Product-free sets in approximate subgroups of distal groups

Atticus Stonestrom (Email: atticusstonestrom@yahoo.com)

Abstract: Recall that a subset X of a group G is 'product-free' if $X^2 \cap X = \emptyset$, ie if $xy \notin X$ for all $x, y \in X$. Let G be a group definable in a distal structure. We prove there are constants c > 0 and $\delta \in (0, 1)$ such that every finite subset $X \subseteq G$ distinct from {1} contains a product-free subset of size at least $\delta |X|^{c+1}/|X^2|^c$. In particular, every finite k-approximate subgroup of G distinct from {1} contains a product-free subset of density at least δ / k^c .

The proof is short, and follows quickly from Ruzsa calculus and an iterated application of Chernikov and Starchenko's distal regularity lemma.

Acknowledgements: I would like to thank Artem Chernikov, Ben Green, Zach Hunter, and Sergei Starchenko for helpful discussion, and I would especially like to thank Jonathan Pila and Udi Hrushovski for much encouragement and feedback.

Notation: Throughout we will use the standard terminology and notation of 'Ruzsa calculus'; thus a 'multiplicative set' is simply a finite subset of a group. Given multiplicative sets X, Y in the same ambient group, we have $XY = \{xy : x \in X, y \in Y\}$ and $X^{-1} = \{x^{-1} : x \in X\}$ and, for any natural number $n, X^n = \{x_1 \cdots x_n : x_i \in X\}$. Also, we take log to mean the logarithm base 2.

1 Introduction

Product-free sets – especially in the abelian context, where they are called 'sum-free' – are a rich topic of study in additive combinatorics; one of the earliest results is Erdős' 1965 proof that every finite set of non-zero integers contains a sum-free subset of density at least 1/3. Given a multiplicative set X, two natural questions of interest include the following: what is the largest density of a product-free subset of X, and how many product-free subsets does X contain? There is an extensive literature on these and related topics, and we refer the reader to the survey [20] for a picture of some of this landscape in the abelian case. The starting point of our motivation here is a question raised by Babai and Sós in [4]: is there a constant $\delta > 0$ such that every finite non-trivial group contains a product-free subset of density at least δ ?

In [10], Gowers gave a negative answer to this question. Indeed, let H be a non-trivial finite group and d the minimal dimension of a non-trivial complex representation of H. Gowers then shows that H does not contain any product-free set of size greater than $|H|/d^{1/3}$; by a classical result of Frobenius, this is enough to conclude that, for every prime power q, $PSL_2(q)$ contains no product-free set of size greater than $2|PSL_2(q)|^{8/9}$.

Gowers also obtained a bound in the reverse direction, showing that H does contain a product-free set of size at least $|H|/2000^d$. In [14], Nikolov and Pyber improved the exponential dependence on d to a polynomial one, showing the existence of an absolute constant $\delta \in (0, 1)$ such that H contains a product-free set of size at least $\delta |H|/d$.

These results (and others – see eg [12] for a survey, and see [15] for pseudo-finite analogues due to Palacín) give perspective on the maximal densities of product-free subsets of finite groups. In this paper we are motivated by the natural generalization of this line of inquiry to the class of finite *approximate* groups. We show that, for fixed k, a positive answer to the analogue of Babai and Sós' question for k-approximate groups can be obtained in a certain model-theoretic context: namely, in the context of a group interpretable in a *distal structure*. Specifically, given such a group G, we show the existence of constants c > 0 and $\delta \in (0,1)$ such that every finite subset $X \subseteq G$ distinct from {1} contains a product-free subset of density at least δ/k^c , where $k = |X^2|/|X|$. In the case $G = \operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$, we note that, modulo a weighted version of an argument of Alon and Kleitman, this also follows from Breuillard, Green, and Tao's classification of the approximate subgroups of $\operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ (again with polynomial dependence on k).

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Approximate groups

Recall that the 'doubling' and 'tripling' constants of a multiplicative set X are the ratios $|X^2|/|X|$ and $|X^3|/|X|$, respectively. For $k \ge 1$, X is said to be a k-approximate group if $1 \in X = X^{-1}$ and X^2 is covered by at most k-many translates of X; note that the doubling constant of a k-approximate group is at most k. There is a vast literature on k-approximate groups, and we refer the reader to the survey [5] for context on the area. For our main result, we will need only two basic facts. The first is a consequence of Ruzsa's 'triangle inequality':

Fact 2.1. If X is a multiplicative set with $|X^2| \leq k|X|$, then $|XX^{-1}| \leq k^2|X|$.

