

EDGE-TRANSITIVE CORE-FREE NEST GRAPHS

ISTVÁN KOVÁCS

ABSTRACT. A finite simple graph Γ is called a Nest graph if it is regular of valency 6 and admits an automorphism ρ with two orbits of the same length such that at least one of the subgraphs induced by these orbits is a cycle. We say that Γ is core-free if no non-trivial subgroup of the group generated by ρ is normal in $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$. In this paper we show that, if Γ is edge-transitive and core-free, then it is isomorphic to one of the following graphs: the complement of the Petersen graph, the Hamming graph $H(2, 4)$, the Shrikhande graph and a certain normal 2-cover of $K_{3,3}$ by \mathbb{Z}_2^4 .

1. INTRODUCTION

All groups in this paper will be finite and all graphs will be finite and simple. A graph admitting an automorphism with two orbits of the same length is called a *bicirculant*. Symmetry properties of bicirculants have attracted considerable attention (see, e.g., [1, 5, 7, 16, 22, 23, 25, 29]). Following [17], for an integer $d \geq 3$, we denote by $\mathcal{F}(d)$ the family of regular graphs having valency d and admitting an automorphism with two orbits of the same length such that at least one of the subgraphs induced by these orbits is a cycle. Jajcay et al. [11] initiated the investigation of the edge-transitive graphs in the classes $\mathcal{F}(d)$, $d \geq 6$. The families $\mathcal{F}(d)$ with $3 \leq d \leq 5$ were studied under different names. The graphs in $\mathcal{F}(3)$ were introduced by Watkins [27] under the name *generalised Petersen graphs*, the graphs in $\mathcal{F}(4)$ by Wilson [28] under the name *Rose Window graphs*, and the graphs in $\mathcal{F}(5)$ by Arroyo et al. [2] under the name *Tabačjn graphs*. The automorphism groups of these graphs form the subject of the papers [10, 15, 9, 18], and the question which of them are edge-transitive has been answered in [10, 15, 2].

Jajcay et al. [11] asked whether there exist edge-transitive graphs in $\mathcal{F}(d)$ for $d \geq 6$. Following [26], they call the graphs in $\mathcal{F}(6)$ *Nest graphs*. Several infinite families of edge-transitive Nest graphs were exhibited, which turn out to have interesting properties (e.g., half-arc-transitivity). However, no edge-transitive graph of valency larger than 6 was found. Recently, it was proved by the author and Ruff [17] that the complement of the Petersen graph is the only edge-transitive graph in $\mathcal{F}(d)$ with $d \geq 6$, which has twice an odd number of vertices. The main result of [11] is the classification of the edge-transitive Nest graphs of girth 3 (see [11, Theorem 8]), and the task to classify all edge-transitive Nest graphs was posed as [11, Problem 2]. In what follows, the Nest graphs will be described via their representation due to [11, Construction 3], which goes as follows. Let $n \geq 4$ and let $a, b, c, k \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ such that each of them is distinct from 0 (the zero element of \mathbb{Z}_n), the elements

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 05C25, 20B25.

Key words and phrases. bicirculant, edge-transitive, primitive permutation group.

Partially supported by the Slovenian Research Agency (research program P1-0285, research projects N1-0062, J1-9108, J1-1695, J1-2451, N1-0140 and N1-0208).

a, b and c are pairwise distinct, and in the case when n is even, $k \neq n/2$. Then the *Nest graph* $\mathcal{N}(n; a, b, c; k)$ is defined to have vertex set $\{u_i : i \in \mathbb{Z}_n\} \cup \{v_i : i \in \mathbb{Z}_n\}$, and three types of edges such as

- $\{u_i, u_{i+1}\}$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ (*rim edges*),
- $\{v_i, v_{i+k}\}$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ (*hub edges*),
- $\{u_i, v_i\}$, $\{u_i, v_{i+a}\}$, $\{u_i, v_{i+b}\}$ and $\{u_i, v_{i+c}\}$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ (*spoke edges*),

where the sums in the subscripts are computed in \mathbb{Z}_n . It is easy to see that the permutation ρ of $V(\Gamma)$, defined as $\rho = (u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{n-1})(v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1})$, is an automorphism of Γ with orbits $\{u_i : i \in \mathbb{Z}_n\}$ and $\{v_i : i \in \mathbb{Z}_n\}$, and the subgraph induced by the former orbit is a cycle. It is not hard to show that all the graphs $\mathcal{N}(n; a, b, c; k)$ comprise the whole family $\mathcal{F}(6)$.

In the case of both the Rose Window and the Tabačjn graphs, the classification of the edge-transitive graphs was obtained in two main steps. The so called core-free graphs were found first and the rest was retrieved from the core-free graphs using covering techniques (see [15, 2]). Here is the formal definition of a core-free Nest graph.

Definition 1.1. Let $\Gamma = \mathcal{N}(n; a, b, c; k)$ be a Nest graph and ρ be the permutation of $V(\Gamma)$ defined as $\rho = (u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{n-1})(v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1})$. Then Γ is *core-free* if no non-trivial subgroup of $\langle \rho \rangle$ (the group generated by ρ) is normal in $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$.

Remark. The term “core-free” comes from group theory. For a subgroup $A \leq B$, the *core* of A in B is the largest normal subgroup of B contained in A . In the case when A has trivial core, it is also called *core-free*. In this context, Definition 1.1 can be rephrased by saying that Γ is core-free if and only if $\langle \rho \rangle$ is core-free in $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$.

Our goal in this paper is to determine the edge-transitive core-free Nest graphs. For an explanation why this task is a more subtle than in the case of Rose Window and Tabačjn graphs, we refer to [11, p. 9]. The edge-transitive non-core-free Nest graphs are handled in the paper [14].

The main result of this paper is the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2. *If $\mathcal{N}(n; a, b, c; k)$ is an edge-transitive core-free graph, then it is isomorphic to one of the following graphs:*

$$\mathcal{N}(5; 1, 2, 3; 2), \mathcal{N}(8; 1, 3, 4; 3), \mathcal{N}(8; 1, 2, 5; 3) \text{ and } \mathcal{N}(12; 2, 4, 8; 5).$$

Remark. The fact that each of the Nest graphs in Theorem 1.2 is core-free was mentioned by Jajcay et al., see [11, p. 9]. The first three of them are well-known strongly regular graphs. The Nest graph $\mathcal{N}(5; 1, 2, 3; 2)$ is the complement of the *Petersen graph*, $\mathcal{N}(8; 1, 3, 4; 3)$ is the *Hamming graph* $H(2, 4)$, and $\mathcal{N}(8; 1, 2, 5; 3)$ is the *Shrikhande graph*. The fourth Nest graph $\mathcal{N}(12; 2, 4, 8; 5)$ is not strongly-regular, it can be described as a normal 2-cover of the complete bipartite graph $K_{3,3}$ by \mathbb{Z}_2^4 (for the definition of a normal 2-cover, see the 2nd paragraph of Subsection 2.1).

The paper is organised as follows. Section 2 contains the needed results from graph and group theory. In Section 3 we review some results about Nest graphs obtained in [11, 17]. Section 4 is devoted to the Nest graphs in the form $\mathcal{N}(2m; 2, m, 2 + m; 1)$, m is odd. The

main result (Proposition 4.1) is a characterisation, which was mentioned in [11] without a proof, and which is needed for us in the proof Theorem 1.2. The latter proof is presented in Section 5.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Graph theory. Given a graph Γ , let $V(\Gamma)$, $E(\Gamma)$, $A(\Gamma)$ and $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ denote its *vertex set*, *edge set*, *arc set* and *automorphism group*, respectively. The number $|V(\Gamma)|$ is called the *order* of Γ . The set of vertices adjacent with a given vertex v is denoted by $\Gamma(v)$. If $G \leq \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ and $v \in V(\Gamma)$, then the *stabiliser* of v in G is denoted by G_v , the *orbit* of v under G by v^G , and the set of all G -orbits by $\text{Orb}(G, V(\Gamma))$. If $B \subseteq V(\Gamma)$, then the *setwise stabiliser* of B in G is denoted by $G_{\{B\}}$. If G is transitive on $V(\Gamma)$, then Γ is said to be G -*vertex-transitive*, and Γ is simply called *vertex-transitive* when it is $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ -vertex-transitive; (G) -*edge*- and (G) -*arc-transitive* graphs are defined correspondingly.

