

An Index Theorem for Loop Spaces

Doman Takata

Niigata University

August 26, 2022

Abstract

We formulate and prove an index theorem for loop spaces of compact manifolds in the framework of KK -theory. It is a strong candidate for the noncommutative geometrical definition (or the analytic counterpart) of the Witten genus. In order to find out an “appropriate form” of the index theorem to formulate a loop space version, we formulate and prove an equivariant index theorem for non-compact manifolds equipped with S^1 -actions with compact fixed-point sets. In order to formulate it, we use a ring of formal power series.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010). 19K56 (Index theory); 19K35 (Kasparov theory (KK-theory)), 19L47 (Equivariant K-theory), 58B20 (Riemannian, Finsler and other geometric structures on infinite-dimensional manifolds), 58B34 (Noncommutative geometry).

Key words: index theorem, fixed-point formula, KK -theory, $\mathcal{R}KK$ -theory, representable K -theory, loop space, C^* -algebras of Hilbert manifolds, Witten genus.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Preliminaries	6
2.1	Basic KK -elements and index theorem	6
2.2	$\mathcal{R}KK$ -theory for non-locally compact groupoids	9
3	An S^1-equivariant index theorem for non-compact manifolds	10
3.1	Construction of S^1 -equivariant index for non-compact manifolds	10
3.2	Fixed-point formula of the localized index	14
3.3	A reformulation	20
3.4	Comparison with Hochs-Wang’s index	25
4	An S^1-equivariant index theorem for loop spaces	27
4.1	Loop spaces	27
4.2	Ingredients for a loop space version of the index theorem	28
4.3	The index and the fixed-point formula	32
4.4	Remained problems	36
A	Our index homomorphism is appropriate	36

1 Introduction

Main theorem

The Atiyah-Singer index theorem states that the analytic index of the Dirac operator on a closed manifold is determined by topological data [ASi1, ASi2]. When a manifold M is equipped with a group action of a compact Lie group G , the index is an element of the representation ring of G (called the equivariant index), and it is determined by data on the fixed-point set M^G [ASe]. Roughly speaking,

$$\text{analytic equivariant index} = \int_{M^G} (\text{topological data}).$$

Although the left hand side makes sense only for closed manifolds, the right hand side makes sense in much more general situations. Witten defined an “index of the Dirac operator on the free loop space on a compact manifold” in [Wit]. This “index” is now called the **Witten genus**.

The aim of the present paper is to formulate and prove an index theorem for loop spaces in the framework of KK -theory. The main theorem is the following. The notions appearing there will be explained soon.

Main-Theorem. *For a compact K -oriented manifold M , we can define a homomorphism*

$$\widetilde{\text{ind}}_{S^1}^{\text{pos}} : KK_{S^1}(\mathcal{A}(LM), \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon) \rightarrow KK_{S^1}(\mathcal{S}_\varepsilon, \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon)_{\text{pos}}$$

and it has a fixed-point formula.

Let us briefly explain several symbols and notations: LM is the free loop space of M ; $\mathcal{A}(LM)$ is a C^* -algebra substituting for the “function algebra of LM ”; $KK_{S^1}(A, B)$ is the S^1 -equivariant KK -theory, which coincides with the set of homotopy classes of Dirac operators when A is the function algebra of a closed manifold and $B = \mathbb{C}$; \mathcal{S}_ε is a certain C^* -algebra defined in Definition 2.4; $KK_{S^1}(\mathcal{S}_\varepsilon, \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon)_{\text{pos}}$ is a kind of representation ring of S^1 which is inspired by the concept of positive energy representations of loop groups [PS]. In short, we will formulate and prove an **index theorem for loop spaces!**

Although we have not verified that the above homomorphism realizes the Witten genus, the homomorphism is probably quite useful to realize it.

Equivariant index theorem and KK -theory

Let us move on to expositions of previous researches related to the present paper. We begin with a KK -theoretical formulation of the equivariant index theorem. One can conceptually understand equivariant index theory using KK -theory and $\mathcal{R}KK$ -theory [Kas88, Kas15, Blac]. Roughly speaking, analytic data and topological data corresponds to each other, not only at the level of index, but also at the level of groups in which these data live.

KK -theory is a bivariate functor from the category of C^* -algebras to the category of abelian groups. For a pair of C^* -algebras (A, B) , the KK -group is denoted by $KK(A, B)$, and it is contravariant in A and covariant in B . It has an equivariant version. For special cases, KK -theory has a topological interpretation. If X is a locally compact Hausdorff space, $KK(\mathbb{C}, C(X))$ is isomorphic to Atiyah’s K -group.

$\mathcal{R}KK$ -theory is a joint generalization of KK -theory and Segal’s RK -theory [Kas88, Kas15]. For a locally compact Hausdorff space X , a C^* -algebra A is said to be a $C_0(X)$ -algebra if it is

equipped with a $*$ -homomorphism $C_0(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{M}(A))$, where $\mathcal{M}(A)$ is the multiplier algebra of A and $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{M}(A))$ is its center. For a locally compact Hausdorff space X and a pair of $C_0(X)$ -algebras (A, B) , we can define an abelian group $\mathcal{R}KK(X; A, B)$. For example, $\mathcal{R}KK(X; C_0(X), C_0(X))$ is isomorphic to Segal's representable K -group of X , $RK^0(X)$. More generally, $\mathcal{R}KK$ -theory admits the K -theory version of the “compact vertical cohomology”. For a vector bundle E over X , it is given by $K_{cv}^0(E) = \mathcal{R}KK(X; C_0(X), C_0(E))$. In this sense, $\mathcal{R}KK$ -theory is “more topological” than KK -theory. It also has an equivariant version.

KK -theory and $\mathcal{R}KK$ -theory provide a nice framework to deal with index theory. When X is a complete Riemannian manifold equipped with an isometric action of a locally compact group G , a G -equivariant Dirac operator acting on a Clifford bundle W over X determines an element $[D] \in KK_G(C_0(X), \mathbb{C})$. We call it the **index element of D** . Moreover, a fiberwise linear map $\sigma_D : \varpi^*W \rightarrow \varpi^*W$ over TX is defined, where $\varpi : TX \rightarrow X$ is the natural projection. Since σ_D is invertible outside the zero section, it determines an element $[\sigma_D] \in \mathcal{R}KK_G(X; C_0(X), C_0(TX))$. We call it the **symbol element of D** . By Theorem 4.1 and 4.3 in [Kas15], $KK_G(C_0(X), \mathbb{C})$ and $\mathcal{R}KK_G(X; C_0(X), C_0(TX))$ are isomorphic, and the index element of D corresponds to the symbol element of D under this correspondence. This correspondence is called the **K -theoretical Poincaré duality**.

Using this framework, Hochs and Wang generalized the fixed-point formula in [HW]. For a complete Riemannian manifold X equipped with a torus action so that the fixed-point set is compact, they defined an analytic index homomorphism

$$\text{ind}_g : KK_G(C_0(X), \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow R(G)_g,$$

where $R(G)_g$ is the localization of the representation ring of G at g in the algebraic sense. Then, they proved the fixed-point formula. When X is compact, the index equals to the Atiyah-Segal-Singer's index. Our construction is very much inspired by this result. We will review it in detail in order to compare it with our index in Section 3.4.

There are other KK -theoretical formulations of equivariant index theory: for example [LRS, HS, Kas15] and related papers.

Infinite-dimensional manifolds

Although noncommutative geometry is a powerful tool to generalize index theory, it has a weak point. In order to translate something topological into the language of C^* -algebras, we use the Gelfand-Naimark representation theorem: The functor “taking the algebra consisting of continuous functions vanishing at infinity” is a contravariant category equivalence between the category of locally compact Hausdorff spaces and the category of commutative C^* -algebras. This result means that a function algebra in the ordinary sense of a non-locally compact space, for example an infinite-dimensional manifold, is the function algebra of a *different* locally compact Hausdorff space. For example, a continuous function vanishing at infinity of an infinite-dimensional Hilbert space is zero. Therefore, if we want to study an infinite-dimensional manifold using noncommutative geometry, at least, a “ C^* -algebra substituting for a function algebra of a non-locally compact space” must be noncommutative.

Higson, Kasparov and Trout defined such a C^* -algebra for an infinite-dimensional Hilbert space [HKT]. It is an infinite-dimensional analogue of the “graded suspension of the Clifford algebra-valued function algebra”. We call it the “ C^* -algebra of a Hilbert space”. It was used to study Baum-Connes conjecture for a-T-menable groups in [HK]. It was generalized to Hilbert bundles

and it was used to formulate a Thom isomorphism for Hilbert bundles in [Tro]. We will give an alternative definition of the Thom homomorphism in the present paper. Moreover, the construction was generalized to general Hilbert manifolds in [DT]. However, in the present paper, we will use an alternative generalization explained in the next paragraph.

The original construction of the C^* -algebra of a Hilbert space H is the inductive limit of the graded suspension of the Clifford algebra-valued function algebra of finite-dimensional subspaces of H . More geometrical definition of such C^* -algebras was given in [GWY]. Gong, Wu and Yu defined a “ C^* -algebra of a Hilbert-Hadamard space” using the exponential mapping, where a Hilbert-Hadamard space is a complete geodesic CAT(0) metric space all of whose tangent cones are isometrically embeddable into Hilbert spaces. Then, Yu generalized it to Hilbert manifolds whose all injectivity radii are bounded below in [Yu]. This construction is one of the leading actors in the present paper.

Regarding index theory of infinite-dimensional manifolds, we need to refer to Hamiltonian loop group spaces. This concept was introduced in [MW]. For example, the moduli space of flat connections on a Riemann surface with boundary is a Hamiltonian loop group space. There is a one-to-one correspondence between Hamiltonian loop group spaces and quasi-Hamiltonian spaces [AMM]. In [LMS, LS, Son], several topics related to index theory are studied. In particular, Song constructed an “analytic index for a Hamiltonian loop group space” by using a Hilbert bundle over the corresponding quasi-Hamiltonian space in [Son]. Inspired by it, we studied index theory of Hamiltonian loop group space for the circle group in [T1, T2, T3, T4, T5]. A strong point of our result compared to others is that the construction is KK -theoretical. These studies play an important role in the present paper as we explain in the next paragraph.

Infinite-dimensional K -theoretical Poincaré duality

As we have pointed out, $\mathcal{R}KK$ -theory has a topological flavor. Thus, it is possible to generalize $\mathcal{R}KK$ -theory to non-locally setting by reformulating the theory by using fields of C^* -algebras, Hilbert modules, homomorphisms and operators instead of single objects [T5]. Detailed properties will be studied in [NT].

By using non-locally compact equivariant $\mathcal{R}KK$ -theory and Yu’s C^* -algebras of Hilbert manifolds, we formulated an infinite-dimensional version of the K -theoretical Poincaré duality homomorphism in [T5]. The Bott periodicity map in [HKT] was also important. The infinite-dimensional version of the K -theoretical Poincaré duality homomorphism plays a central role in the proof of the fixed-point formula for loop spaces.

Structure of paper

In section 2, we will review several necessary operations on $\mathcal{R}KK$ -theory, and we will prepare several basic KK -elements and $\mathcal{R}KK$ -elements. We will define the Bott element, the Dirac element, the Thom element, its inverse (fiberwise Dirac element) and the local Bott element. We will also give a KK -equivalence between $C_0(X)$ and $C_0(X, \text{Cliff}_+(TX))$ for K -orientable X , where $\text{Cliff}_+(TX)$ is the Clifford algebra bundle of TX . The Bott element and the Dirac element for a Euclidean space are essential. This is because the Thom element, its inverse and the local Bott element are in some sense family versions of the Bott elements or the Dirac elements. We will also briefly review non-locally compact groupoid equivariant KK -theory. For detailed expositions, see [T5, NT].

In Section 3, we will construct an S^1 -equivariant index for non-compact manifolds equipped

with isometric S^1 -actions with compact fixed-point sets. We will call it the **localized index**. We will use a kind of the ring of formal power series instead of localization of commutative ring which was used in [ASe, HW]. Then, we will deduce the cohomological formula for this index by using the K -theoretical Poincaré duality. We will rewrite it for K -oriented manifolds. In this case, the localized index of a Dirac operator D can be computed by the integration of a characteristic class of D over the fixed-point set. Then, in order to generalize it to loop spaces, we will reformulate it for K -oriented manifolds using only appropriate C^* -algebras. We will also compare our index and [HW]'s index.

In Section 4, we will construct a loop space version of the localized index and we will prove the fixed-point formula, by constructing a loop space version of each step of the construction of the localized index. This section contains a functorial study on C^* -algebras of Hilbert manifolds. In particular, we will give an alternative infinite-rank Thom homomorphism for some special cases. We will show several remained problems.

In Appendix, we will prove that when we apply the construction of the localized index for a closed manifold, we obtain the classical index. In this sense, our localized index is appropriate.

Table of notations

- All irreducible representations of S^1 are 1-dimensional and classified by weight. The weight k -representation space is denoted by \mathbb{C}_k . The corresponding element in $R(S^1)$ is denoted by q^k .
- For a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded vector space $V = V_0 \hat{\oplus} V_1$, we denote the grading by ∂ and the graded homomorphism by ϵ , that is to say, for $v \in V_i$ ($i = 0$ or 1), $\partial v := i$ and $\epsilon(v) = (-1)^i v = (-1)^{\partial v} v$. An element of $V_0 \cup V_1$ is said to be homogeneous.
- The symbol $\hat{\otimes}$ means the graded tensor product of Hilbert spaces, C^* -algebras or Hilbert modules. The multiplication on the graded tensor product of graded C^* -algebras $A \hat{\otimes} B$ is defined by $(a_1 \hat{\otimes} b_1) \cdot (a_2 \hat{\otimes} b_2) := (-1)^{\partial(a_2)\partial(b_1)}(a_1 a_2) \hat{\otimes} (b_1 b_2)$ for homogeneous b_1 and a_2 . We often use this symbol even if one of the gradings is trivial.
- For a C^* -algebra B and a Hilbert B -module E , the set of adjointable bounded operators is denoted by $\mathbb{L}_B(E)$ or simply by $\mathbb{L}(E)$.
- A $*$ -homomorphism from a C^* -algebra to $\mathbb{L}_B(E)$ for a C^* -algebra B and a Hilbert B -module E , is almost always denoted by π . We often use the same symbol to denote other $*$ -homomorphisms of this type.
- For a Euclidean space V , its Clifford algebras are denoted by $Cliff_+(V) = T(V)/(v^2 \sim \|v\|^2)$ and $Cliff_-(V) = T(V)/(v^2 \sim -\|v\|^2)$. We will use both of them. This construction also works for Euclidean vector bundle. For a Euclidean vector bundle E over X , we can define a C^* -algebra bundle $Cliff_{\pm}(E)$ over X in an obvious way.
- In the present paper, a “Spinor of V ” means a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded irreducible representation of $Cliff_-(V)$. More concretely, a Spinor of V is a Hermitian vector space S equipped with a linear map $c : V \rightarrow \text{End}(S)$ so that $c(v)$ is skew Hermitian and $c(v)^2 = -\|v\|^2 \text{id}$. We call an irreducible representation of $Cliff_+(V)$ a dual Spinor.
- For a locally compact Hausdorff space X , $C_0(X)$ is the C^* -algebra consisting of \mathbb{C} -valued continuous functions vanishing at infinity. More generally, for a bundle of C^* -algebras $A = \{A_x\}_{x \in X}$ over X , $C_0(X, A)$ is the C^* -algebra consisting continuous sections of A vanishing at infinity.
- For a topological space X and a Euclidean vector bundle E over X , we denote $C_0(X, Cliff_+(E))$

by $Cl_E(X)$. When X is a Riemannian manifold, we denote $Cl_{TX}(X)$ by $Cl_\tau(X)$.

- The projection of a fiber bundle is almost always denoted by ϖ . We often use the same symbol to denote other fiber bundles.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Basic KK -elements and index theorem

2.1.1 $\mathcal{R}KK$ -theory

In this subsection, we prepare necessary KK -elements and $\mathcal{R}KK$ -elements from [Kas15].

Kasparov's equivariant $\mathcal{R}KK$ -theory is a joint generalization of Kasparov's KK -theory and Segal's RK -theory [Kas88]. It is an invariant for the following data: A locally compact space X , a locally compact Hausdorff group G acting on X , and a pair of $C_0(X)$ - G - C^* -algebras A and B . It is denoted by $\mathcal{R}KK_G(X; A, B)$. It differs from $KK_G(A, B)$ only in the following additional requirement; if (E, π, F) is a G -equivariant Kasparov (A, B) -module, then for any $f \in C_0(X)$, $a \in A$, $b \in B$ and $e \in E$, one has $\pi(f \cdot a)(eb) = \pi(a)(e(f \cdot b))$.

The tensor product of two $C_0(X)$ - C^* -algebras B_1 and B_2 has at least two different $C_0(X)$ - C^* -algebra structure. If the $C_0(X)$ - C^* -algebra structure is given by $f \cdot (b_1 \otimes b_2) = (f \cdot b_1) \otimes b_2$, we denote it by $\underline{B_1} \otimes B_2$; if it is given by $f \cdot (b_1 \otimes b_2) = b_1 \otimes (f \cdot b_2)$, we denote it by $B_1 \otimes \underline{B_2}$.

A $C_0(X)$ - G - C^* -algebra can be regarded as a “ G -equivariant family of C^* -algebras” [Nil, Blan]. The corresponding family of C^* -algebras of a $C_0(X)$ -algebra A is denoted by $\{A_x\}_{x \in X}$. With a similar technique, roughly speaking, an $\mathcal{R}KK_G(X; A, B)$ -cycle can be described as a “ G -equivariant upper semi-continuous family of Kasparov (A_x, B_x) -modules”. The corresponding family of Kasparov modules of an $\mathcal{R}KK_G(X; A, B)$ -cycle (E, π, T) is denoted by $\{(E_x, \pi_x, T_x)\}_{x \in X}$.

$\mathcal{R}KK$ -theory is contravariant in X . We need only the following functoriality.

Definition 2.1. Let X be a G -space and let Y be an invariant subspace. The natural inclusion is denoted by $\iota : Y \hookrightarrow X$. Let A and B be G - $C_0(X)$ - C^* -algebras. In the family picture of $\mathcal{R}KK$ -theory, $\iota^* : \mathcal{R}KK_G(X; A, B) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}KK_G(Y; A|_Y, B|_Y)$ is defined by the restriction of everything:

$$\iota^* : \mathcal{R}KK_G(X; A, B) \ni \{(E_x, \pi_x, F_x)\}_{x \in X} \mapsto \{(E_x, \pi_x, F_x)\}_{x \in Y} \in \mathcal{R}KK_G(Y; A|_Y, B|_Y).$$

Remarks 2.2. (1) In the single module picture, ι^* is given by the tensor product with $C_0(Y)$ over $C_0(X)$. Note that $C_0(Y)$ is a $C_0(X)$ -algebra by $\iota^* : C_0(X) \rightarrow B(Y)$, where $B(Y)$ is the C^* -algebra consisting of bounded continuous functions on Y . Note that ι^* does not take values in $C_0(Y)$ unless ι is proper.

(2) $A|_Y$ is defined by the section algebra $C_0(Y, \{A_y\}_{y \in Y})$.

Example 2.3. If X is a manifold, $C_0(TX)|_Y = C_0(TX|_Y)$.