The second is a consequence of a theorem of Petridis; see Theorem 1.5 in [16]:

Fact 2.2. If X is a multiplicative set with $|X^2| \leq k|X|$, then there is a subset $Y \subseteq X$ such that $|Y| \geq |X|/k$ and $|Y^3| \leq k^3|Y|$.

In some follow-up discussion, it will also be convenient to cite the following results; the first is a consequence of Theorem 4.6 in [19].

Fact 2.3. Let Y, Z be multiplicative sets of size n, and suppose $|YZ| \leq kn$. Then, in the ambient group, there is an $O(k^{O(1)})$ -approximate group W and an element u such that $|W| \leq O(k^{O(1)})n$ and $|Y \cap uW| \geq |Y|/O(k^{O(1)})$.

The second is a consequence of the celebrated Balog-Szemerédi-Gowers theorem; see for example Lemma 5.1 in [19].

Fact 2.4. Let X be a multiplicative set, and suppose there are at least $|X|^2/k$ many pairs $(x, y) \in X \times X$ satisfying $xy \in X$. Then there are subsets $Y, Z \subseteq X$, each of size at least $|X|/O(k^{O(1)})$, such that $|YZ| \leq O(k^{O(1)})|X|$.

2.2 Distal structures

The model-theoretic context for this paper is the notion of distality, a property of first-order structures introduced by Simon in [17]. We will not need the definition of a distal structure here, and refer the reader to the introduction of [7] for an overview. Instead, we point out two motivating examples: (i) the field $(\mathbb{R}, \cdot, +)$, or more generally any o-minimal structure, and (ii) the field $(\mathbb{Q}_p, \cdot, +)$ for any prime p.

In this note we are concerned with groups (G, \cdot) that are definable in some distal structure. One non-trivial fact is that these are precisely the groups *interpretable* in a distal structure; see [13] or [3] for detailed proofs of this. (As an aside, note that it also follows directly from Fact 2.7 below alone that every relation interpretable in a distal structure has the strong Erdős-Hajnal property.) As a concrete example, the reader may throughout consider G to be the \mathbb{R} -points of any semialgebraic group.

The main tool we employ comes from the paper [7], wherein Chernikov and Starchenko showed that relations definable in distal structures enjoy strong combinatorial regularity properties. To state their theorem, we first recall the notion of a 'homogeneous' tuple of sets in an *n*-partite hypergraph; let $R \subseteq X_1 \times \cdots \times X_n$ be an *n*-ary relation on sets X_i .

Definition 2.5. A tuple (U_1, \ldots, U_n) of subsets $U_i \subseteq X_i$ is 'R-homogeneous' if $U_1 \times \cdots \times U_n$ is either a subset of R or disjoint from R.

Definition 2.6. R has the 'strong Erdős-Hajnal property' if there exists a constant $\delta \in (0, 1)$ with the following property: for any finite subsets $W_i \subseteq X_i$, there are subsets $U_i \subseteq W_i$, with each U_i of size at least $\delta |W_i|$, such that (U_1, \ldots, U_n) is R-homogeneous.

The relevant result from [7] is then the following, which is an immediate consequence of Corollary 4.5 there:

Fact 2.7. Every relation definable in a distal structure has the strong Erdős-Hajnal property.

For some examples of the varied interactions between distality and additive combinatorics, we refer the reader to the papers [2], [8], and [9].

3 Main Result

We now have all the necessary prerequisites. Our result holds in any group in which the 6-ary relation $R(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \equiv x_1 x_2 x_3 = y_1 y_2 y_3$ has the strong Erdős-Hajnal property; by Fact 2.7, it applies in particular to any group definable in a distal structure. For the rest of this section thus fix a group G in which R has the strong Erdős-Hajnal property.

