
Note that $\sigma_{R}$ and $\sigma_{R}^{\prime}$ have to be either empty or consecutively decreasing, and similarly, $\sigma_{L}$ and $\sigma_{L}^{\prime}$ have to be either empty or consecutively increasing. Moreover, every element in $\sigma_{L}$ and $\sigma_{L}^{\prime}$ must be larger than every element in $\sigma_{R}$ and $\sigma_{R}^{\prime}$, respectively. If not, there would be an occurrence of 132 .

We have the following cases:
(1) $\sigma_{L}, \sigma_{R}$ are nonempty.

If $\sigma_{L}^{\prime}$ and $\sigma_{R}^{\prime}$ are nonempty, consider $\boldsymbol{\sigma}=\left(\sigma_{L} n \sigma_{R}, \sigma_{L}^{\prime} n \sigma_{R}^{\prime}\right)$ in $S_{n}^{2}(132,213,312)$. Note that inserting $n+1$ right-adjacent to $n$ in both $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$ will avoid 132, 213, and 312. In particular, $\left(\sigma_{L} n(n+1) \sigma_{R}, \sigma_{L}^{\prime} n(n+1) \sigma_{R}^{\prime}\right)$ avoids 132,213 , and 312 .

Now we show that inserting $n+1$ anywhere else in $\sigma$ does not yield an element of $S_{n+1}^{2}(132,213,312)$. Consider $\sigma_{L} n \sigma_{R}$. We cannot insert $n+1$ in the beginning of this permutation, or else there would be an instance of 312 . Further, we cannot insert $n+1$ anywhere to the left of $n$, or else there would be an instance of 132 . There would also be an occurrence of 213 if $n+1$ is inserted anywhere to the right of $n$ that is not adjacent to $n$.

Hence, $n+1$ is forced to be right-adjacent to $n$ in $\sigma$. The same conclusion follows for $\sigma^{\prime}$.
If $\sigma_{L}^{\prime}$ is empty, then $\sigma^{\prime}=\operatorname{rev}\left(\operatorname{Id}_{n}\right)$. The projection $\sigma^{\prime} \circ \sigma^{-1}$ contains an occurrence of 132, and therefore, this case is impossible. Similarly, if $\sigma_{R}^{\prime}$ is empty, then $\sigma^{\prime}=\operatorname{Id}_{n}$. Note that the projection $\sigma^{\prime} \circ \sigma^{-1}$ contains an occurrence of 312 since $\sigma$ contains an occurrence of 231 , and this case is also impossible.

Therefore, every element in this case contributes 1 element in $S_{n}^{2}(132,213,312)$.
(2) $\sigma_{L}$ is empty.

If both $\sigma_{L}^{\prime}$ and $\sigma_{R}^{\prime}$ are nonempty, then $\boldsymbol{\sigma}=\left(\operatorname{rev}\left(\operatorname{Id}_{n}\right), \sigma_{L}^{\prime} n \sigma_{R}^{\prime}\right)$. Taking the projection gives an instance of 132 because every element in $\sigma_{L}^{\prime}$ is larger than every element in $\sigma_{R}^{\prime}$. Thus, this is not a valid element in $S_{n}^{2}(132,213,312)$, and this case is impossible.

If $\sigma_{L}^{\prime}$ is empty, then we conclude that $\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right)=\left(\operatorname{rev}\left(\operatorname{Id}_{n}\right), \operatorname{rev}\left(\operatorname{Id}_{n}\right)\right)$. Following similar logic to the previous case, $n+1$ must be inserted adjacent to $n$ in both $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$ to avoid 312 and 213. Note that $\left((n+1) \operatorname{rev}\left(\operatorname{Id}_{n}\right),(n+1) \operatorname{rev}\left(\operatorname{Id}_{n}\right)\right)$ avoids 132,213 , and 312 . However, the projections of $\left((n+1) \operatorname{rev}\left(\operatorname{Id}_{n}\right),\left(n(n+1) \operatorname{rev}\left(\operatorname{Id}_{n-1}\right)\right)\right.$ and $\left(n(n+1) \operatorname{rev}\left(\operatorname{Id}_{n-1}\right),(n+1) \operatorname{rev}\left(\operatorname{Id}_{n}\right)\right)$ cannot
avoid 132, 213, and 312. Therefore, $\left(\operatorname{rev}\left(\operatorname{Id}_{n}\right), \operatorname{rev}\left(\operatorname{Id}_{n}\right)\right)$ contributes one additional element to $S_{n+1}^{2}(132,213,312)$, in addition to inserting $n+1$ right-adjacent to $n$ in both $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$ as discussed in the previous case.