2.1.2 Bott element and Dirac element

For a Euclidean space V equipped with an orthogonal linear action G , $Cl_\tau(V)$ and \mathbb{C} are KK_G -equivalent by the following Bott element and the Dirac element: In the unbounded picture, they are defined by

$$[b_V] = [(Cl_\tau(V), \pi, C)] \in KK_G(\mathbb{C}, Cl_\tau(V)),$$

$$[d_V] = \left[\left(L^2(V, \text{Cliff}_+(V)), \pi, \sum c^*(e_i) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \right) \right] \in KK_G(\text{Cl}_\tau(V), \mathbb{C}),$$

where $\{e_i\}$ is an orthonormal base of V , $c(e_i)$ is the Clifford multiplication, $c^*(e_i)v := (-1)^{\deg(v)}ve_i$, and $C = \sum x_i c(e_i)$ is so called the Clifford operator. It is possible to consider parallel constructions for the open ball B of radius ε centered at the origin of V . For this case, it is often more convenient to use

$$(\text{Cl}_\tau(B), \pi, \varepsilon^{-1}C)$$

as the representative of $[b_B]$. The norm of $\varepsilon^{-1}C$ is 1 on the boundary of B , and hence $1 - (\varepsilon^{-1}C)^2$ is $\text{Cl}_\tau(B)$ -compact. Note that it is in the bounded picture.

Similarly, $C_0(V)$ and $\text{Cliff}_+(V)$ are KK_G -equivalent. This equivalence is also useful.

The Bott periodicity map is realized by a $*$ -homomorphism in the following sense.

Definition 2.4. (1) We define a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded C^* -algebra \mathcal{S} as follows: The underlining C^* -algebra is $C_0(\mathbb{R})$ and the \mathbb{Z}_2 -grading is given by the homomorphism $\epsilon f(t) := f(-t)$. It has an unbounded multiplier X defined by $(Xf)(t) := tf(t)$.

(2) Similarly, we define a subalgebra $\mathcal{S}_\varepsilon = C_0(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ and the *bounded* multiplier X by the restriction of X .

Lemma 2.5 ([T5, Section 2.3]). \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{S}_ε are KK -equivalent to \mathbb{C}^2 .

Proposition 2.6 ([HKT], [T5, Proposition 2.19]). Let $\beta : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S} \hat{\otimes} \text{Cl}_\tau(V)$ be the $*$ -homomorphism defined by

$$\beta(f) := f(X \hat{\otimes} 1 + 1 \hat{\otimes} C).$$

Then, if V is even-dimensional, $[\beta] = \sigma_{\mathcal{S}}([b_V])$.

Family versions of $[b_V]$ and $[d_V]$ play a crucial role in Kasparov's index theory. We will explain two aspects: the Thom isomorphism and the K -theoretic Poincaré duality.

2.1.3 Thom isomorphism

Let E be a G -equivariant Euclidean vector bundle over a locally compact Hausdorff space X . For $x \in X$, following the vector space cases, we define $c_x : E_x \rightarrow \text{End}(\text{Cliff}_+(E_x))$ by $c_x(v)(e) := v \cdot e$ and $c_x^* : E_x \rightarrow \text{End}(\text{Cliff}_+(E_x))$ by $c_x^*(v)(e) := (-1)^{\deg e} e \cdot v$. c_x extends to $\text{Cliff}_+(E_x)$. Then, two C^* -algebras $C_0(E)$ and $\text{Cl}_E(X) := C_0(X, \text{Cliff}_+(E))$ are KK_G -equivalent as follows.

Definition 2.7 ([Kas15, 2.5-2.7]). (1) $[\mathcal{B}_E] \in \mathcal{R}KK_G(X; \text{Cl}_E(X), C_0(E))$ is defined by

$$\left\{ \left(C_0(E_x) \otimes \text{Cliff}_+(E_x), c_x, iC_x \right) \right\}_{x \in X},$$

where for a homogeneous element $e \in C_0(E_x) \otimes \text{Cliff}_+(E_x)$, we define $C_x(e)$ by $C_x(e)(v) := c_x^*(v)(e(v)) = (-1)^{\deg e} e(v) \cdot v$.

(2) $[d_E] \in \mathcal{R}KK_G(X; C_0(E), \text{Cl}_E(X))$ is defined by

$$\left\{ \left(L^2(E_x) \otimes \text{Cliff}_+(E_x), \pi, \sum_k \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_k} \otimes c_x^*(e_k) \right) \right\}_{x \in X},$$

where π is the multiplication by $C_0(E_x) = C_0(E)|_x$ on $L^2(E_x)$.

Remark 2.8. $[\mathcal{B}_E]$ is a family of Bott elements, and $[d_E]$ is a family of Dirac elements.

The following is an obvious generalization of [Kas15, Theorem 2.7].

Theorem 2.9. $[d_E] \hat{\otimes}_{Cl_E(X)} [\mathcal{B}_E] = \mathbf{1}_{C_0(E)}$ and $[\mathcal{B}_E] \hat{\otimes}_{C_0(E)} [d_E] = \mathbf{1}_{Cl_E(X)}$. Consequently, $C_0(E)$ and $Cl_E(X)$ are KK_G -equivalent. This equivalence is called the **Thom isomorphism**.

2.1.4 Poincaré duality homomorphism

By KK -theoretical Poincaré duality homomorphism, a KK -element represented by a Dirac operator is transformed into its symbol. Kasparov realized such a homomorphism by using the Kasparov product with an $\mathcal{R}KK$ -element.

Definition 2.10 ([Kas15, Definition 2.3]). Let X be a complete Riemannian manifold equipped with an isometric action of a locally compact group G (in the present paper, we will deal with only $G = S^1$). For simplicity, in the present paper, we suppose that there is a positive real number ε such that the injectivity radius at any $x \in X$ is greater than 2ε .

(1) Let U_x be the ε -ball centered at x in X . We define $\Theta_x : U_x \rightarrow T_x X$ by

$$\Theta_x(y) := \log_x(y) = \overrightarrow{xy} \in T_x X,$$

where $\log_x : U_x \rightarrow T_x X$ is the local inverse of $\exp_x : T_x X \rightarrow X$. The **local Bott element**¹ $[\Theta_X]$ is defined by the element of $\mathcal{R}KK_G(X; C_0(X), C_0(X) \hat{\otimes}_{\widetilde{Cl}_\tau(X)})$ represented by the family of Kasparov modules

$$\left\{ \left(C_0(U_x) \otimes \text{Cliff}_+(T_x X), 1_x, \varepsilon^{-1} \Theta_x \right) \right\}_{x \in X},$$

where 1_x denotes the homomorphism given by $z \mapsto z \text{id}$, and Θ_x denotes the left multiplication by Θ_x .

(2) The homomorphism $\text{PD}_X : KK_G(C_0(X), \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}KK_G(X; C_0(X), Cl_\tau(X))$ is defined by $\text{PD}_X([D]) := [\Theta_X] \hat{\otimes}_{C_0(X)} [D]$.² We call the homomorphism PD_X the **Poincaré duality homomorphism**. We call the element $\text{PD}_X([D])$ the **Clifford symbol element**.

The symbol element of a Dirac operator is defined as follows.

Definition 2.11. For a G -equivariant Dirac operator D on a Clifford module bundle W equipped with a Clifford multiplication $c_W : TX \rightarrow \text{End}(W)$ satisfying that $c_W(v) = -\|v\|^2$, the **symbol of D** is defined by, in the unbounded picture,

$$[\sigma_D] := [(C_0(TX, \varpi^* W), \pi, i c_W)] \in \mathcal{R}KK_G(X; C_0(X), C_0(TX)),$$

where $\varpi : TX \rightarrow X$ is the natural projection.

$[D]$ and $[\sigma_D]$ correspond to each other under the Poincaré duality and the Thom isomorphism.

Theorem 2.12 ([Kas15, Theorem 4.3]). $[\sigma_D] = \text{PD}_X([D]) \hat{\otimes} [\mathcal{B}_{TX}]$.

¹This $\mathcal{R}KK$ -element is denoted by $[\Theta_{X,2}]$ in [Kas15].

²Strictly speaking, this Kasparov product stands for $[\Theta_X] \hat{\otimes}_{X, C_0(X) \hat{\otimes}_{\widetilde{Cl}_\tau(X)}} \{ \sigma_{X, Cl_\tau(X)}([D]) \}$.

2.1.5 For K -orientable bundles

A Euclidean vector bundle is said to be **K -orientable** if it is of even-rank and of $Spin^c$. A K -orientable Euclidean vector bundle is said to be **K -oriented** if a Spinor bundle is fixed. We can define the concept “equivariantly K -orientable” and “equivariantly K -oriented” in an obvious way.

For a G -equivariantly K -oriented vector bundle E over X , $C_0(X)$, $C_0(E)$ and $Cl_E(X)$ are KK_G -equivalent. Let S_E be a Spinor bundle for E , that is to say, S_E is a Hermitian vector bundle equipped with a linear map $\gamma : E \rightarrow \text{End}(S_E)$ satisfying that $\gamma(v)^2 = -\|v\|^2 \text{id}$ and $\gamma(v)^* = -\gamma(v)$. Then, S_E is automatically equipped with a right Hilbert $Cliff_+(E)$ -module bundle structure thanks to $Cliff_-(E) \cong \text{End}(S_E)$. See [T5, Section 3.2] for details. Thus, we can define two KK_G -elements

$$\begin{aligned} [S_E] &= (C_0(X, S_E), \pi, 0) \in \mathcal{R}KK_G(X; C_0(X), Cl_E(X)), \\ [S_E^*] &= (C_0(X, S_E^*), \pi, 0) \in \mathcal{R}KK_G(X; Cl_E(X), C_0(X)) \end{aligned}$$

and they give $\mathcal{R}KK_G$ -equivalence between $C_0(X)$ and $Cl_E(X)$.

Definition 2.13. For a K -oriented vector bundle E over X , we define $[\mathcal{B}_E^{Spin^c}] := [S_E] \widehat{\otimes} [\mathcal{B}_E] \in \mathcal{R}KK_G(X; C_0(X), C_0(E))$ and $[d_E^{Spin^c}] := [d_E] \widehat{\otimes} [S_E^*] \in \mathcal{R}KK_G(X; C_0(E), C_0(X))$.

Remarks 2.14. (1) $[\mathcal{B}_E^{Spin^c}]$ and $[d_E^{Spin^c}]$ are mutually inverse.

(2) A Riemannian manifold X is said to be K -orientable if TX is K -orientable, and X is said to be K -oriented if TX is K -oriented. For a K -orientable manifold X , $Cl_\tau(X)$ and $C_0(X)$ are $\mathcal{R}KK_G$ -equivalent.

(3) If a vector bundle $\varpi : E \rightarrow X$ has a complex structure, it has a Spinor bundle $S_E = \wedge^* \varpi^*(E)$ given by the complex exterior algebra bundle. A Clifford multiplication of $v \in E$ is given by $v \wedge + (v \wedge)^*$. It will appear in the construction of the index homomorphism of the present paper.

2.2 $\mathcal{R}KK$ -theory for non-locally compact groupoids

Kasparov’s equivariant $\mathcal{R}KK$ -theory is a joint generalization of Kasparov’s KK -theory and Segal’s RK -theory. It was extended by Le Gall as groupoid equivariant KK -theory in [LG].

$\mathcal{R}KK$ -theory has a topological flavor. For example, $\mathcal{R}KK(X; C_0(X), C_0(X)) \cong RK(X)$, where the right hand side is Segal’s representable K -theory. Therefore, one can extend this invariant for much more general situations by using the family description of $\mathcal{R}KK$ -theory: *We can define non-locally compact groupoid-equivariant KK -theory* [T5, NT]. Let \mathcal{G} be a possibly non-locally compact groupoid. We explain the necessary changes to define it from the locally compact cases. For simplicity, we explain it for an action groupoid $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{X} \rtimes \mathcal{G}$ for a possibly non-locally compact normal space \mathcal{X} and a Hausdorff group \mathcal{G} properly acting on \mathcal{X} .

- We need to replace $C_0(X)$ - G - C^* -algebras A and B with “ \mathcal{G} -equivariant upper semi-continuous fields of C^* -algebras parameterized by \mathcal{X} ”, \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} .
- We need to replace a Hilbert module E with a “ \mathcal{G} -equivariant upper semi-continuous field of Hilbert modules parameterized by \mathcal{X} ”, \mathcal{E} .
- We need to replace a $*$ -homomorphism $\pi : A \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_B(E)$ with a “continuous family of $*$ -homomorphisms”, $\pi = \{\pi_x : A_x \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{B_x}(E_x)\}$.
- We need to replace an adjointable operator $F \in \mathbb{L}_B(E)$ satisfying several conditions with a bounded lower semi-continuous family of adjointable operators $\{F_x \in \mathbb{L}_{B_x}(E_x)\}$.

It is covariant in \mathcal{B} , contravariant in \mathcal{A} and $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{G})$. It will be extensively studied in [NT].

3 An S^1 -equivariant index theorem for non-compact manifolds

In this section, we define an S^1 -equivariant index for non-compact manifolds. The construction is inspired by [HW]. In the present paper, we use a kind of ring of formal power series instead of localization in the sense of commutative rings. Then, we will deduce the fixed-point theorem by the K -theoretical Poincaré duality homomorphism. We will prove that there are no essential differences between our index and [HW]'s index. However, our construction has an advantage that we can apply the construction to loop spaces.

3.1 Construction of S^1 -equivariant index for non-compact manifolds

Let X be a finite-dimensional complete Riemannian manifold equipped with an isometric action of S^1 . We suppose that the fixed-point set $M := X^{S^1}$ is compact. We will prove an index theorem for this situation. In order to construct an analytic index, we prepare several subsets of X .

Let $\nu(M)$ be the normal bundle of M in X . Then, we have a normal exponential mapping $\exp^\perp : \nu(M) \rightarrow X$, which is diffeomorphic on a neighborhood of the zero section. For $\delta > 0$, let $\nu(M)_\delta := \{v \in \nu(M) \mid \|v\| < \delta\}$. Let $U_\delta := \exp^\perp(\nu(M)_\delta)$. Suppose that δ is less than the injectivity radius of \exp^\perp . The inclusions are denoted by $j : M \hookrightarrow U_\delta$ and $k : U_\delta \hookrightarrow X$. The composition of them is denoted by $i = k \circ j : M \hookrightarrow X$. Since U_δ is diffeomorphic to a subset of the normal bundle, we have a projection $\varpi : U_\delta \rightarrow M$. The setting is summarized as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \text{---} i \text{---} & & \\
 & \text{---} j \text{---} & U_\delta \hookrightarrow & k \text{---} & X. \\
 & \swarrow \varpi & \uparrow \exp^\perp, \cong & & \\
 & & \nu(M)_\delta & &
 \end{array}$$

An index homomorphism ind_X of X should satisfy that $\text{ind}_X(i_*[D]) = \text{ind}_M([D])$ for $[D] \in K_{S^1}(C(M), \mathbb{C})$. Thus, we hope to define

$$\text{ind}_X := \text{“ind}_M \circ i_*^{-1}\text{”}.$$

We will prove that i_* is invertible after a certain algebraic operation.

In order to study i_* , we focus on the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & KK_{S^1}(C_0(U_\delta), \mathbb{C}) & \\
 j_* \nearrow & & \nwarrow k_* \\
 KK_{S^1}(C(M), \mathbb{C}) & \xrightarrow{i_*} & KK_{S^1}(C_0(X), \mathbb{C}).
 \end{array}$$

It is deduced from the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & C_0(U_\delta) & \\
 j^* \swarrow & & \searrow k_* \\
 C(M) & \xleftarrow{i^*} & C(X)
 \end{array}$$

If they make sense and they are isomorphic, $(i_*)^{-1}$ should be $(j_*)^{-1} \circ k^*$.

At least, $KK_{S^1}(C_0(U_\delta), \mathbb{C})$ and $KK_{S^1}(C(M), \mathbb{C})$ are isomorphic by Thom isomorphism as follows.

Lemma 3.1. $\nu(M)$ has a complex structure.

Proof. We can define a skew-symmetric operator $d : \nu(M) \rightarrow \nu(M)$ by $d := \frac{d}{d\theta}|_{\theta=0} \rho(e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta})$. Since $\nu(M)_m$ has no component of trivial representation, $J := d/|d|$ is a complex structure. \square

In particular, $\nu(M)$ is K -oriented. Since U_δ is properly homotopy equivalent to the total space of $\nu(M)$, we have the following.

Corollary 3.2. $C_0(U_\delta)$ and $C(M)$ are KK_{S^1} -equivalent.

Since the Thom class for this KK_{S^1} -equivalence plays an important role in the present paper, we explicitly define it. Since U_δ is diffeomorphic to an open set of $\nu(M)$ by the normal exponential mapping \exp^\perp , we can define a projection $\varpi : U_\delta \rightarrow M$. We define the **fiberwise Clifford operator** C_x^{fib} as follows. For $x \in M$ and $v \in U_\delta$ so that $\varpi(v) = x$, $C_x^{\text{fib}}(v) \in T_v^{\text{fib}} U_\delta$ is defined by $-(d \exp_x^\perp)_{(\exp_x^\perp)^{-1}(v)} (\exp_x^\perp)^{-1}(v)$. Roughly speaking, $C_x^{\text{fib}}(v) = \overrightarrow{xb}$. The field $\{C_x^{\text{fib}}\}_{x \in X}$ defines a single operator C^{fib} .

Definition 3.3. (1) When we regard $\nu(M)$ as a complex vector bundle, we denote it by $\nu_{\mathbb{C}}(M)$.

(2) We define a KK -element $[\tau_{U_\delta}] \in KK_{S^1}(C(M), C_0(U_\delta))$ by

$$[\tau_{U_\delta}] = \left(C_0(U_\delta, \bigwedge^* \varpi^* \nu_{\mathbb{C}}(M)), \pi, \frac{C^{\text{fib}}}{\sqrt{\delta^2 - (C^{\text{fib}})^2}} \right).$$

Remark 3.4. We can flexibly choose δ . If $0 < \varepsilon < \delta$, $\mathcal{R}KK(M; C(M), C_0(U_\varepsilon))$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{R}KK(M; C(M), C_0(U_\delta))$, and $[\tau_{U_\varepsilon}]$ corresponds to $[\tau_{U_\delta}]$.

We want to give the inverse of $[j^*]$. Note that $[j^*]$ and $[\tau_{U_\delta}]$ give opposite direction homomorphisms. Although they are not mutually inverse, $[\tau_{U_\delta}]$ gives an isomorphism. Thus, in order to give “ $[j^*]^{-1}$ ”, we need a correction term. It should be a KK -element $[\alpha] \in \mathcal{R}KK_{S^1}(M; C(M), C(M))$ satisfying that

$$[\alpha] \hat{\otimes} [\tau_{U_\delta}] \hat{\otimes} [j_*] = 1.$$

Since $[\tau_{U_\delta}] \hat{\otimes} [j_*]$ is the restriction of the Thom class to the zero section, it is the Euler class, which is denoted by $[e_{U_\delta}]$. Therefore, “ $[j^*]^{-1}$ ” should be given by the composition of the Thom isomorphism and the “inverse of the Euler class”.

In order to define it, we introduce the following. Recall that the representation ring $R(S^1)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$. Each q^k corresponds to the irreducible representation of weight k .

Definition 3.5. (1) Let $R(S^1)_{\text{pos}}$ be the $R(S^1)$ -algebra defined by

$$\left\{ \sum_n a_n q^n \mid a_n \in \mathbb{Z}, a_n = 0 \text{ for all } n \ll 0 \right\}.$$

(2) For an $R(S^1)$ -module \mathcal{M} , we denote $\mathcal{M} \otimes_{R(S^1)} R(S^1)_{\text{pos}}$ by \mathcal{M}_{pos} . For $R(S^1)$ -modules \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} and an $R(S^1)$ -module homomorphism $f : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$, the corresponding homomorphism $\mathcal{M}_{\text{pos}} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{\text{pos}}$ is denoted by f_{pos} .