Lemma 3.1. There is a constant $c_0 > 0$ such that, for any $\alpha \in (0,1)$, there is some $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$ with the following property: every finite subset $Y \subseteq G$ of size at least $8/\alpha$ contains subsets $U, V, W \subseteq Y$, all of size at least $\varepsilon |Y|^{c_0+1}/|Y^3|^{c_0}$, such that $|UVW| \leq \alpha |Y|$.

Proof. Let $\delta \in (0,1)$ be a constant witnessing the strong Erdős-Hajnal property for R; ie any finite subsets $U_1, U_2, U_3, V_1, V_2, V_3 \subseteq G$ contain respective subsets $U'_1, U'_2, U'_3, V'_1, V'_2, V'_3$, all of density at least δ , such that (U'_1, \ldots, V'_3) is R-homogeneous. I claim we may take $c_0 = \log(1/\delta)$; to see this, fix $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and let $\varepsilon = \delta \alpha^{c_0}$.

First note that if $U_1, U_2, U_3, V_1, V_2, V_3$ are subsets of G of size at least 2, then we cannot have $u_1u_2u_3 = v_1v_2v_3$ for all $u_i \in U_i$ and $v_i \in V_i$. Thus if (U_1, \ldots, V_3) is R-homogeneous, then in fact $U_1U_2U_3$ and $V_1V_2V_3$ are disjoint, and so in particular one of $U_1U_2U_3$ and $V_1V_2V_3$ has size at most $|W_1W_2W_3|/2$ for any sets $W_i \supseteq U_i \cup V_i$. The strong Erdős-Hajnal property hence tells us that any finite subsets $W_1, W_2, W_3 \subseteq G$, all of size at least 2, contain respective subsets U_1, U_2, U_3 with $|U_i| \ge \delta |W_i|$ for each i and with $|U_1U_2U_3| \le |W_1W_2W_3|/2$.

Now we simply iterate this fact; fix a finite subset $Y \subseteq G$ with $|Y| \ge 8/\alpha$ and let $U_1 = V_1 = W_1 = Y$. Applying the previous remark, we inductively define sets U_n, V_n, W_n such that (i) $U_{n+1}, V_{n+1}, W_{n+1}$ are contained in U_n, V_n, W_n , respectively, (ii) $U_{n+1}, V_{n+1}, V_{n+1}, W_{n+1}$ have size at least $\delta |U_n|, \delta |V_n|, \delta |W_n|$, respectively, and (iii) $|U_{n+1}V_{n+1}W_{n+1}| \le |U_nV_nW_n|/2$; the (n + 1)-th tuple of sets in this sequence can be constructed as long as all of U_n, V_n, W_n have size at least 2, and hence as long as $\delta^{n-1}|Y| \ge 2$.

Now let $n = \left\lceil \log(|Y^3|/\alpha|Y|) \right\rceil + 1$. Then

$$\delta^{n-2} \ge \delta^{\log(|Y^3|/\alpha|Y|)} = (\alpha|Y|/|Y^3|)^{\log(1/\delta)} = (\alpha|Y|/|Y^3|)^{c_0}$$

whence $\delta^{n-1}|Y| \ge \varepsilon |Y|^{c_0+1}/|Y^3|^{c_0}$, and so it suffices to find $U, V, W \subseteq Y$ of size at least $\delta^{n-1}|Y|$ and with $|UVW| \le \alpha |Y|$. If $\delta^{n-2}|Y| \ge 2$, then the sets U_n, V_n, W_n exist and are of appropriate size, and further satisfy $|U_n V_n W_n| \le |Y^3|/2^{n-1} \le \alpha |Y|$, as needed. If instead $2 > \delta^{n-2}|Y|$, then in particular $2 > \delta^{n-1}|Y|$, and so we may take U, V, W to be any subsets of Y of size at least 2; these will satisfy $|UVW| \le 8 \le \alpha |Y|$, again as needed.

Corollary 3.2. There are constants $c_1 > 0$ and $\varepsilon_1 \in (0,1)$ such that every finite subset $Y \subseteq G$ of size at least 16 contains a subset $Z \subseteq Y$, of size at least $\varepsilon_1 |Y|^{c_1+1}/|Y^3|^{c_1}$, such that $|Z^{-1}ZZ^{-1}| \leq |Y|/2$.

