

# Discrete diffusion-type equation on regular graphs and its applications <sup>\*</sup>

Carlos A. Cadavid, Paulina Hoyos, Jay Jorgenson,<sup>†</sup>  
Lejla Smajlović, Juan D. Vélez

March 27, 2023

## Abstract

We derive an explicit formula for the fundamental solution  $K_{T_{q+1}}(x, x_0; t)$  to the discrete-time diffusion equation on the  $(q+1)$ -regular tree  $T_{q+1}$  in terms of the discrete  $I$ -Bessel function. We then use the formula to derive an explicit expression for the fundamental solution  $K_X(x, x_0; t)$  to the discrete-time diffusion equation on any  $(q+1)$ -regular graph  $X$ . Going further, we develop three applications. The first one is to derive a general trace formula that relates the spectral data on  $X$  to its topological data. Though we emphasize the results in the case when  $X$  is finite, our method also applies when  $X$  has a countably infinite number of vertices. As a second application, we obtain a closed-form expression for the return time probability distribution of the uniform random walk on any  $(q+1)$ -regular graph. The expression is obtained by relating  $K_X(x, x_0; t)$  to the uniform random walk on a  $(q+1)$ -regular graph. We then show that if  $\{X_h\}$  is a sequence of  $(q+1)$ -regular graphs whose number of vertices goes to infinity and which satisfies a certain natural geometric condition, then the limit of the return time probability distributions from  $\{X_h\}$  is equal to the return time probability distribution on the tree  $T_{q+1}$ . As a third application, we derive formulas which express the number of distinct closed irreducible walks without tails on a finite graph  $X$  in terms of moments of the spectrum of its adjacency matrix.

## 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Diffusion-type equations

The diffusion-type equation in timescale  $T$  on the space  $X$  can be viewed as the equation

$$\partial_t u(x; t) + a \Delta_X u(x; t) = 0, \quad (1)$$

where  $\partial_t$  is the partial derivative with respect to variable  $t$  from the given timescale  $T$  (see [4]),  $a$  is a certain parameter denoting the strength of the diffusion (see e.g. [29]) and  $\Delta_X$  is either the Laplacian on the space  $X$  or some generalization of it (e.g. the weighted Laplacian). The initial conditions for (1) are defined naturally and depend on the timescale.

Equation (1) is rather general and there are many instances of different timescales and different spaces  $X$ . The most classical situation is the diffusion equation with timescale  $T = [0, \infty)$

---

<sup>\*</sup>Keywords: Heat kernel, Bessel function, regular tree and graph, trace formula

<sup>†</sup>The third-named author acknowledges grant support from PSC-CUNY Award 65400-00-53, which was jointly funded by the Professional Staff Congress and The City University of New York.

and space  $X = \mathbb{R}$ , in which case (1) becomes the equation

$$\partial_t u(x; t) = a \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} u(x; t).$$

When the space is discrete, for example when  $X = \mathbb{Z}$ , the diffusion-type equation (1) has been studied recently in [31], [32], [28], [29] and [30]. The operator  $\Delta_X$  defined in those papers is either the centered second difference operator, which equals the combinatorial Laplacian on the graph  $\mathbb{Z}$  when viewed as a 2-regular infinite graph, or an operator  $\Delta_X$  which acts on functions  $f$  defined on  $\mathbb{Z}$  of the form

$$\Delta_X f(x) := a_0 f(x) - \sum_{\substack{i=-m \\ i \neq 0}}^m a_i f(x+i) \quad \text{with } x \in \mathbb{Z}$$

and for some set of constants  $\{a_i\}$ . Under certain natural assumptions on the set of constants  $\{a_i\}$ , such as conditions (C1)-(C4) in [30], this operator can be viewed as a weighted combinatorial Laplacian on the  $2m$ -regular Cayley graph with the set of vertices equal to the set of integers  $\mathbb{Z}$  and the generating set being  $S = \{\pm 1, \dots, \pm m\}$ .

When the timescale is  $T = \mathbb{N}_0$ , meaning the set of non-negative integers, and  $\partial_t$  is the forward difference, then the diffusion-type equation becomes a difference equation in the variable  $t \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , namely

$$u(x; t+1) - u(x; t) + a \Delta_X u(x; t) = 0. \quad (2)$$

Several instances of such an equation have been studied recently. For example, in Theorem 4.1 of [28] the author considers the discrete-time diffusion equation

$$u(x; t+1) - u(x; t) = d(u(x+1; t) - 2u(x; t) + u(x-1; t)) \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{Z} \quad (3)$$

subject to the initial condition  $u(x; 0) = 1$  if  $x = 0$  and  $u(x; 0) = 0$  if  $x \neq 0$ . This means that in (2) we have that  $X = \mathbb{Z}$  and the operator  $\Delta_{\mathbb{Z}}$  is the combinatorial Laplacian on the 2-regular graph  $\mathbb{Z}$  with  $a = d$ . For  $d \neq 1/2$ , Slavík proves in [28] that the solution to (3), with the above stated initial condition, is given by

$$u(n, t) = (1 - 2d)^t I_{|n|}^{2d/(1-2d)}(t) \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } t \in \mathbb{N}_0. \quad (4)$$

Here,  $I_x^c(t)$  is the discrete  $I$ -Bessel function introduced in [28] which depends on a space variable  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ , a time variable  $t \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , and a complex parameter  $c$ ; see equation (23) below.

The purpose of this paper is to study Equation (2) for  $a = 1$  when the space  $X$  is an arbitrary regular graph and  $\Delta_X$  is the combinatorial Laplacian on such a graph. More precisely, we will deduce an explicit fundamental solution to Equation (2) with natural initial conditions and express it in terms of the graph data and the discrete  $I$ -Bessel functions; see Theorem 1 below.

We provide three applications of the main theorem. First, by studying the trace of the aforementioned fundamental solution, we deduce a trace formula for a finite regular graph; see Theorem 4. Second, by relating the combinatorial Laplacian to the stochastic Laplacian (by which we mean the so-called random walk Laplacian), we deduce a limiting distribution result for the uniform random walk on an expanding sequence of regular graphs. Finally, in Section 7.4, by using the trace formula cited above, we derive a formula that expresses the number of closed irreducible walks without a tail (what are called closed geodesics with distinguished orientations in [7] and [24]) on a finite graph  $X$  in terms of the moments of the spectrum of the adjacency matrix.

In the following sections we describe our main results in greater detail.

## 1.2 Fundamental solution to the discrete time diffusion-type equation

We let  $X$  denote an undirected and connected graph with a finite or countably infinite set of vertices. Let  $V_X$  denote the set of vertices of  $X$ , and let  $E_X$  denote the set of the edges of  $X$ . We allow an edge to connect a vertex to itself; such an edge is sometimes called a self-loop. We assume that  $X$  is a  $(q+1)$ -regular graph, where  $q$  is a positive integer. This means that each vertex  $x \in V_X$  has precisely  $(q+1)$  edges that emanate from  $x$ . If  $x, y \in V_X$ , we will write  $x \sim y$  if there is an edge in  $E_X$  which connects  $x$  and  $y$ . The universal cover of every  $(q+1)$ -regular graph is a  $(q+1)$ -regular tree  $T_{q+1}$ , which is a connected  $(q+1)$ -regular graph without closed walks.

Let  $f : V_X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be any complex-valued function on  $V_X$ . Let  $L^2(V_X)$  denote the Hilbert space of  $L^2$  functions defined on  $V_X$  which is equipped with the inner product

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \sum_{x \in V_X} f(x) \overline{g(x)} \quad \text{for } f, g \in L^2(V_X).$$

The Laplacian  $\Delta_X$  on  $X$  is a non-negative, self-adjoint operator on  $L^2(V_X)$  which is defined through its action on  $L^2(V_X)$ -functions, given by

$$\Delta_X f(x) = (q+1)f(x) - \sum_{y \sim x} f(y) \quad \text{for } f \in L^2(V_X).$$

In symbols, we can write  $\Delta_X = (q+1)\text{Id} - \mathcal{A}_X$ , where  $\text{Id}$  is the identity operator and  $\mathcal{A}_X$  is the adjacency operator on the graph  $X$ . The action of the adjacency operator is defined by

$$(\mathcal{A}_X f)(x) = \sum_{y \sim x} f(y) \quad \text{for } f \in L^2(V_X).$$

If  $V_X$  is finite, so that  $X$  has a finite number of vertices say  $M$ , then we let  $\lambda_0 = q+1 > \lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_{M-1} \geq -(q+1)$  denote the eigenvalues of  $\mathcal{A}_X$ , which are counted with multiplicities. In this case, we let  $\psi_j \in \mathbb{R}^M$  with  $j = 0, \dots, M-1$  be the corresponding orthonormal eigenvectors of  $\mathcal{A}_X$ .

Let  $t \in T$  denote a time variable; we will consider either  $T = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ , the set of non-negative real numbers, or  $T = \mathbb{N}_0$ , the set of non-negative integers. If  $T = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ , then  $\partial_{t,T}$  denotes the partial derivative with respect to the real variable  $t$ . If  $T = \mathbb{N}_0$ , then  $\partial_{t,T}$  denotes the forward difference operator, meaning

$$\partial_{t,T} f(t) = f(t+1) - f(t) \quad \text{if } T = \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Let  $x_0 \in X$  be an arbitrary but fixed point; we will call  $x_0$  the base point of  $X$ . The fundamental solution

$$K_{X,T} : V_X \times V_X \times T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

to the diffusion-type equation

$$\Delta_X K_{X,T}(x_0, x; t) + \partial_{t,T} K_{X,T}(x_0, x; t) = 0 \tag{5}$$

subject to natural initial conditions, depending on the timescale, will be called *the heat kernel on the graph  $X$* . When  $T = \mathbb{N}_0$ , we pose the initial condition that

$$K_{X,\mathbb{N}_0}(x_0, x; 0) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x = x_0 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \tag{6}$$

while for  $T = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ , one replaces (6) by

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} K_{X, \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}}(x_0, x; t) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x = x_0 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

The solution to (5) subject to the initial condition (7) is called the *continuous time heat kernel*. When the graph  $X$  is countable with bounded vertex degree, it is shown in [14] and [13] that the continuous time heat kernel exists and is unique among all bounded functions.

We will refer to the solution of (5) subject to the initial condition (6), so  $T = \mathbb{N}_0$ , as the *discrete time heat kernel* on the graph  $X$ . When  $X$  is regular of degree  $(q + 1)$ , the existence and uniqueness of the discrete time heat kernel follows from its connection to the uniform random walk on  $X$  with initial probability distribution given by (6). This assertion is described in further detail in Lemma 20 below.

When the time variable  $t$  is continuous, there are several formulas expressing the continuous time heat kernel on the  $(q + 1)$ -regular tree and on a  $(q + 1)$ -regular graph; see for example [2], [8], [10], [35], [18], [5] and [7]. From the point of view taken in this article, the most interesting expression for the continuous time heat kernel is the formula proved in [7]. In that article, the authors write the heat kernel  $K_{X, \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}}(r; t)$  in terms of the “building blocks”

$$e^{-(q+1)t} q^{-r/2} \mathcal{J}_r(2\sqrt{qt}), \quad (8)$$

where  $r$  is the radial or distance variable between  $x$  and  $x_0$ , and as well as the set of their pre-images on  $T_{q+1}$ , and  $\mathcal{J}_r$  is the classical  $I$ -Bessel function of order  $r$ .

When comparing the continuous time heat kernel on  $\mathbb{Z}$  from [21] and [29] and the discrete time heat kernel on  $\mathbb{Z}$  from [28], one is led to consider the discrete  $I$ -Bessel functions from [28] to form the “building blocks” for the construction of the discrete time heat kernel on the  $(q + 1)$ -regular tree  $T_{q+1}$ , and subsequently for the discrete time heat kernel on any  $(q + 1)$ -regular graph. Let  $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$  represent the graph distance of the point  $x$  to the base point  $x_0$ . We will prove below that the corresponding “building blocks” for the discrete time heat kernel are functions of the form

$$(-q)^t q^{-r/2} I_r^{-2/\sqrt{q}}(t) \quad \text{for } t \in \mathbb{N}_0. \quad (9)$$

Indeed, the function  $(-q)^t$  is the discrete time analogue of the exponential function  $e^{-(q+1)t}$ ; see Example 2.50 of [4]. As a result, there is a clear analogy between (8) and (9).

*For the remainder of this article, we only will consider the discrete time case. Hence, we will omit the notation  $T$  for the timescale since we set  $T = \mathbb{N}_0$ . We will use  $K_X(x_0, x; t)$  to denote the discrete time heat kernel at points  $x_0$  and  $x$  on  $X$  and  $t \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .*

Our first main result is the following theorem; we refer the reader to section 2.1 for a detailed description of the notation and terminology which we are using.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $X$  be a  $(q + 1)$ -regular graph with a fixed base point  $x_0$ . For any point  $x \in V_X$ , let  $c_m(x)$  be the number of irreducible walks of length  $m$  from  $x_0$  to  $x$ . Let*

$$b_m(x) = c_m(x) - (q - 1)(c_{m-2}(x) + c_{m-4}(x) + \dots + c_*(x)), \quad (10)$$

where

$$c_*(x) = \begin{cases} c_0(x) & \text{if } m \text{ is even} \\ c_1(x) & \text{if } m \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

Then the discrete time heat kernel  $K_X(x_0, x; t)$  on  $X$  is given by

$$K_X(x_0, x; t) = (-q)^t \sum_{m=0}^t b_m(x) q^{-m/2} I_m^{-2/\sqrt{q}}(t) \quad \text{for } x \in V_X \text{ and } t \in \mathbb{N}_0. \quad (12)$$

Furthermore, if we take  $x = x_0$  and assume that  $X$  is vertex transitive, then for  $x \in V_X$  and  $t \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , we have that

$$K_X(x_0, x_0; t) = (-q)^t \left( \sum_{m=0}^t N_m(x_0) q^{-m/2} I_m^{-2/\sqrt{q}}(t) + (1-q) \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor t/2 \rfloor} q^{-j} I_{2j}^{-2/\sqrt{q}}(t) \right) \quad (13)$$

where  $N_m(x_0)$  is the number of closed irreducible walks from  $x_0$  to itself of length  $m$  and without tails.