(3) For an $R(S^1)$ -module \mathcal{M} , we define a natural map $\text{pos} : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\text{pos}}$ by $\text{pos}(m) := m \otimes 1$.

The above algebra comes from the concept of positive energy representation of loop groups [PS]. There are many invertible elements in this algebra.

Lemma 3.6. *Let $Z = \sum_n a_n q^n \in R(S^1)_{\text{pos}}$ and let N be the least number such that $a_N \neq 0$. Then, Z is invertible if and only if a_N is 1 or -1 .*

Proof. If $a_N = \pm 1$, Z can be written as $\pm q^N + \sum_{n>N} a_n q^n = \pm q^N (1 \pm \sum_{n>N} a_n q^{n-N})$. Since $\pm q^N$ is invertible, it suffices to check the statement for $N = 0$ and $a_N = 1$. Now it is clear by the Neumann series argument: $(1 - \sum_{n>N} a_n q^{n-N})^{-1} = \sum_{k \geq 0} (\sum_{n>N} a_n q^{n-N})^k$. \square

We prepare a basic fact from equivariant K -theory.

Lemma 3.7. *If a compact group H acts on a locally compact Hausdorff space Y trivially, $K_H^*(Y) \cong K^*(Y) \otimes R(H)$ by the following correspondence: For an H -equivariant vector bundle E ,*

$$E \mapsto \sum_{\rho \in \hat{H}} \text{Hom}_H(E, V_\rho) \otimes \rho \in K^*(Y) \otimes R(H),$$

where V_ρ is the representation space corresponding to an irreducible representation ρ , and \hat{H} is the set of isomorphism classes of irreducible unitary representations.

Remark 3.8. The same construction works for more general KK -groups, for example $KK_H(A, B) \cong KK(A, B) \otimes R(H)$ for C^* -algebras A and B on which H trivially acts.

Since the S^1 -action on M is trivial, we can apply this result on the equivariant bundle $\nu_{\mathbb{C}}(M)$. There exists a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded vector bundle E_n for each $n > 0$ so that

$$\nu_{\mathbb{C}}(M) \cong \bigoplus_{n>0} E_n \otimes \mathbb{C}_n, \quad (1)$$

where \mathbb{C}_n is the representation space of S^1 of weight n . It is an essential point that $E_n = 0$ for $n \leq 0$. It holds because we have defined the complex structure using the S^1 -action.

Lemma 3.9. (1) *As a KK -element, $[e_{U_\delta}] = (C(M, \bigwedge^* \bigoplus_{n>0} E_n \otimes \mathbb{C}_n), \pi, 0)$.*

(2) *When we decompose $\bigwedge^* \bigoplus_{n>0} E_n \otimes \mathbb{C}_n$ by Lemma 3.7, it is of the form*

$$(\underline{\mathbb{C}}_M \otimes \mathbb{C}_0) \oplus \bigoplus_{n>0} (\text{a vector bundle}) \otimes \mathbb{C}_n.$$

(3) *$[e_{U_\delta}]$ is invertible.*

Proof. (1) Obvious.

(2) We compute the exterior product.

$$\begin{aligned} \bigwedge_{n>0}^* \bigoplus E_n \otimes \mathbb{C}_n &\cong \bigotimes_{n>0}^* \bigwedge E_n \otimes \mathbb{C}_n \\ &= \bigotimes_{n>0} \bigoplus_{0 \leq m \leq \text{rank}(E_n)} \left(\bigwedge^m E_n \right) \otimes \mathbb{C}_{nm} \\ &= \bigotimes_{n>0} \{ (\underline{\mathbb{C}}_M \otimes \mathbb{C}_0) \oplus \text{higher terms} \} \\ &= (\underline{\mathbb{C}}_M \otimes \mathbb{C}_0) \oplus \text{higher terms}, \end{aligned}$$

where “higher terms” means a finite sum of “a vector bundle $\otimes \mathbb{C}_k$ for $k > 0$ ”s. In the last equality, we use the fact that the product of a higher term and the trivial bundle is again higher, and the product of higher terms is again higher.

(3) It is parallel to Lemma 3.6. \square

Definition 3.10. We call the inverse of $[e_{U_\delta}]$ the **inverse Euler class**. We denote it by $[e_{U_\delta}^{-1}] \in KK_{S^1}(C(M), C(M))_{\text{pos}}$.

The construction so far is valid for arbitrary $\delta > 0$ if it is less than the injectivity radius. From now on, we denote δ by 2ε . U_ε will appear in the next subsection. There we will use both U_ε and $U_{2\varepsilon}$.

Let us define an analytic index homomorphism for X .

Definition 3.11. We define the **localized index** by the composition of the following homomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} KK_{S^1}(C_0(X), \mathbb{C}) &\xrightarrow{[k_*] \hat{\otimes} -} KK_{S^1}(C_0(U_{2\varepsilon}), \mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{[\tau_{U_{2\varepsilon}}] \hat{\otimes} -} KK_{S^1}(C(M), \mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{[e_{U_{2\varepsilon}}^{-1}] \hat{\otimes} -} \\ &KK_{S^1}(C(M), \mathbb{C})_{\text{pos}} \xrightarrow{[\underline{\mathbb{C}}_M] \hat{\otimes} -} KK_{S^1}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})_{\text{pos}} = R(S^1)_{\text{pos}}. \end{aligned}$$

The composition of this index homomorphism is denoted by $\text{ind}_{S^1}^{\text{pos}}$.

Proposition 3.12. *The localized index is independent of ε .*

Proof. Let δ be another positive real number so that 2δ is less than the injectivity radius of \exp^\perp . Then, clearly $[e_{U_{2\varepsilon}}^{-1}] = [e_{U_{2\delta}}^{-1}]$. Thus, it suffices to prove that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} KK_{S^1}(C_0(X), \mathbb{C}) & \xrightarrow{[k_*] \hat{\otimes} -} & KK_{S^1}(C_0(U_{2\varepsilon}), \mathbb{C}) \\ [k'_*] \hat{\otimes} - \downarrow & & \downarrow [\tau_{U_{2\varepsilon}}] \hat{\otimes} - \\ KK_{S^1}(C_0(U_{2\delta}), \mathbb{C}) & \xrightarrow{[\tau_{U_{2\delta}}] \hat{\otimes} -} & KK_{S^1}(C(M), \mathbb{C}), \end{array}$$

where $k'_* : C_0(U_{2\delta}) \rightarrow C_0(X)$ is the zero extension.

We may assume that $\delta > \varepsilon$ by symmetry. For a representative of the Thom class of $U_{2\delta}$, we can use

$$\left(C_0(U_{2\varepsilon}, \bigwedge^* \varpi^* \nu_{\mathbb{C}}(M^{S^1})), \pi, \frac{C^{\text{fib}}}{\sqrt{4\varepsilon^2 - (C^{\text{fib}})^2}} \right)$$

instead of the natural one $\left(C_0(U_{2\delta}, \bigwedge^* \varpi^* \nu_{\mathbb{C}}(M^{S^1})), \pi, \frac{C^{\text{fib}}}{\sqrt{4\delta^2 - (C^{\text{fib}})^2}} \right)$. Then, the same Kasparov module satisfies the condition to be a Kasparov product of $[\tau_{U_{2\varepsilon}}]$ and $[k_*]$ and that of $[\tau_{U_{2\delta}}]$ and $[k'_*]$. \square

This localized index satisfies the following property.

Theorem 3.13. *For $[D] \in KK_{S^1}(C(M), \mathbb{C})$, we have $[\underline{\mathbb{C}}_M] \hat{\otimes} [D] = \text{ind}_{S^1}^{\text{pos}}([i^*] \hat{\otimes} [D])$.*

Proof. Obvious from the construction. \square

3.2 Fixed-point formula of the localized index

We will deduce the fixed-point formula for the localized index by using Theorem 2.12. We first study the general cases, and then the K -oriented cases.

3.2.1 General cases

We will prove the fixed-point formula by translating each step of the construction of the localized index, into the topological language, by using Definition 2.10. The problem is only the following: Although we assumed that the base manifold is complete in Definition 2.10, $U_{2\varepsilon}$ is not complete. In order to overcome this problem, we introduce the following. Recall that U_x is the ε -neighborhood of x in M . Consequently, we have $U_x \subseteq U_{2\varepsilon}$ if $x \in U_\varepsilon$.

Recall that for a Riemannian manifold Y , we define $Cl_\tau(Y) := C_0(Y, Cl_{\text{iff}+}(TY))$.

Definition 3.14. (1) We define the local Bott element of U_ε by

$$[\Theta_{U_\varepsilon}]' := \left\{ \left(C_0(U_x) \widehat{\otimes} Cl_{\text{iff}+}(T_x X), 1_x, \varepsilon^{-1} \Theta_x \right) \right\}_{x \in U_\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{R}KK_{S^1}(U_\varepsilon; C_0(U_\varepsilon), C_0(U_{2\varepsilon}) \widehat{\otimes} \underbrace{Cl_\tau(U_\varepsilon)}).$$

(2) We define $PD'_{U_\varepsilon} : \mathcal{R}KK_{S^1}(C_0(U_{2\varepsilon}), \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}KK_{S^1}(U_\varepsilon; C_0(U_\varepsilon), Cl_\tau(U_\varepsilon))$ by

$$PD'_{U_\varepsilon}([D]) := [\Theta_{U_\varepsilon}]' \widehat{\otimes}_{C_0(U_{2\varepsilon})} [D].$$

Remarks 3.15. (1) Note that $[\Theta_{U_\varepsilon}]'$ cannot be defined without data of $U_{2\varepsilon}$.

(2) PD'_{U_ε} is a homomorphism from equivariant K -homology of $U_{2\varepsilon}$ to equivariant representable K -theory of the smaller space U_ε , not of $U_{2\varepsilon}$. Although this seems to be strange, since $U_{2\varepsilon}$ and U_ε are equivariantly homotopy equivalent, we have

$$\mathcal{R}KK_{S^1}(U_{2\varepsilon}; C_0(U_{2\varepsilon}), Cl_\tau(U_{2\varepsilon})) \cong \mathcal{R}KK_{S^1}(U_\varepsilon; C_0(U_\varepsilon), Cl_\tau(U_\varepsilon)).$$

We need one more ingredient in order to deduce the cohomology formula.

Definition 3.16. Let Y be a compact manifold equipped with the trivial action of a compact Lie group G .

(1) We define $ch : \mathcal{R}KK_G(Y; C(Y), C_0(TY)) \rightarrow H_c^*(TY; \mathbb{Q}) \otimes R(G)$ by the composition

$$\mathcal{R}KK_G(Y; C(Y), C_0(TY)) \xrightarrow{\cong} K_c^0(TY) \otimes R(G) \xrightarrow{ch \otimes \text{id}} H_c^*(TY; \mathbb{Q}) \otimes R(G).$$

(2) We define $\int_{TY} : H_c^*(TY; \mathbb{Q}) \otimes R(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} \otimes R(G)$ by $\int_{TY} (u \otimes \rho) := \left(\int_{TY} u \right) \otimes \rho$ for $u \in H_c^*(TY; \mathbb{Q})$ and $\rho \in R(G)$, where TY is oriented by the natural identification $T(TY) \cong TY \otimes \mathbb{C}$.

(3) We define $t - \text{ind}_Y : \mathcal{R}KK_G(Y; C(Y), C_0(TY)) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ by

$$t - \text{ind}_Y(u) := (-1)^{\dim(Y)} \int_{TY} ch(u) \text{td}(TY \otimes \mathbb{C}),$$

where $\text{td}(TY \otimes \mathbb{C})$ is the Todd class of $TY \otimes \mathbb{C}$.

Theorem 3.17 (Atiyah-Singer). *If Y is a compact manifold equipped with the trivial action of a compact Lie group G , for a Dirac operator on D on Y , we have*

$$[\mathbb{C}_Y] \widehat{\otimes} [D] = t - \text{ind}_Y(\sigma(D)).$$

Recall that $[\mathcal{B}_{TX}] \in \mathcal{R}KK_{S^1}(X; Cl_\tau(X), C_0(TX))$ gives an $\mathcal{R}KK$ -equivalence between $Cl_\tau(X)$ and $C_0(TX)$. We have defined everything appearing in the following diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
KK_{S^1}(C_0(X), \mathbb{C}) & \xrightarrow{[\Theta_X] \hat{\otimes} -} & \mathcal{R}KK_{S^1}(X; C_0(X), Cl_\tau(X)) & \xrightarrow{-\hat{\otimes} [\mathcal{B}_{TX}]} & \mathcal{R}KK_{S^1}(X; C_0(X), C_0(TX)) \\
\downarrow [k_*] \hat{\otimes} - & & \downarrow k^* & & \downarrow (Tk)^* \\
KK_{S^1}(C_0(U_{2\varepsilon}), \mathbb{C}) & \xrightarrow{[\Theta_{U_\varepsilon}]' \hat{\otimes} -} & \mathcal{R}KK_{S^1}(U_\varepsilon; C_0(U_\varepsilon), Cl_\tau(U_\varepsilon)) & \xrightarrow{-\hat{\otimes} [\mathcal{B}_{TU_\varepsilon}]} & \mathcal{R}KK_{S^1}(U_\varepsilon; C_0(U_\varepsilon), C_0(TU_\varepsilon)) \\
\downarrow [\tau_{U_{2\varepsilon}}] \hat{\otimes} - & (i) & \downarrow j^* & (ii) & \downarrow (Tj)^* \\
& & \mathcal{R}KK_{S^1}(M; C(M), Cl_{TU_\varepsilon}(M)) & \xrightarrow{-\hat{\otimes} [\mathcal{B}_{TU_\varepsilon}|_M]} & \mathcal{R}KK_{S^1}(M; C(M), C_0(TU_\varepsilon|_M)) \\
& (iii) & \downarrow -\hat{\otimes} [S^*_{\nu(M)}] & (iv) & \downarrow -\hat{\otimes} [d^{Spin^c}_{\nu(M)}] \\
& & \mathcal{R}KK_{S^1}(M; C(M), Cl_\tau(M)) & \xrightarrow{-\hat{\otimes} [\mathcal{B}_{TM}]} & \mathcal{R}KK_{S^1}(M; C(M), C_0(TM)) \\
& & \downarrow [e^{-1}_{U_\varepsilon}] \hat{\otimes} - & (v) & \downarrow [e^{-1}_{U_\varepsilon}] \hat{\otimes} - \\
KK_{S^1}(C(M), \mathbb{C}) & \xrightarrow{[\Theta_M] \hat{\otimes} -} & \mathcal{R}KK_{S^1}(M; C(M), Cl_\tau(M)) & \xrightarrow{-\hat{\otimes} [\mathcal{B}_{TM}]} & \mathcal{R}KK_{S^1}(M; C(M), C_0(TM)) \\
\downarrow [e^{-1}_{U_{2\varepsilon}}] \hat{\otimes} - & (vi) & \downarrow [e^{-1}_{U_\varepsilon}] \hat{\otimes} - & (vii) & \downarrow [e^{-1}_{U_\varepsilon}] \hat{\otimes} - \\
KK_{S^1}(C(M), \mathbb{C})_{\text{pos}} & \xrightarrow{[\Theta_M] \hat{\otimes} -} & \mathcal{R}KK_{S^1}(M; C(M), Cl_\tau(M))_{\text{pos}} & \xrightarrow{-\hat{\otimes} [\mathcal{B}_{TM}]} & \mathcal{R}KK_{S^1}(M; C(M), C_0(TM))_{\text{pos}} \\
\downarrow [\mathbb{L}_M] \hat{\otimes} - & & \downarrow & & \downarrow t - \text{ind}_M \\
R(S^1)_{\text{pos}} & \xrightarrow{\hspace{10cm}} & R(S^1)_{\text{pos}} \otimes \mathbb{Q} & &
\end{array}
\tag{2}$$

Note that $[e^{-1}_{U_\varepsilon}] = [e^{-1}_{U_{2\varepsilon}}]$, and we do not distinguish them from now on.

Proposition 3.18. *Diagram (2) commutes.*

Proof. We will prove that each square commutes.

(i) Let $[D] \in KK_{S^1}(C_0(X), \mathbb{C})$. We note that

$$k^*([\Theta_X] \hat{\otimes} [D]) = \{(C_0(U_x) \otimes \text{Cliff}_+(T_x X), 1_x, \varepsilon^{-1} \Theta_x) \hat{\otimes}_{C_0(X)} [D]\}_{x \in U_\varepsilon}.$$

Since the right action of $C_0(X)$ on $C_0(U_x)$ is the extension of the right action of $C_0(U_{2\varepsilon})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \{(C_0(U_x) \otimes \text{Cliff}_+(T_x X), 1_x, \varepsilon^{-1} \Theta_x) \hat{\otimes}_{C_0(X)} [D]\}_{x \in X} \\
&= \{(C_0(U_x) \otimes \text{Cliff}_+(T_x X), 1_x, \varepsilon^{-1} \Theta_x) \hat{\otimes}_{C_0(U_{2\varepsilon})} [k_*] \hat{\otimes}_{C_0(X)} [D]\}_{x \in X}.
\end{aligned}$$

It is nothing but a representative of $[\Theta_{U_\varepsilon}]' \hat{\otimes} [k_*] \hat{\otimes} [D]$ by associativity of Kasparov product. Similarly, commutativity of (ii) and (iv) can be easily checked and we leave it to the reader.

(vi) Let $[D] \in KK_{S^1}(C(M), \mathbb{C})$. Since

$$[\Theta_M] \hat{\otimes} [e^{-1}_{U_\varepsilon}] \hat{\otimes} [D] = \{(C_0(U_x) \otimes \text{Cliff}_+(T_x X), 1_x, \varepsilon^{-1} \Theta_x) \hat{\otimes}_{C_0(X)} [e^{-1}_{U_\varepsilon}] \hat{\otimes}_{C_0(X)} [D]\}_{x \in U_\varepsilon},$$

we may consider the following instead of it:

$$\{(C_0(U_x) \hat{\otimes} \text{Cliff}_+(T_x X), 1_x, \varepsilon^{-1} \Theta_x) \hat{\otimes}_{C_0(U_x)} [e^{-1}_{U_\varepsilon}|_{U_x}] \hat{\otimes}_{C_0(U_x)} [D|_{U_x}]\}_{x \in U_\varepsilon}.$$

Then, by the exponential mapping, we can canonically trivialize $[e^{-1}_{U_\varepsilon}|_{U_x}]$, and we can construct an isomorphism between the above and

$$\{(C_0(U_x) \hat{\otimes} e^{-1}_{U_\varepsilon}|_x \hat{\otimes} \text{Cliff}_+(T_x X), 1_x, \varepsilon^{-1} \Theta_x) \hat{\otimes}_{C_0(X)} [D|_{U_x}]\}_{x \in U_\varepsilon} = [e^{-1}_{U_\varepsilon}] \hat{\otimes} [\Theta_M] \hat{\otimes} [D].$$

Similarly, commutativity of (vii) can be easily checked.

(v) Since $[\mathcal{B}_{TU_\varepsilon}|_M] = [\mathcal{B}_{TM}] \hat{\otimes}_{C(M)} [\mathcal{B}_{\nu(M)}]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathcal{B}_{TU_\varepsilon}|_M] \hat{\otimes} [d_{\nu(M)}^{Spin^c}] &= [\mathcal{B}_{TM}] \hat{\otimes} [\mathcal{B}_{\nu(M)}] \hat{\otimes} [d_{\nu(M)}] \hat{\otimes} [S_{\nu(M)}^*] \\ &= [\mathcal{B}_{TM}] \hat{\otimes} [S_{\nu(M)}^*], \end{aligned}$$

the square (v) commutes.

Commutativity of (viii) is deduced from Theorem 3.17 and Theorem 2.12.