Proof. Let c_0 be given by Lemma 3.1, and let $\varepsilon_0 \in (0, 1)$ witness the lemma for the case $\alpha = 1/2$; I claim we may take $c_1 = 3c_0$ and $\varepsilon_1 = 4\varepsilon_0^3$. To see this, fix a finite subset $Y \subseteq G$ of size at least 16, and for notational convenience let $k = |Y^3|/|Y|$. By Lemma 3.1 we can find subsets $U, V, W \subseteq Y$, each of size at least $\varepsilon_0 |Y|/k^{c_0}$, such that $|UVW| \leq |Y|/2$.

Now, for each $g, h \in G$, let $Z_{g,h} = U^{-1}g \cap V \cap W^{-1}$. The map $(g, h, z) \mapsto (gz^{-1}, z, z^{-1}h)$ gives a bijection from $\{(g, h, z) : g, h \in G, z \in Z_{g,h}\}$ to $U \times V \times W$, with inverse given by $(u, v, w) \mapsto (uv, vw, v)$, whence $\sum_{g,h \in G} |Z_{g,h}| = |U||V||W|$. On the other hand, $Z_{g,h} \neq \emptyset$ only if $g \in UV$ and $h \in VW$. The size of these sets is bounded above by $|UVW| \leq |Y|/2$, so we have $|U||V||W| \leq (|Y|^2/4) \sup_{g,h \in G} |Z_{g,h}|$, and there thus exist some $g, h \in G$ such that

$$|Z_{g,h}| \ge 4|U||V||W|/|Y|^2 \ge 4\varepsilon_0^3|Y|/k^{3c_0} = \varepsilon_1|Y|^{c_1+1}/|Y^3|^{c_1}$$

On the other hand, $Z_{g,h}^{-1}Z_{g,h}Z_{g,h}^{-1} \subseteq g^{-1}UVWh^{-1}$, whence $|Z_{g,h}^{-1}Z_{g,h}Z_{g,h}^{-1}| \leq |UVW| \leq |Y|/2$. So taking $Z = Z_{g,h}$ gives the desired result.

Theorem 3.3. There are constants $c_2 > 0$ and $\varepsilon_2 \in (0,1)$ such that the following holds: if $X \subseteq G$ is finite and distinct from $\{1\}$, and $k = |X^2|/|X|$, then X contains a product-free subset of size at least $\varepsilon_2|X|/k^{c_2}$.

Proof. Let c_1 and ε_1 be given by Corollary 3.2; I claim we may take $c_2 = 3c_1 + 4$ and $\varepsilon_2 = \min\{\varepsilon_1/2, 1/16\}$. Thus fix a finite subset $X \subseteq G$ distinct from $\{1\}$. If |X| < 16k, then $\varepsilon_2|X|/k^{c_2} < 16k/16k^{c_2} \leq 1$, so we may pick any $z \in X \setminus \{1\}$ and then $\{z\}$ will be a product-free subset of X of appropriate size. Hence we may assume $|X| \ge 16k$. By Fact 2.2, there is a subset $Y \subseteq X$ with $|Y| \ge |X|/k$, hence $|Y| \ge 16$, and $|Y^3| \le k^3|Y|$. By Corollary 3.2, there is then a subset $Z \subseteq Y$ with $|Z| \ge \varepsilon_1|Y|/k^{3c_1}$ and $|Z^{-1}ZZ^{-1}| \le |Y|/2$.

For each $g \in G$, let $Y_g = gZ \cap Y$. The map $(g, y) \mapsto (y, g^{-1}y)$ gives a bijection from $\{(g, y) : g \in G, y \in Y_g\}$ to $Y \times Z$, whence $\sum_{g \in G} |Y_g| = |Y| |Z|$. Moreover, $|Y_g| \leq |gZ| = |Z|$ for all $g \in G$, and $|Z^{-1}ZZ^{-1}| \leq |Y|/2$, so $\sum_{g \in Z^{-1}ZZ^{-1}} |Y_g| \leq |Y| |Z|/2$; combining these bounds gives $\sum_{g \notin Z^{-1}ZZ^{-1}} |Y_g| \geq |Y| |Z|/2$.

