

Preprint, arXiv:2208.12167

A NOVEL PERMANENT IDENTITY WITH APPLICATIONS

YUE-FENG SHE, ZHI-WEI SUN, AND WEI XIA

ABSTRACT. Let n be a positive integer, and define the rational function $S(x_1, \dots, x_{2n})$ as the permanent of the matrix $[x_{j,k}]_{1 \leq j, k \leq 2n}$, where

$$x_{j,k} = \begin{cases} (x_j + x_k)/(x_j - x_k) & \text{if } j \neq k, \\ 1 & \text{if } j = k. \end{cases}$$

We give an explicit formula for $S(x_1, \dots, x_{2n})$ which has the following consequence: If one of the variables x_1, \dots, x_{2n} takes zero, then $S(x_1, \dots, x_{2n})$ vanishes, i.e.,

$$\sum_{\tau \in S_{2n}} \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ \tau(j) \neq j}}^{2n} \frac{x_j + x_{\tau(j)}}{x_j - x_{\tau(j)}} = 0,$$

where we view an empty product $\prod_{i \in \emptyset} a_i$ as 1. As an application, we show that if ζ is a primitive $2n$ -th root of unity then

$$\sum_{\tau \in S_{2n}} \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ \tau(j) \neq j}}^{2n} \frac{1 + \zeta^{j-\tau(j)}}{1 - \zeta^{j-\tau(j)}} = ((2n-1)!!)^2$$

as conjectured by Z.-W. Sun.

1. INTRODUCTION

A *permanent* of an $n \times n$ matrix $A = [a_{j,k}]_{1 \leq j, k \leq n}$ over a field, is defined as

$$\text{per}(A) = \text{per}[a_{j,k}]_{1 \leq j, k \leq n} = \sum_{\tau \in S_n} \prod_{j=1}^n a_{j, \tau(j)},$$

where S_n is the symmetric group consisting of all the permutations of $\{1, \dots, n\}$. Z.-W. Sun [5] investigated arithmetic properties of some permanents. Motivated by this, S. Fu, Z. Lin and Sun [1] proved several permanent identities related to some combinatorial sequences like the Bernoulli numbers. Sun [4, §11.3] contains several conjectures involving permanents.

For any positive integer n , we define the rational function $S(x_1, \dots, x_{2n})$ as $\text{per}[x_{j,k}]_{1 \leq j, k \leq 2n}$, where

$$x_{j,k} = \begin{cases} (x_j + x_k)/(x_j - x_k) & \text{if } j \neq k, \\ 1 & \text{if } j = k. \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

Key words and phrases. Permanent, permutation, combinatorial identity, rational function.
 2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 05A19, 11C20; Secondary 15A15.
 Supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China (grant no. 11971222).

In other words,

$$S(x_1, \dots, x_{2n}) = \sum_{\tau \in S_{2n}} \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ \tau(j) \neq j}}^{2n} \frac{x_j + x_{\tau(j)}}{x_j - x_{\tau(j)}}, \quad (1.2)$$

where we view an empty product $\prod_{i \in \emptyset} a_i$ as 1. For example,

$$S(x_1, x_2) = \sum_{\tau \in S_2} \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ \tau(j) \neq j}}^2 \frac{x_j + x_{\tau(j)}}{x_j - x_{\tau(j)}} = 1 + \frac{x_1 + x_2}{x_1 - x_2} \times \frac{x_2 + x_1}{x_2 - x_1} = 1 - \left(\frac{x_1 + x_2}{x_1 - x_2} \right)^2, \quad (1.3)$$

which vanishes if x_1 or x_2 is zero.

The second author posed the following conjecture in [7, A356041].

Conjecture 1.1. *Let n be any positive integer. If one of the variables x_1, \dots, x_{2n} takes zero, then*

$$S(x_1, \dots, x_{2n}) = 0, \text{ i.e., } \sum_{\tau \in S_{2n}} \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ \tau(j) \neq j}}^{2n} \frac{x_j + x_{\tau(j)}}{x_j - x_{\tau(j)}} = 0.$$

Remark 1.1. Conjecture 1.1 is somewhat surprising, and it was motivated by the following open conjecture of the second author [6]: For any prime p , we have

$$\sum_{\tau \in S_{p-1}} \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ \tau(j) \neq j}}^{p-1} \frac{j + \tau(j)}{j - \tau(j)} \equiv ((p-2)!!)^2 \pmod{p^2}.$$

In this paper, we will confirm Conjecture 1.1 and establish the following more general result.

Theorem 1.1. *For any positive integer n and the rational function $S(x_1, \dots, x_{2n})$ defined by (1.2), we have*

$$S(x_1, \dots, x_{2n}) = (-4)^n x_1 x_2 \cdots x_{2n} \sum_{\cup_{k=1}^n \{i_k, j_k\} = \{1, \dots, 2n\}} \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{(x_{i_k} - x_{j_k})^2}, \quad (1.4)$$

where the sum runs over all partitions of $\{1, \dots, 2n\}$ into n pairs.

In contrast with Theorem 1.1, it is interesting to investigate the sequence $r_n = \text{per}[m_{j,k}]_{0 \leq j, k \leq 2n}$ ($n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$), where

$$m_{j,k} = \begin{cases} (j+k)/(j-k) & \text{if } j \neq k, \\ 1 & \text{if } j = k. \end{cases}$$

The initial four terms of this sequence (cf. [7, A356041]) are

$$r_1 = -10, \quad r_2 = \frac{5870}{9}, \quad r_3 = -\frac{436619903}{4050}, \quad r_4 = \frac{204409938157631}{6125000}.$$

We are unable to evaluate r_n for a general positive integer n .