The right-hand side of (12) and of (13) are finite sums because  $I_m^{-2/\sqrt{q}}(t) = 0$  if  $m > t$ . Hence, for any fixed  $x \in V_X$ , one can obtain closed-form expressions for  $b_m(x)$  as a linear combination of values of  $K_X(x_0, x; t)$  at times  $0 \leq t \leq m$ . Also, one can obtain explicit, closed-form formulas for  $c_m(x)$  in terms of  $K_X(x_0, x; t)$  for  $0 \leq t \leq m$ . This shows that geometric invariants of the graph  $X$  can be determined from the discrete diffusion process on the graph in finitely many steps. See Section 7 for more details.

### 1.3 Applications

Theorem 1 implies the following result, which can be viewed as a type of pre-trace formula. We refer to section 2.2 for the definition of the spectral measure at the point  $x$  associated to the adjacency operator  $\mathcal{A}_X$  and the base point  $x_0$ .

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $X$  be a  $(q+1)$ -regular graph with a fixed base point  $x_0$ . Let  $\mu_x(d\lambda)$  be the spectral measure at the point  $x$  associated to the adjacency operator  $\mathcal{A}_X$  and the base point  $x_0$ . Then, with the notation from Theorem 1, we have that*

$$\int_{-(q+1)}^{q+1} (\lambda - q)^t \mu_x(d\lambda) = (-q)^t \sum_{m=0}^t b_m(x) q^{-m/2} I_m^{-2/\sqrt{q}}(t) \quad \text{for } x \in V_X \text{ and } t \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

When the graph  $X$  is finite with  $M$  vertices, then

$$\sum_{j=0}^{M-1} (\lambda_j - q)^t \psi_j(x) \overline{\psi_j(x_0)} = (-q)^t \sum_{m=0}^t b_m(x) q^{-m/2} I_m^{-2/\sqrt{q}}(t) \quad \text{for } x \in V_X \text{ and } t \in \mathbb{N}_0. \quad (14)$$

When  $X$  is finite, we can set  $x = x_0$  in (14) and sum over all  $x \in V_X$  to obtain the following trace formula.

**Corollary 3.** *Let  $X$  be a finite, undirected,  $(q+1)$ -regular graph with  $M$  vertices. Let  $N_m$  denote the number of distinct closed irreducible walks without tails of length  $m$  from any fixed starting point. Then, with the notation as above, for  $t \in \mathbb{N}_0$  we have that*

$$\sum_{j=0}^{M-1} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda_j}{q}\right)^t = \sum_{m=0}^t N_m q^{-m/2} I_m^{-2/\sqrt{q}}(t) + M(1-q) \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor t/2 \rfloor} q^{-j} I_{2j}^{-2/\sqrt{q}}(t). \quad (15)$$

Formula (15) can be viewed as a discrete time counterpart of the continuous time trace formula developed in [24]. Note that (15) holds true for any  $(q + 1)$ -regular graph with  $M$  vertices, while the trace formula proved in [24] is proved for finite connected regular graphs of degree  $q + 1$  without multiple edges and loops.

In general terms, one can interpret Corollary 3 as showing that the discrete time heat kernel carries the same amount of spectral information about the graph as the continuous time kernel. The advantage of the discrete time approach is that the geometric side of the expression of the heat kernel is always a finite sum. By comparison, we note that Theorem 1.1 of [7] which gives the continuous time heat kernel in terms of continuous time  $I$ -Bessel functions is an infinite sum; see also [1] and [35] for further results, again in continuous time.

By starting with equation (15) and using the properties of the discrete  $I$ -Bessel functions, we will prove a general trace formula for any finite  $(q + 1)$ -regular graphs. This theorem, which we now state, is our second main result.

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $X$  be a finite, undirected,  $(q + 1)$ -regular graph with  $M$  vertices. For any real number  $a > 3 + 2/q$ , let  $h : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a complex valued function, holomorphic for  $|z| > 1/a$ . For  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and a complex number  $c$ , set*

$$f_n^c(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(1-z)^2 - c^2 z^2}} \left( \frac{(1-z) - \sqrt{(1-z)^2 - c^2 z^2}}{cz} \right)^n,$$

where the square root is defined by taking the principal branch in the right half-plane. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} h\left(\frac{q}{q - \lambda_j}\right) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} N_m q^{-\frac{m}{2}} \int_{c(0,b)} f_m^{-2/\sqrt{q}}(z) h(z) \frac{dz}{z} \\ &\quad + \frac{M(1-q)}{2\pi i} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} q^{-j} \int_{c(0,b)} f_{2j}^{-2/\sqrt{q}}(z) h(z) \frac{dz}{z}, \end{aligned}$$

where complex integrals above are taken along the circle centered at zero and radius  $b$  for any  $b$  such that  $1/a < b < q/(3q + 2)$ .

The heat kernel  $K_X(x_0, x; t)$  is closely related to the uniform random walk on the graph  $X$ . By a uniform random walk, we imagine a particle starting at an initial vertex  $x_0$  at time  $t = 0$  and at each time step moving along one of the  $(q + 1)$  edges to another vertex, with all edges being selected with equal probability. Let  $K_X^{\text{rw}}(x_0, x; t)$  denote the probability that the uniform random walk which starts at  $x_0$  at time  $t = 0$  ends up at the vertex  $x$  at time  $t$ . It is proved in Section 5 below that

$$K_X^{\text{rw}}(x_0, x; t) = \left( \frac{q}{q+1} \right)^t \sum_{k=0}^t \binom{t}{k} q^{-k} K_X(x_0, x; k). \quad (16)$$

Combining this result with Theorem 1 we obtain the following corollary.

**Corollary 5.** *Let  $X$  be a  $(q + 1)$ -regular graph. For  $t \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ , let  $K_X^{\text{rw}}(x_0, x; t)$  denote the probability that the uniform random walk on the graph  $X$  starts at  $x_0$  and ends at  $x$  at time  $t$ . Then*

$$K_X^{\text{rw}}(x_0, x; t) = \left( \frac{q}{q+1} \right)^t \sum_{k=0}^t \binom{t}{k} (-1)^k \sum_{m=0}^k b_m(x) q^{-m/2} I_m^{-2/\sqrt{q}}(k).$$

When  $x_0 = x$ , the probability distribution  $K_X^{\text{rw}}(x_0, x_0; t)$  equals that of the return to  $x_0$  of a random walk on  $X$  after  $t$  steps. When the graph is finite, we will compute in Section 6 the limiting distribution of  $K_X^{\text{rw}}(x_0, x; t)$  as the number of vertices tends to infinity. We will show that the limiting probability distribution depends only upon  $t$  and  $q + 1$ ; see [36] for a related result on the first return times on finite graphs. Further related results on  $(q + 1)$ -regular graphs which are Bethe lattices with coordination number  $(q + 1)$  are given in [19] and [16], while [33] treats the case of Erdős-Rényi random graphs.

## 1.4 Organization of the paper

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we recall basic notation and recall properties of regular graphs. We prove necessary results associated to the discrete  $I$ -Bessel function, including explicit formulas and asymptotic expansions in Section 3. Section 4 is devoted to proofs of our main results, except for Corollary 5. The proof of Corollary 5 is completed in Section 5. Random walks on a sequence of finite  $(q + 1)$ -regular graphs are further studied in Section 6. We prove that the limiting distribution of return probabilities of a uniform random walk on certain sequences of finite  $(q + 1)$ -regular graphs, as characterized by a natural geometric condition and that the number of vertices tends to infinity, approaches the distribution of return probabilities on the tree  $T_{q+1}$ ; see Proposition 23. In Section 7 we derive explicit and finite expressions for  $N_m$ , the number of closed irreducible walks without tails of length  $m$ , in terms of the spectrum of the Laplacian and special values of discrete  $I$ -Bessel functions. If  $X$  is finite, we show that our results complement the main results of [24]. Finally, in Section 8 we show how one can solve certain other diffusion equation using the discrete  $I$ -Bessel function.

## Acknowledgements

We thank the referees of this article for their careful proofreading of a previous version of our work. They provided many invaluable suggestions which helped us improve the presentation of our results.

## 2 Regular graphs

In this section we introduce the necessary notation and properties of regular graphs.

### 2.1 Basic notation

As above,  $X$  denotes an undirected and connected graph with vertices  $V_X$  and edges  $E_X$ . The set of vertices  $V_X$  is either finite or countably infinite. We allow edges which connect a vertex to itself; such an edge is sometimes called a self-loop. The degree  $d_x$  of a vertex  $x \in V_X$  is the number of vertices  $y \in V_X$ , counted with multiplicity, such that the pair  $(x, y)$  is a distinct edge in  $E_X$ . The graph  $X$  is *vertex transitive* if its automorphism group acts transitively on the set  $V_X$  of its vertices.

The graph  $X$  is  $d$ -regular if  $d = d_x$ , for all  $x \in V_X$ . Throughout this article, we only consider  $d$ -regular graphs. We set  $d = q + 1$  and use the parameter  $q$  in our formulas. We will choose and fix a base vertex  $x_0$  in  $X$ .



For a non-negative integer  $n$ , a *walk* of length  $n$ , as defined on page 12 in [8], is a sequence  $y_0, y_1, \dots, y_n$  of vertices in  $V_X$  such that  $(y_j, y_{j+1})$  is an edge, with  $j = 0, \dots, n-1$ . If  $y_0 = y_n$  the walk is a *closed walk rooted at  $y_0$* . A walk  $y_0, y_1, \dots, y_n$  is *irreducible* if  $y_j \neq y_{j+2}$ , for  $j = 0, \dots, n-2$ . We say that a closed walk possesses a *tail* if  $y_1 = y_{n-1}$ .

For any two points  $x, y \in V_X$  the distance between  $x$  and  $y$ , denoted by  $d_X(x, y)$ , is the length of the shortest walk connecting  $x$  and  $y$ ; such a walk always exists in a connected graph. We have decided to use the word *walk* instead of a *path* because some authors define paths as walks in which all adjacent vertices are distinct. In our setting we allow for loops, meaning that our walks do not necessarily have distinct adjacent vertices.

## 2.2 Spectral measure associated to the adjacency operator

The adjacency operator  $\mathcal{A}_X$  on a  $(q+1)$ -regular graph  $X$  with a fixed base point  $x_0$  is a bounded and self-adjoint operator on  $L^2(V_X)$ ; see [26, Theorem 3.1] which describes the results from [23] and [25]. The spectrum  $\text{spec}(\mathcal{A}_X)$  of  $\mathcal{A}_X$  is a subset of the interval  $[-(q+1), (q+1)]$ . According to the discussion on page 217 of [26], there exists a function  $\mu^{\mathcal{A}_X}$  defined on the Borel sets of  $\text{spec}(\mathcal{A}_X)$  with values in the set of  $L^2$ -operators on  $V_X$  such that for any continuous function  $f$  on  $\text{spec}(\mathcal{A}_X)$  one has that

$$f(\mathcal{A}_X) = \int_{\text{spec}(\mathcal{A}_X)} f(\lambda) \mu^{\mathcal{A}_X}(d\lambda).$$

The function  $\mu^{\mathcal{A}_X}$  is sometimes called the resolution of the identity for  $\mathcal{A}_X$ . For any point  $x \in V_X$ , the resolution of the identity  $\mu^{\mathcal{A}_X}$  gives rise to the spectral measure  $\mu_x(d\lambda)$  at  $x$ , associated to the adjacency operator  $\mathcal{A}_X$  and the base point  $x_0$ , through the identity

$$\mu_x(d\lambda) = \langle \mu^{\mathcal{A}_X}(d\lambda) \mathbf{e}_{x_0}, \mathbf{e}_x \rangle$$

where  $\{\mathbf{e}_x\}_{x \in V_X}$  is the complete orthonormal system for  $L^2(V_X)$ .

With this notation, the spectral measure  $\mu_x(d\lambda)$  at the point  $x$ , which is associated to the adjacency operator  $\mathcal{A}_X$  and the base point  $x_0$ , has the following property. For any continuous function  $f$  defined on  $[-(q+1), (q+1)]$  one has that the evaluation of the operator  $f(\mathcal{A}_X)$  at  $x$  is given by

$$f(\mathcal{A}_X)(x) = \int_{-(q+1)}^{(q+1)} f(\lambda) \mu_x(d\lambda), \quad (17)$$

where we assume that the measure is identically zero outside the set  $\text{spec}(\mathcal{A}_X)$ .

## 2.3 Counting different types of walks

Let us now summarize the notation we will use which describes certain geometric aspects of the graphs  $X$  which we are studying.

**Definition 6.** Let  $X$  be a  $(q+1)$ -regular graph. Let  $x_0 \in X$  be a fixed vertex, and assume the notation from above.

1. For any  $x \in X$  and integer  $m \geq 0$ , let  $c_m(x)$  be the number of irreducible walks of length  $m$  from  $x_0$  to  $x$ . In the case  $x = x_0$ , we allow closed walks with and without tails.



2. For any integer  $m \geq 0$ , let

$$c_m = \sum_{x \in X} c_m(x).$$

3. For any  $x \in X$ , let  $b_0(x) = c_0(x) = 1$  and  $b_1(x) = c_1(x)$ . For  $m \geq 2$ , let

$$b_m(x) = c_m(x) + (1 - q)(c_{m-2}(x) + c_{m-4}(x) + \cdots + c_*(x)),$$

where  $c_*(x)$  is defined by (11).

4. For any  $x \in X$  and integer  $m \geq 0$ , let  $N_m(x)$  be the number of irreducible (closed) walks of length  $m$  *without tails* from  $x$  to  $x$ .

5. For any integer  $m \geq 0$ , let

$$N_m = \sum_{x \in X} N_m(x).$$

**Remark 7.** The terminology employed in this article differs from that which was used in section 2.1 of [7]. However, there is a correspondence which relates the terms that are used in this article and in [7]. Specifically, what is called a geodesic in [7] is an irreducible walk, both here and in [8]. A closed geodesic in [7] means a closed irreducible walk without a tail. We decided to align our point of view with [8], which reflects the ideas and concepts of graph theory, rather than [7], which is more related to Riemannian geometry.

Following the discussion in Section 2.2 of [7], we obtain the following formulas which relate the sets of values  $\{N_m(x)\}$  and  $\{c_m(x)\}$ .