(iii) It is the most complicated. We compute $j^*([\Theta_{U_\varepsilon}]') \hat{\otimes} [S_{\nu(M)}^*]$ and $[\Theta_M] \hat{\otimes} [\tau_{U_{2\varepsilon}}]$. The essential point is that the following are homeomorphic: a “ $\dim(X)$ -ball” and “the direct product of a $\dim(M)$ -ball and a $\text{rank}(\nu(M))$ -ball”, where the notion “ n -ball” stands for an n -dimensional ball.

In order to distinguish Θ_x ’s appearing in the two local Bott elements, we denote that for $U_{2\varepsilon}$ by Θ_x^X and that for M by Θ_x^M . In order to distinguish ε -neighborhoods appearing in the two local Bott elements, we denote that for $U_{2\varepsilon}$ by U_x and that for M by V_x . Since $U_{2\varepsilon}$ has a structure of a fiber bundle, $U_{2\varepsilon}|_{V_x} = \varpi^{-1}(V_x)$ makes sense. It is diffeomorphic to the direct product of the ε -neighborhood of x in M and a ball of radius 2ε centered at the origin of $\nu(M)|_x$. The situation is summarized as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xleftarrow{\quad} U_{2\varepsilon} & \xleftarrow{\quad} M \\ & \uparrow U_{2\varepsilon}|_{V_x} & \uparrow \\ & U_x & \xleftarrow{\quad} V_x \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \varpi \\ \searrow \end{array}$$

As a preliminary, we prepare a more convenient representative of $[\tau_{U_{2\varepsilon}}]$

$$\left(C_0(U_\varepsilon, \bigwedge^* \varpi^* \nu_{\mathbb{C}}(M)), \pi, \frac{C^{\text{fib}}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon^2 - (C^{\text{fib}})^2}} \right),$$

instead of the natural one.

Note that $[\Theta_X]$ is represented by, in the bounded picture,

$$\left\{ \left(C_0(U_x) \hat{\otimes} \text{Cliff}_+(T_x X), 1_x, \frac{\Theta_x^X}{\sqrt{\varepsilon^2 - (\Theta_x^X)^2}} \right) \right\}_{x \in X}.$$

First, we compute $[\Theta_M] \hat{\otimes} [\tau_{U_{2\varepsilon}}]$ using the above representatives. In the family description for $\mathcal{R}KK$ -theory, the Kasparov product $[\Theta_M] \hat{\otimes} [\tau_{U_{2\varepsilon}}]$ is given by

$$\left\{ \left(C_0(U_\varepsilon|_{V_x}, \bigwedge^* \nu_{\mathbb{C}}(M)) \hat{\otimes} \text{Cliff}_+(T_x M), 1_x, \frac{C_x^{\text{fib}}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon^2 - (C_x^{\text{fib}})^2}} + \frac{\Theta_x^M}{\sqrt{\varepsilon^2 - (\Theta_x^M)^2}} \right) \right\}_{x \in M}.$$

Second, we modify the above operator. We consider two “bounded transformations”. Let $\mathfrak{b}(x) := \frac{x}{\sqrt{1+x^2}}$. Let ρ be a function on \mathbb{R} given by

$$\rho(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{x}{\varepsilon} & (|x| \leq \varepsilon), \\ \frac{x}{|x|} & (|x| > \varepsilon). \end{cases}$$

Let $F := \mathfrak{b} \left(\frac{C_x^{\text{fib}}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon^2 - (C_x^{\text{fib}})^2}} + \frac{\Theta_x^M}{\sqrt{\varepsilon^2 - (\Theta_x^M)^2}} \right)$. We prove that $\{C_0(U_\varepsilon|_{V_x}, \bigwedge^* \nu_{\mathbb{C}}(M)) \widehat{\otimes} \text{Cliff}_+(T_x M), 1_x, F\}_{x \in M}$ and $\{C_0(U_\varepsilon|_{V_x}, \bigwedge^* \nu_{\mathbb{C}}(M)) \widehat{\otimes} \text{Cliff}_+(T_x M), 1_x, \rho(\Theta_x^X)\}_{x \in M}$ are operator homotopic. We construct a homotopy F_s of Clifford algebra-valued function on $U_\varepsilon|_{V_x}$. Let $f_s := (1-s)F + s\varepsilon^{-1}\Theta_x^X$ and $F_s := \rho(f_s)$. We prove that F_s gives an operator homotopy. Since F_s gives a left multiplication with a Clifford algebra-valued function, it commutes with the right multiplication. It is clear that F_s is S^1 -equivariant. Thus, what we essentially need to prove is that $F_s^2 - 1$ vanishes on the boundary of $U_\varepsilon|_{V_x}$.

Let us study f_s . Since $\Theta_x^X = C_x^{\text{fib}} + \Theta_x^M$,

$$f_s = \left((1-s) \left(1 + \frac{(C_x^{\text{fib}})^2}{\varepsilon^2 - (C_x^{\text{fib}})^2} + \frac{(\Theta_x^M)^2}{\varepsilon^2 - (\Theta_x^M)^2} \right)^{-1/2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon^2 - (C_x^{\text{fib}})^2}} + s\varepsilon^{-1} \right) C_x^{\text{fib}} \\ + \left((1-s) \left(1 + \frac{(C_x^{\text{fib}})^2}{\varepsilon^2 - (C_x^{\text{fib}})^2} + \frac{(\Theta_x^M)^2}{\varepsilon^2 - (\Theta_x^M)^2} \right)^{-1/2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon^2 - (\Theta_x^M)^2}} + s\varepsilon^{-1} \right) \Theta_x^M.$$

We denote it by $f_s = A_s C_x^{\text{fib}} + B_s \Theta_x^M$. We need to check that $F_s^2 - 1 = \rho(f_s^2) - 1 = \rho(f_s^2 - 1)$ vanishes on the boundary. Note that f_s^2 is scalar-valued. Thus, thanks to the definition of ρ , it suffices to prove that $f_s^2 \geq 1$ on the boundary. Since $f_s^2 = A_s^2 (C_x^{\text{fib}})^2 + B_s^2 (\Theta_x^M)^2$ and $F_0 = F$, it suffices to check that $A_s \geq A_0$ and $B_s \geq B_0$, that is to say,

$$(1-s) \left(1 + \frac{(C_x^{\text{fib}})^2}{\varepsilon^2 - (C_x^{\text{fib}})^2} + \frac{(\Theta_x^M)^2}{\varepsilon^2 - (\Theta_x^M)^2} \right)^{-1/2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon^2 - (C_x^{\text{fib}})^2}} + s\varepsilon^{-1} \\ \geq \left(1 + \frac{(C_x^{\text{fib}})^2}{\varepsilon^2 - (C_x^{\text{fib}})^2} + \frac{(\Theta_x^M)^2}{\varepsilon^2 - (\Theta_x^M)^2} \right)^{-1/2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon^2 - (C_x^{\text{fib}})^2}} \\ (1-s) \left(1 + \frac{(C_x^{\text{fib}})^2}{\varepsilon^2 - (C_x^{\text{fib}})^2} + \frac{(\Theta_x^M)^2}{\varepsilon^2 - (\Theta_x^M)^2} \right)^{-1/2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon^2 - (\Theta_x^M)^2}} + s\varepsilon^{-1} \\ \geq \left(1 + \frac{(C_x^{\text{fib}})^2}{\varepsilon^2 - (C_x^{\text{fib}})^2} + \frac{(\Theta_x^M)^2}{\varepsilon^2 - (\Theta_x^M)^2} \right)^{-1/2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon^2 - (\Theta_x^M)^2}}$$

on the boundary. We discuss only the former one. The above inequality is equivalent to

$$\varepsilon^{-1} \geq \left(1 + \frac{(C_x^{\text{fib}})^2}{\varepsilon^2 - (C_x^{\text{fib}})^2} + \frac{(\Theta_x^M)^2}{\varepsilon^2 - (\Theta_x^M)^2} \right)^{-1/2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon^2 - (C_x^{\text{fib}})^2}}$$

on the boundary. It is clear from a direct calculation.

Finally, we prove that the diagram commutes. $j^*([\Theta_{U_\varepsilon}]') \widehat{\otimes} [S_{\nu(M)}^*]$ is given by

$$\{ (C_0(U_x, \bigwedge^* \varpi^* \nu_{\mathbb{C}}(M)) \widehat{\otimes} \text{Cliff}_+(T_x M), 1_x, \varepsilon^{-1} \Theta_x^X) \}_{x \in M}.$$

Let us construct a homotopy between these Kasparov modules. Let

$$E_x := \left\{ e : [0, 1] \rightarrow C_0(U_\varepsilon|_{V_x}, \bigwedge^* \varpi^* \nu_{\mathbb{C}}(M)) \widehat{\otimes} \text{Cliff}_+(T_x M) \mid e(1) \text{ vanishes on } U_\varepsilon|_{V_x} \setminus U_x \right\}.$$

Then, an $\mathcal{R}KK_{S^1}$ -cycle,

$$\{E_x, 1_x, \rho(\Theta_x^X)\}_{x \in M}$$

gives a homotopy between the two $\mathcal{R}KK_{S^1}$ -cycles. This is because the restriction of $\rho(\Theta_x^X)$ to $C_0(U_x, \wedge^* \nu_{\mathbb{C}}(M)) \hat{\otimes} \text{Cliff}_+(T_x M)$ is $\varepsilon^{-1} \Theta_x^X$. \square

This proposition tells us that the localized index can be computed by the composition of the right vertical arrows. We call it the **topological localized index**.

Definition 3.19. $t - \text{ind}_{S^1}^{\text{pos}} : \mathcal{R}KK_{S^1}(X; C_0(X), C_0(TX)) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} \otimes R(S^1)_{\text{pos}}$ is defined by the composition

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}KK_{S^1}(X; C_0(X), C_0(TX)) &\xrightarrow{(Tk)^*} \mathcal{R}KK_{S^1}(U_\varepsilon; C_0(U_\varepsilon), C_0(TU_\varepsilon)) \xrightarrow{(Tj)^*} \\ \mathcal{R}KK_{S^1}(M; C(M), C_0(TU_\varepsilon|_M)) &\xrightarrow{-\hat{\otimes}[d_{\nu(M)}^{Spin^c}]} \mathcal{R}KK_{S^1}(M; C(M), C_0(TM)) \\ &\xrightarrow{[e_{U_\varepsilon}^{-1}] \hat{\otimes} -} \mathcal{R}KK_{S^1}(M; C(M), C_0(TM))_{\text{pos}} \xrightarrow{t - \text{ind}_M} \mathbb{Q} \otimes R(S^1)_{\text{pos}}. \end{aligned}$$

Now the following is clear.

Theorem 3.20. For $[D] \in KK_{S^1}(C_0(X), \mathbb{C})$, we have $\text{ind}_{S^1}^{\text{pos}}([D]) = t - \text{ind}_{S^1}^{\text{pos}}(\sigma(D))$.

3.2.2 K -oriented cases

When X is K -oriented, we can give a more convenient formula to compute $\text{ind}_{S^1}^{\text{pos}}$ by using the isomorphism

$$\mathcal{R}KK_{S^1}(X; C_0(X), C_0(TX)) \cong \mathcal{R}KK_{S^1}(X; C_0(X), C_0(X)) \cong K_{S^1}^0(X).$$

Let S_X be an S^1 -equivariant Spinor bundle of X . Since the normal bundle admits a complex structure, it has a natural S^1 -equivariant Spinor bundle $\wedge^* \nu_{\mathbb{C}}(M)$. Thus, M is also of K -orientable. Let S_M be a Spinor bundle of M and L be a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded³ complex line bundle L so that $S_X|_M \cong S_M \hat{\otimes} \wedge^* \nu_{\mathbb{C}}(M) \hat{\otimes} L$.⁴ We call this line bundle the **difference line bundle for X** . The corresponding $\mathcal{R}KK$ -element is denoted by

$$[L] \in \mathcal{R}KK_{S^1}(M; C(M), C(M)).$$

The dual bundle L^* gives the inverse of L , namely $L \hat{\otimes} L^* \cong \underline{\mathbb{C}}_M$.

Let us rewrite Definition 3.16 for a K -oriented manifold.

Definition 3.21. Let Y be a K -oriented manifold equipped with the trivial action of a compact Lie group G .

(1) We define $ch : \mathcal{R}KK_G(Y; C(Y), C(Y)) \rightarrow H^*(Y; \mathbb{Q}) \otimes R(G)$ by the composition

$$\mathcal{R}KK_G(Y; C(Y), C(Y)) \xrightarrow{\cong} K(Y) \otimes R(G) \xrightarrow{ch \otimes \text{id}} H^*(Y; \mathbb{Q}) \otimes R(G).$$

³A \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded line bundle is a pair of a line bundle and a locally constant function taking values in \mathbb{Z}_2 . This concept is explained in [FHT, Definition 2.1].

⁴ S_X automatically gives a Spinor bundle of M by $S'_M = \text{Hom}_{\text{Cliff}(\nu(M))}(\wedge^* \nu_{\mathbb{C}}(M), S_X)$. This Spinor satisfies a convenient relation $S_X \cong S'_M \otimes \wedge^* \nu_{\mathbb{C}}(M)$. However, it is more convenient for computation to use favorite Spinor bundle over M .

(2) We define $\int_Y : H^*(Y; \mathbb{Q}) \otimes R(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} \otimes R(G)$ by $\int_Y (u \otimes \rho) := \left(\int_Y u \right) \otimes \rho$ for $u \in H^*(Y; \mathbb{Q})$ and $\rho \in R(G)$.

(3) We define $t - \text{ind}'_Y : \mathcal{R}KK_G(Y; C(Y), C(Y)) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ by

$$t - \text{ind}'_Y(u) := \int_Y \text{ch}(u) \text{td}(TY),$$

where $\text{td}(TY) \in H^*(Y; \mathbb{Q})$ is the Spin^c -Todd class of TY .

Then, $t - \text{ind}'_M$ and $t - \text{ind}_M$ are related in the following way.

Lemma 3.22. *For a compact K -oriented manifold Y , the following diagram commutes.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K^0(TY) & \xrightarrow{-\widehat{\otimes}[d_{TY}^{\text{Spin}^c}]} & K^0(Y) \\ & \searrow t - \text{ind}_Y & \swarrow t - \text{ind}'_Y \\ & \mathbb{Q} & \end{array}$$

We want to give a cohomology formula of the localized using the integration on X , not on TX . For this aim, we consider the following diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{R}KK_{S^1}(X; C_0(X), C_0(TX)) & \xrightarrow{-\widehat{\otimes}[d_{TX}^{\text{Spin}^c}]} & \mathcal{R}KK_{S^1}(X; C_0(X), C_0(X)) \\ (Tk)^* \downarrow & (i) & \downarrow k^* \\ \mathcal{R}KK_{S^1}(U_\varepsilon; C_0(U_\varepsilon), C_0(TU_\varepsilon)) & \xrightarrow{-\widehat{\otimes}[d_{TU_\varepsilon}^{\text{Spin}^c}]} & \mathcal{R}KK_{S^1}(U_\varepsilon; C_0(U_\varepsilon), C_0(U_\varepsilon)) \\ j^* \downarrow & & \downarrow j^* \\ \mathcal{R}KK_{S^1}(M; C(M), C_0(TU_\varepsilon|_M)) & (ii) & \mathcal{R}KK_{S^1}(M; C(M), C(M)) \\ -\widehat{\otimes}[d_{\nu(M)}^{\text{Spin}^c}] \downarrow & & \downarrow [L^*] \widehat{\otimes} - \\ \mathcal{R}KK_{S^1}(M; C(M), C_0(TM)) & \xrightarrow{-\widehat{\otimes}[d_{TM}^{\text{Spin}^c}]} & \mathcal{R}KK_{S^1}(M; C(M), C(M)) \\ [e_{U_\varepsilon}^{-1}] \widehat{\otimes} - \downarrow & (iii) & \downarrow [e_{U_\varepsilon}^{-1}] \widehat{\otimes} - \\ \mathcal{R}KK_{S^1}(M; C(M), C_0(TM))_{\text{pos}} & \xrightarrow{-\widehat{\otimes}[d_{TM}^{\text{Spin}^c}]} & \mathcal{R}KK_{S^1}(M; C(M), C(M))_{\text{pos}} \\ t - \text{ind}_M \downarrow & (iv) & \downarrow t - \text{ind}'_M \\ R(S^1)_{\text{pos}} \otimes \mathbb{Q} & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & R(S^1)_{\text{pos}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}. \end{array} \quad (3)$$

The squares (i) and (iii) clearly commute. The square (iv) commutes thanks to Lemma 3.22.

Let us prove that (ii) commutes. We compute the composition of the following: $(-\widehat{\otimes}[d_{TU_\varepsilon}^{\text{Spin}^c}])^{-1}$, j^* , $-\widehat{\otimes}[d_{\nu(M)}^{\text{Spin}^c}]$ and $-\widehat{\otimes}[d_{TM}^{\text{Spin}^c}]$, where the composition is a map from $\mathcal{R}KK_{S^1}(U_\varepsilon; C_0(U_\varepsilon), C_0(U_\varepsilon))$ to $\mathcal{R}KK_{S^1}(M; C(M), C(M))$. By Theorem 2.9 and Definition 2.13, for $[E] \in \mathcal{R}KK_{S^1}(U_\varepsilon; C_0(U_\varepsilon), C_0(U_\varepsilon))$, $(-\widehat{\otimes}[d_{TU_\varepsilon}^{\text{Spin}^c}])^{-1}([E])$ is given by $[E] \widehat{\otimes} [\mathcal{B}_{TU_\varepsilon}^{\text{Spin}^c}]$. By $S_X|_M \cong S_M \widehat{\otimes} \wedge^* \nu_{\mathbb{C}}(M)^* \widehat{\otimes} L$, we have $[\mathcal{B}_{TU_\varepsilon}^{\text{Spin}^c}] = [\mathcal{B}_{\nu_{\mathbb{C}}(M)}^{\text{Spin}^c}] \widehat{\otimes} [\mathcal{B}_{TM}^{\text{Spin}^c}] \widehat{\otimes} [L]$. Therefore, $[d_{TX}^{\text{Spin}^c}] = [d_{\nu_{\mathbb{C}}(M)}^{\text{Spin}^c}] \widehat{\otimes} [d_{TM}^{\text{Spin}^c}] \widehat{\otimes} [L^*]$. Since $[\mathcal{B}_{TU_\varepsilon}^{\text{Spin}^c}] \widehat{\otimes} [d_{TU_\varepsilon}^{\text{Spin}^c}] = 1$, we have

$$j^*([E] \widehat{\otimes} [\mathcal{B}_{TU_\varepsilon}^{\text{Spin}^c}]) \widehat{\otimes} [d_{\nu(M)}^{\text{Spin}^c}] \widehat{\otimes} [d_{TM}^{\text{Spin}^c}] = j^*([E]) \widehat{\otimes} [L^*].$$

Consequently, we have the following fixed-point formula for the localized index. The composition of the right vertical arrows of the above commutative diagram is denoted by $(t - \text{ind}_{S^1}^{\text{pos}})'$.

Theorem 3.23. (1) For $[u] \in \mathcal{R}KK_{S^1}(X; C_0(X), C_0(TX))$, $(t - \text{ind}_{S^1}^{\text{pos}})'([u] \hat{\otimes} [d_{TX}^{\text{Spin}^c}]) = t - \text{ind}_{S^1}^{\text{pos}}([u])$.