Recall that a cycle (or cyclic permutation) in S_{2n} of length l has the form $(a_1 \dots a_l)$, where a_1, \dots, a_l are distinct elements of $\{1, \dots, 2n\}$. A cycle of length l is simply called an l -cycle.

For each $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, 2n\}$, we define

$$C(k) = \{\tau \in S_{2n} : \tau \text{ is a } k\text{-cycle}\}.$$

In contrast with the equality (1.2), we also set

$$s(x_1, \dots, x_{2n}) = \sum_{\tau \in C(2n)} \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ \tau(j) \neq j}}^{2n} \frac{x_j + x_{\tau(j)}}{x_j - x_{\tau(j)}}. \quad (1.5)$$

For example,

$$s(x_1, x_2) = f((1\ 2)) = \frac{x_1 + x_2}{x_1 - x_2} \times \frac{x_2 + x_1}{x_2 - x_1} = - \left(\frac{x_1 + x_2}{x_1 - x_2} \right)^2.$$

We find that $s(x_1, \dots, x_{2n})$ is related to the tangent numbers T_n ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) defined by

$$\tan x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} T_n \frac{x^{2n-1}}{(2n-1)!} \quad (|x| < \frac{\pi}{2}).$$

For formulas and combinatorial interpretations of the tangent numbers, one may consult Sloane [3, A000182]. For example, it is known that

$$(-1)^n T_n = 2^{2n} (1 - 2^{2n}) \frac{B_{2n}}{2n} \quad \text{for all } n = 1, 2, 3, \dots, \quad (1.6)$$

where the Bernoulli numbers B_0, B_1, B_2, \dots are given by

$$\frac{x}{e^x - 1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n \frac{x^n}{n!} \quad (|x| < 2\pi).$$

Now we state our second theorem.

Theorem 1.2. *If $n > 1$ is an integer, then*

$$s(x_1, \dots, x_{2n}) = (-1)^n T_n. \quad (1.7)$$

Remark 1.2. Theorem 1.2 plays an important role in our proof of Theorem 1.1.

Via applying Theorem 1.1, we prove the following result part (ii) of which was first conjectured by the second author [8].

Theorem 1.3. (i) *Let n be any positive integer. Then*

$$\text{per}[x_{j,k} - 1]_{1 \leq j, k \leq 2n} = (-1)^n \det[x_{j,k} - 1]_{1 \leq j, k \leq 2n} = S(x_1, \dots, x_{2n}), \quad (1.8)$$

where $x_{j,k}$ is given by (1.1).

(ii) *Let $n > 1$ be an integer, and let ζ be a primitive n th root of unity. Set*

$$c_{j,k} = \begin{cases} \frac{1+\zeta^{j-k}}{1-\zeta^{j-k}} & \text{if } j \neq k, \\ 1 & \text{if } j = k. \end{cases}$$

If n is even, then

$$\text{per}[c_{j,k}]_{1 \leq j, k \leq n} = ((n-1)!!)^2. \quad (1.9)$$

When n is odd, we have

$$\text{per}[c_{j,k}]_{1 \leq j, k \leq n-1} = \frac{((n-1)!!)^2}{n}. \quad (1.10)$$

Remark 1.3. Let $n > 1$ be an integer. By H. Wang and Sun [9], we have

$$\det[c_{j,k}]_{1 \leq j, k \leq n} = \prod_{s=1}^{n-1} (n+1-2s) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{n/2-1} ((n-1)!!)^2 / (n-1) & \text{if } 2 \mid n, \\ 0 & \text{if } 2 \nmid n. \end{cases}$$

When n is odd, Wang and Sun [9] also proved that

$$\det[c_{j,k}]_{1 \leq j, k \leq n-1} = (-1)^{(n+1)/2} \frac{((n-1)!!)^2}{n(n-1)}.$$

In the next section we provide three lemmas. Section 3 is devoted to our proof of Theorem 1.2. We will prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.3 in Sections 4 and 5 respectively.

2. THREE LEMMAS

As usual, for a set X we let $\#X$ denote the cardinality of X . If X is a nonempty set, then we let $S(X)$ denote the symmetric group consisting of all permutations of X . If $X = \{1, \dots, n\}$, then $S(X)$ is usually denoted by S_n .

Let n be a positive integer. For any $\tau \in S_{2n}$, we set

$$\text{Fix}(\tau) = \{1 \leq j \leq 2n : \tau(j) = j\} \quad \text{and} \quad D(\tau) = \{1, \dots, 2n\} \setminus \text{Fix}(\tau).$$

If $D(\tau) = \{1, \dots, 2n\}$ (i.e., $\text{Fix}(\tau) = \emptyset$), then τ is called a *derangement* of $1, \dots, 2n$.

In this section, we let x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2n} be variables. For any $\tau \in S_{2n}$, we set

$$f(\tau) = \prod_{j \in D(\tau)} \frac{x_j + x_{\tau(j)}}{x_j - x_{\tau(j)}}.$$

Note that $f(\tau) = 1$ if $D(\tau) = \emptyset$ (i.e., τ is the identity of the group S_{2n}).

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\sigma, \tau \in S_{2n}$ with $D(\sigma) \cap D(\tau) = \emptyset$. Then*

$$\sigma\tau = \tau\sigma, \quad D(\sigma\tau) = D(\sigma) \cup D(\tau) \quad \text{and} \quad f(\sigma\tau) = f(\sigma)f(\tau). \quad (2.1)$$

Proof. (i) Let $j \in \{1, \dots, 2n\}$. We first prove that $\sigma\tau(j) = \tau\sigma(j)$.