Let π be an arbitrary partition of $V(\Gamma)$ and for a vertex $v \in V(\Gamma)$, let $\pi(v)$ denote the class containing v . The *quotient graph* of Γ with respect to π , denoted by Γ/π , is defined to have vertex set π , and edges $\{\pi(u), \pi(v)\}$, where $\{u, v\} \in E(\Gamma)$ such that $\pi(u) \neq \pi(v)$. Now, if there exists a constant r such that

$$\forall \{u, v\} \in E(\Gamma) : \pi(u) \neq \pi(v) \text{ and } |\Gamma(u) \cap \pi(v)| = r,$$

then Γ is called an r -*cover* of Γ/π . The term *cover* will also be used instead of 1-cover. In the special case when $\pi = \text{Orb}(N, V(\Gamma))$ for an intransitive normal subgroup $N \triangleleft \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$, Γ/N will also be written for Γ/π and when Γ is also an r -cover (cover, respectively) of Γ/N , then the term *normal r -cover* (*normal cover*, respectively) will also be used. It is well-known that this is always the case when Γ is edge-transitive. More precisely, if Γ is a G -edge-transitive graph, Γ is regular with valency κ , and $N \triangleleft G$ is intransitive, then Γ is a normal r -cover of Γ/N for some r and r divides κ .

A graph admitting a regular cyclic group of automorphisms is called *circulant*. A recursive classification of finite arc-transitive circulants was obtained independently by Kovács [13] and Li [19]. The paper [13] also provides an explicit characterisation (see [13, Theorem 4]), which was rediscovered recently by Li et al. [20]. The characterisation presented below follows from the proof of [13, Theorem 4] or from [20, Theorem 1.1].

In what follows, given a cyclic group C and a divisor d of $|C|$, we denote by C_d the unique subgroup of C of order d .

Theorem 2.1. ([13]) *Let Γ be a connected arc-transitive graph of order n and of valency κ and suppose that $C \leq \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ is a regular cyclic subgroup. Then one of the following holds.*

- (a) Γ is the complete graph.
- (b) C is normal in $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$.
- (c) $\mathcal{B} = \text{Orb}(C_d, V(\Gamma))$ is a block system for $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ for some divisor d of $\gcd(n, \kappa)$, $d > 1$. Γ is a normal d -cover of Γ/\mathcal{B} , and Γ/\mathcal{B} is a connected arc-transitive circulant of valence κ/d .
- (d) $\mathcal{B}_1 = \text{Orb}(C_d, V(\Gamma))$ and $\mathcal{B}_2 = \text{Orb}(C_{n/d}, V(\Gamma))$ are block systems for $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ for some divisor d of n such that $d > 3$, $\gcd(d, n/d) = 1$ and $d-1$ divides κ . Γ/\mathcal{B}_1 is a connected

arc-transitive circulant of valency $\kappa/(d-1)$, $\Gamma/\mathcal{B}_2 \cong K_d$, and

$$\text{Aut}(\Gamma) = G_1 \times G_2, \quad (1)$$

where $C_d \leq G_1$, $G_1 \cong S_d$, $C_{n/d} < G_2$, and $G_2 \cong \text{Aut}(\Gamma/\mathcal{B}_1)$.

Remark. Although not used later, it is worth mentioning that the graph Γ in part (c) is isomorphic to the lexicographical product $\Gamma/\mathcal{B}[\overline{K}_d]$, where \overline{K}_d is the edgeless graph on d vertices, and the graph Γ in part (d) is isomorphic to the tensor (direct) product $K_d \times \Gamma/\mathcal{B}_1$ (see [13, 20]).

In the rest of the section we restrict ourselves to arc-transitive circulants of small valency.

Lemma 2.2. ([3, part (ii) of Corollary 1.3]) *Let Γ be a connected arc-transitive graph of order n and of valency κ , where $\kappa = 3$ or 4 , and suppose that $C \leq \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ is a regular cyclic subgroup. Then one of the following holds.*

- (1) Γ is isomorphic to one of the graphs: K_4 , K_5 , $K_{3,3}$ and $K_{5,5} - 5K_2$.
- (2) $\kappa = 4$ and C is normal in $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$.
- (3) $\kappa = 4$, n is even, $\mathcal{B} = \text{Orb}(C_2, V(\Gamma))$ is a block system for $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$, and Γ is a normal 2-cover of Γ/\mathcal{B} , which is a cycle.

Lemma 2.3. *Let Γ be a connected arc-transitive graph of order $n > 14$ and of valency 6, and suppose that $C \leq \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ is a regular cyclic subgroup. Then $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ contains a normal subgroup N such that one of the following holds.*

- (1) $N = C$, or
- (2) $n \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$ and $N = C_{n/4}$, or
- (3) $N \cong \mathbb{Z}_3^\ell$ for $\ell \geq 2$ and $C_3 < N$.

Proof. Γ belongs to one of the families (a)–(d) in Theorem 2.1.

Family (a): This case cannot occur as $n > 14$.

Family (b): Part (1) follows.

Family (c): In this case $\text{Orb}(C_d, V(\Gamma))$ is a block system for $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$, where $d = 2$ or $d = 3$. Let $\mathcal{B} = \text{Orb}(C_d, V(\Gamma))$.

If $d = 2$, then Γ/\mathcal{B} has valency 3. It follows from Lemma 2.2 that $n \leq 12$, but this is excluded.

If $d = 3$, then choose N to be the Sylow 3-subgroup of the kernel of the action of $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ on \mathcal{B} . It follows that $N \cong \mathbb{Z}_3^\ell$ for some $\ell \geq 2$. Also, N is characteristic in the latter kernel, which implies that $N \triangleleft \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$. Finally, $\text{Orb}(N, V(\Gamma)) = \mathcal{B} = \text{Orb}(C_3, V(\Gamma))$, and so $C_3 < N$, i.e., part (3) holds.

Family (d): In this case it follows from the assumption that $n > 14$ that $\text{Orb}(C_4, V(\Gamma))$ and $\text{Orb}(C_{n/4}, V(\Gamma))$ are block systems for $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ and $n \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$. Furthermore,

$$\text{Aut}(\Gamma) = G_1 \times G_2,$$

where $C_4 < G_1$, $G_1 \cong S_4$, $C_{n/4} < G_2$ and $G_2 \cong \text{Aut}(\Gamma/\mathcal{B}_1)$, where $\mathcal{B}_1 = \text{Orb}(C_4, V(\Gamma))$. The graph Γ/\mathcal{B}_1 is connected of valency 2, hence it is a cycle of length $n/4$. It follows that $C_{n/4}$ is characteristic in G_2 , and as $G_2 \triangleleft \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$, part (2) follows. \square

2.2. Group theory. Our terminology and notation are standard and we follow the books [8, 12]. The *socle* of a group G , denoted by $\text{soc}(G)$, is the subgroup generated by the set of all minimal normal subgroups (see [8, p. 111]). The group G is called *almost simple* if $\text{soc}(G) = T$, where T is a non-abelian simple group. In this case G is embedded in $\text{Aut}(T)$ so that its socle is embedded via the inner automorphisms of T , and we also write $T \leq G \leq \text{Aut}(T)$ (see [8, p. 126]).

Our proof of Theorem 1.2 relies on the classification of primitive groups containing a cyclic subgroup with two orbits due to Müller [24]. Here we need only the special case when the cyclic subgroup is semiregular.

Theorem 2.4. ([24, Theorem 3.3]) *Let G be a primitive permutation group of degree $2n$ containing an element with two orbits of the same length. Then one of the following holds, where G_0 denotes the stabiliser of a point in G .*

- (1) *(Affine action) $\mathbb{Z}_2^m \triangleleft G \leq \text{AGL}(m, 2)$, where $n = 2^{m-1}$. Furthermore, one of the following holds.*
 - (a) $n = 2$, and $G_0 = \text{GL}(2, 2)$.
 - (b) $n = 2$, and $G_0 = \text{GL}(1, 4)$.
 - (c) $n = 4$, and $G_0 = \text{GL}(3, 2)$.
 - (d) $n = 8$, and G_0 is one of the following groups: $\mathbb{Z}_5 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_4$, $\Gamma\text{L}(1, 16)$, $(\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_4$, $\Sigma\text{L}(2, 4)$, $\Gamma\text{L}(2, 4)$, A_6 , $\text{GL}(4, 2)$, $(S_3 \times S_3) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$, S_5 , S_6 and A_7 .
- (2) *(Almost simple action) G is an almost simple group and one of the following holds.*
 - (a) $n \geq 3$, $\text{soc}(G) = A_{2n}$, and $A_{2n} \leq G \leq S_{2n}$ in its natural action.
 - (b) $n = 5$, $\text{soc}(G) = A_5$, and $A_5 \leq G \leq S_5$ in its action on the set of 2-subsets of $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$.
 - (c) $n = (q^d - 1)/2(q - 1)$, $\text{soc}(G) = \text{PSL}(d, q)$, and $\text{PSL}(d, q) \leq G \leq \text{P}\Gamma\text{L}(d, q)$ for some odd prime power q and even number $d \geq 2$ such that $(d, q) \neq (2, 3)$.
 - (d) $n = 6$ and $\text{soc}(G) = G = M_{12}$.
 - (e) $n = 11$, $\text{soc}(G) = M_{22}$, and $M_{22} \leq G \leq \text{Aut}(M_{22})$.
 - (f) $n = 12$ and $\text{soc}(G) = G = M_{24}$.