(2) In particular, for an S^1 -equivariant \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded Hermite bundle F over X and a Dirac operator D_F on $S_X \hat{\otimes} F$,

$$\text{ind}_{S^1}^{\text{pos}}([D_F]) = \int_M \text{td}(TM) \text{ch} \left(F|_M \hat{\otimes} L \hat{\otimes} \sum_{l \geq 0} \left(- \sum_{k \geq 1} (-1)^k \wedge^k \nu_{\mathbb{C}}(M) \right)^l \right).$$

Example 3.24. Let $X = \mathbb{C}$ be a complex plane on which S^1 linearly acts with non-zero weight k , namely $e^{i\theta} \cdot z = e^{ik\theta} z$. The fixed-point is only the origin: $M = \{O\}$. We use the complex structure in order to define the Spinor bundle: $S_X = \wedge^* TX_{\mathbb{C}}$. The normal bundle is $\nu_{\mathbb{C}}(M) = T_O X = \mathbb{C}_{|k|}$. Recall that we choose the complex structure on the normal bundle so that the weight is positive. Thus, the difference line bundle for X is given as follows

$$L = \begin{cases} q^0 & (k > 0), \\ -q^{|k|} & (k < 0). \end{cases}$$

Obviously $\text{td}(TM) = 1$.

Let $[D] \in KK_{S^1}(C_0(X), \mathbb{C})$ be a K -homology element represented by a Dirac operator D acting on a Clifford bundle W . Since X is of K -oriented, it can be written as $F \hat{\otimes} S_X$. Thanks to Lemma 3.7 and the fact that $K^0(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}$, F can be written as $\sum_n c_n q^n$ for $c_n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Let us compute the localized index of $[D]$. If $k > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ind}_{S^1}^{\text{pos}}([D]) &= \sum_n c_n q^n \sum_{l \geq 0} \left(- \sum_{m \geq 1} (-1)^m \wedge^m \nu_{\mathbb{C}}(M) \right)^l \\ &= \sum_n c_n q^n \sum_{l \geq 0} q^{kl} \\ &= \sum_n c_n \left(q^n + q^{n+k} + q^{n+2k} + \dots \right). \end{aligned}$$

By the same argument, if $k < 0$, the index is given by

$$-q^k \sum_n c_n \left(q^n + q^{n+|k|} + q^{n+2|k|} + \dots \right).$$

For example, if $F = \underline{\mathbb{C}}_X$ and $k = 1$, the localized index is given by

$$q^0 + q^1 + q^2 + q^3 + \dots$$

3.3 A reformulation

In the arguments so far, we have used several C^* -algebras which do not make sense for infinite-dimensional manifolds. In order to construct an infinite-dimensional analogue of the localized index

and the fixed-point formula, at least, we need to reformulate our index. Concretely, we will replace a single C^* -algebra $C_0(X)$ for an K -oriented manifold X with the graded suspension of $Cl_\tau(X)$, and we will replace $C_0(X)$ - C^* -algebras (which we regard as families of C^* -algebras over X) $Cl_\tau(X)$ and $C_0(TX)$ with the graded suspension of $C_0(X)$. See [T5] for details.

3.3.1 Analytic side

In order to reformulate the localized index, we prepare several KK -elements for the situation of Section 3.1. Recall that the pair $[S_X]$ and $[S_X^*]$ give a KK -equivalence between $C_0(X)$ and $Cl_\tau(X)$. Similarly, $[S_{U_{2\varepsilon}}]$, $[S_{U_{2\varepsilon}}^*]$, $[S_M]$, $[S_M^*]$, $[S_{\nu_{\mathbb{C}}(M)}]$ and $[S_{\nu_{\mathbb{C}}(M)}^*]$ are used to reformulate the theorem in the following.

Definition 3.25. (1) $[k_*] := [S_{U_{2\varepsilon}}^*] \hat{\otimes} [k_*] \hat{\otimes} [S_X] \in KK_{S^1}(Cl_\tau(U_{2\varepsilon}), Cl_\tau(X))$. It is given by the zero-extension $Cl_\tau(U_\varepsilon) \rightarrow Cl_\tau(X)$.

(2) $[\tau_{U_{2\varepsilon}}] := [S_M^*] \hat{\otimes} [\tau_{U_{2\varepsilon}}] \hat{\otimes} [S_{U_{2\varepsilon}}] \in KK_{S^1}(Cl_\tau(M), Cl_\tau(U_{2\varepsilon}))$. It is given by

$$\left(Cl_\tau(U_{2\varepsilon}), \pi, \frac{C^{\text{fib}}}{\sqrt{4\varepsilon^2 - (C^{\text{fib}})^2}} \right).$$

(3) $[e_{U_{2\varepsilon}}^{-1}] := [S_M^*] \hat{\otimes} [e_{U_{2\varepsilon}}^{-1}] \hat{\otimes} [S_M] \in KK_{S^1}(Cl_\tau(M), Cl_\tau(M))$. If $[e_{U_{2\varepsilon}}^{-1}]$ is given by $\sum_n E_n \otimes q^n$ under the isomorphism $\mathcal{R}KK_{S^1}(M; C(M), C(M))_{\text{pos}} \cong K^0(M) \otimes R(S^1)_{\text{pos}}$, $[e_{U_{2\varepsilon}}^{-1}]'$ is given by

$$\sum_n (E_n \hat{\otimes} \text{Cliff}_+(TM)) \otimes q^n.$$

Note that S^1 acts on $\text{Cliff}_+(TM)$ trivially.

(4) $[\underline{\mathbb{C}}_M] := [\underline{\mathbb{C}}_M] \hat{\otimes} [S_M] \in KK_{S^1}(\mathbb{C}, Cl_\tau(M))$. It is given by

$$(C(M, S), 1, 0).$$

(5) $[L^*] := [S_M^*] \hat{\otimes} [L^*] \hat{\otimes} [S_M] \in KK_{S^1}(Cl_\tau(M), Cl_\tau(M))$. It is given by $[L^*] := [L^* \hat{\otimes} \text{Cliff}_+(TM)]$.

Definition 3.26. We define the following KK -elements: $[\widetilde{k_*}] := \sigma_{S_\varepsilon}([k_*]')$, $[\widetilde{\tau_{U_{2\varepsilon}}}] := \sigma_{S_\varepsilon}([\tau_{U_{2\varepsilon}}]')$, $[\widetilde{e_{U_{2\varepsilon}}^{-1}}] := \sigma_{S_\varepsilon}([e_{U_{2\varepsilon}}^{-1}]')$ and $[\widetilde{L^*}] := \sigma_{S_\varepsilon}([L^*]')$.

The following is clear from associativity of Kasparov product and the property of the homomorphism σ_{S_ε} .

The following construction will be generalized to Hilbert manifolds in the next section.

Definition 3.27. We define $\mathcal{A}(X) := S_\varepsilon \hat{\otimes} Cl_\tau(X)$. Similarly, we define $\mathcal{A}(U_{2\varepsilon})$ and $\mathcal{A}(M)$.

Lemma 3.28. *The following diagram commutes.*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
KK_{S^1}(C_0(X), \mathbb{C}) & \xrightarrow{[S_X^*] \hat{\otimes} -} & KK_{S^1}(Cl_\tau(X), \mathbb{C}) & \xrightarrow{\sigma_{S_\varepsilon}} & KK_{S^1}(\mathcal{A}(X), \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon) \\
\downarrow [k_*] \hat{\otimes} - & & \downarrow [k_*]' \hat{\otimes} - & & \downarrow [\widetilde{k_*}] \hat{\otimes} - \\
KK_{S^1}(C_0(U_{2\varepsilon}), \mathbb{C}) & \xrightarrow{[S_{U_{2\varepsilon}}^*] \hat{\otimes} -} & KK_{S^1}(Cl_\tau(U_{2\varepsilon}), \mathbb{C}) & \xrightarrow{\sigma_{S_\varepsilon}} & KK_{S^1}(\mathcal{A}(U_{2\varepsilon}), \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon) \\
\downarrow [\tau_{U_{2\varepsilon}}] \hat{\otimes} - & & \downarrow [\tau_{U_{2\varepsilon}}]' \hat{\otimes} - & & \downarrow [\widetilde{\tau_{U_{2\varepsilon}}}] \hat{\otimes} - \\
& & KK_{S^1}(Cl_\tau(U_{2\varepsilon}), \mathbb{C}) & \xrightarrow{\sigma_{S_\varepsilon}} & KK_{S^1}(\mathcal{A}(U_{2\varepsilon}), \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon) \\
& & \downarrow [L^*]' \hat{\otimes} - & & \downarrow [\widetilde{L^*}] \hat{\otimes} - \\
KK_{S^1}(C(M), \mathbb{C}) & \xrightarrow{[S_M^*] \hat{\otimes} -} & KK_{S^1}(Cl_\tau(M), \mathbb{C}) & \xrightarrow{\sigma_{S_\varepsilon}} & KK_{S^1}(\mathcal{A}(M), \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon) \\
\downarrow [e_{U_{2\varepsilon}}^{-1}] \hat{\otimes} - & & \downarrow [e_{U_{2\varepsilon}}^{-1}]' \hat{\otimes} - & & \downarrow [\widetilde{e_{U_{2\varepsilon}}^{-1}}] \hat{\otimes} - \\
KK_{S^1}(C(M), \mathbb{C})_{\text{pos}} & \xrightarrow{[S_M^*] \hat{\otimes} -} & KK_{S^1}(Cl_\tau(M), \mathbb{C})_{\text{pos}} & \xrightarrow{\sigma_{S_\varepsilon}} & KK_{S^1}(\mathcal{A}(M), \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon)_{\text{pos}} \\
\downarrow [\mathbb{C}_M] \hat{\otimes} - & & \downarrow [\mathbb{C}_M]' \hat{\otimes} - & & \downarrow [\widetilde{\mathbb{C}_M}] \hat{\otimes} - \\
R(S^1)_{\text{pos}} & \xrightarrow{=} & R(S^1)_{\text{pos}} & \xrightarrow{\sigma_{S_\varepsilon}} & KK_{S^1}(\mathcal{S}_\varepsilon, \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon)_{\text{pos}}.
\end{array}$$

Definition 3.29. We define the reformulated localized index

$$\widetilde{\text{ind}}_{S^1}^{\text{pos}} : KK_{S^1}(\mathcal{A}(X), \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon) \rightarrow KK_{S^1}(\mathcal{S}_\varepsilon, \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon)_{\text{pos}}$$

by the composition of the right vertical arrows.

Then, the following is obvious.

Theorem 3.30. For $[D] \in KK_{S^1}(C_0(X), \mathbb{C})$, we have

$$\sigma_{S_\varepsilon}(\widetilde{\text{ind}}_{S^1}^{\text{pos}}([D])) = \widetilde{\text{ind}}_{S^1}^{\text{pos}}(\sigma_{S_\varepsilon}([S_X^*] \hat{\otimes} [D])).$$

3.3.2 Topological side

We have already deduced the fixed-point formula without using the $C_0(X)$ -algebras $Cl_\tau(X)$ or $C_0(TX)$ in Section 3.2.2. By applying σ_{S_ε} to each step of the construction of $(t - \text{ind}_{S^1}^{\text{pos}})'$, we can reformulate the topological localized index. Just like the previous subsection, for a homomorphism between $\mathcal{R}KK$ -group f' , we define \tilde{f} so that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{R}KK(X; A_1, B_1) & \xrightarrow{\sigma_{S_\varepsilon}} & \mathcal{R}KK(X; \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon \hat{\otimes} A_1, \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon \hat{\otimes} B_1) \\
f' \downarrow & & \downarrow \tilde{f} \\
\mathcal{R}KK(X; A_2, B_2) & \xrightarrow{\sigma_{S_\varepsilon}} & \mathcal{R}KK(X; \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon \hat{\otimes} A_2, \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon \hat{\otimes} B_2).
\end{array}$$

Definition 3.31. We define $\widetilde{t - \text{ind}}_{S^1}^{\text{pos}}$ by the composition of the following homomorphisms:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{R}KK_{S^1}(X; \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon \hat{\otimes} C_0(X), \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon \hat{\otimes} C_0(X)) \xrightarrow{\widetilde{k^*}} \mathcal{R}KK_{S^1}(U_\varepsilon; \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon \hat{\otimes} C_0(U_\varepsilon), \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon \hat{\otimes} C_0(U_\varepsilon)) \xrightarrow{\widetilde{j^*}} \\
& \mathcal{R}KK_{S^1}(M; \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon \hat{\otimes} C(M), \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon \hat{\otimes} C(M)) \xrightarrow{[\widetilde{L^*}] \hat{\otimes} -} \mathcal{R}KK_{S^1}(M; \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon \hat{\otimes} C(M), \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon \hat{\otimes} C(M)) \\
& \xrightarrow{[\widetilde{e_{U_\varepsilon}^{-1}}] \hat{\otimes} -} \mathcal{R}KK_{S^1}(M; \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon \hat{\otimes} C(M), \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon \hat{\otimes} C(M))_{\text{pos}} \xrightarrow{\widetilde{t - \text{ind}}_M} \mathbb{Q} \otimes KK_{S^1}(\mathcal{S}_\varepsilon, \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon)_{\text{pos}}.
\end{aligned}$$

The following is obvious.

Theorem 3.32. *For $[u] \in \mathcal{R}KK_{S^1}(X; C_0(X), C_0(X))$, we have*

$$\widetilde{t - \text{ind}_{S^1}^{\text{pos}}(\sigma_{\mathcal{S}_\varepsilon}([u]))} = \sigma_{\mathcal{S}_\varepsilon}((t - \text{ind}_{S^1}^{\text{pos}})'([u])).$$

3.3.3 Poincaré duality

We also reformulate the Poincaré duality homomorphism. The reformulation of the local Bott element is given by the KK -equivalence $C_0(X) \cong Cl_\tau(X)$ and the $\mathcal{R}KK(X)$ -equivalence $Cl_\tau(X) \cong C_0(TX) \cong C_0(X)$.

Strictly speaking, in order to describe the definition, we need a homomorphism

$$\text{fgt} : \mathcal{R}KK_{S^1}(X; \bullet, \bullet) \rightarrow KK_{S^1}(\bullet, \bullet)$$

defined by forgetting the $C_0(X)$ -module structure. See [T5, Section 3.2] for details.

Definition 3.33. (1) We define the **reformulated local Bott element** $[\widetilde{\Theta}_X]$ by

$$[\widetilde{\Theta}_X] := \sigma_{X, \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon}([\Theta_X] \widehat{\otimes}_X \{\text{fgt}([S_X]) \widehat{\otimes} [S_X^*]\}) \in \mathcal{R}KK_{S^1}(X; \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon \widehat{\otimes} C_0(X), \mathcal{A}(X) \widehat{\otimes} \underbrace{C_0(X)}).$$

The **reformulated Poincaré duality** is defined by

$$\widetilde{\text{PD}}_X : KK_{S^1}(\mathcal{A}(X), \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon) \ni [D] \mapsto [\widetilde{\Theta}_X] \widehat{\otimes} [D] \in \mathcal{R}KK_{S^1}(X; \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon \widehat{\otimes} C_0(X), \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon \widehat{\otimes} C_0(X)).$$

(2) We can similarly reformulate Definition 3.14. The reformulated version of $[\Theta'_{U_\varepsilon}]$ and $\text{PD}'_{U_\varepsilon}$ are denoted by $[\widetilde{\Theta}'_{U_\varepsilon}]$ and $\widetilde{\text{PD}}_{U'_\varepsilon}$.

This $\mathcal{R}KK$ -element has a quite simple representative.

Definition 3.34. We define the **local Bott homomorphism** $\beta_x : \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(X) = \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon \widehat{\otimes} Cl_\tau(X)$ by

$$\beta_x(f) := f(X \widehat{\otimes} 1 + 1 \widehat{\otimes} C_x),$$

where C_x is a vector field on X given by

$$C_x(y) := \begin{cases} -\log_y(x) \in T_y X & (y \in U_x), \\ \text{arbitrary vector of norm greater than } \varepsilon & (y \notin U_x). \end{cases}$$

Intuitively, $C_x(y) = \overrightarrow{xy}$.

Remark 3.35. Although C_x itself is not continuous, $f(X \widehat{\otimes} 1 + 1 \widehat{\otimes} C_x)$ defines a continuous function since $f \in \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon$ vanishes outside $(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$.

Proposition 3.36 ([T5, Proposition 3.19]). $[\widetilde{\Theta}_X]$ is represented by the field of Kasparov modules

$$\{(\mathcal{A}(X), \beta_x, 0)\}_{x \in X}.$$

By the same argument, we have the following.

Corollary 3.37. $[\widetilde{\Theta'_{U_\varepsilon}}]$ is represented by the field of Kasparov modules

$$\{(\mathcal{A}(X), \beta_x, 0)\}_{x \in U_\varepsilon}.$$

Then, we have the following fixed-point theorem.

Theorem 3.38. *The following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
KK_{S^1}(\mathcal{A}(X), \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon) & \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\text{PD}}_X} & \mathcal{R}KK_{S^1}(X; \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon \widehat{\otimes} C_0(X), \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon \widehat{\otimes} C_0(X)) \\
\downarrow [\widetilde{k_*}] \widehat{\otimes} - & & \downarrow \widetilde{k^*} \\
KK_{S^1}(\mathcal{A}(U_{2\varepsilon}), \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon) & \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\text{PD}}'_{U_\varepsilon}} & \mathcal{R}KK_{S^1}(U_\varepsilon; \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon \widehat{\otimes} C_0(U_\varepsilon), \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon \widehat{\otimes} C_0(U_\varepsilon)) \\
\downarrow [\widetilde{\tau_{U_{2\varepsilon}}}] \widehat{\otimes} - & & \downarrow \widetilde{j^*} \\
KK_{S^1}(\mathcal{A}(M), \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon) & \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\text{PD}}_M} & \mathcal{R}KK_{S^1}(M; \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon \widehat{\otimes} C(M), \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon \widehat{\otimes} C(M)) \\
\downarrow [\widetilde{e_{U_{2\varepsilon}}^{-1}}] \widehat{\otimes} - & & \downarrow [\widetilde{e_{U_{2\varepsilon}}^{-1}}] \widehat{\otimes} - \\
KK_{S^1}(\mathcal{A}(M), \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon) & \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\text{PD}}_M} & \mathcal{R}KK_{S^1}(M; \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon \widehat{\otimes} C(M), \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon \widehat{\otimes} C(M)) \\
\downarrow [\widetilde{L^*}] \widehat{\otimes} - & & \downarrow [\widetilde{L^*}] \widehat{\otimes} - \\
KK_{S^1}(\mathcal{A}(M), \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon)_{\text{pos}} & \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\text{PD}}_M} & \mathcal{R}KK_{S^1}(M; \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon \widehat{\otimes} C(M), \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon \widehat{\otimes} C(M))_{\text{pos}} \\
\downarrow [\widetilde{\mathbb{L}_M}] \widehat{\otimes} - & & \downarrow \widetilde{t\text{-ind}_M} \\
KK_{S^1}(\mathcal{S}_\varepsilon, \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon)_{\text{pos}} & \xrightarrow{=} & \mathbb{Q} \otimes KK_{S^1}(\mathcal{S}_\varepsilon, \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon)_{\text{pos}}.
\end{array}$$

Proof. It suffices to prove that each square commutes. For example, commutativity of the first square can be checked as follows. Note that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
KK_{S^1}(Cl_\tau(X), \mathbb{C}) & \xrightarrow{[\Theta'_X] \widehat{\otimes} -} & \mathcal{R}KK_{S^1}(X; C_0(X), C_0(X)) \\
\downarrow [k'_*] \widehat{\otimes} - & & \downarrow k^* \\
KK_{S^1}(Cl_\tau(U_{2\varepsilon}), \mathbb{C}) & \xrightarrow{[\Theta'_{U_\varepsilon}]' \widehat{\otimes} -} & \mathcal{R}KK_{S^1}(U_\varepsilon; C_0(U_\varepsilon), C_0(U_\varepsilon)),
\end{array}$$

where $[\Theta'_{U_\varepsilon}]'$ is defined by $[\Theta'_{U_\varepsilon}]$ with the KK -equivalence $C_0(U_{2\varepsilon}) \cong Cl_\tau(U_{2\varepsilon})$.