If $j \notin D(\sigma) \cup D(\tau)$, then $\sigma(j) = j$ and $\tau(j) = j$, thus

$$\sigma\tau(j) = \sigma(\tau(j)) = \sigma(j) = j = \tau(j) = \tau(\sigma(j)).$$

Now suppose that $j \in D(\sigma) \cup D(\tau)$. Without loss of generality, we assume $j \in D(\sigma)$. Note that $j \notin D(\tau)$ and thus $\tau(j) = j$. As $\sigma(j) \neq j$, we also have $\sigma(\sigma(j)) \neq \sigma(j)$, hence $\sigma(j) \in D(\sigma)$ and thus $\sigma(j) \notin D(\tau)$, so

$$\sigma\tau(j) = \sigma(j) = \tau\sigma(j).$$

(ii) Next we show that $D(\sigma\tau) = D(\sigma) \cup D(\tau)$, i.e., for any $1 \leq j \leq 2n$ we have

$$\sigma\tau(j) \neq j \iff \sigma(j) \neq j \text{ or } \tau(j) \neq j. \quad (2.2)$$

The “ \Rightarrow ” direction is obvious. If $\sigma(j) \neq j$ (i.e, $j \in D(\sigma)$), then $j \notin D(\tau)$ and hence $\sigma\tau(j) = \sigma(j) \neq j$. If $\tau(j) \neq j$, then $j \notin D(\sigma)$ and hence $\sigma\tau(j) = \tau\sigma(j) = \tau(j) \neq j$. Therefore (2.2) holds.

(iii) Finally we prove that $f(\sigma\tau) = f(\sigma)f(\tau)$. Note that $\tau(j) = j$ if $j \in D(\sigma)$, and $\sigma(j) = j$ if $j \in D(\tau)$. In view of (i) and (ii), we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(\sigma\tau) &= \prod_{j \in D(\sigma) \cup D(\tau)} \frac{x_j + x_{\sigma\tau(j)}}{x_j - x_{\sigma\tau(j)}} \\ &= \prod_{j \in D(\sigma)} \frac{x_j + x_{\sigma\tau(j)}}{x_j - x_{\sigma\tau(j)}} \times \prod_{j \in D(\tau)} \frac{x_j + x_{\tau\sigma(j)}}{x_j - x_{\tau\sigma(j)}} \\ &= \prod_{j \in D(\sigma)} \frac{x_j + x_{\sigma(j)}}{x_j - x_{\sigma(j)}} \times \prod_{j \in D(\tau)} \frac{x_j + x_{\tau(j)}}{x_j - x_{\tau(j)}} \\ &= f(\sigma)f(\tau). \end{aligned}$$

Combining the above, we have completed the proof of (2.1). \square

Lemma 2.2. *For any $\tau \in S_{2n}$, we have*

$$f(\tau^{-1}) = (-1)^{\#D(\tau)} f(\tau). \quad (2.3)$$

Proof. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} f(\tau^{-1}) &= \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ \tau^{-1}(j) \neq j}}^{2n} \frac{x_j + x_{\tau^{-1}(j)}}{x_j - x_{\tau^{-1}(j)}} = \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq \tau(i)}}^{2n} \frac{x_{\tau(i)} + x_i}{x_{\tau(i)} - x_i} \\ &= (-1)^{|D(\tau)|} \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ \tau(i) \neq i}}^{2n} \frac{x_i + x_{\tau(i)}}{x_i - x_{\tau(i)}} = (-1)^{|D(\tau)|} f(\tau). \end{aligned}$$

This proves (2.3). \square

Recall that $s(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2n})$ is defined by (1.5).

Lemma 2.3. *Let $n > 1$ be an integer. Then $s(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2n})$ is a constant only depending on n .*

Proof. Suppose $\{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_{2n}\} = \{1, 2, \dots, 2n\}$ and set $m_{2n+1} = m_2$. Define

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_1 &= (m_1 \ m_2 \ m_3 \ \cdots \ m_{2n-1} \ m_{2n}), \\ \sigma_2 &= (m_1 \ m_3 \ m_4 \ \cdots \ m_{2n} \ m_2), \\ &\vdots \\ \sigma_{2n-1} &= (m_1 \ m_{2n} \ m_2 \ \cdots \ m_{2n-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
f(\sigma_1) &= \frac{x_{m_1} + x_{m_2}}{x_{m_1} - x_{m_2}} \times \prod_{i=2}^{2n-1} \frac{x_{m_i} + x_{m_{i+1}}}{x_{m_i} - x_{m_{i+1}}} \times \frac{x_{m_{2n}} + x_{m_1}}{x_{m_{2n}} - x_{m_1}} \\
&= X \times \frac{x_{m_{2n}} - x_{m_{2n+1}}}{x_{m_{2n}} + x_{m_{2n+1}}} \times \frac{x_{m_1} + x_{m_2}}{x_{m_1} - x_{m_2}} \times \frac{x_{m_{2n}} + x_{m_1}}{x_{m_{2n}} - x_{m_1}} \\
&= X \times \frac{x_{m_{2n}} - x_{m_{2n+1}}}{x_{m_{2n}} + x_{m_{2n+1}}} \times \frac{x_{m_1} + x_{m_{2n+1}}}{x_{m_1} - x_{m_{2n+1}}} \times \frac{x_{m_{2n}} + x_{m_1}}{x_{m_{2n}} - x_{m_1}},
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$X = \prod_{j=2}^{2n} \frac{x_{m_j} + x_{m_{j+1}}}{x_{m_j} - x_{m_{j+1}}}.$$