If G is a group in one of the families (a)-(f) in part (2) above, then it follows from [8, Theorem 4.3B] that $\text{soc}(G)$ is the unique minimal normal subgroup of G . Therefore, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.5. *Let G be a primitive permutation group in one of the families (a)-(f) in part (2) of Theorem 2.4, and let $N \triangleleft G$, $N \neq 1$. Then N is also primitive.*

For a transitive permutation group $G \leq \text{Sym}(\Omega)$, the *subdegrees* of G are the lengths of the orbits of a point stabiliser G_ω , $\omega \in \Omega$. Since G is transitive, it follows that the subdegrees do not depend on the choice of ω (see [8, p. 72]). The number of orbits of G_ω is called the *rank* of G . The actions of a group G on sets Ω and Ω' are said to be *equivalent* if there is a bijection $\varphi : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega'$ such that

$$\forall \omega \in \Omega, \forall g \in G : \varphi(\omega^g) = (\varphi(\omega))^g.$$

Now, suppose that G is a group in one of the families (a)-(f) in part (2) of Theorem 2.4. If G is in family (a), then the action is unique up to equivalence and G is clearly 2-transitive. If G is in family (b), then the action is unique up to equivalence and the subdegrees are

1, 3 and 6. Let G be in family (c). The semiregular cyclic subgroup of G with two orbits is contained in a regular cyclic group, called the *Singer subgroup* of $\text{PGL}(d, q)$ (see [12, Chapter 2, Theorem 7.3]). In this case the action is unique up to equivalence if and only if $d = 2$. If $d \geq 4$, then the action of G is equivalent to either its natural action on the set of points of the projective geometry $\text{PG}(d-1, q)$, or to its natural action on the set of hyperplanes of $\text{PG}(d-1, q)$. In both actions G is 2-transitive. Finally, if G is in the families (d)-(f), then the action is unique up to equivalence and G is 2-transitive (this can also be read off from [6]). All this information is summarised in the lemma below.

Lemma 2.6. *Let G be a primitive permutation group in one of the families (a)-(f) in part (2) of Theorem 2.4.*

- (1) *G is 2-transitive, unless G belongs to family (b). In the latter case the subdegrees are 1, 3 and 6.*
- (2) *The action of G is unique up to equivalence, unless G is in family (c) and $d \geq 4$. In the latter case G admits two inequivalent faithful actions, namely, the natural actions on the set of points and the set of hyperplanes, respectively, of the projective geometry $\text{PG}(d-1, q)$.*

The following result about G -arc-transitive bicirculants can be found in Devillers et al. [7]. The proof works also for the edge-transitive bicirculants, in fact, it is an easy consequence of Theorem 2.4.

Proposition 2.7. ([7, part (1) of Proposition 4.2]) *Let Γ be a G -edge-transitive bicirculant such that G is a primitive group. Then Γ is one of the following graphs:*

- (1) *The complete graph, and G is one of the 2-transitive groups described in part (2) of Theorem 2.4.*
- (2) *The Petersen graph or its complement, and $A_5 \leq G \leq S_5$.*
- (3) *The Hamming graph $H(2, 4)$ or its complement, and G is a rank 3 subgroup of $\text{AGL}(4, 2)$.*
- (4) *The Clebsch graph or its complement, and G is a rank 3 subgroup of $\text{AGL}(4, 2)$.*

Using the computational result that there exists no edge-transitive graph in $\mathcal{F}(d)$ with $7 \leq d \leq 10$ and of order at most 100, one can easily deduce which of the graphs in the families (1)–(4) above belongs also to the family $\mathcal{F}(d)$ for some $d \geq 3$.

Corollary 2.8. *Let $\Gamma \in \mathcal{F}(d)$ be a G -edge-transitive graph for some $d \geq 3$. If G is primitive on $V(\Gamma)$, then Γ is isomorphic to one of the graphs: K_6 , the Petersen graph and its complement, and the Hamming graph $H(2, 4)$.*

Finally, we also need a result of Lucchini [21] about core-free cyclic subgroups (this serves as a key tool in [15, 2] as well). For the definition of a core-free subgroup, see the remark following Definition 1.1.

Theorem 2.9. ([21]) *If C is a core-free cyclic proper subgroup of a group G , then $|C|^2 < |G|$.*

3. NEST GRAPHS

In this section we review some previous results about Nest graphs, which were obtained in [11, 17].

Lemma 3.1. ([11, Lemma 4]) *Let $\Gamma = \mathcal{N}(n; a, b, c; k)$ and suppose that $c = a + b$ (in \mathbb{Z}_n). Then Γ is edge-transitive if and only if it is also arc-transitive.*

The next result establishes some obvious isomorphisms.

Lemma 3.2. ([11, Lemma 5]) *The graph $\mathcal{N}(n; a, b, c; k)$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{N}(n; a', b', c'; k)$, where $\{a, b, c\} = \{a', b', c'\}$, as well as to any of the graphs:*

$$\mathcal{N}(n; a, b, c; -k), \mathcal{N}(n; -a, -b, -c; k) \text{ and } \mathcal{N}(n; -a, b - a, c - a; k).$$

The graphs in the next lemma will be further studied in the next section.

Lemma 3.3. ([11, Lemma 6]) *If $m \geq 3$ is an odd integer, then the graph $\mathcal{N}(2m; 2, m, 2 + m; 1)$ is arc-transitive having vertex stabilisers of order 12. Furthermore, the stabiliser of u_0 in $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ is the dihedral group D_6 of order 12 generated by the involutions φ and η defined by*

$$u_i^\varphi = \begin{cases} u_{-i} & \text{if } i \text{ is even,} \\ v_{-i+1} & \text{if } i \text{ is odd} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad v_i^\varphi = \begin{cases} u_{-i+1} & \text{if } i \text{ is even,} \\ v_{-i+2} & \text{if } i \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

and $u_i^\eta = u_i$ and $v_i^\eta = v_{i+m}$ for every $i \in \mathbb{Z}_n$.

Suppose that Γ is a G -edge-transitive Nest graph. In the next two lemmas we consider block systems for G . A block system \mathcal{B} is said to be *minimal* if it is non-trivial, and no non-trivial block for G is contained properly in a block of \mathcal{B} (by *non-trivial* we mean that the block is neither a singleton subset nor the whole vertex set). We say that \mathcal{B} is *normal* if $\mathcal{B} = \text{Orb}(N, V(\Gamma))$ for some $N \triangleleft G$. Furthermore, we say that \mathcal{B} is *cyclic* if any block in \mathcal{B} is contained in either $\{u_i : i \in \mathbb{Z}_n\}$ or $\{v_i : i \in \mathbb{Z}_n\}$.

Lemma 3.4. ([17, Lemma 4.1]) *Let Γ be a G -edge-transitive Nest graph of order $2n$ such that*

$$C < G, \ C = \langle \rho \rangle, \text{ and } \rho = (u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{n-1})(v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}),$$

and let \mathcal{B} be a cyclic block system for G with blocks of size d , $d < n/2$. Then the following hold.