**Lemma 8.** *Let  $X$  be a  $(q + 1)$ -regular graph. Let  $x_0 \in X$  be a fixed vertex, and assume the notation from above. Additionally, assume that  $X$  is vertex transitive.*

1. For  $m \leq 2$ , set  $c_m(x_0) = N_m(x_0)$ . Then for any  $m \geq 3$ , we have that

$$N_m(x_0) = c_m(x_0) + (1 - q)(c_{m-2}(x_0) + c_{m-4}(x_0) + \cdots + \tilde{c}_*(x_0))$$

where

$$\tilde{c}_*(x_0) = \begin{cases} c_2(x_0) & \text{if } m \text{ is even} \\ c_1(x_0) & \text{if } m \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

2. For any  $m \geq 3$ , we have that

$$c_m(x_0) = \begin{cases} N_m(x_0) + (q - 1) \sum_{j=1}^{\ell-1} q^{j-1} N_{m-2j}(x_0) & \text{if } m = 2\ell \text{ is even} \\ N_m(x_0) + (q - 1) \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} q^{j-1} N_{m-2j}(x_0) & \text{if } m = 2\ell + 1 \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

3. For any  $m \geq 2$ , we have that

$$b_m(x_0) = \begin{cases} N_m(x_0) + (1 - q) & \text{if } m \text{ is even} \\ N_m(x_0) & \text{if } m \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \quad (18)$$

*Proof.* We will follow the proof of Proposition 2.1 of [7], which itself refers [27]. For the sake of clarity, we will provide more detail than what is given in [7].

Consider the set of irreducible walks from  $x_0$  to itself of length  $k \geq 3$  which definitely have a tail. The number of elements in this set is equal to  $c_k(x_0) - N_k(x_0)$ . Let us now provide a second approach to counting the number of elements in this set.

Each closed, irreducible walk  $\mathcal{W}_k$  of length  $k \geq 3$  rooted at  $x_0$  with a tail is described by a sequence of vertices which we write as  $x_0x_1x_2 \cdots x_{k-2}x_1x_0$ . As such, the walk  $x_1x_2 \cdots x_{k-2}x_1$  can be viewed as a closed, irreducible walk  $\mathcal{V}_{k-2}$  of length  $k-2$  which is rooted at  $x_1$  for some  $x_1$  which is connected to  $x_0$  by an edge. Let us refer to the walk  $\mathcal{V}_{k-2}$  as being *truncated* from  $\mathcal{W}_k$ .

For the purposes of this lemma, it is assumed that  $X$  is vertex transitive. Hence, we can translate  $\mathcal{V}_{k-2}$  to an irreducible walk  $\mathcal{W}_{k-2}$  of length  $k-2$  which is rooted at  $x_0$ . In other words, every irreducible walk  $\mathcal{W}_k$  rooted at  $x_0$  can be truncated and translated to give an irreducible walk  $\mathcal{W}_{k-2}$  which is also rooted at  $x_0$ . It is immediate that the map obtained by truncating and translating  $\mathcal{W}_k$  to  $\mathcal{W}_{k-2}$ , which we denote by  $\mathcal{M}_k(x_0)$ , is surjective from the set of irreducible walks of length  $k$  which are rooted at  $x_0$  and have a tail to the set of irreducible walks of length  $k-2$  which are rooted at  $x_0$ . Write  $\mathcal{W}_{k-2}$  as  $x_0y_2 \cdots y_{k-2}x_0$ . Neither  $y_2$  nor  $y_{k-2}$  can equal  $x_0$ , for otherwise the walk  $\mathcal{W}_k$  would have been reducible.

The irreducible walks of length  $k-2$  either have no tail, and there are  $N_{k-2}(x_0)$  such walks, or have a tail, and there are  $c_{k-2}(x_0) - N_{k-2}(x_0)$  such walks. It remains to determine the multiplicity of  $\mathcal{M}_k(x_0)$  on each of these two disjoint sets.

If  $\mathcal{W}_{k-2}$  has a tail, then it is the image of  $q$  distinct walks  $\mathcal{W}_k$ . To see this, we argue as follows. Since  $\mathcal{W}_{k-2}$  has a tail,  $y_2 = y_{k-2}$ . So, the multiplicity of the map  $\mathcal{M}_k(x_0)$  whose images have tails is  $q$ . Hence, the set of all walks  $\mathcal{W}_k$  whose image through  $\mathcal{M}_k(x_0)$  has a tail has cardinality equal to  $q(c_{k-2}(x_0) - N_{k-2}(x_0))$ .

If  $\mathcal{W}_{k-2}$  does not have a tail, then it is the image of  $q-1$  distinct walks  $\mathcal{W}_k$ . Indeed, neither  $y_2$  nor  $y_{k-2}$  can equal  $x_0$ , so, the multiplicity of the map  $\mathcal{M}_k(x_0)$  whose images do not have tails is  $q-1$ . Hence, the set of all walks  $\mathcal{W}_k$  whose image through  $\mathcal{M}_k(x_0)$  does not have a tail has cardinality equal to  $(q-1)N_{k-2}(x_0)$ .

The set of all walks of length  $k \geq 3$  with a tail can be written as a disjoint union of two sets: One set whose image through  $\mathcal{M}_k(x_0)$  has a tail, and one set whose image through  $\mathcal{M}_k(x_0)$  does not have a tail. As a result, we conclude that

$$c_k(x_0) - N_k(x_0) = (q-1)N_{k-2}(x_0) + q(c_{k-2}(x_0) - N_{k-2}(x_0)), \quad (19)$$

or

$$N_k(x_0) - N_{k-2}(x_0) = c_k(x_0) - qc_{k-2}(x_0). \quad (20)$$

Assertion (1) follows from (20) by induction, as does assertion (2). Regarding (3), the statement is a direct consequence of the definitions of  $b_m(x_0)$  and  $N_m(x_0)$ . For odd  $m$ , there is no difference in the definition of  $b_m(x_0)$  and  $N_m(x_0)$ . For even  $m$ , the only difference between the two terms is that the series which defines  $b_m(x_0)$  ends with  $c_2(x_0)$  while the series which defines  $N_m(x_0)$  ends with  $c_0(x_0)$ . Since it is immediate that  $c_0(x_0) = 1$ , the claim follows.  $\square$

We now will prove a version of Lemma 8 when  $X$  is finite and not necessarily vertex transitive.

**Lemma 9.** *Let  $X$  be a finite  $(q+1)$ -regular graph with  $M$  vertices. For  $m \geq 0$  let*

$$c_m^{(0)} = \sum_{x_0 \in X} c_m(x_0) \quad \text{and} \quad b_m^{(0)} = \sum_{x_0 \in X} b_m(x_0).$$

1. For  $m \leq 2$ , set  $c_m^{(0)} = N_m$ . Then for any  $m \geq 3$ , we have that

$$N_m = c_m^{(0)} + (1 - q) \left( c_{m-2}^{(0)} + c_{m-4}^{(0)} + \cdots + \tilde{c}_*^{(0)} \right)$$

where

$$\tilde{c}_* = \begin{cases} c_2^{(0)} & \text{if } m \text{ is even} \\ c_1^{(0)} & \text{if } m \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

2. For any  $m \geq 3$ , we have that

$$c_m^{(0)} = \begin{cases} N_m + (q - 1) \sum_{j=1}^{\ell-1} q^{j-1} N_{m-2j} & \text{if } m = 2\ell \text{ is even} \\ N_m + (q - 1) \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} q^{j-1} N_{m-2j} & \text{if } m = 2\ell + 1 \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

3. For any  $m \geq 2$ , we have that

$$b_m^{(0)} = \begin{cases} N_m + (1 - q)M & \text{if } m \text{ is even} \\ N_m & \text{if } m \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \quad (21)$$

*Proof.* Part 1. of the assertion is stated, without proof, as Proposition 2.2 in [7]. Let us provide a proof here. In doing so, we will use the language and notation from the proof of Lemma 8. Specifically, we will argue that the analogue of (19) holds, namely that

$$c_k^{(0)} - N_k = (q - 1)N_{k-2} + q(c_{k-2}^{(0)} - N_{k-2}). \quad (22)$$

We view  $x_0$  as an arbitrary root of a closed walk, count the cardinality of certain closed walks, and then sum over all possible  $x_0$  in order to prove (22). Unlike Lemma 8, we do not assume that  $X$  is vertex transitive.

The number of closed, irreducible walks with a tail and length  $k$  which are rooted at  $x_0$  is  $c_k(x_0) - N_k(x_0)$ . When summing over all  $x_0 \in X$ , we have that the total number of closed, irreducible walks with a tail and length  $k$  is equal to  $c_k^{(0)} - N_k$ . We will count the number of such walks in a second manner, which will yield (22).

As in the proof of Lemma 8, let  $\mathcal{W}_k$  denote any closed, irreducible walk of length  $k \geq 3$  which has a tail, described by a sequence of vertices which we write as  $x_0 x_1 x_2 \cdots x_{k-2} x_1 x_0$ . Then  $\mathcal{W}_k$  can be truncated to give a closed, irreducible walk  $\mathcal{V}_{k-2}$  of length  $k - 2$  rooted at a point  $x_1$  adjacent to  $x_0$  and described by  $x_1 x_2 \cdots x_{k-2} x_1$ . There are two cases to consider, namely when  $\mathcal{V}_{k-2}$  has a tail or when it does not have a tail.

If  $\mathcal{V}_{k-2}$  has a tail, then it is the image through truncation of  $q$  different walks  $\mathcal{W}_k$ , only one of which is rooted at  $x_0$ . Indeed,  $\mathcal{V}_{k-2}$  is the image of any walk which can be described as  $y_0 x_1 x_2 \cdots x_{k-2} x_1 y_0$  where  $y_0$  is a vertex that is connected to  $x_1$ . Since  $\mathcal{V}_{k-2}$  has a tail,  $x_2 = x_{k-2}$ , so there are  $q$  possibilities for  $y_0$ , namely any vertex which is connected to  $x_1$  by an edge and is not equal to  $x_2$  since otherwise the extension would be reducible. When summing over all vertices  $x_0$ , one concludes there are  $q(c_{k-2}^{(0)} - N_{k-2})$  walks which are closed, irreducible with a tail and have length  $k$  whose truncations are closed, irreducible walks of length  $k - 2$  with a tail.

If  $\mathcal{V}_{k-2}$  does not have a tail, then it is the image through truncation of  $q - 1$  different walks  $\mathcal{W}_k$ , only one of which is rooted at  $x_0$ . Indeed,  $\mathcal{V}_{k-2}$  is the image of any walk which can be

described as  $y_0x_1x_2\cdots x_{k-2}x_1y_0$  where  $y_0$  is a vertex that is connected to  $x_1$ . Since  $\mathcal{V}_{k-2}$  does not have a tail,  $x_2 \neq x_{k-2}$ , so there are  $q-1$  possibilities for  $y_0$ , namely any vertex which is connected to  $x_1$  by an edge and is not equal to  $x_2$  or  $x_{k-2}$  since otherwise the extension would be reducible. When summing over all vertices  $x_0$ , one concludes there are  $(q-1)N_{k-2}$  walks which are closed, irreducible with a tail and have length  $k$  whose truncations are closed, irreducible walks of length  $k-2$  without a tail.

By combining the above, we arrive at equation (22). Then, by arguing as in the proof of Lemma 8, all of the stated assertions follow.  $\square$

## 2.4 Coverings of graphs

In this section, we recall the definition of the cover of a graph from section 2 of [8]. We will elaborate in more detail on certain aspects of sections 5 and 6 of [8] where properties of the  $(q+1)$ -regular tree as a universal cover of a  $(q+1)$ -regular graph were discussed.

Let  $\tilde{X}$  and  $X$  be two simple graphs in the sense defined in [8], meaning that each edge has a weight equal to one. We say that  $\tilde{X}$  is a *covering* of  $X$  if there exists a mapping  $\pi : V_{\tilde{X}} \rightarrow V_X$  which satisfies the following two properties:

- (i) There exists a non-negative integer  $m$  or possibly  $m = \infty$  such that for every edge  $(x, y) \in E_X$  the cardinality of the set  $\{(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) \in E_{\tilde{X}} : \pi(\tilde{x}) = x, \pi(\tilde{y}) = y\}$  equals  $m$ .
- (ii) For  $\tilde{x}, \tilde{y} \in V_{\tilde{X}}$  with  $\pi(\tilde{x}) = \pi(\tilde{y})$  and  $y$  adjacent to  $\pi(\tilde{x})$  in  $X$ , the sets  $N_{\tilde{X}}(\tilde{x}) \cap \pi^{-1}(y)$  and  $N_{\tilde{X}}(\tilde{y}) \cap \pi^{-1}(x)$  have the same cardinality, where  $N_{\tilde{X}}(\tilde{x})$  denotes the set of all vertices in  $V_{\tilde{X}}$  adjacent to  $\tilde{x}$  in  $\tilde{X}$ .

When  $\tilde{X} = T_{q+1}$  is the  $(q+1)$ -regular tree and  $X$  is a  $(q+1)$ -regular graph, then there exists a natural mapping  $\pi : V_{T_{q+1}} \rightarrow V_X$  such that for each  $\tilde{x} \in T_{q+1}$  the neighbors of  $\tilde{x}$  are mapped to neighbors of  $\pi(\tilde{x})$  in  $X$  in one-to-one way and the root  $\tilde{x}_0$  of the tree  $T_{q+1}$  is mapped to the fixed base vertex  $x_0$  of  $X$ .

For a fixed integer  $r \geq 0$ , and any  $x \in V_X$ , it is elementary to observe that the number of elements  $\tilde{x}$  in  $\pi^{-1}(x)$  such that the distance  $d_{T_{q+1}}(\tilde{x}_0, \tilde{x})$  between the root  $\tilde{x}_0$  of the tree and  $\tilde{x}$  equals the number of irreducible walks in  $X$  from  $x_0$  to  $x$  of length  $r$ .

## 3 Discrete $I$ -Bessel functions

Let  $c \in \mathbb{C}$  be an arbitrary complex number, and let  $t, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  be non-negative integers. By expanding ideas from [3], the author of [28] defines the discrete modified  $I$ -Bessel function  $I_n^c(t)$  as follows. Let

$$(-t)_0 = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad (-t)_n = (-t)(-t+1)\cdots(-t+n-1) \quad \text{for } n > 0$$

be the Pochhammer symbol. Recall that the (Gauss) hypergeometric series is defined by

$$F(\alpha, \beta; \gamma; z) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha)_k(\beta)_k}{k!(\gamma)_k} z^k;$$

see section 9.1 of [17]. Then, the discrete  $I$ -Bessel function  $I_n^c(t)$  is defined by

$$I_n^c(t) := \frac{(-c/2)^n (-t)_n}{n!} F\left(\frac{n-t}{2}, \frac{n-t}{2} + \frac{1}{2}; n+1; c^2\right). \quad (23)$$

For any  $n$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , we have that

$$(-m)_n = 0 \quad \text{for } n > m. \quad (24)$$

Hence,  $I_n^c(t) = 0$  for  $n > t$ .