For each $i = 2, \dots, 2n-1$, as

$$\sigma_i = (m_1 \ m_{i+1} \ \cdots \ m_{2n} \ m_2 \ \cdots m_i),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
f(\sigma_i) &= \frac{x_{m_1} + x_{m_{i+1}}}{x_{m_1} - x_{m_{i+1}}} \times \frac{x_{m_{2n}} + x_{m_2}}{x_{m_{2n}} - x_{m_2}} \times \frac{x_{m_i} + x_{m_1}}{x_{m_i} - x_{m_1}} \\
&\quad \times \prod_{1 < j < i \text{ or } i < j < 2n} \frac{x_{m_j} + x_{m_{j+1}}}{x_{m_j} - x_{m_{j+1}}} \\
&= X \times \frac{x_{m_i} - x_{m_{i+1}}}{x_{m_i} + x_{m_{i+1}}} \times \frac{x_{m_1} + x_{m_{i+1}}}{x_{m_1} - x_{m_{i+1}}} \times \frac{x_{m_i} + x_{m_1}}{x_{m_i} - x_{m_1}},
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2n-1} f(\sigma_i) = X \sum_{i=2}^{2n} \frac{x_{m_i} - x_{m_{i+1}}}{x_{m_i} + x_{m_{i+1}}} \times \frac{x_{m_1} + x_{m_{i+1}}}{x_{m_1} - x_{m_{i+1}}} \times \frac{x_{m_i} + x_{m_1}}{x_{m_i} - x_{m_1}}.$$

It is easy to verify the identity

$$\frac{y-z}{y+z} \times \frac{x+z}{x-z} \times \frac{y+x}{y-x} = \frac{z-y}{z+y} + 2x \left(\frac{1}{x-z} - \frac{1}{x-y} \right). \quad (2.4)$$

So, by the above, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{2n-1} f(\sigma_i) &= X \sum_{i=2}^{2n} \left(\frac{x_{m_{i+1}} - x_{m_i}}{x_{m_{i+1}} + x_{m_i}} + 2x_{m_1} \left(\frac{1}{x_{m_1} - x_{m_{i+1}}} - \frac{1}{x_{m_1} - x_{m_i}} \right) \right) \\
&= X \sum_{i=2}^{2n} \frac{x_{m_{i+1}} - x_{m_i}}{x_{m_{i+1}} + x_{m_i}} + 2x_{m_1} X \left(\frac{1}{x_{m_1} - x_{m_{2n+1}}} - \frac{1}{x_{m_1} - x_{m_2}} \right) \\
&= X \sum_{i=2}^{2n} \frac{x_{m_{i+1}} - x_{m_i}}{x_{m_{i+1}} + x_{m_i}},
\end{aligned}$$

which is independent of x_{m_1} .

By the above, for each $1 \leq m_1 \leq 2n$, $s(x_1, \dots, x_{2n})$ is independent of x_{m_1} . So $s(x_1, \dots, x_{2n})$ is a constant only depending on n . This concludes the proof. \square

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

For each $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, we set

$$A_n = [a_{i,j}]_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2n}, \quad \text{where } a_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i \geq j, \\ -1 & \text{if } i < j. \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

Lemma 3.1. *For any positive integer n , we have $\text{per}(A_n) = 0$.*

Proof. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{per}(A_n) &= \sum_{\sigma \in S_{2n}} \prod_{i=1}^{2n} a_{i, \sigma(i)} \\ &= \sum_{t=1}^{2n} \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in S_{2n} \\ \sigma(t)=1}} a_{t, \sigma(t)} \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq t}}^{2n} a_{i, \sigma(i)} \\ &= \sum_{t=1}^{2n} a_{t1} \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in S_{2n} \\ \sigma(t)=1}} \prod_{1 \leq i < t} a_{i, \sigma(i)} \times \prod_{t < i \leq 2n} a_{i, \sigma(i)} \\ &= \sum_{t=1}^{2n} a_{t1} \text{per}[a_{i,j}^{(t)}]_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2n-1} = \sum_{t=1}^{2n} \text{per}[a_{i,j}^{(t)}]_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2n-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$a_{i,j}^{(t)} = \begin{cases} a_{i,j+1} & \text{if } 1 \leq i < t, \\ a_{i+1,j+1} & \text{if } t \leq i \leq 2n. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that

$$a_{i,j}^{(t)} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i > j \text{ or } i = j \geq t, \\ -1 & \text{if } i < j \text{ or } i = j < t. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, for the matrix $A_n^{(t)} = [a_{i,j}^{(t)}]_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2n-1}$, we have

$$\text{per}(A_n^{(t)}) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_{2n-1}} \prod_{i=1}^{2n-1} (-1)^{\mathbb{I}[i < \sigma(i) \text{ or } i = \sigma(i) < t]} = \sum_{\sigma \in S_{2n-1}} (-1)^{\sum_{i=1}^{2n-1} \mathbb{I}[i < \sigma(i) \text{ or } i = \sigma(i) < t]},$$