- (1) *The kernel of the action of G on \mathcal{B} is equal to C_d (the subgroup of C of order d).*
- (2) *Γ is a normal cover of Γ/\mathcal{B} .*
- (3) *Γ/\mathcal{B} is a \bar{G} -edge-transitive Nest graph of order $2n/d$, where \bar{G} is the image of G induced by its action on \mathcal{B} .*


Remark. Suppose that the graph Γ in the lemma above is given as $\Gamma = \mathcal{N}(n; a, b, c; k)$ for $a, b, c, k \in \mathbb{Z}_n$. Note that, then $\Gamma/\mathcal{B} \cong \mathcal{N}(n/d; f(a), f(b), f(c); f(k))$, where f is the homomorphism from \mathbb{Z}_n to $\mathbb{Z}_{n/d}$ such that $f(1) = 1$.

Lemma 3.5. ([17, Lemma 4.2]) *Let Γ be a G -edge-transitive Nest graph of order $2n$ such that*

$$C < G, \ C = \langle \rho \rangle, \text{ and } \rho = (u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{n-1})(v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}),$$

and let \mathcal{B} be a non-cyclic block system for G with blocks of size d . Then the following hold.

- (1) *The number d is even and any block in \mathcal{B} is a union of two $C_{d/2}$ -orbits.*
- (2) *The group C acts transitively on \mathcal{B} and the kernel of the action of C on \mathcal{B} is equal to $C_{d/2}$.*
- (3) *If $d > 2$ and \mathcal{B} is minimal, then \mathcal{B} is normal.*

FIGURE 1. The Nest graph $\mathcal{N}(2m; 2, m, 2+m, m-1)$.4. A PROPERTY OF THE GRAPHS $\mathcal{N}(2m; 2, m, 2+m; 1)$, m IS ODD

In this section we give the following characterisation of the Nest graphs in the title. As we said in the introduction, this was mentioned already in [11] without a proof.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $\Gamma = \mathcal{N}(n; a, b, c; k)$ be an edge-transitive graph such that $n > 8$ and suppose that there exists a non-identity automorphism of Γ , which fixes all vertices u_i , $i \in \mathbb{Z}_n$. Then $\Gamma \cong \mathcal{N}(2m; 2, m, 2+m; 1)$ for some odd number m .*

We prove first an auxiliary lemma.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $\Gamma = \mathcal{N}(2m; a, m, a+m; k)$, where $m > 2$, $a = 2$ or $m-2$, and $k = 1$ or $m-1$. Then Γ is edge-transitive if and only if m is odd and $\Gamma \cong \mathcal{N}(2m; 2, m, 2+m; 1)$.*

Proof. The “if” part follows from Lemma 3.3.

For the “only if” part, assume that Γ is edge-transitive. Applying Lemma 3.2 to Γ , we find that

$$\Gamma \cong \Gamma' := \mathcal{N}(2m; 2, m, 2+m; k),$$

where $k = 1$ or $m-1$. We have to show that m is odd and $k = 1$.

Assume on the contrary that m is even or $k = m-1$. Moreover, let us choose m so that it is the smallest number for which this happens. A quick check with the computer algebra package MAGMA [4] shows that $m \geq 12$.

Define the binary relation \sim on $V(\Gamma')$ by letting $u \sim v$ whenever $|\Gamma'(u) \cap \Gamma'(v)| = 4$ for any $u, v \in V(\Gamma')$. Using that $m \geq 12$, it is not hard to show that \sim is an equivalence relation with classes in the form

$$B_i := \{u_i, u_{i+m}, v_{i+1}, v_{i+1+m}\}, \quad i \in \mathbb{Z}_n.$$

Clearly, \sim is invariant under $\text{Aut}(\Gamma')$, so the classes above form a block system for $\text{Aut}(\Gamma')$. Part of the graph with $k = m-1$ is shown in Figure 1.

Let K be the kernel of the action of $\text{Aut}(\Gamma')$ on the latter block system. We prove next that K is faithful on every block. Suppose that g fixes pointwise the block B_i for some $i \in \mathbb{Z}_n$. Any pair of vertices in B_{i+1} are contained in a unique 4-cycle intersecting B_i at two vertices (see Figure 1). This means that g maps any pair to itself, implying that g fixes pointwise B_{i+1} . Repeating the argument, we conclude that g fixes pointwise each block B_i , i.e., g is the identity automorphism.

Let $n = 2m$, $C = \langle \rho \rangle$, where $\rho = (u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{n-1})(v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1})$. Using the facts that K is faithful on every block B_i and the quotient graph Γ/\mathcal{B} is an $n/2$ -cycle whose automorphism group is isomorphic to the dihedral group $D_{n/2}$ of order n , we obtain the bound

$$|\text{Aut}(\Gamma')| \leq |K| \cdot n = 24n.$$

Thus $|C|^2 = n^2 \geq |\text{Aut}(\Gamma')|$ because $n = 2m \geq 24$. By Theorem 2.9, C has a non-trivial core in $\text{Aut}(\Gamma')$, let this core be denoted by N .

Assume first that $|N|$ is even. Then as C_2 is characteristic in N and $N \triangleleft \text{Aut}(\Gamma')$, we obtain that $C_2 \triangleleft \text{Aut}(\Gamma')$. Thus $\text{Orb}(C_2, V(\Gamma))$ is a block system for $\text{Aut}(\Gamma')$. But this is impossible because u_0 has one neighbour from the orbit $\{u_1, u_{1+m}\}$ and two from the orbit $\{v_0, v_m\}$.

Let $|N|$ be odd and choose an odd prime divisor p of $|N|$. It follows as above that $C_p \triangleleft \text{Aut}(\Gamma')$. Clearly, p divides m .

Assume that $m = p$ or $2p$. If $m = p$, then by our initial assumptions, $k = p - 1$. But this means that the edge $\{v_0, v_k\}$ is contained in a C_p -orbit, contradicting that $C_p \triangleleft \text{Aut}(\Gamma')$ and Γ' is edge-transitive. If $m = 2p$, then u_0 has one neighbour from the C_p -orbit $\{u_{4i+1} : 0 \leq i \leq p-1\}$ and two from the C_p -orbit $\{v_{4i} : 0 \leq i \leq p-1\}$ (namely, v_0 and $v_{2+m} = v_{2+2p}$), which is a contradiction again.

Let $m > 2p$. By Lemma 3.4(3) and the remark after the lemma,

$$\Gamma'/C_p \cong \mathcal{N}(2m/p; f(2), f(m), f(2+m), f(k)),$$

where f is the homomorphism from \mathbb{Z}_{2m} to $\mathbb{Z}_{2m/p}$ such that $f(1) = 1$. Since $m > 2p$ and p is odd, it follows that

$$f(2) = 2, \quad f(m) = m/p \text{ and } f(2+m) = 2 + m/p.$$

Furthermore, $f(k) = 1$ if $k = 1$ and $f(k) = m/p - 1$ if $k = m - 1$. By the minimality of m , we see that m/p is odd and $f(k) = 1$. This, however, contradicts that m is even or $k = m - 1$. \square

Proof of Proposition 4.1. Let H and N be the setwise and the pointwise stabiliser, respectively, of the set $\{u_i : i \in \mathbb{Z}_n\}$ in $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$. Then $N \neq 1$ and $N \triangleleft H$. It follows that the N -orbits contained in $V := \{v_i : i \in \mathbb{Z}_n\}$ form a block system for the action of H on V , implying that $\text{Orb}(N, V) = \text{Orb}(C_d, V)$ for some $d > 1$. This yields that $n = 2m$ and we may write w.l.o.g. that

$$a < m, \quad b = m, \quad c = a + m, \quad \text{and } k < m.$$

Let η be the permutation of the vertex set acting as

$$u_i^\eta = u_i \text{ and } v_i^\eta = v_{i+m} \quad (i \in \mathbb{Z}_n).$$

It is easy to check that $\eta \in \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$.

Note that, by Lemma 3.1, Γ is arc-transitive, so $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)_{u_0}$ is transitive on $\Gamma(u_0)$. Let $s = |\Gamma(v_0) \cap \Gamma(v_m)|$. It is easy to see that $s \geq 4$. Define the graph Δ as follows:

$$V(\Delta) = \Gamma(u_0) \text{ and } E(\Delta) = \{\{w, w'\} : |\Gamma(w) \cap \Gamma(w')| = s\}.$$

Note that Δ is vertex-transitive, in particular, it is regular.