If $\sigma_{R}^{\prime}$ is empty, then $\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right)=\left(\operatorname{rev}\left(\operatorname{Id}_{n}\right), \operatorname{Id}_{n}\right)$. The element $n+1$ must be inserted adjacent to $n$ in $\sigma$, while $n+1$ must be inserted at the end of $\sigma^{\prime}$. We can see that the 3 -permutation $\left((n+1) \operatorname{rev}\left(\operatorname{Id}_{n}\right), \operatorname{Id}_{n}(n+1)\right)$ is an element of $S_{n+1}^{2}(132,213,312)$ and furthermore, the 3permutation $\left(n(n+1) \operatorname{rev}\left(\mathrm{Id}_{n-1}\right), \operatorname{Id}_{n}(n+1)\right)$ is not an element, because the projection $\sigma^{\prime} \circ \sigma^{-1}$ contains an instance of 312 .

Hence, each element in this case contributes 1 element to $S_{n+1}^{2}(132,213,312)$, with the exception of $\left(\operatorname{rev}\left(\operatorname{Id}_{n}\right), \operatorname{rev}\left(\operatorname{Id}_{n}\right)\right)$, which contributes 2 elements to $S_{n+1}^{2}(132,213,312)$.
(3) $\sigma_{R}$ is empty.

If $\sigma_{L}^{\prime}$ is nonempty, then $\boldsymbol{\sigma}=\left(\operatorname{Id}_{n}, \sigma_{L}^{\prime} n \sigma_{R}^{\prime}\right)$. Then $n+1$ is forced to be right-adjacent to $n$ for both $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$, which contributes 1 element to $S_{n+1}^{2}(132,213,312)$.

If $\sigma_{L}^{\prime}$ is empty, then $\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right)=\left(\operatorname{Id}_{n}, \operatorname{rev}\left(\operatorname{Id}_{n}\right)\right)$. Note that $\left(\operatorname{Id}_{n}(n+1),(n+1) \operatorname{rev}\left(\operatorname{Id}_{n}\right)\right)$ avoids 132, 213, and 312. Hence, $\left(\operatorname{Id}_{n}, \operatorname{rev}\left(\mathrm{Id}_{n}\right)\right)$ contributes one additional element to $S_{n+1}^{2}(132,213,312)$ in addition to inserting $n+1$ right-adjacent to $n$ in both $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$.

Hence, each element in this case contributes 1 element to $S_{n+1}^{2}(132,213,312)$, with the exception of $\left(\operatorname{Id}_{n}, \operatorname{rev}\left(\operatorname{Id}_{n}\right)\right)$, which contributes 2 elements to $S_{n+1}^{2}(132,213,312)$.
Inserting $n+1$ anywhere else in $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ cannot provide an element in $S_{n+1}^{2}(132,213,312)$.
Now we show that for $\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right) \notin S_{n}^{2}(132,213,312)$, we cannot obtain an element in $S_{n+1}^{2}(132,213,312)$ by inserting the maximal element $n+1$ anywhere in $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$. Let $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$ avoid these patterns but let $\sigma^{\prime} \circ \sigma^{-1}$ contain them. It's enough to check the cases above:
(1) $\sigma_{L}, \sigma_{R}$ are nonempty.