where we use the notation

$$\mathbb{I}[P] = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if the proposition } P \text{ holds,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For each $t \in \{1, \dots, 2n\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{per}(A_n^{(2n+1-t)}) &= \sum_{\tau \in S_{2n-1}} (-1)^{\sum_{i=1}^{2n-1} \llbracket i < \tau(i) \text{ or } i = \tau(i) < 2n+1-t \rrbracket} \\
&= \sum_{\tau \in S_{2n-1}} (-1)^{\sum_{i=1}^{2n-1} \llbracket 2n-i > 2n-\tau(i) \text{ or } 2n-i = 2n-\tau(i) > t-1 \rrbracket} \\
&= \sum_{\sigma \in S_{2n-1}} (-1)^{\sum_{j=1}^{2n-1} \llbracket j > \sigma(j) \text{ or } j = \sigma(j) \geq t \rrbracket} \\
&= \sum_{\sigma \in S_{2n-1}} (-1)^{\sum_{j=1}^{2n-1} (1 - \llbracket j \leq \sigma(j) \text{ and } (j \neq \sigma(j) \text{ or } j = \sigma(j) < t) \rrbracket)} \\
&= - \sum_{\sigma \in S_{2n-1}} (-1)^{\sum_{j=1}^{2n-1} \llbracket j < \sigma(j) \text{ or } j = \sigma(j) < t \rrbracket} = -\text{per}(A_n^{(t)}).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\text{per}(A_n) = \sum_{t=1}^n (\text{per}(A_n^{(t)}) + \text{per}(A_n^{(2n+1-t)})) = 0$$

as desired. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Set $X_n = [x_{j,k}]_{1 \leq j,k \leq 2n}$, where $x_{j,k}$ is defined as in (1.1). Then $S(x_1, \dots, x_{2n}) = \text{per}(X_n)$.

(i) We first prove that if one of the variables x_1, \dots, x_{2n} takes zero then $\text{per}(X_n) = 0$. As $S(x_1, \dots, x_{2n})$ is symmetric in x_1, \dots, x_{2n} , without loss of generality we simply assume that $x_1 = 0$. We claim that

$$\text{per}(X_n) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{\substack{\tau \in C(2k) \\ 1 \in D(\tau)}} f(\tau) = 0. \quad (3.2)$$

Clearly, the permanent of

$$X_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

vanishes, and also

$$1 + f((1 \ 2)) = 1 + (-1) = 0.$$

Therefore (3.2) holds for $n = 1$.

Now let $n > 1$, and assume that (3.2) with n replaced by any $m \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ remains valid.

If τ is the identity I of S_{2n} , then $f(\tau) = 1$ since $D(\tau) = \emptyset$. For any $\tau \in S_{2n}$ with $\tau \neq I$, we can decompose τ as a product of disjoint cycles:

$$(m_{11} \cdots m_{1l_1})(m_{21} \cdots m_{2l_2}) \cdots (m_{k1} \cdots m_{kl_k}),$$

where $l_1, l_2, \dots, l_k \in \{2, \dots, 2n\}$ and those m_{il_i} ($1 \leq i \leq k$, $1 \leq j \leq l_i$) are distinct numbers among $1, \dots, 2n$. For

$$\tau' = (m_{1l_1} \cdots m_{11})(m_{21} \cdots m_{2l_2}) \cdots (m_{k1} \cdots m_{kl_k})$$

we have $f(\tau') = (-1)^{l_1} f(\tau)$ by Lemmas 2.1-2.2. Thus $f(\tau) + f(\tau') = 0$ if l_1 is odd. Therefore

$$\text{per}(X_n) = 1 + \sum_{\tau \in E_{2n}} f(\tau), \quad (3.3)$$

where E_{2n} consists of those $\tau \in S_{2n}$ which can be decomposed as a product of distinct cycles of even length.

Let $\tau \in E_{2n}$ with $\tau(1) = 1$. Then $|\text{Fix}(\tau)| = 2n - |D(\tau)|$ is even. Write $|\text{Fix}(\tau)| = 2m$, where $1 \leq m < n$. For any $\sigma \in C(2k)$ with $1 \leq k \leq m$ and $D(\sigma) \cap D(\tau) = \emptyset$, we have $\tau\sigma \in E_{2n}$; if $1 \leq j \leq 2n$ and $j \notin \text{Fix}(\tau)$ then $j \notin D(\sigma)$ and hence $\sigma(j) = j$, thus we may view σ as an element of S_{2m} . By the induction hypothesis, we have

$$1 + \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in C(2k), 1 \in D(\sigma) \\ D(\sigma) \cap D(\tau) = \emptyset}} f(\sigma) = 0.$$

Combining this with Lemma 2.1, we get

$$f(\tau) + \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in C(2k), 1 \in D(\sigma) \\ D(\sigma) \cap D(\tau) = \emptyset}} f(\tau\sigma) = f(\tau) \left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in C(2k), 1 \in D(\sigma) \\ D(\sigma) \cap D(\tau) = \emptyset}} f(\sigma) \right) = 0.$$

Any $\pi \in E_{2n}$ can be decomposed as a product of disjoint cycles of even length and thus we may write $\pi = \tau\sigma$, where σ is the cycle containing 1 in the decomposition of π (if there are no cycle containing 1 then σ is the identity I of S_{2n}), and τ is the product of all other cycles in the decomposition of π (if there are no other cycles then τ is defined as the identity I of S_{2n}). Note that $\tau(1) = 1$, $1 \in D(\sigma)$ and $D(\sigma) \cap D(\tau) = \emptyset$. Also, $\sigma \in C(2k)$ for some $1 \leq k \leq m$.

In view of (3.3) and the last two paragraphs, we have

$$\text{per}(X_n) = 1 + \sum_{\pi \in E_{2n}} f(\pi) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{2n} \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in C(2k) \\ 1 \in D(\sigma)}} f(\sigma). \quad (3.4)$$

This proves the first equality in (3.2).