Assume for the moment that u_1 and u_{-1} are adjacent in Δ . This means $|\Gamma(u_1) \cap \Gamma(u_{-1})| = s \geq 4$. Since $\Gamma(u_1) \cap \Gamma(u_{-1}) \cap \{u_i : i \in \mathbb{Z}_n\} = \{u_0\}$, we conclude that

$$|\{1, 1+a, 1+m, 1+a+m\} \cap \{-1, -1+a, -1+m, -1+a+m\}| \geq 3. \quad (2)$$

At least one of 1 and $1+m$ is in the intersection. If it is 1, then $1 = -1+a$ or $-1+a+m$ because $n > 4$. As $a < m$, we find that $a = 2$. Similarly, if $1+m$ is in the intersection, then $1+m = -1+a$ or $-1+a+m$, and we find again that $a = 2$. Now, substituting $a = 2$ in (5), a contradiction arises because $n > 8$.

Therefore, u_1 and u_{-1} are not adjacent in Δ . Using also that $\eta \in \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$, see above, we obtain that u_1 must be adjacent with v_0 or v_a .

Assume first that u_1 and v_0 are adjacent. Then $\Gamma(u_1) \cap \Gamma(v_0) = \{u_0, u_2, v_k, v_{-k}\}$, hence $2 \in \{0, n-a, m, n-a+m\}$ and $k \in \{1, 1+a, 1+m, 1+a+m\}$. Since $a, k < m$ and $n > 4$, we find in turn that $a = m-2$, and $k = 1$ or $m-1$.

Now, if u_1 and v_a are adjacent, then $\Gamma(u_1) \cap \Gamma(v_a) = \{u_0, u_2, v_{a+k}, v_{a-k}\}$, whence $2 \in \{a, 0, a+m, m\}$ and $a+k \in \{1, 1+a, 1+m, 1+a+m\}$. Since $a, k < m$ and $n > 4$, we find in turn that $a = 2$, and $k = 1$ or $m-1$.

To sum up, $a = 2$ or $m-2$ and $k = 1$ or $m-1$, and so the proposition follows from Lemma 4.2. \square

Corollary 4.3. *Let Γ be a G -edge-transitive Nest graph of order $2n$ such that $n > 8$ and*

$$C < G, \ C = \langle \rho \rangle, \text{ and } \rho = (u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{n-1})(v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}),$$

and suppose that $\text{Orb}(C_{n/2}, V(\Gamma))$ is a block system for G . Then $C_{n/2} \triangleleft G$.

Proof. Let K be the kernel of the action of G on the block system $\text{Orb}(C_{n/2}, V(\Gamma))$, and let K^* and $(C_{n/2})^*$ denote the image of K and $C_{n/2}$, respectively, induced by their action on $U := \{u_i : i \in \mathbb{Z}_n\}$. Since the subgraph of Γ induced by U is a cycle of length $n > 8$, it follows that $(C_{n/2})^*$ is characteristic in K^* . Therefore, if K is faithful on U , then $C_{n/2}$ is characteristic in K and as $K \triangleleft G$, we obtain that $C_{n/2} \triangleleft G$, as required.

If K is not faithful on U , then by Proposition 4.1, $n = 2m$, m is odd, and $\Gamma \cong \Gamma' := \mathcal{N}(2m; 2, m, 2+m; 1)$. Consider the group $\langle C, \varphi, \eta \rangle$, where φ and η are defined in Lemma 3.3. This is transitive on $V(\Gamma')$ and also contains the stabiliser of u_0 in $\text{Aut}(\Gamma')$, therefore, $\text{Aut}(\Gamma') = \langle C, \varphi, \eta \rangle$. A straightforward computation shows that $\varphi \rho^2 \varphi = \rho^{-2}$ and $\eta \rho^2 = \rho^2 \eta$, and hence $C_{n/2} \triangleleft \text{Aut}(\Gamma')$. All these show that $C_{n/2} \triangleleft G$ holds in this case as well. \square

5. PROOF THEOREM 1.2

Throughout this section we keep the following notation.

Hypothesis 5.1.

$\Gamma = \mathcal{N}(n; a, b, c; k)$ is a Nest graph of order $2n$, $n \geq 4$,

$C = \langle \rho \rangle$, where $\rho = (u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{n-1})(v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1})$,

$G \leq \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ such that $\rho \in G$, G acts transitively on $E(\Gamma)$, and $\text{core}_G(C) = 1$.

Instead of Theorem 1.2 we show the following slightly more stronger theorem. The proof will be given in the end of the section.

Theorem 5.2. *Assuming Hypothesis 5.1, Γ is isomorphic to one of the graphs: $\mathcal{N}(5; 1, 2, 3; 2)$, $\mathcal{N}(8; 1, 3, 4; 3)$, $\mathcal{N}(8; 1, 2, 5; 3)$ and $\mathcal{N}(12; 2, 4, 8; 5)$.*

We start with a computational result, which we retrieved from [11, Table 1] with the help of MAGMA [4]. Here we use the obvious facts that C is also core-free in $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ and that $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ is primitive whenever so is G .

Lemma 5.3. *Assuming Hypothesis 5.1, if $n \leq 50$, then the following hold.*

(1) Γ is isomorphic to one of the graphs:

$$\mathcal{N}(5; 1, 2, 3; 2), \mathcal{N}(8; 1, 3, 4; 3), \mathcal{N}(8; 1, 2, 5; 3) \text{ and } \mathcal{N}(12; 2, 4, 8; 5).$$

(2) G is either primitive and $\Gamma \cong \mathcal{N}(5; 1, 2, 3; 2)$ or $\mathcal{N}(8; 1, 3, 4; 3)$; or for $N := \text{soc}(\text{Aut}(\Gamma))$, $N \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^2$ if $n = 8$ and $N \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^4$ if $n = 12$, and the N -orbits have length 4.

The existence of a non-trivial non-cyclic block system is established next.

Lemma 5.4. *Assuming Hypothesis 5.1, suppose that $n > 8$. Then G admits a non-trivial non-cyclic block system.*

Proof. Observe that, if G is primitive, then Corollary 2.8 shows that $\Gamma \cong \mathcal{N}(5; 1, 2, 3; 2)$ or $\mathcal{N}(8; 1, 3, 4; 3)$. As $n > 8$, G is imprimitive.

Let \mathcal{B} be a non-trivial block system with blocks of size d . If \mathcal{B} is cyclic, then by Lemma 3.4(1) and Corollary 4.3, $C_d \triangleleft G$, where C_d is the subgroup of C of order d . This contradicts our assumption that $\text{core}_G(C) = 1$, so \mathcal{B} is non-cyclic. \square

In the next two lemmas we study non-cyclic block systems with blocks of size 2.

Lemma 5.5. *Assuming Hypothesis 5.1, suppose that $n > 50$ and \mathcal{B} is a non-cyclic block system for G with blocks of size 2. Then Γ/\mathcal{B} has valency 12.*

Proof. Let K be the kernel of the action of G on \mathcal{B} , and for a subgroup $X \leq G$, denote by \bar{X} the image of X induced by its action on \mathcal{B} . For a block $B \in \mathcal{B}$, we write $B = \{u_B, v_B\}$, where $u_B \in \{u_i : i \in \mathbb{Z}_n\}$ and by $v_B \in \{v_i : i \in \mathbb{Z}_n\}$, and define the permutation τ of $V(\Gamma)$ as

$$\tau := \prod_{B \in \mathcal{B}} (u_B v_B). \quad (3)$$

Observe that τ commutes with any element of G .

Now define the graph Γ' as

$$V(\Gamma') := V(\Gamma) \text{ and } E(\Gamma') := \{\{u_0, u_1\}^x : x \in \langle G, \tau \rangle\} \quad (4)$$

Then $E(\Gamma) = \{\{u_0, u_1\}^x : x \in G\} \subseteq E(\Gamma')$. Also, $\langle \tau, G \rangle \leq \text{Aut}(\Gamma')$, hence Γ' is both vertex- and edge-transitive. Since τ commutes with every element of G , it follows that $E(\Gamma') = E(\Gamma) \cup E(\Gamma)^\tau$ and $E(\Gamma) = E(\Gamma)^\tau$ or $E(\Gamma) \cap E(\Gamma)^\tau = \emptyset$. Notice that

$$\Gamma/\mathcal{B} = \Gamma'/\mathcal{B},$$

hence we are done if show that Γ'/\mathcal{B} has valency 12.

Denote by d and d' the valency of Γ' and Γ'/\mathcal{B} , respectively. Now, $d = |E(\Gamma')|/n = 6 \frac{|E(\Gamma')|}{|E(\Gamma)|}$. This shows that $d = 6$ if $E(\Gamma) = E(\Gamma')$, and $d = 12$ otherwise.