### 3.1 Basic properties of the discrete $I$ -Bessel function

In the lemma below we summarize some properties of the discrete  $I$ -Bessel function  $I_n^c(t)$  which are proved in [28] and will be needed in this article. As above,  $\partial_t$  denotes the forward difference operator, meaning that  $\partial_t f(t) = f(t+1) - f(t)$ .

**Lemma 10.** *With the notation as above, the discrete  $I$ -Bessel function  $I_n^c(t)$  has the following properties.*

- (i)  $I_n^c(t) = 0$  for  $n > t$ .
- (ii)  $I_0^c(0) = 1$ .
- (iii)  $\partial_t I_1^c(0) = c/2$  and  $\partial_t I_0^c(t) = cI_1^c(t)$  for  $t \geq 1$ .
- (iv)  $\partial_t I_x^c(t) = \frac{c}{2} (I_{x-1}^c(t) + I_{x+1}^c(t))$  for any  $x \geq 1$  and  $t \geq 0$ .

If  $n \leq t$ , one of the numbers  $\frac{n-t}{2}$  and  $\frac{n-t}{2} + \frac{1}{2}$  must be a non-positive integer. As a result, by (24), the hypergeometric series in formula (23) yields a polynomial in the variable  $c^2$  whenever  $n \leq t$ . Therefore,  $I_n^c(t)$  is a polynomial in the variable  $c$ . The following proposition gives an explicit evaluation of  $I_n^c(t)$  in this instance.

**Proposition 11.** *Let  $t, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  such that  $n \leq t$ . Set  $\ell = \lfloor (t-n)/2 \rfloor$ . Then for any  $c \in \mathbb{C}$ , we have that*

$$I_n^c(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{\ell} \frac{t!}{j!(t-2j-n)!(n+j)!} \left(\frac{c}{2}\right)^{2j+n}. \quad (25)$$

*Proof.* For any  $d \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{1/2\}$ , consider the discrete time diffusion equation (3) subject to the initial condition  $u(x;0) = 1$  if  $x = 0$  and  $u(x;0) = 0$  for  $x \neq 0$ . From Theorem 4.1 of [28], we have that the solution for this equation, with the restriction that  $d \neq 1/2$ , is given by (4).

On the other hand, from Example 3.3 of [31], we also have that

$$u(n,t) = (1-2d)^t \sum_{j=0}^t \binom{t}{j, t-2j-n, j+n} \left(\frac{d}{1-2d}\right)^{2j+n};$$

see in addition Remark 4.2 of [28]. (Note: We follow the common convention that multinomial coefficients with negative terms are equal to zero.) Therefore, when comparing the two expressions for  $u(n,t)$ , we deduce that

$$I_n^c(t) = \sum_{j=0}^t \binom{t}{j, t-2j-n, j+n} \left(\frac{c}{2}\right)^{2j+n}$$

for  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and any real number  $c \neq -1$ . Since  $t-2j-n < 0$  for  $j > \lfloor (t-n)/2 \rfloor$ , the assertion is proved for all  $c \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{-1\}$ . From this, note that one can view  $I_n^c(t)$  as a function of the complex variable  $c$ . As such, the proposition follows for all  $c \in \mathbb{C}$  by analytic continuation.  $\square$

From Proposition 11, we have that  $I_n^c(t)$  is a polynomial in the variable  $c$  of degree  $2\lfloor \frac{t-n}{2} \rfloor + n$ . In other words,  $I_n^c(t)$  is a polynomial in variable  $c$  of degree  $t$  when  $t-n$  is even and of degree  $t-1$  when  $t-n$  is odd.

Going further, it is necessary to determine the asymptotic behavior of the “building block”  $(-q)^t q^{-r/2} I_r^{-2/\sqrt{q}}(t)$  as  $q \rightarrow \infty$ . To this end, we have the following result.

**Corollary 12.** *For positive integers  $q, r, t$  such that  $r \leq t$  we have that*

$$(-q)^t q^{-r/2} I_r^{-2/\sqrt{q}}(t) = (-1)^{t-r} \binom{t}{r} q^{t-r} + O(q^{t-r-1}) \quad \text{as } q \rightarrow \infty.$$

*Proof.* For a fixed  $r, t$  such that  $r \leq t$ , equation (25) with  $c = -2/\sqrt{q}$  and  $\ell = \lfloor (t-r)/2 \rfloor$  gives that

$$\begin{aligned} (-q)^t q^{-r/2} I_r^{-2/\sqrt{q}}(t) &= (-q)^t q^{-r/2} \sum_{j=0}^{\ell} \frac{t!}{j!(t-2j-r)!(r+j)!} \left( \frac{-1}{\sqrt{q}} \right)^{2j+r} \\ &= (-1)^{t+r} q^{t-r} \sum_{j=0}^{\ell} \frac{t!}{j!(t-2j-r)!(r+j)!} q^{-j} \\ &= (-1)^{t-r} \binom{t}{r} q^{t-r} + O(q^{t-r-1}) \end{aligned}$$

as  $q \rightarrow \infty$ , which completes the proof.  $\square$

**Remark 13.** Let  $t, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  such that  $n \leq t$  and put  $\ell = \lfloor (t-n)/2 \rfloor$ . Recall that the Jacobi polynomial  $P_n^{\alpha, \beta}(x)$  can be expressed in terms of the hypergeometric function, and also we have that  $P_n^{\alpha, \beta}(x)$  has a precise expression whose coefficients are given in terms of combinatorial coefficients; see 8.962.1 and 8.960 of [17], respectively. By combining those expressions, we can derive another formula for the discrete  $I$ -Bessel function. Namely, for any  $c \in \mathbb{C}$ , we have that

$$I_n^c(t) = \left( \frac{c}{2} \right)^n \frac{\binom{t}{n}}{\binom{n+\ell}{n}} \sum_{m=0}^{\ell} \binom{\ell - (-1)^{t-n}/2}{m} \binom{\ell + n}{\ell - m} c^{2m}. \quad (26)$$

We find the representation (25) to be more desirable since it is reminiscent of the series representation of the classical  $I$ -Bessel function, which is

$$\mathcal{J}_n(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!(n+k)!} \left( \frac{z}{2} \right)^{2k+n}.$$

### 3.2 Asymptotic behavior of discrete $I$ -Bessel functions

In this section, we will determine the asymptotic behavior of the discrete  $I$ -Bessel function  $I_n^c(t)$  for a fixed real parameter  $c$  and as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . For our analysis, we will use the representation (23) of the function  $I_n^c(t)$ , recalling that the asymptotics of the hypergeometric function for the large values of parameters is well studied; see for example [34]. Specifically, we will use the transformations of the hypergeometric function given in formulas 9.122, 9.131, and 9.132 from [17]. From these formulas, the asymptotic behavior of the discrete  $I$ -Bessel function is obtained by considering the different cases studied in [39] and [20] where the authors proved certain asymptotic and uniform asymptotic results.

**Proposition 14.** *For any real, nonzero parameter  $c$  and a fixed  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have that*

$$I_n^c(t) \sim \frac{(\operatorname{sgn}(c))^n}{\sqrt{2\pi|c|t}} (1 + |c|)^{t+1/2} \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty, \quad (27)$$

where  $\operatorname{sgn}(c)$  denotes the sign of  $c$ .

*Proof.* First consider the case when  $|c| = 1$ . From formula 9.122 of [17] we have that

$$F\left(\frac{n-t}{2}, \frac{n-t}{2} + \frac{1}{2}; n+1; 1\right) = \frac{\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma\left(t + \frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n+t+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{n+t+1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\right)}.$$

The classical duplication formula for the gamma function and definition (23) yields that

$$I_n^{\pm 1}(t) = (\pm 1)^n \cdot 2^{-n} \frac{t(t-1) \cdots (t-(n-1))}{n!} \cdot \frac{n! \Gamma\left(t + \frac{1}{2}\right) 2^{t+n}}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(t+n+1)}.$$

Using the functional equation for the gamma function we then get that

$$I_n^{\pm 1}(t) = (\pm 1)^n \cdot \frac{t(t-1) \cdots (t-(n-1))}{(t+n)(t+n-1) \cdots (t+1)} \cdot \frac{\Gamma\left(t + \frac{1}{2}\right) 2^t}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(t+1)} \sim (\pm 1)^n \frac{2^t}{\sqrt{\pi t}}$$

as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . This proves (27) when  $c \in \{-1, 1\}$ .

Next, assume that  $|c| < 1$ . To begin, we apply formula 9.131 of [17] which gives that

$$F\left(\frac{n-t}{2}, \frac{n-t}{2} + \frac{1}{2}; n+1; c^2\right) = (1-c^2)^{t/2-n/2} F\left(\frac{t+n+1}{2}, \frac{n-t}{2}; n+1; \frac{c^2}{c^2-1}\right).$$

Since  $|c| < 1$ , we can write  $\frac{c^2}{c^2-1} = \frac{1}{2}(1-z)$  for  $z = \frac{1+c^2}{1-c^2} > 1$ . Hence,  $z + \sqrt{z^2-1} = \frac{1+|c|}{1-|c|} = e^\zeta$ , for  $\zeta > 0$ , in the notation of page 289 of [39]. By applying the asymptotic formula from [39], we get that

$$F\left(\frac{t+n+1}{2}, \frac{n-t}{2}; n+1; \frac{c^2}{c^2-1}\right) \sim \frac{2^n}{\sqrt{\pi t}} \frac{n! \Gamma\left(\frac{t-n}{2} + 1\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{t+n}{2} + 1\right)} \left(\frac{2|c|}{1+|c|}\right)^{-n-\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{1+|c|}{1-|c|}\right)^{\frac{t}{2}-\frac{n}{2}}$$

as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . Now, let us multiply the above asymptotic formula by  $\frac{(-c/2)^n (-t)_n}{n!} (1-c^2)^{t/2-n/2}$  and use that

$$\frac{(-1)^n (-t)_n}{2^n} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{t-n}{2} + 1\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{t+n}{2} + 1\right)} \sim 1$$

as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . In doing so, we deduce the claimed asymptotic formula for  $|c| < 1$ , thus proving (27) for  $|c| < 1$ .

Finally, assume that  $|c| > 1$ . In this case, we proceed analogously as above. In the application of the asymptotic formulas from [39], the lead term in the absolute value is  $\frac{1+|c|}{1-|c|} = e^{-\zeta}$  where  $\zeta = x + i\pi$  with  $x < 0$ . Therefore, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & F\left(\frac{t+n+1}{2}, \frac{n-t}{2}; n+1; \frac{c^2}{c^2-1}\right) \\ & \sim \frac{2^n}{\sqrt{\pi t}} \frac{n! \Gamma\left(\frac{t-n}{2} + 1\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{t+n}{2} + 1\right)} \left(\frac{2|c|}{|c|-1}\right)^{-n-\frac{1}{2}} (-1)^{\frac{n+1}{2}} \left(\frac{1+|c|}{1-|c|}\right)^{\frac{t}{2}+\frac{n+1}{2}} \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

One then multiplies this asymptotic formula by  $\frac{(-c/2)^n (-t)_n}{n!} (1-c^2)^{t/2-n/2}$  and, by arguing as above, we obtain (27). With all this, the proof of the assertion is complete.  $\square$



The above proposition is very useful in finding the limiting behavior of solutions to the heat equation when the time variable tends to infinity. A simple consequence of formulas (4) and (27) is the following corollary:

**Corollary 15.** *For  $d > 0$ , consider the solution (4) to the discrete diffusion equation (3) subject to the initial condition  $u(x; 0) = 1$  if  $x = 0$  and  $u(x; 0) = 0$  if  $x \neq 0$ . Then, one has that*

$$u(n, t) \sim \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi dt}} \quad \text{for } d \in (0, 1/2) \text{ and as } t \rightarrow \infty$$

and

$$u(n, t) \sim \frac{(-1)^{n+t}(4d-1)^{t+1/2}}{2\sqrt{\pi dt}} \quad \text{for } d \in (1/2, \infty) \text{ and as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

### 3.3 A generating function of discrete $I$ -Bessel functions

For now, let  $c \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Since  $|I_n^c(t)| \leq I_n^{|c|}(t)$  for all integers  $n, t \geq 0$ , the asymptotic formula (27) implies that the radius of convergence of the power series

$$f_n^c(z) := \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} I_n^c(t) z^t \quad (28)$$

is at least  $(1 + |c|)^{-1}$ . Therefore, the series (28) is a holomorphic function of  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  in the disc  $|z| < (1 + |c|)^{-1}$ . We will now derive a closed expression for the generating function (28) when  $|z| < (1 + |c|)^{-1}$ .

**Proposition 16.** *For any  $c \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , and  $|z| < (1 + |c|)^{-1}$ , the power series (28) is equal to*

$$f_n^c(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(1-z)^2 - c^2 z^2}} \left( \frac{(1-z) - \sqrt{(1-z)^2 - c^2 z^2}}{cz} \right)^n,$$

where we are using the principal value of the square root, which takes values in the right half-plane.