It is straightforward to check that the projection of $\left(\sigma_{L} n(n+1) \sigma_{R}, \sigma_{L}^{\prime} n(n+1) \sigma_{R}^{\prime}\right)$ contains $\sigma^{\prime} \circ \sigma^{-1}$ and hence, contains an occurrence of 132,213 , or 312 . The proof above shows that the maximal element $n+1$ must be inserted right-adjacent to $n$ to avoid an occurrence of these patterns in $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$.
(2) $\sigma_{L}$ is empty.

Then $\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right)=\left(\operatorname{rev}\left(\operatorname{Id}_{n}\right), \sigma_{L}^{\prime} n \sigma_{R}^{\prime}\right)$. It is also straightforward to check that when the maximal element $n+1$ is inserted adjacent to $n$ in both $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$, the projection of the resulting 3permutation contains an occurrence of 132 if $\sigma_{R}^{\prime}$ and $\sigma_{L}^{\prime}$ are nonempty. If either $\sigma_{R}^{\prime}$ or $\sigma_{L}^{\prime}$ are empty, then it is impossible for $\sigma^{\prime} \circ \sigma^{-1}$ to contain instances of 132, 213, 312, a contradiction.
(3) $\sigma_{R}$ is empty.

Then $\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right)=\left(\operatorname{Id}_{n}, \sigma_{L}^{\prime} n \sigma_{R}^{\prime}\right)$. The projection of this 3-permutation is $\sigma^{\prime}$, and it is impossible for the projection to contain an occurrence of these patterns while $\sigma^{\prime}$ avoids them.
Therefore, we have shown $a_{n+1}=a_{n}+2$. We have the base case $a_{2}=4$, and we then have

$$
a_{n+1}=2(n+1) .
$$

Theorem 4.2. Let $a_{n}=\left|S_{n}^{2}(132,213,321)\right|$. Then $a_{n+1}$ follows the formula $a_{n+1}=(n+1)^{2}$.
Proof. Let $\boldsymbol{\sigma}=\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right) \in S_{n}^{2}(132,213,321)$. Write $\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$ as $\left(\sigma_{L} n \sigma_{R}, \sigma_{L}^{\prime} n \sigma_{R}^{\prime}\right)$.
Using a similar reasoning discussed in Theorem 4.1, note that $\sigma_{L}, \sigma_{L}^{\prime}, \sigma_{R}$, and $\sigma_{R}^{\prime}$ are consecutively increasing. Moreover, every element in $\sigma_{L}$ and $\sigma_{L}^{\prime}$ is larger than every element in $\sigma_{R}$ and $\sigma_{R}^{\prime}$, respectively.

Also using the reasoning in Theorem4.1] $\left(\sigma_{L} n(n+1) \sigma_{R}, \sigma_{L}^{\prime} n(n+1) \sigma_{R}^{\prime}\right)$ is in $S_{n+1}^{2}(132,213,321)$. This contributes $a_{n}$ different 3 -permutations to $S_{n+1}^{2}(132,213,321)$. We also have the following cases:
(1) $\sigma_{R}$ is empty and $\sigma_{R}^{\prime}$ is nonempty.

Note that this implies that $\sigma=\mathrm{Id}_{n}$ and $\sigma^{\prime} \neq \mathrm{Id}_{n}$. Then $\left((n+1) \operatorname{Id}_{n}, \sigma_{L}^{\prime} n(n+1) \sigma_{R}^{\prime}\right)$ avoids 132,213 , and 321.

Inserting $n+1$ anywhere else in $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ cannot avoid 132,213 , and 321 . We must insert $n+1$ right-adjacent to $n$ in $\sigma^{\prime}$. If $n+1$ is left of $n$, then $\sigma^{\prime}$ contains an instance of 321 . If $n+1$ is right of $n$ but not adjacent, then $\sigma^{\prime}$ contains an instance of 213 .

In $\sigma$, we must either insert $n+1$ at the beginning of the permutation or the end of the permutation. However, inserting $n+1$ at the end of the permutation would correspond to a 3-permutation we have already considered above.