Since $\sigma_{\mathcal{S}_\varepsilon}([x] \widehat{\otimes} [y]) = \sigma_{\mathcal{S}_\varepsilon}([x]) \widehat{\otimes} \sigma_{\mathcal{S}_\varepsilon}([y])$, the following extended diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
KK_{S^1}(\mathcal{A}(X), \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon) & \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\text{PD}}_X} & \mathcal{R}KK_{S^1}(X; \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon \widehat{\otimes} C_0(X), \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon \widehat{\otimes} C_0(X)) \\
\downarrow [\widetilde{k}_*] \widehat{\otimes} - & \swarrow \sigma_{\mathcal{S}_\varepsilon} & \downarrow [\Theta'_X] \widehat{\otimes} - & \searrow \sigma_{\mathcal{S}_\varepsilon} & \downarrow \widetilde{k}^* \\
& & KK_{S^1}(Cl_\tau(X), \mathbb{C}) & \xrightarrow{[\Theta'_X] \widehat{\otimes} -} & \mathcal{R}KK_{S^1}(X; C_0(X), C_0(X)) \\
& & \downarrow [k'_*] \widehat{\otimes} - & & \downarrow k^* \\
& & KK_{S^1}(Cl_\tau(U_{2\varepsilon}), \mathbb{C}) & \xrightarrow{[\Theta'_{U_\varepsilon}]' \widehat{\otimes} -} & \mathcal{R}KK_{S^1}(U_\varepsilon; C_0(U_\varepsilon), C_0(U_\varepsilon)) \\
& \swarrow \sigma_{\mathcal{S}_\varepsilon} & & \searrow \sigma_{\mathcal{S}_\varepsilon} & \\
KK_{S^1}(\mathcal{A}(U_{2\varepsilon}), \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon) & \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\text{PD}}_{U_\varepsilon}} & \mathcal{R}KK_{S^1}(U_\varepsilon; \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon \widehat{\otimes} C_0(U_\varepsilon), \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon \widehat{\otimes} C_0(U_\varepsilon))
\end{array}$$

Commutativity of the outside square is what we wanted to prove. In fact, since each square commutes, so does the biggest one.

One can verify that the other square commute by parallel arguments. \square

Theorem 3.39. *For any $[D] \in KK_{S^1}(\mathcal{A}(X), \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon)$,*

$$\widetilde{\text{ind}}_{S^1}^{\text{pos}}([D]) = t - \widetilde{\text{ind}}_{S^1}^{\text{pos}}(\widetilde{\text{PD}}_X([D])).$$

This is the correct form of the localized index theorem to formulate an infinite-dimensional version.

3.4 Comparison with Hochs-Wang's index

Peter Hochs and Hang Wang constructed an index for non-compact manifolds equipped with a torus action with compact fixed-point set in [HW]. In this subsection, we compare it with our index.

We begin with a review of the construction of [HW] for $G = S^1$.

Definition 3.40. For each $x \in S^1$, we have a ring homomorphism $ch_x : R(S^1) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by $ch_x(\rho) := \text{tr}(\rho(x))$ for a virtual representation ρ of S^1 . For $g \in S^1$, let $I_g := \ker(ch_g)$. The **localization at g** is the localization in the sense of commutative rings: $(R(S^1) - I_g)^{-1} R(S^1)$. It is denoted by $R(S^1)_g$. Note that it is a unital $R(S^1)$ -algebra.

Associated to it, we introduce the following symbols:

- For an $R(S^1)$ -module \mathcal{M} , we define $\mathcal{M}_g := \mathcal{M} \otimes_{R(S^1)} R(S^1)_g$.
- The natural homomorphism $\mathcal{M} \ni m \mapsto m \otimes 1 \in \mathcal{M} \otimes_{R(S^1)} R(S^1)_g = \mathcal{M}_g$ is denoted by loc_g .
- For $R(S^1)$ -modules \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} and an $R(S^1)$ -module homomorphism $F : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$, the induced homomorphism between the localizations $F \otimes \text{id}_{R(S^1)_g} : \mathcal{M}_g \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_g$ is denoted by F_g .

Let X be a finite-dimensional complete Riemannian manifold equipped with an isometric action of S^1 . Let $g \in S^1$ be a generator. Suppose that the fixed-point set $M = X^g$ is compact. Take relatively compact S^1 -invariant neighborhoods U and V of M satisfying that $\overline{V} \subseteq U$. We denote the inclusions by

$$M \xrightarrow{j} V \xrightarrow{i} U \xrightarrow{k} X.$$

Since U is relatively compact, the following result holds.

Proposition 3.41 ([HW, Theorem 2.3]). $i_* : K_0^{S^1}(\overline{V}) \rightarrow K_0^{S^1}(U)$ is invertible after localization at g .

Definition 3.42 ([HW, Section 2.2]). We define the **localized index at g** by the composition of the homomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} KK_{S^1}(C_0(X), \mathbb{C}) &\xrightarrow{[k_*] \hat{\otimes} -} KK_{S^1}(C_0(U), \mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\text{loc}_g} KK_{S^1}(C_0(U), \mathbb{C})_g \xrightarrow{(i^*)_g^{-1}} \\ &KK_{S^1}(C(\overline{V}), \mathbb{C})_g \xrightarrow{[\mathbb{C}_{\overline{V}}] \hat{\otimes} -} KK_{S^1}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})_g = R(S^1)_g. \end{aligned}$$

The localized index at g is denoted by $\text{ind}_g : K_0^{S^1}(X) \rightarrow R(S^1)_g$.

We reformulate it by using a more “delicate” localization.

Definition 3.43. We identify $R(G)$ with the ring of characters. Let S_g be the multiplicative closed set consisting of $f(z) = \sum_{n \geq n_0} a_n z^n$ such that $f(g) \neq 0$ and $a_{n_0} = \pm 1$. We define $R(S^1)_{g, \text{New}} := S_g^{-1} R(S^1)$.

Associated to it, we introduce the following symbols:

- For an $R(S^1)$ -module \mathcal{M} , we define $\mathcal{M}_{g, \text{New}} = \mathcal{M} \otimes_{R(S^1)} R(S^1)_{g, \text{New}}$.
- The homomorphism $\mathcal{M} \ni m \mapsto m \otimes 1 \in \mathcal{M} \otimes_{R(S^1)} R(S^1)_{g, \text{New}} = \mathcal{M}_{g, \text{New}}$ is denoted by $\text{loc}_{g, \text{New}}$.
- For $R(S^1)$ -modules \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} and an $R(S^1)$ -module homomorphism $F : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$, $F \otimes \text{id}_{R(S^1)_{g, \text{New}}} : \mathcal{M}_{g, \text{New}} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{g, \text{New}}$ is denoted by $F_{g, \text{New}}$.

Three constructions $R(S^1)_{\text{pos}}$, $R(S^1)_g$ and $R(S^1)_{g, \text{New}}$ are related as follows.

Proposition 3.44. We can define natural injective ring homomorphisms

$$\Phi_1 : R(S^1)_{g, \text{New}} \rightarrow R(S^1)_g, \quad \Phi_2 : R(S^1)_{g, \text{New}} \rightarrow R(S^1)_{\text{pos}}$$

so that the restrictions to $R(S^1)$ are identity maps.

Proof. Thanks to the universal property of localization, it suffices to see that each element of S_g is mapped to an invertible element of $R(S^1)_g$ and $R(S^1)_{\text{pos}}$. The former is obvious. The latter is clear from Lemma 3.6. \square

The following is clear from the same construction of Lemma A.1 in Appendix.

Lemma 3.45. $[i^*]$ is invertible after tensoring with $R(S^1)_{g, \text{New}}$.

By replacing $[i^*]_g^{-1}$ with $[i^*]_{g, \text{New}}^{-1}$, we can define a new g -index $\text{ind}_{g, \text{New}} : KK_{S^1}(C_0(X), \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow R(S^1)_{g, \text{New}}$. With the same argument of [HW], we can check that $\text{ind}_{g, \text{loc}}$ is independent of the choice of U and V . In the following proposition, we adopt $U_{2\varepsilon}$ as U .

Let us compare three index homomorphisms: $\text{ind}_{S^1}^{\text{pos}}$, ind_g and $\text{ind}_{g, \text{New}}$.

Proposition 3.46. The following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & K_{S^1}(X) & & \\ & \swarrow \text{ind}_{S^1}^{\text{pos}} & \downarrow \text{ind}_{g, \text{New}} & \searrow \text{ind}_g & \\ R(S^1)_{\text{pos}} & \xleftarrow{\Phi_2} & R(S^1)_{g, \text{New}} & \xrightarrow{\Phi_1} & R(S^1)_g. \end{array}$$

Proof. It is clear from the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& & KK_{S^1}(C_0(X), \mathbb{C}) & \xlongequal{\quad} & KK_{S^1}(C_0(X), \mathbb{C}) & & \\
& & \downarrow [k_*] \hat{\otimes} - & & \downarrow [k_*] \hat{\otimes} - & & \\
KK_{S^1}(C_0(U), \mathbb{C}) & \xlongequal{\quad} & KK_{S^1}(C_0(U), \mathbb{C}) & \xlongequal{\quad} & KK_{S^1}(C_0(U), \mathbb{C}) & \xrightarrow{\text{loc}_{g, \text{New}}} & KK_{S^1}(C_0(U), \mathbb{C})_{g, \text{New}} \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes \Phi_1} KK_{S^1}(C_0(U), \mathbb{C})_g \\
\downarrow [\tau_U] \hat{\otimes} - & & \uparrow [j^*] \hat{\otimes} - & & \uparrow [i^*] \hat{\otimes} - & & \downarrow ([i^*]_{g, \text{New}})^{-1} \hat{\otimes} - \\
KK_{S^1}(C(X^g), \mathbb{C}) & & & & & & \\
\downarrow [e_U^{-1}] \hat{\otimes} - & & \downarrow [f^{-1} \otimes \Phi_2] & & \downarrow [i^*] \hat{\otimes} - & & \downarrow ([i^*]_g)^{-1} \hat{\otimes} - \\
KK_{S^1}(C(X^g), \mathbb{C})_{\text{pos}} & \xleftarrow{\text{pos}} & KK_{S^1}(C(X^g), \mathbb{C}) & \xrightarrow{f, \cong} & KK_{S^1}(C(\overline{V}), \mathbb{C}) & \xrightarrow{\text{loc}_{g, \text{New}}} & KK_{S^1}(C(\overline{V}), \mathbb{C})_{g, \text{New}} \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes \Phi_1} KK_{S^1}(C(\overline{V}), \mathbb{C})_{g, \text{New}} \\
\downarrow [\mathbb{L}_{X^g}] \hat{\otimes} - & & \downarrow [\mathbb{L}_{X^g}] \hat{\otimes} - & & \downarrow [\mathbb{L}_{\overline{V}}] \hat{\otimes} - & & \downarrow [\mathbb{L}_{\overline{V}}] \hat{\otimes} - \\
R(S^1)_{\text{pos}} & \xleftarrow{\text{pos}} & R(S^1) & \xlongequal{\quad} & R(S^1) & \xrightarrow{\text{loc}_{g, \text{New}}} & R(S^1)_{g, \text{New}} \xrightarrow{\Phi_1} R(S^1)_g \\
& & & & \downarrow [\mathbb{L}_{\overline{V}}] \hat{\otimes} - & & \\
& & & & \Phi_2 & &
\end{array}$$

where $f : KK_{S^1}(C(X^g), \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow KK_{S^1}(C(\overline{V}), \mathbb{C})$ is induced by the homotopy equivalent. \square

In this sense, our index is almost the same with that of [HW]. However, our framework is more flexible in the point that our KK -theory admits infinite-rank vector bundles if it is of “positive energy”. This flexibility enables us to deal with loop spaces.

4 An S^1 -equivariant index theorem for loop spaces

We want to do the same thing of the previous section on loop spaces. The phrase “fixed-point formula for loop spaces” reminds us of the Witten genus [Wit]. Unfortunately, we have not proved that our index realizes it. Including this point, in the final subsection, we will summarize remained problems.

4.1 Loop spaces

For a compact Riemannian manifold M , the smooth loop space of M is denoted by $C^\infty(S^1, M)$. In the present paper, we consider a completion of it. Since the tangent space at $\gamma \in C^\infty(S^1, M)$ can be identified with the section space $C^\infty(S^1, \gamma^*TM)$, we can define a family of Riemannian metrics as follows. Let g be the Riemannian metric on M .

Definition 4.1. The L_s^2 -metric on $C^\infty(S^1, M)$ is defined by the inner product

$$(X|Y)_s := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{S^1} g_{\gamma(\theta)} \left(\left(\text{id} - \frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} \right)^s X(\theta), Y(\theta) \right) d\theta.$$

This metric gives a metric space structure on $C^\infty(S^1, M)$. The completion of it is the main subject of this section.

Definition 4.2. The completion of $C^\infty(S^1, M)$ with respect to the L_s^2 -metric is denoted by $LM_{L_s^2}$.

Remark 4.3. For simplicity, we will concentrate on the case when $s = 0$, and we denote $LM_{L_0^2}$ by LM .

In this case, the following has been established. Let $\text{ev}_\theta : C^\infty(S^1, M) \rightarrow M$ be the evaluation map at $\theta \in S^1$ and let ∇^{LC} be the Levi-Civita connection on M . A vector field X on LM is said to be “taking values in smooth vector fields” if $X(\gamma) \in L^2(S^1, \gamma^*TM)$ is smooth for each $\gamma \in C^\infty(S^1, M)$.

Theorem 4.4 ([MRT, Lemma 2.1]). *For vector fields X, Y on LM taking values in smooth vector fields and $\gamma \in C^\infty(S^1, M)$, we define a vector field $D_X Y$ by*

$$D_X Y(\gamma, \theta) := (\text{ev}_\theta^* \nabla^{\text{LC}})_X \{Y(\gamma, \theta)\}.$$

Then, the correspondence $(X, Y) \mapsto D_X Y$ is the Levi-Civita connection of LM .

Recall that the curvature of a connection induced by a smooth map from another connection is given by the pullback of the curvature of the original one. Thus, the curvature operator on LM is given by

$$R(X, Y)Z(\gamma, \theta) = R^M(X(\gamma, \theta), Y(\gamma, \theta))Z(\gamma, \theta),$$

where R^M is the curvature tensor of M with respect to the Levi-Civita connection. In particular, we have the following result.

Proposition 4.5. *Let δ be the maximum value of the absolute value of sectional curvatures of M . For each $\gamma \in LM$, an orthonormal two-frame $\{u, v\}$ of $T_\gamma LM$, we have*

$$|(R(u, v)v|u)| \leq \delta.$$

Proof. It is clear from $(R(u, v)v|u) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{S^1} g_{\gamma(\theta)} (R^M(u(\theta), v(\theta))v(\theta), u(\theta)) d\theta$. □

4.2 Ingredients for a loop space version of the index theorem

In Section 3.3, we reformulated the fixed-point formula for $\text{ind}_{S^1}^{\text{pos}}$. In this subsection, we prepare an infinite-dimensional versions of the ingredients of this theorem.

4.2.1 C^* -algebras of Hilbert manifolds and the zero-extension

We begin with the definition of the “function algebras for Hilbert manifolds”, which were introduced in [Yu] as generalizations of the C^* -algebras of infinite-dimensional Hilbert-Hadamard spaces [GWY]. Since detailed properties are studied in [T5], we just define it and explain necessary properties.

In this subsection we deal with a Hilbert manifold \mathcal{X} satisfying the following assumption.

Assumption 4.6. Let \mathcal{X} be a Hilbert manifold whose all sectional curvatures are bounded above by δ and the injectivity radius is greater than $2\varepsilon > 0$ at each point. When $\delta > 0$, we assume that $\varepsilon < \pi/2\sqrt{\delta}$ from the beginning by re-taking ε smaller if necessary.

Definition 4.7 ([GWY, Definition 5.1]). We consider the space

$$\Pi(\mathcal{X}) := \prod_{(x, t) \in \mathcal{X} \times [0, \varepsilon]} \text{Cliff}_+(T_x \mathcal{X} \oplus t\mathbb{R}),$$

where

$$t\mathbb{R} := \begin{cases} \mathbb{R} & (t \neq 0) \\ 0 & (t = 0). \end{cases}$$

This is a space of possibly non-continuous Clifford algebra-valued functions. Then we consider a huge C^* -algebra

$$\Pi_b(\mathcal{X}) := \left\{ s \in \prod(\mathcal{X}) \mid \|s(x, t)\| \text{ is bounded.} \right\}$$

equipped with the pointwise algebraic operations (addition, multiplication and the adjoint) and the uniform norm.

The following definition is parallel to the C^* -algebra for a Hilbert-Hadamard space [GWY, Definition 5.14].

Definition 4.8 ([Yu]). (1) Let \mathcal{X} be a Hilbert manifold satisfying Assumption 4.6. Let $x_0, x \in \mathcal{X}$, and suppose that $d(x, x_0) < 2\varepsilon$. Then x_0 is contained in the image of $\exp_x : B_{2\varepsilon}(T_x\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$, and hence it is contained in the domain of $\log_x : \exp_x(B_{2\varepsilon}(T_x\mathcal{X})) \rightarrow T_x\mathcal{X}$. The local Clifford operator at x_0 is defined by

$$C_{x_0}(x, t) := (-\log_x(x_0), t) \in T_x\mathcal{X} \oplus t\mathbb{R},$$

or equivalently $C_{x_0}(x, t) = ((-d\exp_{x_0})_x(\log_{x_0}(x)), t)$, or more intuitively “ $C_{x_0}(x, t) = (\overrightarrow{x_0x}, t)$ ”.

(2) The Bott homomorphism $\beta_{x_0} : \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon \rightarrow \Pi_b(\mathcal{X})$ centered at $x_0 \in \mathcal{X}$ is defined by the following: For $f \in \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon$,

$$\beta_{x_0}(f)(x, t) := \begin{cases} f(C_{x_0}(x, t)) & (d(x, x_0) < \varepsilon) \\ 0 & (d(x, x_0) \geq \varepsilon), \end{cases}$$

where $f(C_{x_0}(x, t))$ is the functional calculus in the C^* -algebra $\text{Cliff}_+(T_x\mathcal{X} \oplus t\mathbb{R})$.

(3) The C^* -algebra $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{X})$ is defined by the C^* -subalgebra of $\Pi_b(\mathcal{X})$ generated by the image of the Bott homomorphisms:

$$\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{X}) := C^*(\{\beta_{x_0}(f) \mid x_0 \in \mathcal{X}, f \in \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon\}).$$

(4) For a subset \mathcal{Y} of \mathcal{X} , we define $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ by the C^* -subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{X})$ generated by $\{\beta_x(f) \mid x \in \mathcal{Y}, f \in \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon\}$.

It shares several properties with the C^* -algebra of [GWY].

Proposition 4.9 ([GWY, 5.15, 7.1, 7.2], [T5, 5.9, 5.10]). *Let \mathcal{X} be a Hilbert manifold satisfying Assumption 4.6.*

- (1) $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{X})$ is separable whenever \mathcal{X} is separable.
- (2) The group of all isometries of \mathcal{X} continuously act on $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{X})$.

Definition 4.10. Let \mathcal{X} be a Hilbert manifold satisfying Assumption 4.6 and let \mathcal{Y} be a subset of \mathcal{X} . For the natural inclusion $k : \mathcal{Y} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}$, we define the natural injective $*$ -homomorphism $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{X})$, and it is denoted by \tilde{k}_* .