Note that any $\tau \in C(2k)$ with $1 \leq k \leq n$ and $1 \in D(\tau)$ can be written as $(1 \ m_2 \ \cdots \ m_{2k})$, where $\{m_2, \dots, m_{2k}\}$ is a subset of $\{2, 3, \dots, 2n\}$ with cardinality $2k - 1$. Thus

$$\sum_{\substack{\tau \in C(2k) \\ 1 \in D(\tau)}} f(\tau) = \binom{2n-1}{2k-1} s_k \quad (3.5)$$

by Lemma 2.3, where $s_1 = s(0, x_2) = -1$, and s_k with $2 \leq k \leq n$ denotes the constant $s(x_1, \dots, x_{2k})$ in view of Lemma 3.1.

Combining (3.4) with (3.5), we obtain

$$\text{per}(X_n) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^n \binom{2n-1}{2k-1} s_k, \quad (3.6)$$

which is independent of x_2, \dots, x_{2n} . Therefore

$$\text{per}(X_n) = \lim_{x_{2n} \rightarrow 0} \cdots \lim_{x_2 \rightarrow 0} \text{per}(X_n) = \sum_{\tau \in S_{2n}} \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ \tau(j) \neq j}}^{2n} \lim_{x_n \rightarrow 0} \cdots \lim_{x_2 \rightarrow 0} \frac{x_j + x_{\tau(j)}}{x_j - x_{\tau(j)}}. \quad (3.7)$$

If $1 \leq j < k \leq 2n$, then

$$\lim_{x_{2n} \rightarrow 0} \cdots \lim_{x_2 \rightarrow 0} \frac{x_j + x_k}{x_j - x_k} = \lim_{x_{2n} \rightarrow 0} \cdots \lim_{x_{j+1} \rightarrow 0} \frac{0 + x_k}{0 - x_k} = -1.$$

If $1 \leq k < j \leq 2n$, then

$$\lim_{x_{2n} \rightarrow 0} \cdots \lim_{x_2 \rightarrow 0} \frac{x_j + x_k}{x_j - x_k} = \lim_{x_{2n} \rightarrow 0} \cdots \lim_{x_{k+1} \rightarrow 0} \frac{x_j + 0}{x_j - 0} = 1.$$

Thus, from (3.7) we obtain

$$\text{per}(X_n) = \sum_{\tau \in S_{2n}} \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ \tau(j) \neq j}}^{2n} (-1)^{\mathbb{I}_{j < \tau(j)}} = \sum_{\tau \in S_{2n}} \prod_{j=1}^{2n} a_{j, \tau(j)} = \text{per}(A_n).$$

Combining this with Lemma 3.1, we finally get the equality $\text{per}(X_n) = 0$. Therefore (3.2) holds. This concludes our induction proof of the claim (3.2).

(ii) By the proved claim (3.2) and (3.6), for any integer $n > 1$ we have

$$1 + \sum_{k=1}^n \binom{2n-1}{2k-1} s_k = 0. \quad (3.8)$$

Note that the last quality also holds for $n = 1$ since $s_1 = -1$. Therefore, for $|x| < \pi/2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^n \binom{2n-1}{2k-1} s_k \right) \frac{x^{2n-1}}{(2n-1)!} \\ &= \sinh x + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \binom{2n-1}{2k-1} s_k \right) \frac{x^{2n-1}}{(2n-1)!} \\ &= \sinh x + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} s_k \frac{x^{2k-1}}{(2k-1)!} \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{x^{2n-2k}}{(2n-2k)!} \\ &= \sinh x + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} s_k \frac{x^{2k-1}}{(2k-1)!} \cosh x \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^k T_k \frac{x^{2k-1}}{(2k-1)!} = i \tan ix = -\tanh x = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} s_k \frac{x^{2k-1}}{(2k-1)!},$$

which implies that $s_k = (-1)^k T(k)$ for all $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

In view of the above, we have completed the proof of Theorem 1.2. \square

Remark 3.1. For any integer $n \geq 2$, as $s_1 = -1$, from (3.8) we obtain the equality

$$\sum_{k=2}^n \binom{2n-1}{2k-1} s_k = 2n-2. \quad (3.9)$$

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We prove (1.4) by induction on n .

By (1.3), we have

$$S(x_1, x_2) = -\frac{4x_1x_2}{(x_1 - x_2)^2}. \quad (4.1)$$

Also, it is routine to verify that $S(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)$ coincides with

$$16x_1x_2x_3x_4 \left(\frac{1}{(x_1 - x_2)^2(x_3 - x_4)^2} + \frac{1}{(x_1 - x_3)^2(x_2 - x_4)^2} + \frac{1}{(x_1 - x_4)^2(x_2 - x_3)^2} \right).$$

Thus (1.4) holds for $n = 1, 2$.

Now, let $n > 2$ be an integer, and assume that (1.4) with n replaced any $m \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ remains valid. By (3.3),

$$S(x_1, \dots, x_{2n}) = 1 + \sum_{\tau \in E_{2n}} f(\tau). \quad (4.2)$$

For any $\tau \in S_{2n}$, we define $d(\tau)$ as the least positive integer d such that $\tau^d(1) = 1$. Clearly $d(\tau) = 1$ if and only if $1 \in \text{Fix}(\tau)$. When $\tau \in E_{2n}$ and $1 \notin \text{Fix}(\tau)$, obviously $d(\tau)$ is even. Thus

$$S(x_1, \dots, x_{2n}) = 1 + \Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2 + \Sigma_3, \quad (4.3)$$

where

$$\Sigma_1 = \sum_{\substack{\tau \in E_{2n} \\ d(\tau)=1}} f(\tau), \quad \Sigma_2 = \sum_{\substack{\tau \in E_{2n} \\ d(\tau)=2}} f(\tau), \quad \text{and} \quad \Sigma_3 = \sum_{\substack{\tau \in E_{2n} \\ d(\tau) \geq 4}} f(\tau).$$

For $2 \leq i \leq 2n$, we write $x_2, \dots, \hat{x}_i, \dots, x_{2n}$ to denote the sequence x_2, \dots, x_{2n} with x_i removed, thus

$$S(x_2, \dots, \hat{x}_i, \dots, x_{2n}) = 1 + \sum_{\substack{\tau \in E_{2n} \\ 1, i \in \text{Fix}(\tau)}} f(\tau) \quad (4.4)$$

in view of (4.2).