And hence, $\left((n+1) \operatorname{Id}_{n}, \sigma_{L}^{\prime} n(n+1) \sigma_{R}^{\prime}\right)$ is the only 3 -permutation we can construct in $S_{n+1}^{2}(132,213,321)$. This case contributes $n-1$ elements to $S_{n+1}^{2}(132,213,321)$.
(2) $\sigma_{R}$ is nonempty and $\sigma_{R}^{\prime}$ is empty.

This implies that $\sigma^{\prime}=\operatorname{Id}_{n}$ and $\sigma \neq \operatorname{Id}_{n}$. Note that $\left(\sigma_{L} n(n+1) \sigma_{R},(n+1) \operatorname{Id}_{n}\right)$ belongs to $S_{n+1}^{2}(132,213,321)$. Using a similar argument as in Case 1 , inserting $n+1$ in $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ anywhere else does not avoid 132, 213, and 312. Hence, this case contributes $n-1$ different 3 -permutations to $S_{n+1}^{2}(132,213,321)$.
(3) Both $\sigma_{R}, \sigma_{R}^{\prime}$ are empty.

This implies that $\sigma=\sigma^{\prime}=\operatorname{Id}_{n}$. We see that $\left((n+1) \operatorname{Id}_{n}, \operatorname{Id}_{n}(n+1)\right),\left((n+1) \operatorname{Id}_{n},(n+1) \operatorname{Id}_{n}\right)$, and $\left(\operatorname{Id}_{n}(n+1),(n+1) \operatorname{Id}_{n}\right)$ all avoid 132,213 , and 321 . And the same reasoning as in Case 1 shows that inserting $n+1$ anywhere else in $\sigma$ cannot avoid these patterns, and this case contributes 3 elements to $S_{n+1}^{2}(132,213,321)$.
Finally, when $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$ are not the identity permutation, then $\sigma_{R}$ and $\sigma_{R}^{\prime}$ are both nonempty, and the same argument in Case 1 shows that inserting $n+1$ anywhere not right-adjacent to $n$ in $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$ cannot avoid 132, 213, and 321. Hence, no other insertions of $n+1$ in $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ produce an element in $S_{n+1}^{2}(132,213,321)$.

Now we show that for $\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right) \notin S_{n}^{2}(132,213,321)$, we cannot obtain an element in $S_{n+1}^{2}(132,213,321)$ by inserting the maximal element $n+1$ anywhere in $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$. We assume that $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$ avoid these patterns but $\sigma^{\prime} \circ \sigma^{-1}$ does not. Write $\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right)=\left(\sigma_{L} n \sigma_{R}, \sigma_{L}^{\prime} n \sigma_{R}^{\prime}\right)$. It's straightforward to check that the projection of the 3 -permutation $\left(\sigma_{L} n(n+1) \sigma_{R}, \sigma_{L}^{\prime} n(n+1) \sigma_{R}^{\prime}\right)$ contains $\sigma^{\prime} \circ \sigma^{-1}$. Further, we have the same special cases as above:
(1) $\sigma_{R}$ is empty and $\sigma_{R}^{\prime}$ is nonempty.

Then the projection of $\left(\operatorname{Id}_{n}, \sigma_{L}^{\prime} n \sigma_{R}^{\prime}\right)$ is $\sigma_{L}^{\prime} n \sigma_{R}^{\prime}$, and hence it is impossible for the projection to contain these patterns while $\sigma^{\prime}$ avoids them.
(2) $\sigma_{R}$ is nonempty and $\sigma_{R}^{\prime}$ is empty.

The projection of $\left(\sigma_{L} n \sigma_{R}, \mathrm{Id}_{n}\right)$ is of the form $\pi_{L} \pi_{R}$, where $\pi_{L}$ and $\pi_{R}$ are both consecutively increasing and each element of $\pi_{L}$ is greater than each element of $\pi_{R}$. A permutation of this form cannot contain 132,213 , or 321 , and it is impossible for the projection to contain these patterns while $\sigma$ avoids them.
(3) Both $\sigma_{R}, \sigma_{R}^{\prime}$ are empty.