The C^* -algebra $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ plays a role of “ $\mathcal{A}(\varepsilon$ -neighborhood of $\mathcal{Y})$ ”.

4.2.2 A Thom homomorphism-like construction

We now prove one more functorial property.

Proposition 4.11. *Let \mathcal{X} be a Hilbert manifold satisfying Assumption 4.6. Let M be a totally geodesic submanifold of \mathcal{X} . The inclusion is denoted by $i : M \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}$. Then, there exists a C^* -algebra homomorphism $\Pi_b(M) \rightarrow \Pi_b(\mathcal{X})$ so that the following diagram commutes for arbitrary $p \in M$:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon & \\ \beta_p \swarrow & & \searrow \beta_{i(p)} \\ \Pi_b(M) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \Pi_b(\mathcal{X}). \end{array}$$

Proof. Note that $i_* : TM \rightarrow T\mathcal{X}|_M$ is isometric. Thus, it extends to an injective fiberwise $*$ -homomorphism $i_* : \text{Cliff}_+(TM) \rightarrow \text{Cliff}_+(T\mathcal{X}|_M)$, and hence we can define $i_* : \Pi_b(M) \rightarrow \Pi_b(\mathcal{X})$. Since M is totally geodesic in \mathcal{X} , we have

$$\begin{aligned} i(\exp_{x_0}(v)) &= \exp_{i(x_0)}(i_*(v)), \\ i_* \{ \log_{x_0}(x) \} &= \log_{i(x_0)}(i(x)), \\ i_*(C_{x_0}(x, t)) &= C_{i(x_0)}(i(x), t). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the above triangle commutes. □

Now it is clear that the following diagram commutes for arbitrary $p \in M$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A}(M) & \cdots\cdots\cdots \rightarrow & \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{X}) \\ \uparrow & \swarrow \beta_p \quad \searrow \beta_{i(p)} & \uparrow \\ & \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon & \\ \downarrow & \swarrow \beta_p \quad \searrow \beta_{i(p)} & \downarrow \\ \Pi_b(M) & \xrightarrow{i_*} & \Pi_b(\mathcal{X}). \end{array}$$

Thus, the above dotted arrow makes sense.

Corollary 4.12. *In the same situation of the previous proposition, there exists a C^* -algebra homomorphism $\mathcal{A}(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{X})$ satisfying that*

$$\mathcal{A}(M) \ni \beta_p(f) \mapsto \beta_{i(p)}(f) \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{X}).$$

We want to apply this construction to loop spaces. For this aim, we need the following.

Theorem 4.13 ([Kob, Chapter II Theorem 5.1]). *For a Riemannian manifold X equipped with an isometric group action of a group G , the fixed-point set X^G is totally geodesic.*

One can easily see that this result holds for an infinite-dimensional Hilbert manifold.

Since the set of constant loops in LM is the fixed-point set with respect to the S^1 -action, we have the following.

Corollary 4.14. *M is totally geodesic in LM with respect to arbitrary S^1 -invariant metric.*

Now, it is clear that the following makes sense. Let $\nu(M)$ be the normal bundle of M in LM , $\nu(M)_\delta := \{v \in \nu(M) \mid \|v\| < \delta\}$ and $U_\delta := \exp^\perp(\nu(M)_\delta)$.

Definition 4.15. We define a $*$ -homomorphism $\widetilde{\tau_{U_{2\varepsilon}}} : \mathcal{A}(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(LM, U_{2\varepsilon})$ by Corollary 4.12. We call it the **Thom homomorphism**.

Remark 4.16. Let us explain the reason why we call it the Thom homomorphism.

In a finite-dimensional setting, we can introduce the following. Let X be a complete manifold and let M be a totally geodesic submanifold. Let U_ε be the ε -neighborhood of M in X and let $\varpi : U_\varepsilon \rightarrow M$ be the orthogonal projection. We can define $\tau_{U_\varepsilon} : \mathcal{A}(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(U_\varepsilon)$ such that $\beta_p(f) \mapsto \beta_{i(p)}(f)$. We define a fiberwise Clifford operator C_x^{fib} for each $x \in M$ as a local vector field on M by

$$C_x^{\text{fib}}(u, t) := (\exp_{x*}^\perp(\log_x^\perp(u)), t) = ("x\vec{u}", t) \in T_u^{\text{fib}} X \oplus t\mathbb{R}$$

for $u \in U_\varepsilon$ so that $\varpi(u) = x$. Then, for $f \widehat{\otimes} h \in \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon \widehat{\otimes} Cl_\tau(M) \cong \mathcal{A}(M)$, $\widetilde{\tau_{U_\varepsilon}}(f \widehat{\otimes} h)(u, t)$ is given by

$$f(X \widehat{\otimes} 1 + 1 \widehat{\otimes} C_{\varpi(u)})(t, u) \widehat{\otimes} h(\varpi(u)) \in Cl_{\text{iff}_+}(t\mathbb{R}) \widehat{\otimes} Cl_{\text{iff}_+}(T_u^{\text{fib}} X) \widehat{\otimes} Cl_{\text{iff}_+}(T_{\varpi(u)} M).$$

This is because the Clifford operator of U_ε is given by the sum of the Clifford operator of M and the fiberwise Clifford operator.

Since the correspondence $f \mapsto f(X \widehat{\otimes} 1 + 1 \widehat{\otimes} C_{\varpi(u)})$ induces the Bott periodicity homomorphism of [HKT], the family version

$$f \widehat{\otimes} h \mapsto f(X \widehat{\otimes} 1 + 1 \widehat{\otimes} C) \widehat{\otimes} h$$

induces the Thom isomorphism. Definition 4.15 is obviously an infinite-dimensional version of this construction.

4.2.3 Inverse Euler class

Since the restriction homomorphism has not been constructed and the bundle $\nu(M)$ is of infinite-rank, we can not follow the same story in order to define the Euler class. In our case, however, we can directly define the Euler class as follows, thanks to the complex structure of the normal bundle $\nu(M)$.

Lemma 4.17. (1) *The restriction of the tangent bundle of LM to M is given by*

$$TLM|_M = \coprod_{m \in M} L^2(S^1, T_m M).$$

By the Fourier series theory,

$$L^2(S^1, T_m M) \cong T_m M \oplus \left(T_m M \otimes \bigoplus_{k>0} \{\mathbb{R} \cos(k\theta) \oplus \mathbb{R} \sin(k\theta)\} \right).$$

Thus, the normal bundle is given by

$$\nu(M) \cong \coprod_{m \in M} T_m M \otimes \bigoplus_{k>0} \{\mathbb{R} \cos(k\theta) \oplus \mathbb{R} \sin(k\theta)\}.$$

(2) By the complex structure $J(\cos(k\theta)) := -\sin(k\theta)$ and $J(\sin(k\theta)) = \cos(k\theta)$,

$$\nu_{\mathbb{C}}(M) \cong \bigoplus_{k>0} (T_m M \otimes \mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathbb{C}_k$$

as complex vector bundles, where \mathbb{C}_k is the representation space of S^1 with weight k . As usual, when we regard $\nu(M)$ as a complex vector bundle, we denote it by $\nu_{\mathbb{C}}(M)$.

Although it is of infinite-rank, it does define an element of $K_{S^1}^0(M)_{\text{pos}}$. Moreover, the exterior product of it also makes sense in $K_{S^1}^0(M)_{\text{pos}}$, and it is invertible.

Proposition 4.18. (1) The exterior tensor product of $\nu_{\mathbb{C}}(M)$,

$$\bigwedge^* \nu_{\mathbb{C}}(M) = \bigwedge^* \bigoplus_{k>0} (TM \otimes \mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathbb{C} z^k$$

defines an element of $KK_{S^1}(C(M), C(M))_{\text{pos}}$. The corresponding KK -element is denoted by $[e_{U_{2\varepsilon}}]$.

(2) It is invertible.

Proof. When we work on K -theory, we denote the complexification of the tangent bundle $TM \otimes \mathbb{C}$ by T .

(1) We compute the exterior product.

$$\begin{aligned} \bigwedge^* \sum_{k>0} T \otimes q^k &= \prod_{k>0}^* \bigwedge T \otimes q^k \\ &= \prod_{k>0} \left\{ \underline{\mathbb{C}}_M \otimes q^0 - T \otimes q^k + \wedge^2 T \otimes q^{2k} - \wedge^3 T \otimes q^{3k} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \dots + (-1)^{\dim(M)} \wedge^{\dim(M)} T \otimes q^{\dim(M)k} \right\} \\ &= \underline{\mathbb{C}}_M \otimes q^0 + (-T) \otimes q^1 + (\wedge^2 T - T) \otimes q^2 + (-\wedge^3 T + T \otimes T - T) \otimes q^3 \\ &\quad + (\wedge^4 T + T \otimes \wedge^2 T + T \otimes T + \wedge^2 T - T) \otimes q^4 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

It makes sense in $KK_{S^1}(C(M), C(M))_{\text{pos}}$ since each coefficient of q^k is a finite-rank vector bundle.

(2) Since the coefficient of q^0 is the rank one trivial bundle, from Lemma 3.6, $[e_{U_{2\varepsilon}}]$ is invertible. \square

Definition 4.19. (1) We define $[e_{U_{2\varepsilon}}^{-1}] \in KK_{S^1}(C(M), C(M))_{\text{pos}}$ by the inverse of $[e_{U_{2\varepsilon}}]$.

(2) The reformulated version of it is denoted by

$$[\widetilde{e_{U_{2\varepsilon}}^{-1}}] := \sigma_{S_\varepsilon}([S_M^*] \hat{\otimes} [e_{U_{2\varepsilon}}^{-1}] \hat{\otimes} [S_M]) \in KK_{S^1}(\mathcal{A}(M), \mathcal{A}(M))_{\text{pos}}.$$

4.3 The index and the fixed-point formula

Now we can formulate a loop space version of the localized index and the fixed-point formula.

Definition 4.20. We define a group homomorphism $\widetilde{\text{ind}}_{S^1}^{\text{pos}} : KK_{S^1}(\mathcal{A}(LM), \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon) \rightarrow KK_{S^1}(\mathcal{S}_\varepsilon, \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon)_{\text{pos}}$ by the composition

$$KK_{S^1}(\mathcal{A}(LM), \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon) \xrightarrow{[\widetilde{k_*}] \hat{\otimes} -} KK_{S^1}(\mathcal{A}(LM, U_{2\varepsilon}), \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon) \xrightarrow{[\widetilde{\tau_{U_{2\varepsilon}}}] \hat{\otimes} -} KK_{S^1}(\mathcal{A}(M), \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon) \xrightarrow{[\widetilde{e_{U_{2\varepsilon}}^{-1}}] \hat{\otimes} -}$$

$$KK_{S^1}(\mathcal{A}(M), \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon)_{\text{pos}} \xrightarrow{[\widetilde{\mathbb{C}}_M] \widehat{\otimes} -} KK_{S^1}(\mathcal{S}_\varepsilon, \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon)_{\text{pos}}.$$

We call this homomorphism the **localized index for LM** .

Remark 4.21. In section 3.3, we reformulated the localized index for K -oriented manifolds using the difference line bundle $[L]$ specified by the Spinor bundles. However, the diagram in Theorem 3.38 commutes for arbitrary line bundle L . *For simplicity, we ignored L to formulate a loop space version of the index.* Although to study what line bundle is appropriate for L in our situation seems to be an interesting problem, we do not study this problem further.

In this subsection, by translating each step of the construction of the localized index into the topological language, we deduce a cohomology formula of the localized index for LM . For an S^1 -equivariant continuous map $\phi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$, we can define a pullback homomorphism

$$\phi^* : \mathcal{R}KK_{S^1}(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{Y}), \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{Y})) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}KK_{S^1}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{X}), \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{X})).$$

The corresponding homomorphism

$$\mathcal{R}KK_{S^1}(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{Y}), \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{Y})) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}KK_{S^1}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{X}), \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{X}))$$

is denoted by $\widetilde{\phi}^*$.

Theorem 4.22. *The following diagram commutes.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
KK_{S^1}(\mathcal{A}(LM), \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon) & \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\text{PD}}_{LM}} & \mathcal{R}KK_{S^1}(LM; \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{C}(LM), \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{C}(LM)) \\
\downarrow [\widetilde{k}_*] \widehat{\otimes} - & (1) & \downarrow \widetilde{k}^* \\
KK_{S^1}(\mathcal{A}(LM, U_{2\varepsilon}), \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon) & \searrow \widetilde{\text{PD}}'_{U_\varepsilon} & \mathcal{R}KK_{S^1}(U_\varepsilon; \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{C}(U_\varepsilon), \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{C}(U_\varepsilon)) \\
\downarrow [\widetilde{\tau_{U_{2\varepsilon}}}] \widehat{\otimes} - & (2) & \downarrow \widetilde{i}^* \\
KK_{S^1}(\mathcal{A}(M), \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon) & \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\text{PD}}_M} & \mathcal{R}KK_{S^1}(M; \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{C}(M), \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{C}(M)) \\
\downarrow [\widetilde{e_{U_{2\varepsilon}}^{-1}}] \widehat{\otimes} - & (3) & \downarrow [\widetilde{e_{U_{2\varepsilon}}^{-1}}] \widehat{\otimes} - \\
KK_{S^1}(\mathcal{A}(M), \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon)_{\text{pos}} & \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\text{PD}}_M} & \mathcal{R}KK_{S^1}(M; \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{C}(M), \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{C}(M))_{\text{pos}} \\
\downarrow [\widetilde{\mathbb{C}}_M] \widehat{\otimes} - & (4) & \downarrow \widetilde{t - \text{ind}}_M \\
R(S^1)_{\text{pos}} & \xrightarrow{=} & R(S^1)_{\text{pos}}.
\end{array}$$

We call the composition of the right vertical arrows the **topological localized index for LM** and we denote it by $\widetilde{t - \text{ind}}_{S^1}^{\text{pos}}$.

Proof. (1) Let $[D] = (E, \pi, D) \in KK_{S^1}(\mathcal{A}(LM), \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon)$. Then $[\widetilde{k}_*] \widehat{\otimes} [D] = (E, \pi \circ \widetilde{k}_*, D)$ and

$$\widetilde{\text{PD}}'_{U_\varepsilon}([\widetilde{k}_*] \widehat{\otimes} [D]) = \left\{ (E, \pi \circ \widetilde{k}_* \circ \beta_x, D) \right\}_{x \in U_\varepsilon}.$$

On the other hand, $\widetilde{\text{PD}}_{LM}([D]) = \{(E, \pi \circ \beta_x, D)\}_{x \in LM}$ and hence

$$\widetilde{k}^* \left(\widetilde{\text{PD}}_{LM}([D]) \right) = \{(E, \pi \circ \beta_x, D)\}_{x \in U_\varepsilon}.$$

Since $\widetilde{k}_*(\beta_x(f)) = \beta_x(f)$, the square (1) commutes.

(2) We can prove it in a similar way of (1). The essential point is the definition of $\widetilde{\tau}_{U_{2\varepsilon}}$, that is to say, for $x \in M$ and $f \in \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon$, we have $\widetilde{\tau}_{U_{2\varepsilon}}(\beta_x^M(f)) = \beta_x^{LM}(f)$, where β_x^M is the Bott homomorphism for M and β_x^{LM} is that for LM .

(3), (4) They have been proved in Theorem 3.38. \square

Corollary 4.23. For $[D] \in KK_{S^1}(\mathcal{A}(LM), \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon)$,

$$\widetilde{\text{ind}}_{S^1}^{\text{pos}}([D]) = t - \widetilde{\text{ind}}_{S^1}^{\text{pos}}(\widetilde{\text{PD}}_{LM}([D])).$$

In particular, the localized index of LM has a fixed-point formula.

Although we have not constructed a concrete example of elements of $KK_{S^1}(\mathcal{A}(LM), \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon)$, we can still compute the topological localized index of an element of $\mathcal{R}KK_{S^1}(LM; \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{C}(LM), \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{C}(LM))$.

Example 4.24. Let us compute the topological localized index homomorphism for LS^2 . Note that the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{R}KK_{S^1}(LM; \mathcal{C}(LM), \mathcal{C}(LM)) & \xrightarrow{\sigma_{\mathcal{S}_\varepsilon}} & \mathcal{R}KK_{S^1}(LM; \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{C}(LM), \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{C}(LM)) \\ \downarrow k^* & & \downarrow \widetilde{k}^* \\ \mathcal{R}KK_{S^1}(U_\varepsilon; \mathcal{C}(U_\varepsilon), \mathcal{C}(U_\varepsilon)) & \xrightarrow{\sigma_{\mathcal{S}_\varepsilon}} & \mathcal{R}KK_{S^1}(U_\varepsilon; \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{C}(U_\varepsilon), \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{C}(U_\varepsilon)) \\ \downarrow i^* & & \downarrow \widetilde{i}^* \\ \mathcal{R}KK_{S^1}(M; C(M), C(M)) & \xrightarrow{\sigma_{\mathcal{S}_\varepsilon}} & \mathcal{R}KK_{S^1}(M; \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon \widehat{\otimes} C(M), \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon \widehat{\otimes} C(M)) \\ \downarrow [e_{U_{2\varepsilon}}^{-1}] \widehat{\otimes} - & & \downarrow [\widetilde{e_{U_{2\varepsilon}}^{-1}}] \widehat{\otimes} - \\ \mathcal{R}KK_{S^1}(M; C(M), C(M))_{\text{pos}} & \xrightarrow{\sigma_{\mathcal{S}_\varepsilon}} & \mathcal{R}KK_{S^1}(M; \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon \widehat{\otimes} C(M), \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon \widehat{\otimes} C(M))_{\text{pos}} \\ \downarrow t - \text{ind}'_M & & \downarrow t - \widetilde{\text{ind}}_M \\ R(S^1)_{\text{pos}} & \xrightarrow{\sigma_{\mathcal{S}_\varepsilon}} & KK_{S^1}(\mathcal{S}_\varepsilon, \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon). \end{array}$$

We compute the composition of the left vertical arrows. We denote it by $(t - \widetilde{\text{ind}}_{S^1}^{\text{pos}})'$. It is obvious that $t - \widetilde{\text{ind}}_{S^1}^{\text{pos}}(\sigma_{\mathcal{S}_\varepsilon}(u)) = \sigma_{\mathcal{S}_\varepsilon}((t - \text{ind}_{S^1}^{\text{pos}})'(u))$ for $u \in \mathcal{R}KK_{S^1}(LM, \mathcal{C}(LM), \mathcal{C}(LM))$.

Let \mathcal{E} be an S^1 -equivariant vector bundle over LM of finite rank. By definition, the topological localized index of it is given by

$$\int_{S^2} ch \left([e_{U_{2\varepsilon}}^{-1}] \otimes \mathcal{E}|_{S^2} \right) \text{td}(TS^2) = \int_{S^2} ch \left([e_{U_{2\varepsilon}}^{-1}] \right) ch(\mathcal{E}|_{S^2}) \text{td}(TS^2).$$

Let us compute $\text{td}(TS^2)$. TS^2 has a $Spin^c$ -structure induced by a complex structure. Its first Chern class is given by $c_1(TS^2) = e(TS^2) = 2x$, where x is a generator of $H^2(S^2)$ so that $\int_{S^2} x = 1$. Thus, the total Todd class is $\text{td}(TS^2) = 1 + \frac{1}{2}c_1(TS^2) = 1 + x$.