We first deal with Σ_1 . By (4.4) we have

$$\sum_{i=2}^{2n} S(x_2, \dots, \hat{x}_i, \dots, x_{2n}) = 2n-1 + \sum_{\substack{\tau \in E_{2n} \\ 1 \in \text{Fix}(\tau)}} f(\tau) (\#\text{Fix}(\tau) - 1),$$

and hence

$$\Sigma_1 = \sum_{i=2}^{2n} S(x_2, \dots, \hat{x}_i, \dots, x_{2n}) - 2n + 1 - \sum_{\substack{\tau \in E_{2n} \\ 1 \in \text{Fix}(\tau) \\ \#\text{Fix}(\tau) \geq 4}} f(\tau) (\#\text{Fix}(\tau) - 2). \quad (4.5)$$

Any $\tau \in E_{2n}$ with $d(\tau) = 2$ can be decomposed as a product of disjoint cycles of even length including the transpose $(1 \ \tau(1))$. Thus, with the aid of Lemma 2.1, we get

$$\Sigma_2 = \sum_{i=2}^{2n} f((1 \ i)) S(x_2, \dots, \hat{x}_i, \dots, x_{2n}). \quad (4.6)$$

Now we deal with Σ_3 . Any $\tau \in E_{2n}$ with $d(\tau) \geq 4$ is a product of disjoint cycles, and hence we can write $\tau = \tau_1 \tau_2$, where τ_1 is a $d(\tau)$ -cycle containing 1, and τ_2 is either the identity I or the product of all the other cycles in the decomposition of τ . Thus, in view of Lemmas 2.1 and 2.3, we have

$$\sum_{\substack{\tau \in E_{2n} \\ d(\tau) \geq 4 \\ \tau_2 = I}} f(\tau) = \sum_{k=2}^n \sum_{\substack{\tau_1 \in C(2k) \\ 1 \in D(\tau_1)}} f(\tau_1) = \sum_{k=2}^n \binom{2n-1}{2k-1} s_k$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{\tau \in E_{2n} \\ d(\tau) \geq 4 \\ \tau_2 \neq I}} f(\tau) &= \sum_{\substack{\tau_2 \in E_{2n} \\ 1 \in \text{Fix}(\tau_2) \\ \#\text{Fix}(\tau_2) \geq 4}} f(\tau_2) \sum_{k=2}^{\#\text{Fix}(\tau_2)/2} \sum_{\substack{\tau_1 \in C(2k) \\ 1 \in D(\tau_1)}} f(\tau_1) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\tau_2 \in E_{2n} \\ 1 \in \text{Fix}(\tau_2) \\ \#\text{Fix}(\tau_2) \geq 4}} f(\tau_2) \sum_{k=2}^{\#\text{Fix}(\tau_2)/2} \binom{\#\text{Fix}(\tau_2) - 1}{2k-1} s_k. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\Sigma_3 = \sum_{k=2}^n \binom{2n-1}{2k-1} s_k + \sum_{\substack{\tau \in E_{2n} \\ 1 \in \text{Fix}(\tau) \\ \#\text{Fix}(\tau) \geq 4}} f(\tau) \sum_{k=2}^{\#\text{Fix}(\tau)/2} \binom{\#\text{Fix}(\tau) - 1}{2k-1} s_k.$$

This, together with the identity (3.9), yields

$$\Sigma_3 = 2n - 2 + \sum_{\substack{\tau \in E_{2n} \\ 1 \in \text{Fix}(\tau) \\ \#\text{Fix}(\tau) \geq 4}} f(\tau) (\#\text{Fix}(\tau) - 2). \quad (4.7)$$

Combining (4.3) and (4.5)-(4.7), we obtain

$$S(x_1, \dots, x_{2n}) = \sum_{i=2}^{2n} (1 + f((1 \ i))) S(x_2, \dots, \hat{x}_i, \dots, x_{2n}). \quad (4.8)$$

For each $i = 2, \dots, 2n$, clearly

$$1 + f((1 \ i)) = S(x_1, x_i) = -\frac{4x_1x_i}{(x_1 - x_i)^2}$$

by (4.1), and

$$S(x_2, \dots, \hat{x}_i, \dots, x_{2n}) = (-4)^{n-1} \prod_{\substack{j=2 \\ j \neq i}}^{2n} x_j \times \sum_{\bigcup_{k=1}^{n-1} \{i_k, j_k\} = \{2, \dots, 2n\} \setminus \{i\}} \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{(x_{i_k} - x_{j_k})^2}.$$

by the induction hypothesis. Thus, from (4.8) we immediately get the desired (1.4).

In view of the above, we have completed our proof of (1.4) by induction. \square

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3

Lemma 5.1 (Guo et al. [2]). *For any integer $n > 2$ and variables x_1, \dots, x_n , we have*

$$\sum_{\tau \in C(n)} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{x_{\tau(j)} - x_j} = 0.$$

For any positive integer n , let $\mathcal{D}(n)$ denote the set of all derangements of $1, \dots, n$. The following result was originally conjectured by Sun [4, 5] and recently proved by X. Guo, X. Li, Z. Tao and T. Wei [2].