It's impossible for the projection of $\left(\mathrm{Id}_{n}, \mathrm{Id}_{n}\right)$ to contain these patterns.
Hence, we have

$$
a_{n+1}=a_{n}+2 n+1
$$

The base case is $a_{1}=1$, and we conclude that

$$
a_{n+1}=(n+1)^{2}
$$

Theorem 4.3. Let $a_{n}=\left|S_{n}^{2}(132,231,312)\right|$. Then $a_{n}$ satisfies the recurrence $a_{n+1}=a_{n}+3$ with initial term $a_{1}=1$.

Proof. Let $\boldsymbol{\sigma}=\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right) \in S_{n}^{2}(132,231,312)$. Write $\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$ as $\left(\sigma_{L} n \sigma_{R}, \sigma_{L}^{\prime} n \sigma_{R}^{\prime}\right)$. Note that $n \sigma_{R}$ and $n \sigma_{R}^{\prime}$ must be consecutively decreasing to avoid 312 and 132.

We insert the maximal element $n+1$ into $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$ to count how many elements in $S_{n+1}^{2}(132,231,312)$ there are. Note that $\left(\sigma(n+1), \sigma^{\prime}(n+1)\right)$ avoids 132,231 , and 312 . This contributes $a_{n}$ different 3permutations to $S_{n+1}^{2}(132,231,312)$. We have the following additional cases:
(1) $\sigma=\operatorname{rev}\left(\operatorname{Id}_{n}\right)$ and $\sigma^{\prime} \neq \operatorname{rev}\left(\operatorname{Id}_{n}\right)$.

This forces $\sigma^{\prime}$ to be the identity. Then $\left((n+1) \operatorname{rev}\left(\mathrm{Id}_{n}\right), \sigma^{\prime}(n+1)\right)$ avoids 132,231 , and 312. Now inserting $n+1$ anywhere else in $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ cannot avoid these patterns. Namely, if $n+1$ is inserted anywhere not in the beginning or end of $\sigma$, there is an occurrence of 231. Moreover, inserting $n+1$ into the beginning of $\sigma^{\prime}$ contains 312 . If $n+1$ is inserted anywhere not in the beginning or end of $\sigma^{\prime}$, there is an occurrence of 132 in $\sigma^{\prime}$. Hence, $\left((n+1) \operatorname{rev}\left(\operatorname{Id}_{n}\right), \sigma^{\prime}(n+1)\right)$ is the only element we can construct in $S_{n+1}^{2}(132,231,312)$ in this case. And this case contributes one element to $S_{n+1}^{2}(132,231,312)$.
(2) $\sigma^{\prime}=\operatorname{rev}\left(\operatorname{Id}_{n}\right)$ and $\sigma \neq \operatorname{rev}\left(\operatorname{Id}_{n}\right)$.

Then similar to Case $1,\left(\sigma(n+1),(n+1) \operatorname{rev}\left(\operatorname{Id}_{n}\right)\right)$ avoids 132,231 , and 312 , and inserting $n+1$ anywhere else into this 3 -permutation cannot construct a 3 -permutation in $S_{n+1}^{2}(132,231,312)$. Hence, this case contributes one element to $S_{n+1}^{2}(132,231,312)$.
(3) $\sigma=\sigma^{\prime}=\operatorname{rev}\left(\operatorname{Id}_{n}\right)$.