*Proof.* We start by observing that

$$(1-z)f_n^c(z) = I_n^c(0) + \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} (I_n^c(t+1) - I_n^c(t)) z^{t+1} = I_n^c(0) + z \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \partial_t I_n^c(t) z^t.$$

Using all four statements of Lemma 10, one can show that the power series (28) satisfies the difference equation

$$(1-z)f_n^c(z) = \begin{cases} 1 + czf_{n+1}^c(z), & \text{if } n = 0; \\ \frac{cz}{2} (f_{n-1}^c(z) + f_{n+1}^c(z)), & \text{if } n \geq 1. \end{cases} \quad (29)$$

To solve (29), let us set the Ansatz that  $f_n^c(z) = a(z)(b(z))^n$ , where we have omitted, for convenience,  $c$  from the notation on the right-hand side. When  $n = 0$ , (29) yields that  $a(z) = (1 - z - czb(z))^{-1}$ . In the case  $n \geq 1$ , equation (29) implies that

$$b(z)^2 - \frac{2(1-z)}{cz} b(z) + 1 = 0$$

for  $|z| < (1 + |c|)^{-1}$  and  $z \neq 0$ . There are two solutions to this equation, namely

$$b_1(z) = \frac{1-z}{cz} + \sqrt{\frac{(1-z)^2 - c^2 z^2}{c^2 z^2}} \quad \text{and} \quad b_2(z) = \frac{1-z}{cz} - \sqrt{\frac{(1-z)^2 - c^2 z^2}{c^2 z^2}}.$$

In order to choose the solution which yields the generating function, recall that  $f_n^c(0) = I_n^c(0)$ . Furthermore,  $I_0^c(0) = 1$  and  $I_n^c(0) = 0$  for  $n \geq 1$ . Therefore, the function  $b(z)$  must be such that  $\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} b(z) = 0$ , and this is true when

$$b(z) = b_2(z) = \frac{(1-z) - \sqrt{(1-z)^2 - c^2 z^2}}{cz}.$$

Therefore, we have that  $a(z) = (\sqrt{(1-z)^2 - c^2 z^2})^{-1}$ , and the proof of the assertion is complete.  $\square$

## 4 Proofs of main results

In this section, we prove our main results. We start by proving an expression for the heat kernel on a  $(q+1)$ -regular tree in terms of the discrete  $I$ -Bessel function. As stated above, the formula is analogous to the result from [7] which gives the continuous time heat kernel on the  $(q+1)$ -regular tree in terms of the continuous  $I$ -Bessel function.

### 4.1 The discrete time heat kernel on a $(q+1)$ -regular tree

Let us fix a base point  $x_0 \in X$ . Let  $T_{q+1}$  be a  $(q+1)$ -regular tree, which is the universal cover of the graph  $X$  with base point  $x_0$ , as described in section 2.4. Choose a lift of  $x_0 \in X$  to  $T_{q+1}$  to give a base point of  $T_{q+1}$  which in a slight abuse of notation we also denote by  $x_0$  (this point was denoted by  $\tilde{x}_0$  in section 2.4). Let  $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$  be the radial coordinate on  $T_{q+1}$  with the chosen base point  $x_0$ . Recall that the radial coordinate of a point  $x$  is the graph distance  $d_{T_{q+1}}(x_0, x)$  from  $x$  to the base point  $x_0$ , see section 2.1.

As before,  $t \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $\partial_t$  is the forward difference operator with respect to the variable  $t$ . Let  $K_{q+1}(x_0, x; t)$  be the discrete time heat kernel on  $T_{q+1}$ . There is an automorphism  $g$  of  $T_{q+1}$  to itself, which fixes  $x_0$  and sends any point  $x'$  with radial coordinate  $r$  to any other point  $x$  with radial coordinate  $r$ . It is immediate, using the characterizing properties (5) and (6) (with  $X = T_{q+1}$  in (5)) that  $K_{q+1}(gx_0, gx; t) = K_{q+1}(x_0, x; t)$ . Therefore, the heat kernel  $K_{q+1}(x_0, x; t)$  can be viewed as a function of  $r$  and  $t$ , meaning

$$K_{q+1}(x_0, x; t) = K_{q+1}(r; t) \quad \text{where } r = r(x) = d_{T_{q+1}}(x_0, x).$$

In order to derive the characterizing equations for the heat kernel on  $T_{q+1}$  in radial coordinates, one should notice that the root  $x_0$  of  $T_{q+1}$  is adjacent to  $q+1$  vertices, all of which are at radial distance 1 from  $x_0$ . On the other hand, if  $x \neq x_0$  is at radial distance  $r \geq 1$  from  $x_0$ , then it is adjacent to  $q$  vertices which are at radial distance  $r+1$  from  $x_0$  and to one vertex that is at radial distance  $r-1$  from  $x_0$ . Therefore, the action of  $\Delta_{T_{q+1}} = (q+1)\text{Id} - \mathcal{A}_{T_{q+1}}$  on  $K_{q+1}(x_0, x; t) = K_{q+1}(r; t)$  is, in the radial coordinate  $r$ , given by

$$\Delta_{T_{q+1}} K_{q+1}(r; t) = \begin{cases} (q+1)K_{q+1}(0; t) - (q+1)K_{q+1}(1; t), & \text{if } r = 0 \\ (q+1)K_{q+1}(r; t) - qK_{q+1}(r+1; t) - K_{q+1}(r-1; t), & \text{if } r \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, the characterizing properties (5) and (6), when given in radial coordinates, reduce to the equation

$$\partial_t K_{q+1}(r; t) = \begin{cases} -(q+1)K_{q+1}(0; t) + (q+1)K_{q+1}(1; t), & \text{if } r = 0 \\ -(q+1)K_{q+1}(r; t) + qK_{q+1}(r+1; t) + K_{q+1}(r-1; t), & \text{if } r \geq 1, \end{cases} \quad (30)$$

with initial condition

$$K_{q+1}(r; 0) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } r = 0 \\ 0, & \text{if } r \geq 1. \end{cases} \quad (31)$$

**Proposition 17.** *With the notation as above, the solution  $K_{q+1}(r; t)$  to the initial value problem (30) and (31) is given by*

$$K_{q+1}(r; t) = (-q)^t \left[ q^{-r/2} I_r^{-2/\sqrt{q}}(t) - (q-1) \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} q^{-(r+2j)/2} I_{r+2j}^{-2/\sqrt{q}}(t) \right]. \quad (32)$$

*Proof.* Parts (i) and (ii) of Lemma 10 imply that the right-hand side of (32) satisfies the initial condition (31) when  $t = 0$ . Hence, it is left to prove that the right-hand side of (32) satisfies (30). To begin, let us define

$$F(r; t) := q^{-r/2} I_r^{-2/\sqrt{q}}(t) - (q-1) \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} q^{-(r+2j)/2} I_{r+2j}^{-2/\sqrt{q}}(t).$$

If the above stated assertion is true, then  $F(r; t) = (-q)^{-t} K_{q+1}(r; t)$ . It is elementary to verify that the right-hand side of (32) satisfies (30) if and only if  $F(r; t)$  satisfies the equation

$$\partial_t F(r; t) = \begin{cases} -\frac{(q+1)}{q} F(1; t), & \text{if } r = 0 \\ -F(r+1; t) - \frac{1}{q} F(r-1; t), & \text{if } r \geq 1. \end{cases} \quad (33)$$

We now shall prove that (33) is true. When  $r = 0$  we have that

$$\partial_t F(0; t) = \partial_t I_0^{-2/\sqrt{q}}(t) - (q-1) \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} q^{-j} \partial_t I_{2j}^{-2/\sqrt{q}}(t).$$

Using parts (iii) and (iv) of Lemma 10 we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t F(0; t) &= -\frac{2}{\sqrt{q}} I_1^{-2/\sqrt{q}}(t) - (q-1) \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} q^{-j} \frac{-2}{2\sqrt{q}} \left( I_{2j+1}^{-2/\sqrt{q}}(t) + I_{2j-1}^{-2/\sqrt{q}}(t) \right) \\ &= I_1^{-2/\sqrt{q}}(t) \left( -\frac{2}{\sqrt{q}} + \frac{q-1}{q\sqrt{q}} \right) + (q-1) \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^{-(j+1)}(q+1)}{\sqrt{q}} I_{2j+1}^{-2/\sqrt{q}}(t) \\ &= -\frac{q+1}{q} \left( q^{-1/2} I_1^{-2/\sqrt{q}}(t) - (q-1) \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} q^{-(2j+1)/2} I_{2j+1}^{-2/\sqrt{q}}(t) \right) \\ &= -\frac{q+1}{q} F(1; t), \end{aligned}$$

which proves (33) in the case when  $r = 0$ .

Assume now that  $r \geq 1$ . From part (iv) of Lemma 10 we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_t F(r; t) &= -\frac{2q^{-r/2}}{2\sqrt{q}} \left( I_{r+1}^{-2/\sqrt{q}}(t) + I_{r-1}^{-2/\sqrt{q}}(t) \right) \\
&\quad - (q-1) \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} q^{-(r+2j)/2} \frac{-2}{2\sqrt{q}} \left( I_{r+2j+1}^{-2/\sqrt{q}}(t) + I_{r+2j-1}^{-2/\sqrt{q}}(t) \right) \\
&= -q^{-(r+1)/2} I_{r+1}^{-2/\sqrt{q}}(t) + (q-1) \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} q^{-(r+1+2j)/2} I_{r+2j+1}^{-2/\sqrt{q}}(t) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{q} q^{-(r-1)/2} I_{r-1}^{-2/\sqrt{q}}(t) + \frac{q-1}{q} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} q^{-(r-1+2j)/2} I_{r+2j-1}^{-2/\sqrt{q}}(t) \\
&= -\left( F(r+1; t) + \frac{1}{q} F(r-1; t) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

This proves (33) when  $r \geq 1$ , and completes the proof of the proposition.  $\square$

**Remark 18.** Note that for fixed  $t \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , the series on the right-hand side of formula (32) is actually a finite sum. Indeed,  $I_{r+2j}^{-2/\sqrt{q}}(t) = 0$  for all  $j$  such that  $r+2j > t$ , so the terms in the series in (32) are non-zero only if  $j$  is such that  $r+2j \leq t$ .

## 4.2 Proof of Theorem 1

Let  $X$  be any  $(q+1)$ -regular graph. Let  $T_{q+1}$  be the universal cover of  $X$  with covering map  $\pi$ , as described in section 2.4. Then we can write the heat kernel  $K_X(x_0, x; t)$  on  $X$  by

$$K_X(x_0, x; t) = \sum_{\tilde{x} \in \pi^{-1}(x)} K_{q+1}(x_0, \tilde{x}; t). \quad (34)$$

The natural covering map  $\pi$  has the property that it maps the immediate neighbours of  $\tilde{x} \in T_{q+1}$  to neighbours of  $\pi(\tilde{x})$ , and this mapping is injective. Therefore, points  $\tilde{x}_0 = x_0$  and  $\tilde{x} \in \pi^{-1}(x)$  have distance  $r$  in  $T_{q+1}$  if and only if  $x_0$  and  $x$  can be connected through an irreducible walk of length  $r$ . Let  $c_r(x)$  be the number of irreducible walks in  $X$  of length  $r$  from  $x_0$  to  $x$ . Then,  $K_{q+1}(x_0, \tilde{x}; t) = K_{q+1}(r; t)$  for exactly  $c_r(x)$  elements  $\tilde{x} \in \pi^{-1}(x)$ , hence (34) can be written as

$$K_X(x; t) = \sum_{r \geq 0} c_r(x) K_{q+1}(r; t).$$

Since the base point  $x_0$  fixed, we will suppress that portion of the notation and simply write  $K_X(x; t) = K_X(x_0, x; t)$ .

Note that the series on the right-hand side of the above display is actually a finite sum for any fixed  $t \in \mathbb{N}_0$  since  $K_{q+1}(r; t) = 0$  when  $r > t$ . As a result, there is no question regarding the convergence of the series.

When using the expression (32) for  $K_{q+1}(r; t)$ , we immediately obtain that

$$K_X(x; t) = (-q)^t \sum_{r \geq 0} c_r(x) \left( q^{-r/2} I_r^{-2/\sqrt{q}}(t) - (q-1) \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor \frac{t-r}{2} \rfloor} q^{-(r+2j)/2} I_{r+2j}^{-2/\sqrt{q}}(t) \right).$$

For a fixed positive integer  $t$ , and fixed  $r \leq t$ , let us choose  $r_1 \leq t$ . Then, the term  $I_{r_1}^{-2/\sqrt{q}}(t)$  on the right hand side of the above equation arises when  $r_1 = r$  and for all values of  $j$  in the set  $\{1, \dots, \lfloor \frac{t-r}{2} \rfloor\}$  for which  $r + 2j = r_1$ . Therefore, the factor multiplying  $I_{r_1}^{-2/\sqrt{q}}(t)$  equals

$$(-q)^t \left( c_{r_1}(x) q^{-r_1/2} - (q-1) q^{-r_1/2} (c_{r_1-2}(x) + c_{r_1-4}(x) \dots c_*(x)) \right)$$

where  $c_*(x)$  equals  $c_0(x)$  if  $r_1$  is even and  $c_1(x)$  if  $r_1$  is odd. This proves (12).

Equation (13) follows by combining (12) and (18).

### 4.3 Proofs of corollaries 2 and 3

Let us write (5) as

$$\begin{aligned} K_X(x_0, x; t+1) &= (\mathcal{A}_X - q\text{Id}) K_X(x_0, x; t) \\ &= (\mathcal{A}_X - q\text{Id})^t K_X(x_0, x; 0). \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

When we apply formula (17) with  $f(\mathcal{A}_X) = (\mathcal{A}_X - q\text{Id})^t$ , we deduce that

$$K_X(x_0, x; t) = \int_{-(q+1)}^{q+1} (\lambda - q)^t \mu_x(d\lambda).$$

With this, the first part of Corollary 2 is proved.

When  $X$  is a finite graph of degree  $q+1$  with  $M$  vertices, the adjacency operator can be identified with the adjacency matrix  $A_X$  which possesses real eigenvalues  $\lambda_0 = q+1 > \lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_{M-1} \geq -(q+1)$ , counted according to their multiplicities. The associated orthonormal eigenvectors are denoted by  $\psi_j \in \mathbb{R}^M$  for  $j = 0, \dots, M-1$ . In this case, the spectral expansion of the heat kernel reads as

$$K_X(x_0, x; t) = \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} (\lambda_j - q)^t \psi_j(x) \overline{\psi_j(x_0)},$$

which proves the second part of Corollary 2.

It remains to prove Corollary 3. We first take  $x = x_0$  in (14). After multiplying (14) by  $(-q)^{-t}$ , we then get that

$$\sum_{j=0}^{M-1} \left( 1 - \frac{\lambda_j}{q} \right)^t \psi_j(x_0) \overline{\psi_j(x_0)} = \sum_{m=0}^t b_m(x_0) q^{-m/2} I_m^{-2/\sqrt{q}}(t). \quad (36)$$

Recall that the eigenvectors  $\psi_j$  are normalized to have  $L^2$ -norm equal to 1. Now, by taking the sum over all vertices  $x_0 \in V_X$  and using Lemma 9, part 3, the result follows.