Lemma 5.2. *Let $n > 1$ be an integer, and let ζ be a primitive n th root of unity.*

(i) *If n is even, then*

$$\sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{D}(n)} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{1 - \zeta^{j-\tau(j)}} = \frac{((n-1)!!)^2}{2^n}. \quad (5.1)$$

(ii) *If n is odd, then*

$$\sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{D}(n-1)} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{1 - \zeta^{j-\tau(j)}} = \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{n-1}{2}! \right)^2. \quad (5.2)$$

Proof of Theorem 1.3. (i) For $1 \leq j, k \leq 2n$, clearly

$$\frac{x_{j,k} - 1}{2x_k} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{x_j - x_k} & \text{if } j \neq k, \\ 0 & \text{if } j = k. \end{cases}$$

By Lemma 5.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{per} \left[\frac{x_{j,k} - 1}{2x_k} \right]_{1 \leq j, k \leq 2n} &= \sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{D}(2n)} \prod_{j=1}^{2n} \frac{1}{x_j - x_{\tau(j)}} = \sum_{\substack{\tau \in \mathcal{D}(2n) \\ \tau^2 = I}} \prod_{j=1}^{2n} \frac{1}{x_j - x_{\tau(j)}} \\ &= \sum_{\bigcup_{k=1}^n \{i_k, j_k\} = \{1, \dots, 2n\}} \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{(x_{i_k} - x_{j_k})(x_{j_k} - x_{i_k})}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \det \left[\frac{x_{j,k} - 1}{2x_k} \right]_{1 \leq j, k \leq 2n} &= \sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{D}(2n)} \text{sign}(\tau) \prod_{j=1}^{2n} \frac{1}{x_j - x_{\tau(j)}} = \sum_{\substack{\tau \in \mathcal{D}(2n) \\ \tau^2 = I}} \text{sign}(\tau) \prod_{j=1}^{2n} \frac{1}{x_j - x_{\tau(j)}} \\ &= \sum_{\cup_{k=1}^n \{i_k, j_k\} = \{1, \dots, 2n\}} (-1)^n \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{(x_{i_k} - x_{j_k})(x_{j_k} - x_{i_k})}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining these with (1.4), we immediately obtain the desired (1.8).

(ii) Now we turn to prove part (ii) of Theorem 1.3. For $1 \leq j, k \leq n$, clearly

$$c_{j,k} - 1 = \begin{cases} \frac{2\zeta^{j-k}}{1-\zeta^{j-k}} & \text{if } j \neq k, \\ 0 & \text{if } j = k. \end{cases}$$

Case 1. n is even.

Let $x_j = \zeta^j$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$. Then $c_{j,k} = x_{k,j}$ for all $j, k = 1, \dots, n$. Thus, by (1.8) and (5.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{per}[c_{j,k}]_{1 \leq j, k \leq n} &= \text{per}[c_{j,k} - 1]_{1 \leq j, k \leq n} = 2^n \prod_{j=1}^n \zeta^j \times \prod_{k=1}^n \zeta^{-k} \times \sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{D}(n)} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{1 - \zeta^{j-\tau(j)}} \\ &= 2^n \times \frac{((n-1)!!)^2}{2^n} = ((n-1)!!)^2. \end{aligned}$$

This proves (1.9).

Case 2. n is odd.

In light of (1.8) and (5.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{per}[c_{j,k}]_{1 \leq j, k \leq n-1} &= \text{per}[c_{j,k} - 1]_{1 \leq j, k \leq n-1} = 2^{n-1} \sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{D}(n-1)} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{1 - \zeta^{j-\tau(j)}} \\ &= 2^{n-1} \times \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{n-1}{2}! \right)^2 = \frac{((n-1)!!)^2}{n}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves (1.10).

In view of the above, we have completed our proof of Theorem 1.3. □

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Fu, Z. Lin and Z.-W. Sun, *Proof of several conjectures relating permanents to combinatorial sequences*, arXiv:2109.11506, preprint, 2021.
- [2] X. Guo, X. Li, Z. Tao, T. Wei, *The eigenvectors-eigenvalues identity and Sun's conjectures on determinants and permanents*, arXiv:2206.02592, preprint, 2022.
- [3] N. J. A. Sloane, Sequence A000182 at OEIS (The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences), <http://oeis.org/A000182>.
- [4] Z.-W. Sun, *New Conjectures in Number Theory and Combinatorics* (in Chinese), Harbin Institute of Technology Press, Harbin, 2021.

- [5] Z.-W. Sun, *Arithmetic properties of some permanents*, arXiv:2108.07723, preprint, 2022.
- [6] Z.-W. Sun, *On some determinants and permanents*, arXiv:2207.13039, preprint, 2022.
- [7] Z.-W. Sun, Sequence A356041 at OEIS (The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences), 2002.
<http://oeis.org/A356041>
- [8] Z.-W. Sun, *A conjectural permanent identity*, Question 427232 at MathOverflow, July 24, 2022.
<https://mathoverflow.net/questions/427232>
- [9] H. Wang and Z.-W. Sun, *Proof of a conjecture involving derangements and roots of unity*, arXiv:2206.02589, preprint, 2022.

(YUE-FENG SHE) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NANJING UNIVERSITY, NANJING 210093, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

Email address: `she.math@smail.nju.edu.cn`

(ZHI-WEI SUN, CORRESPONDING AUTHOR) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NANJING UNIVERSITY, NANJING 210093, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

Email address: `zwsun@nju.edu.cn`

(WEI XIA) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NANJING UNIVERSITY, NANJING 210093, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

Email address: `wxia@smail.nju.edu.cn`