Let us compute $ch([e_{U_{2\varepsilon}}^{-1}])$. The normal bundle $\nu_{U_{2\varepsilon} \rightarrow M}$ is given by

$$\bigoplus_{n>0} T \otimes \mathbb{C}_n,$$

where $T = TS^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}$. The corresponding K -theory element can be written as $\sum_{n>0} T \otimes q^n$ under the isomorphism $\mathcal{R}KK_{S^1}(M; C(M), C(M))_{\text{pos}} \cong \mathcal{R}KK(M; C(M), C(M)) \otimes R(S^1)_{\text{pos}}$.

Since T is orientable, $\wedge^2 T$ is trivial. Thus, the exterior product is given by

$$\prod_{n>0} (1 \otimes q^0 - T \otimes q^n + 1 \otimes q^{2n}).$$

Its inverse is given by

$$\prod_{n>0} \left(\sum_{l \geq 0} (T \otimes q^n - 1 \otimes q^{2n})^l \right).$$

We compute the Chern character of it. Since T is stably trivial, $ch(T) = 2$. Thus, the Chern character of the inverse Euler class is

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{n>0} \left(\sum_{l \geq 0} (2q^n - q^{2n})^l \right) &= \prod_{n>0} \left(\frac{1}{1 - 2q^n + q^{2n}} \right) = \left(\prod_{n>0} \frac{1}{1 - q^n} \right)^2 \\ &= \left(\prod_{n>0} \sum_{l \geq 0} q^{ln} \right)^2 = \left(\sum_{n \geq 0} p(n) q^n \right)^2 \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{m=0}^n p(m) p(n-m) q^n, \end{aligned}$$

where $p(n)$ is the partition function.

Under the isomorphism $K_{S^1}^0(S^2) \cong K^0(S^2) \otimes R(S^1)$, \mathcal{E} can be written as $\sum_a E_a \otimes q^a$. Therefore, the index is given by

$$\sum_a \left(\int_{S^2} ch(E_a)(1+x) \right) \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{m=0}^n p(m) p(n-m) q^{n+a}.$$

In particular, if \mathcal{E} is the rank one trivial bundle, the index is given by

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{m=0}^n p(m) p(n-m) q^n.$$

Example 4.25. More generally, we can compute the loop space index for a compact Riemann surface. Let Σ be the Riemann surface with genus g . Since the tangent bundle of Σ is stably trivial, the inverse Euler class is $\sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{m=0}^n p(m) p(n-m) q^n$. The total Todd class is given by

$$1 + \frac{1}{2} c_1(T\Sigma) = 1 + \frac{1}{2} (2 - 2g)x = 1 + (1-g)x,$$

where $x \in H^2(\Sigma)$ is the generator so that $\int_{\Sigma} x = 1$. Thus, the topological localized index of the trivial bundle of $L\Sigma$ is given by

$$(1-g) \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{m=0}^n p(m) p(n-m) q^n.$$

4.4 Remained problems

On the theme of the present paper, many problems are remained.

Since our construction is inspired by [Wit], the following is the most important.

Problem 4.26. Prove that the Witten genus is in some sense realized as the image of $\widetilde{\text{ind}}_{S^1}^{\text{pos}}$ of an appropriate element of $KK_{S^1}(\mathcal{A}(LM), \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon)$.

When we defined the localized index for loop spaces, we ignored the difference line bundle $[L]$. The difference line bundle L should be the “difference” between the “Spinor bundle of LM ” and the tensor product of that of M and the exterior algebra of $\nu_{\mathbb{C}}(M)$. In [Wit], the ζ -function renormalization appears. Possibly these are related to each other.

Problem 4.27. (1) Formulate an appropriate L .

(2) Are there any relationship between L and the ζ -function renormalization in [Wit]?

Regarding the Witten rigidity, it is interesting to study the localized index for loop spaces from the viewpoint of the global structure of LM . Since our index is a homomorphism from an invariant of LM , $KK_{S^1}(\mathcal{A}(LM), \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon)$, the following problem is worth studying.

Problem 4.28. Study properties of $\widetilde{\text{ind}}_{S^1}^{\text{pos}}$ for LM from the viewpoint of topology of LM or the viewpoint of operator algebra theory of $\mathcal{A}(LM)$.

Regarding an “analysis on loop space”, we need to solve the following problem. It is our next challenge.

Problem 4.29. Construct an explicit element of $KK_{S^1}(\mathcal{A}(LM), \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon)$.

Such an element is nothing but a “Dirac operator on LM ”.

A Our index homomorphism is appropriate

In order to show that our index homomorphism is appropriate, we prove that we obtain the classical analytic index when we apply the construction of Section 3.1 to a compact manifold.

Let M be a compact Riemannian manifold equipped with an isometric S^1 -action, M^{S^1} the fixed-point set, $\nu(M^{S^1})$ the normal bundle, $\nu(M^{S^1})_\delta := \{v \in \nu(M^{S^1}) \mid \|v\| < \delta\}$, and $U_\delta := \exp^\perp(\nu(M^{S^1})_\delta)$.

The projection of the normal bundle is denoted by $\varpi : \nu(M^{S^1}) \rightarrow M^{S^1}$. The situation is summarized as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & i & & \\
 & \curvearrowright & & \curvearrowright & \\
 M^{S^1} & \xrightarrow{j} & U_\delta & \xrightarrow{k} & M \\
 & \nwarrow \varpi & \uparrow \exp^\perp, \cong & & \\
 & & \nu(M^{S^1})_\delta & &
 \end{array}$$

Then, since the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 C(M) & \xrightarrow{i^*} & C(M^{S^1}) \\
 & \nwarrow & \nearrow \\
 & \mathbb{C} &
 \end{array}$$

we have the induced commutative diagram on K -homology

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_0^{S^1}(M) & \xleftarrow{[i^*] \hat{\otimes} -} & K_0^{S^1}(M^{S^1}) \\ & \searrow \text{ind}_{S^1} \quad \swarrow \text{ind}_{S^1} & \\ & R(S^1) & \end{array}$$

Thus, it suffices to find the “inverse” of $[i^*]$.

Let us observe i^* . We have a proper inclusion $j : M^{S^1} \hookrightarrow U_\delta$ and an open inclusion $k : U_\delta \hookrightarrow M$. Thus, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & C_0(U_\delta) & \\ k_* \swarrow & & \searrow j^* \\ C(M) & \xrightarrow{i^*} & C(M^{S^1}). \end{array}$$

It induces the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & KK_{S^1}(C_0(U_\delta), \mathbb{C}) & \\ [k_*] \hat{\otimes} - \nearrow & & \nwarrow [j^*] \hat{\otimes} - \\ KK_{S^1}(C(M), \mathbb{C}) & \xleftarrow{[i^*] \hat{\otimes} -} & KK_{S^1}(C(M^{S^1}), \mathbb{C}). \end{array}$$

The correspondence $[k_*] \hat{\otimes} -$ is isomorphic.

Lemma A.1. k^* is isomorphic after tensoring with $R(S^1)_{\text{pos}}$.

Proof. Let $K := M \setminus U_\delta$. It is a compact set equipped with an S^1 -action. By a parallel argument of the proof of [HW, Theorem 2.3], it suffices to check that $K_0^{S^1}(K)_{\text{pos}} = 0$. For this aim, since it is a unital module over $KK_{S^1}(C(K), C(K))_{\text{pos}}$, we will prove that $KK_{S^1}(C(K), C(K))_{\text{pos}} = 0$. For this aim, we will prove that there exists an invertible element in $R(S^1)_{\text{pos}}$ so that the corresponding element in $KK_{S^1}(C(K), C(K))_{\text{pos}}$ is zero. The following construction is almost the same with [Fur, Lemma 4.39]. See also [Seg]

Thanks to the slice theorem, for arbitrary $x \in K$, there exists a submanifold S_x through x and an open neighborhood U_x so that $S_x \times_{S_x^1} S^1 \xrightarrow{\cong} U_x$, where the diffeomorphism is given by $[(s, g)] \mapsto g \cdot s$ and S_x^1 is the stabilizer of x . Then, we can define a projection

$$\pi_x : U_x \ni [(s, g)] \mapsto gS_x^1 \in S^1/S_x^1.$$

Since x is not a fixed-point, S_x^1 is a proper subgroup of S^1 and S_x^1 is closed, $\#S_x^1$ is finite. Let $n_x = \#S_x^1$. Then π_x is an n_x -fold covering on each orbit.

We prove that $U_x \times \mathbb{C}_0$ is S^1 -equivariantly isomorphic to $U_x \times \mathbb{C}_{ln_x}$ for arbitrary $l \in \mathbb{Z}$. We define an odd bundle automorphism $h_x : S^1 \times (\mathbb{C}_0 \hat{\oplus} \mathbb{C}_{ln_x}) \rightarrow S^1 \times (\mathbb{C}_0 \hat{\oplus} \mathbb{C}_{ln_x})$ by

$$h_x(y) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \pi_x(y)^{-l} \\ \pi_x(y)^l & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

One can check that it is S^1 -equivariant by a direct computation. We denote $S^1 \times (\mathbb{C}_0 \hat{\oplus} \mathbb{C}_{ln_x})$ by E_x . This bundle extends to K since it is topologically trivial, although h_x does not.

From now on, we assume $l > 0$. We can do the same construction for other points in K . Since K is compact, there exists a finite set $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N\}$ so that $\cup_{i=1}^N U_{x_i} = K$. Let $\{\rho_i^2\}$ be a partition of unity subordinate to $\{U_{x_i}\}$. Let $E := \hat{\otimes}_{i=1}^N E_{x_i}$ and let h be the odd automorphism defined by

$$h := \sum_{i=1}^N \epsilon_1 \hat{\otimes} \dots \hat{\otimes} \epsilon_{i-1} \hat{\otimes} \rho_i h_{x_i} \hat{\otimes} \text{id} \hat{\otimes} \dots \hat{\otimes} \text{id},$$

where ϵ_1 's are the grading homomorphism $\epsilon_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$, and l_i to define h_{x_i} is chosen to be positive. Thanks to ρ_i , it is well-defined. Since

$$h^2 = \sum \text{id} \hat{\otimes} \dots \hat{\otimes} \text{id} \hat{\otimes} \rho_i^2 h_{x_i}^2 \hat{\otimes} \text{id} \hat{\otimes} \dots \hat{\otimes} \text{id},$$

the homomorphism h is fiberwisely isomorphic. Therefore, $[(E, h)] = 0$ as an element of $K_{S^1}^0(K)$.

On the other hand, since the corresponding element in $R(S^1)_{\text{pos}}$ is $E = \prod_{i=1}^N (q^0 \hat{\oplus} q^{l_i n_{x_i}}) = q^0 + (\text{higher terms})$, it is invertible in $R(S^1)_{\text{pos}}$. Since an invertible element vanishes, the ring $KK_{S^1}(C(K), C(K))_{\text{pos}}$ is trivial. \square

Remark A.2. This construction works if K is a Lindelöf space. In order to define E , choose $l_i = i$. Then, the infinite-rank vector bundle E makes sense in $\mathcal{R}KKS^1(K; C_0(K), C_0(K))_{\text{pos}}$, and it is invertible. We do not study this generalization in the present paper.

Thus, the inverse of $[i^*]$ is the composition of $[k_*]$ and the inverse of $[j^*] \in KK_{S^1}(C_0(U_\delta), C(M^{S^1}))$. Let $[\tau_{U_\delta}] \in KK_{S^1}(C(M^{S^1}), C_0(U_\delta))$ be the Thom class. In order to find out the inverse of $[j^*]$, we consider the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} KK_{S^1}(C_0(U_\delta), \mathbb{C}) & & \\ \uparrow [j^*] \hat{\otimes} - & \searrow [\tau_{U_\delta}] \hat{\otimes} - & \\ KK_{S^1}(C(M^{S^1}), \mathbb{C}) & \xrightarrow{[e_{U_\delta}] \hat{\otimes} -} & KK_{S^1}(C(M^{S^1}), \mathbb{C}). \end{array}$$

Thus, the inverse of $[j^*]$ is the composition of $[\tau_{U_\delta}]$ and the inverse of the Euler class. Consequently, we have the following result.

Theorem A.3. *The following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} KK_{S^1}(C(M), \mathbb{C}) & \xrightarrow{[k_*] \hat{\otimes} -} & KK_{S^1}(C_0(U_\delta), \mathbb{C}) & \xrightarrow{[\tau_{U_\delta}] \hat{\otimes} -} & KK_{S^1}(C(M^{S^1}), \mathbb{C}) & \xrightarrow{[e_{U_\delta}^{-1}] \hat{\otimes} -} & KK_{S^1}(C(M^{S^1}), \mathbb{C})_{\text{pos}} \\ \downarrow [\mathbb{C}_M] \hat{\otimes} - & & & & & & \downarrow [\mathbb{C}_{M^{S^1}}] \hat{\otimes} - \\ R(S^1) & \xrightarrow{\text{pos}} & & & & & R(S^1)_{\text{pos}}. \end{array}$$

The fixed-point formula is obtained in the same way of Section 3.2.

Acknowledgements

I am supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 21K20320.

References

- [AMM] A. Alekseev, A. Malkin and E. Meinrenken, “*Lie group valued moment maps*”, J. Differential Geom. 48 (1998), no. 3, 445-495.
- [ASe] M. Atiyah and G. Segal, “*The index of elliptic operators: II*”, Ann. of Math. (2) 87 1968 531-545.
- [ASi1] M. Atiyah and I. Singer, “*The index of elliptic operators: I*”, Ann. of Math. (2) 87 1968 484-530.
- [ASi2] M. Atiyah and I. Singer, “*The index of elliptic operators: III*”, Ann. of Math. (2) 87 1968 546-604.
- [Blac] B. Blackadar, “*K-theory for operator algebras*”, Mathematical Sciences Research Institute Publications, 5. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- [Blan] E. Blanchard, “*Déformations de C^* -algèbres de Hopf*”, Bull. Soc. Math. France 124 (1996), no. 1, 141-215.
- [BT] R. Bott, and C. Taubes, “*On the rigidity theorems of Witten*”, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 2 (1989), no. 1, 137–186.
- [DT] D. Dumitrescu and J. Trout, “*On C^* -algebras and K -theory for infinite-dimensional Fredholm manifolds*”, Topology Appl. 153 (2006), no. 14, 2528-2550.
- [FHT] D. Freed, M. J. Hopkins, and C. Teleman, “*Loop groups and twisted K -theory I*”, J. Topol. 4 (2011), no. 4, 737-798.
- [Fur] M. Furuta, “*Index theorem*”, (Japanese) Iwanami Shoten, 2008.
- [GWY] S. Gong, J. Wu and G. Yu, “*The Novikov conjecture, the group of volume preserving diffeomorphisms and Hilbert-Hadamard spaces*”, Geom. Funct. Anal. 31 (2021), no. 2, 206–267.
- [HK] N. Higson and G. Kasparov, “*E-theory and KK -theory for groups which act properly and isometrically on Hilbert space*”, Invent. Math. 144 (2001), no. 1, 23-74.
- [HKT] N. Higson, G. Kasparov and J. Trout, “*A Bott periodicity theorem for infinite-dimensional Euclidean space*”, Adv. Math. 135 (1998), no. 1, 1-40.
- [HS] P. Hochs and Y. Song, “*An equivariant index for proper actions I*”, J. Funct. Anal. 272 (2017), no. 2, 661–704.
- [HW] P. Hochs and H. Wang, “*A fixed point theorem on noncompact manifolds*”, Ann. K-Theory 3 (2018), no. 2, 235–286.
- [Kas88] G. Kasparov, “*Equivariant KK -theory and the Novikov conjecture*”, Invent. Math. 91 (1988), no. 1, 147-201.
- [Kas15] G. Kasparov, “*Elliptic and transversally elliptic index theory from the viewpoint of KK -theory*”, J. Noncommut. Geom. 10 (2016), no. 4, 1303-1378.

- [Kob] S. Kobayashi, “Transformation groups in differential geometry”, Reprint of the 1972 edition. Classics in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995. viii+182 pp.
- [Kuc] D. Kucerovský, “*The KK -product of unbounded modules*”, *K-Theory* 11 (1997), no. 1, 17-34.
- [LG] P. Y. Le Gall, “Théorie de Kasparov équivariante et groupoïdes. I”, *K-Theory* 16 (1999), no. 4, 361-390,
- [LMS] Y. Loizides, E. Meinrenken and Y. Song, “*Spinor modules for Hamiltonian loop group spaces*”, *J. Symplectic Geom.* 18 (2020), no. 3, 889–937.
- [LRS] Y. Loizides, R. Rodsphon and Y. Song, “*A KK -theoretic perspective on deformed Dirac operators*”, *Adv. Math.* 380 (2021), Paper No. 107604, 34 pp.
- [LS] Y. Loizides and Y. Song, “*Quantization of Hamiltonian loop group spaces*”, *Math. Ann.* 374 (2019), no. 1-2, 681-722.
- [Mei] E. Meinrenken, “*Twisted K -homology and group-valued moment maps*”, *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* 2012, no. 20, 4563-4618.
- [MRT] Y. Maeda, S. Rosenberg and F. Torres-Ardila, “*The geometry of loop spaces II: characteristic classes*”, *Adv. Math.* 287 (2016), 485-518.
- [MW] E. Meinrenken and C. Woodward, “*Hamiltonian loop group actions and Verlinde factorization*”, *J. Differential Geom.* 50 (1998), no. 3, 417-469.
- [Nil] M. Nilsen, “ *C^* -bundles and $C_0(X)$ -algebras*”, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 45 (1996), no. 2, 463-477.
- [NT] S. Nishikawa and D. Takata, “*Non-locally compact groupoid equivariant KK -theory*”, in preparation.
- [PS] A. Pressery and G. Segal, “*Loop groups*”, Oxford Science Publications. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1986.
- [Seg] G. Segal, “*Equivariant K -theory*”, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* No. 34 1968 129-151.
- [Son] Y. Song, “*Dirac operators on quasi-Hamiltonian G -spaces*”, *J. Geom. Phys.* 106 (2016), 70-86.
- [T1] D. Takata, “*An analytic LT -equivariant index and noncommutative geometry*”, *J. Noncommut. Geom.* 13 (2019), no. 2, 553-586.
- [T2] D. Takata, “ *LT -equivariant index from the viewpoint of KK -theory. A global analysis on the infinite-dimensional Heisenberg group*”, *J. Geom. Phys.* 150 (2020), 103591, 30 pp.
- [T3] D. Takata, “*A loop group equivariant analytic index theory for infinite-dimensional manifolds*”, PhD thesis, available on <https://repository.kulib.kyoto-u.ac.jp/dspace/bitstream/2433/232217/2/drigrk04334.pdf>
- [T4] D. Takata, “*An infinite-dimensional index theorem and the Higson-Kasparov-Trout algebra*”, *Ann. K-Theory* 7 (2022), no. 1, 1-76.
- [T5] D. Takata, “*Topological Aspects of the Equivariant Index Theory of Infinite-Dimensional LT -Manifolds*”, arXiv:2007.08899.

- [Tro] J. Trout, “A *Thom isomorphism for infinite rank Euclidean bundle*”, Homology Homotopy Appl. 5 (2003), no. 1, 121-159.
- [Wit] E. Witten, “*The index of the Dirac operator in loop space*”, Elliptic curves and modular forms in algebraic topology (Princeton, NJ, 1986), 161-181, Lecture Notes in Math., 1326, Springer, Berlin, 1988.
- [Yu] G. Yu, “*K-theory of C^* -algebras associated to infinite-dimensional manifolds (Talk)*”, NCG Festival 2019 held in Washington University in St. Louis, May 3rd (2019).

Doman Takata, Faculty of Education Mathematical and Natural Sciences, Niigata University,
 8050 Ikarashi 2-no-cho, Nishi-ku, Niigata, 950-2181, Japan.
 E-mail address: d.takata@ed.niigata-u.ac.jp