### 4.4 Proof of Theorem 4

Let  $h(z)$  be a function which is holomorphic for  $|z| > 1/a$  for some positive real number  $a$ . Let us assume that  $a > 3 + 2/q > (2q+1)/q$ . As such,  $h(z)$  can be written as a Taylor series centered at  $z_0 = \infty$ , namely

$$h(z) = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} g(t) z^{-t}.$$

In this notation,  $h(z)$  is the one-sided  $\mathcal{Z}$ -transform of function  $g : \mathbb{N}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . Equivalently, we can say that  $\{g(t)\}$  is the set of Taylor series coefficients of  $h$ . Moreover, the convergence of the series which defines  $h$ , together with assumption that  $a > 3 + 2/q > (2q + 1)/q$  implies the existence of some  $\epsilon > 0$  with  $0 < \epsilon < \frac{1}{2}(a - (2q + 1)/q)$  such that

$$g(t)((2q + 1)/q + \epsilon)^t \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty. \quad (37)$$

Since  $aq > 2q + 1$  and  $-(q + 1) \leq \lambda_j \leq q + 1$  for every eigenvalue  $\lambda_j$ , we have that  $\left| \frac{q}{q - \lambda_j} \right| > 1/a$ . Therefore,

$$\sum_{j=0}^{M-1} h\left(\frac{q}{q - \lambda_j}\right) = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} g(t) \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda_j}{q}\right)^t. \quad (38)$$

The above expression can be obtained from the left-hand side of (15) after multiplying (15) by  $g(t)$  and then summing over all  $t \geq 0$ . Let us now apply the same operations to the right-hand side of (15) and compute the outcome.

As stated,  $(2q + 1)/q \geq 1 + 2/\sqrt{q}$  for  $q \geq 1$ . In (27) we derived the asymptotic behavior of the discrete  $I$ -Bessel function as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , which we now will use with  $c = -2/\sqrt{q}$ . By combining (27) with (37), we conclude that the series  $\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} g(t)I_m^{-2/\sqrt{q}}(t)$  converges absolutely.

Moreover, from Proposition 16 and the power series representation (28), for all  $z$  such that  $1/a < |z| < (1 + 2/\sqrt{q})^{-1}$ , we have that

$$f_n^{-2/\sqrt{q}}(z)h(z) = \sum_{t_1=0}^{\infty} I_n^{-2/\sqrt{q}}(t_1)z^{t_1} \sum_{t_2=0}^{\infty} g(t_2)z^{-t_2}. \quad (39)$$

Note that the annulus  $1/a < |z| < (1 + 2/\sqrt{q})^{-1}$  is not empty because we assumed that  $a > (2q + 1)/q$  and  $(2q + 1)/q \geq 1 + 2/\sqrt{q}$ . The residue theorem implies that the constant term in (39) can be expressed in terms of the integral of  $f_n^{-2/\sqrt{q}}(z)h(z)z^{-1}$  along any circle inside the annulus  $1/a < |z| < (1 + 2/\sqrt{q})^{-1}$ . Specifically, for any  $b$  such that  $1/a < b < q/(3q + 2)$  we have that

$$\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} I_n^{-2/\sqrt{q}}(t)g(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c(0,b)} f_n^{-2/\sqrt{q}}(z)h(z) \frac{dz}{z}. \quad (40)$$

In order to complete the proof, it suffices to show that the series

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} N_m q^{-\frac{m}{2}} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{c(0,b)} \left| f_m^{-2/\sqrt{q}}(z)h(z) \frac{dz}{z} \right| \quad (41)$$

is convergent. In order to do so, we estimate function  $f_m^{-2/\sqrt{q}}(z)$  for  $z$  with  $|z| = b < q/(3q + 2)$ . Recall that

$$f_m^c(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(1 - z)^2 - c^2 z^2}} \left( \frac{cz}{(1 - z) + \sqrt{(1 - z)^2 - c^2 z^2}} \right)^m.$$

Trivially, we have that

$$|(1 - z) + \sqrt{(1 - z)^2 - c^2 z^2}| \geq \operatorname{Re}((1 - z) + \sqrt{(1 - z)^2 - c^2 z^2}) \geq \operatorname{Re}(1 - z) \geq (1 - b).$$

Moreover, for  $q = 4$ , the function  $(1 - z)^2 - 4q^{-1}z^2$  vanishes at  $z = 1/2$ , while for  $q \neq 4$  it vanishes at  $z = \frac{q \pm 2\sqrt{q}}{q - 4}$ . It is straightforward to see that  $\left| \frac{q \pm 2\sqrt{q}}{q - 4} \right| > \frac{1}{2} > b$  for all  $q \geq 1$  provided

$q \neq 4$ . Hence, for any  $q \geq 1$ , we have that  $(1 - z)^2 - 4q^{-1}z^2$  is non-vanishing on the circle  $|z| = b$ . Therefore,

$$|f_m^{-2/\sqrt{q}}(z)| \leq M(b, q) \left( \frac{2bq^{-1/2}}{1 - b} \right)^m$$

where  $M(b, q)$  is the maximal value of the continuous function  $|\sqrt{(1 - z)^2 - 4q^{-1}z^2}|^{-1}$  on the circle  $|z| = b$ . The function  $h(z)$  is holomorphic, hence bounded by a constant  $C(h, b)$  along the circle  $|z| = b$ . The number  $N_m$  of closed irreducible walks without a tail of length  $m$  is bounded by the number of total walks of length  $m$ , so then  $N_m \leq (q + 1)^m$ . Thus,

$$N_m q^{-\frac{m}{2}} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{c(0, b)} \left| f_m^{-2/\sqrt{q}}(z) h(z) \frac{dz}{z} \right| \leq M(b, c) C(h, b) \left( \frac{2b(q + 1)}{q(1 - b)} \right)^m.$$

The value of  $b$  is chosen so that  $b < q/(3q + 2)$ , hence  $\frac{2b(q+1)}{q(1-b)} < 1$ . Therefore, the series (41) is bounded by a convergent geometric series.

With all this, we can summarize the proof of Theorem 4 as follows. First, multiply equation (15) by  $g(t)$  and take the sum over all  $t \geq 0$ . Then, interchange the summation over  $m$  and  $t$  and arrive at the equation

$$\sum_{j=0}^{M-1} h\left(\frac{q}{q - \lambda_j}\right) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} N_m q^{-m/2} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} g(t) I_m^{-2/\sqrt{q}}(t) + M(q - 1) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} q^{-2j} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} g(t) I_{2j}^{-2/\sqrt{q}}(t).$$

Finally, by inserting the identity (40), one obtains Theorem 4. In effect, the detailed analysis above justifies the operations described which yield Theorem 4 from (15).

**Remark 19.** Corollary 5 follows directly from Theorem 1 and equation (16). The proof of (16) is given in section 5 below. Once the proof of (16) is established, all statements in the introduction are proven.

## 5 Uniform random walk on a $(q + 1)$ -regular graph

Let  $X$  denote a  $(q + 1)$ -regular graph, which is either finite or countably infinite, and which has a base point  $x_0$ . A uniform random walk on  $X$  starting at  $x_0$  is the discrete time random walk at which the particle located at any vertex of  $X$  at time  $t = n \geq 0$  moves to any of its  $(q + 1)$  neighboring vertices. The movement to any vertex occurs with probability  $1/(q + 1)$ . This process can be described as a solution to the diffusion equation associated to the uniform random walk Laplacian  $\Delta_X^{\text{rw}}$  on  $X$  which is given by

$$\Delta_X^{\text{rw}} = \text{Id} - \frac{1}{q + 1} \mathcal{A}_X = \frac{1}{q + 1} \Delta_X.$$

Specifically, the probability that a particle which starts walking at the base point  $x_0$  and after  $t$  steps is located at the point  $x$  equals  $K_X^{\text{rw}}(x_0, x; t)$  where  $K_X^{\text{rw}}(x_0, x; t)$  is the discrete time random walk heat kernel. In other words,  $K_X^{\text{rw}}(x_0, x; t)$  is the solution to the discrete time diffusion equation

$$\Delta_X^{\text{rw}} K_X^{\text{rw}}(x_0, x; t) + \partial_t K_X^{\text{rw}}(x_0, x; t) = 0 \quad (42)$$

subject to the initial condition

$$K_X^{\text{rw}}(x_0, x; 0) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x = x_0 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (43)$$



Note that (42) can be written as

$$K_X^{\text{rw}}(x_0, x; t) = \frac{1}{q+1} \mathcal{A}_X K_X^{\text{rw}}(x_0, x; t) = \frac{1}{(q+1)^t} \mathcal{A}_X^t K_X^{\text{rw}}(x_0, x; 0), \quad (44)$$

which succinctly describes the dynamics of this process.

The heat kernels  $K_X(x_0, x; t)$  and  $K_X^{\text{rw}}(x_0, x; t)$  have the same initial condition, meaning that

$$K_X^{\text{rw}}(x_0, x, 0) = K_X(x_0, x, 0) \quad \text{for all } x. \quad (45)$$

With this, we can solve for one heat kernel in terms of the other. The result is given in the following lemma.

**Lemma 20.** *Let  $X$  be a  $(q+1)$ -regular graph, which is either finite or countably infinite, with a base point  $x_0$ . For any  $x \in X$  the discrete time heat kernel  $K_X(x_0, x; t)$  and the discrete time random walk heat kernel  $K_X^{\text{rw}}(x_0, x; t)$  are related through the identities*

$$K_X(x_0, x; t) = (-q)^t \sum_{k=0}^t (-1)^k \binom{t}{k} \left(1 + \frac{1}{q}\right)^k K_X^{\text{rw}}(x_0, x; k). \quad (46)$$

and (16), which is

$$K_X^{\text{rw}}(x_0, x; t) = \left(\frac{q}{q+1}\right)^t \sum_{k=0}^t \binom{t}{k} q^{-k} K_X(x_0, x; k).$$

*Proof.* To prove (46) we combine (35), (45) and (44). Specifically, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} K_X(x_0, x; t) &= (\mathcal{A}_X - q\text{Id})^t K_X(x_0, x; 0) \\ &= (\mathcal{A}_X - q\text{Id})^t K_X^{\text{rw}}(x_0, x; 0) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^t \binom{t}{k} (-q)^{t-k} (\mathcal{A}_X)^k K_X^{\text{rw}}(x_0, x; 0) \\ &= (-q)^t \sum_{k=0}^t (-1)^k \binom{t}{k} \left(\frac{q+1}{q}\right)^k \frac{1}{(q+1)^k} (\mathcal{A}_X)^k K_X^{\text{rw}}(x_0, x; 0) \\ &= (-q)^t \sum_{k=0}^t (-1)^k \binom{t}{k} \left(1 + \frac{1}{q}\right)^k K_X^{\text{rw}}(x_0, x; k), \end{aligned}$$

as claimed. On the other hand, for  $\mathcal{B}_X = \mathcal{A}_X - q\text{Id}$ , one has that

$$\begin{aligned} K_X^{\text{rw}}(x_0, x; t) &= \frac{1}{(q+1)^t} (\mathcal{B}_X + q\text{Id})^t K_X^{\text{rw}}(x_0, x; 0) \\ &= \frac{1}{(q+1)^t} \sum_{k=0}^t \binom{t}{k} q^{t-k} \mathcal{B}_X^k K_X(x_0, x; 0) \\ &= \frac{1}{(q+1)^t} \sum_{k=0}^t \binom{t}{k} q^{t-k} K_X(x_0, x; k), \end{aligned}$$

which proves (16).  $\square$

By combining (16) with (12), we have proved Corollary 5.

As a special case of the above computations, one can take  $X$  to be the  $(q+1)$ -regular tree. By combining (32) with (16), we immediately obtain an explicit expression of the random walk heat kernel  $K_{q+1}^{\text{rw}}(r; t)$  associated to a  $(q+1)$ -regular tree in terms of the discrete  $I$ -Bessel function. Specifically, for  $t \geq r$ , one has that

$$K_{q+1}^{\text{rw}}(r; t) = \frac{q^t}{(q+1)^t} \sum_{k=r}^t \binom{t}{k} (-1)^k \left( q^{-r/2} I_r^{-2/\sqrt{q}}(k) - (q-1) \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor \frac{k-r}{2} \rfloor} q^{-(r+2j)/2} I_{r+2j}^{-2/\sqrt{q}}(k) \right), \quad (47)$$

where, as before,  $r$  denotes the radial variable on the  $(q+1)$ -regular tree relative to a fixed base point  $x_0$ .

**Remark 21.** The random walk heat kernel on homogeneous regular trees was studied in [37], Section 2, where certain properties of  $K_{q+1}^{\text{rw}}(r; t)$  were derived. However, those results were based on recurrence formula satisfied by this kernel and no closed formula for its evaluation was deduced. A more general setting of semi-regular infinite graphs was studied in [38] where the upper and lower bounds for the discrete time random walk heat kernel were deduced. Again, no closed formula for this heat kernel was obtained.

**Remark 22.** By taking  $r = 0$  in equation (47) we can deduce the return probability after  $t$  steps of a random walk on a  $(q+1)$ -regular tree, meaning the probability that a uniform random walk on the tree starting at the root comes back to the root after  $t$  steps. This probability is given by

$$K_{q+1}^{\text{rw}}(0; t) = \frac{q^t}{(q+1)^t} \sum_{k=0}^t \binom{t}{k} (-1)^k \left( I_0^{-2/\sqrt{q}}(k) - (q-1) \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor} q^{-j} I_{2j}^{-2/\sqrt{q}}(k) \right). \quad (48)$$

## 6 A limiting distribution result

The quantity  $K_X^{\text{rw}}(x_0, x_0; t)$  can be viewed as the return probability of the random walk on  $X$  to the starting point after  $t$  steps. Using the above formulas, we can determine the limiting behavior of the return probability for certain sequences of finite graphs as the number of vertices of  $M$  tends to infinity.

**Proposition 23.** *Let  $\{X_h\}$  be a sequence of finite  $(q+1)$ -regular vertex transitive graphs with base points  $\{x_{0,h}\}$ . Assume that  $X_h$  has  $M_h$  vertices, and that  $M_h$  tends to infinity as  $h$  tends to infinity. Assume further that the length of the shortest closed irreducible walk without a tail on  $X_h$  rooted at  $x_{0,h}$  tends to infinity as  $M_h$  goes to infinity. Then for fixed  $t \geq 0$  we have, for sufficiently large  $h$ , the equality*

$$K_{X_h}^{\text{rw}}(x_{0,h}, x_{0,h}; t) = \frac{q^t}{(q+1)^t} \sum_{k=0}^t \binom{t}{k} (-1)^k \left( I_0^{-2/\sqrt{q}}(k) - (q-1) \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor \frac{t}{2} \rfloor} q^{-j} I_{2j}^{-2/\sqrt{q}}(k) \right). \quad (49)$$

**Remark 24.** In a slight abuse of notation, we will write the statement in Proposition 23 as saying that for fixed  $t$ , we have that

$$K_X^{\text{rw}}(x_{0,h}, x_{0,h}; t) = K_{q+1}^{\text{rw}}(0; t).$$

for  $h$  sufficiently large.