Note that $\left((n+1) \operatorname{rev}\left(\operatorname{Id}_{n}\right),(n+1) \operatorname{rev}\left(\operatorname{Id}_{n}\right)\right)$ avoids 132,231 , and 312 . Now we show that no other insertions of $n+1$ into this 3 -permutation avoids these patterns. The projection of $\left(\operatorname{rev}\left(\operatorname{Id}_{n}\right)(n+1),(n+1) \operatorname{rev}\left(\operatorname{Id}_{n}\right)\right)$ contains an occurrence of 231 and the projection of $\left((n+1) \operatorname{rev}\left(\operatorname{Id}_{n}\right), \operatorname{rev}\left(\operatorname{Id}_{n}\right)(n+1)\right)$ contains an occurrence of 312 , and therefore, this case contributes one element to $S_{n+1}^{2}(132,231,312)$.
Inserting $n+1$ into $\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right)=\left(\sigma_{L} n \sigma_{R}, \sigma_{L}^{\prime} n \sigma_{R}^{\prime}\right)$ anywhere else cannot avoid 132,231 , and 312 , where $\sigma, \sigma^{\prime} \neq \operatorname{rev}\left(\operatorname{Id}_{n}\right)$. More specifically, inserting $n+1$ left-adjacent to $n$ contains 132 and inserting $n+1$ anywhere to the left of this contains 312. Further, inserting $n+1$ anywhere to the right of $n$ (but not at the end of the permutation) contains 231 . Hence, we must insert $n+1$ at the end of the permutation, and no other insertions of $n+1$ in $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ avoid 132, 231, and 312.

Now for $\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right) \notin S_{n}^{2}(132,231,312)$, we cannot obtain an element in $S_{n+1}^{2}(132,231,312)$ by inserting the maximal element $n+1$ anywhere in $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$. This follows a similar argument to the one presented in Theorem 4.2,

Thus, we conclude that

$$
a_{n+1}=a_{n}+3
$$

Since our base case is $a_{1}=1$, this is equivalent to $a_{n+1}=3 n+1$.
Theorem 4.4. Let $a_{n}=\left|S_{n}^{2}(213,231,321)\right|$. Then $a_{n+1}$ follows the formula $a_{n+1}=2(n+1)$ for $n>0$ (with initial term $a_{1}=1$ ).

Proof. Let $\boldsymbol{\sigma}=\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right) \in S_{n}^{2}(213,231,321)$. Writing $\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$ as $\left(\sigma_{L} n \sigma_{R}, \sigma_{L}^{\prime} n \sigma_{R}^{\prime}\right)$, note that $\sigma_{L}, \sigma_{L}^{\prime}, \sigma_{R}$, and $\sigma_{R}^{\prime}$ are all consecutively increasing or empty.

We insert the maximal element $n+1$ to $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$ in an attempt to construct an element in $S_{n+1}^{2}(213,231,321)$. If $\sigma_{R}$ and $\sigma_{R}^{\prime}$ are nonempty, we cannot construct an element of $S_{n+1}^{2}(213,231,321)$ via insertion because inserting $n+1$ to the left of $n$ contains 321 , inserting $n+1$ right-adjacent to $n$ contains 231 , and inserting $n+1$ anywhere else contains 213 . Then it is enough to consider $\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right)=\left(\operatorname{Id}_{n}, \operatorname{Id}_{n}\right)$. We have two cases:
(1) We insert $n+1$ to the end of $\sigma$.

Then we can insert $n+1$ anywhere in $\sigma^{\prime}$ and the resulting 3 -permutation is an element of $S_{n+1}^{2}(213,231,321)$. This case contributes $n+1$ different elements to $S_{n+1}^{2}(213,231,321)$.
(2) We do not insert $n+1$ to the end of $\sigma$.

Note that inserting $n+1$ into the same position in $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$ avoids 213, 231, and 321. Further, $\left(\operatorname{Id}_{n-1}(n+1) n, \operatorname{Id}_{n}(n+1)\right)$ also avoids these patterns.