On the other hand, $Y_g \neq \emptyset$ only if $g \in YZ^{-1}$. Since $Y, Z \subseteq X$ and $|X^2| \leq k|X|$, by Fact 2.1 we have $|YZ^{-1}| \leq |XX^{-1}| \leq k^2|X| \leq k^3|Y|$. So in particular

$$|Y||Z|/2 \leq k^3 |Y| \sup_{g \notin Z^{-1}ZZ^{-1}} |Y_g|,$$

and there thus exists some $g \in G \setminus Z^{-1}ZZ^{-1}$ such that $|Y_g| \ge |Z|/2k^3$.

By definition, $Y_g \subseteq Y \subseteq X$. We further have $|Y_g| \ge \varepsilon_1 |Y|/2k^{3c_1+3}$ since $|Z| \ge \varepsilon_1 |Y|/k^{3c_1}$, and therefore

$$|Y_q| \ge \varepsilon_1 |X| / 2k^{3c_1 + 4} \ge \varepsilon_2 |X| / k^{c_2}$$

since $|Y| \ge |X|/k$. So we need only show that Y_g is product-free and we will be done; for this, since $Y_g \subseteq gZ$, it suffices to show that gZ is product-free. Thus suppose otherwise; then there are $z_1, z_2, z_3 \in Z$ such that $gz_1gz_2 = gz_3$. Rearranging this gives $g = z_1^{-1}z_3z_2^{-1}$, contradicting that $g \notin Z^{-1}ZZ^{-1}$, and so we are done.

This concludes the main result. For completeness, we would like to note an alternative proof of Theorem 3.3 in the case that $G = \operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$. The k-approximate subgroups of G were classified qualitatively by Hrushovski in [11], and then with polynomial dependence on k by Breuillard, Green, and Tao in [6]; the following is an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.5 in [6].

Fact 3.4. For every *n*, there are constants c > 0 and $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ such that the following holds: for any finite *k*-approximate group $X \subseteq \operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$, there is a nilpotent group $H \leq \operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ of step at most n - 1 and an element $g \in \operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ such that $|X \cap gH| \ge \varepsilon |X|/k^c$.

By Fact 2.3 and Corollary A.3, and noting that any non-trivial coset of a subgroup is product-free, Fact 3.4 implies Theorem 3.3 in the case $G = \operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$, with the same polynomial dependence on k. It is tempting to ask whether the dependence on k can be removed entirely, either in this case or in the more general distal context:

Question 3.5. Suppose G is a group definable in a distal structure. Is there a constant $\delta > 0$ such that every finite subset $X \subseteq G$ distinct from $\{1\}$ contains a product-free subset of size at least $\delta |X|$? How about in the case $G = GL_n(\mathbb{C})$?

For completeness we include some remarks on this question in the next section.

4 Remarks on Question 3.5

In this section we will briefly discuss Question 3.5; these are standard observations but perhaps worth noting nonetheless. Let G be a group definable in a distal structure and let $X \subseteq G$ be a finite subset distinct from {1}; we wish to find a product-free subset of X of fixed positive density. On the one hand, if there are 'very few' pairs $(x, y) \in X \times X$ with $xy \in X$, say at most k|X|-many for some fixed $k \ge 1$, then one can check that X contains a product-free subset of density at least 1/O(k); indeed this is true without any hypotheses on G whatsoever.

On the other hand, if 'most' of the pairs $(x, y) \in X \times X$ satisfy $xy \in X$, then we can couple Theorem 3.3 with the Balog-Szemerédi-Gowers theorem to obtain the desired set. Specifically, if there are at least $|X|^2/k$ such pairs, then X contains a product-free subset of density at least $1/O(k^{O(1)})$; let us quickly sketch the proof of this.

The first relevant observation is that every dense subset of a 'coset' of an approximate group contains a dense product-free subset. More precisely, suppose that $W \subseteq G$ is a finite *k*-approximate group, that $u \in G$ is arbitrary, and that *V* is a subset of uW distinct from $\{1\}$ and of size $|W|/O(k^{O(1)})$. We have two cases; if $u \notin W^{-1}WW^{-1}$, then uW and hence *V* as well are already product-free, so there is nothing to show. If instead *u* does lie in $W^{-1}WW^{-1} = W^3$, then $(uW)^2$ is contained in W^8 , whence uW has doubling at most k^7 . Since $|uW|/|V| = O(k^{O(1)})$, thus V has doubling $O(k^{O(1)})$, and now by Theorem 3.3 V contains a product-free subset of density $1/O(k^{O(1)})$, as needed.