Assume for the moment that $d = 6$, i.e., $E(\Gamma) = E(\Gamma')$. In this case $\tau \in K$, hence \mathcal{B} is normal and Γ' is a normal r -cover of Γ'/\mathcal{B} and $r = 1$ or $r = 2$.

If $r = 2$, then $d' = 3$. As $n > 50$, this is impossible due to Lemma 2.2. Here we use the facts that Γ'/\mathcal{B} is edge-transitive and \bar{C} is regular on $V(\Gamma'/\mathcal{B})$. It is well-known that an edge-transitive circulant graph is also arc-transitive. Thus $r = 1$ and $d' = 6$. As $n > 50$, Lemma 2.3 can be applied to Γ'/\mathcal{B} and \bar{C} . This says that $\text{Aut}(\Gamma'/\mathcal{B})$ has a normal subgroup N such that

- (1) $N = \bar{C}$, or
- (2) $n \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$ and $N = \bar{C}_{n/4}$, or
- (3) $N \cong \mathbb{Z}_3^\ell$ for $\ell \geq 2$ and $\bar{C}_3 \leq N$.

In case (1), $N < \bar{G}$, hence $KC \triangleleft G$. The condition $r = 1$ yields that $K = \langle \tau \rangle$. Thus KC is abelian and $\langle x^2 : x \in KC \rangle = C_n$ if n is odd and $C_{n/2}$ if n is even. Using that the latter group is characteristic in KC and $KC \triangleleft G$, we obtain that $\text{core}_G(C) \neq 1$, a contradiction.

In case (2), $N < \bar{G}$, hence $KC_{n/4} \triangleleft G$. Since KC is abelian, it follows that $C_{n/4}$ is characteristic in KC , implying that $C_{n/4} \triangleleft G$, a contradiction.

In case (3), $\bar{C}_3 \leq \bar{G} \cap N$. Since $\bar{G} \cap N \triangleleft \bar{G}$, it follows that G contains a normal subgroup M such that $M = \langle \tau \rangle \times S$, where $S \cong \mathbb{Z}_3^{\ell'}$ for some $\ell' \geq 1$. Thus S is normal in G , and we obtain that $\text{Orb}(S, V(\Gamma))$ is a non-trivial cyclic block system for G . This contradicts Lemma 5.4, and we conclude that $d = 12$.

The graph Γ' is a normal r -cover of Γ'/\mathcal{B} , where $r = 1$ or $r = 2$, and we have $d' = d/r = 12/r$. If $r = 2$, then u_0 is adjacent with 6 vertices that are contained in the set $\{u_i : i \in \mathbb{Z}_n\}$. It follows from the definition of Γ' that this is impossible. Thus $r = 1$, and so $d' = 12$. \square

Lemma 5.6. *Assuming Hypothesis 5.1, suppose that $n > 50$ and \mathcal{B} is a non-cyclic block system for G with blocks of size 2. Then there is a normal non-cyclic block system for G with blocks of size 4.*

Proof. Let K be the kernel of the action of G on \mathcal{B} , and for a subgroup $X \leq G$, denote by \bar{X} the image of X induced by its action on \mathcal{B} . For the sake of simplicity we write $\bar{\Gamma}$ for Γ/\mathcal{B} .

By Lemma 5.5, $\bar{\Gamma}$ has valency 12. This implies that $K = 1$. As $\bar{C} \leq \text{Aut}(\bar{\Gamma})$ and it is regular on $V(\bar{\Gamma})$, Theorem 2.1 can be applied to $\bar{\Gamma}$ and \bar{C} . As $n > 50$, $\bar{\Gamma}$ cannot be the complete graph. Also, if $\bar{C} \triangleleft \text{Aut}(\bar{\Gamma})$, then $C \triangleleft G$ because $K = 1$. This is also impossible, hence $\bar{\Gamma}$ is in one of the families (c) and (d) of Theorem 2.1.

Case 1. $\bar{\Gamma}$ is in family (c).

In this case $\text{Orb}(\bar{C}_d, V(\bar{\Gamma}))$ is a block system for $\text{Aut}(\bar{\Gamma})$, hence for \bar{G} as well, where $d \in \{2, 3, 4, 6\}$. Let N be the unique subgroup of G for which \bar{N} is the kernel of the action of \bar{G} on $\text{Orb}(\bar{C}_d, V(\bar{\Gamma}))$. Note that $N \triangleleft G$ and $N \cong \bar{N}$ because $K = 1$. Let $\mathcal{B}' = \text{Orb}(N, V(\Gamma))$. It follows that \mathcal{B}' is non-cyclic and it has blocks of size $2d$.

Let $d = 2$. Then \mathcal{B}' is normal with blocks of size 4, so the conclusion of the lemma holds.

Let $d = 3$. Then the Sylow 3-subgroup of \bar{N} is normal in \bar{G} . It follows in turn that, the Sylow 3-subgroup of N is normal in G , the orbits of the latter subgroup form a non-trivial cyclic block system for G . This contradicts Lemma 5.4.

Let $d = 4$. Then $\bar{\Gamma}$ has valency 3. It follows from Lemma 2.2 that $n \leq 6$, but this is excluded.

Finally, let $d = 6$. Let τ be the permutation of $V(\Gamma)$ defined in (3) and Γ' be the graph defined in (4). Let Δ be the subgraph of Γ' induced by the set $u_0^N \cup u_1^N$. It is not hard to show that Δ is a bipartite graph, it has valency 6, and it is also edge-transitive. Moreover, if $B \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $u_0 \notin B$ and $B \subset u_0^N \cup u_1^N$, then

$$|\Delta(u_0) \cap B| = 1. \quad (5)$$

Since $\langle \tau \rangle \times C_6 \leq \text{Aut}(\Delta)$, it follows that Δ is uniquely determined by $\Delta(u_0)$. It follows from the definition of Γ' that $|\Gamma'(u_0) \cap \{u_i : i \in \mathbb{Z}_n\}| = 4$. Therefore, replacing $u_0^N \cup u_1^N$ with $u_0^N \cup u_{n-1}^N$ if necessary, we may assume w.l.o.g. that $|\Delta(u_0) \cap \{u_i : i \in \mathbb{Z}_n\}| \leq 2$. This together with (5) show that there are 6 possibilities for Δ . A computation with MAGMA [4] shows that none of these 6 graphs is edge-transitive.

Case 2. $\bar{\Gamma}$ is in family (d).

We finish the proof by showing this case does not occur. Theorem 2.1 shows that $\mathcal{B}_1 := \text{Orb}(\bar{C}_d, V(\bar{\Gamma}))$ and $\mathcal{B}_2 := \text{Orb}(\bar{C}_{n/d}, V(\bar{\Gamma}))$ are blocks for \bar{G} for some divisor d of n such that $d \in \{4, 5, 7\}$ and $\gcd(d, n/d) = 1$. Furthermore,

$$\bar{C}_d \times \bar{C}_{n/d} < \bar{G} \leq \text{Aut}(\Gamma') = G_1 \times G_2,$$

where $\bar{C}_d \leq G_1$, $G_1 \cong S_d$, $\bar{C}_{n/d} < G_2$ and $G_2 \cong \text{Aut}(\Gamma'/\mathcal{B}_1)$.

Let $d = 4$. Then $\bar{\Gamma}/\mathcal{B}_1$ has valency 4. Using also that n/d is odd and that $n > 20$, it follows from Lemma 2.2 that $\bar{C}_{n/d} \triangleleft \bar{G}$, hence $C_{n/d} \triangleleft G$, a contradiction.

Let $d = 5$. Then $\bar{\Gamma}/\mathcal{B}_1$ has valency 3, hence $n \leq 30$ by Lemma 2.2, which is excluded.

Finally, let $d = 7$. Then $\bar{\Gamma}/\mathcal{B}_1$ is a cycle of length $n/7$, implying that $\bar{C}_{n/d} \triangleleft \bar{G}$, so $C_{n/d} \triangleleft G$, a contradiction. \square

Before the proof of Theorem 5.2 we need two more lemmas dealing with non-cyclic block systems with blocks of size at least 4.