Inserting $n+1$ anywhere else contains one of these patterns because the resulting projection contains either 321 or 231 , and hence, this case contributes $n+1$ different 3 -permutations to $S_{n+1}^{2}(213,231,321)$.
Now for $\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right) \notin S_{n}^{2}(213,231,312)$, we cannot obtain an element in $S_{n+1}^{2}(213,231,312)$ by inserting the maximal element $n+1$ anywhere in $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$. Since it is enough to consider $\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right)=\left(\operatorname{Id}_{n}, \operatorname{Id}_{n}\right)$,
it is impossible for its projection to contain 213,231 , or 321 while $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$ avoid these patterns, and it follows that

$$
a_{n+1}=2(n+1)
$$

Theorem 4.5. Let $a_{n}=\left|S_{n}^{2}(231,312,321)\right|$. Then $a_{n}$ satisfies the recurrence

$$
a_{n+1}=a_{n}+3 a_{n-1}
$$

with initial terms $a_{1}=1$ and $a_{2}=4$.
Proof. Let $\boldsymbol{\sigma}=\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right) \in S_{n}^{2}(231,312,321)$. Write $\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$ as $\left(\sigma_{L} n \sigma_{R}, \sigma_{L}^{\prime} n \sigma_{R}^{\prime}\right)$.
Note that $\left(\sigma(n+1), \sigma^{\prime}(n+1)\right)$ is an element of $S_{n+1}^{2}(231,312,321)$. This contributes $a_{n}$ different 3 -permutations to $S_{n+1}^{2}(231,312,321)$. We consider the following additional case: when $\sigma_{R}$ and $\sigma_{R}^{\prime}$ are empty.

Then $\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right)=\left(\sigma_{L} n, \sigma_{L}^{\prime} n\right)$, and thus, $\left(\sigma_{L}(n+1) n, \sigma_{L}^{\prime} n(n+1)\right),\left(\sigma_{L}(n+1) n, \sigma_{L}^{\prime}(n+1) n\right)$, and $\left(\sigma_{L} n(n+1), \sigma_{L}^{\prime}(n+1) n\right)$ are all elements in $S_{n+1}^{2}(231,312,321)$. Inserting $n+1$ anywhere else cannot avoid these patterns, because inserting $n+1$ anywhere non-adjacent to $n$ contains 312 . Thus, this case contributes $3 a_{n-1}$ distinct 3 -permutations to $S_{n+1}^{2}(231,312,321)$.

Now when either $\sigma_{R}$ and $\sigma_{R}^{\prime}$ are nonempty, we show that inserting $n+1$ anywhere but the end of the 3 -permutation cannot avoid 231, 312, and 321. Let $\sigma_{R}$ be nonempty. Then we must insert $n+1$ at the end of $\sigma$; otherwise, inserting $n+1$ to the right of $n$ contains 231 , inserting left-adjacent to $n$ contains 321 , and inserting to the left of $n$ contains 312 . And we evaluate the projection $\left(\sigma_{L} n \sigma_{R}(n+1), \sigma_{L}^{\prime}(n+1) n\right):$

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\longmapsto \sigma_{L} & n & \sigma_{R} \\
& & \\
\sigma_{L}^{\prime} & & \\
\longmapsto & n+1 & n
\end{array}
$$

Since $\sigma_{R}$ is nonempty, this contains an instance of 312 . The case where $\sigma_{R}^{\prime}$ is nonempty is similar. Inserting $n+1$ anywhere else in $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ cannot produce an element in $S_{n+1}^{2}(231,312,321)$.

Now for $\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right) \notin S_{n}^{2}(231,312,321)$, we cannot obtain an element in $S_{n+1}^{2}(231,312,321)$ by inserting the maximal element $n+1$ anywhere in $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$. It's straightforward to check that the projections of $\left(\sigma(n+1), \sigma^{\prime}(n+1)\right),\left(\sigma_{L}(n+1) n, \sigma_{L}^{\prime} n(n+1)\right),\left(\sigma_{L}(n+1) n, \sigma_{L}^{\prime}(n+1) n\right)$, and $\left(\sigma_{L} n(n+1), \sigma_{L}^{\prime}(n+1) n\right)$ contain instances of $\sigma^{\prime} \circ \sigma^{-1}$ and hence, contain instances of 231,312 , or 321 . The proof above shows that inserting $n+1$ anywhere else in $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$ cannot avoid these patterns.