Now fix $k \ge 1$, and suppose there are at least $|X|^2/k$ pairs $(x, y) \in X \times X$ with $xy \in X$. By the Fact 2.4, there are then subsets $Y, Z \subseteq X$, each of size $|X|/O(k^{O(1)})$, such that $|YZ| = O(k^{O(1)})|X|$. By Fact 2.3, there is then an $O(k^{O(1)})$ -approximate subgroup $W \subseteq G$ of size at most $O(k^{O(1)})|X|$ and an element $u \in G$ such that $|Y \cap uW|$ has size at least $|X|/O(k^{O(1)})$; now one applies the previous paragraph to $V = Y \cap uW$ to obtain a product-free subset of Y of size $|X|/O(k^{O(1)})$, giving the desired result.

So, we are able to handle the two edge cases, where either 'very many' or 'very few' pairs of elements of X have product landing in X. However, it is entirely unclear to us how to handle the more general question, and we thus close our remarks here.

A Product-free sets in solvable groups

In [1], Alon and Kleitman showed that every finite set of non-zero elements of an abelian group contains a sum-free subset of density at least 2/7. In this section we point out an analogous fact for solvable groups, which follows from a weighted version of Alon and Kleitman's theorem. The proof is a completely standard adaptation of their argument.

First we need the following easy fact, which is observed in [1]; let $\alpha = 1/4$.

Fact A.1. For every non-zero finite cyclic group (H, +), there is a sum-free subset $I \subseteq H$ such that $|K \cap I| \ge \alpha |K|$ for every non-zero subgroup $K \le H$. (Indeed when $H = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ one may take I to be the image of $\{|n/3| + 1, ..., |2n/3|\}$ in H.)

Now the following is the weighted version of Alon and Kleitman's result, using an identical argument as theirs:

Lemma A.2. Let (G, +, 0) be an abelian group and $B \subseteq G \setminus \{0\}$ a finite subset, and for each $b \in B$ let w_b be a positive integer. Then there is a sum-free subset $A \subseteq B$ with $\sum_{a \in A} w_a \ge \alpha \sum_{b \in B} w_b$.

Proof. Every finite subset of an abelian group is Freiman isomorphic to a subset of $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^s$ for some n, s, so we may assume without loss of generality that $G = (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^s$. Let $I \subseteq \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ be given for $H = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ by Fact A.1.

Now, for each $b = (b_1, \ldots, b_s) \in B$, consider the homomorphism $f_b : G \to \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ defined by $(c_1, \ldots, c_s) \mapsto \sum_{i \in [s]} c_i b_i$. Note that, since $(0, \ldots, 0) \notin B$, $\operatorname{im}(f_b)$ is a non-zero subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ for every $b \in B$. Now, pick $c \in G$ uniformly at random. Consider the random quantity $W_c = \sum_{b \in B} w_b \mathbf{1}_{f_b(c) \in I}$. Since f_b is a group homomorphism, its fibers have constant size, and so $\mathbb{P}[f_b(c) \in I] = |\operatorname{im}(f_b) \cap I| / |\operatorname{im}(f_b)|$ for each $b \in B$. Since also $\operatorname{im}(f_b)$ is non-zero, by Fact A.1 $\mathbb{P}[f_b(c) \in I] \ge \alpha$ for each $b \in B$, and so in particular $\mathbb{E}[W_c] \ge \alpha \sum_{b \in B} w_b$.

by Fact A.1 $\mathbb{P}[f_b(c) \in I] \ge \alpha$ for each $b \in B$, and so in particular $\mathbb{E}[W_c] \ge \alpha \sum_{b \in B} w_b$. Thus we may find $c_0 \in G$ with $W_{c_0} \ge \alpha \sum_{b \in B} w_b$. Now, let $A = \{a \in B : f_a(c_0) \in I\}$. Then $\sum_{a \in A} w_a = W_{c_0} \ge \alpha \sum_{b \in B} w_b$, and I claim that A is sum-free. Indeed, suppose there are $a, a' \in A$ with $a + a' \in A$. Note that $f_a(c_0) + f_{a'}(c_0) = f_{a+a'}(c_0)$; by definition of A all three of these quantities lie in I, contradicting that I is sum-free.