*Proof.* Let  $X$  be any fixed  $(q+1)$ -regular graph with  $M$  vertices. Then, from (36) and (18), we have that the heat kernel  $K_X(x_{0,h}, x_{0,h}; t)$  can be written as

$$K_X(x_{0,h}, x_{0,h}; t) = (-q)^t \left[ \sum_{m=0}^t N_m(x_{0,h}) q^{-m/2} I_m^{-2/\sqrt{q}}(t) - (q-1) \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor \frac{t}{2} \rfloor} q^{-j} I_{2j}^{-2/\sqrt{q}}(t) \right],$$

where, as stated above,  $N_m(x_{0,h})$  is the number of closed irreducible walks without a tail of length  $m$  beginning at  $x_{0,h}$ . For the sequence  $\{X_h\}$  under consideration, and for fixed  $t$ , it is assumed that for sufficiently large  $h$  we have that  $N_m(x_{0,h}) = 0$  for all  $0 < m \leq t$ . Recall the convention that  $N_0(x_{0,h}) = 1$ . Therefore, for fixed  $t$  and sufficiently large  $h$ , we have that

$$K_X(x_{0,h}, x_{0,h}; t) = (-q)^t \left( I_0^{-2/\sqrt{q}}(t) - (q-1) \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor \frac{t}{2} \rfloor} q^{-j} I_{2j}^{-2/\sqrt{q}}(t) \right). \quad (50)$$

By combining this with formula (16), the proof is complete.  $\square$

**Remark 25.** According to [36], the limit as  $M \rightarrow \infty$  of the *first return probability distribution* of a random walk on a  $(q+1)$ -regular randomly chosen graph with  $M$  vertices equals the first return probability distribution on the  $(q+1)$ -regular tree. The above computations show that one has a similar result when considering the (total) return probability distribution for certain sequences of graphs since the return probability distribution (48) on the tree  $T_{q+1}$  coincides with the distribution obtained by combining (50) with (16).

## 7 Relating spectral data to length spectrum data

As with trace formulas in general, one can use Theorem 4 to express spectral data of the Laplacian, or adjacency operator, to topological data, namely the length spectrum. In the case  $X$  is finite, such expressions are stated in Corollary 2 of [24], under the additional assumption that  $X$  is connected, without multiple edges and loops. In this section, we will use Corollary 3 to show how to express the length spectrum, meaning the number  $N_m$  of distinct closed irreducible walks without tails of length  $m$ , in terms of moments of the spectrum of the adjacency matrix  $A_X$ . Going further, we will discuss in Section 7.4 below how to use Corollary 2 to prove similar results in the case  $X$  is an infinite  $(q+1)$ -regular graph.

### 7.1 Explicit evaluations of $N_j$ for $j \leq 3$

Let us explicitly compute  $N_j$  for all  $j \leq 3$  for any finite  $(q+1)$ -regular graph with  $M$  vertices using formula (15). In each case, the evaluations use the precise formula for the discrete  $I$ -Bessel function, as stated in (25).

Using that  $I_0^{-2/\sqrt{q}}(0) = 1$  and  $I_2^{-2/\sqrt{q}}(0) = 0$ , we get that equation (15) in the case  $t = 0$  yields the formula  $N_0 = M$ , as is set by convention.

Let us now consider  $t = 1$ . From Lemma 10, we can readily compute that  $I_0^{-2/\sqrt{q}}(1) = 1$ ,  $I_1^{-2/\sqrt{q}}(1) = -2/(2\sqrt{q})$  and  $I_2^{-2/\sqrt{q}}(1) = 0$ . Thus, equation (15) in the case  $t = 1$  becomes

$$M - \frac{1}{q} \text{Tr}(A_X) = N_0 + N_1 q^{-1/2} \cdot \frac{-1}{\sqrt{q}}.$$

Since  $N_0 = M$ , we get that  $N_1 = \text{Tr}(A_X)$ . In other words, the number of closed irreducible walks of length one equals the number of self-loops in the graph  $X$ , as expected.

The case when  $t = 2$  is the first instance where the second sum in Corollary (3) is non-zero. From (25) we get that  $I_0^{-2/\sqrt{q}}(2) = 1 + \frac{2}{q}$ . Additionally, (25) can be used to show that

$$I_1^{-2/\sqrt{q}}(2) = \frac{-2}{2\sqrt{q}} = \frac{-2}{\sqrt{q}} \quad \text{and} \quad I_2^{-2/\sqrt{q}}(2) = \left(\frac{-2}{2\sqrt{q}}\right)^2 = \frac{1}{q}.$$

Therefore, from (15) we arrive at the expression

$$M - \frac{2}{q}\text{Tr}(A_X) + \frac{1}{q^2}\text{Tr}(A_X^2) = N_0 \left(1 + \frac{2}{q}\right) + N_1 \frac{-2}{q} + N_2 \frac{1}{q^2} - \frac{M(q-1)}{q}.$$

From the above evaluations of  $N_0$  and  $N_1$ , we conclude that

$$N_2 = \text{Tr}(A_X^2) - M(q+1). \quad (51)$$

We can give a separate argument which proves (51) as follows. It is well-known that  $\text{Tr}(A_X^2)$  denotes the number of closed walks of length 2 on  $X$ ; however,  $N_2$  is the number of closed walks of length 2 that are irreducible and without tails. Closed walks of length two with a tail are the same as closed reducible walks. Hence,  $N_2$  equals the difference between  $\text{Tr}(A_X^2)$  and the number of closed, length 2 reducible walks on  $X$ . For each vertex  $x \in V_X$  there are exactly  $(q+1)$  reducible walks of length two; namely for each of the  $q+1$  vertices  $y_i$  adjacent to  $x$ , that is the walk  $x, y_i, x$ . Since the number of vertices is  $M$ , this means that there are exactly  $M(q+1)$  reducible walks of length two on  $X$ . With all this, one has a combinatorial argument which confirms (51).

The calculations in the case  $t = 3$  follow a similar pattern as in the case  $t = 2$ , but are a bit more involved. From (25) we obtain the following evaluations:

$$\begin{aligned} I_0^{-2/\sqrt{q}}(3) &= \sum_{\alpha=0}^1 \frac{3!}{\alpha!^2(3-2\alpha)!} \left(\frac{-2}{2\sqrt{q}}\right)^{2\alpha} = 1 + \frac{6}{q}; \\ I_1^{-2/\sqrt{q}}(3) &= \sum_{\alpha=0}^1 \frac{3!}{\alpha!(3-2\alpha-1)!(\alpha+1)!} \left(\frac{-2}{2\sqrt{q}}\right)^{2\alpha+1} = -\frac{3}{\sqrt{q}} - \frac{3}{q\sqrt{q}}; \\ I_2^{-2/\sqrt{q}}(3) &= \left(\frac{-2}{2\sqrt{q}}\right)^2 \cdot 3 = \frac{3}{q}; \quad I_3^{-2/\sqrt{q}}(3) = \left(\frac{-2}{2\sqrt{q}}\right)^3 = \frac{-1}{q^{3/2}}. \end{aligned}$$

We now substitute these evaluations into (15) and get, upon expanding the left-hand-side, the expression

$$\begin{aligned} M - \frac{3}{q}\text{Tr}(A_X) + \frac{3}{q^2}\text{Tr}(A_X^2) - \frac{1}{q^3}\text{Tr}(A_X^3) \\ = N_0 \left(1 + \frac{6}{q}\right) - \frac{1}{q}N_1 \left(3 + \frac{3}{q}\right) + N_2 q^{-1} \cdot \frac{3}{q} + N_3 q^{-3/2} \cdot \frac{-1}{q^{3/2}} - M(q-1)q^{-1} \cdot \frac{3}{q}. \end{aligned}$$

When solving for  $N_3$ , one uses the values for  $N_0$ ,  $N_1$  and  $N_2$  obtained above to get that

$$N_3 = \text{Tr}(A_X^3) - 3q\text{Tr}(A_X). \quad (52)$$

Let us provide a combinatorial proof of formula (52). By definition,  $N_3$  is the number of closed, irreducible walks of length 3 on  $X$  without tails. As such,  $N_3$  equals the difference

between  $\text{Tr}(A_X^3)$ , the number of all closed walks of length 3 on  $X$  and the number of closed walks of length 3 that are reducible or possess a tail. For vertices  $x \in V_X$  which do not possess self-loops, all closed walks of length 3 are irreducible and without tails. Hence, if there are no self-loops in  $X$ , then  $\text{Tr}(A_X) = 0$  and the formula (52) holds true. Assume now that there are  $N_1 > 0$  vertices in  $V_X$  which are adjacent to themselves, meaning they have self-loops. Let  $x$  be such a vertex and let  $y \neq x$  be a vertex adjacent to  $x$ ; there are  $q$  such vertices. Then, the walks  $x, x, y, x$  and  $x, y, x, x$  are all reducible walks starting from  $x$  and for each such  $x$  there are  $2q$  of them. Moreover, the walks  $y, x, x, y$  are only possible length three walks that possess a tail; for a fixed  $x$  with a self-loop, there are exactly  $q$  of them. This proves that the number of closed walks on  $X$  of length 3 which are either reducible or possess a tail equals  $3qN_1 = 3q\text{Tr}(A_X)$  and completes the proof of (52).

The formulas for  $N_j$  for  $j \leq 3$  obtained in these calculations agree with the expressions obtained from equation (34) of [24].

## 7.2 Evaluating $N_j$ for general $j$

Going further, one can use (25) to obtain closed-form expressions for each  $\tilde{N}_t := q^{-t/2}N_t$  for any finite  $(q+1)$ -regular graph with  $M$  vertices. The approach is as follows.

Fix  $t \geq 1$  and let  $V_t$  denote the  $(t+1) \times (t+1)$  matrix whose  $(j, k)$  entry is  $v_{j,k} = I_{k-1}^{-2/\sqrt{q}}(j-1)$  for  $j, k = 1, \dots, t+1$ . Observe that  $v_{j,k} = 0$  for  $k > j$ ; see Lemma 4(i). Hence,  $V$  is a lower triangular matrix. Furthermore, from (25) we get that

$$v_{k,k} = I_{k-1}^{-2/\sqrt{q}}(k-1) = (-1)^{k-1}q^{-(k-1)/2} \quad \text{for } k = 1, \dots, t+1.$$

Let  $\mathbb{T}$  be the column vector of length  $t+1$  whose  $k$ -th entry is  $\text{Tr}\left(\left(\frac{A_X}{2\sqrt{q}}\right)^{k-1}\right)$ , where the first entry is  $\text{Tr}(\text{Id}) = M$ . Let  $\tilde{\mathbb{N}}$  be the column vector of length  $t+1$  whose  $k$ -th entry is  $\tilde{N}_{k-1}$ . Finally, let  $\mathbb{E} = (e_k)_{k=1}^{t+1}$  be the column vector of length  $t+1$  whose  $k$ -th entry is  $M(q-1)q^{-1}I_2^{-2/\sqrt{q}}(k-1)$ . With all this, the set of equations (15) can be written as

$$B\mathbb{T} = V\tilde{\mathbb{N}} - \mathbb{E},$$

where  $B = (b_{jk})_{(t+1) \times (t+1)}$  is the matrix with entries  $b_{jk} = \binom{k-1}{j-1} \left(-\frac{2}{\sqrt{q}}\right)^{j-1}$ , for  $k \geq j$  and  $b_{jk} = 0$  for  $k < j$ . By convention we set  $b_{11} = \binom{0}{0} = 1$ .

The matrix  $V$  is invertible, hence the solution to the set of equations (15) for any fixed  $t \geq 1$  is

$$\tilde{\mathbb{N}} = V^{-1}(B\mathbb{T} + \mathbb{E}). \quad (53)$$

Let  $V^{-1} = (\tilde{v}_{jk})_{(t+1) \times (t+1)}$ . Let us show how to compute  $V^{-1}$  in terms of powers of discrete  $I$ -Bessel functions. Let  $D$  be the  $(t+1) \times (t+1)$  diagonal matrix whose  $(k, k)$  entry is  $d_{k,k} = (-1)^{k-1}q^{-(k-1)/2}$ . Then  $D^{-1}$  is the diagonal matrix whose  $k$ -th diagonal element is  $(-1)^{k-1}q^{(k-1)/2}$ . Let us write  $V = D + \tilde{V}$ , so  $\tilde{V}$  is a strictly lower diagonal matrix.

Since  $\tilde{V}$  is strictly lower triangular and  $D^{-1}$  is diagonal, then  $D^{-1}\tilde{V}$  is also strictly lower triangular, so then  $(D^{-1}\tilde{V})^{t+1}$  is the zero matrix. Therefore,

$$V^{-1} = (I + D^{-1}\tilde{V})^{-1}D^{-1} = \left(\sum_{h=0}^t (-D^{-1}\tilde{V})^h\right) D^{-1}, \quad (54)$$

which shows that entries  $\tilde{v}_{jk}$  of  $V^{-1}$  can be written in terms of sums of products of discrete  $I$ -Bessel functions. Therefore, formula (53) combined with (54) provides an efficient algorithm for evaluation of  $\tilde{N}_j$  for general  $j$  in terms of values of discrete  $I$ -Bessel functions.

### 7.3 Chebyshev polynomials and discrete $I$ -Bessel functions

In this section we will compare our results with equation (34) of [24]. Recall that [24] assumed  $X$  is a finite connected  $(q+1)$ -regular graph with  $M$  vertices, without multiple edges and loops. So, for this section we also will assume that  $X$  does not have multiple edges or self-loops.