Lemma 5.7. *Assuming Hypothesis 5.1, suppose that $n > 50$ and \mathcal{B} is a minimal non-cyclic block system for G with blocks of size at least 4, and let $B \in \mathcal{B}$ be any block. Then the permutation group of B induced by $G_{\{B\}}$ is an affine group.*

Proof. For a subgroup $X \leq G_{\{B\}}$, denote by X^* the image of X induced by its action on B . As B is minimal, $(G_{\{B\}})^*$ is a primitive permutation group. Also, $(C_{\{B\}})^*$ is a semiregular cyclic subgroup of $(G_{\{B\}})^*$ with 2 orbits, hence Theorem 2.4 can be applied to $(G_{\{B\}})^*$. This shows that $(G_{\{B\}})^*$ is either an affine group or it is one of the groups in the families (a)-(f) in part (2) of Theorem 2.4. Assume that the latter case occurs. We drive in three steps that this leads to a contradiction. Let K be the kernel of the action of G on \mathcal{B} .

Step 1. K acts faithfully on every block in \mathcal{B} .

Since $K \triangleleft G_{\{B\}}$, it follows that $K^* \triangleleft (G_{\{B\}})^*$. By Corollary 2.5, K^* is primitive and belongs to the same family as $(G_{\{B\}})^*$.

Assume on the contrary that K is not faithful on every block. Using the connectedness of Γ , it is easy to show that there are blocks B, B' in \mathcal{B} with the following properties: The kernel of the action of K on B is non-trivial on B' , and Γ has an edge $\{w, w'\}$ such that

$w \in B$ and $w' \in B'$. Denote by N the latter kernel. Now as $N \triangleleft K$ and K is primitive on B' , N is transitive on B' . Thus the orbit $(w')^N = B'$, and so w is adjacent with any vertex in B' . Since \mathcal{B} is normal, it follows that the subgraph of Γ induced by $B \cup B'$ is isomorphic to the complete bipartite graph $K_{m,m}$, where $m = |B|$. On the other hand, $m \geq 6$, showing that $\Gamma \cong K_{6,6}$, a contradiction.

Denote by B and B' the blocks containing u_0 and u_1 , respectively.

Step 2. The action of K on B is equivalent with its action on B' .

Assume on the contrary that the actions are inequivalent. Due to Lemma 2.6(2), K belongs to family (c) in Theorem 2.4(2) with $d \geq 4$, and the elements in B and B' correspond to the points and the hyperplanes of the projective geometry $\text{PG}(d-1, q)$, respectively. The set B' splits into two K_{u_0} -orbits of lengths

$$(q^{d-1} - 1)/(q - 1) \text{ and } q(q^{d-1} - 1)/(q - 1).$$

The first orbit consists of the hyperplanes of $\text{PG}(d-1, q)$ through the point represented by u_0 , and the second orbit consists of the remaining hyperplanes. Clearly, the minimum of these numbers is bounded above by the valency of Γ , implying that $q^{d-1} - 1 \leq 6(q - 1)$, and hence $q^{d-2} < 6$. This is impossible because $d \geq 4$.

Step 3. $\text{core}_G(C) \neq 1$.

Since K acts equivalently on B and B' , it follows that $K_{u_0} = K_v$ for some vertex $v \in B'$ (see [8, Lemma 1.6B]). Define the binary relation \sim on $V(\Gamma)$ by letting $u \sim v$ if and only if $K_u = K_v$. It is not hard to show, using that $K \triangleleft G$, that \sim is a G -congruence (see [8, Exercise 1.5.4]), and so there is a block for G containing u_0 and v . Also, as K is not regular, this block is non-trivial, and this shows that $v \neq u_1$.

By Lemma 2.6(1), K is 2-transitive on B' , unless $|B'| = 10$, $K = A_5$ or S_5 , and it has subdegrees 1, 3 and 6.

Assume first that K is 2-transitive on B' . Then the orbit $u_1^{K_{u_0}} = u_1^{K_v} = |B'| - 1$ and each vertex in $u_1^{K_v}$ is adjacent with u_0 . Hence u_0 has $|B'| - 1$ neighbours in B' . On the other hand, as \mathcal{B} is normal, this number divides 6, so $|B| = |B'| = 4$, contradicting that $G_{\{B\}}^*$ is an almost simple group.

We are left with the case that $|B'| = 10$, $K = A_5$ or S_5 , and it has subdegrees 1, 3 and 6. Consequently, u_0 has 3 or 6 neighbours in B' .

If u_0 has 6 neighbours, then it is clear that $n = 10$, which is excluded.

Now assume that u_0 has 3 neighbours in B' . In this case Γ is a normal 3-cover of a cycle of length $n/5$. Since Γ/\mathcal{B} is a cycle of length $n/5$, it follows that $|G| \leq |K| \cdot 2n/5 = 48n$. Using that $n > 50$, Theorem 2.9 shows that $\text{core}_G(C) \neq 1$. \square

Lemma 5.8. *Assuming Hypothesis 5.1, suppose that $n > 50$ and \mathcal{B} is a minimal non-cyclic block system for G with blocks of size at least 4. Then the blocks have size 4.*

Proof. Let K be the kernel of the action of G on \mathcal{B} , and let $B \in \mathcal{B}$ be the block containing u_0 . Denote by $(G_{\{B\}})^*$ the permutation group of B induced by $G_{\{B\}}$. By Lemma 5.7, $(G_{\{B\}})^*$ is an affine group, and thus it is one of the groups in the families (a)–(d) in part (1) of Theorem 2.4. In particular, $|B| \in \{4, 8, 16\}$. Assume on the contrary that $|B| > 4$. By Lemma 3.5, \mathcal{B} is normal, hence Γ is a normal r -cover of Γ/\mathcal{B} for $r \in \{1, 2, 3\}$.

Case 1. $r = 1$.

In this case K is regular on every block, in particular, $K \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^4$ or \mathbb{Z}_2^5 . On the other hand, by Lemma 3.5(2), $C_{|B|/2} < K$, a contradiction.

Case 2. $r = 2$.

In this case Γ/\mathcal{B} has valency 3. It follows from Lemma 2.2 that $n \leq 48$, but this is excluded.

Case 3. $r = 3$.

Then Γ/\mathcal{B} is a cycle of length $2n/|B|$. This implies that the action of G_{u_0} on $\Gamma(u_0)$ admits a block system consisting of two blocks of size 3. Consequently, the restriction of G_{u_0} to $\Gamma(u_0)$ is a $\{2, 3\}$ -group. This together with the fact that Γ is connected yield that G_{u_0} is also a $\{2, 3\}$ -group. Now checking the stabilisers in part (1) of Theorem 2.4, we find that $|B| = 16$ and

$$(G_{\{B\}})_{u_0}^* \cong (\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_4 \text{ or } (S_3 \times S_3) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2 \quad (6)$$

Assume for the moment K is not faithful on B . Then there exist adjacent blocks B' and B'' such that the apkernel of the action of K on B' is non-trivial on B'' . Denote this kernel by L . The L -orbits contained in B'' have the same size, which is equal to 2^s for some $1 \leq s \leq 4$. On the other hand, for $w \in B'$, the set $\Gamma(w) \cap B''$ is L -invariant, implying that $|\Gamma(w) \cap B''|$ is equal to some power of 2, a contradiction. Thus K is faithful on B .

The group K contains a normal subgroup E such that $E \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^4$. Note that $\mathcal{B} = \text{Orb}(E, V(\Gamma))$. Let P be the Sylow 3-subgroup of $G_{\{B\}}$. Since Γ/\mathcal{B} is a cycle, it follows that $P \leq K$. This also shows that $P \cong \mathbb{Z}_3^2$. Also, $C_8 \leq K$, and in view of (6), we obtain that $|(G_{\{B\}})^* : K| \leq 2$ and if the index is equal to 2, then $(G_{\{B\}})_{u_0}^* \cong (S_3 \times S_3) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$. A direct check by MAGMA [4] shows that in the latter case $(G_{\{B\}})^*$ has a unique subgroup of index 2 containing an element of order 8, which is also primitive. All these show that K is primitive on B .

Denote by Δ be the subgraph of Γ induced by $u_0^E \cup u_1^E$. Using that $E \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^4$ acting regularly on both u_0^E and u_1^E , it is not hard to show that Δ is the union of four 3-dimensional cube Q_3 . If Δ_1 is a component of Δ , then $|V(\Delta_1) \cap B| = 4$ (note that $B = u_0^E$) and $V(\Delta_1) \cap B$ is a block for K . This, however, contradicts the fact that K is primitive on B . \square

We are ready to settle Theorem 5.2, and therefore, Theorem 1.2 as well.