Corollary A.3. Let $(G, \cdot, 1)$ be a solvable group admitting a length-(n+1) subnormal series with abelian factor groups. Then every finite subset $C \subseteq G \setminus \{1\}$ contains a product-free subset of size at least $\alpha |C|/2^n$. *Proof.* By induction on *n*. When n = 0, *G* is abelian, and so the base case is handled. For the inductive step, suppose n > 0. Then there is a normal subgroup $H \triangleleft G$ such that G/H is abelian and *H* admits a length-*n* subnormal series with abelian factor groups. Let $C \subseteq G$ be any finite subset. If $|C \cap H| \ge |C|/2$, then we are done by the inductive hypothesis. Thus suppose $|C \setminus H| \ge |C|/2$. Let $\pi : G \to G/H$ denote the projection map, and let $B = \pi(C \setminus H)$. For each $b \in B$, let $w_b = |\pi^{-1}(b) \cap C|$; by Lemma A.2, there is a product-free subset $A \subseteq B$ with $\sum_{a \in A} w_a \ge \alpha \sum_{b \in B} w_b$. But $\sum_{a \in A} w_a = |\pi^{-1}(A) \cap C|$, and $\sum_{b \in B} w_b = |C \setminus H| \ge |C|/2$. So $|\pi^{-1}(A) \cap C| \ge \alpha |C|/2$. The preimage of a product-free set under a group homomorphism is product-free, and so taking $\pi^{-1}(A) \cap C$ gives the desired product-free set.

References

- Noga Alon and Daniel Kleitman. Sum-free subsets, in: A Tribute to Paul Erdős, Cambridge University Press, 1990.
- [2] Aaron Anderson. Combinatorial bounds in distal structures. Preprint. 2021.
- [3] Matthias Aschenbrenner, Artem Chernikov, Allen Gehret, Martin Ziegler. Distality in valued fields and related structures. *Transactions of the AMS*, 2022.
- [4] László Babai, Vera Sós. Sidon sets in groups and induced subgraphs of Cayley graphs. European Journal of Combinatorics, 1985.
- [5] Emmanuel Breuillard. A brief introduction to approximate groups, in: *Thin Groups and Superstrong Approximation*, Cambridge University Press, 2013.
- [6] Emmanuel Breuillard, Ben Green, Terence Tao. Approximate subgroups of linear groups. Geometric and Functional Analysis, Springer, 2011.
- [7] Artem Chernikov and Sergei Starchenko. Regularity lemma for distal structures. *Journal* of the European Mathematical Society, 2018.
- [8] Artem Chernikov, David Galvin, Sergei Starchenko. Cutting lemma and Zarankiewicz's problem in distal structures. *Selecta Mathematica*, 2020.
- [9] Gabe Conant, Anand Pillay, Caroline Terry. Structure and regularity for subsets of groups with finite VC-dimension. *Journal of the EMS*, 2022.
- [10] Timothy Gowers. Quasirandom groups. Combinatorics, Probability, and Computing, 2008.
- [11] Ehud Hrushovski. Stable group theory and approximate subgroups. Journal of the American Mathematical Society, 2011.
- [12] Kiran Kedlaya. Product-free subsets of groups, then and now. Contemporary Mathematics, 2009.
- [13] Krzysztof Krupiński and Adrián Portillo. On stable quotients. Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic, 2022.
- [14] Nikolay Nikolov, László Pyber. Product decompositions of quasirandom groups and a Jordan type theorem. *Journal of the EMS*, 2011.
- [15] Daniel Palacín. On compactifications and product-free sets. Journal of the LMS, 2019.
- [16] Giorgis Petridis. New proofs of Plünnecke-type estimates for product sets in groups. Combinatorica, 2012.
- [17] Pierre Simon. Distal and non-distal NIP theories. Annals of Pure and Applied Logic, 2013.
- [18] Pierre Simon. A note on "Regularity lemma for distal structures". Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, 2016.
- [19] Terence Tao. Product set estimates for non-commutative groups. *Combinatorica*, 2008.
- [20] Terence Tao, Van Vu. Sum-free sets in groups: a survey. Journal of Combinatorics, 2017.