Thus, it follows that

$$
a_{n+1}=a_{n}+3 a_{n-1}
$$

## 5. Final Remarks and Open Problems

In this paper, we completely enumerated 3 -permutations avoiding two patterns of size 3 and three patterns of size 3. The theorems in this paper prove all the conjectures by Bonichon and Morel [3] regarding 3 -permutations avoiding two patterns of size 3 and extend their conjectures to classify 3permutations avoiding all classes of three patterns of size 3 . We conclude with the following open problems.

Problem 5.1. Enumerate 3-permutations avoiding one pattern of size 3 or one pattern of size 4.
Although this paper has shown connections between 3-permutations avoiding two patterns of size 3 and their recurrence relations, there are no existing OEIS sequences [10] that correspond to the number of 3-permutations avoiding one pattern of size 3 or 3 -permutations avoiding one pattern of size 4 . In a similar vein, enumeration of $d$-permutations with dimension greater than 3 or 3 -permutations avoiding sets of patterns of size 4 remains an open problem.

Bonichon and Morel [3] also introduced other tables enumerating 3-permutations avoiding other combinations of patterns, such as avoiding patterns with dimension 3 or avoiding exactly one permutation of size 2 and dimension 3 and exactly one permutation of size 3 and dimension 2 . We note a few of their conjectures as future directions to continue.
Conjecture 5.2 (Bonichon and Morel [3). The 3-permutations avoiding the 3-patterns $(12,12)$ and $(231,312)$ are enumerated by the OEIS sequence A295928.

In addition, Table 3 by Bonichon and Morel [3] presents 3-permutations avoiding a permutation of size 2 and dimension 3 as well as a pattern of size 3 and dimension 2. Many of these sequences also correspond to existing sequences on the OEIS database [10], but little research has been done to enumerate such sequences of 3 -permutations. It would be interesting to prove these recurrences that result from 3 -permutations avoiding patterns with different dimensions.

| Patterns | \#TWE | Sequence | OEIS Sequence | Comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $123,(12,12)$ | 1 | $1,3,14,70,288,822,1260, \ldots$ |  | Not in OEIS |
| $123,(12,21)$ | 3 | $1,3,6,6,0,0,0, \ldots$ |  | Terminates after $n=4$ |
| $132,(12,12)$ | 2 | $1,3,11,41,153,573,2157, \ldots$ | A281593? |  |
| $132,(12,21)$ | 6 | $1,3,11,43,173,707,2917, \ldots$ | A026671? |  |
| $231,(12,12)$ | 2 | $1,3,9,26,72,192,496, \ldots$ | A072863? |  |
| $231,(12,21)$ | 4 | $1,3,11,44,186,818,3706, \ldots$ |  | Not in OEIS |
| $231,(21,12)$ | 2 | $1,3,12,55,273,1428,7752, \ldots$ | A001764? |  |
| $321,(12,12)$ | 1 | $1,3,2,0,0,0,0, \ldots$ |  | Terminates after $n=3$ |
| $321,(12,21)$ | 3 | $1,3,11,47,221,1113,5903, \ldots$ | A217216? |  |

TABLE 3. Sequences of 3-permutations avoiding one pattern of size 3 and dimension 2 and one pattern of size 2 and dimension 3. The "?" after the OEIS sequences mean that the sequences match on the first few terms and Bonichon and Morel [3] conjectured that they are the same. The second column indicates the number of trivially Wilf-equivalent classes.

We notice that the sequence A001787 in Theorem 3.1 counts the number of 132-avoiding permutations of length $n+2$ with exactly one occurrence of a 123-pattern and the number of Dyck $(n+2)$-paths with exactly one valley at height 1 and no higher valley [10. In this spirit, we propose the following problem:
Problem 5.3. Find combinatorial bijections to explain the relationships between the 3-permutation avoidance classes found in this paper and their recurrence relations.

In general, the problem of enumerating $d$-permutations avoiding sets of small patterns is widely open. Since several of these enumeration sequences correspond to sequences on the OEIS database [10], there are certainly interesting combinatorial properties of these 3-permutation avoidance classes, and there are several bijections to find that explain these sequences.
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