For any positive integer  $\ell$ , Equation (34) of [24] can be written, in our notation, as

$$\tilde{N}_\ell = 2\text{Tr} \left( T_\ell \left( \frac{A_X}{2\sqrt{q}} \right) \right) + \frac{1 + (-1)^\ell}{2} (q-1)q^{-\ell/2}M, \quad (55)$$

where  $T_\ell(x)$  denotes the  $\ell$ -th Chebyshev polynomial of the first kind. In other words, results from [24] evaluate the left-hand side of (53) in terms of the Chebyshev polynomial; this evaluation can be viewed as a linear form in variables

$$x_j(X, q) := \text{Tr} \left( \left( \frac{A_X}{2\sqrt{q}} \right)^j \right) \quad \text{for } j = 0, 1, \dots, \ell,$$

together with the term  $\frac{1+(-1)^\ell}{2}(q-1)q^{-\ell/2}M$ , which is zero if  $\ell$  is odd. Recall that we adopt the convention that

$$x_0(X, q) := \text{Tr} \left( \left( \frac{A_X}{2\sqrt{q}} \right)^0 \right) = M.$$

In other words, we can write equation (55) as

$$\tilde{N}_\ell = 2 \sum_{j=0}^{\ell} t_\ell(j) x_j(X, q) + \frac{1 + (-1)^\ell}{2} (q-1)q^{-\ell/2}M, \quad (56)$$

where  $t_\ell(j)$  is the coefficient multiplying  $y^j$  in the expansion of the Chebyshev polynomial  $T_\ell(y)$ .

Returning to our calculations, note that the  $k$ -th entry on the right-hand side of (53) equals a linear form in the variable  $x_j(X, q)$ ,  $j = 0, \dots, k-1$ , namely

$$P_{k-1}(x_X(q)) = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} a_{k-1,j} x_j(X, q),$$

where  $a_{k-1,j} = \sum_{m=1}^k \tilde{v}_{km} b_{m(j+1)}$ , for  $j = 1, \dots, k-1$  and  $a_{k-1,0} = \sum_{m=1}^k \tilde{v}_{km} (b_{m1} + e_{k-1})$ . Therefore, equation (53) combines with (56) to imply that for any  $k \geq 0$  we have the expression

$$2 \sum_{j=0}^k t_k(j) x_j(X, q) + \frac{1 + (-1)^k}{2} (q-1)q^{-k/2}M = \sum_{j=0}^k a_{k,j} x_j(X, q).$$

The coefficients of the linear form on the right-hand side are explicitly computable in terms of discrete  $I$ -Bessel functions  $I_j^{-2/\sqrt{q}}(\ell)$  for  $j \leq \ell \leq k-1$ . In other words, the rows of the matrix

$$\left( \sum_{h=0}^t \left( -D^{-1} \tilde{V} \right)^h \right) D^{-1} B$$

amount a listing of the coefficients of the Chebyshev polynomial associated to non-constant terms.

We find it intriguing to see that the special values of the discrete  $I$ -Bessel function come together through the above computations and produce the coefficients of Chebyshev polynomials.

## 7.4 Further counting problems

The analysis of the previous sections provided an explicit, closed-form expression for  $N_m$ , the number of closed irreducible walks without tails of length  $m$  on a finite  $(q+1)$ -regular graph, in terms of a finite sum involving the length spectrum of the adjacency matrix. In the setting of continuous time heat kernels, as in [7] or [24], the authors obtain expressions which relate a spectral term, often finite, to a geometric term, which is an infinite sum in the continuous time setting. In the discrete time setting we study in this article, the geometric side of the identities we study also is finite. As a result, the formula for  $N_m$  follows from our heat kernel formulas and elementary linear algebra.

Let us now briefly describe how one can expand the approach taken above to the case when  $X$  is infinite.

One begins with the pre-trace formula as given in Corollary (2). It is not necessary to take  $x = x_0$ , but certainly that is a possibility. For a fixed integer  $t$ , the left-hand side of the formulas in Corollary (2) could be taken as written or expanded into a series involving the various moments of the spectral measure. As in Section 7.2, one can solve for  $b_m(x)$ , ultimately obtaining an expression for  $b_t(x)$  in terms of the  $m$ -th moments of the spectral measure, for all  $m \leq t$ , and special values of the discrete  $I$ -Bessel function. Equivalently, the formulas for  $b_t(x)$  will involve the shifted moments

$$\int_{-(q+1)}^{(q+1)} (\lambda - q)^m \mu_x(d\lambda) \quad \text{for } m \leq t$$

and special values of the discrete  $I$ -Bessel function. The resulting formulas will be similar to those in (53) and (54). Finally, one can go one step further and get expressions for  $c_m(x)$  using Lemma 8 and one further matrix multiplication.

## 8 Applications to other diffusion processes

Let us briefly indicate how to apply our main results to give a closed formula for the solution of a certain zero-sum diffusion process on the  $(q+1)$ -regular tree  $T_{q+1}$ , which can be viewed as a random walk in presence of a heat or cooling source.

Namely, let  $\alpha, \beta > 0$  be such that  $\alpha + \beta < 1$ . Consider the zero-sum diffusion process on the non-negative integers  $\mathbb{N}_0$  as described by the difference equation

$$\partial_t K(x; t) = \begin{cases} (\beta - 1)K(0; t) + (1 - \beta)K(1; t), & \text{if } x = 0; \\ (\beta - 1)K(x; t) + (1 - \beta - \alpha)K(x + 1; t) + \alpha K(x - 1; t), & \text{if } x \geq 1, \end{cases} \quad (57)$$

with initial condition as in (31). One can view the conditions at  $x = 0$  as that of a reflecting boundary.



Equation (30) can be suitably rescaled to give a solution to (57). Indeed, by reasoning as in the proof of Proposition 17, one can show that the solution  $K(x; t)$  for  $x, t \in \mathbb{N}_0$  is given by

$$K(x; t) = \beta^t \left[ \left( \frac{1 - \alpha - \beta}{\alpha} \right)^{-x/2} I_x^a(t) + \frac{2\alpha + \beta - 1}{\alpha} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1 - \alpha - \beta}{\alpha} \right)^{-(x+2j)/2} I_{x+2j}^a(t) \right],$$

where  $a = 2\sqrt{\frac{\alpha(1-\alpha-\beta)}{\beta^2}}$ . We will leave the proof to the interested reader.

## References

- [1] G. Ahumada, *Fonctions périodiques et formule des traces de Selberg sur les arbres*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. 305 (1987), pp. 709–712.
- [2] D. Bednarchak, *Heat kernel for regular trees*. in *Harmonic functions on trees and buildings (New York, 1995)*, Adam Korányi ed. volume 206 of Contemp. Math. Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, RI (1997), pp. 111–112.
- [3] M. Bohner and T. Cuchta, *The Bessel difference equation*, Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 145 (2017), No. 4, pp. 1567–1580.
- [4] M. Bohner and A. Peterson, *Dynamic equations on time scales. An introduction with applications*, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2001.
- [5] C. E. Brasseur, R. E. Grady and S. Prassidis, *Coverings, Laplacians, and heat kernels of directed graphs*, Electron. J. Combin. 16 (2009), no. 1, Research Paper 31, 25 pp.
- [6] G. Chinta, J. Jorgenson and A. Karlsson, *Zeta functions, heat kernels, and spectral asymptotics on degenerating families of discrete tori*, Nagoya Math. J. 198 (2010), pp. 121–172.
- [7] G. Chinta, J. Jorgenson and A. Karlsson, *Heat kernels on regular graphs and generalized Ihara zeta function formulas*, Monatsh. Math. 178 (2015), No. 2, pp. 171–190.
- [8] F. Chung and S.-T. Yau, *Coverings, heat kernels and spanning trees*, Electron. J. Comb. 6 (1999), Research Paper vol. 12, p. 21.
- [9] Ó. Ciaurri, T. A. Gillespie, L. Roncal, J. L. Torrea, and J. L. Varona, *Harmonic analysis associated with a discrete Laplacian*, J. Anal. Math. 132 (2017), pp. 109–131.
- [10] M. Cowling, S. Meda and A. G. Setti, *Estimates for functions of the Laplace operator on homogeneous trees*, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 352 (2000), pp. 4271–4293.
- [11] C. W. Curtis and I. Reiner, *Representation theory of finite groups and associative algebras*, Reprint of the 1962 original. Wiley Classics Library. A Wiley-Interscience Publication. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1988.
- [12] M. Cvitković, A.-S. Smith and J. Pande, *Asymptotic expansions of the hypergeometric function with two large parameters—application to the partition function of a lattice gas in a field of traps*, J. Phys. A 50 (2017), no. 26, 265206, 24 pp.
- [13] J. Dodziuk, *Elliptic operators on infinite graphs*, Analysis geometry and topology of elliptic operators. World Sci. Publ, NJ (2006), pp. 353–368.

- [14] J. Dodziuk, and V. Mathai, *Kato's inequality and asymptotic spectral properties for discrete magnetic Laplacians*, in *The ubiquitous heat kernel*, J. Jorgenson and L. Walling, eds. volume 398 of Contemp. Math. Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, RI (2006), pp. 69–81.
- [15] M. Friesl, A. Slavík, and P. Stehlík, *Discrete-space partial dynamic equations on time scale  $s$  and applications to stochastic processes*, Appl. Math. Lett. 37 (2014), pp. 86–90.
- [16] A. Giacometti, *Exact closed form of the return probability on the Bethe lattice*, J. Phys. A 28 (1995), no. 1, L13.
- [17] I. S. Gradshteyn, and I. M. Ryzhik, *Table of Integrals, Series and Products*, Elsevier Academic Press, Amsterdam, 2007.
- [18] M. D. Horton, D. B. Newland, and A. A. Terras, *The contest between the kernels in the Selberg trace formula for the  $(q+1)$ -regular tree*, in *The ubiquitous heat kernel*, J. Jorgenson and L. Walling, eds. volume 398 of Contemp. Math. Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, RI (2006), pp. 265–293.
- [19] B. Hughes and M. Sahimi, *Random walks on the Bethe lattice*, J. Statist. Phys. 29 (1982), no. 4, pp. 781–794.
- [20] D. S. Jones, *Asymptotics of the hypergeometric function*, Math. Methods Appl. Sci. 24 (2001), pp. 369–389.
- [21] A. Karlsson and M. Neuhauser, *Heat kernels, theta identities, and zeta functions on cyclic groups*, in *Topological and asymptotic aspects of group theory*, R. Grigorchuk, M. Mihalik, M. Sapir and Z. Šuník, eds. volume 394 of Contemp. Math., Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (2006), pp. 177–189.
- [22] Y. Lin, S.-M. Ngai and S.-T. Yau, *Heat kernels on forms defined on a subgraph of a complete graph*, Math. Ann. 380 (2021), pp. 1891–1931.
- [23] L. Lovász, *Spectra of graphs with transitive groups*, Period. Math. Hungar. 6 (1975), no. 2, pp. 191–195.
- [24] P. Mněv, *Discrete path integral approach to the Selberg trace formula for regular graphs*, Comm. Math. Phys. 274 (2007), no. 1, pp. 233–241.
- [25] B. Mohar, *The spectrum of an infinite graph*, Linear Algebra Appl. 48 (1982), pp. 245–256.
- [26] B. Mohar and W. Woess, *A survey on spectra of infinite graphs*, Bull. London Math. Soc. 21 (1989), no. 3, pp. 209–234.
- [27] J.-P. Serre, *Trees*, Springer monographs in mathematics, Springer, Berlin, Translated from the French original by John Stillwell, Corrected 2nd printing of the 1980 English translation (2003).
- [28] A. Slavík, *Discrete Bessel functions and partial difference equations*, J. Difference Equ. Appl. 24 (2018), No. 3, pp. 425–437.
- [29] A. Slavík, *Asymptotic behavior of solutions to the semidiscrete diffusion equation*, Appl. Math. Lett. 106 (2020), 106392, 7 pp.

- [30] A. Slavík, *Spatial maxima, unimodality, and asymptotic behaviour of solutions to discrete diffusion-type equations*, J. Difference Equ. Appl. 28 (2022), no. 1, pp. 126–140.
- [31] A. Slavík and P. Stehlík, *Explicit solutions to dynamic diffusion-type equations and their time integrals*, Appl. Math. Comput. 234 (2014), pp. 486–505.
- [32] A. Slavík and P. Stehlík, *Dynamic diffusion-type equations on discrete-space domains*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 427 (2015), no. 1, pp. 525–545.
- [33] V. Sood, S. Redner, and D. ben-Avraham, *First-passage properties of the Erdős–Rényi random graph*, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 38 (2005), pp. 109–123.
- [34] N. M. Temme, *Large parameter cases of the Gauss hypergeometric function*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. 153 (2003), pp. 441–462.
- [35] A. Terras and D. Wallace, *Selberg’s trace formula on the  $k$ -regular tree and applications*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. 8 (2003), pp. 501–526.
- [36] I. Tishby, O. Biham, and E. Katzav, *Analytical results for the distribution of first return times of random walks on random regular graphs*, J. Phys. A 54 (2021), no. 32, Paper No. 325001, 25 pp.
- [37] H. Urakawa, *Heat kernel and Green kernel comparison theorems for infinite graphs*, J. Functional Anal. 146 (1997), pp. 206–235.
- [38] H. Urakawa, *The Cheeger constant, the heat kernel, and the Green kernel of an infinite graph*, Monatsh. Math. 138 (2003), no. 3, pp. 225–237.
- [39] G. N. Watson, *Asymptotic expansions of hypergeometric functions*, Trans. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 22 (1918), pp. 277–308.

Carlos A. Cadavid  
 Department of Mathematics  
 Universidad Eafit  
 Carrera 49 No 7 Sur-50  
 Medellín, Colombia  
 e-mail: ccadavid@eafit.edu.co

Paulina Hoyos  
 Department of Mathematics  
 The University of Texas at Austin  
 C2515 Speedway, PMA 8.100  
 Austin, TX 78712 U.S.A.  
 e-mail: paulinah@utexas.edu

Jay Jorgenson  
 Department of Mathematics  
 The City College of New York  
 Convent Avenue at 138th Street  
 New York, NY 10031 U.S.A.  
 e-mail: jjorgenson@mindspring.com

Lejla Smajlović  
Department of Mathematics  
University of Sarajevo  
Zmaja od Bosne 35, 71 000 Sarajevo  
Bosnia and Herzegovina  
e-mail: lejlas@pmf.unsa.ba

Juan D. Vélez  
Department of Mathematics  
Universidad Nacional de Colombia  
Carrera 65 Nro. 59A - 110  
Medellín, Colombia  
e-mail: jdvelez@unal.edu.co