Proof of Theorem 5.2. In view of Lemma 5.3, we may assume that $n > 50$. It follows from Lemmas 5.4–5.8 that G admits a normal non-cyclic block system with blocks of size 4. Denote this block system by \mathcal{B} . Let K be the kernel of the action of G on \mathcal{B} , and for a subgroup $X \leq G$, denote by \bar{X} the image of X induced by its action on \mathcal{B} . As \mathcal{B} is normal, Γ is a normal r -cover of Γ/\mathcal{B} for some $r \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. We exclude below all possibilities case-by-case.

Case 1. $r = 1$.

In this case $|K| = 4$ and $K \cap C = C_2$. If $K \cong \mathbb{Z}_4$, then C_2 is characteristic in K , and therefore, it is normal in G . This is impossible because $\text{core}_G(C) = 1$, hence $K \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^2$

The graph Γ/\mathcal{B} is edge-transitive, it has valency 6, and \bar{C} is regular on $V(\Gamma/\mathcal{B})$. As $n > 50$, Lemma 2.3 can be applied to Γ/\mathcal{B} and \bar{C} . It follows that $\text{Aut}(\Gamma/\mathcal{B})$ has a normal subgroup N such that

- (1) $N = \bar{C}$, or
- (2) $n \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$ and $N = \bar{C}_{n/4}$, or
- (3) $N \cong \mathbb{Z}_3^\ell$ for $\ell \geq 2$ and $\bar{C}_3 \leq N$.

In case (1), we obtain that $KC \triangleleft G$, whereas in case (2), $KC_{n/4} \triangleleft G$. In either case, $|KC : C| = 2$, and therefore, for the derived subgroup $(KC)'$, $(KC)' \leq C$. Thus $(KC)' \leq \text{core}_G(C)$, and so $(KC)' = 1$, i.e., KC is an abelian group. Then we obtain that $C_{n/2} \triangleleft G$ in case (1), and $C_{n/4} \triangleleft G$ in case (2). None of these is possible because $\text{core}_G(C) = 1$.

In case (3), G contains a normal subgroup M such that $KC_3 \leq M$ and $M/K \cong \mathbb{Z}_3^{\ell'}$ for some $\ell' \geq 1$. Then M can be written as $M = KS$ where $C_3 \leq S$ and $S \cong \mathbb{Z}_3^{\ell'}$. As $C_2 \leq K$, we obtain that C_3 commutes with K , and so $C_3 \leq O_3(M)$, where $O_3(M)$ denotes the largest normal 3-subgroup of M . As $O_3(M)$ is characteristic in M , $O_3(M) \triangleleft G$. This yields that $\text{Orb}(O_3(M), V(\Gamma))$ is a non-trivial cyclic block system, a contradiction to Lemma 5.4.

Case 2. $r = 2$.

In this case Γ/\mathcal{B} has valency 3, hence $n \leq 12$ by Lemma 2.2, which is excluded.

Case 3. $r = 3$.

The group K is faithful on every block of \mathcal{B} . This can be shown by copying the argument that has been used in Case 3 in the proof of Lemma 5.8. Since Γ/\mathcal{B} is a cycle of length $n/2$, it follows that $|G| \leq |K| \cdot n = 24n$. Using that $n > 50$, Theorem 2.9 shows that $\text{core}_G(C) \neq 1$, a contradiction. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] I. Antončič, A. Hujdurović, and K. Kutnar, A classification of pentavalent arc-transitive bicirculants, *J. Algebraic. Combin.* **41** (2015) 643–668.
- [2] A. Arroyo, I. Hubard, K. Kutnar, E. O'Reilly, and P. Šparl, Classification of symmetric Tabačjn graphs, *Graphs Combin.* **31** (2015) 1137–1153.
- [3] Y.-G. Baik, Y.-Q. Feng, H. S. Sim, and M. Xu, On the normality of Cayley graphs of abelian groups, *Algebra Colloq.* **5** (1998) 297–304.
- [4] W. Bosma, C. Cannon, and C. Playoust, The MAGMA algebra system I: The user language, *J. Symbolic Comput.* **24** (1997) 235–265.
- [5] M. Conder, J.-X. Zhou, Y.-Q. Feng, and M.-M. Zhang, Edge-transitive bi-Cayley graphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **145** (2020) 264–306.
- [6] J. H. Conway, R. T. Curtis, S. P. Norton, R. A. Parker, and R. A. Wilson, *Atlas of finite groups*, Clarendon Press, Oxford 1985.
- [7] A. Devillers, M. Giudici, and W. Jein, Arc-transitive bicirculants, *J. London Math. Soc.* **105** (2022) 1–23.
- [8] J. D. Dixon and B. Mortimer, *Permutation groups*, Graduate Text in Mathematics 163, Springer-Verlag, New York 1996.
- [9] E. Dobson, I. Kovács, and Š. Miklavíč, The automorphism groups of non-edge-transitive rose window graphs, *Ars. Math. Contemp.* **9** (2015) 63–75.
- [10] R. Frucht, J. E. Graver, and M. E. Watkins, The group of the generalized Petersen graphs, *Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc.* **70** (1971) 211–218.

- [11] R. Jajcay, Š. Miklavič, P. Šparl, and G. Vasiljević, On certain edge-transitive bicirculants, *Electron. J. Combin.* **26** (2) (2019), #P2.6.
- [12] B. Huppert, *Endliche Gruppen I*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York 1967.
- [13] I. Kovács, Classifying arc-transitive circulants, *J. Algebraic Combin.* **20** (2005) 353–358.
- [14] I. Kovács, Classification of edge-transitive Nest graphs, manuscript.
- [15] I. Kovács, K. Kutnar, and D. Marušič, Classification of edge-transitive rose window graphs, *J. Graph Theory* **65** (2010) 216–231.
- [16] I. Kovács, B. Kuzman, A. Malnič, and S. Wilson, Characterization of edge-transitive 4-valent bicirculants, *J. Graph Theory* **69** (2012) 441–463.
- [17] I. Kovács and J. Ruff, On certain edge-transitive bicirculants of twice odd order, to appear in *Electron. J. Combin.*, preprint arXiv:2111.07982v1 [math.CO], 2021.
- [18] K. Kutnar, D. Marušič, Š. Miklavič, and R. Strašek, Automorphisms of Tabačjn graphs, *Filomat* **27** (2013) 1157–1164.
- [19] C. H. Li, Permutation groups with a cyclic regular subgroup and arc transitive circulants, *J. Algebraic Combin.* **21** (2005) 131–136.
- [20] C. H. Li, B. Xia, and S. Zhou, An explicit characterisation of arc-transitive circulants, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **150** (2021) 1–16.
- [21] A. Lucchini, On the order of transitive permutation groups with cyclic point-stabiliser, *Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur. Rend. Lincei (9) Mat. Appl.* **9** (1998) 241–243.
- [22] A. Malnič, D. Marušič, P. Šparl, and B. Frelüh, Symmetry structure of bicirculants, *Discrete Math.* **307** (2007) 409–414.
- [23] D. Marušič and T. Pisanski, Symmetries of hexagonal molecular graphs on the torus, *Croat. Chem. Acta* **73** (2000) 969–981.
- [24] P. Müller, Permutation groups with a cyclic two-orbits subgroup and monodromy groups of Laurent polynomials, *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci.* **12** (2013) 369–438.
- [25] T. Pisanski, A classification of cubic bicirculants, *Discrete Math.* **307** (2007) 567–578.
- [26] G. Vasiljević, O simetričnih Nest grafi, MSc thesis, University of Ljubljana, 2017.
- [27] M. E. Watkins, A Theorem on Tait colorings with an application to the generalized Petersen graphs, *J. Combin. Theory* **6** (1969), 152–164.
- [28] S. Wilson, Rose window graphs, *Ars. Math. Contemp.* **1** (2008) 7–18.
- [29] J.-X. Zhou and M.-M. Zhang, The classification of half-arc-regular bi-circulants of valency 6, *European J. Combin.* **64** (2017) 45–56.

I. KOVÁCS

UP IAM, UNIVERSITY OF PRIMORSKA, MUZEJSKI TRG 2, SI-6000 KOPER, SLOVENIA

UP FAMNIT, UNIVERSITY OF PRIMORSKA, GLAGOLJASKA ULICA 8, SI-6000 KOPER, SLOVENIA

Email address: istvan.kovacs@upr.si